**Original Article** 

# **Identification of the novel** *hcbB* **operon catalyzing the dechlorination of pentachlorophenol in the Gram-positive bacterium**  *Nocardioides* **sp. strain PD653**

Koji Ito,<sup>1,2</sup> Kazuhiro Takagı,<sup>2,1,</sup>\* Yoshitaka Matsushima,<sup>1</sup> Akio Iwasakı,<sup>3</sup> Naoto Tanaka,<sup>4</sup> Yu Kanesaki,<sup>5</sup> Fabrice Martin-Laurent<sup>6</sup> and Shizunobu Igimi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Agricultural Chemistry, Tokyo University of Agriculture, Tokyo, Japan*

<sup>2</sup>*Hazardous Chemicals Division, Institute for Agro-Environmental Sciences, NARO, Kannondai, Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki, Japan* <sup>3</sup> *Juntendo Medical Technology Innovation Center, Juntendo University, Hongo, Tokyo*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Molecular Microbiology, Tokyo University of Agriculture, Tokyo, Japan*

<sup>5</sup>*Genome Research Center, NODAI Research Institute, Tokyo University of Agriculture, Tokyo, Japan*

<sup>6</sup>*UMR AgroEcologie, INRA, AgroSup Dijon, University of Bourgogne Franche-Comté, Dijon, France*

(Received December 4, 2017; Accepted February 6, 2018)

While *pcp* genes are well known in Gram-negative bacteria to code for the enzymes responsible for pentachlorophenol (C<sub>6</sub>HCl<sub>5</sub>O; PCP) degradation, little is known about PCP-degrading genes in Gram-positive bacteria. Here we describe a novel gene operon possibly responsible for catalyzing the degradation of PCP in the Gram-positive bacterium *Nocardioides* sp. strain PD653, which is capable of mineralizing hexachlorobenzene (C<sub>6</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub>; HCB) *via* PCP. Transcriptome analysis based on RNA-Seq revealed overexpressed genes in strain PD653 following exposure to HCB. Based on *in silico* annotation, three open reading frames (ORFs) were selected as biodegrading enzyme candidates. Recombinant *E. coli* cells expressing candidate genes degraded approximately 9.4*µ*molL−1 PCP in 2hr. Therefore, we designated these genes as *hcbB1, hcbB2, and hcbB3*. Interestingly, PCPdegrading activity was recorded when *hcbB3* was coexpressed with *hcbB1* or *hcbB2*, and the function of HcbB3 was expected to be similar to chlorophenol 4-monooxygenase (TftD). © Pesticide Science Society of Japan

*Keywords:* aerobic degradation, Pentachlorophenol(PCP), RNA-seq, *Nocardioides* sp. PD653, cloning and expression, RT-qPCR.

**Electronic supplementary material:** The online version of this article contains supplementary material (Supplemental Fig. S1– S3, Table S1), which is available at http://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/browse/jpestics/

## **Introduction**

Pentachlorophenol ( $C_6HCl_5O$ ; PCP) has been widely used as a biocide, wood preservative, and disinfectant and as an ingredient in anti-fouling paint.<sup>1)</sup> The toxicity of PCP to a wide variety of organisms, both plant and animal, is due to interference with oxidative phosphorylation and is particularly acute and chronic in fish. The indiscriminate use of PCP has led to the contamination of water and soil ecosystems. In 2015, PCP (and its salts and esters) was added to Annex A of the Stockholm Convention as a new persistent organic pollutant (POP).<sup>2)</sup>

Bioremediation is expected to be an effective way to eliminate PCP residue at the contaminated site. Numerous PCP-degrading microorganisms have been reported, $3$  and a few strategies to evaluate the PCP-degrading microbial community *in situ* based on molecular biological techniques have been established.<sup>4,5)</sup> *Sphingobium chlorophenolicum* ATCC 39723 is one of the most studied model bacteria capable of mineralizing PCP.<sup>6)</sup> In the PCP metabolism, strain ATCC 39723 recruits PcpB, a single flavin-containing protein PCP-4 monooxygenase (AAF15368; encoded by *pcpB*), and PcpD, a 2,3,5,6-tetrachloro-*p*-benzoquinone (TCBQ) reductase (AAA68938; encoded by *pcpD*) for the *para*-hydroxylation of PCP to 2,3,5,6-tetrachloro-*p*-hydroquinone (TeCH).<sup>7)</sup> It was reported that *pcpB* or its allele had been detected in sphingomonads isolated from geographically separated contaminated sites, suggesting the widespread distribution of this gene. $8,9)$  In contrast, in other bacterial genera, it remains unclear whether PCP degradation is done by the Pcp enzyme or its orthologs, which in any case implies the presence of another catabolic gene involved in the initial dechlorination of PCP.10–12)

*Nocaridoides* sp. strain PD653 was isolated as the first bacterium mineralizing hexachlorobenzene (C<sub>6</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub>; HCB) *via* PCP under aerobic conditions.13) Recently, *hcbA* genes involved in catalyzing the aerobic dechlorination of HCB have been identified in PD653 genome. On the other hand, *pcp* genes were not found by poly-

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: ktakagi@affrc.go.jp Published online April 6, 2018 © Pesticide Science Society of Japan

merase chain reaction (PCR) amplification or by analysis of the draft genome sequence.<sup>13,14)</sup> This suggests that another enzyme system contributes to PCP metabolism. Within this context, the aim of this study was the isolation and characterization of a PD653 gene(s) coding for enzymes involved in the degradation of PCP.

## **Materials and Methods**

## *1. Bacterial strains and culture conditions*

HCB was purchased from Dr. Ehrenstorfer GmbH (Augsburg, Germany). PCP and 2,6-dichloro-*p*-benzoquinone (DiCH) were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries (Osaka, Japan). TeCH and TCBQ were purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. Mineral-salt medium (MM) and the preculture medium were prepared as previously described.14) Luria-Bertani (LB) was used as a growth medium for *E. coli* cells. *E. coli* DH5*α* (TOYOBO, Osaka, Japan) and BL21 (DE3) (Novagen, Madison, WI, USA) were used as host strains for the pACYCDuet-1 plasmids and their derivatives. The recombinants were cultured in LB medium supplemented with 32*µ*gmL−1 chloramphenicol.

#### *2. RNA sample preparation*

Total RNA was extracted as described previously.14) Briefly, frozen cell culture samples were thawed and incubated with 5mgL−1 lysozyme for 5min and then disrupted with glass beads and Sepasol-RNA I (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan). DNA traces were removed using recombinant DNase (TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan). The RNA quality was checked by performing 1% native agarose gel electrophoresis. The RNA concentration was quantified by ND-8000 (Nano Drop; Thermo Fisher, Lafayette, CO, USA).

#### *3. RNA-seq analysis*

Strain PD653 was grown to the mid-exponential phase

 $(OD<sub>600</sub>=1.0)$  in a pre-culture medium, harvested by centrifugation at  $8,000 \times g$  for 10 min at 4°C, and washed with MM. Washed cells were suspended in 1 mL of MM and inoculated into 9 mL of the same medium supplemented with 35.11 *µ*mol L−1 of HCB (mother solution of HCB in acetone) in 50 mL glass-stoppered Erlenmeyer flasks. As a control, cultures were supplemented with 50 *µ*L of acetone. The flask cultures were incubated at 30°C for 8hr under agitation at 180 rpm (in triplicate). Bacterial cells were collected by centrifugation at 8,000 $\times$ *g* for 10 min at 4°C. The bacterial pellet was immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and subsequently stored at −80°C, followed by total RNA extraction.

Ribosomal RNA was removed using a RiboZero bacteria kit (Illumina KK, Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer's recommendation. Then mRNA was used as a template to prepare an mRNA library with the NEBNext Prep Kit for Illumina (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). The protocol was modified as follows: Random hexamer primers were used for reverse transcription. After the second strand synthesis, double-stranded cDNA was fragmented to an average length of 300 bp using a Covaris S2 sonication system (Covaris, Woburn, CA, USA). One hundred cycles of paired-end sequencing were carried out using a HiSeq2500 system according to the manufacturer's specifications (Illumina). After the sequencing reactions were complete, the Illumina analysis pipeline (CASAVA 1.8.0) was used to process the raw sequencing data. The RNA-seq reads were trimmed using CLC Genomics Workbench ver. 9.5. with the following parameters: Phred quality score >30; 15 terminal nucleotides from the 5′ end and 2 nucleotides from the 3′ end were removed, as well as truncated reads of less than 30 nucleotides in length. Trimmed reads were mapped to all of the genes in *Nocardioides* sp. PD653 (accession numbers: BDJG01000001–BDJG01000087) using CLC Genomics Workbench ver. 9.5. (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) with the following parameters: length fraction=0.7;





a) HCB<sup>+</sup>, able to degrade hexachlorobenzene (HCB); Ap<sup>r</sup>, ampicillin resistant; Cm<sup>r</sup>, chloramphenicol resistant.

similarity fraction=0.9; maximum number of hits for a read=1. The expression level of each gene was calculated by counting the mapped reads to each gene and was normalized by calculating reads per kilobase million (RPKM) values. Original sequence reads were deposited to the DRA/SRA database with the accession number PRJDB6176 in DDBJ.

## *4. Nucleotide and amino acid sequence analysis of PCP-degrading gene candidates*

Deduced amino acid sequences were compared to known ones using blastp (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

## *5. Cloning and expression of PCP-degrading gene candidates in*  E. coli *cells*

Primers synthesized to amplify ORFs of the candidate genes (ORF1–3) are shown in Table 2. These primers were used to amplify ORF1–3 full sequences with a KOD Plus Neo DNA polymerase (TOYOBO) using PD653 genomic DNA as a template. Purified PCR products were then inserted in the *Nco*I site (MCS1) of pACYCDuet-1 (Novagen) by in-fusion cloning (Clontech) according to the manufacture's instruction. Three recombinant plasmids were obtained: pA1B, pA2B, and pA3B for ORF1, ORF2, and ORF3, respectively. Plasmid pA1B-2B, containing ORF1 at MCS1 and ORF2 at the second multicloning site (MCS2) of pACYCDuet-1, was formed by amplifying ORF2 by PCR using primers hcbB2\_NdeI\_F and hcbB2\_XhoI\_R. The PCR-amplified fragment containing ORF2 was inserted into the *Nde*I-*Xho*I site at the MCS2 of pA1B by in-fusion cloning. The plasmid pA1B-3B (containing ORF1 at MCS1 and ORF3 at MCS2) was constructed by amplifying ORF3 by PCR using primers hcbB3\_NdeI\_F and hcbB3\_XhoI\_R and then inserted into the *Nde*I-*Xho*I site of pA1B. Plasmid pA2B-3B (containing ORF2 at MCS1 and ORF3 at MCS2) was constructed by amplifying the fragment containing ORF3 by PCR using primers hcbB3\_NdeI\_F and hcbB3\_KpnI\_R and then inserted into the *Nde*I–*Kpn*I site of pA2B. The recombinant plasmids were sequenced and transformed into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3). *E. coli* cells harboring the expression vectors were inoculated into LB medium and shaken overnight at 210 rpm and 37°C. The overnight culture was inoculated into fresh LB medium containing 0.25 mmol L−1 isopropyl-*β*-thiogalactoside (IPTG). The initial  $OD_{600}$  was adjusted to 0.6, and gene expression was induced in a culture kept at 37°C and shaken at 210 rpm for 4hr. The induced cells were then harvested by centrifuging at  $1,600 \times g$  and  $4^{\circ}$ C for 10min and washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). As a control, *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) harboring an empty pACYCDuet-1 plasmid was induced and washed.

## *6. Biotransformation of PCP and TeCH by recombinant* E. coli

*6.1. Biodegradation of PCP by recombinant* E. coli *cells* Washed recombinant *E. coli* cells were suspended in 1 mL of MM and inoculated at  $OD<sub>600</sub>=3.0$  (a dry weight cell concentration of 1.17 gL−1) in triplicate into 4mL of MM supplemented with 9.4 *µ*mol L<sup>-1</sup> PCP in a glass-stoppered Erlenmeyer flask. The cultures were shaken at 210 rpm and incubated at 37°C for 4 hr. Triplicate samples were collected at different time points,

Specific primers used for amplification of candidate genes involved in degradation of PCP		Reference
hcbB1_NcoI_F	AGGAGATATACCATGAACGAGGCAGAGCACAAAG	This study
hcbB1_NcoI_R	TGGCTGCTGCCCATGTCATGACTTTCCGTAAACCC	This study
hcbB2 NcoI F	TGGCTGCTGCCCATGCTAATTGGCCCACGACGG	This study
hcbB2_NcoI_R	AGGAGATATACCATGACCTCCATGTCGTCCGTC	This study
hcbB2_NdeI_F	AAGGAGATATACATATGACCTCCATGTCGTCCG	This study
hcbB2_XhoI_R	CTTTACCAGACTCGACTAATTGGCCCACGACGG	This study
hcbB3 NcoI F	AGGAGATATACCATGATGATCCGGACCGGGGAA	This study
hcbB3_NcoI_R	TGGCTGCTGCCCATGCTAACGCGCTGCGGCGGA	This study
hcbB3_NdeI_F	AAGGAGATATACATATGATCCGGACCGGGGAAC	This study
hcbB3_XhoI_R	CTTTACCAGACTCGACTAACGCGCTGCGGCGGA	This study
hcbB3_KpnI_R	TTACCAGACTCGAGGCTAACGCGCTGCGGCGGA	This study
RT-PCR and RT-qPCR primers		
hcbB1 RT F	ACGTGGTCTACGCCTTTGAC	This study
hcbB2_RT_F	TCTTGGACAGCTACCACGTATG	This study
hcbB2_RT_R	CACGAAAGCTACTTGTTCTCCC	This study
$hcbB3_q_F$	GAGCTTCAGGATCTGATGACCT	This study
$hcbB3_q_R$	CCGTCGAACTTCCTGATGAT	This study
$rpoB_q$ $F2$	CTGATCGGCAACGAGAAGTGG	This study
rpoB_q_R2	TGAACTCCGCGGAGACGTAG	This study
$rpoB_q_F$	AGATCTCCGAACCACTCGAA	14
$rpoB_q_R$	TGTTGATCTTGTAGCGACCG	14

**Table 2.** Primers used in this study

supplemented with 10 mL of acetonitrile, and centrifuged at 19,000 $\times$ *g* for 10 min. The PCP concentrations in the supernatant were analyzed by HPLC.

*6.2. Detection of the metabolite of PCP*

*E. coli* BL21 (DE3)/pA1B-3B was cultured overnight in LB medium. The culture was inoculated to a new LB medium containing 1 mmolL<sup>-1</sup> IPTG with initial OD<sub>600</sub>=0.6, shaken at 210 rpm, and incubated at 30°C for 4hr. Twenty milliliters of an induced culture of *E. coli* BL21 (DE3)/pA1B-3B was harvested and suspended in 5 mL of PBS. The cell suspension was sonicated by an ultrasonic processor (VP-300N, TAITECH, Saitama, Japan) for 20  $sec \times 6$ , with 20  $sec$  intervals, on ice. The disrupted cells were centrifuged at 10,000×*g* and 4°C for 30min, and the resulting supernatant was used as a clear lysate. The clear lysate was supplemented with 37.5 μmolL<sup>-1</sup> PCP. Samples were shaken at 210 rpm and 30°C for 1hr. After incubation, the sample was acetylated by adding 1.5 mL of 1 M  $K_2CO_3$  and 0.5 mL of acetanhydride for 10 min at room temperature. Samples were subsequently extracted using ethyl acetate and analyzed *via* GC-MS. *6.3. Detection of the metabolites of TeCH and TCBQ*

*E. coli* BL21 (DE3)/pA2B-3B was cultured overnight in LB medium. Five milliliters of the culture at  $OD_{600}=0.6$  was inoculated to 45mL of a new LB medium containing 1mmolL−1 IPTG. The cells were shaken at 210 rpm and induced at 37°C for 4hr, then harvested and suspended in 1mL of MM. The cell suspension was inoculated into 9 mL of the same medium supplemented with 0.5 mmol L−1 TeCH. Samples were incubated at 37°C for 2hr under 210 rpm agitation. Seven-hundred-microliter samples were collected at several time points. To these were added with 100μL of a 1/1 (vol/vol) mixture of CH<sub>3</sub>CN and 1 molL<sup>-1</sup> HCl to stop the reaction. Then samples were centrifuged at 19,000×*g* for 10min. The supernatants were subjected to HPLC analysis.

The 10mL culture of strain PD653 at a late exponential phase  $(OD<sub>600</sub>=1.0)$  was harvested and washed with MM. Washed cells were resuspended in 5mL of MM ( $OD<sub>600</sub>=2.0$ ) and transferred to a 50 mL glass-stoppered Erlenmeyer flask. This culture was supplemented with 0.5 mmolL<sup>-1</sup> TCBQ and shaken at 180 rpm and 30°C for 8hr. Aliquots (700 $\mu$ L) were gathered at 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 hr, and  $100 \mu L$  of a  $1/1$  (vol/vol) mixture of CH<sub>3</sub>CN and 1molL−1 HCl was added to stop the reaction. The sample solutions were prepared and analyzed as described above. As a control, heat-killed cells were incubated and analyzed.

#### *7. Analytical methods*

Chloroaromatic compounds were measured using an HPLC (Hewlett-Packard series 1100; Hewlett-Packard, Waldbornn, Germany) equipped with a UV detector as described previously.<sup>14)</sup> For the detection of PCP, TCBQ, and TeCH, the UV absorption wavelengths were monitored at 210, 292, and 304nm, respectively. The mobile-phase composition was 60 : 40 acetonitrile/0.1% aqueous phosphoric acid. Mass spectra of TCHQ were acquired using an ACQUITY UltraPerformance Liquid Chromatography (UPLC) system (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) equipped with a Micromass Quattro micro API tandem quadruple system (Waters). Mass spectra were acquired using a Zspray source in electrospray ionization mode and total ion current mode. The electrospray ionization conditions used to analyze TCHQ were a capillary voltage of 3.3 kV, a cone voltage of 32V, a source temperature of 80°C, a desolvation temperature of 200°C, a cone gas flow rate of 50Lh−1, and a desolvation gas flow of 800Lh−1. TCHQ was detected in negative ion mode using an *m*/*z* range of 75 to 500. The UPLC and electrospray ionization mass spectrometry systems were controlled using MassLynx 4.1 software (Waters). Separation was performed using a Poroshell 120 EC-C18 column (150mm long, 4.6mm inner diameter; Agilent Technologies, Tokyo, Japan) at 40°C. The pump was set in an isocratic mode at a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min using the mobile phase composed of 20mM ammonium acetate-acetonitrile and 20mM ammonium acetate aqueous solution (60 : 40 v/v, pH 6.8). The mass spectrum of acetylated TeCH was acquired *via* GC-MS as described previously.<sup>13)</sup>

#### *8. Synthesis of TCHQ*

TCHQ was synthesized according to Hancock *et al.*15) Briefly, TCBQ was dissolved to ice-cooled 2molL−1 NaOH and stirred; then 2molL−1 HCl was added dropwise until the pH reached 5 to 6, and deep purple crystals were precipitated. These crystals were suction filtered with a Kiriyama funnel, washed with ice-cooled distilled water, and dried *in vacuo*. The 13C NMR spectra of synthesized TCHQ were recorded on a JEOL ECX 400 (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). The chemical shifts (*δ*) were referenced to the residual solvent peak as the internal standard (DMSO- $d_6$ , 39.5 ppm).  $\delta_c$ (100.5MHz, DMSO-*d6*) 176.0, 166.4, 164.7, 145.0, 132.2, and 106.8.

## *9. Analysis of the operonic structure and* hcbB3 *expression*

Resting cells of strain PD653 were prepared in a manner similar to that described in the *RNA-seq analysis* section and inoculated into MM supplemented with 10 mg L−1 chloroaromatic compounds including HCB, PCP, TeCH, and DiCH. The flask cultures were incubated at 30°C under agitation at 180 rpm, then triplicate flask cultures were withdrawn at 0, 2, 4, and 8hr. Cells of strain PD653 exposed to aromatic compounds were harvested by centrifugation at 8,000×*g* for 10min at 4°C, stored at −80°C, and subjected to RNA extraction. cDNA was synthesized from 147ng of total RNA by reverse transcription using a ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Master Mix (TOYOBO) following the manufacture's recommendations.

The presence of a polycistronic mRNA transcribed from *hcbB* genes was determined *via* PCR using cDNA prepared from bacterial cultures supplemented with HCB, and primer pairs were used to amplify the intergenic regions between ORF1 and ORF2 (hcbB1\_RT\_F and hcbB2\_RT\_R) or ORF2 and ORF3 (hcbB2\_ RT\_F and hcbB3\_q\_R), respectively (Table 2). The rpoB\_q\_F and rpoB\_q\_R for *rpoB* (accession no. GAW54585), a housekeeping gene encoding the RNA polymerase *β*-subunit, was used as a positive control in the RT-PCR experiments. PCR amplification was carried out using Go-Taq DNA polymerase as follows: one cycle at 95°C for 2min, followed by 30 cycles at

95°C for 2min, 60°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 1.5min, and finally one cycle at 72°C for 1.5min. The PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on a 1.5% (wt/vol) agarose gel.

The expression of ORF3 in PD653 exposed to chloroaromatic compounds relative to the control was analyzed *via* qPCR using a LightCycler96 instrument (Roche Diagnostics Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN, USA) with a THUNDERBIRD SYBR qPCR mix (TOYOBO). Primer sets were designed to amplify ORF3 (hcbB3\_q\_F and hcbB3\_q\_R) and *rpoB*14) (rpoB\_q\_F2 and rpoB\_q\_R2). The following reaction conditions were applied with 20  $\mu$ L of reaction volume: one cycle at 95<sup>°</sup>C for 1 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 sec, 55°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 60 sec. After 40 cycles of amplification, melting curve analyses starting from 65 to 90°C (0.2°C s−1) were performed to certify the purity of each amplicon. To validate the specificity of each primer set, the resulting amplicons were analyzed by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel, then sequenced after cloning into pGEM-T easy vector. The standard curve of amplification was linear over 5 log dilutions (*r2* =0.999, slope −3.55 for *hcbB3*; *r2* =0.993, slope −3.16 for *rpoB*). Gene expression was calculated relative to the internal reference *rpoB* gene using the cycle threshold  $(2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct})$  method.

#### **Results**

#### *1. Prediction of PCP catabolic genes by RNA-seq analysis*

Of the 5087 genes, 47 showed a greater than fourfold difference in mRNA levels between the PD653 cells exposed to HCB and those of the non-exposed control (*p*>0.05, Fig. S1). Most of the genes (38/47) located in contig 11 (GenBank accession no. BDJG01000011.1) and the three putative gene clusters consisting of PD653\_1060–1072, PD653\_1108–1125, PD653\_1131–1145, and PD653\_1156–1161 were induced (Table S1). Three coding sequences, PD653\_1112–1114, were annotated as a pyridoxine 5′-phosphate oxidase, sugar phosphate isomerases/epimerases, and an aromatic ring hydroxylase.

*2. Nucleotide and amino acid sequence analysis of candidate genes* The closest related protein of PD653\_1112 (ORF1) was putative pyridoxine/pyridoxamine 5′-phosphate oxidase (accession no. KMO83753) from *Mycobacterium chlorophenolicum*, with 65% homology (query cover 80%, E value 1e-58). The closest related protein of PD653\_1113 (ORF2) was a xylose isomerase-like TIM barrel (accession no. KMO83752) from *Mycobacterium chlorophenolicum* (query cover 89%, identity 50%, E value 9e-78). The deduced amino acid sequence of PD653\_1114 (ORF3) showed 54% homology with the TftD catalyzing the sequential hydroxylation of 2,4,5-trichlorophenol to 2,5-dichlorohydroquinone and then to 5-chlorohydroxyquinol (UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot: O87009.2) in *Burkholderia cepacia* AC1100.<sup>11,17,18)</sup>

#### *3. Biotransformation of PCP by recombinant* E. coli

The PCP dissipation activity of the recombinant *E. coli* cell cultures obtained for the different constructions was studied. One could observe that none of the *E. coli* cultures transformed



**Fig. 1.** Time courses for PCP degradation (●) by *E. coli* BL21 (DE3)/ pA2B-3B and PCP concentrations (○) in the vector control cultures. Each concentration shown is the mean  $(n=3)$  with the standard deviation.

with the three recombinant plasmids containing each of the three genes was able to dissipate PCP. Coexpression of ORF1 and ORF2 (pA1B-2B) did not confer the ability to the recombinant *E. coli* culture to dissipate PCP. In contrast, coexpression of ORF1 and ORF3 (pA1B-3B) led to significant PCP-dissipation activity in the *E. coli* recombinant culture. Indeed, within 2hr, the PCP added at 9.4*µ*molL<sup>-1</sup> was entirely dissipated (Fig. 1), giving a degradation rate of 4.1nmolmg−1h−1 on a dry cell weight basis. In addition, the coexpression of pA2B-3B was found to also provide PCP-dissipating ability to recombinant *E. coli*. The pA2B-3B PCP kinetics of dissipation was similar to that of pA1B-3B (data not shown). These results suggest that ORF3 encodes the protein catalyzing the dissipation of PCP, and ORF1 and ORF2 encode the component mediating the activity. Thus, we designated ORF1, ORF2, and ORF3 as *hcbB1*, *hcbB2*, and *hcbB3*, respectively. Despite many trials, we could not detect PCP transformation products (data not shown).

## *4. Identification of intermediate metabolites during the dissipation of PCP and TeCH*

We hypothesize that the *hcbB* gene may code for the enzyme catalyzing the transformation of PCP to TeCH. To test this hypothesis, we searched for TeCH in the cleared lysate of induced cell cultures able to dissipate PCP. MS spectra identical to those of acetylated TeCH were obtained at the same retention time. This indicates that *hcbB* genes code for an enzyme system that catalyzes the conversion of PCP to TeCH (Fig. S2).

The cell suspensions were inoculated with TeCH (0.5 mM). Within 0.5 hr of incubation, the solution showed a yellow and then deep purple color. TCBQ and an unknown transformation product (TP) were detected by HPLC analysis (Fig. 2). The UV-visible spectrum of this TP exhibited adsorptions at 220nm, 295nm, and 537nm. This TP has the same retention time and the same wavelengths of adsorption as the authentic standard of TCHQ analyzed in UPLC-MS. The mass spectrum of the authentic TCHQ was identical to the unknown metabolite, which was typical for a molecule containing three chlorines, with a prominent deprotonated molecular ion at *m*/*z* 225, 227, and 229 (Fig. S3). Therefore, this unknown TP is TCHQ. Together, these



**Fig. 2.** Overlay chromatograph of degradation of 2,3,5,6-tetrachloro-*p*hydroquinone (TeCH) within 2hr by *E. coli* BL21 (DE3)/pA2B-3B. Apparent peaks for TeCH, 2,3,5,6-tetrachloro-*p*-benzoquinone (TCBQ), and an unknown metabolite (indicated by an asterisk) are shown.

results indicate that TeCH was converted to TCBQ and then to TCHQ *via hcbB* genes (Fig. 3). Unfortunately, we cannot detect TCHQ from the PD653 resting cell.

### *5. The operonic structure and* hcbB *gene inducibility*

To examine whether the three genes identified are transcribed polycistronically, the intergenic regions *hcbB1*–*hcbB2* (region I) and *hcbB2*–*hcbB3* (region II) were analyzed *via* RT-PCR, using as a template total RNA extracted from resting cells of strain PD653 cells exposed to HCB for 2hr (Fig. 4A). Both intergenic regions were successfully amplified, suggesting that all three genes were transcribed as a single mRNA molecule (Fig. 4B).

In order to confirm the RNA-seq data and to investigate the effect of HCB and of various chloroaromatic compounds formed during its transformation, the expression of *hcbB3* was monitored *via* RT-qPCR. One could observe a significant upregulation of *hcbB3* upon exposure to HCB relative to the control (Fig. 5). Indeed, after 2, 4, and 8hr exposure to HCB, the expression of *hcbB3* was, respectively, 675-, 497-, and 127-fold higher than in the control not exposed to HCB. In addition to this, it was observed that within 2 hr of exposure to TeCH, the *hcbB3* expression increased 217-fold as compared to control. Therefore, *hcbB3* expression is upregulated not only by HCB but also by TeCH, one of its TPs. The expression of *hcbB3* in the cells exposed to PCP increased up to 5.9-fold at 2hr. Exposure to DiCH

did not affect the transcript levels of *hcbB3*.

## **Discussion**

It is well known that *pcp* genes are widely distributed in sphingomonads. In addition, *pcpB* sequences and its variant have been detected from environmental DNA extracted from the PCP-contaminated site.<sup>19)</sup> Although PCP-degrading Grampositive bacteria have been isolated from contaminated environments,20–23) almost nothing is known regarding the genes and enzymes involved in PCP metabolism. To the best of our knowledge, it has only been evidenced in *Mycobacterium* chlorophenolicum PCP-I that the membrane protein associated with cytochrome P-450 are involved in the hydroxylation of PCP.<sup>24)</sup> Orser *et al.* showed that *pcpB* probes did not hybridize with digested genomic DNA of this strain in a Southern blot analysis.<sup>10)</sup> This suggests that the Gram-positive actinobacteria such as *Mycobacterium chlorophenolicus* may have an enzyme system different from the *pcp* described in Gram-negative bacteria.

RNA-seq results led to the determination the PCP-degrading candidates. Three genes coding for the PCP-degrading enzyme system are located in the same genomic region, where *hcbB3* and *hcbB1* were separated by *hcbB2* (Fig. 4A). The translational termination codon of *hcbB1* was found to overlap (by three nucleotides) the initiation codon of *hcbB2* (Fig. 4A), suggesting that these two genes may be translationally coupled. This gene structure is consistent with those of *hcbA2* and *hcbA3*, which encode putative flavin reductase.14)

The deduced amino acid sequence of HcbB3 was similar to that of chlorophenol 4-monooxygenase (TftD), but not to that of PCP 4-monooxygese (PcpB); thus it is likely that HcbB3 belongs to the two component-flavin diffusible monooxygenase (TC-FDM) family protein. This hypothesis is supported by the evidence that the coexpression (*hcbB3*\_*hcbB1* or *hcbB3*\_*hcbB2*) led to the transformation of PCP to TCHQ *via* TeCH. TftD catalyzes the two-step hydroxylation of 2,4,6-trichlorophenol to 5-chlorohydroxyquinol *via* 2,5-dichlorophenol,<sup>25)</sup> thus TftD and HcbB3 have a comparable biochemical function. PCP metabolic pathways implying TCHQ formation have been reported for *Mycena*  avenacea TA 8480<sup>26)</sup> and white-rot basidiomycete *Coriolus versicolor*. 27) We propose the pathway for the degradation of PCP catalyzed by *hcbB* genes (Fig. 3); however, we could not detect TCHQ in strain PD653, possibly because it has a short half-life being rapidly transformed by PD653 into lower metabolites. In order to fill the missing link between the metabolic function of the wild-type strain and the genotypes identified in this study, establishing a method for gene manipulation in the genus *No-*



**Fig. 3.** Proposed PCP-degrading pathway catalyzed by *hcbB* genes in PD653.



**Fig. 4.** (A) Gene organization of the PCP-degrading gene candidates. The arrows indicate the sizes, locations, and directions of open reading frame (ORF) transcription. ORF1 (*hcbB1*), ORF2 (*hcbB2*), and ORF3 (*hcbB3*) candidate genes are marked with hatched, open, and closed arrows, respectively. The putative ribosome-binding site for ORF2 is marked with bold letters. The putative promoter region is underlined with a wavy line. The initiation codon (ATG) is underlined. The termination codon (TGA) is shaded. The translation start site (+1) is shown. The regions amplified for reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) analysis are indicated below. (B) Polycistronic transcription of *hcbB* genes. Intergenic regions of 'I' and 'II' in Fig. 3A were amplified using cDNA (lane +), no-RT control (lane −), and genomic DNA (lane 'g'). *rpoB* was selected as an mRNA control whose primer binding sites are not shown. Lane M denotes the 2Log DNA ladder marker.

*cardioides* is our ongoing work.

We serendipitously discovered that *hcbB2* improves the PCPdegrading activity in the coexpression with *hcbB3*. Since TC-FDMs have been characterized as key catabolic enzymes of aro-



**Fig. 5.** Expression of the *hcbB3* gene in *Nocardioides* sp. PD653 by hexachlorobenzene (HCB) and its degradation intermediates, pentachlorophenol (PCP), 2,3,5,6-tetrachloro-*p*-hydroquinone (TeCH), and 2,6-dichloro-*p*-hydroquinone (DiCH). Gene expression was calculated relative to the *rpoB* gene using the cycle threshold (2<sup>−∆∆Ct</sup>) method.

matic compounds,28–33) we hypothesized that *hcbB1* and *hcbB2* encode the flavin reductase component that supplies reduced flavins to allow HcbB3 to degrade PCP. Two potential flavin reductases, HcbB1 and HcbB2, did not share homology with TftC, a flavin reductase component of TftD. Nevertheless, it was demonstrated that the coexpression of either HcbB1 or HcbB2 with HcbB3 showed significant PCP-degrading activity. A possible explanation for this is the low specificity of HcbB3 to recognize its partner flavin reductase component. Indeed, *in vitro* assays demonstrated that TftD was able to utilize FADH<sub>2</sub> supplied from not only histidine-tagged TftC but also Fre, an *E. coli* general flavin reductase.28) Recent reports also showed a lack of molecular specificity in the recognition of its partner flavin reductase.32,33) To fully determine the specificity of recognition among HcbB1–HcbB3 and HcbB2–HcbB3, the protein purification and further analysis of biochemical properties should be conducted in the future.

The transcriptional properties obtained from RNA-seq were in consistent with the RT-qPCR study showing that *hcbB3* was significantly upregulated by HCB. Given that PD653 metabolizes PCP *via* TeCH and that TeCH induced the expression of *hcbB3* (Fig. 5), the upregulation of *hcbB3* in the presence of PCP might result from the metabolism by PD653. In many cases, the substrate inducing the catabolic gene expression is not the initial substrate but a degradation intermediate.<sup>34-36)</sup> However, it is interesting that *hcbB3* was induced by the initial substrate HCB. Because of its toxicity, one cannot use HCB to induce catabolic gene expression. However, non-toxic inducers such as plant secondary metabolites (PSMs) are known to induce *bph* operons, which is involved in the degradation of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and have been applied to remediate soils contaminated with PCBs.<sup>37-39)</sup> A similar approach might be developed to search for a non-toxic inducer to optimize the PCP bioremediation process. The induction of *hcbB3* expression can be used as a molecular marker to track natural compounds enhancing the PCP-degrading activity. It is noteworthy that two putative transcriptional regulators, MalT (PD653\_1110) and GntR (PD653\_1108), were found upstream from the *hcbB* operon (Table S1). As GntR-type regulators, Paa $X^{40}$  involved in phenyl acetic acid degradation by *E. coli* strain W, PhcS<sup>41)</sup> and AphS<sup>42)</sup> involved in phenol degradation pathway in *Comamonas testosteroni* strains R5 and TA441, respectively, and BphS<sup>43)</sup> involved in the polychlorinated biphenyl degradation pathway in *Pseudomonas* sp. strain KKS102 have been described. These GntR family regulators exert their effects as the repressors of gene expression. To further investigate whether the genes encoding putative regulators are responsible for PCP metabolism in strain PD653, the deletion and complementation of these genes, as well as *hcbB* genes, are necessary.

#### **Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by a grant from the MEXT-Supported Program for the Strategic Research Foundation at Private Universities 2013–2017 (grant no. S1311017), JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists (17J00825), and the Co-operative Research Programme, Trade and Agriculture (TAD/PROG) OECD/2010. We are grateful to Messrs. T. Hara and H. Konno, graduate students of Tokyo University of Agriculture, for their help for measurement of <sup>13</sup>C-NMR.

#### **References**

- 1) [P. G. Jorens and P. J. C. Schepens:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/096032719301200605) *Hum. Exp. Toxicol.* **12**, 479–495 (1993).
- 2) http://chm.pops.int/TheConvention/ThePOPs/TheNewPOPs/ tabid/2511/Default.aspx (Accessed 5 Sep., 2017)
- 3) L. Bosso and G. Cristinzio: *[Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11157-014-9342-6)* **13**, 387–427 [\(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11157-014-9342-6)
- 4) [S. Mahomood, G. I. Paton and J. I. Prosser:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00822.x) *Environ. Microbiol.* **7**, [1349–1360 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00822.x)
- 5) [M. Lanthier, B. Tartakovsky, R. Villemur, G. DeLuca and S. R. Guiot:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.8.4035-4043.2002)  *[Appl. Environ. Microbiol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.8.4035-4043.2002)* **68**, 4035–4043 (2002).
- 6) D. L. Saber and R. L. Crawford: *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **50**, 1512– 1518 (1985).
- 7) M. Cai and L. Xun: *J. Bacteriol.* **184**[, 4672–4680 \(2002\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.184.17.4672-4680.2002)
- 8) [M. A. Tiirola, H. Wang, L. Paullin and M. S. Kulomaa:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.9.4495-4501.2002) *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **68**[, 4495–4501 \(2002\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.9.4495-4501.2002)
- 9) [K. T. Leung, S. Campbell, Y. Gan, D. C. White, H. Lee and J. T. Trev](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1999.tb13509.x)ors: *[FEMS Microbiol. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1999.tb13509.x)* **173**, 247–253 (1999).
- 10) [C. S. Orser, C. C. Lange, L. Xun, T. C. Zahrt and B. J. Schneider:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/jb.175.2.411-416.1993) *J. Bacteriol.* **175**[, 411–416 \(1993\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/jb.175.2.411-416.1993)
- 11) L. Xun: *J. Bacteriol.* **178**[, 2645–2649 \(1996\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/jb.178.9.2645-2649.1996)
- 12) [J. H. A. Apajalathi and M. S. Salkinoja-Salonen:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/jb.169.11.5125-5130.1987) *J. Bacteriol.* **169**, [5125–5130 \(1987\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/jb.169.11.5125-5130.1987)
- 13) [K. Takagi, A. Iwasaki, I. Kamei, K. Satsuma, Y. Yoshioka and N. Ha](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02329-08)rada: *[Appl. Environ. Microbiol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02329-08)* **75**, 4452–4458 (2009).
- 14) [K. Ito, K. Takagi, A. Iwasaki, N. Tanaka, Y. Kanesaki, F. Martin-Laurent](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00824-17)  and S. Igimi: *[Appl. Environ. Microbiol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00824-17)* **83**, e00824-17 (2017).
- 15) [J. W. Hancock, C. E. Morrell and D. Rhum:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)70943-9) *Tetrahedron Lett.* **3**, 987– [988 \(1962\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(00)70943-9)
- 16) [S. Canaan, G. Sulzenbacher, V. Roig-Zamboni, L. Scapuccini-Calvo,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2004.11.069)  [F. Frassinetti, D. Maurin, C. Cambillau and Y. Bourne:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2004.11.069) *FEBS Lett.* **579**[, 215–221 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2004.11.069)
- 17) J. S. Karns, J. J. Kilbane, S. Duttagupta and A. M. Chakrabarty: *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **46**, 1176–1181 (1983).
- 18) [B. N. Webb, J. W. Ballinger, E. Kim, S. M. Belchik, K. S. Lam, B. Youn,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.056135)  [M. S. Nissen, L. Xun and C. Kang:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.056135) *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 2014–2027 [\(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.056135)
- 19) M. Beaulieu, V. Bécaert, L. Deschènes and R. Villemur: *Microb. Ecol.* **40**, 345–356 (2000).
- 20) [T. Verma and N. Singh:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jobm.201100542) *J. Basic Microbiol.* **53**, 277–290 (2013).
- 21) [S. K. Karn, S. K. Chakrabarti and M. S. Reddy:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10532-010-9376-6) *Biodegradation* **22**, [63–69 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10532-010-9376-6)
- 22) [J. H. A. Apajalahti, P. Kärpänoja and M. S. Salkinoja-Salonen:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00207713-36-2-246) *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* **36**[, 246–251 \(1986\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00207713-36-2-246)
- 23) [R. Chandra, A. Raj, H. J. Purohit and A. Kapley:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.10.011) *Chemosphere* **67**, [839–846 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.10.011)
- 24) [J. S. Uotila, M. S. Salkinoja-Salonen and J. H. A. Apajalahti:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00122422) *Biodegradation* **2**[, 25–31 \(1991\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00122422)
- 25) [M. R. Gisi and L. Xun:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.185.9.2786-2792.2003) *J. Bacteriol.* **185**, 2786–2792 (2003).
- 26) S. Kremer, O. Sterner and H. Anke: *Z. Naturforsch. C Bio. Sci.* **47c**, 561–566 (1992).
- 27) [Y. Iimura, P. Hartikainen and K. Tatsumi:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002530050709) *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **45**[, 434–439 \(1996\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002530050709)
- 28) M. A. Prieto and J. L. García: *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**, 22823–22829 (1994).
- 29) [L. L. Perry and G. J. Zylstra:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.01849-06) *J. Bacteriol.* **189**, 7563–7572 (2007).
- 30) [J. Min, J. J. Zhang and N. Y. Zhou:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03042-15) *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **82**, 714– [723 \(2016\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03042-15)
- 31) [T. M. Louie, C. M. Webster and L. Xun:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.184.13.3492-3500.2002) *J. Bacteriol.* **184**, 3492–3500 [\(2002\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.184.13.3492-3500.2002)
- 32) [C. W. Chu, B. Liu, N. Li, S. G. Yao, D. Cheng, J. D. Zhao, J. G. Qiu, X.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01490-17)  Yan, Q. He and J. He: *[Appl. Environ. Microbiol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01490-17)* **83**, e01490-17 (2017).
- 33) [M. G. Cheng, Q. Meng, Y. J. Yang, C. W. Chu, Q. Chen, Y. Li, D.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03241-16)  [Cheng, Q. Hong, X. Yan and J. He:](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03241-16) *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **83**, [e03241-16 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03241-16)
- 34) [Y. Ohtsubo, Y. Nagata, K. Kimbara, M. Takagi and A. Ohta:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119(00)00349-8) *Gene* **256**, [223–228 \(2000\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119(00)00349-8)
- 35) J. R. van der Meer, W. M. de Vos, S. Harayama and A. J. Zehnder: *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **56**, 677–694 (1992).
- 36) M. A. Schell: *Gene* **36**[, 301–309 \(1985\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(85)90185-4)
- 37) [T. T. M. Pham, N. J. P. Rodriguez, M. Hijri and M. Sylvestre:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0126033) *PLoS One* **10**[, e0126033 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0126033)
- 38) [E. S. Golbert and D. E. Crowley:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002530051325) *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **50**, 489– [494 \(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002530051325)
- 39) [A. C. Singer, E. S. Gilbert, E. Luepromchai and D. E. Crowley:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002530000472) *Appl. [Microbiol. Biotechnol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002530000472)* **54**, 838–843 (2000).
- 40) [A. Ferrandez, J. L. Garcia and E. Diaz:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/jb.179.8.2573-2581.1997) *J. Bacteriol.* **179**, 2573–2581 [\(1997\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/jb.179.8.2573-2581.1997)
- 41) [M. Teramoto, S. Harayama and K. Watanabe:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.183.14.4227-4234.2001) *J. Bacteriol.* **183**, 4227– [4234 \(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.183.14.4227-4234.2001)
- 42) [H. Arai, S. Akahira, T. Ohishi and T. Kudo:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1999.01554.x) *Mol. Microbiol.* **33**, 1132– [1140 \(1999\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1999.01554.x)
- 43) [Y. Ohtsubo, M. Delawary, K. Kimbara, M. Takagi, A. Ohta and Y. Na](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M100302200)gata: *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**[, 36146–36154 \(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M100302200)