

# Haematococcus lacustris: the makings of a giant-sized

# chloroplast genome

David Roy Smith\*

Review

Department of Biology, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario N6A 5B7, Canada

Received: 29 July 2018 Editorial decision: 21 September 2018 Accepted: 27 September 2018 Published: 01 October 2018 Associate Editor: Teofil Nakov

**Citation:** Smith DR. 2018. *Haematococcus lacustris*: the makings of a giant-sized chloroplast genome. *AoB PLANTS* **10**: ply058; doi: 10.1093/aobpla/ply058

**Abstract.** Recent work on the chlamydomonadalean green alga *Haematococcus lacustris* uncovered the largest plastid genome on record: a whopping 1.35 Mb with >90 % non-coding DNA. A 500-word description of this genome was published in the journal *Genome Announcements*. But such a short report for such a large genome leaves many unanswered questions. For instance, the *H. lacustris* plastome was found to encode only 12 tRNAs, less than half that of a typical plastome, it appears to have a non-standard genetic code, and is one of only a few known plastid DNAs (ptDNAs), out of thousands of available sequences, not biased in adenine and thymine. Here, I take a closer look at the *H. lacustris* plastome, comparing its size, content and architecture to other large organelle DNAs, including those from close relatives in the Chlamydomonadales. I show that the *H. lacustris* plastid coding repertoire is not as unusual as initially thought, representing a standard set of rRNAs, tRNAs and protein-coding genes, where the canonical stop codon UGA appears to sometimes signify tryptophan. The intergenic spacers are dense with repeats, and it is within these regions where potential answers to the source of such extreme genomic expansion lie. By comparing ptDNA sequences of two closely related strains of *H. lacustris*, I argue that the mutation rate of the non-coding DNA is high and contributing to plastome inflation. Finally, by exploring publicly available RNA-sequencing data, I find that most of the intergenic ptDNA is transcriptionally active.

Keywords: Corynoplastis; genome size; green algae; plastid genome; Volvox.

### Introduction

Most scientists can probably relate to the following feeling. You are at a conference or reading a new research paper and suddenly overcome by a lust for someone else's data. You think to yourself: 'If only I had those results. If only those experiments were mine ...' Recently, I had a terrible bout of data envy. As a long-time organelle genome junky, I regularly explore GenBank for newly sequenced mitochondrial and plastid DNAs (mtD-NAs and ptDNAs). This is usually an uneventful task. But the other day, while scanning the newest cohort of plastomes, I was so surprised by what I saw that I nearly spilt my five-dollar Americano onto my five-thousand-dollar laptop. 'This can't be right', I said. 'A plastid genome with a length of 1352 kb! Did the authors accidentally move the decimal to the right by one?' I quickly downloaded the genome to a sequence viewer and, sure enough, it was 1.35 Mb, making it the largest ptDNA on record.

My genome jealousy only worsened when I realized that the species to which this giant plastome belonged

\*Corresponding author's e-mail address: dsmit242@uwo.ca

© The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Annals of Botany Company. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Figure 1. Known plastome size ranges within the Chlamydomonadales. Branching orders and clade names based on Nakada et al. (2008). Genome sizes based on published and/or GenBank data.

was Haematococcus lacustris, a member of the green algal order Chlamydomonadales (Chlorophyceae, Chlorophyta) (Nakada et al. 2008) (Fig. 1). For the past decade, my collaborators and I have been documenting extremes in plastome size within this order (Smith et al. 2013; Del Vasto et al. 2015; Figueroa-Martinez et al. 2017; Gaouda et al. 2018). Heretofore, the largest ptDNAs that we had uncovered were from the 4-celled Tetrabaena socialis (Featherston et al. 2016) and the multicellular Volvox carteri (Smith and Lee 2010), which, at ~405 and ~525 kb, respectively, are puny compared to the *H. lacustris* ptDNA.

I checked the GenBank entry for the academic article describing this giant genome: Bauman et al. (2018). 'Oh no', I cried. 'Please tell me this isn't what I think it is ... anything but a genome announcement'. But, alas, the magnificent *H. lacustris* plastome was written up in *Genome Announcements*, a non-peerreviewed journal rapidly publishing short, 500-word reports on new microbial chromosome sequences. To an organelle DNA connoisseur like myself, this was equivalent to beer funneling a bottle of 1983 Château Cheval Blanc. [Note, in July 2018 the journal *Genome Announcements* was renamed *Microbial Resource Announcements*.]

My scientific heart was telling me that this plastome should not be laid to rest like this; it deserves to be noticed and appreciated by those in the chloroplast research community. So, here I am, giving the H. lacustris ptDNA its dues. In the following pages, I will attempt to explain why this genome is so exceptional and to resolve some of the many questions raised in its initial description. First and foremost, the intent of this commentary is not to criticize Bauman et al. (2018). This small team of scientists works for the private company Synthetic Genomics (La Jolla, CA, USA), which is primarily focused on applied research, not organelle genome evolution. We are fortunate that this for-profit company took the time and effort to upload the H. lacustris ptDNA to GenBank and write it up in an open-access journal. Now let's take a closer look at this novel plastome and the species from which it comes.

#### Who is Haematococcus lacustris?

The genus Haematococcus, which is made up of unicellular freshwater biflagellates, was first described over a century and a half ago (Flotow 1844), but is still unknown to most biologists outside of phycology. However, those who keep bird baths in their backyards may have inadvertently cultivated members of this genus, whose lifecycle is well suited to the dry-wet vicissitudes of garden ponds (Almgren 1966). Woe betide to those who do come across a Haematococcus bloom for the blood-red colour that typifies most species can be a startling sight (Pocock 1960). This bright pigmentation is caused by the ketocarotenoid astaxanthin, which can accumulate in large quantities within lipid vesicles in the cytoplasm (Collins et al. 2011; Ota et al. 2018) and is thought to help the alga survive desiccation. Much of the current research on Haematococcus centres on the commercial bio-harvesting of astaxanthin for use in the nutraceuticals, cosmetics, food and aquaculture industries (Shah et al. 2016), hence why Synthetic Genomics is investing in this green algal lineage.

The Haematococcus genus is now understood to be quite diverse, with many different strains and several species having been described (Buchheim *et al.* 2013; Klochkova *et al.* 2013; Allewaert *et al.* 2015). But for the longest time, only one species was formally recognized. The nomenclature of this species is confusing and controversial (Droop 1956; Pocock 1960; Amalgen 1966; Allewaert *et al.* 2015) as it is often referred to using two different names: *H. lacustris* (Girod-Chantrans 1802) Rostafinski and Haematococcus pluvialis Flotow 1844. As it currently stands, these two names appear to be synonymous, and based on Nakada and Ota (2016) the correct terminology for the type of Haematococcus is *H. lacustris*.

The epitype of *H. lacustris*, originally collected by F. Mainx in the former Czechoslovakia and then isolated by E. G. Pringsheim, is cryopreserved and available from the Microbial Culture Collection at the National Institute for Environmental Studies, Japan, under strain number NIES-2264. Bauman *et al.* (2018) did not use this strain for plastid genome sequencing. Instead, they employed *H. lacustris* strain UTEX 2505 (Fig. 2), the history of which I could not find. Phylogenetic analyses of UTEX 2505 suggest that it is very closely related to UTEX 16, a descendant of NIES-2264 (Buchheim *et al.* 2013).

#### Early indications of a king-sized plastome

It really should come as no surprise that the *H. lacustris* plastome was found to be so large. As part of a phylogenetic survey of chlorophyceans, Lemieux *et al.* (2015) generated a draft plastid genome assembly of *H. lacustris* strain SAG 34-1b (equivalent to NIES-2264). This assembly, which was based on Illumina sequencing of total cellular DNA, was highly fragmented, comprising 94 contigs (GenBank accessions KT625205–98), ranging from 1.2 to 13.8 kb. Nevertheless, the accumulative length of these contigs (302.8 kb) was indicative of a particularly large ptDNA, but precisely how large remained to be determined.

The sequencing effort of Lemieux et al. (2015) provided a clear, near-complete picture of the *H. lacustris* ptDNA coding content. It identified the standard set of proteins and rRNAs normally encoded in a chlamydomonadalean plastome, as well as 26 tRNAs, which is slightly less than a typical plastid tRNA cohort, but presumably the missing ones were not captured in the assembly. Together, the ptDNA contigs contained 26 introns, which is a lot for a green algal plastome, but not unheard of (Del Vasto et al. 2015). Perhaps the most salient feature of the data was the exceptionally high repeat content of the intergenic regions, which obviously played havoc on the short-read assembly. It is no surprise, then, that it took a long-read sequencing approach to finally piece together this prodigious plastome.

#### Updating the annotation

Bauman et al. (2018) sequenced and assembled the 1.352 Mb H. lacustris ptDNA using PacBio single-molecule real-time (SMRT) sequencing. Their de novo plastome assembly included 91000 subreads, with a mean length of 8900 bp, and yielded a single complete ptDNA contig with >500× coverage. The genome is circularly mapping, but probably has a more complicated architecture (Bendich 2004), and contains a pair of long inverted repeats, which is a characteristic of most ptD-NAs (Turmel et al. 2017). As of the 1 September 2018, the annotation of the H. lacustris plastome (GenBank accession NC 037007) is unfinished and contains inaccuracies (Gaouda et al. 2018). For example, Bauman et al. (2018) characterized 125 protein-coding regions, but only 12 tRNAs and no rRNAs, despite the fact that Lemieux et al. (2015) had already characterized 26 tRNAs and the complete rRNAs from H. lacustris. Moreover, many of the annotated protein-coding regions are hypothetical or fragmented, and there are also instances of proteins not ordinarily found in plastids, such as nicotine oxidoreductase. When the H. lacustris ptDNA sequence is run through a standard organelle genome annotation pipeline, such as GeSeq (Tillich et al. 2017) or MFannot (Lang et al. 2007), a standard and complete stock of plastid protein-coding genes and functional RNAs can be identified, including 31 tRNAs.

Some of the protein-coding genes in the *H. lacustris* ptDNA appear, at first glance, to be fragmented. For example, the *ftsH* gene in the Bauman *et al.* (2018) annotation is distributed across five distinct (but consecutive) open reading frames (ORFs). However, the Lemieux *et al.* (2015) annotation of *ftsH* shows an intact



**Figure 2.** Eukaryotic algae with giant plastomes. Clockwise from left: *Haematococcus lacustris* strain CCMP 3127, which is equivalent to UTEX 2505, the strain used for plastome sequencing (image: National Center for Marine Algae and Microbiota); the unicellular rhodellophycean red alga *Corynoplastis japonica* (image: Sergio Muñoz-Gómez); and the marine unicellular ulvophyte *Acetabularia* sp. (image: Albert Kok).

gene. The reason for these discrepancies is that *ftsH* (and other genes in the *H. lacustris* ptDNA) contains multiple occurrences of the internal stop codon UGA. In their GenBank data, Lemieux *et al.* (2015) have marked these premature UGAs as sense codons for tryptophan, implying that the *H. lacustris* plastome employs a non-standard genetic code, but they do not elaborate on this in the manuscript.

To provide further support of a non-standard code in the plastid of H. lacustris, I explored 16.5 Gb of publicly available RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) data from two different isolates of H. lacustris (strain CCAC 0055, Culture collection of Algae University of Cologne; and strain IOCAS 712, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences), which were generated as part of the 1000 Plants Project (Matasci et al. 2014) and a study on astaxanthin induction (Gao et al. 2015) (Short Read Archive accessions ERX2100117-8 and SRX1136554-9). Using the Geneious v10.2.4 read mapper (medium sensitivity; default settings), I mapped 15.3 million reads from these data onto the H. lacustris ptDNA, covering ~90 % of the genome (discussed in detail later) [see Supporting Information—Fig. S1]. Genes with internal stop codons, including fstH and ycf1, had high coverage (>50×), and there were no obvious drops in coverage preceding or proceeding internal UGAs and no indications of RNA editing. All of this is consistent with UGA signifying tryptophan in a subset of the *H. lacustris* plastid genes. Such a deviation is not unprecedented. In the ptDNA of the green algae Boodlea composita (Ulvophyceae) and Jenufa minuta (Chlorophyceae), UGA also appears to have a double meaning, representing tryptophan in some genes and a stop codon in others (Del Cortona et al. 2017; Turmel and Lemieux 2017).

The *H. lacustris* and *B. composita* plastomes have another interesting similarity: both have unusually high GC contents for organelle DNAs (50 and 57 %, respectively); in fact, *H. lacustris* has the sixth highest GC composition yet recorded in a plastid genome. Despite these similarities, and the fact that the *B. composita* ptDNA might also be quite large (Del Cortona *et al.* 2017), there does not appear to be any obvious relationship between GC content and genome size or non-canonical genetic codes in organelle systems (Smith 2012).

#### Big compared to what?

Whether a genome is big or small is a relative concept. Of the more than 2800 complete plastome sequences in GenBank, 98 % are under 200 kb and harbour modest amounts (<50 %) of non-coding DNA. But there are a few algal lineages whose members can have much larger ptDNAs with an abundance of non-coding nucleotides (Moreira and López-García 2017; Smith 2018). The closest rivals to the *H. lacustris* plastome in terms of size are the ptDNAs of the unicellular rhodellophycean red algae *Corynoplastis japonica* (1.13 Mb) (Fig. 2) and *Bulboplastis apyrenoidosa* (0.61 Mb) (Muñoz-Gómez *et al.* 2017). The former currently holds the record for the most introns found in an organelle genome (311), followed in second place by none other than *B. apyrenoidosa* (220). The unrivalled proliferation of introns in these two genomes contrasts the mode of expansion in *H. lacustris*, which, as noted earlier, is not particularly intron dense.

The ptDNA of the unicellular marine green alga Acetabularia acetabulum (Ulvophyceae) (Fig. 2) might be even bigger than that of H. lacustris and its rhodellophycean rivals. Initial ptDNA size estimates for this alga (and its close relative Acetabularia cliftonia), based on electron microscopy (Green 1976), kinetic-complexity analyses (Padmanabhan and Green 1978) and restriction digest experiments (Tymms and Schweiger 1985), suggested a length of up to 2 Mb. The genome, however, has not been completely sequenced. de Vries et al. (2013) partially assembled the A. acetabulum ptDNA (using 454 sequencing data) into 63 contigs, totalling ~350 kb and containing 39 full-length genes and a modest number of large introns. But the short-read data were not enough to bridge the elongated intergenic regions, meaning its exact size is unknown.

The green algal order to which H. lacustris belongsthe Chlamydomonadales—is home to a number of species with plastomes in excess of 300 kb (Fig. 1), such as Carteria cerasiformis (Lemieux et al. 2015) and Dunaliella salina CONC-001 (Del Vasto et al. 2015). Expansion appears to be particularly prevalent within the volvocine line (Gaouda et al. 2018), where there exists more than a 2-fold variation in ptDNA size and some of the largest, most repeat-dense plastomes yet sequenced (Fig. 1). Remarkably, plastome inflation within this order is not limited to photosynthetic species: the free-living, unicellular chlamydomonadalean Polytoma uvella harbours the largest ptDNA of any colourless plant or algae (~230 kb) (Figueroa-Martinez et al. 2017). Why these various plastomes have been pushed to such extremes in size is still not fully understood.

#### Why so large?

Much of our understanding of organelle genome expansion comes from land plant mtDNAs, which can be as large as 11.3 Mb (Sloan *et al.* 2012). For example, Lynch *et al.* (2006) eloquently argued that mtDNA inflation in plants is a consequence of a low mutation rate, which in turn reduces the burden of harbouring excess non-coding DNA. Such a model is consistent with the low levels

4

of synonymous site substitution regularly observed in land plant mitochondria (Wolfe *et al.* 1987; Richardson *et al.* 2013). More recently, Christensen (2013, 2017) found that the intergenic sites of angiosperm mtDNAs can have much higher rates of substitution (and insertion-deletion) than synonymous sites, suggesting that mitochondrial genome expansion might result from error-prone DNA repair mechanisms within non-coding regions, such as break-induced replication (BIR).

To get a cursory sense of the underlying plastid mutational spectrum in H. lacustris, I mapped the 94 ptDNA contigs of Lemieux et al. (2015) to the complete plastome sequence of Bauman et al. (2018). Again, these two data sets come from different isolates of H. lacustris (strains SAG 34-1b and UTEX 2505, respectively). The Geneious mapper matched 84 contigs to the reference genome, giving 255 kb (19%) of coverage, including 88 kb of coding and 167 kb of non-coding ptDNA. Levels of polymorphism between SAG 34-1b and UTEX 2505 were low in the coding regions (nucleotide diversity < 0.005). Of the 60 plastid protein-coding genes that aligned, 41 were identical, and the remaining 19 all showed >97 % pairwise identity. In total, the aligned protein-coding regions contained 448 indels (0.3 %). Conversely, I found large numbers of polymorphisms and indels in the repeat-rich non-coding regions. The pairwise nucleotide diversity of the 167 kb of aligned non-coding ptDNA was 0.17, containing 7964 indels (2.3 %). These findings parallel the trends in angiosperm mtDNAs (Christensen 2013) and suggest that the repeat-riddled non-coding regions of the H. lacustris plastome are prone to errors, particularly indels. More detailed sequence analyses of H. lacustris will need to be carried out to support these suggestions, especially because sequences containing similar but distinct repeats could have been condensed into a single region, either at the assembly (Lemieux et al. 2015) or mapping stages, which in turn could have inflated the observed levels of intergenic nucleotide diversity. Nevertheless, these preliminary data and their similarities with the enormous mtDNAs of land plants are intriguing.

It has also been suggested that there might be a positive relationship between plastid genome size and cell size in plastid-bearing protists (Smith 2017). Indeed, algae with tiny cells often have miniature ptDNAs, such as the prasinophyte Ostreococcus tauri, which is ~0.8  $\mu$ m in diameter (Courties et al. 1994) and has a ptDNA of only 71.7 kb (Robbens et al. 2007). Likewise, the unicellular A. acetabulum is so gargantuan that it can be seen with the naked eye (1–10 cm) (Fig. 2), and, as already noted, boasts a very big plastome. The cell size of C. japonica, although not as extraordinary as A. acetabulum, is also quite large (18–33  $\mu$ m in diameter) (Yokoyama et al. 2009), and an order of magnitude larger than that of *O. tauri*. In this context, it is noteworthy that *H. lacustris* is relatively big. Vegetative cells are typically 29–39  $\mu$ m long and 18–32  $\mu$ m wide, and aplanospores are usually around 27–58  $\mu$ m in diameter (Nakada and Ota 2016), further supporting the idea that large plastomes frequently occur within large cells.

#### Pervasive transcription of non-coding ptDNA

More and more studies are uncovering pervasive genome-wide (or near genome-wide) transcription of organelle DNAs (Mercer et al. 2011; Zhelyazkova et al. 2012), including those from a wide range of plants and algae (Shi et al. 2016; Sanitá Lima and Smith 2017). With some exceptions (Wu et al. 2015), even very large organelle genomes, such as the mtDNA of Cucurbita pepo (~982 kb), were found to be almost fully transcribed, implying that large amounts of non-coding organelle RNA can be generated in organelle systems (Sanitá Lima and Smith 2017). However, a lack of data has heretofore prevented researchers from studying pervasive transcription of large plastomes (>250 kb). Haematococcus lacustris is an ideal candidate for exploring such a topic, given its gigantic ptDNA and the fact that it has been the focus of multiple transcriptome sequencing efforts (Matasci et al. 2014; Gao et al. 2015).

By mapping publicly available RNA-seq reads from H. lacustris strains CCAC 0055 and IOCAS 712 (strains, data and methods described earlier in text) to the H. lacustris plastome, I was able to cover ~90 % of reference sequence with one or more reads [see Supporting Information—Fig. S1]. The read coverage was highest in the coding regions (>50×), dropping off significantly in the intergenic regions (average  $\sim 10 \times$ ). Nevertheless, more than 900 kb of non-coding ptDNA was represented in the RNA-seq data, indicating that, like other organelle DNAs, the H. lacustris ptDNA can exhibit pervasive transcription. Further work will be needed to substantiate these findings. One should keep in mind that genomic DNA (local or foreign) can persist in RNA-seq libraries even after treatments to eliminate it (Haas et al. 2012), and there is always the potential of mistaking nuclear-located, plastid-like sequences (NUPTs) as genuine plastid DNA/RNA, but the rate of plastid-to-nucleus DNA transfer in H. lacustris is presumably very low given that it only has a single plastid per cell (Smith et al. 2011). As it currently stands, the H. lacustris ptDNA appears to be a veritable RNA machine.

The available RNA-seq data from *H. lacustris* might also be a good source for mining polymorphisms and exploring nucleotide diversity between strains of *H. lacustris*. For example, CCAC 0055 is a distinct geographical isolate of *H. lacustris*, collected from a rain-water reservoir near Cologne, Germany, in 1990. That said, I found that mapping the short-read RNA-seq data to the intergenic regions was complicated by the large numbers of near-identical repeats that have spread throughout the plastome. More accurate understanding of diversity of the intergenic DNA might have to come from long-read data, and preferably using a *de novo* assembly approach to ensure a better resolution of the repeat regions. With the growing importance of *H. lacustris* as an industrial alga, there will likely be a lot of sequencing data arriving to GenBank in the coming months and years—which is music to my ears because this unicell with its behemoth of a plastome surely has a lot more to teach us about organelle genome evolution.

## **Sources of Funding**

This work was supported by a Discovery Grant to D.R.S. from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

# **Supporting Information**

The following additional information is available in the online version of this article—

**Figure S1.** Log-scale RNA-seq coverage of the *Haematococcus lacustris* plastid genome.

# Literature Cited

- Allewaert CC, Vanormelingen P, Pröschold T, Gómez PI, González MA, Bilcke G, D'Hondt S, Vyverman W. 2015. Species diversity in European Haematococcus pluvialis (Chlorophyceae, Volvocales). Phycologia 54:583–598.
- Almgren K. 1966. Ecology and distribution in Sweden of algae belonging to Haematococcaceae. Svensk Botanisk Tidskrift **60**:49–73.
- Bauman N, Akella S, Hann E, Morey R, Schwartz AS, Brown R, Richardson TH. 2018. Next-generation sequencing of *Haematococcus lacustris* reveals an extremely large 1.35-megabase chloroplast genome. *Genome Announcements* 6:e00181–e001818.
- Bendich AJ. 2004. Circular chloroplast chromosomes: the grand illusion. *The Plant Cell* **16**:1661–1666.
- Buchheim MA, Sutherland DM, Buchheim JA, Wolf M. 2013. The blood alga: phylogeny of *Haematococcus* (Chlorophyceae) inferred from ribosomal RNA gene sequence data. *European Journal of Phycology* **48**:318–329.
- Christensen AC. 2013. Plant mitochondrial genome evolution can be explained by DNA repair mechanisms. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **5**:1079–1086.
- Christensen AC. 2017. Mitochondrial DNA repair and genome evolution. Annual Plant Reviews **50**:11–31.
- Collins AM, Jones HD, Han D, Hu Q, Beechem TE, Timlin JA. 2011. Carotenoid distribution in living cells of *Haematococcus pluvialis* (Chlorophyceae). *PLoS One* **6**:e24302.

- Courties C, Vaquer A, Troussellier M, Lautier J, Chrétiennot-Dinet MJ, Neveux J, Machado C, Claustre H. 1994. Smallest eukaryotic organism. Nature 370:255.
- Del Cortona A, Leliaert F, Bogaert KA, Turmel M, Boedeker C, Janouškovec J, Lopez-Bautista JM, Verbruggen H, Vandepoele K, De Clerck O. 2017. The plastid genome in cladophorales green algae is encoded by hairpin chromosomes. *Current Biology* 27:3771–3782.
- Del Vasto M, Figueroa-Martinez F, Featherston J, González MA, Reyes-Prieto A, Durand PM, Smith DR. 2015. Massive and widespread organelle genomic expansion in the green algal genus Dunaliella. Genome Biology and Evolution **7**:656–663.
- de Vries J, Habicht J, Woehle C, Huang C, Christa G, Wägele H, Nickelsen J, Martin WF, Gould SB. 2013. Is *ftsH* the key to plastid longevity in sacoglossan slugs? *Genome Biology and Evolution* **5**:2540–2548.
- Droop MR. 1956. *Haematococcus pluvialis* and its allies II. Nomenclature in *Haematococcus*. *Revue Algologique* **3**:182–192.
- Featherston J, Arakaki Y, Nozaki H, Durand PM, Smith DR. 2016. Inflated organelle genomes and a circular-mapping mtDNA probably existed at the origin of coloniality in volvocine green algae. *European Journal of Phycology* **51**:369–377.
- Figueroa-Martinez F, Nedelcu AM, Smith DR, Reyes-Prieto A. 2017. The plastid genome of *Polytoma uvella* is the largest known among colorless algae and plants and reflects contrasting evolutionary paths to nonphotosynthetic lifestyles. *Plant Physiology* **173**:932–943.
- Flotow JV. 1844. Beobachtungen über Haematococcus pluvialis. Verhandlungen der Kaiserlichen Leopoldinisch-Carolinischen Deutschen Akademie der Naturforscher **20**:413–606.
- Gao Z, Li Y, Wu G, Li G, Sun H, Deng S, Shen Y, Chen G, Zhang R, Meng C, Zhang X. 2015. Transcriptome analysis in *Haematococcus pluvialis*: astaxanthin induction by salicylic acid (SA) and jasmonic acid (JA). *PLoS One* **10**:e0140609.
- Gaouda H, Hamaji T, Yamamoto K, Kawai-Toyooka H, Suzuki M, Noguchi H, Minakuchi Y, Toyoda A, Fujiyama A, Nozaki H, Smith DR. 2018. Exploring the limits and causes of plastid genome expansion in volvocine green algae. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **10**:2248–2254.
- Girod-Chantrans J. 1802. Recherches chimiques et microscopiques sur les conferves, bysses, tremelles, etc., vol. 54. Paris: Chez Bernard.
- Green BR. 1976. Covalently closed minicircular DNA associated with Acetabularia chloroplasts. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* **447**:156–166.
- Haas BJ, Chin M, Nusbaum C, Birren BW, Livny J. 2012. How deep is deep enough for RNA-Seq profiling of bacterial transcriptomes? *BMC Genomics* **13**:734.
- Klochkova TA, Kwak MS, Han JW, Motomura T, Nagasato C, Kim GH. 2013. Cold-tolerant strain of *Haematococcus pluvialis* (Haematococcaceae, Chlorophyta) from Blomstrandhalvøya (Svalbard). *Algae* **28**:185.
- Lang BF, Laforest MJ, Burger G. 2007. Mitochondrial introns: a critical view. *Trends in Genetics* **23**:119–125.
- Lemieux C, Vincent AT, Labarre A, Otis C, Turmel M. 2015. Chloroplast phylogenomic analysis of chlorophyte green algae identifies a novel lineage sister to the Sphaeropleales (Chlorophyceae). *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **15**:264.
- Lynch M, Koskella B, Schaack S. 2006. Mutation pressure and the evolution of organelle genomic architecture. *Science* **311**:1727–1730.

- Matasci N, Hung LH, Yan Z, Carpenter EJ, Wickett NJ, Mirarab S, Nguyen N, Warnow T, Ayyampalayam S, Barker M, Burleigh JG. 2014. Data access for the 1,000 Plants (1KP) project. *Gigascience* **3**:17.
- Mercer TR, Neph S, Dinger ME, Crawford J, Smith MA, Shearwood AM, Haugen E, Bracken CP, Rackham O, Stamatoyannopoulos JA, Filipovska A, Mattick JS. 2011. The human mitochondrial transcriptome. *Cell* **146**:645–658.
- Moreira D, López-García P. 2017. Evolution: king-size plastid genomes in a new red algal clade. *Current Biology* **27**:R651–R653.
- Muñoz-Gómez SA, Mejía-Franco FG, Durnin K, Colp M, Grisdale CJ, Archibald JM, Slamovits CH. 2017. The new red algal subphylum Proteorhodophytina comprises the largest and most divergent plastid genomes known. *Current Biology* **27**:1677–1684.
- Nakada T, Misawa K, Nozaki H. 2008. Molecular systematics of Volvocales (Chlorophyceae, Chlorophyta) based on exhaustive 18S rRNA phylogenetic analyses. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **48**:281–291.
- Nakada T, Ota S. 2016. What is the correct name for the type of *Haematococcus* Flot. (Volvocales, Chlorophyceae)? *Taxon* **65**:343–348.
- Ota S, Morita A, Ohnuki S, Hirata A, Sekida S, Okuda K, Ohya Y, Kawano S. 2018. Carotenoid dynamics and lipid droplet containing astaxanthin in response to light in the green alga *Haematococcus pluvialis. Scientific Reports* **8**:5617.
- Padmanabhan U, Green BR. 1978. The kinetic complexity of *Acetabularia* chloroplast DNA. *Biochimica* et *Biophysica* Acta **521**:67–73.
- Pocock MA. 1960. *Haematococcus* in Southern Africa. *Transactions* of the Royal Society of South Africa **36**:5–55.
- Richardson AO, Rice DW, Young GJ, Alverson AJ, Palmer JD. 2013. The "fossilized" mitochondrial genome of *Liriodendron tulipifera*: ancestral gene content and order, ancestral editing sites, and extraordinarily low mutation rate. *BMC Biology* **11**:29.
- Robbens S, Derelle E, Ferraz C, Wuyts J, Moreau H, Van de Peer Y. 2007. The complete chloroplast and mitochondrial DNA sequence of *Ostreococcus tauri*: organelle genomes of the smallest eukaryote are examples of compaction. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **24**:956–968.
- Sanitá Lima M, Smith DR. 2017. Pervasive transcription of mitochondrial, plastid, and nucleomorph genomes across diverse plastidbearing species. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **9**:2650–2657.
- Shah MM, Liang Y, Cheng JJ, Daroch M. 2016. Astaxanthinproducing green microalga *Haematococcus pluvialis*: from single cell to high value commercial products. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **7**:531.
- Shi C, Wang S, Xia EH, Jiang JJ, Zeng FC, Gao LZ. 2016. Full transcription of the chloroplast genome in photosynthetic eukaryotes. *Scientific Reports* **6**:30135.
- Sloan DB, Alverson AJ, Chuckalovcak JP, Wu M, McCauley DE, Palmer JD, Taylor DR. 2012. Rapid evolution of enormous,

multichromosomal genomes in flowering plant mitochondria with exceptionally high mutation rates. *PLoS Biology* **10**:e1001241.

- Smith DR. 2012. Updating our view of organelle genome nucleotide landscape. *Frontiers in Genetics* **3**:175.
- Smith DR. 2017. Does cell size impact chloroplast genome size? Frontiers in Plant Science **8**:2116.
- Smith DR. 2018. Plastid genomes hit the big time. *The New Phytologist* **219**:491–495.
- Smith DR, Crosby K, Lee RW. 2011. Correlation between nuclear plastid DNA abundance and plastid number supports the limited transfer window hypothesis. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **3**:365–371.
- Smith DR, Hamaji T, Olson BJ, Durand PM, Ferris P, Michod RE, Featherston J, Nozaki H, Keeling PJ. 2013. Organelle genome complexity scales positively with organism size in volvocine green algae. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**:793–797.
- Smith DR, Lee RW. 2010. Low nucleotide diversity for the expanded organelle and nuclear genomes of *Volvox carteri* supports the mutational-hazard hypothesis. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **27**:2244–2256.
- Tillich M, Lehwark P, Pellizzer T, Ulbricht-Jones ES, Fischer A, Bock R, Greiner S. 2017. Geseq-versatile and accurate annotation of organelle genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research* **45**:W6–W11.
- Turmel M, Lemieux C. 2017. Evolution of the plastid genome in green algae. Advances in Botanical Research **85**:157–193.
- Turmel M, Otis C, Lemieux C. 2017. Divergent copies of the large inverted repeat in the chloroplast genomes of ulvophycean green algae. *Scientific Reports* **7**:994.
- Tymms MJ, Schweiger HG. 1985. Tandemly repeated nonribosomal DNA sequences in the chloroplast genome of an Acetabularia mediterranea strain. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science Sciences of the United States of America 82:1706–1710.
- Wolfe KH, Li WH, Sharp PM. 1987. Rates of nucleotide substitution vary greatly among plant mitochondrial, chloroplast, and nuclear DNAs. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science Sciences of the United States of America* **84**:9054–9058.
- Wu Z, Stone JD, Štorchová H, Sloan DB. 2015. High transcript abundance, RNA editing, and small RNAS in intergenic regions within the massive mitochondrial genome of the angiosperm *Silene noctiflora*. *BMC Genomics* 16:938.
- Yokoyama A, Scott JL, Zuccarello GC, Kajikawa M, Hara Y, West JA. 2009. Corynoplastis japonica gen. et sp. nov. and Dixoniellales ord. nov. (Rhodellophyceae, Rhodophyta) based on morphological and molecular evidence. Phycological Research 57:278–289.
- Zhelyazkova P, Sharma CM, Förstner KU, Liere K, Vogel J, Börner T. 2012. The primary transcriptome of barley chloroplasts: numerous noncoding RNAS and the dominating role of the plastidencoded RNA polymerase. The Plant Cell 24:123–136.