

Genome Sequence of the Probiotic Strain Bacillus velezensis Variant polyfermenticus GF423

Haeyoung Jeong,a JungAe Kim,a Soo-Keun Choi,a Jae-Gu Pana

a Infectious Disease Research Center, Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology (KRIBB), Daejeon, Republic of Korea

ABSTRACT The genome sequence of the commercial probiotic strain "Bacillus polyfermenticus" GF423 was determined. Comparison of the 4.1-Mb genome sequence revealed Bacillus velezensis FZB42 as its closest relative. Based on the genome sequence, we propose that this probiotic strain be renamed Bacillus velezensis variant polyfermenticus.

Strains belonging to "Bacillus polyfermenticus" have long been used in Japan and the Republic of Korea for the treatment of intestinal disorders, and the immunomodulatory effects of these strains on human subjects have been demonstrated [\(1\)](#page-1-0). At least two strains, SCD (KCCM 10104, also known as B. polyfermenticus n.sp. in the Korean Pharmacopoeia) [\(2,](#page-1-1) [3\)](#page-1-2) and KJS-2 [\(4\)](#page-1-3), were identified from their distinctive physiological properties. Strain SCD and its derivatives, such as KD21 (KFCC 11090) and KD33, are widely used for the development of enhanced probiotic products in academic research and in pharmaceutical companies in the Republic of Korea. Despite its importance in biomedical applications, Bacillus polyfermenticus is yet to appear in the Prokaryotic Nomenclature Up-to-Date database [\(https://www.dsmz.de/bacterial-diversity/prokaryotic](https://www.dsmz.de/bacterial-diversity/prokaryotic-nomenclature-up-to-date) [-nomenclature-up-to-date\)](https://www.dsmz.de/bacterial-diversity/prokaryotic-nomenclature-up-to-date), and genome-based analysis has not been performed for any of these strains.

Here, Bacillus polyfermenticus n.sp. was isolated from a Biscan G capsule (Binex Co., Ltd., Busan, Republic of Korea) and renamed GF423, and genome sequencing was carried out using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform. Bacterial culturing, library construction, and genome sequencing were carried out as described previously [\(5\)](#page-1-4). A total of 2.23 Gb of reads $(2 \times 101$ cycles) were trimmed using Trimmomatic version 0.32 [\(6\)](#page-1-5) with SLIDINGWINDOW:4:20, MINLEN 75, and all other parameters being adopted from those used in the trimming section of A5-miseq version 20150522 [\(7\)](#page-1-6), and 20-mer nucleotides below the abundance cutoff value of 50 were filtered out from the reads using khmer version 2.0 [\(8\)](#page-1-7) to minimize contamination in the reads. De novo assembly using the CLC Genomics Workbench version 8.5.1 (Qiagen) with a word size of 64 yielded 33 contigs totaling 4,112,512 bp (47.95% G+C content). The N_{50} value and the largest contig length were 502,810 bp and 618,700 bp, respectively. Genome annotation was performed using the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline [\(9\)](#page-1-8) and the Rapid Annotation using Subsystem Technology (RAST) server [\(10\)](#page-1-9).

We calculated overall genome-related indices using dRep version 2.0.5 [\(11\)](#page-1-10) to investigate genomic relatedness to strains in the Bacillus subtilis species complex. The results revealed a tight cluster with strains belonging to the species Bacillus velezensis, with >98% genome-wide average nucleotide identity (gANI), including with the type strain NRRL B-41580 (GenBank accession no. [LLZC00000000\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/LLZC00000000). Based on this result, we propose that B. polyfermenticus probiotic strains be renamed B. velezensis variant polyfermenticus. We suggest that "variant polyfermenticus" be added to reflect the history of strain usage in over-the-counter drugs as well as probiotics. In particular, the strain is most closely related to B. velezensis FZB42 (GenBank accession no. [CP000560;](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP000560) **Received** 15 July 2018 **Accepted** 14 August 2018 **Published** 13 September 2018

Citation Jeong H, Kim J, Choi S-K, Pan J-G. 2018. Genome sequence of the probiotic strain Bacillus velezensis variant polyfermenticus GF423. Microbiol Resour Announc 7:e01000-18. [https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.01000-18.](https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.01000-18)

Editor Iddo Friedberg, Iowa State University **Copyright** © 2018 Jeong et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license.](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Address correspondence to Jae-Gu Pan, [jgpan@kribb.re.kr.](mailto:jgpan@kribb.re.kr)

98.7% gANI and 89.0% digital DNA-DNA hybridization, the latter of which was calculated using Genome-to-Genome Distance Calculator version 2.1, available at [http://](http://ggdc.dsmz.de/distcalc2.php) [ggdc.dsmz.de/distcalc2.php\)](http://ggdc.dsmz.de/distcalc2.php), which was formerly designated the type strain of B. amyloliquefaciens subsp. plantarum [\(12\)](#page-1-11) but recently recognized as synonymous with B. velezensis [\(13,](#page-1-12) [14\)](#page-1-13). Nucleotide sequences in GenBank, especially those from Bacillus polyfermenticus 16S ribosomal genes, should thus be reevaluated to avoid misleading strain identification.

One of the physiological characteristics of B. polyfermenticus that distinguishes it from B. subtilis is its capacity to produce acids from lactose but not from D -turanose [\(2\)](#page-1-1), which was also observed from the type strain of *B. velezensis* [\(15\)](#page-1-14). Additionally, this strain has the ability to ferment glucose to lactic acid [\(4\)](#page-1-3). Our results emphasize the importance of genome sequence information for accurate species identification and elucidation of physiological characteristics of bacterial strains.

Data availability. This whole-genome shotgun project has been deposited in DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under accession no. [QOHQ00000000.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/QOHQ00000000) The version described in this paper is the first version, QOHQ01000000.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Biodefense Research Laboratory Program of the Agency for Defense Development (project FDM0961833) and the KRIBB Research Initiative Program, Ministry of Science and ICT, Republic of Korea.

REFERENCES

- 1. Kim H-S, Park H, Cho I-Y, Paik H-D, Park E. 2006. Dietary supplementation of probiotic Bacillus polyfermenticus, Bispan strain, modulates natural killer cell and T cell subset populations and immunoglobulin G levels in human subjects. J Med Food 9:321–327. [https://doi.org/10.1089/jmf](https://doi.org/10.1089/jmf.2006.9.321) [.2006.9.321.](https://doi.org/10.1089/jmf.2006.9.321)
- 2. Jun KD, Lee KH, Kim WS, Paik HD. 2000. Microbial identification of medical probiotic Bispan strain. Kor J Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 28: 245–127.
- 3. Lee K-H, Jun K-D, Kim W-S, Paik H-D. 2001. Partial characterization of polyfermenticin SCD, a newly identified bacteriocin of Bacillus polyfermenticus. Lett Appl Microbiol 32:146 –151. [https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1472](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-765x.2001.00876.x) [-765x.2001.00876.x.](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-765x.2001.00876.x)
- 4. Kim KM, Kim MJ, Kim DH, Park YS, Kang JS. 2009. Characterization of Bacillus polyfermenticus KJS-2 as a probiotic. J Microbiol Biotechnol 19:1013–1018. [https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.0903.113.](https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.0903.113)
- 5. Jeong H, Pan J-G, Park S-H. 2016. Contamination as a major factor in poor Illumina assembly of microbial isolate genomes. bioRxiv [https://](https://doi.org/10.1101/081885) [doi.org/10.1101/081885.](https://doi.org/10.1101/081885)
- 6. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114 –2120. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170) [.1093/bioinformatics/btu170.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170)
- 7. Coil D, Jospin G, Darling AE. 2015. A5-miseq: an updated pipeline to assemble microbial genomes from Illumina MiSeq data. Bioinformatics 31:587–589. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu661.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu661)
- 8. Crusoe MR, Alameldin HF, Awad S, Boucher E, Caldwell A, Cartwright R, Charbonneau A, Constantinides B, Edvenson G, Fay S, Fenton J, Fenzl T, Fish J, Garcia-Gutierrez L, Garland P, Gluck J, Gonzalez I, Guermond S, Guo J, Gupta A, Herr JR, Howe A, Hyer A, Harpfer A, Irber L, Kidd R, Lin D, Lippi J, Mansour T, McA'Nulty P, McDonald E, Mizzi J, Murray KD, Nahum JR, Nanlohy K, Nederbragt AJ, Ortiz-Zuazaga H, Ory J, Pell J, Pepe-Ranney C, Russ ZN, Schwarz E, Scott C, Seaman J, Sievert S, Simpson J, Skennerton CT, Spencer J, Srinivasan R, Standage D, Stapleton JA, Steinman SR, Stein J, Taylor B, Trimble W, Wiencko HL, Wright M, Wyss B, Zhang Q, Zyme E, Brown CT. 2015. The khmer software package: enabling efficient nucleotide sequence analysis. F1000Res 4:900. [https://](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6924.1) [doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6924.1.](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6924.1)
- 9. Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44: 6614 – 6624. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw569.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw569)
- 10. Overbeek R, Olson R, Pusch GD, Olsen GJ, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Parrello B, Shukla M, Vonstein V, Wattam AR, Xia F, Stevens R. 2014. The SEED and the Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystems Technology (RAST). Nucleic Acids Res 42:D206 –D214. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1226.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1226)
- 11. Olm MR, Brown CT, Brooks B, Banfield JF. 2017. dRep: a tool for fast and accurate genomic comparisons that enables improved genome recovery from metagenomes through de-replication. ISME J 11:2864 –2868. [https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.126.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.126)
- 12. Borriss R, Chen X-H, Rueckert C, Blom J, Becker A, Baumgarth B, Fan B, Pukall R, Schumann P, Sproer C, Junge H, Vater J, Puhler A, Klenk H-P. 2011. Relationship of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens clades associated with strains DSM 7^T and FZB42T: a proposal for Bacillus amyloliquefaciens subsp. amyloliquefaciens subsp. nov. and Bacillus amyloliquefaciens subsp. plantarum subsp. nov. based on complete genome sequence comparisons. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 61:1786 –1801. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.023267-0) [.1099/ijs.0.023267-0.](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.023267-0)
- 13. Dunlap CA, Kim S-J, Kwon S-W, Rooney AP. 2016. Bacillus velezensis is not a later heterotypic synonym of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens; Bacillus methylotrophicus, Bacillus amyloliquefaciens subsp. plantarum and "Bacillus oryzicola" are later heterotypic synonyms of Bacillus velezensis based on phylogenomics. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 66:1212–1217. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.000858) [10.1099/ijsem.0.000858.](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.000858)
- 14. Fan B, Blom J, Klenk H-P, Borriss R. 2017. Bacillus amyloliquefaciens, Bacillus velezensis, and Bacillus siamensis form an "operational group B. amyloliquefaciens" within the B. subtilis species complex. Front Microbiol 8:22. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00022.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00022)
- 15. Ruiz-Garcia C, Bejar V, Martinez-Checa F, Llamas I, Quesada E. 2005. Bacillus velezensis sp. nov., a surfactant-producing bacterium isolated from the river Velez in Malaga, southern Spain. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 55:191–195. [https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.63310-0.](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.63310-0)