

The Expanding Molecular Genetics Tool Kit in Chlamydia

Raphael H. Valdivia,a Robert J. Bastidasa

Journal of

SOCIETY FOR Bacteriology

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR

aDepartment of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology and Center for Host-Microbial Interactions, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, USA

ABSTRACT Chlamydia has emerged as an important model system for the study of host pathogen interactions, in part due to a resurgence in the development of tools for its molecular genetic manipulation. An additional tool, published by Keb et al. (G. Keb, R. Hayman, and K. A. Fields, J. Bacteriol. 200:e00479-18, 2018, [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00479-18) [.1128/JB.00479-18\)](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00479-18), now allows for custom genetic engineering of genomic regions that were traditionally recalcitrant to genetic manipulation, such as genes within operons. This new method will be an essential instrument for the elucidation of Chlamydia-host interactions.

KEYWORDS Chlamydia genetics, Chlamydia trachomatis

For decades, Chlamydia trachomatis, the causative agent of ocular and venereal diseases, remained frustratingly intractable to any form of stable genetic manipulation, with only a few reports describing the successful transformation of Chlamydia species prior to 2007 [\(1,](#page-1-0) [2\)](#page-1-1). However, the observation that Chlamydia readily exchanges DNA by lateral gene transfer (LGT) [\(3,](#page-1-2) [4\)](#page-1-3) in the setting of coinfections paved the way for experimental system where mutations can be passed from one strain to another and thus enable genotype-phenotype associations [\(5,](#page-1-4) [6\)](#page-1-5). Point mutations derived spontaneously or after exposure to mutagens provide hypo- and hypermorphic alleles [\(5\)](#page-1-4) and temperature-sensitive mutations [\(7\)](#page-1-6), further expanding the emerging genetic toolkit available to study C. trachomatis.

A turning point in Chlamydia genetics was the finding by Clarke and colleagues that C. trachomatis can be stably transformed with a chimeric plasmid derived from the Chlamydia "cryptic" plasmid and a ColE1 plasmid after CaCl₂ treatment and selection with penicillin G [\(8\)](#page-1-7). The observation that C. trachomatis is transformable then led to the use of suicide vectors to deliver other tools for genome engineering, such as group II introns for targeted insertional disruption of Chlamydia genes [\(9,](#page-2-0) [10\)](#page-2-1). This tool, known as TargeTron, is used broadly in many bacterial species but relies on gene-specific predictive algorithms for target design that vary in efficiency and specificity. Insertional mutagenesis with TargeTron-based vectors invariably will have polar effects if insertions occur at the 3' end of operons, making it more difficult to assign specific function to individual genes within an operon.

Mueller and colleagues [\(12\)](#page-2-2) developed an alternative strategy for targeted mutagenesis called fluorescence-reported allelic exchange mutagenesis (FRAEM). In this system, open reading frames are replaced by allelic exchange with a selectable marker [\(12\)](#page-2-2). The FRAEM plasmid vector provides the substrate for allelic exchange in the form of a gfp-blaM cassette flanked by 3 kb of DNA homologous sequences upstream and downstream of the chromosomal region to be replaced. The elegance of this approach relies on making the FRAEM plasmid conditionally replicative by placing pgp6, which controls plasmid maintenance and inheritance [\(13,](#page-2-3) [14\)](#page-2-4), under the control of an anhydrous tetracycline (aTet)-inducible genetic circuit. Allelic exchange occurs as a two-step process, where selection for penicillin resistance selects for transformed Chlamydia expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP) and mCherry, which is present in the FRAEM

Accepted manuscript posted online 8 October 2018

Citation Valdivia RH, Bastidas RJ. 2018. The expanding molecular genetics tool kit in Chlamydia. J Bacteriol 200:e00590-18. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00590-18) [doi.org/10.1128/JB.00590-18.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00590-18)

Editor Thomas J. Silhavy, Princeton University **Copyright** © 2018 American Society for

Microbiology. [All Rights Reserved.](https://doi.org/10.1128/ASMCopyrightv2) Address correspondence to Robert J. Bastidas,

[robert.bastidas@duke.edu.](mailto:robert.bastidas@duke.edu)

For the article discussed, see [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00479-18) [.1128/JB.00479-18.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00479-18)

The views expressed in this Commentary do not necessarily reflect the views of this journal or of ASM. plasmid backbone. These clones are then screened in the absence of aTet for loss of the mCherry marker and maintenance of GFP, which selects for allelic exchange resulting from double-crossover events. In this issue, Keb and colleagues expand the FRAEM system by incorporating flanking $loxP$ sites to the qfp -blaM cassette and adding a step where a second suicide plasmid encoding Cre recombinase is introduced by LGT [\(11\)](#page-2-5). As a result, the floxed gfp-blaM cassette is rapidly excised from the chromosome, leaving a small loxP scar.

The utility of this new system is manyfold. An obvious one is the ability to generate markerless gene deletions, opening the door for generating Chlamydia strains with multiple gene deletions. This is especially advantageous for C. trachomatis given the small number of selectable markers that are currently available. Markerless strains also have the added benefit of limiting any impact the expression of the selectable marker may have on Chlamydia fitness. In addition, the broad application of the Cre-loxP system, either through FRAEM or TargeTron, opens the possibility for extensive genome editing in Chlamydia, allowing the excision of large regions of chromosomal DNA. Such a system might be useful for characterizing the function of chromosomal regions, such as the highly polymorphic plasticity zones, or the family of expanded homologous polymorphic membrane proteins (Pmp) found among various Chlamydia species and their contributions to both Chlamydia virulence and tissue tropisms [\(15,](#page-2-6) [16\)](#page-2-7). The FRAEM-based Cre-loxP system should enable the deletion of Chlamydia noncoding RNAs and small RNAs, two gene regulatory elements that have remained largely uncharacterized in Chlamydia species. Furthermore, the Cre-loxP system can be adapted to generate conditional excision of essential genes by controlling the expression of Cre.

Bacterial genes are often organized in polycistronic operons so as to coregulate the synthesis of proteins that perform related functions. The FRAEM-based Cre-loxP now enables individualized disruption of genes within operons without polar effects on the transcription of genes in the 3' direction from the targeted gene. Keb and colleagues demonstrate that such an analysis is feasible in Chlamydia spp. by utilizing their FRAEM Cre-loxP system to delete the gene encoding the secreted Chlamydia effector TmeA. Both tmeA (CTL0063) and tmeB (CTL0064) are transcribed as an operon [\(17\)](#page-2-8). Excision of the tmeA locus by FRAEM revealed a role for TmeA in promoting Chlamydia invasion of cultured cells [\(18\)](#page-2-9). However, the excision of tmeA also impacted tmeB transcription [\(12\)](#page-2-2), confounding the role for TmeA in Chlamydia invasion. Using their FRAEM Cre-loxP system, Keb and colleagues excised tmeA without compromising TmeB production and observed the same phenotypes associated with a previous disruption of tmeA.

The application of the FRAEM Cre-loxP system will have an immediate impact on the field of Chlamydia molecular genetics. Together with new reports describing the feasibility of CRISPR/Cas in Chlamydia spp. [\(19\)](#page-2-10), the current genetic toolkit now enables customized cleavage at essentially any locus in the genome, establishing C. trachomatis as a versatile model system for the study of host-pathogen interactions and pathogenesis.

REFERENCES

- 1. Tam JE, Davis CH, Wyrick PB. 1994. Expression of recombinant DNA introduced into Chlamydia trachomatis by electroporation. Can J Microbiol 40:583–591. [https://doi.org/10.1139/m94-093.](https://doi.org/10.1139/m94-093)
- 2. Binet R, Maurelli AT. 2009. Transformation and isolation of allelic exchange mutants of Chlamydia psittaci using recombinant DNA introduced by electroporation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:292-297. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0806768106) [doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0806768106.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0806768106)
- 3. DeMars R, Weinfurter J, Guex E, Lin J, Potucek Y. 2007. Lateral gene transfer in vitro in the intracellular pathogen Chlamydia trachomatis. J Bacteriol 189:991–1003. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00845-06.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00845-06)
- 4. DeMars R, Weinfurter J. 2008. Interstrain gene transfer in Chlamydia trachomatis in vitro: mechanism and significance. J Bacteriol 190: 1605–1614. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01592-07.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01592-07)
- 5. Nguyen BD, Valdivia RH. 2012. Virulence determinants in the obligate

intracellular pathogen Chlamydia trachomatis revealed by forward genetic approaches. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109:1263-1268. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1117884109) [.org/10.1073/pnas.1117884109.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1117884109)

- 6. Kokes M, Dunn JD, Granek JA, Nguyen BD, Barker JR, Valdivia RH, Bastidas RJ. 2015. Integrating chemical mutagenesis and wholegenome sequencing as a platform for forward and reverse genetic analysis of Chlamydia. Cell Host Microbe 17:716 –725. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.03.014) [10.1016/j.chom.2015.03.014.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.03.014)
- 7. Brothwell JA, Muramatsu MK, Toh E, Rockey DD, Putman TE, Barta ML, Hefty PS, Suchland RJ, Nelson DE. 2016. Interrogating genes that mediate Chlamydia trachomatis survival in cell culture using conditional mutants and recombination. J Bacteriol 198:2131–2139. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00161-16) [10.1128/JB.00161-16.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00161-16)
- 8. Wang Y, Kahane S, Cutcliffe LT, Skilton RJ, Lambden PR, Clarke IN. 2011.

Development of a transformation system for Chlamydia trachomatis: restoration of glycogen biosynthesis by acquisition of a plasmid shuttle vector. PLoS Pathog 7:e1002258. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002258) [.1002258.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002258)

- 9. Johnson CM, Fisher DJ. 2013. Site-specific, insertional inactivation of incA in Chlamydia trachomatis using a group II intron. PLoS One 8:e83989. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1371/annotation/b7213da3-498c-43bf-b42c-1f22934e17dd) [doi.org/10.1371/annotation/b7213da3-498c-43bf-b42c-1f22934e17dd.](https://doi.org/10.1371/annotation/b7213da3-498c-43bf-b42c-1f22934e17dd)
- 10. Lowden NM, Yeruva L, Johnson CM, Bowlin AK, Fisher DJ. 2015. Use of aminoglycoside 3' adenyltransferase as a selection marker for Chlamydia trachomatis intron-mutagenesis and in vivo intron stability. BMC Res Notes 8:570. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-015-1542-9.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-015-1542-9)
- 11. Keb G, Hayman R, Fields KA. 2018. Floxed-cassette allelic exchange mutagenesis enables markerless gene deletion in Chlamydia trachomatis and can reverse cassette-induced polar effects. J Bacteriol 200:e00479-18. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00479-18.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00479-18)
- 12. Mueller KE, Wolf K, Fields KA. 2016. Gene deletion by fluorescencereported allelic exchange mutagenesis in Chlamydia trachomatis. mBio 7:e01817-15. [https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01817-15.](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01817-15)
- 13. Song L, Carlson JH, Whitmire WM, Kari L, Virtaneva K, Sturdevant DE, Watkins H, ZhouB SGL, Porcella SF, McClarty G, Caldwell HD. 2013. Chlamydia trachomatis plasmid-encoded Pgp4 is a transcriptional regulator of virulence-associated genes. Infect Immun 81:636 – 644. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01305-12) [doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01305-12.](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01305-12)
- 14. Gong S, Yang Z, Lei L, Shen L, Zhong G. 2013. Characterization of Chlamydia trachomatis plasmid-encoded open reading frames. J Bacteriol 195:3819 –3826. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00511-13.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00511-13)
- 15. Fehlner-Gardiner C, Roshick C, Carlson JH, Hughes S, Belland RJ, Caldwell HD, McClarty G. 2002. Molecular basis defining human Chlamydia trachomatis tissue tropism. A possible role for tryptophan synthase. J Biol Chem 277:26893–26903. [https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M203937200.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M203937200)
- 16. Gomes JP, Nunes A, Bruno WJ, Borrego MJ, Florindo C, Dean D. 2006. Polymorphisms in the nine polymorphic membrane proteins of Chlamydia trachomatis across all serovars: evidence for serovar Da recombination and correlation with tissue tropism. J Bacteriol 188:275–286. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.188.1.275-286.2006.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.188.1.275-286.2006)
- 17. Mueller KE, Fields KA. 2015. Application of beta-lactamase reporter fusions as an indicator of effector protein secretion during infections with the obligate intracellular pathogen Chlamydia trachomatis. PLoS One 10:e0135295. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135295.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135295)
- 18. McKuen MJ, Mueller KE, Bae YS, Fields KA. 2017. Fluorescence-reported allelic exchange mutagenesis reveals a role for Chlamydia trachomatis TmeA in invasion that is independent of host AHNAK. Infect Immun 85:e00640-17. [https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00640-17.](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00640-17)
- 19. Ouellette SP. 2018. Feasibility of a conditional knockout system for Chlamydia based on CRISPR interference. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 8:59. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2018.00059.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2018.00059)