Movement Disorders

Data Analytics from Enroll-HD, a Global Clinical Research Platform for Huntington's Disease

Georg B. Landwehrmeyer, MD,^{1,2} Cheryl J. Fitzer-Attas, PhD, MBA,² Joseph D. Giuliano, AB, BSN,² Nilza Gonçalves, MSc,³ Karen E. Anderson, MD,⁴ Francisco Cardoso, MD,⁵ Joaquim J. Ferreira, MD,³ Tiago A. Mestre, MD,⁶ Julie C. Stout, PhD,⁷ Cristina Sampaio, MD, PhD^{2,*}

Abstract: Background: The study of complex neurodegenerative diseases is moving away from hypothesisdriven biological methods toward large scale multimodal approaches, requiring standardized collaborative efforts. Enroll-HD exemplifies such an integrated clinical research platform, designed and implemented to meet the research and clinical needs of Huntington's disease (HD). The aim of this study was to describe the unique organization of Enroll-HD and report baseline data analyses of its core study.

Methods: The Enroll-HD platform incorporates electronic data capture, biosampling, and a longitudinal observational study spanning four continents (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01574053). The primary study population includes HD gene expansion carriers (HDGECs; CAG expansion ≥36), subdivided into manifest/ premanifest HD. The control population consists of genotype-negative first-degree relatives and family controls not genetically related. The study includes 10 core clinical assessments covering motor, cognitive, and behavioral domains.

Results: This data set comprises 1,534 participants (HDGEC = 1,071; controls = 463). Participant retention was high; 42 participants prematurely withdrew from the study. Mean \pm standard deviation SD CAG repeat size was 43.5 \pm 3.5 for HDGECs and 19.8 \pm 3.4 for controls. Motor and behavioral assessments identified numerical differences between controls and HDGECs (manifest > premanifest > controls). Functional and independence assessments were generally similar for the premanifest and control groups with overlap in range of scores obtained. For the majority of cognitive tests, there were large differences between participants with manifest HD and all other groups.

Conclusions: These first data from the Enroll-HD clinical research platform demonstrate the maturity and potential of the platform in collecting high-quality, clinically relevant data. Future data sets will be substantially larger as the platform expands longitudinally and regionally.

The Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) considers clinical research platforms (large-scale data collection, data analysis, and data sharing that are interoperable by investigators worldwide) to be a critical missing link in the development of therapeutics for neurodegenerative disorders.¹ Enroll-HD is the only fully integrated clinical

¹Department of Neurology, University of Ulm, Ulm, Germany; ²CHDI Management/CHDI Foundation, Princeton, New Jersey, USA; ³Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal; ⁴MedStar Georgetown University Hospital & Georgetown University Medical Center–Huntington Disease Care, Education & Research Center, Washington, DC, USA; ⁵Movement Disorders Clinic, Medical School, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil; ⁶Parkinson's disease and Movement Disorders Center, Division of Neurology, Department of Medicine, The Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; ⁷School of Psychological Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

*Correspondence to: Prof. Cristina Sampaio, CHDI Management/CHDI Foundation, 155 Village Boulevard, Suite 200, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA; E-mail: cristina.sampaio@chdifoundation.org

Keywords: Huntington's disease, research platform, observational study.

Relevant disclosures and conflicts of interest are listed at the end of this article.

Received 14 February 2016; revised 3 April 2016; accepted 22 April 2016.

Published online 22 June 2016 in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com). DOI:10.1002/mdc3.12388

research platform operating in the field of neurology and has been designed and implemented to meet the research and clinical needs of Huntington's disease (HD).

HD is a relatively rare monogenetic inherited disorder. Based on meta-analytic data, the prevalence of manifest (symptomatic) HD is estimated to be 5.7 per 100,000 of the population in the Western population (Europe, North America, and Australia) and 0.4 per 100,000 in Asia.² The size of the population "at risk" of inheriting the disease (first-degree relatives of HD patients with unknown genetic status) is thought to be higher, with estimates for the Western population between 30 and 44.9 per 100,000.3 As such, global collaboration is required to identify a sufficient number of HD gene expansion carriers (HDGECs) and appropriate controls for conclusive study, and this is especially important in genetic studies that rely on access to large sample sizes for sufficient statistical power to detect genetic modifiers of disease.⁴ The underlying idea of Enroll-HD is to facilitate cooperation and collaboration while developing synergies within the research, clinical, and support communities.

The main objectives of Enroll-HD are to (1) improve the design and expedite the recruitment and execution of clinical studies and trials, (2) improve our understanding of HD and identify factors influencing disease progression, and (3) foster good clinical care and help improve the health of people with HD. At its core, the Enroll-HD platform includes an ongoing, prospective, open-ended, globally standardized, longitudinal, observational study of HD. To date, this study includes over 8,000 participants enrolled in 125 sites located in 13 countries across four continents. We describe here the unique organization of Enroll-HD and report baseline data analyses of the study as an illustration of its potential to serve as a research platform.

Materials and Methods Enroll-HD Platform Infrastructure

Enroll-HD has an integrated platform infrastructure designed to ensure that the time, effort, and budget spent on study setup is leveraged for multiple uses, both across sites and for multiple studies at a particular site (Fig. 1). It is executed and funded by the CHDI Foundation, a nonprofit drug development organization exclusively dedicated to HD. CHDI invited experts to serve on the Enroll-HD Governance Committees that provide global platform oversight.⁵

A key component of Enroll-HD is the electronic data capture (EDC) system that is designed to collect and monitor data, handle queries, and enable multistudy implementation within a single information technology system. The EDC can be updated in a modular manner, allowing for integration of data from previous and future clinical, genetic, or molecular studies. Another essential component is the web portal, which contains all study manuals, training materials, and electronic case report forms for each participant. All site personnel periodically undergo standardized training and certification on core assessment rating scales.

Enroll-HD Platform Resources and Services

Enroll-HD offers a number of resources and services to the HD research community (Fig. 1). Biological samples are stored and distributed according to standard operating procedures; the central repository has no access to identifying clinical data. The centralized collection consists of DNA, lymphoblastoid cell



Figure 1 Enroll-HD platform. Depiction of the infrastructure that makes up the Enroll-HD platform and the resources/services that are made available to the HD research community.

lines, and buffy coat (containing lymphocytes) and can be broadened with specialized collections from future clinical studies implemented within the Enroll-HD platform. With consent, up to 40 mL of blood is collected at every annual visit providing for longitudinal tracking. All collection logistics and biosample products are integrated with the EDC.

Data are continuously updated, monitored, and accessible by CHDI through the secure database to assist researchers in "in silico screening" and informing clinical trial deisgn. The release of periodic data sets, however, is one of the platform's main public offerings. These data sets can be by accessed by any researcher who is employed by a research institution (academic, governmental, or industrial) through a simple application procedure outlined on www.enroll-hd.org.

Ongoing Prospective Observational Longitudinal Registry Study

Recruitment and Informed Consent

Patients with HD and their family members (age \geq 18 years) are recruited from specialty clinics. All participants provide written informed consent to take part in the study (including consent for undisclosed research genotyping). Additional optional components that require participant consent include biosampling for banking purposes, family history assessment, linking of clinical information collected in other studies, and willingness to be contacted regarding participation in future studies.

Study Population

The primary study population consists of HDGECs (CAG expansion of \geq 36 on the larger allele) and is subdivided into two categories:

- Manifest HD: HDGECs with clinical features that, in the opinion of the site investigator, are regarded as diagnostic of HD, taking all signs and symptoms into account. At each visit, investigators are prompted to declare whether the participant is "manifest." To ensure that categorization of a participant is accurately reflected in the data set, site investigators are queried when the judgement of the motor rater (as reflected in their rating of the Diagnostic Confidence Index [DCI] of the Unified Huntington's Disease Rating Scale [UHDRS]) is not aligned with the all-inclusive opinion and categorization by the site investigator.
- Premanifest HD: HDGECs without clinical features regarded as diagnostic of HD. For this data set, we included in this category all participants that were not considered manifest by the investigator at enrollment or who had a DCI ≤3 (<98% confidence that motor abnormalities are unequival signs of HD).

Any member of a family affected by HD can take part in the study. At study entry, first- or second-degree relatives of an

HDGEC participant who do not know their own genetic status are classified as "genotype unknown (at risk)." After data cut, participants in this category are reclassified a posteriori based on the research CAG length of their larger allele, yet this information is not revealed to site investigators or to study participants. Participants with a CAG repeat of <36 are reclassified as genotype negative; participants with a CAG expansion of \geq 36, but who are not recorded as having manifest disease, are reclassified as premanifest HD; and participants with a CAG expansion of \geq 36 and who have been assessed as having manifest disease are reclassified as manifest HD. Clinical exclusion criteria for the primary population are minimal: Only individuals with choreic movement disorders in the context of a *negative* test for the HD expansion mutation are excluded.

The control population consists of individuals who do not carry the HD gene expansion and includes three categories:

- 1. Genotype negative: first- or second-degree relative of a participant with HD, who is known not to carry the HD expansion mutation.
- Family control: family members or individuals not genetically related to HDGECs (e.g., spouses, partners, or caregivers).
- **3.** Community controls: individuals unrelated to HDGECs who did not grow up in a family affected by HD and who do not have a concurrent neurological disorder. No community controls were included in this first data set.

Research Genotyping

Standardized research genotyping for CAG lengths of both alleles is a core assessment for all participants in Enroll-HD. CAG lengths are used exclusively for research purposes and are not communicated to investigators or participants. Participants that wish to undergo diagnostic or predictive testing follow existing clinical procedures. For research genotyping, 10 mL of venous blood are sent to a central biorepository facility (BioRep, Milan, Italy) that processes the samples for DNA extraction. Genotyping of the DNA is performed for CAG repeat lengths using two sets of primer pairs⁶ and size standards as provided by the U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology.

Clinical Assessments

Annual assessments conducted during study visits may coincide with regularly scheduled clinic visits. The duration of visits ranges from 45 minutes (completion of core assessments only) to a maximum of 2.5 hours (completion of core, extended, and optional assessments). Details of the outcomes collected are provided in Table 1; the full study protocol can be found at www.enroll-hd.org.

Data Monitoring

Enroll-HD implements a risk-based monitoring strategy to ensure data quality.⁷ An independent data safety monitoring committee regularly meets to identify discrepancies between CAG testing

TABLE 1 Enroll-HD assessments

Written informed consent/ parental permission/assent×Creation of the unique HD Identification Number (HDID)Review of inclusion/exclusion criteriaLocal diagnostic laboratory genotyping determined classification of subjectSociodemographic information sociodemographic information wetlical historyWedical history Wedical historyKCompondia conditions wetlightNutritional supplements Nonpharmacological therapies Reportable event monitoring xWHDRS '99 Didon classificationWHDRS '99 Didon scaleWHDRS '99 Independence Scale xWHDRS '99 Independence Scale xWHDS '91 Independence Scale xWHDS '91 Independence Scale xWHDS '92 Independence Scale xWHDS '91 Independence Scale xStroop Word Reading xStroop Olor Naming xStroop Interference xXStroop Interference xXStroop Interference xXStroop Interference xXStroop Interference xXStroop Interference xXStroop Clair Stand Test x <t< th=""><th>Assessments</th><th>Core</th><th>Extended</th><th>Optiona</th></t<>	Assessments	Core	Extended	Optiona
Creation of the unique x HD Identification Number (HDID) Review of inclusion/exclusion x criteria Local diagnostic laboratory x CAG report (if available) Investigator and research x genotyping determined classification of subject Sociodemographic information x HD Clinical Characteristics x (HOCC) Medical history x Comorbid conditions x Pharmacolagical therapies Reportable event monitoring x UHDRS '99 Diagnostic Confidence x Index UHDRS '99 Diagnostic Confidence x Index UHDRS '99 Diagnostic Confidence x Index UHDRS '99 Functional x Scale UHDRS '99 Function Assessment x Scale UHDRS '99 Function Assessment x Scale UHDRS '99 Function Assessment x Stis Columbia Suicide Severity x Rating Scale (CSSR) Symbol Digit Modalities Test x Stroop Ord Reading x Stroop Ord Reading x Stroop Ord Reading x Stroop Ord Reading x Stroop Interference x Trail Making A & B x Letter Verbal Fluency x Stroop Color Naming x Stroop Stroop Color Naming x Stroop C	Written informed consent/ parental permission/assent	х		
Review of inclusion/exclusion x criteria Local diagnostic laboratory x CAG report (if available) Investigator and research x genotyping determined classification of subject Sociodemographic information x HD Clinical Characteristics x (HDCC) Medical history x Comorbid conditions x Current therapies x Pharmacotherapy Nutritional supplements Nonpharmacological therapies Reportable event monitoring x UHDRS '99 Motor x UHDRS '99 Motor x UHDRS '99 Didal functional x Capacity UHDRS '99 Function Assessment x Scale UHDRS '99 Independence Scale x PBA-s x Stroop Vord Reading x Categorical Verbal Fluency x Stroop Color Naming x Stroop Color Naming x Stroop Color Naming x Letter Verbal Fluency x Stroop Color Naming x Letter Verbal Fluency x Stroop Color Naming x Stroop Strom Fermine X Nort Form Health Surve-12 x (SF-12) Companion Quality of Life x Questionnaire Client Services Receipt x Inventory (CSRI) Work Productivity and Activity x Impairment-Specific Health Problem Questionnaire (WPAI-SHP) Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects to the study or for Subject Strom previous Studies for whom a research	Creation of the unique HD Identification Number (HDID)	х		
Local diagnostic laboratory x CAG report (if available) Investigator and research x genotyping determined classification of subject Sociodemographic information x HD Clinical Characteristics x (HDCC) Medical history x Comorbid conditions x Current therapies x Pharmacotherapy Nutritional supplements Nonpharmacological therapies Reportable event monitoring x UHDRS '99 Diagnostic Confidence x Index UHDRS '99 Diagnostic Confidence x Index UHDRS '99 Total Functional x Capacity UHDRS '99 Function Assessment x Scale UHDRS '99 Independence Scale x PBA-s x Stoop Social Cossi Symbol Digit Modalities Test x Stroop Nutrel Cessi Stroop Clor Naming x Etroop Interference x Trail Making A & x Letter Verbal Fluency x Stroop Clor Naming x Letter Verbal Fluency x Stroop Interference x Stroop Interference x Stroop Interference x Stroop Clor Naming x Letter Verbal Fluency x Stroop Clor Naming x Stroop Clor Naming x Letter Verbal Fluency x Stroop Clor Naming x Stroop	Review of inclusion/exclusion criteria	х		
Investigator and research x genotyping determined classification of subject Sociodemographic information x HD Clinical Characteristics x (HDCC) Medical history x Comorbid conditions x Current therapies x Pharmacotherapy Nutritional supplements Nonpharmacological therapies Reportable event monitoring x UHDRS '99 Motor x UHDRS '99 Total Functional x Capacity UHDRS '99 Total Functional x Scale UHDRS '99 Independence Scale x PBA-s x Stale UHDRS '99 Independence Scale x PBA-s x Stroop Vord Reading x Columbia Suicide Severity x Stroop Vord Reading x Stroop Under Alegend x Stroop Under Alegend x Stroop Inderference x Mini-Mental State Examination x (MMSE) Timed Up and Go (TUG) x 30-second Chair Stand Test x Short Form Health Survey-12 x (SF-12) Companion Quality of Life x Questionnaire Client Services Receipt x Inventory (CSRI) Work Productivity and Activity x Impairment-Specific Health Problem Questionnaire (WPAI-SHP) Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects for whom a research genotype is not available) Family history X Biospecimens for biobanking X	Local diagnostic laboratory CAG report (if available)	х		
Sociodemographic information x HD Clinical Characteristics x (HDCC) Medical history x Comorbid conditions x Pharmacotherapy x Nutritional supplements Nonpharmacological therapies Reportable event monitoring x UHDRS '99 Motor x UHDRS '99 Motor x UHDRS '99 Total Functional x Capacity UHDRS '99 Total Functional x Capacity UHDRS '99 Independence Scale x PBA-s x Sale UHDRS '99 Independence Scale x PBA-s x Stros x Stros x Stroop Word Reading x Categorical Verbal Fluency x Stroop Clor Naming x Stroop Clor Naming x Stroop Interference x Trail Making A & x Letter Verbal Fluency x Stroop Clor Naming x Stroop Clor Naming x Stroop Thereference x Stroop Interference x Stroop Interference x Stroop Interference x Stroop Clor Naming x Stroop Thereference x Stroop Clor Naming x Stroop Thereference x Stroop Interference x Stroop Clor Naming x Stroop Clor Naming x Stroop Clor Naming x Stroop Interference	Investigator and research genotyping determined classification of subject	х		
HD Clinical Characteristics x (HDCC) Medical history x Comorbid conditions x Current therapies x Pharmacotherapy Nutritional supplements Nonpharmacological therapies Reportable event monitoring x UHDRS '99 Motor x UHDRS '99 Dotor x UHDRS '99 Total Functional x Capacity UHDRS '99 Total Functional x Capacity UHDRS '99 Independence Scale x HADS '99 Independence Scale x HADS '99 Independence Scale x HADS x SIS x Columbia Suicide Severity x Rating Scale (CSSR) Symbol Digit Modalities Test x Stroop Word Reading x Categorical Verbal Fluency x Stroop Color Naming x Stroop Interference x Trail Making A & B x Letter Verbal Fluency x Mini-Mental State Examination x (MMSE) Timed Up and Go (TUG) x 30-second Chair Stand Test x Short Form Health Survey-12 x (SF-12) Companion Quality of Life x Questionnaire Client Services Receipt x Inventory (CSRI) Work Productivity and Activity x Impairment-Specific Health Problem Questionnaire (WPAI-SHP) Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available) Family history X Biospecimens for biobanking X	Sociodemographic information	х		
Medical historyxComorbid conditionsxCurrent therapiesxPharmacotherapyxNutritional supplementsxNonpharmacological therapiesReportable event monitoringxUHDRS '99 MotorxUHDRS '99 Total FunctionalxCapacityuHDRS '99 Function AssessmentUHDRS '99 Independence ScalexPBA-sxHADSxSISxColumbia Suicide SeverityxRating Scale (CSSR)Symbol Digit Modalities TestxStroop Color NamingxStroop Color NamingxStroop Color NamingxStroop Color NamingxShort Form Health Survey-12xMini-Mental State Examinationx(GSF-12)Companion Quality of LifeCompanion Quality of LifexQuestionnairexClient Services ReceiptxInventory (CSRI)Work Productivity and ActivityxImpairment-Specific HealthProblem Questionnaire(WPAI-SHP)Research genotyping (conducted xat the first visit for all newsubjects from previousstudies for whom a researchgenotype is not available)Family historyXBiospecimens for biobanking	HD Clinical Characteristics (HDCC)	х		
Common therapies x Pharmacotherapy Nutritional supplements Nonpharmacological therapies Reportable event monitoring x UHDRS '99 Motor x UHDRS '99 Total Functional x Capacity UHDRS '99 Function Assessment x Scale UHDRS '99 Independence Scale x PBA-s x HADS x SIS x Columbia Suicide Severity x Rating Scale (CSSR) Symbol Digit Modalities Test x Stroop Color Naming x Stroop Color Naming x Stroop Color Naming x Letter Verbal Fluency x Stroop Color Naming x Letter Verbal Fluency x Short Form Health Survey-12 x (SF-12) Companion Quality of Life x Questionnaire Client Services Receipt x Inventory (CSRI) Work Productivity and Activity x Impairment-Specific Health Problem Questionnaire (WPAI -SHP) Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available) Family history X Biospecimens for biobanking X	Medical history	х		
Current charterXPharmacotherapy Nutritional supplements Nonpharmacological therapiesReportable event monitoring xWHDRS '99 MotorXUHDRS '99 Diagnostic Confidence xIndexUHDRS '99 Total Functional x CapacityUHDRS '99 Function Assessment ScaleUHDRS '99 Independence Scale XPBA-sKating Scale (CSSR)Symbol Digit Modalities Test X Stroop Color Naming Stroop InterferenceXTrail Making A & B X Short Form Health Survey-12 (SF-12)Companion Quality of Life Questionnaire (WPAI-SHP)Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available)Family historyXBiospecimens for biobankingX	Current therapies	x		
Nutritional supplements Nonpharmacological therapies Reportable event monitoring x UHDRS '99 Motor x UHDRS '99 Diagnostic Confidence X Index UHDRS '99 Total Functional x Capacity UHDRS '99 Total Functional x Scale UHDRS '99 Independence Scale x PBA-s X HADS x SIS x Columbia Suicide Severity X Rating Scale (CSSR) Symbol Digit Modalities Test x Stroop Word Reading x Categorical Verbal Fluency X Stroop Color Naming x Stroop Color Naming x Stroop Interference X Trail Making A & B x Letter Verbal Fluency X Mini-Mental State Examination X (MMSE) Timed Up and Go (TUG) X 30-second Chair Stand Test X Short Form Health Survey-12 X (SF-12) Companion Quality of Life X Questionnaire Client Services Receipt X Inventory (CSRI) Work Productivity and Activity X Impairment-Specific Health Problem Questionnaire (MPAT-SHP) Research genotyping (conducted X at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available) Family history X Biospecimens for biobanking X	Pharmacotherapy	^		
Nonpharmacological therapiesReportable event monitoringxUHDRS '99 MotorxUHDRS '99 Diagnostic ConfidencexIndexxUHDRS '99 Total FunctionalxCapacityyUHDRS '99 Function AssessmentxScalexUHDRS '99 Independence ScalexPBA-sxHADSxSISxColumbia Suicide SeverityxRating Scale (CSSR)Symbol Digit Modalities TestxStroop Word ReadingxStroop Color NamingxStroop InterferencexTrail Making A & BxLetter Verbal FluencyxMini-Mental State Examinationx(MMSE)yTimed Up and Go (TUG)x30-second Chair Stand TestxShort Form Health Survey-12x(SF-12)Companion Quality of LifeCompanion Quality of LifexQuestionnaireyClient Services ReceiptxInventory (CSRI)xWork Productivity and ActivityxImpairment-Specific HealthProblem Questionnaire(WPAI-SHP)Research genotyping (conducted xat the first visit for all newsubjects to the study or forsubjects for whom a researchgenotype is not available)Family historyXBiospecimens for biobankingX	Nutritional supplements			
Reportable event monitoringxUHDRS '99 Diagnostic ConfidencexUHDRS '99 Diagnostic ConfidencexIndexxUHDRS '99 Total FunctionalxCapacityxUHDRS '99 Function AssessmentxScalexUHDRS '99 Independence ScalexPBA-sxHADSxSISxColumbia Suicide SeverityxRating Scale (CSSR)Symbol Digit Modalities TestxStroop Word ReadingxStroop Color NamingxStroop InterferencexTrail Making A & BxLetter Verbal FluencyxMini-Mental State ExaminationxShort Form Health Survey-12xShort Form Health Survey-12x(SF-12)Companion Quality of LifeCompanion Quality of LifexQuestionnairexClient Services ReceiptxInventory (CSRI)xWork Productivity and ActivityxImpairment-Specific Healthproblem Questionnaire(WPAI-SHP)Research genotyping (conducted xat the first visit for all newsubjects to the study or forsubjects for whom a researchgenotype is not available)Family historyXBiospecimens for biobankingX	Nonpharmacological therapies			
UHDRS '99 Diagnostic ConfidencexUHDRS '99 Diagnostic ConfidencexIndexxUHDRS '99 Total FunctionalxCapacityxUHDRS '99 Independence ScalexPBA-sxHADSxSISxColumbia Suicide SeverityxRating Scale (CSSR)Symbol Digit Modalities TestxStroop Word ReadingxCategorical Verbal FluencyxStroop Color NamingxStroop Color NamingxStroop Color NamingxMini-Mental State Examinationx(MMSE)Timed Up and Go (TUG)Timed Up and Go (TUG)x30-second Chair Stand TestxShort Form Health Survey-12x(SF-12)Companion Quality of LifeCuestionnairexClient Services ReceiptxInventory (CSRI)work Productivity and ActivityWork Productivity and ActivityxImpairment-Specific HealthProblem Questionnaire(WPAI-SHP)Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects from previousstudies for whom a research genotype is not available)XFamily historyX	Reportable event monitoring	х		
UNDRS '99 Total FunctionalxIndexCapacityUHDRS '99 Function AssessmentxScaleXUHDRS '99 Independence ScalexPBA-sxHADSXSISXColumbia Suicide SeverityXRating Scale (CSSR)SSymbol Digit Modalities TestXStroop Word ReadingXCategorical Verbal FluencyXStroop Color NamingXStroop InterferenceXTrail Making A & BXLetter Verbal FluencyXMini-Mental State ExaminationX(MMSE)Timed Up and Go (TUG)XJa0-second Chair Stand TestXShort Form Health Survey-12X(SF-12)Companion Quality of LifeXQuestionnaireClient Services ReceiptXInventory (CSRI)Work Productivity and ActivityXImpairment-Specific HealthProblem Questionnaire(WPAI-SHP)Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available)X	UHDRS '99 Motor	x		
UHDRS '99 Function AssessmentxCapacityUHDRS '99 Function AssessmentxScaleUHDRS '99 Independence ScalexPBA-sxHADSxSISxColumbia Suicide SeverityxRating Scale (CSSR)xSymbol Digit Modalities TestxStroop Word ReadingxStroop Color NamingxStroop Color NamingxStroop InterferencexTrail Making A & BxLetter Verbal FluencyxMini-Mental State Examinationx(MMSE)Timed Up and Go (TUG)x30-second Chair Stand TestxShort Form Health Survey-12x(SF-12)Companion Quality of LifexCompanion Quality of LifexInventory (CSRI)xWork Productivity and ActivityxImpairment-Specific Healthroblem Questionnaire(WPAI-SHP)Research genotyping (conducted xat the first visit for all newsubjects from previousstudies for whom a researchgenotype is not available)Family historyXBiospecimens for biobankingX	Index	х		
UHDRS '99 Function AssessmentxScaleWHDRS '99 Independence ScalexPBA-sxHADSxSISXColumbia Suicide SeverityxRating Scale (CSSR)xSymbol Digit Modalities TestxStroop Word ReadingxCategorical Verbal FluencyxStroop InterferencexTrail Making A & BxLetter Verbal FluencyxMini-Mental State Examinationx(MMSE)xTimed Up and Go (TUG)x30-second Chair Stand TestxShort Form Health Survey-12x(SF-12)Companion Quality of LifeCuestionnairexQuestionnairexInventory (CSRI)xWork Productivity and ActivityxImpairment-Specific HealthxProblem Questionnairex(WPAI-SHP)xResearch genotyping (conducted xxat the first visit for all newsubjects for mpreviousstudies for whom a researchgenotype is not available)Family historyXBiospecimens for biobankingX	Capacity	х		
UHDRS '99 Independence ScalexPBA-sxHADSxSISxColumbia Suicide SeverityxRating Scale (CSSR)Symbol Digit Modalities TestxStroop Word ReadingxCategorical Verbal FluencyxStroop Color NamingxStroop InterferencexTrail Making A & BxLetter Verbal FluencyxMini-Mental State Examinationx(MMSE)xTimed Up and Go (TUG)x30-second Chair Stand TestxShort Form Health Survey-12x(SF-12)Companion Quality of LifeCompanion Quality of LifexInventory (CSRI)xWork Productivity and ActivityxImpairment-Specific Healthproblem Questionnaire(WPAI-SHP)Research genotyping (conducted xat the first visit for all newsubjects to the study or forsubjects for m previousstudies for whom a researchgenotype is not available)Family historyFamily historyX	Scale	х		
HADS x HADS x SIS x Columbia Suicide Severity x Rating Scale (CSSR) Symbol Digit Modalities Test x Stroop Word Reading x Categorical Verbal Fluency x Stroop Color Naming x Stroop Interference x Trail Making A & B x Letter Verbal Fluency x Mini-Mental State Examination x (MMSE) Timed Up and Go (TUG) x 30-second Chair Stand Test x Short Form Health Survey-12 x (SF-12) Companion Quality of Life x Questionnaire Client Services Receipt x Inventory (CSRI) Work Productivity and Activity x Impairment-Specific Health Problem Questionnaire (WPAI-SHP) Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available) Family history X Biospecimens for biobanking X	UHDRS '99 Independence Scale	x		
SITSxColumbia Suicide SeverityxRating Scale (CSSR)Symbol Digit Modalities TestxStroop Word ReadingxCategorical Verbal FluencyxStroop Color NamingxStroop InterferencexTrail Making A & BxLetter Verbal FluencyxMini-Mental State Examinationx(MMSE)xTimed Up and Go (TUG)x30-second Chair Stand TestxShort Form Health Survey-12x(SF-12)Companion Quality of LifeCompanion Quality of LifexInventory (CSRI)xWork Productivity and ActivityxImpairment-Specific HealthrProblem Questionnairex(WPAI-SHP)Research genotyping (conducted xat the first visit for all newxsubjects to the study or forsubjects from previousstudies for whom a researchgenotype is not available)Family historyX	HADS	^	x	
Columbia Suicide SeverityxRating Scale (CSSR)Symbol Digit Modalities TestStroop Word ReadingxCategorical Verbal FluencyxStroop Color NamingxStroop InterferencexTrail Making A & BLetter Verbal FluencyxMini-Mental State Examinationx(MMSE)Timed Up and Go (TUG)xShort Form Health Survey-12x(SF-12)Companion Quality of LifexQuestionnaireClient Services ReceiptxInventory (CSRI)Work Productivity and ActivityxImpairment-Specific HealthProblem Questionnaire(WPAI-SHP)Research genotyping (conducted xat the first visit for all newsubjects to the study or forsubjects from previousstudies for whom a researchgenotype is not available)Family historyX	SIS		x	
Symbol Digit Modalities TestxStroop Word ReadingxCategorical Verbal FluencyxStroop Color NamingxStroop InterferencexTrail Making A & BxLetter Verbal FluencyxMini-Mental State Examinationx(MMSE)xTimed Up and Go (TUG)x30-second Chair Stand TestxShort Form Health Survey-12x(SF-12)Companion Quality of LifexQuestionnairexClient Services ReceiptxInventory (CSRI)xWork Productivity and ActivityxImpairment-Specific HealthrProblem Questionnairex(WPAI-SHP)Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available)XFamily historyXBiospecimens for biobankingX	Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (CSSR)		х	
Stroop Word ReadingxCategorical Verbal FluencyxStroop Color NamingxStroop InterferencexTrail Making A & BxLetter Verbal FluencyxMini-Mental State Examinationx(MMSE)xTimed Up and Go (TUG)x30-second Chair Stand TestxShort Form Health Survey-12x(SF-12)Companion Quality of LifexQuestionnairexClient Services ReceiptxInventory (CSRI)xWork Productivity and ActivityxImpairment-Specific HealthyProblem Questionnairex(WPAI-SHP)Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available)XFamily historyXBiospecimens for biobankingX	Symbol Digit Modalities Test	х		
Categorical Verbal FidencyxStroop Color NamingxStroop InterferencexTrail Making A & BxLetter Verbal FluencyxMini-Mental State Examinationx(MMSE)xTimed Up and Go (TUG)x30-second Chair Stand TestxShort Form Health Survey-12x(SF-12)Companion Quality of LifexQuestionnaireClient Services ReceiptxInventory (CSRI)Work Productivity and ActivityxImpairment-Specific HealthProblem Questionnaire(WPAI-SHP)Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available)XBiospecimens for biobankingX	Stroop Word Reading	X		
Stroop InterferencexTrail Making A & BxLetter Verbal FluencyxMini-Mental State Examinationx(MMSE)xTimed Up and Go (TUG)x30-second Chair Stand TestxShort Form Health Survey-12x(SF-12)Companion Quality of LifexQuestionnaireXClient Services ReceiptxInventory (CSRI)Work Productivity and ActivityxMore Problem Questionnaire(WPAI-SHP)Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available)XBiospecimens for biobankingX	Stroop Color Naming	x		
Trail Making A & BxLetter Verbal FluencyxMini-Mental State Examinationx(MMSE)Timed Up and Go (TUG)x30-second Chair Stand TestxShort Form Health Survey-12x(SF-12)Companion Quality of LifexQuestionnaireClient Services ReceiptxInventory (CSRI)Work Productivity and ActivityxImpairment-Specific HealthProblem Questionnaire(WPAI-SHP)Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available)XFamily historyXBiospecimens for biobankingX	Stroop Interference	~	х	
Letter Verbal FluencyxMini-Mental State Examinationx(MMSE)xTimed Up and Go (TUG)x30-second Chair Stand TestxShort Form Health Survey-12x(SF-12)Companion Quality of LifexQuestionnairexClient Services ReceiptxInventory (CSRI)xWork Productivity and ActivityxImpairment-Specific Healthresearch genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available)XFamily historyXBiospecimens for biobankingX	Trail Making A & B		х	
Mini-Mental State Examinationx(MMSE)Timed Up and Go (TUG)x30-second Chair Stand TestxShort Form Health Survey-12x(SF-12)Companion Quality of LifexQuestionnaireXClient Services ReceiptxInventory (CSRI)xWork Productivity and ActivityxImpairment-Specific HealthProblem Questionnaire(WPAI-SHP)Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available)XFamily historyXBiospecimens for biobankingX	Letter Verbal Fluency		х	
<pre>(MMSE) Timed Up and Go (TUG) x 30-second Chair Stand Test x Short Form Health Survey-12 x (SF-12) Companion Quality of Life x Questionnaire Client Services Receipt x Inventory (CSRI) Work Productivity and Activity x Impairment-Specific Health Problem Questionnaire (WPAI-SHP) Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available) Family history X Biospecimens for biobanking X</pre>	Mini–Mental State Examination		х	
Immed Up and Go (TUG)x30-second Chair Stand TestxShort Form Health Survey-12x(SF-12)Companion Quality of LifexQuestionnairexClient Services ReceiptxInventory (CSRI)xWork Productivity and ActivityxImpairment-Specific HealthProblem Questionnaire(WPAI-SHP)Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available)Family historyXBiospecimens for biobankingX	(MMSE)			
Short Form Health Survey-12 x (SF-12) Companion Quality of Life x Questionnaire Client Services Receipt x Inventory (CSRI) Work Productivity and Activity x Impairment-Specific Health Problem Questionnaire (WPAI-SHP) Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available) Family history X Biospecimens for biobanking X	11mea up and Go (10G)		X	
(SF-12)Companion Quality of LifeQuestionnaireClient Services ReceiptxInventory (CSRI)Work Productivity and ActivityXImpairment-Specific HealthProblem Questionnaire(WPAI-SHP)Research genotyping (conductedxat the first visit for all newsubjects to the study or forsubjects from previousstudies for whom a researchgenotype is not available)Family historyXBiospecimens for biobankingX	Short Form Health Survey-12		x	
Companion Quality of LifexQuestionnaireXQuestionnaireXClient Services ReceiptxInventory (CSRI)XWork Productivity and ActivityXImpairment-Specific HealthYProblem Questionnaire(WPAI-SHP)Research genotyping (conductedxat the first visit for all newsubjects to the study or forsubjects from previousstudies for whom a researchgenotype is not available)Family historyXBiospecimens for biobankingX	(SF-12)			
Client Services Receipt x Inventory (CSRI) Work Productivity and Activity x Impairment-Specific Health Problem Questionnaire (WPAI-SHP) Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available) Family history X Biospecimens for biobanking X	Companion Quality of Life Questionnaire		х	
Work Productivity and Activity x Impairment-Specific Health Problem Questionnaire (WPAI-SHP) Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects for mprevious studies for whom a research genotype is not available) Family history X Biospecimens for biobanking	Client Services Receipt Inventory (CSRI)		x	
Problem Questionnaire (WPAI-SHP) Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available) Family history X Biospecimens for biobanking X	Work Productivity and Activity Impairment-Specific Health		х	
Research genotyping (conducted x at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available) Family history X Biospecimens for biobanking X	Problem Questionnaire (WPAT-SHP)			
at the first visit for all new subjects to the study or for subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available) Family history X Biospecimens for biobanking X	Research genotyping (conducted	х		
subjects to the study or for subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available) Family history X Biospecimens for biobanking X	at the first visit for all new			
subjects from previous studies for whom a research genotype is not available) Family history X Biospecimens for biobanking X	subjects to the study or for			
genotype is not available) Family history X Biospecimens for biobanking X	subjects from previous			
Family historyXBiospecimens for biobankingX	genotype is not available)			
Biospecimens for biobanking X	Family history			х
	Biospecimens for biobanking			Х

results from the centralized laboratory (research CAG) and local diagnostic genetic testing. The system is structured to allow research genotyping of at-risk individuals while avoiding unintended disclosure of results to the participant and the research staff.

Data Analyses

This report details the results of the first preplanned data cut made on 1 January 2015. Results are presented for the 34 currently available variables. A slightly smaller database (with some aggregated data to reduce the risk of participant identification) is also publically available for research at www.enrollhd.org.

Baseline data were examined by participant category (HD manifest, HD premanifest, genotype negative, and family controls). In addition, participants were also categorized into two larger groups: HDGECs and controls.

Participants classified as having manifest HD were further divided into HD stages based on their recorded Unified Huntington's Disease Research Rating Scale Total Functional Capacity (TFC) score and according to the cut-off points proposed by Shoulson et al.⁸ In addition, HDGECs were categorized according to disease burden (an indirect measure of HD pathological processes),⁹ which was estimated using the following formula: disease burden = (allele 1 CAG – 35.5)*age in years.

The statistical analyses of this report are primarily descriptive. Data were analyzed according to participant category, HDGEC vs. control, and geographical region (North America, Europe, Latin America, and Australasia). Mean and standard deviation (mean \pm SD) measures were used to summarize continuous variables, and absolute and relative frequencies expressed as percentage (%) are presented for categorical information. Individual items of the Problem Behaviors Assessment-short form (PBA-s)¹⁰ were grouped into five domains: depression (depressed mood, suicidal ideation, and anxiety); irritability/aggression (angry or aggressive behavior); psychosis (delusions/paranoid thinking, hallucinations); and apathy and executive function (perseverative thinking or behavior, obsessive-compulsive behaviors). For descriptive purposes, missing data were not replaced and outlier analyses were not performed.

Post-hoc analyses were conducted to address specific research findings. A two-sample test for equality of proportions was used to validate regional differences concerning the usage of nonpharmacological therapy and nutritional supplements among premanifest HDGECs. A t test was conducted to test the statistical difference of CAG size for the smaller allele between HDGECs and controls. Spearman's correlation was used to assess the relationship between CAG lengths of the smaller and the larger alleles, as determined from the research genotyping. The significance level assumed for these comparisons was 0.05.

All data were analyzed at a central site in a deidentified manner¹¹; the statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 21; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL) and R software (version 3.0.3; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

category
r subject
be
information
genotyping
and
medication,
demographic,
Baseline
TABLE 2

216 MOVEMENT DISORDERS CLINICAL PRACTICE

-

-)) 					
Measure	HDGECs	Control	Manifest	Premanifest	Genotype	Family
	(N = 1, 0.7)	(N = 463)	(N = 739)	(N = 332)	Negative (N = 197)	Control $(N = 266)$
Demographic Information						
Age, years, mean \pm SD	$\textbf{49.3} \pm \textbf{13.6}$	$\textbf{50.1}\pm\textbf{14.4}$	$\texttt{53.2}\pm\texttt{12.5}$	$\textbf{40.5} \pm \textbf{11.8}$	$\textbf{44.7}\pm\textbf{14.4}$	$\textbf{54.1}\pm\textbf{13.0}$
Sex, males, n (%)	486 (45.4)	178 (38.4)	363 (49.1)	123 (37.0)	59 (29.9)	119 (44.7)
ISCED education level,						
(%) 0						
	25 (2.3)	6(1.3)	22 (3.0)	3 (0.9)	5 (2.6)	1 (0.4)
2	150 (14.0)	22 (4.8)	120 (16.3)	30 (9.0)	10 (5.1)	12 (4.5)
n	327 (30.6)	147 (31.8)	243 (32.9)	84 (25.3)	57 (29.1)	90 (33.8)
4	196 (18.3)	101 (21.9)	127 (17.2)	69 (20.8)	49 (25.0)	52 (19.5)
5	350 (32.7)	179 (38.7)	216 (29.3)	134 (40.4)	72 (36.7)	107 (40.2)
	22 (2.1)	7 (1.5)	10 (1.4)	12 (3.6)	3 (1.5)	4 (1.5)
Ethnicity, n (%)						
Caucasian	960 (89.7)	372 (80.3)	667 (90.3)	293 (88.5)	150 (76.1)	222 (83.5)
American black	16 (1.5)	11 (2.4)	13 (1.8)	3 (0.9)	4 (2.0)	7 (2.6)
Hispanic/Latino	21 (2.0)	20 (4.3)	14 (1.9)	7 (2.1)	7 (3.6)	13 (4.9)
Other	26 (2.4)	12 (2.6)	20 (2.7)	6 (1.8)	6 (3.0)	6 (2.3)
American Indian	18 (1.7)	28 (6.0)	11 (1.5)	7 (2.1)	21 (10.7)	7 (2.6)
Mixed	15 (1.4)	12 (2.6)	8(1.1)	7 (2.1)	9 (4.6)	3 (1.1)
Asian	14 (1.3)	8 (1.7)	6 (0.8)	8 (2.4)	0 (0.0)	8 (3.0)
Marital status, n (%)						
Single	245 (22.9)	64 (13.8)	143 (19.4)	102 (30.7)	55 (27.9)	9 (3.4)
Married	82 (7.7)	46 (9.9)	39 (5.3)	43 (13.0)	28 (14.2)	18(6.8)
Partnership	580 (54.2)	306 (66.1)	422 (57.2)	158 (47.6)	89 (45.2)	217 (81.6) - 21 (21.6)
Divorced	116 (10.8)	17 (3.7)	99 (13.4)	17 (5.1) 	12 (6.1)	5(1.9)
Widowed	23 (2.1)	28 (6.0)	18 (2.4)	5(1.5)	11 (5.6) 2 (1 (5.6)	17 (6.4) 6 / 6 . 6
Legally separated	74 (7.7)	2 (0.4)	1/(2.3)	/ (1.1)	2 (1.6)	(a.a) a
Empioyment, n (%)						
Full time	(23.8) (23.8)	(1.25) 142	(//AL) 6/	1/6 (53.6) 	100 (53.8)	(8.9c) CL
Part time	85 (7.9)	47 (10.2)	33 (4.5)	52(15.7)	22 (11.2)	25 (9.4)
Sett-emproyed	29 (2.1) 	29 (b.3)	12 (1.6)	1/ (J.1) (I.2)	13 (0.0)	16 (6.0)
NOT EMP <u>t</u> oyed RMT <i>bal</i> m	(< . < 9) 78/	(c.16) 04T	(7.68) CIO	8/ (20.2)	(4.82) dc	44 (33.8)
л, тол т П	1,050	459	722	328	197	262
Mean \pm SD	25.9 ± 5.6	$\textbf{29.0}\pm\textbf{6.3}$	$\texttt{25.6}\pm\texttt{5.5}$	26.7 ± 5.6	29.0 ± 7.0	$\texttt{28.9} \pm \texttt{5.8}$
Comorbidities/concomitant therap	pies					
Percent with comorbidity,	954 (89)	366 (79)	677 (92)	277 (83)	145 (74)	221 (83)
n (%)						
Percent taking nutritional	489 (45.7)	216 (46.7)	340 (46.0)	149 (44.9)	87 (44.2)	129 (48.5)
supplements, n (%)	(, 0, 00	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(0 06/ 166	(1 10) 10	(1 10) 01	(7 OC) 33
rerteurusing nonnharmacological	(7.07) 700	(7.77) CAT	(6.62) 177	OI (24.4)	40 (24.4/	(1.02) 66
therapies, n (%)						
Percent on concomitant	883 (83.4)	315 (68.0)	666 (90.1)	227 (68.4)	124 (62.9)	191 (71.8)
pharmacotherapy, n (%)						

TABLE 2 (Continued)					
Measure	HDGECs (N = 1,071)	Control (N = 463)	Manifest (N = 739)	Premanifest (N = 332)	σže
CAG research genotyping Smaller allele CAG repeat length					
ц	1,071	463	739	332	÷
Mean \pm SD	$\textbf{18.5}\pm\textbf{3.5}$	$\textbf{16.9}\pm\textbf{2.1}$	$\textbf{18.5}\pm\textbf{3.6}$	$\textbf{18.3}\pm\textbf{3.1}$	ĥ
Median (range)	17.0(9.0;42.0)	17.0(9.0; 24.0)	17.0(9.0;42.0)	18.0 (9.0; 32.0)	H
Larger allele CAG repeat length					
с С	1,071	463	739	332	Ĥ
Mean \pm SD	43.5 ± 3.5	$\textbf{19.8} \pm \textbf{3.4}$	$\textbf{44.0}\pm\textbf{3.8}$	$\textbf{42.5}\pm\textbf{2.7}$	÷
Median (range)	43.0 (36.0; 71.0)	19.0 (12.0; 35.0)	43.0 (36.0; 71.0)	42.0 (37.0; 51.0)	Ä
ISCED = International Standard Cl	assification of Education (199	17 version); 0, preprimary;	1, primary; 2, lower second	ary; 3, upper secondary; 4	bö

Results Participants

stage

stage tertiary, 6 2nd

1st 34.0)

ŝ

stsecondary, (12.0; ± 3.3

(15.0; 35.0)

19.0 (

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{266} \\ \textbf{19.8} \pm \textbf{3.5} \end{array}$

0.

17.0 (9.0; 24.0)

 (± 2.2)

0 0

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{266} \\ \textbf{17.0} \pm \textbf{2.0} \end{array}$

A total of 1,534 participants recruited from 61 centers (10 countries) between July 2012 and November 2014 are included in this report. Baseline demographic, medical, genotyping, and clinical information is provided in Tables 2, 3, and 4. A total of 1,276 (83.2%) participants agreed to provide information on their family history of HD and 1,322 (86.2%) were enrolled with consent to link clinical information from previous HD studies. The vast majority of participants also provided samples for biobanking (n = 1,502; 97.9%) and agreed to be contacted regarding future research studies (n = 1,501; 97.8%). Optional extended assessments were dependent on site capabilities and were performed with an average completion rate >50%. At enrollment, 734 (48%) participants were classified as HD manifest, 262 (17%) as HD premanifest, 81 (5%) as genotype negative, 191 (13%) as genotype unknown, and 266 (17%) as family controls. Of those initially classified as genotype unknown, 116 (61%) were reclassified as genotype negative, 70 (37%) as premanifest HD, and 5 (2%) as manifest HD. The large majority (88%) of genotype unknown participants were from North American sites. The final breakdown of participant categories, including regional distribution and stages of manifest participants, is shown in Figure 2.

During the data collection period, retention was high; only 42 (2.7%) participants withdrew from the study (manifest, n = 23; premanifest, n = 3; genotype negative: n = 4; family control: n = 12). The main reasons for premature discontinuation were participant's request (62%) and lost to follow-up (24%).

Concomitant Therapies

The majority of participants reported having comorbidities and most were taking concomitant therapies and/or supplements (Table 2). In general, HD manifest participants used more pharmacotherapy (90.1%) than all other groups (63%-72%), but there was very similar usage of nonpharmacotherapy (21%-30%) and nutritional supplements (44%-49%) among all participant categories, including controls. Analysis by region (North America, Europe, and Australasia) revealed differences in overall usage of nutritional supplements (54%, 30%, and 37%, respectively). In particular, regional analysis of premanifest HDGECs shows that fewer European premanifest participants received nonpharmacological therapy (8% vs. 30%; P < 0.001) and nutritional supplements (30% vs. 50%; P < 0.001) than their North American counterparts.

Genotyping

ertiary.

Per definition, the mean \pm SD CAG size for the larger allele was higher in HDGECs versus controls (43.5 \pm 3.5 vs. 19.8 ± 3.4 ; Table 2). Interestingly, the mean and range of CAG sizes for the smaller allele was also higher in HDGECs than controls (mean \pm SD: 18.5 \pm 3.45 vs. 16.9 \pm 2.1,

Family Control (N = 266)

egative 197) notype

TABLE 3 Baseline clinical	information per subject cate	egory (core assessments)				
Measure	HDGECs (N = 1,071)	Control (N = 463)	Manifest (N = 739)	Premanifest (N = 332)	Genotype Negative (N = 197)	Family Control (N = 266)
Core clinical assessm e. UHDRS Motor scale	nts					
Ľ	1,069	463	737	332	197	266
Mean \pm SD	26.9 ± 22.3	$\textbf{1.9} \pm \textbf{3.5}$	$\textbf{37.4}\pm\textbf{19.0}$	$\textbf{3.5}\pm\textbf{4.4}$	$\textbf{2.3} \pm \textbf{4.2}$	$\textbf{1.6}\pm\textbf{2.9}$
Median (range)	25.0 (0.0; 97.0)	0.0 (0.0; 28.0)	35.0 (3.0; 97.0)	2.0 (0.0; 32.0)	1.0 (0.0; 28.0)	0.0 (0.0; 17.0)
	7 7	()			100	
				332 40 0 - 0 0		200 200 - 0 2
Median (range)	4.5 ± 3.6 11.0 (0.0: 13.0)	12.8 ± 0.7 13.0 (5.0: 13.0)	8.0 ± 3.5 (0.0:13.0)	12.8 ± 0.8 13.0 (5.0: 13.0)	12.8 ± 1.0 13.0 (5.0: 13.0)	12.9 ± 0.4 13.0 (9.0: 13.0)
UHDRS FAS						
c	1,051	463	723	328	197	266
Mean \pm SD	20.2 ± 6.2	$\texttt{24.9}\pm\texttt{0.8}$	$\textbf{18.2}\pm\textbf{6.4}$	$\texttt{24.8}\pm\texttt{0.8}$	$\texttt{24.8}\pm\texttt{1.1}$	$\texttt{24.9}\pm\texttt{0.5}$
Median (range)	23.0 (0.0; 25.0)	25.0 (14.0; 25.0)	20.0 (0.0; 25.0)	25.0 (17.0; 25.0)	25.0 (14.0; 25.0)	25.0 (20.0; 25.0)
UHDRS Independence						
ц	1,071	463	739	322	197	266
Mean \pm SD	84.3 ± 17.7	$\textbf{99.6}\pm\textbf{2.8}$	77.5 ± 17.4	$\textbf{99.4}\pm\textbf{2.9}$	$\texttt{99.3} \pm \texttt{4.1}$	$\textbf{99.8}\pm\textbf{1.2}$
Median (range)	90.0 (15.0; 100)	100.0 (55.0; 100)	80.0 (15.0; 100.0)	100.0 (70.0; 100)	100.0 (55.0; 100)	100.0 (90.0; 100)
PBA-s depression score						
۲	1,061	463	730	331	197	266
Mean \pm SD	$\textbf{4.3}\pm\textbf{6.1}$	2.7 ± 4.7	$\textbf{4.5}\pm \textbf{5.9}$	$\textbf{3.9}\pm\textbf{6.4}$	$\textbf{2.7}\pm\textbf{5.2}$	$\texttt{2.7}\pm\texttt{4.2}$
Median (range)	2.0 (0.0; 48.0)	0.0 (0.0; 30.0)	2.0(0.0;38.0)	1.0 (0.0; 48.0)	0.0 (0.0; 30.0)	1.0 (0.0; 24.0)
PBA-s irritability sco	re					
2	1,067	463	735	332	197	266
Mean \pm SD	$\textbf{2.5} \pm \textbf{4.2}$	1.1 ± 2.6	$\textbf{2.7} \pm \textbf{4.4}$	$\textbf{1.9}\pm\textbf{3.7}$	$\textbf{1.2}\pm\textbf{3.0}$	1.1 ± 2.2
Median (range)	0.0(0.0; 28.0)	0.0 (0.0; 21.0)	1.0(0.0; 25.0)	0.0(0.0; 28.0)	0.0 (0.0; 21.0)	0.0 (0.0; 15.0)
PBA-s psychosis score						
г	1,064	463	733	331	197	266
Mean \pm SD	0.2 ± 1.2	0.1 ± 1.2	0.2 ± 1.4	0.0 ± 0.4	0.2 ± 1.8	$\textbf{0.0}\pm\textbf{0.6}$
Median (range)	0.0 (0.0; 18.0)	0.0 (0.0; 18.0)	0.0 (0.0; 18.0)	0.0 (0.0; 4.0)	0.0 (0.0; 18.0)	0.0 (0.0; 9.0)
	1 061	631	CC7	CCC	107	366
		2 F + 7 0	2 V + V C		ол + 1 – 1	2004 2 4 4 2 6
Median (range)	2.7 ± 7.2 0 0 (0 0.16 0)	a a (a a· 12 a)	1 8 (8 8 16 8)	a a (a a· 16 a)	a a (a a·12 a)	a a (a a· 12 a)
PBA-s executive function	on score					
c	1,064	463	732	332	197	266
Mean \pm SD	$\textbf{2.4}\pm\textbf{4.4}$	$\textbf{0.5}\pm\textbf{2.4}$	$\textbf{3.0} \pm \textbf{4.8}$	1.1 ± 3.0	$\textbf{0.6}\pm\textbf{2.6}$	$\textbf{0.4}\pm \textbf{2.3}$
Median (range)	0.0(0.0; 32.0)	0.0 (0.0; 25.0)	0.0(0.0;32.0)	0.0(0.0;32.0)	0.0 (0.0; 25.0)	0.0 (0.0; 24.0)
Symbol Digit Modalitie	s Test (total correct)					
2	1,027	459	696	331	195	264
Mean \pm SD	$\textbf{32.4}\pm\textbf{17.5}$	$\textbf{50.7}\pm\textbf{12.4}$	$\texttt{24.0} \pm \texttt{12.7}$	$\textbf{50.0} \pm \textbf{12.1}$	$\texttt{53.2}\pm\texttt{12.6}$	$\textbf{48.9} \pm \textbf{12.0}$
Median (range)	30.0 (0.0; 90.0)	51.0 (0.0; 91.0)	23.0 (0.0; 90.0)	51.0 (10.0; 84.0)	54.0 (0.0; 91.0)	50.0 (1.0; 88.0)

RESEARCH ARTICLE

			DTL	
RES	FARU	, H A	\mathbf{R} \mathbf{I}	ын
				~

TABLE 3 (Continued)						
Measure	HDGECs $(N = 1,071)$	Control (N = 463)	Manifest (N = 739)	Premanifest (N = 332)	Genotype Negative (N = 197)	Family Control (N = 266)
Stroop Color Naming ((total correct)					
с	1,049	457	717	332	193	264
Mean \pm SD	52.8 ± 22.6	$\textbf{75.6}\pm\textbf{15.7}$	$\textbf{42.8} \pm \textbf{18.2}$	$\textbf{74.3}\pm\textbf{14.6}$	$\textbf{77.5}\pm\textbf{18.0}$	$\textbf{74.2}\pm\textbf{13.6}$
Median (range)	52.0 (0.0; 130.0)	76.0(0.0;132.0)	43.0 (0.0; 130.0)	74.5 (34.0; 109.0)	80.0(0.0;132.0)	75.0 (45.0; 129.0)
Stroop Word Reading	(total correct)					
5	1,052	457	720	332	192	265
Mean \pm SD	67.6 ± 28.0	$\textbf{94.1}\pm\textbf{18.0}$	56.2 ± 23.9	$\textbf{92.4}\pm\textbf{18.7}$	$\textbf{95.8}\pm \textbf{20.6}$	$\textbf{92.9}\pm\textbf{15.9}$
Median (range)	67.0 (0.0; 199.0)	96.0 (0.0; 148.0)	56.0 (0.0; 199.0)	95.0 (6.0; 149.0)	100.0 (0.0; 148.0)	93.0 (37.0; 145.0)
Categorical Verbal F	luency test (total correct)					
c	1,049	460	720	329	195	265
Mean \pm SD	$\textbf{14.8}\pm\textbf{7.2}$	$\textbf{20.8} \pm \textbf{5.7}$	$\textbf{11.9}\pm\textbf{5.7}$	$\texttt{21.1}\pm\texttt{6.2}$	$\texttt{21.0} \pm \texttt{6.1}$	20.7 ± 5.3
Median (range)	14.0 (0.0; 40.0)	21.0 (3.0; 41.0)	11.0 (0.0; 32.0)	20.0 (3.0; 40.0)	21.0 (3.0; 41.0)	21.0 (8.0; 40.0)
III Peijiul = 00, SAUHI	tington's Disease Bating Scal	a (1000 viercion)				

respectively; P < 0.001). There was no correlation between the CAG sizes in small and large alleles in the HDGEC population (r = 0.056; P = 0.066), however a strong positive correlation was observed in the control population (r = 0.417; P < 0.001).

Regional analysis of the CAG sizes for the larger allele showed similar means across the four regions studied (Fig. 3). As anticipated, the mean \pm SD disease burden was higher in subjects with manifest HD (416.6 \pm 95.6) than in those with premanifest HD (266.9 \pm 85.7).

Clinical Assessments

As expected, mean \pm SD UHDRS Total Motor Scores (TMS) were considerably higher in manifest (37.4 \pm 19.0) than in premanifest HDGECs (3.5 \pm 4.4) and genotype negative or family controls (2.3 \pm 4.2 and 1.6 \pm 2.9, respectively; Table 3). UHDRS TFC, Functional Assessment Scale (FAS), and independence scores were generally similar for the premanifest and control groups, and there was noticeable overlap in range of scores obtained. Manifest participants had lower scores for all three of these functional outcomes.

Four of five PBA-s subscores (depression, irritability, apathy, and executive function) indicated numerical differences between controls and HDGECs (manifest > premanifest > controls). Similar patterns were observed with the combined Hospital Anxiety and Depression/Snaith Irritability Scale (HADS-SIS) anxiety, depression, and irritability subscores (Table 4). For the majority of core cognitive tests (Symbol Digit Modalities, Categorical Verbal Fluency, and Stroop Color Naming and Word Reading), there were marked differences between participants with manifest HD and all other groups.

Discussion

The Enroll-HD platform has been successfully launched and is beginning to yield important findings about current characteristics of HDGECs and their relatives/families (including controls) across four continents—North America, Europe, Australasia, and Latin America.

The first key aim of Enroll-HD is to expedite recruitment into clinical trials. The platform achieves this in several ways. First, the willingness (consent) of Enroll-HD participants to engage in all the research components (biosampling, collection of family history, and linkage of previous databases) is high (83%-98%). Second, the maintenance of a "live" secure database provides the necessary tools for the real-time rapid identification of participants that meet specific inclusion and exclusion criteria for a given study protocol (e.g., defined HD symptoms or stages, concomitant medications). The ability to recruit HD family members while protecting them from knowing their genetic status and maintaining security of their data (keeping genetic data undisclosed and private at an individual level) potentially broadens recruitment for future clinical trials, while enabling the ongoing study of the earliest stages of HD; a recent survey showed that people at risk of familial Alzheimer's disease

TABLE 4 Baseline clincial information per sub	oject category (extended	ł assessments)				
Measure	HDGECs $(N = 1,071)$	Control (N = 463)	Manifest (N = 739)	Premanifest (N = 332)	Genotype Negative (N = 197)	Family Control (N = 266)
Extended clinical assessments Stroop Interference (total correct)						
	929	425	626	303	180	245
Mean \pm SD	$\textbf{30.6} \pm \textbf{14.8}$	$\texttt{42.5} \pm \texttt{11.7}$	$\texttt{24.2} \pm \texttt{11.8}$	$\textbf{43.9} \pm \textbf{11.0}$	$\textbf{44.4} \pm \textbf{12.3}$	$\textbf{41.0} \pm \textbf{11.0}$
Median (range)	30.0 (0.0; 93.0)	42.0 (0.0; 107.0)	23.0 (0.0; 78.0)	44.0 (13.0; 93.0)	45.0(0.0;76.0)	41.0 (1.0; 107.0)
Trail Making test Part A (total correct)						
ц	799	408	519	280	179	229
Mean \pm SD	24.3 ± 3.9	25.0 ± 0.2	$\texttt{23.9} \pm \texttt{4.8}$	$\textbf{25.0}\pm\textbf{0.0}$	$\textbf{25.0}\pm\textbf{0.2}$	$\texttt{25.0}\pm\texttt{0.1}$
Median (range)	25.0 (0.0; 25.0)	25.0 (23.0; 27.0)	25.0(0.0; 25.0)	25.0 (25.0; 25.0)	25.0(23.0; 27.0)	25.0 (24.0; 25.0)
Trail Making test Part B (total correct)						
L	783	405	505	278	179	226
Mean \pm SD	22.5 ± 10.3	$\textbf{24.7}\pm\textbf{2.4}$	$\textbf{21.1} \pm \textbf{12.6}$	$\textbf{24.8} \pm \textbf{1.6}$	24.4 ± 3.5	$\texttt{24.9}\pm\texttt{0.5}$
MKedian (range)	25.0 (0.0; 240.0)	25.0 (0.0; 25.0)	25.0(0.0;240.0)	25.0 (7.0; 25.0)	25.0(0.0; 25.0)	25.0 (18.0; 25.0)
Letter Verbal Fluency test (total correc	it)					
Ц	841	401	568	273	175	226
Mean \pm SD	$\texttt{27.0}\pm\texttt{15.8}$	$\textbf{40.6}\pm\textbf{13.0}$	$\texttt{21.3} \pm \texttt{13.3}$	$\textbf{38.9} \pm \textbf{13.6}$	$\textbf{41.9} \pm \textbf{14.3}$	$\textbf{39.7}\pm\textbf{11.8}$
Median (range)	26.0 (0.0; 84.0)	39.0 (0.0; 77.0)	19.0 (0.0; 65.0)	38.0 (10.0; 84.0)	41.9 (0.0; 77.0)	38.5 (13.0; 70.0)
MMSE :						
Ц	668	352	437	231	157	195
Mean \pm SD	$\textbf{26.5}\pm\textbf{3.8}$	$\textbf{28.9} \pm \textbf{1.5}$	$\textbf{25.4}\pm\textbf{4.2}$	$\textbf{28.5}\pm\textbf{1.9}$	$\texttt{29.1}\pm\texttt{1.5}$	$\textbf{28.7}\pm\textbf{1.5}$
Median (range)	27.0 (0.0; 30.0)	29.0 (19.0; 30.0)	26.0 (0.0; 30.0)	29.0 (16.0; 30.0)	30.0 (19.0; 30.0)	29.0 (24.0; 30.0)
HADS depression score						
ц	672	341	442	228	157	184
Mean \pm SD	5.7 ± 3.9	$\textbf{4.8}\pm\textbf{3.4}$	6.3 ± 4.5	3.2 ± 3.3	$\textbf{2.6}\pm\textbf{3.0}$	3.1 ± 2.6
Median (range)	5.0 (0.0; 19.0)	4.0 (0.0; 16.0)	6.0 (0.0; 21.0)	3.0 (0.0; 20.0)	2.0 (0.0; 15.0)	3.0 (0.0; 14.0)
HADS anxiety score						
Ц	670	341	443	229	157	184
Mean \pm SD	$\textbf{5.2}\pm\textbf{4.4}$	$\textbf{2.9} \pm \textbf{2.8}$	$\textbf{5.8} \pm \textbf{4.1}$	5.5 ± 3.7	$\textbf{4.6}\pm\textbf{3.6}$	5.0 ± 3.3
Median (range)	4.0 (0.0; 21.0)	2.0 (0.0; 15.0)	6.0 (0.0; 19.0)	5.0 (0.0; 15.0)	4.0 (0.0; 16.0)	4.5 (0.0; 16.0)
SIS						
L	671	342	442	229	158	184
Mean \pm SD	$\textbf{5.7}\pm\textbf{4.4}$	$\textbf{4.0}\pm\textbf{3.3}$	$\textbf{6.0} \pm \textbf{4.5}$	$\texttt{5.3}\pm\texttt{4.2}$	3.9 ± 3.7	$\textbf{4.2}\pm\textbf{3.0}$
Median (range)	5.0 (0.0; 23.0)	3.0 (0.0; 21.0)	6.0 (0.0; 23.0)	4.0 (0.0; 23.0)	3.0 (0.0; 21.0)	4.0 (0.0; 15.0)



Figure 2 Frequencies of participant categories and HD stages: (A) overall population categories; (B) manifest population TFC stages; and (C) categories by geographical region. Participants in the enrollment category "genotype unknown" have been reclassified according to their research genotyping status.

that did not want to know their genetic status were ready to change opinion if given the opportunity to participate in a clinical trial.¹²

The second key aim of Enroll-HD is to improve understanding of HD, and the integrity of this first periodic data set demonstrates the efficiency of the platform in collecting highquality, clinically relevant data. For example, one of the challenges in assessing HD disability is to measure the impact of disease on behavior and mood. Although the PBA-s has been recommended by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke as a common data element for HD studies,¹³ until Enroll-HD the PBA-s had only been tested in smaller localized settings,^{10,14–16} and this is the first time it has been assessed across a broad diversity of cultures and languages. A more thorough analysis of the scale's clinimetric properties and its usefulness in HD is now possible.

Enroll-HD also enables the validation of hypotheses proposed by other studies. For example, it has been suggested that premanifest disease is better characterized by several epochs (far from diagnosis, intermediate, and close to diagnosis).¹⁷ That these epochs are not standardized highlights an important limitation in HD research, where the definition of the target population is critical. Validation of the proposed criteria to define the epochs of premanifest disease requires a second independent cohort of HDGECs. Although mean UHDRS TMS scores for premanifest participants are lower than in previously reported registry studies (3.5 in Enroll-HD vs. 5.5 in PREDICT-HD¹⁸ and 6.8–6.9 in COHORT¹⁹),



Figure 3 Distribution of CAG repeat lengths. Box-and-whisker plots showing distribution of CAG larger allele repeat length per region and HDGEC subject category. Circles represent values greater than $1.5 \times IQR$ (interquartile range); stars represent values greater than $3 \times IQR$.

the range for TMS in our study (0-32) is similar to that reported in PREDICT-HD (0-34),¹⁸ thereby confirming that the study includes premanifest participants at all stages of the disease process. Given that we can unequivocally identify unique participants in Enroll-HD that did not participate in previous studies used to generate such hypotheses, we are now in a good position to provide this validation sample within a short time frame.

Another area of current controversy is the influence of CAG repeat length on brain structure/function beyond the well-established relationship with the current clinical definition of disease onset. Although it is well established that longer CAG repeats on the larger allele correlate with earlier onset of HD symptoms,^{20,21} the contribution of the smaller allele is less certain. In HDGECs, the size of the smaller allele does not appear to influence disease onset,²² but studies in healthy controls have indicated that the CAG lengths of the two alleles is significantly correlated (albeit within normal limits).^{23,24} We also observed this phenomenon and further observed that the CAG length of the small allele is larger in HDGECs than controls. However, our data in HDGECs did not find any correlation between the length of CAG repeats in the two alleles, as observed in controls. The finding of a longer CAG length on both alleles is consistent with the idea that there may be a genetic susceptibility in certain individuals to higher repeat lengths in both alleles as a function of the properties of the molecular machinery involved in

expanding CAG repeat tracts.²⁵ With Enroll-HD, we can envision ways to further address this issue, for example, by genotyping individuals or subgroups to dissect these molecular mechanisms.

The third aim of Enroll-HD is to improve clinical care. One of the strategies to develop standards of care is to study current practices in different geographies and settings, define commonalities and systematic variations, and study their effect on standardized outcomes. Enroll-HD, with its expected 200 + sites and 19 + countries, is specifically designed to address such questions. Currently, the sample size in some regions is insufficient to allow clinically relevant assertions, but there are already indications of practice variations across regions. For example, the frequency of use of nonpharmacological treatments and nutritional supplements among premanifest participants in Europe is much lower than in North America (nonpharmacological treatments: 8% vs. 30%; nutritional supplements: 30% vs. 50%). Enroll-HD data on nutritional supplements may reflect overall use of these products in the local general population given that their use seems to be independent of genetic or disease status (supplements were used equally by all groups in both regions). A limitation of this first periodic data set is the current lack of community controls who would not be influenced by living in an HD household.

An additional limitation of this report is the predominance of North American (67%) and European (23%) participants in Enroll-HD, with much less data coming from Australasia and Latin America, reflecting the operational sequence of site entry into the platform. Furthermore, the present periodic data set provides mostly cross-sectional data of a relatively small sample size; future data sets will include a greater proportion of longitudinal data. Moreover, Enroll-HD incorporates many of the sites and participants from the previously reported COHORT¹⁹ and REGISTRY²⁶ studies, and the large majority of these participants have consented to integrate their legacy data into Enroll-HD (once the curation of these databases is complete). Therefore, future data sets from Enroll-HD will be larger (as recruitment grows), more balanced regionally (as all planned sites enter the study), and have greater depth (with longitudinal data from Enroll-HD or historical data from COHORT and REGISTRY).

In this report, we have used the first Enroll-HD periodic data set to illustrate how this platform is able to handle the demands of clinical research technologies and manage the huge rise in data complexity that is increasingly part of innovative clinical research. If a clinical research infrastructure, once created, can be leveraged and reused multiple times rather than discarded when each study or trial ends, the gains in clinician/researcher/ site staff engagement and experience, participant retention, and financial savings should be substantially advantageous to the research enterprise. These data sets will continue to be openly available to any interested researcher. In this way, we aim to direct as many minds as possible toward the terrible unmet medical need of HD and deliver therapeutics to affected families as soon as possible.

Author Roles

(1) Research Project: A. Conception, B. Organization, C. Execution; (2) Statistical Analysis: A. Design, B. Execution, C. Review and Critique; (3) Manuscript Preparation: A. Writing of the First Draft, B. Review and Critique.

G.B.L.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3B C.J.F.-A.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3A, 3B J.G.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3B N.G.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3B K.E.A.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3B F.C.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3B J.J.F.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3B T.A.M.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3B J.C.S.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3B C.S.: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3B

Acknowledgments

We thank all the people within the HD community who have contributed to Enroll-HD, especially the participants and their families. We greatly appreciate the oversight of the Enroll-HD Governance Committee members (Table S1) and the outstanding work of the clinical site contributors (Table S2).

Disclosures

Ethical Compliance Statement: We confirm that we have read the Journal's position on issues involved in ethical publication and affirm that this work is consistent with those guidelines.

Funding Sources and Conflicts of Interest: The CHDI Foundation funds the Enroll-HD study. Cristina Sampaio, Cheryl J. Fitzer-Attas, Joseph Giuliano, and G. Bernhard Landwehrmeyer have management functions within CHDI and were involved in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; as well as in the preparation, review, and approval of the manuscript. Karen E. Anderson, Francisco Cardoso, Joaquim J. Ferreira, Tiago Mestre, and Julie C. Stout are members of Enroll-HD Governance Committees. The CHDI Foundation provided funding for medical writing assistance (literature searching, preparation of tables, and collation of authors comments) from Anita Chadha-Patel, Ph.D. (ACP Clinical Communications Ltd) under direction of the authors. The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Financial Disclosures for previous 12 months: Karen Anderson has been a scientific advisor, North-American co-PI, and site PI for Teva, scientific advisor, global study PI and co-PI, and site PI for Auspex, a scientific consultant for Azevan, a scientific advisor for Prana, a scientific advisor and speaker for Lundbeck, and a site PI for Vaccinex. Francisco Cardoso has received honoraria for consultancy from Teva, UCB, and Zambon and for

speaking engagements from Boehringer-Ingelheim, MDS, Teva, UCB, and Zambon. Joaquim Ferreira has received grants from GlaxoSmithKline, Grunenthal, Fundação MSD (Portugal), Teva MSD, Allergan and Novartis. He received consultancy fees from GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, TEVA, Lundbeck, Solvay, Abbott, BIAL, Merck-Serono, Merz, Ipsen and Biogen. He is also employed by Centro Hospitalar Lisboa Norte and Faculdade de Medicina de Lisboa. Georg Bernhard Landwehrmeyer has provided consulting services, advisory board functions, clinical trial services and/or lectures for Affiris, AOP Orphan Pharmaceuticals AG, Desitin, GlaxoSmithKline, Hoffmann-La Roche, Ionis Pharma, Pfizer, Prana Biotechnology, Raptor Pharmaceuticals, and TEVA and has received research grant support from the CHDI Foundation, the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), the European Commission (EU-FP7). His study site Ulm has received compensation in the context of the observational REGISTRY-Study of European Huntington's Disease Network (EHDN). Tiago Mestre has received honoraria from Abbvie, Teva and Academy of Neurology. He received grant from PSG/PDF and received consulting fees from CHDI Foundation. Cristina Sampaio has received consultancy fees from Neuroderm, Neurotrope, and Nestle, and speaker fee honorarium from the Movement Disorder Society. Julie Stout has received funds from HSG for her role as Treasurer, an honorarium from Roche for serving on an advisory committee, and funds from Teva Pharmaceuticals, Ionis Pharmaceuticals, and Vaccinex Inc. through Stout Neuropsych Ptv Ltd.

References

- 1. Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Dementia Research and Care. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- Pringsheim T, Wiltshire K, Day L, Dykeman J, Steeves T, Jette N. The incidence and prevalence of Huntington's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Mov Disord* 2012;27:1083–1091.
- Kay C, Fisher E, Hayden MR. Epidemiology. In: Bates G, Tabrizi S, Jones L, eds. *Huntington's Disease*, 4th ed. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press; 2014:131–165.
- Genetic Modifiers of Huntington's Disease Consortium. Electronic address ghmhe, Genetic Modifiers of Huntington's Disease Ge MHDC. Identification of genetic factors that modify clinical onset of Huntington's disease. *Cell* 2015;162:516–526.
- 5. A worldwide observational study for Huntington's disease families. http://www.enroll-hd.org. Accessed January 2016.
- Losekoot M, van Belzen MJ, Seneca S, et al. EMQN/CMGS best practice guidelines for the molecular genetic testing of Huntington disease. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2013;21:480–486.
- U.S. Food and Drug Asministration (FDA). Guidance for industry: oversight of clinical investigations—a risk-based approach to monitoring. 2013. Available at: http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/Guidance ComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM269919.pdf. Accessed January 2016.
- Shoulson I. Huntington disease: functional capacities in patients treated with neuroleptic and antidepressant drugs. *Neurology* 1981;31:1333– 1335.
- Penney JB Jr, Vonsattel JP, MacDonald ME, Gusella JF, Myers RH. CAG repeat number governs the development rate of pathology in Huntington's disease. *Ann Neurol* 1997;41:689–692.
- Callaghan J, Stopford C, Arran N, et al. Reliability and factor structure of the Short Problem Behaviors Assessment for Huntington's disease (PBA-s) in the TRACK-HD and REGISTRY studies. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 2015;27:59–64.

- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights. HIPAA Administrative Simplification (45 CFR Parts 160, 162, and 164). Available at: http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/privacy/hipaa/administrative/combined/hipaa-simplification-201303.pdf. Accessed January 2016.
- Grill JD, Bateman RJ, Buckles V, et al. A survey of attitudes toward clinical trials and genetic disclosure in autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res Ther* 2015;7:50.
- National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS) Common Data Elements (CDEs) for Huntington's Disease. Available at: http://www.commondataelements.ninds.nih.gov/hd.aspx - tab=Data_ Standards. Accessed January 2016.
- Craufurd D, Thompson JC, Snowden JS. Behavioral changes in Huntington disease. *Neuropsychiatry Neuropsychol Behav Neurol* 2001;14:219– 226.
- Tabrizi SJ, Langbehn DR, Leavitt BR, et al. Biological and clinical manifestations of Huntington's disease in the longitudinal TRACK-HD study: cross-sectional analysis of baseline data. *Lancet Neurol* 2009;8:791– 801.
- Kingma EM, van Duijn E, Timman R, van der Mast RC, Roos RA. Behavioural problems in Huntington's disease using the Problem Behaviours Assessment. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2008;30:155–161.
- Zhang Y, Long JD, Mills JA, et al. Indexing disease progression at study entry with individuals at-risk for Huntington disease. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* 2011;156B:751–763.
- Paulsen JS, Langbehn DR, Stout JC, et al. Detection of Huntington's disease decades before diagnosis: the Predict-HD study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2008;79:874–880.
- Huntington Study Group. Characterization of a large group of individuals with Huntington disease and their relatives enrolled in the COHORT study. *PLoS ONE* 2012;7:e29522.
- Duyao M, Ambrose C, Myers R, et al. Trinucleotide repeat length instability and age of onset in Huntington's disease. *Nat Genet* 1993;4:387–392.

- Langbehn DR, Brinkman RR, Falush D, Paulsen JS, Hayden MR. International Huntington's Disease Collaborative Group. A new model for prediction of the age of onset and penetrance for Huntington's disease based on CAG length. *Clin Genet* 2004;65:267–277.
- Klempir J, Zidovska J, Stochl J, Ing VK, Uhrova T, Roth J. The number of CAG repeats within the normal allele does not influence the age of onset in Huntington's disease. *Mov Disord* 2011;26:125– 129.
- 23. Nopoulos P, Epping EA, Wassink T, Schlaggar BL, Perlmutter J. Correlation of CAG repeat length between the maternal and paternal allele of the Huntingtin gene: evidence for assortative mating. *Behav Brain Funct* 2011;7:45.
- 24. Muhlau M, Winkelmann J, Rujescu D, et al. Variation within the Huntington's disease gene influences normal brain structure. *PLoS ONE* 2012;7:e29809.
- Pinto R.M., Dragileva E, Kirby A, et al. Mismatch repair genes Mlh1 and Mlh3 modify CAG instability in Huntington's disease mice: genome-wide and candidate approaches. *PLoS Genet* 2013;9: e1003930.
- Orth M, Handley OJ, Schwenke C, et al. Observing Huntington's disease: the European Huntington's Disease Network's REGISTRY. *PLoS Curr* 2010;2:RRN1184.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article:

 Table S1. Enroll-HD Governance Committee members.

 Table S2. Clinical site contributors.