



Published in final edited form as:

Science. 2017 November 03; 358(6363): 655–658. doi:10.1126/science.aao1887.

A high-coverage Neandertal genome from Vindija Cave in Croatia

Kay Prüfer^{1,*}, Cesare de Filippo^{#1}, Steffi Grote^{#1}, Fabrizio Mafessoni^{#1}, Petra Korlevi¹, Mateja Hajdinjak¹, Benjamin Vernot¹, Laurits Skov², Pingsun Hsieh³, Stéphane Peyrégne¹, David Reher¹, Charlotte Hopfe¹, Sarah Nagel¹, Tomislav Maricic¹, Qiaomei Fu⁴, Christoph Theunert^{1,8}, Rebekah Rogers⁸, Pontus Skoglund⁵, Manjusha Chintalapati¹, Michael Dannemann¹, Bradley J. Nelson³, Felix M. Key¹, Pavao Rudan⁶, Željko Kušan⁶, Ivan Guši⁶, Liubov V. Golovanova⁷, Vladimir B. Doronichev⁷, Nick Patterson⁵, David Reich^{5,9,10}, Evan E. Eichler^{3,11}, Montgomery Slatkin⁸, Mikkel H. Schierup², Aida Andrés¹, Janet Kelso¹, Matthias Meyer¹, and Svante Pääbo^{1,*}

¹Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

²Bioinformatics Research Centre, Aarhus University, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

³Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA

⁴Key Laboratory of Vertebrate Evolution and Human Origins of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100044, China

⁵Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142, USA

⁶Anthropology Center of the Croatian Academy of Sciences and Arts, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

⁷ANO Laboratory of Prehistory 14 Linia 3-11, St. Petersburg 1990 34, Russia

⁸Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720-3140, USA

⁹Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA

¹⁰Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA

¹¹Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA

These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

To date the only Neandertal genome that has been sequenced to high quality is from an individual found in Southern Siberia. We sequenced the genome of a female Neandertal from ~50 thousand

*Correspondence to: pruefer@eva.mpg.de, paabo@eva.mpg.de.

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary text

Supplemental Figures

Supplemental Tables.

References (26-118)

years ago from Vindija Cave, Croatia to ~30-fold genomic coverage. She carried 1.6 differences per ten thousand base pairs between the two copies of her genome, fewer than present-day humans, suggesting that Neandertal populations were of small size. Our analyses indicate that she was more closely related to the Neandertals that mixed with the ancestors of present-day humans living outside of sub-Saharan Africa than the previously sequenced Neandertal from Siberia, allowing 10-20% more Neandertal DNA to be identified in present-day humans, including variants involved in LDL cholesterol levels, schizophrenia and other diseases.

One Sentence Summary:

A high-quality Neandertal genome from Croatia is substantially closer to the Neandertals that contributed DNA to present-day humans.

Main Text:

Neandertals are the closest evolutionary relatives identified to date of all present-day humans and therefore provide a unique perspective on human biology and history. In particular, comparisons of genome sequences from Neandertals with those of present-day humans have allowed genetic features unique to modern humans to be identified (1, 2) and have shown that Neandertals mixed with the ancestors of present-day people living outside sub-Saharan Africa (3). Many of the DNA sequences acquired by non-Africans from Neandertals were likely detrimental and were purged from the human genome via negative selection (4–8) but some appear to have been beneficial and were positively selected (9); among people today, alleles derived from Neandertals are associated with both susceptibility and resistance to diseases (7, 10–12)

However, our knowledge about the genetic variation among Neandertals is still limited. To date genome-wide DNA sequences of five Neandertals have been determined. One of these, the “Altai Neandertal”, found in Denisova Cave in the Altai Mountains in southern Siberia, the eastern-most known reach of the Neandertal range, yielded a high quality genome sequence (~50-fold genomic coverage) (2). In addition, a composite genome sequence from three Neandertal individuals has been generated from Vindija Cave in Croatia in southern Europe but is of low quality (~1.2-fold total coverage) (3), while a Neandertal genome from Mezmaiskaya Cave in the Caucasus (2) is of even lower quality (~0.5-fold coverage). In addition, chromosome 21 (13) and exome sequences (14) have been generated from a different individual from Vindija Cave and one from Sidron Cave in Spain. The lack of high-quality Neandertal genome sequences, especially from the center of their geographical range and from the time close to when they were estimated to have mixed with modern humans, limits our ability to reconstruct their history and the extent of their genetic contribution to present-day humans.

Neandertals lived in Vindija Cave in Croatia until relatively late in their history (3, 15). The cave has yielded Neandertal and animal bones, many of them too fragmentary to determine from their morphology from what species they derive. Importantly, DNA preservation in Vindija Cave is relatively good and allowed the determination of Pleistocene nuclear DNA

from a cave bear (16), a Neandertal genome (3), exome and chromosome 21 sequences (13, 14).

To generate DNA suitable for deep sequencing, we extracted DNA (17) and generated DNA libraries (18) from 12 samples from Vindija 33.19, one of 19 bone fragments from Vindija Cave determined to be of Neandertal origin by mitochondrial (mt) DNA analyses (19). In addition, 567 milligram (mg) were removed for radiocarbon dating and yielded a date of greater than 45.5 thousand years before present (OxA 32,278). One of the DNA extracts, generated from 41 mg of bone material, contained more hominin DNA than the other extracts. We created additional libraries from this extract, but to maximize the number of molecules retrieved from the specimen we omitted the uracil-DNA-glycosylase (UDG) treatment (20, 21). A total of 24 billion DNA fragments were sequenced and approximately 10% of these could be mapped to the human genome. Their average length was 53 base pairs (bp) and they yielded 30-fold coverage of the approximately 1.8 billion bases of the genome to which such short fragments can be confidently mapped.

We estimated present-day human DNA contamination among the DNA fragments (20). First, using positions in the mtDNA where present-day humans differ from Neandertals we estimated an mtDNA contamination rate of 1.4-1.7%. Similarly, using positions in the autosomal genome where all present-day humans carry derived variants whereas all archaic genomes studied to date carry ancestral variants we estimated a nuclear contamination rate of 0.17-0.48%. Because the coverage of the X chromosome is similar to that of the autosomes we inferred that the Vindija 33.19 individual is a female, allowing us to use DNA fragments that map to the Y chromosome to estimate a male DNA contamination of 0.74% (between 0.70-0.78% for each of the nine sequencing libraries). Finally, using a likelihood method (2, 3) we estimated the autosomal contamination to 0.18-0.23%. We conclude that the nuclear DNA contamination rate among the DNA fragments sequenced is less than 1%. After genotyping this will result in contamination that is much lower than 1%.

Because ~76% of the DNA fragments were not UDG-treated, they carry C to T substitutions throughout their lengths. This causes standard genotyping software to generate false heterozygous calls. To overcome this we implemented snpAD, a genotyping software that incorporates a position-dependent error-profile to estimate the most likely genotype for each position in the genome. This results in genotypes of comparable quality to UDG-treated ancient DNA given our genomic coverage (20). The high-coverage of the Vindija genome also allowed for characterization of longer structural variants and segmental duplications (20).

To gauge whether the Vindija 33.19 bone might stem from a previously sequenced individual from Vindija Cave we compared heterozygous sites in the Vindija 33.19 genome to DNA fragments sequenced from the other bones. The three bones from which a low-coverage composite genome has been generated (Vindija 33.16, 33.25 and 33.26) do not share variants with Vindija 33.19 at a level compatible with deriving from the same individual. In contrast, over 99% of heterozygous sites in the chromosome 21 sequence from Vindija 33.15 (13) are shared with Vindija 33.19, indicating that they come from the same individual (20). Additionally, two of the other three bones may come from individuals that

shared a maternal ancestor to Vindija 33.19 relatively recently in their family history because all carry identical mtDNAs.

In addition to the Altai Neandertal genome, a genome from a Denisovan, an Asian relative of Neandertals, has been sequenced to high coverage (~30-fold) from Denisova Cave. These two genomes are similar in that their heterozygosity is about one fifth of that of present-day Africans and about one third of that of present-day Eurasians. We estimated the heterozygosity of the Vindija 33.19 autosomal genome to 1.6×10^{-5} ; similar to the Altai Neandertal genome and slightly lower than the Denisovan genome (1.8×10^{-5}) (Fig. 1A). Thus, low heterozygosity may be a feature typical of archaic hominins, suggesting that they lived in small and isolated populations with an effective population size of around 3,000 individuals (20). In addition to low over-all heterozygosity, the Altai Neandertal genome carried segments of many megabases (Mb) (>10 centimorgans (cM)) without any differences between its two chromosomes, indicating that the parents of that individual were related at the level of half-sibs (2). Such segments are almost totally absent in the Vindija genome (Fig. 1B), suggesting that the extreme inbreeding between the parents of the Altai Neandertal was not ubiquitous among Neandertals. We note, however, that the Vindija genome carries extended homozygous segments (>2.5cM) comparable to what is seen in some isolated Native American populations today (20).

The high quality of the three archaic genome sequences allows their approximate ages to be estimated from the number of new nucleotide substitutions they carry relative to present-day humans when compared to the inferred ancestor shared with apes (1). Using this approach, we estimate that the Vindija 33.19 individual lived 52 thousand years ago (kya), the Altai Neandertal individual 122kya, and the Denisovan individual 72kya (Fig. 2) (20). Many factors make such absolute age estimates tentative. Among these are uncertainty in generation times and mutation rates. Nevertheless, these results indicate that the Altai Neandertal lived about twice as far back in time as the Vindija 33.19 Neandertal, while the Denisovan individual lived after the Altai but before the Vindija Neandertal.

We next estimated when ancestral populations that gave rise to the three archaic genomes and to modern humans split from each other based on the extent to which they share genetic variants (1–3, 20). The estimated population split time between the Vindija Neandertal and the Denisovan is 390–440kya and between the Vindija Neandertal and modern humans 520–630kya, in agreement with previous estimates using the Altai Neandertal (2). The split time between the Vindija and the Altai Neandertals is estimated to 130–145kya. To estimate the population split time to the Mezmaiskaya 1 Neandertal previously sequenced to 0.5-fold coverage, we prepared and sequenced libraries yielding an additional 1.4-fold coverage. Because the present-day human DNA contamination of these libraries is in the order of 2–3% (20), we estimated the population split time to the Vindija 33.19 individual with and without restricting the analysis of the Mezmaiskaya 1 individual to fragments that show evidence of deamination. The resulting split time estimates are 100kya for the deaminated fragments and 80kya for all fragments (Fig. 2).

It has been suggested that Denisovans received gene flow from a human lineage that diverged prior to the common ancestor of modern humans, Neandertals and Denisovans (2).

In addition, it has been suggested that the ancestors of the Altai Neandertal received gene flow from early modern humans that may not have affected the ancestors of European Neandertals (13). In agreement with these studies, we find that the Denisovan genome carries fewer derived alleles that are fixed in Africans, and thus tend to be older, than the Altai Neandertal genome while the Altai genome carries more derived alleles that are of lower frequency in Africa, and thus younger, than the Denisovan genome (20). However, the Vindija and Altai genomes do not differ significantly in the sharing of derived alleles with Africans indicating that they may not differ with respect to their putative interactions with early modern humans (Fig. 3A & B). Thus, in contrast to earlier analyses of chromosome 21 data for the European Neandertals (13), analyses of the full genomes suggest that the putative early modern human gene flow into Neandertals occurred prior to the divergence of the populations ancestral to the Vindija and Altai Neandertals ~130-145 thousand years ago (Fig. 2). Coalescent simulations show that a model with only gene flow from a deeply diverged hominin into Denisovan ancestors explains the data better than one with only gene flow from early modern humans into Neandertal ancestors, but that a model involving both gene flows explains the data even better. It is likely that gene flow occurred between many or even most hominin groups in the late Pleistocene and that more such events will be detected as more ancient genomes of high quality become available.

A proportion of the genomes of all present-day people whose roots are outside Africa derives from Neandertals (2, 3, 22). We tested if any of the three sequenced Neandertals falls closer to the lineage that contributed DNA to present-day non-Africans by asking if any of them shares more alleles with present-day non-Africans than the others (20, 23). The Vindija 33.19 and Mezmaiskaya 1 genomes share more alleles with non-Africans than the Altai Neandertal, and there is no indication that the former two genomes differ in the extent of their allele-sharing with present-day people (Fig. 3C). Using a likelihood approach we estimate the proportion of Neandertal DNA in present-day populations that is closer to the Vindija than the Altai genomes to be 99%–100% (20). Thus, the majority of Neandertal DNA in present-day populations appears to come from Neandertal populations that diverged from the Vindija and Mezmaiskaya 1 Neandertals prior to their divergence from each other some 80-100kya.

The two high-coverage Neandertal genomes allow us to estimate the proportion of the genomes of present-day people that derive from Neandertals with greater accuracy than was hitherto possible. We asked how many derived alleles non-Africans share with the Altai Neandertal relative to how many derived alleles the Vindija Neandertal shares with the Altai Neandertal - essentially asking how close non-Africans are to being 100% Neandertal (24). We find that non-African populations outside Oceania carry between 1.8-2.6% Neandertal DNA (Fig. 4A), higher than previous estimates of 1.5-2.1% (2). As described (25), East Asians carry somewhat more Neandertal DNA (2.3-2.6%) than people in Western Eurasia (1.8-2.4%).

We also identified (8) regions of Neandertal-ancestry in present-day Europeans and Asians using the Vindija and the Altai Neandertal genomes (20). The Vindija genome allows us to identify ~10% more Neandertal DNA sequences per individual than the Altai Neandertal genome (*e.g.* 40.4 Mb vs 36.3 Mb in Europeans) due to the closer relationship between the

Vindija genome and the introgressing Neandertal populations. In Melanesians, the increased power to distinguish between Denisovan and Neandertal DNA sequences results in the identification of 20% more Neandertal DNA (Fig. 4B).

Many Neandertal variants associated with phenotypes and susceptibility to diseases have been identified in present-day non-Africans (6, 7, 10–12). The fact that the Vindija Neandertal genome is more closely related to the introgressing Neandertals allows ~15% more such variants to be identified (20). Among these are variants associated with plasma levels of LDL cholesterol (rs10490626) and vitamin D (rs6730714), eating disorders (rs74566133), visceral fat accumulation (rs2059397), rheumatoid arthritis (45475795), schizophrenia (rs16977195) and the response to antipsychotic drugs (rs1459148). This adds to mounting evidence that Neandertal ancestry influences disease risk in present-day humans, particularly with respect to neurological, psychiatric, immunological, and dermatological phenotypes (7).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments:

We thank J. Lenardi, and D. Brajkovič for expert assistance in the Institute for Quaternary Paleontology and Geology in Zagreb, B. Hoeber and A. Weihmann for DNA sequencing, and U. Stenzel for help with computational analyses. Q.F. is funded in part by National Key R&D Program of China (2016YFE0203700), CAS (XDB13000000, QYZDB-SS W-DQC003, XDPB05), NSFC (91731303,41672021, 41630102) and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (grant no. 55008731), D.R. was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation (grant BCS-1032255) and is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, E.E.E. was supported by the U.S. National Institutes of Health (NIH R01HG002385) and is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. This project was funded by the European Research Council (grant agreement no. 694707 to S.P.) and the Max Planck Foundation (grant 31-12LMP Pääbo to S.P.). P.K., M.H., C.H., S.N., T.M., Q.F. performed experiments. K.P., C.dF., S.G., F.M., M.H., B.V., L.S., P.H., St.P., Da.R., C.T., R.R., P.S., M.C., M.D., B.J.N., F.M.K., N.P., D.R., E.E.E., M.S., M.H.S., A.A., J.K., M.M. and S.P. analyzed data. P.R., Ž.K., I.G., L.V.G. and V.B.D. provided samples. K.P. and S.P. wrote and edited the manuscript with input from all authors. Sequencing data for Vindija 33.19 and Mezmaiskaya 1 are available in the European Nucleotide Archive under the study accession numbers PRJEB21157 and PRJEB21195, respectively, and the Vindija genome can be viewed at <https://bioinf.eva.mpg.de/jbrowse>.

References and Notes:

1. Meyer M et al., A high-coverage genome sequence from an archaic Denisovan individual. *Science* 338, 222–226 (2012). [PubMed: 22936568]
2. Prüfer K et al., The complete genome sequence of a Neanderthal from the Altai Mountains. *Nature* 505, 43–49 (2014). [PubMed: 24352235]
3. Green RE et al., A draft sequence of the Neandertal genome. *Science* 328, 710–722 (2010). [PubMed: 20448178]
4. Harris K, Nielsen R, The Genetic Cost of Neandertal Introgression. *Genetics* 203, 881–891 (2016). [PubMed: 27038113]
5. Juric I, Aeschbacher S, Coop G, The Strength of Selection against Neandertal Introgression. *PLoS Genet* 12, e1006340 (2016). [PubMed: 27824859]
6. Sankararaman S et al., The genomic landscape of Neandertal ancestry in present-day humans. *Nature* 507, 354–357 (2014). [PubMed: 24476815]
7. Simonti CN et al., The phenotypic legacy of admixture between modern humans and Neandertals. *Science* 351, 737–741 (2016). [PubMed: 26912863]

8. Vernot B, Akey JM, Resurrecting Surviving Neandertal Lineages from Modern Human Genomes. *Science* 343, 1017–1021 (2014). [PubMed: 24476670]
9. Racimo F, Sankararaman S, Nielsen R, Huerta-Sanchez E, Evidence for archaic adaptive introgression in humans. *Nat Rev Genet* 16, 359–371 (2015). [PubMed: 25963373]
10. Sigma Type 2 Diabetes Consortium et al., Sequence variants in SLC16A11 are a common risk factor for type 2 diabetes in Mexico. *Nature* 506, 97–101 (2014). [PubMed: 24390345]
11. Dannemann M, Andres AM, Kelso J, Introgression of Neandertal- and Denisovan-like Haplotypes Contributes to Adaptive Variation in Human Toll-like Receptors. *Am J Hum Genet* 98, 22–33 (2016). [PubMed: 26748514]
12. Quach H et al., Genetic Adaptation and Neandertal Admixture Shaped the Immune System of Human Populations. *Cell* 167, 643–656 e617 (2016). [PubMed: 27768888]
13. Kuhlwilm M et al., Ancient gene flow from early modern humans into Eastern Neanderthals. *Nature* 530, 429–433 (2016). [PubMed: 26886800]
14. Castellano S et al., Patterns of coding variation in the complete exomes of three Neandertals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111, 6666–6671 (2014). [PubMed: 24753607]
15. Deviese T et al., Direct dating of Neanderthal remains from the site of Vindija Cave and implications for the Middle to Upper Paleolithic transition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, (2017).
16. Greenwood AD, Paabo S, Nuclear insertion sequences of mitochondrial DNA predominate in hair but not in blood of elephants. *Mol Ecol* 8, 133–137 (1999). [PubMed: 9919702]
17. Dabney J et al., Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of a Middle Pleistocene cave bear reconstructed from ultrashort DNA fragments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 110, 15758–15763 (2013). [PubMed: 24019490]
18. Gansauge MT, Meyer M, Single-stranded DNA library preparation for the sequencing of ancient or damaged DNA. *Nat Protoc* 8, 737–748 (2013). [PubMed: 23493070]
19. Gansauge MT, Meyer M, Selective enrichment of damaged DNA molecules for ancient genome sequencing. *Genome Res* 24, 1543–1549 (2014). [PubMed: 25081630]
20. Materials and methods are available as supplementary materials.
21. Briggs AW et al., Removal of deaminated cytosines and detection of in vivo methylation in ancient DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* 38, e87 (2010). [PubMed: 20028723]
22. Mallick S et al., The Simons Genome Diversity Project: 300 genomes from 142 diverse populations. *Nature* 538, 201–206 (2016). [PubMed: 27654912]
23. Patterson N et al., Ancient Admixture in Human History. *Genetics* 192, 1065–1093 (2012). [PubMed: 22960212]
24. Reich D et al., Denisova Admixture and the First Modern Human Dispersals into Southeast Asia and Oceania. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* 89, 516–528 (2011). [PubMed: 21944045]
25. Wall JD et al., Higher Levels of Neanderthal Ancestry in East Asians than in Europeans. *Genetics* 194, 199–209 (2013). [PubMed: 23410836]
26. Briggs AW et al., Primer extension capture: targeted sequence retrieval from heavily degraded DNA sources. *J Vis Exp*, 1573 (2009).
27. Rohland N, Hofreiter M, Comparison and optimization of ancient DNA extraction. *Biotechniques* 42, 343–352 (2007). [PubMed: 17390541]
28. Meyer M, Kircher M, Illumina sequencing library preparation for highly multiplexed target capture and sequencing. *Cold Spring Harbor protocols* 2010, pdb prot5448 (2010).
29. Maricic T, Whitten M, Pääbo S, Multiplexed DNA Sequence Capture of Mitochondrial Genomes Using PCR Products. *Plos One* 5, (2010).
30. Green RE et al., A complete Neandertal mitochondrial genome sequence determined by high-throughput sequencing. *Cell* 134, 416–426 (2008). [PubMed: 18692465]
31. Malez M, Ullrich H, Neuere paläanthropologische Untersuchungen am Material aus der Höhle Vindija (Kroatien, Jugoslawien). *Palaeontologia Jugoslavica* 29, 1–44 (1982).

32. Golovanova LV, Hoffercker JF, Kharitonov VM, Romanova GP, Mezmaiskaya cave: A Neanderthal occupation in the Northern Caucasus. *Curr Anthropol* 40, 77–86 (1999).
33. Skinner AR et al., ESR dating at Mezmaiskaya Cave, Russia. *Appl Radiat Isotopes* 62, 219–224 (2005).
34. Korlevi P et al., Reducing microbial and human contamination in DNA extractions from ancient bones and teeth. *Biotechniques* 59, 87–93 (2015). [PubMed: 26260087]
35. Dabney J, Meyer M, Length and GC-biases during sequencing library amplification: A comparison of various polymerase-buffer systems with ancient and modern DNA sequencing libraries. *Biotechniques* 52, 87–94 (2012). [PubMed: 22313406]
36. Gansauge MT et al., Single-stranded DNA library preparation from highly degraded DNA using T4 DNA ligase. *Nucleic Acids Res* 45, e79 (2017). [PubMed: 28119419]
37. Kircher M, Sawyer S, Meyer M, Double indexing overcomes inaccuracies in multiplex sequencing on the Illumina platform. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40, e3 (2012). [PubMed: 22021376]
38. Kircher M, Stenzel U, Kelso J, Improved base calling for the Illumina Genome Analyzer using machine learning strategies. *Genome Biol* 10, R83 (2009). [PubMed: 19682367]
39. Renaud G, Kircher M, Stenzel U, Kelso J, freeIbis: an efficient basecaller with calibrated quality scores for Illumina sequencers. *Bioinformatics* 29, 1208–1209 (2013). [PubMed: 23471300]
40. Renaud G, Stenzel U, Kelso J, leeHom: adaptor trimming and merging for Illumina sequencing reads. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42, e141 (2014). [PubMed: 25100869]
41. Renaud G, Stenzel U, Maricic T, Wiebe V, Kelso J, deML: robust demultiplexing of Illumina sequences using a likelihood-based approach. *Bioinformatics* 31, 770–772 (2015). [PubMed: 25359895]
42. 1000 Genomes Phase 2 decoy sequences: ftp://ftp.1000genomes.ebi.ac.uk/vol1/ftp/technical/reference/phase2_reference_assembly_sequence/hs37d5.fa.gz.
43. Li H, Durbin R, Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1754–1760 (2009). [PubMed: 19451168]
44. <https://bitbucket.org/ustenzel/network-aware-bwa>.
45. <https://bitbucket.org/ustenzel/biohazard-tools>.
46. Fu Q et al., Genome sequence of a 45,000-year-old modern human from western Siberia. *Nature* 514, 445–449 (2014). [PubMed: 25341783]
47. Lazaridis I et al., Ancient human genomes suggest three ancestral populations for present-day Europeans. *Nature* 513, 409–413 (2014). [PubMed: 25230663]
48. McKenna A et al., The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res* 20, 1297–1303 (2010). [PubMed: 20644199]
49. Varshney U, van de Sande JH, Specificities and kinetics of uracil excision from uracil-containing DNA oligomers by Escherichia coli uracil DNA glycosylase. *Biochemistry* 30, 4055–4061 (1991). [PubMed: 2018771]
50. The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* 526, 68–74 (2015). [PubMed: 26432245]
51. Nielsen R, Paul JS, Albrechtsen A, Song YS, Genotype and SNP calling from next-generation sequencing data. *Nat Rev Genet* 12, 443–451 (2011). [PubMed: 21587300]
52. Li R et al., SNP detection for massively parallel whole-genome resequencing. *Genome Res* 19, 1124–1132 (2009). [PubMed: 19420381]
53. Powell MJD, The BOBYQA algorithm for bound constrained optimization without derivatives. Technical Report DAMTP 2009/NA06, (2009).
54. Johnson SG, The NLOpt nonlinear-optimization package, <http://ab-initio.mit.edu/nlopt>.
55. Benson G, Tandem repeats finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* 27, 573–580 (1999). [PubMed: 9862982]
56. Rosenbloom KR et al., The UCSC Genome Browser database: 2015 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* 43, D670–681 (2015). [PubMed: 25428374]
57. Meyer M et al., Nuclear DNA sequences from the Middle Pleistocene Sima de los Huesos hominins. *Nature* 531, 504–507 (2016). [PubMed: 26976447]
58. <http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/database/simpleRepeat.txt.gz>.

59. Hinch AG et al., The landscape of recombination in African Americans. *Nature* 476, 170–175 (2011). [PubMed: 21775986]
60. DePristo MA et al., A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Nat Genet* 43, 491–498 (2011). [PubMed: 21478889]
61. Bhatia G, Patterson N, Sankararaman S, Price AL, Estimating and interpreting FST: the impact of rare variants. *Genome Res* 23, 1514–1521 (2013). [PubMed: 23861382]
62. Briggs AW et al., Targeted retrieval and analysis of five Neandertal mtDNA genomes. *Science* 325, 318–321 (2009). [PubMed: 19608918]
63. Li H, Durbin R, Inference of human population history from individual whole-genome sequences. *Nature* 475, 493–496 (2011). [PubMed: 21753753]
64. Hudson RR, Generating samples under a Wright-Fisher neutral model of genetic variation. *Bioinformatics* 18, 337–338 (2002). [PubMed: 11847089]
65. Fenner JN, Cross-cultural estimation of the human generation interval for use in genetics-based population divergence studies. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 128, 415–423 (2005). [PubMed: 15795887]
66. Langergraber KE et al., Generation times in wild chimpanzees and gorillas suggest earlier divergence times in great ape and human evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 109, 15716–15721 (2012). [PubMed: 22891323]
67. Scally A, Durbin R, Revising the human mutation rate: implications for understanding human evolution. *Nat Rev Genet* 13, 745–753 (2012). [PubMed: 22965354]
68. Venn O et al., Nonhuman genetics. Strong male bias drives germline mutation in chimpanzees. *Science* 344, 1272–1275 (2014). [PubMed: 24926018]
69. Auton A et al., A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* 526, 68–74 (2015). [PubMed: 26432245]
70. Vernot B et al., Excavating Neandertal and Denisovan DNA from the genomes of Melanesian individuals. *Science*, aad9416 (2016).
71. Reich D et al., Genetic history of an archaic hominin group from Denisova Cave in Siberia. *Nature* 468, 1053–1060 (2010). [PubMed: 21179161]
72. Durand EY, Patterson N, Reich D, Slatkin M, Testing for Ancient Admixture between Closely Related Populations. *Mol Biol Evol* 28, 2239–2252 (2011). [PubMed: 21325092]
73. Busing FMTA, Meijer E, Van Der Leeden R, Delete-m jackknife for unequal m. *Stat. Comput.* 9, 3–8 (1999).
74. Qin P, Stoneking M, Denisovan Ancestry in East Eurasian and Native American Populations. *Mol Biol Evol*, msv141 (2015).
75. Llorente MG et al., Ancient Ethiopian genome reveals extensive Eurasian admixture throughout the African continent. *Science* 350, 820–822 (2015). [PubMed: 26449472]
76. Sánchez-Quinto F et al., North African Populations Carry the Signature of Admixture with Neandertals. *PLOS ONE* 7, e47765 (2012). [PubMed: 23082212]
77. Pickrell JK et al., Ancient west Eurasian ancestry in southern and eastern Africa. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111, 2632–2637 (2014). [PubMed: 24550290]
78. Sankararaman S, Mallick S, Patterson N, Reich D, The Combined Landscape of Denisovan and Neanderthal Ancestry in Present-Day Humans. *Current Biology* 26, 1241–1247 (2016). [PubMed: 27032491]
79. Kim Bernard Y., Lohmueller Kirk E., Selection and Reduced Population Size Cannot Explain Higher Amounts of Neandertal Ancestry in East Asian than in European Human Populations. *American Journal of Human Genetics* 96, 454–461 (2015). [PubMed: 25683122]
80. Vernot B, Akey JM, Complex History of Admixture between Modern Humans and Neandertals. *American Journal of Human Genetics* 96, 448–453 (2015). [PubMed: 25683119]
81. Fu Q et al., The genetic history of Ice Age Europe. *Nature* 534, 200–205 (2016). [PubMed: 27135931]
82. The Chimpanzee Sequencing and Analysis Consortium, Initial sequence of the chimpanzee genome and comparison with the human genome. *Nature* 437, 69–87 (2005). [PubMed: 16136131]

83. Gibbs RA et al., Evolutionary and biomedical insights from the rhesus macaque genome. *Science* 316, 222–234 (2007). [PubMed: 17431167]
84. Locke DP et al., Comparative and demographic analysis of orang-utan genomes. *Nature* 469, 529–533 (2011). [PubMed: 21270892]
85. Scally A et al., Insights into hominid evolution from the gorilla genome sequence. *Nature* 483, 169–175 (2012). [PubMed: 22398555]
86. Prüfer K et al., The bonobo genome compared with the chimpanzee and human genomes. *Nature* 486, 527–531 (2012). [PubMed: 22722832]
87. Kong A et al., Fine-scale recombination rate differences between sexes, populations and individuals. *Nature* 467, 1099–1103 (2010). [PubMed: 20981099]
88. Staab PR, Zhu S, Metzler D, Lunter G, scrm: efficiently simulating long sequences using the approximated coalescent with recombination. *Bioinformatics* 31, 1680–1682 (2015). [PubMed: 25596205]
89. Terhorst J, Kamm JA, Song YS, Robust and scalable inference of population history from hundreds of unphased whole genomes. *Nat Genet* 49, 303–309 (2017). [PubMed: 28024154]
90. Sankararaman S, Patterson N, Li H, Paabo S, Reich D, The date of interbreeding between Neandertals and modern humans. *PLoS Genet* 8, e1002947 (2012). [PubMed: 23055938]
91. Fu Q et al., An early modern human from Romania with a recent Neanderthal ancestor. *Nature* 524, 216–219 (2015). [PubMed: 26098372]
92. Sudmant PH et al., Evolution and diversity of copy number variation in the great ape lineage. *Genome Res* 23, 1373–1382 (2013). [PubMed: 23825009]
93. Sudmant PH et al., Global diversity, population stratification, and selection of human copy-number variation. *Science* 349, aab3761 (2015). [PubMed: 26249230]
94. Sudmant PH et al., An integrated map of structural variation in 2,504 human genomes. *Nature* 526, 75–81 (2015). [PubMed: 26432246]
95. Dennis MY et al., Evolution of Human-Specific Neural SRGAP2 Genes by Incomplete Segmental Duplication. *Cell* 149, (2012).
96. Florio M et al., Human-specific gene ARHGAP11B promotes basal progenitor amplification and neocortex expansion. *Science* 347, 1465–1470 (2015). [PubMed: 25721503]
97. Nettle X et al., Emergence of a *Homo sapiens*-specific gene family and chromosome 16p11.2 CNV susceptibility. *Nature* 536, 205–209 (2016). [PubMed: 27487209]
98. Hach F et al., mrsFAST: a cache-oblivious algorithm for short-read mapping. *Nat Methods* 7, 576–577 (2010). [PubMed: 20676076]
99. Fiskerstrand T et al., Mutations in ABHD12 cause the neurodegenerative disease PHARC: An inborn error of endocannabinoid metabolism. *Am J Hum Genet* 87, 410–417 (2010). [PubMed: 20797687]
100. Knowlton WM, McKemy DD, TRPM8: from cold to cancer, peppermint to pain. *Curr Pharm Biotechnol* 12, 68–77 (2011). [PubMed: 20932257]
101. Ugarte M et al., Overview of mutations in the PCCA and PCCB genes causing propionic acidemia. *Hum Mutat* 14, 275–282 (1999). [PubMed: 10502773]
102. Danecek P et al., The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2156–2158 (2011). [PubMed: 21653522]
103. Welter D et al., The NHGRI GWAS Catalog, a curated resource of SNP-trait associations. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42, D1001–1006 (2014). [PubMed: 24316577]
104. Kuhn RM, Haussler D, Kent WJ, The UCSC genome browser and associated tools. *Brief Bioinform* 14, 144–161 (2013). [PubMed: 22908213]
105. Huerta-Sanchez E et al., Altitude adaptation in Tibetans caused by introgression of Denisovan-like DNA. *Nature* 512, 194–197 (2014). [PubMed: 25043035]
106. C. Global Lipids Genetics et al., Discovery and refinement of loci associated with lipid levels. *Nat Genet* 45, 1274–1283 (2013). [PubMed: 24097068]
107. Mozaffarian D et al., Genetic loci associated with circulating phospholipid trans fatty acids: a meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies from the CHARGE Consortium. *Am J Clin Nutr* 101, 398–406 (2015). [PubMed: 25646338]

108. Lutz SM et al., A genome-wide association study identifies risk loci for spirometric measures among smokers of European and African ancestry. *BMC Genet* 16, 138 (2015). [PubMed: 26634245]
109. Chen PL et al., Genetic determinants of antithyroid drug-induced agranulocytosis by human leukocyte antigen genotyping and genome-wide association study. *Nat Commun* 6, 7633 (2015). [PubMed: 26151496]
110. Lauc G et al., Loci associated with N-glycosylation of human immunoglobulin G show pleiotropy with autoimmune diseases and haematological cancers. *PLoS Genet* 9, e1003225 (2013). [PubMed: 23382691]
111. Sullivan PF et al., Genomewide association for schizophrenia in the CATIE study: results of stage 1. *Mol Psychiatry* 13, 570–584 (2008). [PubMed: 18347602]
112. Aberg K et al., Genomewide association study of movement-related adverse antipsychotic effects. *Biol Psychiatry* 67, 279–282 (2010). [PubMed: 19875103]
113. Okada Y et al., Genetics of rheumatoid arthritis contributes to biology and drug discovery. *Nature* 506, 376–381 (2014). [PubMed: 24390342]
114. Wade TD et al., Genetic variants associated with disordered eating. *Int J Eat Disord* 46, 594–608 (2013). [PubMed: 23568457]
115. Fox CS et al., Genome-wide association for abdominal subcutaneous and visceral adipose reveals a novel locus for visceral fat in women. *PLoS Genet* 8, e1002695 (2012). [PubMed: 22589738]
116. Anderson D et al., Genome-wide association study of vitamin D levels in children: replication in the Western Australian Pregnancy Cohort (Raine) study. *Genes Immun* 15, 578–583 (2014). [PubMed: 25208829]
117. Park SL et al., Mercapturic Acids Derived from the Toxicants Acrolein and Crotonaldehyde in the Urine of Cigarette Smokers from Five Ethnic Groups with Differing Risks for Lung Cancer. *PLOS ONE* 10, e0124841 (2015). [PubMed: 26053186]
118. Williams SR et al., Shared genetic susceptibility of vascular-related biomarkers with ischemic and recurrent stroke. *Neurology* 86, 351–359 (2016). [PubMed: 26718567]




Fig. 1. Heterozygosity and inbreeding in the Vindija Neandertal.

(A) Distribution of heterozygosity over all autosomes in the three archaic hominins, 12 Non-Africans and 3 Africans. Each dot represents the heterozygosity measured for one autosome. The center bar indicates the mean heterozygosity across the autosomal genome. (B) Genome covered by shorter (2.5–10cM, red) and longer (>10cM, yellow) runs of homozygosity in the three archaic hominins.




Fig. 2. Approximate ages of specimens and population split times.

Age estimates for the genomes estimated from branch shortening, *i.e.* the absence of mutations in the archaic genomes, are indicated by dashed lines. Population split time estimates are indicated by dashed lines. The majority of Neandertal DNA in present-day people comes from a population that split from the branch indicated in red. All reported ages assume a human-chimpanzee divergence of 13 million years. Numbers show ranges over point estimates (split times), or ranges over different data filters (branch shortening).




Fig. 3. Allele sharing between archaic and modern humans.

(A) Derived allele-sharing in percent of 19 African populations with the Altai and Denisovan, and Vindija and Denisovan genomes, respectively. (B) Sharing of derived alleles in each of the 19 African populations with the Vindija and Altai genomes. (C) Allele sharing of Neandertals with non-Africans and Africans. Points show derived allele sharing in percent for all pairwise comparisons between non-Africans (OAA: French, Sardinian, Han, Dai, Karitiana, Mixe, Australian, Papuan) and Africans (AFR: San, Mbuti, Yoruba). Mezmaiskaya 1 data were restricted to sequences showing evidence of deamination to reduce the influence of present-day human DNA contamination. Lines show two standard errors from the mean in all plots.




Fig. 4. Estimates of fraction of Neandertal DNA for present-day populations.

(A) Colors indicate Neandertal ancestry estimates (20). Oceanian populations show high estimates due to Denisovan ancestry that is difficult to distinguish from Neandertal ancestry.

(B) Amount of Neandertal sequence in present-day Europeans, South Asians and East Asians (20).