



Published in final edited form as:

Palliat Support Care. 2019 October ; 17(5): 523–530. doi:10.1017/S1478951518000214.

Addressing Cancer Patient and Caregiver Role Transitions During Home Hospice Nursing Care

Janella Hudson, PhD¹, Maija Reblin, PhD¹, Margaret F Clayton, PhD², Lee Ellington, PhD²

¹Department of Health Outcomes and Behavior, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center and Research Institute, Tampa, FL

²College of Nursing, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT

Abstract

Objective—Many family caregivers and hospice patients experience role changes due to advancing illness and the need for increased caregiver responsibility. Successful navigation of conflicts that arise due to these role transitions has been linked to higher quality of patient care and improved caregiver bereavement adjustment. Nursing communication with patients and their caregivers plays an important role in facilitating these transitions. Our objective is to describe patient-caregiver-nurse communication during transitions at end of life.

Methods—A secondary, qualitative analysis was conducted on transcripts. Using an iterative process of constant comparison, coders inductively categorized nurse, caregiver, and patient communication behavior into overarching themes. Participants were home hospice nurses and cancer patient/spouse caregiver dyads; participants were over 45 years of age, English speaking, and cognitively able to participate. Research took place in the home during nurse visits.

Results—Nineteen unique home hospice visits were analyzed. Patient-caregiver conflict rested in two major content themes 1) negotiating transitions in patient independence and 2) navigating caregiver/patient emotions (e.g. frustration, sadness). Nurse responses to transition conflict included problem-solving, mediating, or facilitating discussions about conflicts. Nurse responses to emotional conflict included validation and reassurance.

Significance of Results—Our findings provide insight into the topics and processes involved in patient and caregiver transitions in home hospice and the role hospice nursing communication plays in mediating potential conflict. Nurses are often asked to take on the role of mediator, often with little conflict resolution communication education; results can be used for nursing education.

Keywords

Caregivers; hospice care; cancer; nurses; qualitative research

^{*}**Corresponding Author:** Maija Reblin, PhD, Moffitt Cancer Center, 12902 Magnolia Dr, MRC – CANCONT, Tampa, FL 33612, 813-745-8705, Maija.reblin@moffitt.org.

Disclosures

The Authors declare no conflict of interest with the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Introduction

Home hospice employs a unique care model in which family caregivers assume primary responsibility for patients' emotional and physical care, with the support of a hospice nurse leading an interdisciplinary team (National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization, 2015). Caregivers are explicitly included as a member of the care team (National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization, 2015), and a family-centered approach honors the caregiver's role, focusing on the medical and psychosocial health of the patient as well as the concerns and capability of family (Teno et al., 2004). The family centered approach is ideal given the transitions experienced by patient and family during end of life care. While family caregivers are often involved at all stages of cancer care, home hospice is arguably when caregivers take a more active role in patient care and interactions with health care providers. Patients and families at end of life are faced with complex, multi-dimensional decision-making processes, from understanding prognosis, deciding to forego curative treatment, and managing ongoing palliative and hospice care (Chen et al., 2003). Family members, especially those that take on caregiving responsibilities, are inextricably bound to these processes. Conflict and disagreement among family members occurs often and can present significant challenges (Kramer et al., 2010).

To achieve family-centered care, providers must use communication strategies that foster shared understanding, trust, and agreement with and among the family (Zolnierek & Dimatteo, 2009; Street, 2013; Street et al., 2009). Communication can be especially difficult in complex and emotionally-charged situations, such as at end-of-life (Bredart et al., 2005). There is a small, but growing literature on patient-caregiver-provider communication. This literature, mainly focused on clinical encounters, suggests that caregivers serve more as observers or advisors than as active participants (Laidsaar-Powell et al., 2016a; Laidsaar-Powell et al., 2016b; Eggly et al., 2013). There is less research describing the process of providers' facilitation through the evolving and potentially conflicting patient-caregiver goals of care. While family caregivers are often seen as proxies and advocates for the patient (Laidsaar-Powell et al., 2016a), caregiver and patient may not always agree on goals or how to achieve them.

Further, most hospice patients and caregivers experience role changes due to advancing patient illness and decline. Effective ongoing communication is required to manage these transitions (Duggleby et al., 2016). The emotional nature of the patient's impending death can contribute to communication difficulties for many families with advanced stage disease (Zhang et al., 2010; Zaider et al., 2017). Even long-term spouses who report "sharing everything" with each other often do not disclose physical or psychosocial/emotional health information (Checton & Greene, 2014). Instead of communicating with each other, some couples turn to third parties, due in part to a need to express emotions while "protecting" their spouse (Manne et al., 2007). For patients and caregivers that do communicate, but disagree, conflict may spill over into communication with others. For example, individuals may try to enlist others' support or request mediation, particularly if that individual has some expertise, such as hospice nurses. Though conflict can be detrimental, it can also be an important catalyst for change. By expressing fears and frustrations family members can resolve differences, share in decision-making, and experience positive emotions and deeper

connections. Previous research suggests that conflicts that contain positive emotions can shape more positive reactions and resolutions to conflict than wholly negative conflicts (Larsen et al., 2017; Halperin, 2013; Fredrickson, 2001). Failure to communicate or ongoing family conflicts can result in misunderstandings or disagreements about care goals and preferences, ultimately impacting care and quality of life, even affecting caregiver bereavement (Kissane et al., 1994; Kramer et al., 2010).

Often, the responsibility to resolve conflict between families at end of life falls to providers (Boelk & Kramer, 2012). However, many health care providers find communicating with families in conflict to be challenging (Laidsaar-Powell et al., 2017) and there is a need to better understand what conflict looks like in order to help providers learn how to address it (Boelk & Kramer, 2012). Despite this, most work on family conflict in home hospice is based on self-report or interview data (Hopeck & Harrison, 2017; Boelk & Kramer, 2012; Hamano et al.; Kramer et al., 2010) and few studies have captured observations of conflict and its resolution at end of life.

Objective

This paper describes communication among patients, caregivers and nurses during home hospice visits, with a focus on periods of role transition and emerging conflict. This study is an important contribution to current literature examining nurse intervention in patient-caregiver conflict. While previous qualitative studies have captured patient and caregiver accounts of communication conflict and barriers, this study observes real time interactions and exact communication, providing the specific feedback needed to design interventions for patients, caregivers and nurses.

Methods

The present study is a secondary analysis of home hospice interactions captured within a larger multisite longitudinal study of family caregivers in home hospice, the Cancer Caregiver Study-I (P01CA138317 PI Mooney) from August 2011 and was completed December 2014 and was approved by the Institutional Review Board and home hospice agencies.

Participants

Nurse participants were recruited from 7 hospice agencies in the Intermountain West (n=5) and Northeastern (n=2) United States. Caregiver-patient dyads were recruited from participating nurse caseloads. Eligibility criteria included spouse/partner caregivers of individuals with a cancer diagnosis newly enrolled in home hospice, over 45 years of age, English speaking, cognitively able to participate, and had at least one audio-taped visit with their hospice nurse. All participants (or their legal representatives) provided written informed consent. The current analysis included 19 patient-caregiver dyads and 19 unique nurses.

Procedures

Upon study enrollment, nurse and caregiver participants completed demographic questionnaires. Nurse participants audio-recorded their hospice home visits from study enrollment until patient death using a small, unobtrusive digital recorder. Visit recordings were analyzed by trained coders in the parent study (Reblin et al., 2016; Reblin et al., 2017) using the Roter Interaction Analysis System (RIAS), which identifies content and process communication strategies for each utterance, including positive and negative emotion expression (Roter & Larson, 2002).

Our goal in the current analysis was to identify potential conflict between patient and caregiver and its resolution. Based on the broaden and build theory (Fredrickson, 2001), which states that many people use positive emotions on the heels of negative emotion and/or conflict as a self-regulatory strategy, we selected recorded and coded home hospice nurse visits with a frequency of both positive and negative emotion RIAS codes one standard deviation or higher for both patient or caregiver. Nineteen visits from unique dyads were selected and professionally transcribed for qualitative analysis.

Analysis

As conflict and resolution in nurse-patient-caregiver communication research is largely unexplored, we used constant comparison methodology for analysis (Corbin & Strauss, 2008; Charmaz, 2006). Using hand coding methods, two authors (J.H., M.R.) with training and experience in analyzing clinic communication processes, first reviewed unrelated transcripts to become sensitized to specific verbal communication behaviors. Coders discussed and gained consensus regarding criteria for identifying instances of conflict involving nurse intervention and refined this process by identifying eligible passages using unrelated transcripts. After establishing consensus over the criteria for nurse/caregiver/patient conflict, coders collaboratively created a codebook that included accepted rules for identifying the start and end of passages as well as identifying and classifying verbal behaviors. Coders deductively developed a preliminary code list of key concepts. Nurse communication in coded exchanges was labeled to identify the following functions: problem-solving, mediation, or facilitating discussion about conflict. Coders also indicated whether nurses' responses provided validation and/or reassurance. Using the constant comparison method, coders identified new phenomena emerging from the text, while iteratively refining and updating the code list to reflect observed communication. After independently reviewing eight transcripts, coders met to collaboratively review and compare coding and reached consensus through mutual discussion together and with the authorship team. Final coding was entered into Atlas.ti (a qualitative software program). Coders collaborated to refine existing categories, integrating and collapsing instances with similar or related properties (Corbin & Strauss, 2008). Findings are presented thematically (Sandelowski & Leeman, 2012).

Ethical Approval

All procedures were conducted under approval by the University of Utah Institutional Review Board, protocol number 00033122.

Results

As shown in Table 1, average nurse age was 42.5 years, all 19 hospice nurses were female, and most were non-Hispanic white. Most held an associate's degree and had been practicing as a hospice nurse for an average of 6.2 years. Caregivers were spouses of patients (average years in relationship=35.9) and dyads were primarily non-Hispanic white. Most caregivers were female and slightly younger on average than patients (68.1 versus 72.2).

Negotiating Transitions in Independence

Caregivers identified the patients' decreasing mobility, endurance, or comfort as a pivotal transition in care. Caregivers and patients each initiated individual alliances with the hospice nurse, sharing their perspective or opinions regarding potential misconceptions and preferences for care, most often when the other was not present. In an effort to foster shared understanding, nurses frequently identified opportunities for caregivers to directly raise concerns with patients (and vice versa) – without disclosing the prior discussion with the nurse. In other cases, nurses served as an intermediary to address patient-caregiver issues, acting as a neutral third party. In one example, a caregiver privately confides in the nurse, expressing frustration:

Caregiver She sits on the steps. She thinks you can sit down the stairs and go down, easy. How do you do that getting up the stairs? You can't lift your bum up from one to the other. You can't do that going up the stairs, not with her strength. She had no strength to get on the stairs. So I said no.

The nurse in turn subsequently raises this issue with the patient:

Nurse I was telling [caregiver], if you're feeling tired...use the transport chair instead of walking. Let him wheel you out...And one of the things I want you to do is, when you go to get up, ask [caregiver] for some help, okay? I want you to try to remain independent and do it on your own, but you might be a little wobbly, and I don't want you to fall. [Facilitating discussion about previous conflict]

In another instance, the nurse listened as a patient aired his grievance concerning his wife's decision to revoke his driving privileges:

CG He's mad at me because I don't want him to drive.

Patient That's my biggest concern.

CG But when you see how slowly he moves and if a child ran out in front of the car -

Patient I need a haircut. Now my grandson is going to take me so I can finally get a haircut. It's amazing how many things you can't do when your license is taken away from you.

Nurse I know. That feels like all your independence is taken away. [Validation]

Patient It really doesn't need to be.

Nurse Yeah, I know.

Patient But she says it does.

CG [Patient], what if a child ran out in front of you?

Patient Well, that doesn't happen.

Caregiver ...It's the response time. You may feel like you're okay to drive but it's the response time.

Patient ...I do what I'm told.

Nurse Yeah.

CG I might want to get that in writing.

Patient Here's my witness. I do what I am told. Don't I?

While the nurse listens and provides the patient an opportunity to share his disappointment and anger, it is unclear if this approach is sufficient to help the couple resolve the issue of the patient's driving. Despite both patient and caregiver offering their perspectives, the use of humor and remaining tension suggest this couple may require additional negotiation before the issue is resolved.

During another interaction, a caregiver expressed frustration about a patient's immediate family members, and their continued reliance on the patient's "handy man" skills, despite his increasing fatigue. In this instance, the patient attempted a plumbing job that left him severely fatigued. The nurse manages to reframe the issue for the caregiver, identifying the patient's need to feel useful and suggesting alternative activities that still allowed him to address that need. This delicate balancing act gently addresses the caregiver's concern and allows the patient to share his own perspective.

Caregiver He likes being able to be helpful but sometimes it's more harm than good...

Nurse It's more harm on him? Yeah. Well, I know he likes to help and he likes to - it makes you feel useful and I think you're probably not feeling a lot of that these days with everything that's going on, right? [Validation]

Patient Right. Damn sure not.

Nurse So you maybe you could find things that aren't too physical that you could help with. [Mediates conflict between patient and caregiver]

While patients expressed frustration over the loss of independence, caregivers similarly vented their sadness and sense of helplessness to the nurse about assuming more responsibility and/or control over the patient and his/her affairs. Caregivers were committed to guiding patients through the transitions inherent to hospice care, but were often

confronted with patients' frustration and noncompliance. Caregivers routinely turned to the nurse for assistance and emotional support in response to these challenges.

In one instance, a caregiver vents her frustration when a nurse conveys the importance of a patient taking his long-acting pain medication:

Caregiver That's what I've been trying to get him to do. And I'll say, okay, you need to take these right now. 'Okay, I will.' I put them right there. And when I come back from work they're still sitting there, when I come home. 'Okay, you didn't take them. What have you taken today?' ...And all of the things were still full, so I knew he hadn't taken anything since I gave him the morphine this morning. So I'm like, you gotta take the pain pills. You're in pain, take the pain pills.

In another instance, a caregiver discussed his attempts to arrange alternative sleeping arrangements for his wife once she was no longer able to climb the stairs to their bedroom. After moving the bed to the first floor and rearranging furniture to accommodate her, the caregiver reported that his wife was unhappy having to sleep on a different side of the bed than she was accustomed to:

Caregiver I said, "It's my bed, too, honey. I've been sleeping there just as long as you have. I can go upstairs every night and go to sleep. I don't have to sleep down here. You have to sleep down here. I'm sleeping with you because I want to sleep with you. But I don't have to sleep here. I miss the bed, too. I'm sleeping on the wrong side." I always sleep on this side, and she's on the other side. She said, "I'm on the wrong side." I said, "You can't sleep on that side because you cannot - where are you going to go? Go over there, hit the table, come around here, slip in the chair, slip on this thing, fall here. You'll kill yourself getting up to get out. You have to get out here to go to the bathroom. This is why you're on that side."

In yet another instance, a caregiver conveys helplessness when his wife's diminishing cognition resulted in a prolonged misunderstanding:

Caregiver Last night I came in the living room and said 'I want to make sure [the bag for her feeder is] ok for the night.' ...But she was convinced this was underwear.

Nurse Ok, yeah.

Caregiver And it took me ten minutes... I showed her the bag, what went on it. It took me ten minutes to convince her she couldn't wear this.

Nurse Right, that's hard. That's hard, isn't it? [Validation]

Caregiver And that's new. ...And I don't know if it was the stroke because she just couldn't find the words, but she was insisting, 'Well, what am I going to use if I can't have this? And I said, 'You can use two of these but then I won't have a bag.' And that went on for 10 minutes...

Nurse Oh, I'm sorry.

In a statement that appears to be balancing frustration with heartfelt caring, a caregiver confided to her husband's hospice nurse:

Caregiver I can't imagine how those people do it that if they don't love their spouse.

Nurse (murmuring in agreement) Oh gosh.

Caregiver Now I understand how people can leave in the middle of an illness. Before I was always like, 'What an a-hole, how could somebody do that?' But now I see the stress, I'm like...

Nurse Yeah.

Caregiver And when he was going through treatment I would say the same thing. I was like, 'Honey, if I didn't love you so much I could f-ing kill you myself...' [Supportive listening]

These examples illustrate the complexity of the patients' gradual loss of independence and the increased responsibility caregivers assume. This process is often characterized by conflict, frustration, a sense of helplessness and underlying sadness, and inevitable shifts in the caregiver-patient relationship.

Navigating Emotional/Psychosocial Transitions

Caregivers and patients consulted hospice nurses to share their discomfort concerning transitions in responsibility and household tasks, including instrumental planning of death. Patients were dismayed to find they could no longer complete certain tasks they had always completed or support their spouse as they had previously. Observing the start of a snowfall, one patient lamented to his nurse about not being able to shovel the walk: *"This is going to be hard. This is the first one I'm not going to be able to do anything about."* Caregivers similarly were struck by the realization that they would need to assume new household tasks and responsibilities in the wake of the patient's death.

In addition to problem-solving anticipated household issues, nurses were also asked about legal and administrative issues, such as power of attorney and changing documentation of ownership.

Caregiver Do you know anything about - like, the cars are in, the titles are in his name. Should I have him sign the titles?

Nurse Well, you guys are married, right? [The social worker] would be a good person to ask that because she works a lot -

Caregiver Okay, so when I see her, if I see her tomorrow... [Practical advice]

As an indirect conduit for communication, hospice nurses facilitated shared understanding surrounding issues that patients and caregivers might have been reticent to openly discuss together, such as the inevitability of the patient's death. In some cases, caregivers and patients were able to openly discuss the patient's approaching death. Many times, however, both patient and caregiver confided their concerns, questions, and anticipatory grief to the

hospice nurse separately. The hospice nurse, in turn, provided comfort, reassurance, and insight about the issues facing the other person. In this way, the hospice nurse served an intermediary through which patients and caregivers communicated their emotional and instrumental needs in the face of impending death.

Given the sensitive nature of these conversations, the hospice nurse was a safe and ideal resource for facilitating understanding of these tensions, and served as a safe conduit for spouses to share these emotions for each other. In the following example, the hospice nurse gently informed the caregiver of the patient's concerns about his caregiving needs and the possible burden on the caregiver:

Nurse He was asking me if it got to a point where you couldn't care for him, if he could - if there was a way we could set up a nursing home.

Caregiver Oh, is that the truth?

Nurse That's what he asked, so I think he's worried about you too so –

Caregiver Oh, that's so sad.

Below, the patient shares his observations about the caregiver's emotional acceptance of his death:

Patient Oh, I hear her talking about all kinds of plans she made, for my funeral and everything. So, I think she's finally accepted it.

In another example, the hospice nurse relays the patient's preferences for transition to her spouse:

Nurse I told her, I said, "I'll see you on Monday" and she said, "Dead or alive?" I said, "Yes but preferably alive; at least one last time." But she did say I wanna go sooner rather than later.

Caregiver She did?

Nurse Yes. She does. [Emotional support]

During the course of hospice care, and especially as the patient approached death, some patients and caregivers were reluctant to voice difficult issues with each other. As an intermediary, the hospice nurse helped facilitate the transmission of information and sentiments that may have been too difficult for caregivers and patients to communicate directly.

Discussion

Our findings show that caregivers and patients struggle to process the loss of patient independence and greater caregiver control associated with end of life. Hospice care may largely focus on the symptom management of the patient, but it is clear that the psychosocial and practical needs of both patient and caregiver are inextricably linked (Dy et al., 2015;

Ellington et al., 2017). Outside assistance from hospice nurses may be needed to facilitate constructive transitions for families facing end of life; hospice nurses can provide this needed support (Clayton et al., 2017; Reblin et al., 2015). Previous literature has demonstrated the inevitability of patient-caregiver transitions and the potential for turmoil that may accompany those changes (Duggleby et al., 2016). Our findings provide further insight into the topics and processes involved in these transitions and the role hospice nurse communication can play in mediating potential conflict.

While hospice patients are aware of their life-limiting disease, they are often unprepared and saddened by progressively decreasing independence, such as losing the ability to drive or move freely throughout the house without assistance. These events attain great significance as both caregivers and patients struggle to grieve a multi-layered loss of the patient's life, as well as the steady loss of the patient's ability to fulfill their traditional roles with the caregiver and others and participate in familiar activities. Hospice nurses who acknowledge these elements of personhood can build trust with the family and improve patient care (Chochinov et al., 2015). Facilitating communication between patients and caregivers may also reduce anxiety, facilitate adaptation to new realities, enhance family-centered care, and improve quality of life (Wittenberg et al., 2017; Bernacki et al., 2014).

Nurses in our study were regularly consulted by families to address psychosocial and emotional needs that could also be addressed by a social worker. Caregivers and patients were invited to consult the social worker on the care team, but nurses ultimately mediated much of the conflict and distress reported by participants. In many cases, nurses were able to adequately address patient and caregiver psychosocial needs, but in other instances patients and caregivers seemed to require additional intervention. While nurses may be perceived as more accessible and are perhaps more familiar with existing family communication patterns, patients may have benefitted from social workers' specialized training in addressing conflict surround end-of-life care. Nurses can benefit from additional training to address the scenarios that we have discussed, and may find it beneficial to round on hospice patients with a social present.

In this study, hospice nurses were powerful allies for caregivers who struggled to manage patients' medical, emotional, and psychosocial issues in the midst of their own anticipatory grief. Caregivers regularly consulted hospice nurses, who were often seen as experts in end of life care, to address their fears and uncertainties. Consistent with previous research (Clayton et al., 2014; François et al., 2017), nurses addressed concerns with emotional support, validation, and practical advice, such as suggestions for lawn care, banking, counseling and seeking support from family and friends.

Limitations

Our study does not capture conflicts not directly raised verbally during nursing visits. Further, while nurses in our data were largely responsive to direct appeals for involvement, they did not address other potential areas of conflict. These interactions may happen with other members of the hospice team, but could also reflect nurses' perceived difficulty in managing family conflict (Zaider et al., 2017). Future research should assess the outcomes

of these discussions, both in terms of whether they resolve conflict, but also in terms of patient and caregiver well-being.

Implications for End-of-Life Care

We provide examples of how nurses communicate in an attempt to mediate transitions patients and caregivers experience during home hospice care (i.e., negotiating transitions surrounding loss of independence). These examples of what types of communication occurs and may be demanded of nurses in home hospice care may be useful for developing communication skills training to address the management of family conflict, a skill often lacking in basic curricula and where some nurses lack confidence (Wittenberg et al., 2015). These findings can guide and inform communication interventions informed to improve facilitation of communication between nurses, caregivers and patients during the hospice care continuum.

Conclusion

Hospice nurses assume multiple roles including confidante and mediator in home hospice settings. The current study demonstrates that nurses are frequently asked to address emotionally laden and complex interactions that must be handled with skill to preserve the caregiver-patient relationship. These duties exist far beyond the provision of physical care, highlighting the need to provide hospice nurses with communication skills to support both patients and caregivers. Our work demonstrates the need for focused communication interventions in cancer home hospice care. Patients and caregivers each have their own unique perspective, but their experiences are linked in a way that requires communication to achieve mutually agreeable solutions. Helping dyads communicate more effectively with each other can help resolve differences, create intimacy through shared emotions, and deepen their caregiving experience (Cassidy, 2013; Manne & Badr, 2008; Manne et al., 2010), ultimately impacting care, quality of life, and caregiver bereavement (Kissane et al., 1994; Kramer et al., 2010).

Acknowledgments

Funding

Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health under award number P01CA138317 (PI Mooney; Project Leader Ellington), the American Cancer Society under award number ACS MRSG 13-234-01-PCSM (PI Reblin) and the National Cancer Institute under award 5R25 CA090314 (PI: Brandon). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the American Cancer Society or the National Institutes of Health. The authors would like to thank the participants who make this research possible.

References

- Bernacki RE, Block SD, American College of Physicians High Value Care Task Force. 2014; Communication about serious illness care goals: A review and synthesis of best practices. *JAMA Internal Medicine*. 174(12):1994–2003. [PubMed: 25330167]
- Boelk AZ, Kramer BJ. 2012; Advancing theory of family conflict at the end of life: A hospice case study. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 44(5):655–670. [PubMed: 22727256]
- Bredart A, Bouleuc C, Dolbeault S. 2005; Doctor-patient communication and satisfaction with care in oncology. *Current Opinion in Oncology*. 17(4):351–354. [PubMed: 15933466]

- Cassidy T. 2013; Benefit finding through caring: The cancer caregiver experience. *Psychology & Health*. 28(3):250–266. [PubMed: 22928621]
- Charmaz, K. *Constructing Grounded Theory*. London: SAGE; 2006.
- Checton MG, Greene K. 2014; I tell my partner everything ... (or not). *Journal of Family Nursing*. 20(2):164–184. [PubMed: 24476675]
- Chen H, Haley WE, Robinson BE, Schonwetter RS. 2003; Decisions for hospice care in patients with advanced cancer. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 51(6):789–797. [PubMed: 12757565]
- Chochinov HM, McClement S, Hack T, Thompson G, Dufault B, Harlos M. 2015; Eliciting personhood within clinical practice: Effects on patients, families, and health care providers. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*. 49(6):974–980.e972. [PubMed: 25527441]
- Clayton MF, Hulett J, Kuar K, Reblin M, Wilson A, Ellington L. 2017; Nursing support of home hospice caregivers on the day of cancer patient death. *Oncology Nursing Forum*. 44(4):1–8.
- Clayton MF, Reblin M, Carlisle M, Ellington L. 2014; Communication behaviors and patient and caregiver emotional concerns: A description of home hospice communication. *Oncology Nursing Forum*. 41(3):311–321. [PubMed: 24769595]
- Corbin, J, Strauss, A. *Basics of Qualitative Research: Techniques and Procedures for Developing Grounded Theory*. Los Angeles, CA: SAGE; 2008.
- Duggleby W, Tycholiz J, Holtslander L, Hudson P, Nikolaichuk C, Mirhosseini M, Parmar J, Chambers T, Alook A, Swindle J. 2016; A metasynthesis study of family caregivers' transition experiences caring for community-dwelling persons with advanced cancer at the end of life. *Palliative Medicine*. 31(7):602–616. [PubMed: 28618898]
- Dy SM, Kiley KB, Ast K, Lupu D, Norton SA, McMillan SC, Herr K, Rotella JD, Casarett DJ. 2015; Measuring what matters: Top-ranked quality indicators for hospice and palliative care from the American Academy of Hospice and Palliative Medicine and Hospice and Palliative Nurses Association. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*. 49(4):773–781. [PubMed: 25697097]
- Eggle S, Penner LA, Hagiwara N, Gonzalez R, Harper FW, Heath EI, Albrecht TL. 2013; Patient, companion, and oncologist agreement regarding information discussed during triadic oncology clinical interactions. *Psychooncology*. 22(3):637–645. [PubMed: 22337320]
- Ellington L, Clayton MF, Reblin M, Donaldson G, Latimer S. 2017; Communication among cancer patients, caregivers, and hospice nurses: Content, process and change over time [epub]. *Patient Education and Counseling*.
- François K, Lobb E, Barclay S, Forbat L. 2017; The nature of conflict in palliative care: A qualitative exploration of the experiences of staff and family members. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 100(8):1459–1465. [PubMed: 28268054]
- Fredrickson BL. 2001; The role of positive emotions in positive psychology. The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. *American Psychologist*. 56(3):218–226. [PubMed: 11315248]
- Halperin E. 2013; Emotion, emotion regulation, and conflict resolution. *Emotion Review*. 6(1):68–76.
- Hamano J, Morita T, Mori M, Igarashi N, Shima Y, Miyashita M. 2018; Prevalence and predictors of conflict in the families of patients with advanced cancer: A nationwide survey of bereaved family members. *Psycho Oncology*. 27(1):302–308. [PubMed: 28742228]
- Hopeck P, Harrison TR. 2017; Reframing, refocusing, referring, reconciling, and reflecting: Exploring conflict resolution strategies in end-of-life situations. *Health Communication*. 32(2):240–246. [PubMed: 27177189]
- Kissane DW, Bloch S, Burns WI, McKenzie D, Posterino M. 1994; Psychological morbidity in the families of patients with cancer. *Psycho-Oncology*. 3(1):47–56.
- Kramer BJ, Kavanaugh M, Trentham-Dietz A, Walsh M, Yonker JA. 2010; Predictors of family conflict at the end of life: The experience of spouses and adult children of persons with lung cancer. *Gerontologist*. 50(2):215–225. [PubMed: 19671660]
- Laidsaar-Powell R, Butow P, Bu S, Charles C, Gafni A, Fisher A, Juraskova I. 2016a; Family involvement in cancer treatment decision-making: A qualitative study of patient, family, and clinician attitudes and experiences. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 99(7):1146–1155. [PubMed: 26873544]

- Laidsaar-Powell R, Butow P, Bu S, Fisher A, Juraskova I. 2016b; Attitudes and experiences of family involvement in cancer consultations: A qualitative exploration of patient and family member perspectives. *Supportive Care in Cancer*. 24(10):4131–4140. [PubMed: 27137213]
- Laidsaar-Powell R, Butow P, Bu S, Fisher A, Juraskova I. 2017; Oncologists' and oncology nurses' attitudes and practices towards family involvement in cancer consultations. *European Journal of Cancer Care*. 26(1):1–14.
- Larsen JT, Hershfield HE, Stastny BJ, Hester N. 2017; On the relationship between positive and negative affect: Their correlation and their co-occurrence. *Emotion*. 17(2):323–336. [PubMed: 27709977]
- Manne S, Badr H. 2008; Intimacy and relationship processes in couples' psychosocial adaptation to cancer. *Cancer*. 112(11 Suppl):2541–2555. [PubMed: 18428202]
- Manne S, Badr H, Zaider T, Nelson C, Kissane D. 2010; Cancer-related communication, relationship intimacy, and psychological distress among couples coping with localized prostate cancer. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship: Research and Practice*. 4(1):74–85. [PubMed: 19967408]
- Manne SL, Norton TR, Ostroff JS, Winkel G, Fox K, Grana G. 2007; Protective buffering and psychological distress among couples coping with breast cancer: The moderating role of relationship satisfaction. *Journal of Family Psychology*. 21(3):380–388. [PubMed: 17874923]
- National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization. NHPCO Facts and Figures: Hospice Care in America. Alexandria, VA: National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization; 2015.
- Reblin M, Clayton MF, John KK, Ellington L. 2016; Addressing methodological challenges in large communication data sets: Collecting and coding longitudinal interactions in home hospice cancer care. *Health Communication*. 31(7):789–797. [PubMed: 26580414]
- Reblin M, Clayton MF, Xu J, Hulett JM, Latimer S, Donaldson GW, Ellington L. 2017; Caregiver, patient, and nurse visit communication patterns in cancer home hospice. *Psycho-Oncology*. 26(12):2285–2293. [PubMed: 28029712]
- Reblin M, Cloyes KG, Carpenter J, Berry PH, Clayton MF, Ellington L. 2015; Social support needs: Discordance between home hospice nurses and former family caregivers. *Palliative & Supportive Care*. 13(3):465–472. [PubMed: 24528800]
- Roter D, Larson S. 2002; The Roter interaction analysis system (RIAS): Utility and flexibility for analysis of medical interactions. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 46(4):243–251. [PubMed: 11932123]
- Sandelowski M, Leeman J. 2012; Writing usable qualitative health research findings. *Qualitative Health Research*. 22(10):1404–1413. [PubMed: 22745362]
- Scientific Software Development. ATLAS.ti. Berlin: Scientific Software Development; 1999. Version 8. [Computer Software]
- Street RL. 2013; How clinician–patient communication contributes to health improvement: Modeling pathways from talk to outcome. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 92(3):286–291. [PubMed: 23746769]
- Street RL Jr, Makoul G, Arora NK, Epstein RM. 2009; How does communication heal? Pathways linking clinician–patient communication to health outcomes. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 74(3):295–301. [PubMed: 19150199]
- Teno JM, Clarridge BR, Casey V, et al. 2004; Family perspectives on end-of-life care at the last place of care. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 291(1):88–93. [PubMed: 14709580]
- Wittenberg E, Borneman T, Koczywas M, Del Ferraro C, Ferrell B. 2017; Cancer communication and family caregiver quality of life. *Behavioral Sciences*. 7(1):12.
- Wittenberg E, Goldsmith J, Neiman T. 2015; Nurse-perceived communication challenges and roles on interprofessional care teams. *Journal of Hospice & Palliative Nursing*. 17(3):257–262.
- Zaider T, Hichenberg S, Latella L. Advancing family communication skills in oncology nursing. Kissane, DW, Bultz, BD, Butow, PN, Bylund, CL, Noble, S, Wilkinson, S, editors. Oxford University Press; 2017. 181 Oxford Textbook of Communication in Oncology and Palliative Care
- Zhang AY, Zyzanski SJ, Siminoff LA. 2010; Differential patient-caregiver opinions of treatment and care for advanced lung cancer patients. *Social Science & Medicine*. 70(8):1155–1158. [PubMed: 20137849]

Zolnierek KB, Dimatteo MR. 2009; Physician communication and patient adherence to treatment: A meta-analysis. *Medical Care*. 47(8):826–834. [PubMed: 19584762]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1

Study Demographic Information

Demographic Information			
	Patient N=19	Caregiver n=19	Nurse N=19
	n	n	n
Gender			
Male	16	3	0
Female	3	16	19
Ethnicity			
Hispanic	3	3	1
Non-Hispanic	16	16	18
Race			
White	19	19	18
Native American	0	0	1
Nursing Education			
Associate	–	–	14
Bachelors	–	–	4
Annual Household Income			
\$10,000–24,999	–	4	–
\$25,000–39,999	–	2	–
\$40,000–59,999	–	6	–
\$50,000–74,999	–	2	–
\$75,000 or more	–	4	–
Declined to answer	–	1	–
Employment			
Not working	–	13	–
Part-time	–	4	–
Full time	–	2	–
Self-reported Health			
Excellent	–	2	–
Very good	–	9	–
Average	–	4	–
Poor	–	4	–
Very Poor	–	0	–

Demographic Information			
	Patient N=19	Caregiver n=19	Nurse N=19
	n	n	n
Primary Insurance			
Private	3	–	–
Medicaid	1	–	–
Medicare	13	–	–
Other (e.g. TriCare)	2	–	–
	M (Range)	M (Range)	M (Range)
Age (years)			
	72.2 (53–87)	68.1 (50–83)	42.5 (28–58)
Length of Relationship (years)			
	35.9 (2–65)		–
Days in Hospice			
	82.6 (21–314)		–
Hospice Nursing Experience (years)			
		–	6.2 (<1–21)

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript