

Do Omega-3 Fatty Acids Cause Prostate Cancer?



by James J. DiNicolantonio, PharmD, Mark F. McCarty, BA, Carl J. Lavie, MD & James H. O'Keefe, MD

A more thorough overview of the pertinent literature suggests that increased omega-3 fatty acid consumption does not increase prostate cancer risk, and notably decreases prostate cancer mortality.



James J. DiNicolantonio, PharmD, is with the Mid America Heart Institute at Saint Luke's Hospital, Kansas City and Wegmans Pharmacy, Ithaca, NY. Mark F. McCarty, BA, is with NutriGuard Research Inc., Encinitas, CA. Carl J. Lavie, MD, is at John Ochsner Heart and Vascular Institute at the University of Queensland School of Medicine, New Orleans and Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Baton Rouge, LA. James H. O'Keefe, MD, (above) MSMA member since 2003, is with the Mid America Heart Institute at Saint Luke's Hospital and University of Missouri-Kansas City, Kansas City.
Contact: jjdinicol@gmail.com

There has been recent controversy as to whether fish oil increases the risk of prostate cancer. The concern stems from a paper published recently by Brasky and colleagues concluding that increased blood levels of long-chain omega-3 in plasma phospholipids are associated prospectively with an increased risk for total as well as high-grade prostate cancer.¹ They reached this conclusion based on their own retrospective analysis of serum samples and data derived from the SELECT trial, as well as a meta-analysis of previous pertinent prospective epidemiological studies. The authors speculate that this finding may reflect a pathogenic role for fish oil omega-3s in the induction of prostate cancer. Widespread publicity given to this speculation through the popular media has triggered both consternation and skepticism among the public.

It must be emphasized that this study cannot prove that fish oil causes prostate cancer, as it is observational; it was not a controlled trial in which patients were randomized to receive fish oil supplements or placebos. Furthermore, dietary consumption of fish or fish oil was not assessed in this study, so, even as epidemiology, it cannot establish an association between fish oil consumption per se and prostate cancer risk. Rather, it only establishes a correlation of prostate cancer risk with omega-3s

in plasma phospholipids. Whether this correlation reflects a pathogenic role for omega-3s is hypothetical, and there is considerable reason to believe that this hypothesis is incorrect. Conceivably, if their observation proves to be confirmable in subsequent research, the modest elevations of plasma phospholipid omega-3s observed in subjects who went on to develop prostate cancer may reflect some metabolic factor influencing omega-3 partitioning and/or oxidation that also influences prostate cancer induction.

It should be noted that the test used by Brasky and colleagues to assess "omega-3 status" – plasma phospholipid omega-3s – is not the most accurate way to assess long-term omega-3 dietary intake. Rather, the percentage of EPA + DHA in erythrocyte membranes as defined by Harris as the "omega-3 index,"² is more useful in this regard. The parameter measured by Brasky et al. is highly susceptible to day-to-day variation in omega-3 fatty acid intake, rendering it less than optimal as a surrogate for the characteristic omega-3 intakes or tissue levels of the subjects studied.

More importantly, a substantial number of epidemiological studies, both prospective and case-control, have previously examined the association between fish or fish oil ingestion and prostate cancer risk, and these findings clearly do not

SCIENTIFIC CORRESPONDENCE

incriminate long-chain omega-3 ingestion as factor in prostate cancer induction.³⁻⁹ A recent meta-analysis of these studies indicates that fish consumption has a null or slightly protective impact on prostate cancer incidence, but is strongly protective with respect to prostate cancer mortality.⁴ Moreover, the limited prospective epidemiology that has evaluated the association of fish oil supplementation with prostate cancer risk – including one study by Brasky’s own group – does not provide any grounds for concern in this regard.³ With respect to patients who already have prostate cancer, frequent fish consumption has been associated with improved survival.

Prior evidence has shown that patients with a higher mean baseline blood level of omega-3 fatty acids are at a lower risk of cardiac sudden death.¹⁰ Indeed, one study found that relatively high omega-3 blood levels (5.63% and 6.87%) were associated with a 72% and 81% lower risk of sudden death, respectively, compared to patients with a mean omega-3 blood level of 3.58%.¹⁰ Brasky and colleagues report a 43% increased risk of total prostate cancer with an omega-3 blood level > 5.31% vs. < 3.68% (hazard ratio [HR] 1.43, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.09-1.88, $p=0.007$).¹ Hence, even in the unlikely event that Brasky and colleagues are correct in their speculation that omega-3 increases prostate cancer risk, one would need to balance a 43% increased risk of prostate cancer associated with replete omega-3 status, against a 72% higher risk of sudden cardiac death associated with low omega-3 status.

If fish omega-3 did indeed boost prostate cancer risk, then populations with high omega-3 fatty acid intakes could be expected to have a relatively high risk for prostate cancer; this does not seem to be the case. The intake of fish in Japan is one of the highest in the world,¹¹⁻¹³ being around eight times more than that of American men,² and yet the age-adjusted mortality from prostate cancer in Japan was approximately seven times lower than that in the U.S. in the 1950s.¹⁴ Additionally, omega-3 fatty acid levels in red blood cells are approximately 8 to 10% in Japanese patients,¹⁵ around twice that of those in the combined cancer group (4.66%) and controls (4.48%) in the paper by Brasky and colleagues.¹ Thus, the mean omega-3 fatty acid blood levels in the Brasky study are rather low from the standpoint of cardiovascular (CV) protection, and only differed by 0.18% in cases vs. controls - a negligible difference from a clinical perspective.

The very high omega-3 intake of the traditionally-living Inuit, evoked as a likely reason for their low risk for CV disease, first brought omega-3 nutrition to popular

attention several decades ago. Less well known is the fact that the Inuit were also at very low risk for prostate cancer incidence and mortality.^{15, 16}

Japanese men have a two-fold higher serum level of omega-3-fatty acids than whites and Japanese Americans in the United States (U.S.),¹¹ and coronary heart disease in Japan is about half as common as in the U.S.¹⁷ Contributing to the evidence that a higher omega-3 intake is associated with a reduction in coronary heart disease is JELIS, a randomized controlled trial which showed that adding 1.8 grams of eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) daily as an adjunct to statin therapy in men with a relatively high baseline intake of omega-3s (Japanese patients) causes a significant reduction in the risk of major coronary heart disease events as well as stroke.^{18, 19} Unlike the study by Brasky and colleagues, this trial could prove causation, demonstrating that fish oil reduces the risk of CV disease. It would be a shame if patients stopped taking their fish oil supplements, owing to an unwarranted fear regarding prostate cancer, and subsequently experienced a CV event or sudden death.

A possible indication that Brasky’s paper is seriously flawed is that their data showed that the risk of prostate cancer decreased as the amount of pack-years of smoking increased.¹ Compared to non-smokers, there was a 3%, 13% (trend for reduction) and significant 19% lower risk for prostate cancer in those who smoked ≤ 12.5 pack-years, 12.5-25 pack-years and ≥ 25 pack-years, respectively (relative risk [RR] 0.97, 95% CI:0.84-1.11, $p=0.63$, RR 0.87, 95% CI:0.74-1.02, $p=0.09$, RR 0.81, 95% CI:0.69-0.95, $p = 0.01$). Moreover, those with diabetes had a 33% lower risk of developing prostate cancer compared to those who were not diabetic (RR 0.67, 95% CI:0.54-0.83, $p=0.0003$). However, a lower risk for prostate cancer in diabetics is, in fact, a well established phenomenon.²⁰

In conclusion, the Brasky paper only demonstrates an association between plasma phospholipid omega-3s and subsequent prostate cancer risk; it cannot prove that omega-3 fatty acids (and particularly fish oil) cause prostate cancer. A more thorough overview of the pertinent literature suggests that increased omega-3 fatty acid consumption does not increase prostate cancer risk, and notably decreases prostate cancer mortality – and most certainly decreases risk for sudden death and CV events.

References

1. Brasky TM, Darke AK, Song X, et al. Plasma Phospholipid Fatty Acids and Prostate Cancer Risk in the SELECT Trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* Jul 10 2013.
2. Harris WS, Von Schacky C. The Omega-3 Index: a new risk factor for death

from coronary heart disease? *Prev Med.* 2004;39(1):212-220.

3. Brasky TM, Kristal AR, Navarro SL, et al. Specialty supplements and prostate cancer risk in the Vitamins and Lifestyle (VITAL) cohort. *Nutr Cancer.* 2011;63(4):573-582.

4. Szymanski KM, Wheeler DC, Mucci LA. Fish consumption and prostate cancer risk: a review and meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2010;92(5): 1223-1233.

5. Fradet V, Cheng I, Casey G, Witte JS. Dietary omega-3 fatty acids, cyclooxygenase-2 genetic variation, and aggressive prostate cancer risk. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009;15(7):2559-2566.

6. Terry P, Lichtenstein P, Feychting M, Ahlbom A, Wolk A. Fatty fish consumption and risk of prostate cancer. *Lancet.* 2001;357(9270):1764-1766.

7. Leitzmann MF, Stampfer MJ, Michaud DS, et al. Dietary intake of n-3 and n-6 fatty acids and the risk of prostate cancer. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2004; 80(1):204-216.

8. Mina K, Fritschi L, Johnson KC. An inverse association between preserved fish and prostate cancer: results from a population-based case-control study in Canada. *Nutr Cancer.* 2008;60(2):222-226.

9. Epstein MM, Kasperzyk JL, Mucci LA, et al. Dietary fatty acid intake and prostate cancer survival in Orebro County, Sweden. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2012;176(3):240-252.

10. Albert CM, Campos H, Stampfer MJ, et al. Blood levels of long-chain n-3 fatty acids and the risk of sudden death. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;346(15): 1113-1118.

11. Sekikawa A, Curb JD, Ueshima H, et al. Marine-derived n-3 fatty acids and atherosclerosis in Japanese, Japanese-American, and white men: a cross-sectional study. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2008;52(6):417-424.

12. Sekikawa A, Ueshima H, Kadowaki T, et al. Less subclinical atherosclerosis in Japanese men in Japan than in White men in the United States in the post-World War II birth cohort. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2007;165(6): 617-624.

13. Zhang J, Sasaki S, Amano K, Kesteloot H. Fish consumption and mortality

from all causes, ischemic heart disease, and stroke: an ecological study. *Prev Med.* 1999;28(5):520-529.

14. Wynder EL, Fujita Y, Harris RE, Hirayama T, Hiyama T. Comparative epidemiology of cancer between the United States and Japan. A second look. *Cancer.* 1991;67(3):746-763.

15. Dewailly E, Mulvad G, Sloth Pedersen H, Hansen JC, Behrendt N, Hart Hansen JP. Inuit are protected against prostate cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2003;12(9):926-927.

16. Prener A, Storm HH, Nielsen NH. Cancer of the male genital tract in Circumpolar Inuit. *Acta Oncol.* 1996;35(5):589-593.


17. Sekikawa A, Kuller LH. Coronary heart disease mortality in the United States among black and white men 35-44 years old by state. *CVD Prevention.* 1999;2:212-221.

18. Yokoyama M, Origasa H, Matsuzaki M, et al. Effects of eicosapentaenoic acid on major coronary events in hypercholesterolaemic patients (JELIS): a randomised open-label, blinded endpoint analysis. *Lancet.* 2007; 369(9567):1090-1098.

19. Tanaka K, Ishikawa Y, Yokoyama M, et al. Reduction in the recurrence of stroke by eicosapentaenoic acid for hypercholesterolemic patients: subanalysis of the JELIS trial. *Stroke.* 2008;39(7):2052-2058.

20. Xu H, Jiang HW, Ding GX, et al. Diabetes mellitus and prostate cancer risk of different grade or stage: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2013;99(3):241-249.

Disclosure

CJL and JHO have previously served as speakers and consultants to GlaxoSmithKline. JHO is the Chief Medical Officer and Founder of CardioTabs, a nutraceutical company that sells products containing omega-3. 

Why choose between national resources and Missouri clout?

The Doctors Company protects you with both.

As a member of the nation's largest medical malpractice insurer, you won't have to choose. You will benefit from our financial strength and stability—with 73,000 members and \$4 billion in assets—that enables us to protect you now and in the future.

You also benefit from our long-standing relationships with Missouri's leading attorneys and litigation experts. As one of the early leaders of the tort reform movement, we will work tirelessly to reinstate limits in Missouri. And we'll help you reduce the likelihood of a claim with the state's only dedicated Patient Safety Department.

Founded by doctors for doctors, we are fiercely committed to rewarding our members. The Tribute® Plan is an unrivaled financial benefit that rewards you for your loyalty to The Doctors Company and for your commitment to superior patient care. No other Missouri insurer offers a benefit of this magnitude.

To learn more about our benefits for Missouri members, contact our Springfield office at (888) 445-0660 or visit www.thedoctors.com.

*We relentlessly defend, protect, and reward
the practice of good medicine.*

