





STANDARD ARTICLE

Variability of serum concentrations of cystatin C and urinary retinol-binding protein, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin, immunoglobulin G, and C-reactive protein in dogs

D.J.X. Liu¹  | E. Meyer^{2†} | B.J.G. Broeckx^{3†}  | S. Daminet⁴ | J.R. Delanghe⁵  |
E. Stock¹  | E. Bogaerts¹ | M. Hesta^{3‡} | K. Vanderperren^{1‡}

¹Department of Veterinary Medical Imaging and Small Animal Orthopedics, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ghent University, Merelbeke, Belgium

²Department of Pharmacology, Toxicology and Biochemistry, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ghent University, Merelbeke, Belgium

³Department of Nutrition, Genetics and Ethology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ghent University, Merelbeke, Belgium

⁴Department of Small Animal Medicine and Clinical Biology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ghent University, Merelbeke, Belgium

⁵Department of Clinical Chemistry, Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Health Medicine and Life Sciences, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

Correspondence

Daisy Liu, Department of Veterinary Medical Imaging and Small Animal Orthopedics, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ghent University, Salisburylaan 133, 9820 Merelbeke, Belgium, Email: daisy.liu@ugent.be

Funding information

Bijzonder Onderzoeksfonds, Grant/Award Number: 01N02215; Virbac

Background: Markers of kidney dysfunction and damage have potential to detect chronic kidney disease (CKD) in early stages. However, data on long-term variation of these markers in healthy dogs is lacking and is crucial for the interpretation of results.

Hypothesis/Objectives: To determine temporal variations of serum cystatin C (sCysC) and urinary retinol-binding protein (uRBP), neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (uNGAL), immunoglobulin G (ulG), and C-reactive protein (uCRP) in healthy dogs.

Animals: Eight clinically healthy adult Beagles were evaluated.

Methods: Longitudinal observational study. Serum cystatin C was determined by particle-enhanced nephelometric immunoassay. Urinary retinol-binding protein, uNGAL, ulG and uCRP were determined by ELISA and concentrations were indexed to urinary creatinine. Within- and between-dog variance components (VC) and within-dog coefficients of variation (CV) were determined from blood and urine collected at eight time points over 1.5 years.

Results: Urinary C-reactive protein (uCRP) concentrations were consistently below the detection limit (5.28 ng/mL). Mean \pm within-dog standard deviation for sCysC, uRBP/c, uNGAL/c and ulG/c was 0.15 ± 0.01 mg/L, 0.09 ± 0.03 mg/g, 2.32 ± 2.03 μ g/g and 12.47 ± 10.98 mg/g, respectively. Within-dog CV for sCysC, uRBP/c, uNGAL/c and ulG/c was 8.1%, 33.7%, 87.2% and 88.1%, respectively.

Conclusions and clinical importance: Serum cystatin C, uRBP/c, uNGAL/c and ulG/c exhibit a wide range of long-term within-dog variability. Researchers and veterinarians might need to take this into account when interpreting their results. To assess their diagnostic and predictive ability, future studies need to establish reference ranges for healthy dogs and dogs with CKD.

KEYWORDS

Canine, glomerular, tubular, marker, variation

[†]Shared authorship

[‡]Shared senior authorship

Abbreviations: /c, creatinine ratio; BCS, body condition score; BW, body weight; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CRP, C-reactive protein; CV, coefficient of variation; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; IgG, immunoglobulin G; LOD, limit of detection; LOQ, limit of quantification; MW, molecular weight; NGAL, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin; PENIA, particle-enhanced nephelometric immunoassay; RBP, retinol-binding protein; sCysC, serum cystatin C; SE, standard error; u, urinary; UPC, urinary protein:creatinine ratio; USG, urine specific gravity; VC, variance component

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2018 The Authors. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc. on behalf of the American College of Veterinary Internal Medicine.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Kidneys have a great compensatory ability when affected by insults that could compromise their function.¹ As a consequence, conventional markers of renal disease, such as serum creatinine, often lead to late diagnosis of chronic kidney disease (CKD).² This makes it difficult and challenging for veterinarians to detect kidney disease at an early stage when proper treatment might slow CKD progression and improve longevity and quality of life.³ Furthermore, while measurement of

glomerular filtration rate (GFR) is the gold standard to determine kidney function, the clinical application in veterinary medicine is cumbersome as multiple sampling is often required and filtration markers or specialized equipment to analyze the markers are not commercially available.⁴ Therefore, there is a search for more sensitive markers of kidney disease and indirect markers of GFR in veterinary medicine.

Combined use of sensitive indirect markers of GFR and site-specific (i.e., glomerular and tubular) markers of renal disease, allowing for earlier detection of CKD, could potentially offer veterinarians a powerful alternative, particularly in the context of routine screening or serial monitoring of individuals. Serum symmetric dimethylarginine is a more sensitive and specific indirect GFR marker when compared to creatinine.^{5,6} However, there are other markers that have potential to detect early renal dysfunction. Serum cystatin C (sCysC), for example, is a low molecular weight (MW) protein that meets many of the requirements for an ideal endogenous GFR marker.⁷ Serum cystatin C is a better marker of GFR than serum creatinine and has good diagnostic accuracy in predicting CKD in humans.^{8,9} In dogs, sCysC has a comparable or better sensitivity to detect a decrease in GFR compared to serum creatinine.⁷ However, it lacks specificity.⁷ To our knowledge, there are no studies yet comparing sCysC directly to serum symmetric dimethylarginine in dogs.

Several site-specific urinary markers have also been investigated in recent years. C-reactive protein (CRP) and immunoglobulin G (IgG) are both high MW proteins associated with glomerular damage when detected in urine.¹⁰ Retinol-binding protein (RBP) and neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) are both low MW proteins that reflect tubular damage.¹⁰ These markers increase in dogs with CKD and some correlate to glomerular lesions, tubulointerstitial lesions, or both of differing magnitudes.¹⁰⁻¹³

Despite the potential of these markers, their use is still limited to research purposes. Generally accepted reference ranges and cut-off values do not exist yet due to lack of standardized methods of analysis and large-scale studies. Moreover, information on long-term variation of both healthy and diseased dogs is lacking for sCysC and urinary (u) RBP, NGAL, IgG and CRP. Knowing the stability or variability of each marker's concentration in function of time is needed to determine how it should be interpreted when compared to established reference ranges. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the temporal variation of markers of kidney disease sCysC, uRBP, uNGAL, ulgG and uCRP in healthy dogs during a period of 1.5 years.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

This longitudinal study of 1.5 years was approved by the Local Animal Ethics Committee (Faculties of Veterinary Medicine and Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University, Belgium) and performed in accordance with European and national regulations for the care and use of animals (EC2015/92).

2.1 | Animals

Eight healthy lean adult Beagles (three intact and one spayed female, two intact and two neutered males) were included in the study. Dogs

were considered healthy if no clinically relevant abnormalities were found on their medical history, physical examination, complete blood count, serum biochemistry (serum creatinine < 1.4 mg/dL based on International Renal Interest Society guidelines for staging CKD), abdominal ultrasonography, and urinalysis on urine collected by ultrasound-guided cystocentesis (urine sediment, dipstick test, specific gravity (USG), including protein-to-creatinine ratio (UPC), and bacterial culture). At the start of the study dogs were between 2.7 and 8.3 years (mean \pm standard deviation, 4.7 ± 1.7 years) and had an ideal body weight (BW) (11.58 ± 1.64 kg) and an ideal body condition score (BCS) of four based on a 9-point scale.¹⁴

2.2 | Procedures

After adapting to the study's diet for four weeks, measurements were made at week 0, 12, 24, 36, 47, 56, 68 and 83 of the study. All dogs received the same dry commercial adult maintenance diet (Veterinary™ HPM Adult Large and Medium, Virbac, Carros, France) during the entire study. The amount was adjusted weekly to maintain an ideal BW and BCS (4 - 5/9), both of which were assessed weekly. Water was provided ad libitum. Blood and urine samples were collected at the eight time points. Dogs were fasted for at least 12 hours prior to samples collections. Blood samples (5 mL) were collected from the jugular vein (21G needle). Complete blood count and serum biochemistry were repeated at weeks 24, 47, 56 and 83.¹⁵ Serum was acquired by centrifuging blood collected in a serum tube within two hours of collection for 5 minutes at $2000 \times g$ at 21°C . Serum was stored at -80°C in aliquots of 300 μL until analysis. Urinalysis (dipstick analysis, USG, UPC, sediment analysis, and bacterial culture) were performed on an aliquot of morning urine (5 mL) collected by ultrasound-guided cystocentesis (22G needle) at all eight time points.¹⁵ An aliquot of 5 mL urine was centrifuged for 3 minutes at $447 \times g$ within 30 minutes of collection. The supernatant was aliquoted (200 μL) and stored at -80°C until analysis.

2.3 | Assays

Serum cystatin C was measured with particle-enhanced nephelometric immunoassay (PENIA) previously validated for dogs.^{15,16} Samples for sCysC were analyzed in four batches. The limit of detection (LOD) of PENIA for sCysC was 0.05 mg/L. uRBP concentrations were analyzed with a commercially available human ELISA kit (Immunology Consultants Laboratory, Portland, OR, USA). uNGAL (BioPorto Diagnostics, Hellerup, Denmark), ulgG (Immunology Consultants Laboratory, Portland, OR, USA) and uCRP (Immunology Consultants Laboratory, Portland, OR, USA) concentrations were determined with commercial canine-specific ELISA kits. uRBP, uNGAL, ulgG and uCRP assays were previously validated for use with canine urine.^{12,17} All immunoassays were performed in two batches and were used according to manufacturer's instructions and performed as previously described.^{12,17-19} The LOD and limit of quantification (LOQ) were determined in previous studies.^{17,19} The LOD and LOQ of the uRBP, uNGAL, ulgG and uCRP assays were 14.11 ng/mL and 18.93 ng/mL, 5.35 pg/mL and 9.60 pg/mL, 19.69 ng/mL and 29.72 ng/mL and 5.28 ng/mL and 7.76 ng/mL, respectively. The concentration of each

urinary marker was expressed as a ratio to urinary creatinine (/c) to account for variations in urine concentration.¹⁸ Urinary creatinine was determined by the modified kinetic Jaffé method.¹⁷

2.4 | Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with R (version 3.3.2; Rstudio version 1.0.143). For the immunoassays, if the obtained concentrations of samples that were minimally diluted (1:2) were below the LOD or between the LOD and LOQ, the median between 0 and LOD or the median between LOD and LOQ were used as a value for statistics, respectively. When samples were not minimally diluted, a missing value was assigned for concentrations that fell below the LOD or between the LOD and LOQ. A random effects model using restricted maximum likelihood (lme4 package) was used to estimate the variance components (VC).²⁰ Two VCs were estimated: v_1 representing the variation between repeated measurements on the dog and v_2 represents the extra variation when considering observations of different dogs. The VCs were used to determine 95% reference intervals for repeated observations in the same dog and for repeated observations in different dogs. The coefficient of variation (CV), defined as the ratio of the standard deviation over the mean, was determined for the within-dog repeated observations.

3 | RESULTS

During the study, percentage BW changes varied between -3.9% and 14.8% compared to week 0. BCS, however, remained within the ideal range (BCS 4 - 5/9), as each unit increase is associated with a 10-15% change in weight on a 9-point scale.²¹ One of the dogs had persistent mild proteinuria (UPC 0.62 ± 0.14) without azotemia from week 12. In another dog euthanized after week 56 because of multicentric lymphoma, only the first 6 of the 8 time points were included for statistical analysis. All dogs had a serum creatinine concentrations less than 1.4 mg/dL (0.62 ± 0.07 mg/dl), USG of 1.038 (median; range, 1.008-1.052), negative urine bacterial culture and unremarkable urinalysis except for microscopic hematuria (> 27 red blood cells/ μ L urine) in some of the samples. Microscopic hematuria was present in one sample at week 0, seven samples at week 24, one sample at week 36, two samples at week 47, and four samples at week 56. uCRP consistently had concentrations below the LOD of the assay, and was therefore not included in the statistical analysis.

TABLE 1 Estimated variance components, v_1 for variation from repeated measurements on the same dog and v_2 for extra variation from measurements from different dogs, of sCysC, uRBP/c, uNGAL/c and ulgG/c in healthy beagles (n = 8) measured over 1.5 years

Variable	v_1	v_2
sCysC (mg/L)	1.42×10^{-4}	2.78×10^{-4}
uRBP/c (mg/g)	9.25×10^{-4}	1.04×10^{-3}
uNGAL/c (μ g/g)	4.11	3.96
ulgG/c (mg/g)	120.6	242.9

sCysC, serum cystatin C; uRBP/c, urinary RBP-to-creatinine ratio; uNGAL/c, urinary NGAL-to-creatinine ratio; ulgG/c, urinary IgG-to-creatinine ratio.

TABLE 2 Mean \pm within-dog SD and within- and between-dog 95% reference intervals and CV for within-dog repeated measures of sCysC, uRBP/c, uNGAL/c and ulgG/c in healthy beagles (n = 8) measured over 1.5 years

Variables	Mean \pm SD	Within-dog 95% reference interval	Between-dog 95% reference interval	CV
sCysC (mg/L)	0.15 ± 0.01	0.12 - 0.17	0.11 - 0.19	8.1%
uRBP/c (mg/g)	0.09 ± 0.03	0.03 - 0.15	0.00 - 0.18	33.7%
uNGAL/c (μ g/g)	2.32 ± 2.03	0.00 - 6.3	0.00 - 7.89	87.2%
ulgG/c (mg/g)	12.47 ± 10.98	0.00 - 33.99	0.00 - 49.83	88.1%

SD, within-dog standard deviation; CV, coefficient of variation; sCysC, serum cystatin C; uRBP/c, uRBP-to-creatinine ratio; uNGAL/c, uNGAL-to-creatinine ratio; ulgG/c, ulgG-to-creatinine ratio.

Table 1 summarizes the estimated VCs for sCysC, uRBP/c, ulgG/c and uNGAL/c. Variation from repeated measurements on the same dog over 1.5 years was smaller than variation from measurements made on different dogs for sCysC, ulgG/c and uRBP/c. Of all markers examined, sCysC had the lowest within-dog CV (8.1%), followed by uRBP/c (33.7%), while ulgG/c and uNGAL/c had the highest within-dog CVs (88.1% and 87.2%, respectively) (Table 2).

4 | DISCUSSION

This longitudinal study investigates markers of kidney disease in dogs over a period of 1.5 years to determine both within- and between-dog variability for each marker in a highly controlled group of dogs, i.e., same breed, kept under the same conditions and fed the same diet. Moreover, the study and sample analyses were performed in a standardized manner to reduce further variation. Except for uNGAL/c, the variation arising from repeated measurements within the same dog was smaller than the variation from measurements made in different dogs for sCysC, uRBP/c and ulgG/c. Among all markers studied, sCysC had the smallest within-dog CV, suggesting that the change in concentrations obtained from serial measurements in healthy dogs is limited. In addition, low intra-individual variability allows the dog to be its own reference in the detection of early changes in concentration after serial measurements (i.e., trending), as in the case of serum creatinine.¹⁰ Compared to sCysC, uRBP/c, uNGAL/c and ulgG/c exhibit a high degree of within-dog variability. This means that the obtained values from sequential samples from one healthy dog can differ substantially. As such, interpretation of a single sample for these markers should be done with caution, particularly if there is overlap between the concentration of healthy dogs and dogs with CKD.

The biological variance of sCysC was investigated a decade ago in healthy dogs.²² Even with a longer study duration in the current study (83 weeks vs. 24 weeks); a longer time interval between measurements (median 12 weeks vs. 2 weeks); the use of a single breed instead of two; and the use of PENIA instead of particle-enhanced turbidimetric immunoassay to analyze sCysC, within-dog variability of sCysC appears to be quite low in dogs (8.1% vs. 12.3%). Currently, there is still no standardized method of analyzing sCysC and no canine-specific assay available. Therefore, it remains difficult to

compare different studies and to establish generally applicable reference intervals that reflect exact sCysC concentrations.⁷

Long-term variability of uCRP, uRBP, uNGAL and ulgG has not been previously assessed in dogs or in other companion animal species. Even in humans, data are scarce. Thus, this study is the first to demonstrate high variability over time of markers of kidney disease uRBP, uNGAL and ulgG. Yet, of the urinary markers evaluated, uRBP/c seems least prone to within-dog variability. RBP, a 21 kDa plasma protein, is freely filtered through the glomerulus in the unbound form, and in healthy animals mostly reabsorbed and catabolized in the proximal tubules.²³ The presence of RBP in urine occurs when tubules are injured, when abnormal amounts of proteins compete for reabsorption, or both.¹⁰ An undetectable to a low uRBP/c of <0.15 mg/g is expected in healthy dogs.¹⁰ The overall mean \pm within-dog SD from the current study (0.09 ± 0.01 mg/g) corroborates this finding and only one dog had a concentration below the LOD. Day-to-day CV of 9.2 to 10.5% occurs in humans.²⁴ The within-dog CV of the current study is much higher, possibly as a result of the longitudinal nature of the study. Nevertheless, the variation is still moderate compared to that of ulgG/c and uNGAL/c. Therefore, uRBP/c might still be potentially useful as urinary markers reflect lesions and physiology occurring in the kidneys more directly and might be a more sensitive indicator of injury than their systemic counterparts.^{25,26} Since there are contradicting studies on whether uRBP/c can detect renal dysfunction at an early stage,^{12,27,28} additional studies are needed to determine the usefulness of this marker in the diagnosis of early CKD.

Both uNGAL/c and ulgG/c demonstrated high within-dog CVs. The high variability in normal dogs implies that to be a good clinical marker (i.e., to allow an accurate discrimination of health status), the difference between healthy and diseased dogs (e.g., CKD) needs to be bigger relative to markers with a small CV. NGAL is similar to RBP as a low MW protein marker of kidney disease but can also be synthesized by damaged tubular epithelial cells.¹⁰ uNGAL/c is <6 μ g/g in healthy dogs, which corroborates with the current study's overall mean.¹⁰ Only one dog had an uNGAL concentration below the LOD. Within-dog CV of this longitudinal study also corroborates with high day-to-day biological variation of uNGAL/c in humans (CV ranging from 75% to 101%).²⁹⁻³¹ Variation from samples collected repeatedly within the same day is higher than between days.³² The reason for this high variation is currently unknown. It should be highlighted that urinary levels in healthy dogs were 1000-fold lower than for the other two markers, uRBP and ulgG. To that extent, uNGAL/c might still have potential to detect early CKD, provided that the rise in concentration is high enough despite the high variation in healthy dogs. However, its ability to diagnose early CKD is still requires investigation as during early stages of canine X-linked hereditary nephropathy, when GFR is decreasing from >3.5 to 1.5-2.5 mL/min/kg, uNGAL/c increases 2-fold and then plateaus as GFR continues to decrease.¹²

Immunoglobulin G is a high MW protein (150 kDa) that plays a part in the humoral immune system.²³ ulgG/c is increased in dogs with CKD and is positively correlated to glomerular lesions.^{12,13} Moreover, it is increased in early stages of CKD related to X-linked hereditary nephropathy in dogs.¹² The overall mean of ulgG/c from the current study was slightly higher than the observed maximum of 10 mg/g from healthy dogs in other studies.¹⁰ Factors that affect ulgG

concentrations in healthy dogs are still undetermined. Moreover, biological variation of ulgG/c in either humans or in other companion animal species than dogs has not been investigated. One possible explanation for the variation is that ulgG/c is significantly correlated to UPC.¹² In our study, a dog with mild proteinuria after the start of the study but was otherwise healthy had higher but relatively stable ulgG/c compared to other dogs. Despite the proteinuria, we chose to keep the dog in the study. Other than an increase in UPC between week 0 and week 12 (i.e., from 0.25 to 0.72), it fluctuated between 0.42 and 0.80 in the current study. According to Nabity and colleagues, for UPC values near 0.5, UPC must change by at least 80% before a change can be considered significant and warrant further investigation.³³ For UPC values starting at <0.2 or borderline proteinuria, as with the dog in our study, guidelines have not been proposed nor investigated. Moreover, this study was based on dogs with glomerular proteinuria caused by X-linked hereditary nephropathy, and whether this guideline can be applied to other glomerular diseases is still unknown.³³ Although this dog's proteinuria could be age-related as this dog was eight years at the start of the study, data linking proteinuria to aging in dogs is limited.³⁴ Future studies need to determine the age effect, as well as other factors on ulgG/c.

In accordance with the majority of other studies of markers of kidney disease in dogs that included a healthy control group, dogs in our study also had uCRP concentrations below the detection limit.^{11,17,18,35-39} CRP is a major positive acute phase protein in dogs with a large MW (110 to 144 kDa) and therefore is unable to pass through an intact glomerular barrier, which probably was the case in the healthy dogs in our study.¹⁰ Further investigation into uCRP as a marker to detect the presence of glomerular injury is still warranted.

Although hematuria could potentially interfere with accurate determination of urinary analyte concentrations, its influence on uRBP/c, ulgG/c and uCRP/c seems to be limited.^{35,40} Furthermore, in the current study, hematuria was microscopic, most likely due to contamination from the cystocentesis, and only present in a small portion of the samples. Hence, the effect of hematuria on our results was negligible.

Because the dogs used in our study were from the same breed, kept in the same environment and were fed the same diet, the results of the current study cannot be applied to the general population of dogs. Therefore, studies in a large mix-breed population are required. In such studies, the contribution of breed, sexual status, and age to the variation of biomarkers can also be assessed as to determine whether separate reference ranges are necessary. Furthermore, it would be interesting to determine, especially for the biomarkers with low within-dog variation, the change in concentration needed before it can be considered as indicative of disease.

In conclusion, markers of kidney disease sCysC, uRBP/c, uNGAL/c and ulgG/c show a wide range of intra-individual variation in healthy dogs, which might affect their interpretation. sCysC had the lowest variation, while the other markers exhibited large variation. Clinically, if there is only a slight difference in concentration between healthy dogs and dogs with CKD, a value indicative of disease will likely be difficult to detect with the latter three markers, while the former might still be able to discriminate between dogs with or without renal damage. In other words, to be of added diagnostic value, the

difference between healthy dogs and dogs with CKD would have to be considerable for uRBP/c, uNGAL/c and ulgG/c. While this study provides important information on the long-term variability of markers of kidney disease in healthy dogs, future studies have to assess whether there is an overlap of concentrations between healthy dogs and dogs with CKD.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the Special Research Fund of Ghent University, Belgium (BOF grant 01N02215). The authors thank Virbac for financially supporting blood and urine analyses and the maintenance diet used in the study. The authors thank Elke Lecoqc and Kristel Demeyere for assistance with analytical work; colleagues of Laboratory of Animal Nutrition and the Department of Veterinary Medical Imaging for assistance during sample collections; and Daniel Tensy, Amy Deluycker, Liesbeth Timmermans and Isolde Tack for excellent care of the animals. The study was conducted at the Department of Nutrition, Genetics and Ethology and the Department of Veterinary Medical Imaging and Small Animal Orthopaedics, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ghent University, Merelbeke, Belgium.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARATION

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

OFF-LABEL ANTIMICROBIAL DECLARATION

Authors declare no off-label use of antimicrobials.

INSTITUTIONAL ANIMAL CARE AND USE COMMITTEE (IACUC) OR OTHER APPROVAL DECLARATION

The study was approved by the Local Animal Ethics Committee (Faculties of Veterinary Medicine and Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University, Belgium) and performed in accordance with European and national regulations for the care and use of animals (EC2015/92).

ORCID

D.J.X. Liu  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2970-4357>

B.J.G. Broeckx  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6742-3911>

J.R. Delanghe  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5702-6792>

E. Stock  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9982-1532>

REFERENCES

- Metcalfe W. How does early chronic kidney disease progress? A background paper prepared for the UK consensus conference on early chronic kidney disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2007;22:ix26-ix30.
- Cianciolo R, Hokamp J, Nabity M. Advances in the evaluation of canine renal disease. *Vet J*. 2016;215:21-29.
- Grauer GF. Early detection of renal damage and disease in dogs and cats. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract*. 2005;35:581-596.
- Paepe D, Daminet S. Feline CKD: diagnosis, staging and screening – what is recommended? *J Feline Med Surg*. 2013;15:15-27.
- Hall JA, Yerramilli M, Obare E, et al. Serum concentrations of symmetric dimethylarginine and creatinine in dogs with naturally occurring chronic kidney disease. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2016;30:794-802.
- Relford R, Robertson J, Clements C. Symmetric dimethylarginine: improving the diagnosis and staging of chronic kidney disease in small animals. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract*. 2016;46:941-960.
- Ghys L, Paepe D, Smets P, et al. Cystatin C: a new renal marker and its potential use in small animal medicine. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2014;28:1152-1164.
- Dharnidharka VR, Kwon C, Stevens G. Serum cystatin C is superior to serum creatinine as a marker of kidney function: a meta-analysis. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2002;40:221-226.
- Wei L, Ye X, Pei X, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of serum cystatin C in chronic kidney disease: a meta-analysis. *Clin Nephrol*. 2015;84:86-94.
- Hokamp JA, Nabity MB. Renal biomarkers in domestic species. *Vet Clin Pathol*. 2016;45:28-56.
- Smets PMY, Meyer E, Maddens BEJ, et al. Urinary markers in healthy young and aged dogs and dogs with chronic kidney disease. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2010;24:65-72.
- Nabity MB, Lees GE, Cianciolo R, et al. Urinary biomarkers of renal disease in dogs with X-linked hereditary nephropathy. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2012;26:282-293.
- Hokamp JA, Cianciolo RE, Boggess M, et al. Correlation of urine and serum biomarkers with renal damage and survival in dogs with naturally occurring proteinuric chronic kidney disease. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2016;30:591-601.
- Lafamme D. Development and validation of a body condition score system for dogs. *Canine Pract*. 1997;22:10-15.
- Ghys LFE, Paepe D, Lefebvre HP, et al. Evaluation of cystatin C for the detection of chronic kidney disease in cats. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2016;30:1074-1082.
- Marynissen SJJ, Smets PMY, Ghys LFE, et al. Long-term follow-up of renal function assessing serum cystatin C in dogs with diabetes mellitus or hyperadrenocorticism. *Vet Clin Pathol*. 2016;45:320-329.
- Maddens BEJ, Daminet S, Demeyere K, et al. Validation of immunoassays for the candidate renal markers C-reactive protein, immunoglobulin G, thromboxane B2 and retinol binding protein in canine urine. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol*. 2010;134:259-264.
- Defauw P, Meyer E, Duchateau L, et al. Stability of glomerular and tubular renal injury biomarkers in canine urine after 4 years of storage. *J Vet Diagn Invest*. 2017;29:346-350.
- Rodenburg J, Daminet S, Meyer E. *Neutrofiel gelatinase-geassocieerd lipocaline (NGAL) als biomerker voor acute nierschade bij de hond. [Master's thesis]*. Ghent, Belgium: Ghent University; 2012.
- Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *J Stat Soft*. 2015;67:1-48.
- Lafamme D. Understanding and managing obesity in dogs and cats. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract*. 2006;36:1283-1295.
- Pagitz M, Frommlet F, Schwendenwein I. Evaluation of biological variance of cystatin C in comparison with other endogenous markers of glomerular filtration rate in healthy dogs. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2007;21:936-942.
- De Loor J, Daminet S, Smets P, et al. Urinary biomarkers for acute kidney injury in dogs. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2013;27:998-1010.
- Jensen T, Deckert M, Dawnay A, Feldt-Rasmussen B. Micro-ELISA for the quantitation of human urinary and serum retinol-binding protein. *Diabetes Res*. 1989;10:93-95.
- Giesen C, Lieske JC. The influence of processing and storage conditions on renal protein biomarkers. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2016;11:1726-1728.
- Vanmassenhove J, Vanholder R, Nagler E, Van Biesen W. Urinary and serum biomarkers for the diagnosis of acute kidney injury: an in-depth review of the literature. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2013;28:254-273.
- Nabity MB, Lees GE, Dangott LJ, et al. Proteomic analysis of urine from male dogs during early stages of tubulointerstitial injury in a canine model of progressive glomerular disease. *Vet Clin Pathol*. 2011;40:222-236.
- Raila J, Brunnberg L, Schweigert FJ, Kohn B. Influence of kidney function on urinary excretion of albumin and retinol-binding protein in dogs with naturally occurring renal disease. *Am J Vet Res*. 2010;71:1387-1394.

29. Delanaye P, Rozet E, Krzesinski J-M, Cavalier E. Urinary NGAL measurement: biological variation and ratio to creatinine. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2011;412:390.
30. Zhang X, Gibson B, Mori R, et al. Analytical and biological validation of a multiplex immunoassay for acute kidney injury biomarkers. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2013;415:88-93.
31. Helmersson-Karlqvist J, Årnlöv J, Larsson A. Day-to-day variation of urinary NGAL and rationale for creatinine correction. *Clin Biochem*. 2013;46:70-72.
32. Grenier FC, Ali S, Syed H, et al. Evaluation of the ARCHITECT urine NGAL assay: assay performance, specimen handling requirements and biological variability. *Clin Biochem*. 2010;43:615-620.
33. Nabity MB, Boggess MM, Kashtan CE, Lees GE. Day-to-day variation of the urine protein:creatinine ratio in female dogs with stable glomerular proteinuria caused by X-linked hereditary nephropathy. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2007;21:425-430.
34. Marynissen SJJ, Willems AL, Paepe D, et al. Proteinuria in apparently healthy elderly dogs: persistency and comparison between free catch and cystocentesis urine. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2017;31:93-101.
35. Defauw P, Schoeman JP, Smets P, et al. Assessment of renal dysfunction using urinary markers in canine babesiosis caused by *Babesia rossi*. *Vet Parasitol*. 2012;190:326-332.
36. Maddens B, Heiene R, Smets P, et al. Evaluation of kidney injury in dogs with pyometra based on proteinuria, renal histomorphology, and urinary biomarkers. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2011;25:1075-1083.
37. Segev G, Daminet S, Meyer E, et al. Characterization of kidney damage using several renal biomarkers in dogs with naturally occurring heatstroke. *Vet J*. 2015;206:231-235.
38. Maddens B, Daminet S, Smets P, Meyer E. *Escherichia coli* pyometra induces transient glomerular and tubular dysfunction in dogs. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2010;24:1263-1270.
39. Hrovat A, Schoeman JP, de Laat B, et al. Evaluation of snake envenomation-induced renal dysfunction in dogs using early urinary biomarkers of nephrotoxicity. *Vet J*. 2013;198:239-244.
40. Smets PMY, Meyer E, Maddens B, et al. Effect of sampling method and storage conditions on albumin, retinol-binding protein, and N-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase concentrations in canine urine samples. *J Vet Diagn Invest* 2010;22:896-902.

How to cite this article: Liu DJX, Meyer E, Broeckx BJG, et al. Variability of serum concentrations of cystatin C and urinary retinol-binding protein, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin, immunoglobulin G, and C-reactive protein in dogs. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2018;32:1659-1664. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jvim.15293>