CLINICAL RESEARCH

e-ISSN 1643-3750 © Med Sci Monit, 2018; 24: 7719-7726 DOI: 10.12659/MSM.912999

MEDICAL
SCIENCE
MONITOR

Background

Methotrexate (MTX) is an antimetabolite that disturbs the metabolism of folic acid. MTX is widely used in the treatment of various neoplastic diseases [1,2]. Doses of 500 mg/m² or higher are defined as high-dose methotrexate (HD-MTX). Higher doses are often more effective than lower doses, but can cause significant toxicity, which includes nephrotoxicity, hepatotoxicity, gastrointestinal mucositis, bone marrow suppression, and neurotoxicity [3–5]. It is reported that the incidence rate of reversible chemical hepatitis is up to 60% and 25% have hyperbilirubinemia [6]. Oral mucositis is a dose-limiting of HD-MTX; grade IV mucositis is related to infections, extension of chemotherapy regimens, and even death [7]. In contrast to other antineoplastic drugs, the cytotoxic effects of MTX can be partially antagonized by leucovorin (LV), which allows use of high-dose intravenous MTX up to 33.6 g/m² [8,9]. Despite appropriate supportive care measures during administration of HD-MTX, acute kidney injury (AKI) develops in 2–12% of patients [10], so renal toxicity is a particular concern [11]. For instance, the probability of renal injury in patients with lymphoma who receive HD-MTX therapy is 9.1%, and the probability of renal damage due to sarcomas is only 1.5% [12,13].

MTX concentrations >1 µmol/L at approximately 48 h or >0.1 µmol/L at 72 h are defined as MTX elimination delay [14,15], and delayed MTX elimination after administration of HD-MTX remains an important problem. Therefore, serum MTX concentration monitoring is still a standard approach for identifying patients at high risk of developing toxicity. Unfortunately, there is little research on MTX concentration and renal function, and the relationship between MTX concentration and the toxicity due to MTX is controversial in Chinese adults with ALL and NHL. Monitoring of plasma concentrations of MTX is difficult to perform in some resource-constrained settings. Therefore, we performed the present retrospective analysis to explore the association between MTX concentration and its toxicities in patients with hematological malignancy, as well as to investigate the association between renal function and MTX elimination, which be useful in clinical practice to prevent severe adverse events.

Material and Methods

Materials

We enrolled 97 people \geq 18 years old who were diagnosed with ALL or NHL in our hospital from January 2015 to June 2016, after informed consent was obtained. The study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Changhai Hospital of the Second Military Medical University. A total of 149 courses of MTX were administered, and the median age of included patients was 40.87 years. The study patients had to have a leukocyte count (WBC) ≥4.0×10°/L, absolute neutrophil count $(ANC) \ge 2.0 \times 10^9$ /L, and blood platelet count $(PLT) \ge 100 \times 10^9$ /L, with normal liver and kidney function. During HD-MTX chemotherapy, they were all in full remission.

MTX administration and measurements of plasma MTX concentration

First 10% of HD-MTX was given over the first 30 min and 90% was given over the next 23.5 h. After 36 h of MTX infusion, it is recommend use leucovorin (LV) for rescue, the rescue dosage depending on the concentration of MTX until the plasma concentration of MTX reaches <0.1 µmol/L, and a fluorescent polarization immunoassay is used to measure the MTX concentrations at 24, 36, 48, 72, and 96 h. Patients who have MTX elimination delay should be continuously monitored for MTX concentrations until they reach <0.1 µmol/L.

Toxicity evaluation

HD-MTX-related toxicity was assessed. According to the World Health Organization adverse effect grading system, the group that had an adverse reaction (AE) was compared with those without an AE. The incidence of diarrhea, renal toxicity, hepatotoxicity, and infection after HD-MTX therapy were compared between the $1-3$ g/m² group and the $3-5$ g/m² group, and the between the groups with and without elimination delay.

CF rescuing regimen

We defined the severity based on the MTX concentration, and MTX concentration between 1 µmol/L and 10 µmol/L was defined as low severity in adults, MTX concentration between 10 µmol/L and 100 µmol/L was defined as moderate severity adults, and MTX concentration greater than 100 µmol/L was defined as high severity in adults. At 36 h after MTX administration, LV rescue was started with either 15 mg/m² for the lowseverity adults or 30 mg/m² for the moderate- and high-severity adults. LV was stopped when the plasma MTX concentration was <0.1 µmol/L. For patients with metabolic delay, the rescue dose was be increased depending on the MTX concentration.

Assessment of renal function

The following formula was used to calculate the creatinine clearance rate (CCr): Ccr (ml/min)=[(140-age) ×weight (kg)]/[0.818×Cr (umol/L)] (for women, the calculation used 0.85)

Adverse events (AEs)

In summary, we assessed the adverse events (AEs) grade ≥ 1 , and hematological toxicity and CNS toxicity were excluded in

Table 1. Comparison of patients, characteristics by methotrexate (MTX) dose and by MTX elimination status.

Comparison of patients, characteristics by methotrexate (MTX) dose and by MTX elimination status; Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. BSA – body surface area; CCr – creatinine clearance rate; MTX – methotrexate; Cr – serum creatinine concentration; * Group A=1–3 g/m² MTX, group B=3–5 g/m² MTX. # Delayed elimination of MTX was indicated by plasma MTX concentrations \geq 1.0 μmol/L at 48 hours or \geq 0.1 μmol/L at 72 hours.

our study because almost all (>99%) patients appeared to have neutropenia and we failed to find any CNS toxicity in our study.

Statistics analysis

The continuous variables are expressed as means and standard deviation (SD) or median. The comparisons of patients' demographic features and creatinine metabolism parameters between normal and delayed MTX elimination groups were performed by Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical variables are expressed as numbers and percentages. Spearman correlation coefficient was used to estimate the correlation between 2 variables. Spearman' s rank correlation analysis was used to calculate the creatinine metabolism parameters and serum MTX concentrations. We compared differences between patients with an adverse event (AE) versus those without an AE by Wilcoxon's rank sum test.

All date assessments were double-tailed and $p \le 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. SPSS 19.0 statistical software was used for statistical analysis.

Results

A total of 149 courses of MTX infusion were enrolled in this study. For dosages, we defined 1–3 g MTX/m² as the lowdose group and $3-5$ g/m² as the high-dose group. There were 89 courses of $1-3$ g MTX/m² and 60 courses of $3-5$ g MTX/m². Forty-one episodes of elimination delay were observed, accounting for 27.5% of total courses.

There were no statistically significant differences in body height, body weight, age, body surface area (BSA), Cr concentrations before MTX infusion, Cr concentrations at 48 h, CCr before MTX infusion, and CCr at 48 h between group B and group A. Cr concentrations before MTX infusion and after 48-h MTX infusion were significantly higher in the delayed elimination group compared with the normal group (P=0.003 and P=0.000, respectively).

The CCr at 48 h was significantly higher in the normal elimination group compared with the delayed elimination group (P=0.001). There was no significant difference in the rest of the parameters between the 2 groups (Table 1).

We found that MTX concentration in the high-dose group is more likely to be associated with MTX metabolic delay (Table 2).

After comparing the demographic features and some potential characteristics that may be correlated with MTX elimination, including height, weight, age, body surface area (BSA), and Cr and CCr levels, we found no difference between the lowdose group and the high-dose group in terms of patient demographic characteristics. However, patients with MTX clearance delay showed higher serum creatinine concentrations at 0 h and 48 h, and a more severe CCr decrease at 48 h. There was also a significant difference between the normal elimination and delayed elimination according to height, weight, and BSA. The relationship between plasma MTX concentration and toxicities induced by MTX, including renal toxicity, hepatotoxicity, stomatitis, and infection, was statistically analyzed

Table 2. Summary of high plasma MTX concentrations at 48 and 72 hr after MTX dosing.

Table 3. The correlation between the plasma MTX concentration and MTX-related toxicities that include nephrotoxicity, hepatic toxicity, stomatitis, and infection.

Figure 1. (**A**) MTX concentrations at 24 h and Cr concentrations. (**B**) MTX concentrations at 24 h and CCr before MTX infusion. (**C**) MTX concentrations at 36 h and Cr concentrations before MTX infusion. (**D**) CCr before MTX infusion and plasma MTX concentration at 36 h.

to find which toxicity is associated with blood-drug concentration of MTX (Table 3).

We found that nephrotoxicity > grade 1 and MTX concentration were significantly correlated. Infection > grade 1 was more likely to occur if a patient had high MTX levels at 36 h, 48 h, and 72 h, but hepatic toxicity and oral mucositis had no correlations with MTX levels. As show in Figure 1, there was no significant correlation between MTX concentrations at 24 h and Cr concentrations or CCr before MTX infusion (Figure 1A, 1B) (P=0.333 and P=0.184, respectively). The MTX concentrations at 36 h and Cr concentrations before MTX infusion were significantly and positively correlated (Figure 1C) (P=0.001) and the CCr level before MTX infusion and the plasma MTX concentration at 36 h were negatively correlated (Figure 1D) (P=0.005).

The results indicate that renal function before MTX infusion can be used to calculate the MTX concentrations at 36 h, meaning that MTX concentrations at 36 h can reflect the MTX metabolism status. The correlation between Cr at 48 h and concentration of MTX was significantly and positively correlated (P=0.000 and P=0.000, respectively) (Figure 2A, 2B). There was a significant negative correlation between the CCr level at 48 h and the plasma MTX concentrations at 48 h and 72 h (P=0.000 and P=0.000, respectively) (Figure 2C, 2D). MTX concentrations at 48 h and 72 h were also a significantly and positively correlated (Figure 2E).

Figure 2. (**A**) Cr at 48 h and MTX concentrations at 48 h. (**B**) Cr at 48 h and MTX concentrations at 72 h. (**C**) CCr at 48 h and the plasma MTX concentration at 48 h. (**D**) CCr at 48 h and the plasma MTX concentration at 72 h. (**E**) MTX concentrations at 48 h and 72 h.

Discussion

HD-MTX treatment of adult malignant tumors is not evidencebased, and the treatment plan mainly relies on the doctor's experience. Much previous research has focused on assessing the relationship between the polymorphisms of MTX and MTXrelated toxicities, but it is still difficult to use the patient's genotype to predict MTX toxicity and to correctly adjust the dosage [16,17].

We found that kidney function is good predictor of MTX toxicity because the kidneys are the main pathway for MTX elimination, and approximately 70–90% of MTX is excreted unchanged from urine [18]. The relationship between serum MTX concentration and creatinine clearance is controversial. Hempel et al. [19] found that MTX concentration was negatively associated with CCr level, which agrees with the present findings. However, Evans et al. [20] and Joannon et al. [21] reported that MTX concentrations have no relationship with CCr, but these studies were performed in children, not adults.

Our study shows a positive correlation between kidney function and MTX concentration in adults, and the Cr and CCr levels at 48 h after MTX infusion are positively correlated with MTX concentration. Our results revealed that MTX concentration and nephrotoxicity were significantly and high plasma MTX levels can induced nephrotoxicity. Using serum creatinine and CCr levels, clinicians can evaluate the MTX elimination status before the MTX concentration report is available and could help to enhance alkalization and hydration as early as possible. Our results also suggest that the blood concentration of MTX can predict whether MTX will induce infection, so clinicians could prophylactically administer antibiotics in advance based on MTX concentration.

References:

- 1. Mantadakis E, Cole PD, Kamen BA: High-dose methotrexate in acute lymphoblastic leukemia: Where is the evidence for its continued use? Pharmacotherapy, 2005; 25(2): 748–55
- 2. Abramson JS, Hellmann M, Barnes JA et al: Intravenous methotrexate as central nervous system (CNS) prophylaxis is associated with a low risk of CNS recurrence in high-risk patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Cancer, 2010; 116(18): 4283–90
- 3. Sterba J, Valík D, Bajciová V et al: High-dose methotrexate and/or leucovorin rescue for the treatment of children with lymphoblastic malignancies: Do we really know why, when and how? Neoplasma, 2005; 52(6): 456–63
- 4. Schmiegelow K: "Advances in individual prediction of methotrexate toxicity: A review. Br J Haematol, 2009; 146(5): 489–503
- 5. Relling MV, Fairclough D, Ayers D et al: Patient characteristics associated with high-risk methotrexate concentrations and toxicity. J Clin Oncol, 1994; 12(8): 1667–72
- 6. Ackland SP, Schilsky RL: High-dose methotrexate: A critical reappraisal. J Clin Oncol, 1987; 5: 2017–31
- 7. Sonis ST: The pathobiology of mucositis. Nat Rev Cancer, 2004; 4: 277–84

Acute kidney injury (AKI) develops in 2–12% of patients [22]. Our results suggest that the incidence rate of nephrotoxicity was 8.05% in our study (12/149 courses). It is unrealistic to monitor blood drug concentration of MTX, but regular measurement of renal function is feasible.

This study has certain limitations. First of all, it is limited by the relatively small number of patients included. Secondly, we did not consider the effect of homogeneity of disease severity on the results and this may have influenced the results. Thirdly, we did not consider hydration, alkalinization, or the frequency of chemotherapy. Therefore, our findings require replication in a study involving a larger cohort of patients and considering the possible factors what we described above. The predictors mentioned above may sometimes be more useful than regular measurement of MTX concentrations, which is difficult. Clearly, further studies are needed to confirm our results, but we believe our results are reliable and of clinical value.

Conclusions

Our results show that renal function is associated with MTX concentrations and the occurrence of renal toxicity and infection can be predicted when MTX concentration is high during HD-MTX in Chinese adult with ALL or NHL.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Pharmacy Department of Changhai Hospital for support and encouragement.

- 8. Evans WE, Crom WR, Abromowitch M et al: Clinical pharmacodynamics of high-dose methotrexate in acute lymphocytic leukemia. Identification of a relation between concentration and effect. N Engl J Med, 1986; 314(8): 471–77
- 9. Cohen IJ: Defining the appropriate dosage of folinic acid after high-dose methotrexate for childhood acute lymphatic leukemia that will prevent neurotoxicity without rescuing malignant cells in the central nervous system. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol, 2004; 26(3): 156–63
- 10. Widemann BC, Balis FM, Kim A et al: Glucarpidase, leucovorin, and thymidine for high-dose methotrexate-induced renal dysfunction: Clinical and pharmacologic factors affecting outcome. J Clin Oncol, 2010; 28(25): 3979–86
- 11. Takami M, Kuniyoshi Y, Oomukai T et al: Severe complications after highdose methotrexate treatment. Acta Oncol, 1995; 34(5): 611–12
- 12. Perazella MA, Moeckel GW: Nephrotoxicity from chemotherapeutic agents: Clinical manifestations, pathobiology, and prevention/therapy. Semin Nephrol, 2010; 30: 570–81
- 13. May J, Carson KR, Butler S et al: High incidence of methotrexate associated renal toxicity in patients with lymphoma: A retrospective analysis. Leuk Lymphoma, 2014; 55: 1345–49

- 14. Stoller RG, Hande KR, Jacobs SA et al: Use of plasma pharmacokinetics to predict and prevent methotrexate toxicity. N Engl J Med, 1977; 297(12): 630–34
- 15. Kodidela S, Chandra PS, Dubashi B: Pharmacogenetics of methotrexate in acute lymphoblastic leukemia: Why still at the bench level. Eur J Clin Pharmacol, 2014; 70(3): 253–60
- 16. Kishi S, Cheng C, French D et al: Ancestry and pharmacogenetics of antileukemic dug toxicity. Blood, 2007; 109(10): 4151–57
- 17. Schmiegelow K: Advances in individual prediction of methotrexate toxicity: A review. Br J Haematol, 2009; 146(5): 489–503
- 18. Adamson PC, Balis FM, Berg W, Blaney SM. General principles of chemotherapy. In: Principles and Practice of Pediatric Oncology, Pizzo PA, Poplack DG (eds.), Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 5th edition, 2005; 290–365
- 19. Hempel L, Misselwitz J, Fleck C et al: Influence of high-dose methotrexate therapy (HD-MTX) on glomerular and tubular kidney function. Med Pediatr Oncol, 2003; 40(6): 348–54
- 20. Evans WE, Crom WR, Stewart CF et al: Methotrexate systemic clearance influences probability of relapse in children with standard-risk acute lymphocytic leukaemia. Lancet, 1984; 1(8373): 359–62
- 21. Joannon P, Oviedo I, Campbell M et al: High-dose methotrexate therapy of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: Lack of relation between serum methotrexate concentration and creatinine clearance. Pediatr Blood Cancer, 2004; 43(1): 17–22
- 22. Howard SC, McCormick J, Pui CH et al: Preventing and managing toxicities of high-dose methotrexate. Oncologist, 2016; 21(12): 1471–82