



## Answer to Photo Quiz: *Sarcina ventriculi*

Jamie L. Elvert,<sup>a</sup> Wissam El Atrouni,<sup>b</sup> Audrey N. Schuetz<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine and Science, Rochester, Minnesota, USA

<sup>b</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, Kansas, USA

**KEYWORDS** anaerobe, anaerobic cocci, bloodstream infections, Gram-positive bacteria, *Sarcina ventriculi*, tetrad, urinary tract infection

The 16S rRNA sequencing confirmed the isolate as *Sarcina ventriculi*, with a 99.35% match to the database entry.

*Sarcina* spp. are large (up to 3  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter), sphere-shaped, anaerobic, Gram-positive cocci which typically form clusters of tetrads or octets. The genus name *Sarcina* derives from the New Latin word meaning “bundle.” Two species associated with human infection are *Sarcina ventriculi* and *S. maxima* (1). *Sarcina* is a member of the *Clostridiaceae* and related to the genus *Clostridium*; its taxonomy is currently under evaluation and may change in the future (2).

Since its discovery in the stomach of a patient with abdominal bloating and vomiting in 1842, *S. ventriculi* has been identified primarily on histopathology by surgical pathologists and appears to be increasingly reported in the pathology literature within the past 5 years (1, 3). On the other hand, *Sarcina* is not even mentioned in many of the routine clinical microbiology textbooks. On histology, the organisms appear as packets of large Gram-positive cocci in tetrads or octets. Although most often detected histologically on gastric biopsy specimens from patients with gastroparesis and other gastrointestinal disorders, *Sarcina* has also been noted on gastric biopsy specimens from asymptomatic patients (4). It has also been noted on a urine cytology specimen from a child with vesicoureteral reflux and pyuria. The presence of *Sarcina* in blood has been reported only twice before. The first report was in 1872, but the author failed to state how he confirmed its presence (5). The other report of *Sarcina* in blood was a case of bacteremia in a patient with congenital chloride diarrhea; however, the authors describe recovery from blood without indicating the culture method (6). The differential diagnosis on histology includes other Gram-positive cocci which can form tetrads, such as *Micrococcus*, but micrococci are smaller (approximately 0.5  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter). If the organism is cultivated in the clinical microbiology laboratory, the differential may include yeast, given its large size, its budding appearance, and its poor uptake of Gram stain; however, its obligate anaerobic growth identifies this as an anaerobic bacterium.

This patient’s urine culture was positive for *E. coli*. Both blood and urine *E. coli* isolates were susceptible to all antimicrobials tested, including levofloxacin. The patient improved with a 14-day course of levofloxacin. It is not certain whether *Sarcina* played a pathogenic role in bloodstream infection in this patient. This anaerobe would not be cultivatable from routine aerobic urine culture. We believe that the source of *Sarcina* may have been either gastrointestinal or urogenital, given the history of vomiting and structural kidney abnormalities.

**Citation** Elvert JL, El Atrouni W, Schuetz AN. 2018. Answer to Photo Quiz: *Sarcina ventriculi*. *J Clin Microbiol* 56:e01335-17. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01335-17>.

**Editor** Paul Bourbeau

**Copyright** © 2018 American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

Address correspondence to Audrey N. Schuetz, [schuetz.audrey@mayo.edu](mailto:schuetz.audrey@mayo.edu).

See <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01334-17> in this issue for photo quiz case presentation.

### REFERENCES

1. Al Rasheed MR, Senseng CG. 2016. *Sarcina ventriculi*: review of the literature. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 140:1441–1445. <https://doi.org/10.5858/arpa.2016-0028-RS>.
2. Tindall BJ. 2016. Priority of the genus name *Clostridium* Prazmowski 1880 (Approved Lists 1980) vs *Sarcina* Goodsir 1842 (Approved Lists 1980) and the creation of the illegitimate combinations *Clostridium maximum* (Lindner 1888) Lawson and Rainey 2016 and *Clostridium ventriculi* (Goodsir 1842) Lawson and Rainey 2016 that may not be used. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 66:4890–4894. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.001361>.

3. Goodsir J. 1843. XXIII.—History of a case in which a fluid periodically ejected from the stomach contained vegetable organisms of an undescribed form. *Ann Mag Nat Hist* 1 11:125–126. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03745484309445276>.
4. Bommannan K, Gaspar BL, Sachdeva MU. 2016. Pathogenic *Sarcina* in urine. *BMJ Case Rep* 2016:pil=bcr2016216991. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2016-216991>.
5. Ferrier D. 1872. The constant occurrence of *Sarcina ventriculi* (Goodsir) in the blood of man and the lower animals: with remarks on the nature of sarcinous vomiting. *Br Med J* i(578):98–99.
6. Tuuminen T, Suomala P, Vuorinen S. 2013. *Sarcina ventriculi* in blood: the first documented report since 1872. *BMC Infect Dis* 13:169. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-13-169>.