

Submit a Manuscript: http://www.f6publishing.com

DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v6.i14.767

World J Clin Cases 2018 November 26; 6(14): 767-775

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

Safety of laparoscopic surgery in digestive diseases with special reference to antithrombotic therapy: A systematic review of the literature

Takahisa Fujikawa, Kenji Ando

Takahisa Fujikawa, Department of Surgery, Kokura Memorial Hospital, Kitakyushu 802-8555, Fukuoka, Japan

Kenji Ando, Department of Cardiology, Kokura Memorial Hospital, Kitakyushu 802-8555, Fukuoka, Japan

ORCID number: Takahisa Fujikawa (0000-0002-4543-9282); Kenji Ando (0000-0003-0699-4248).

Author contributions: Fujikawa T designed, performed research and analyzed data; Fujikawa T prepared the manuscript and Ando K reviewed it.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors report no relevant conflicts of interest.

PRISMA Checklist: The manuscript was prepared and revised according to the PRISMA 2009 Checklist.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Corresponding author to: Takahisa Fujikawa, MD, PhD, Chief Doctor, Surgeon, Department of Surgery, Kokura Memorial Hospital, 3-2-1 Asano, Kokurakita-ku, Kitakyushu 802-8555, Fukuoka, Japan. fujikawa-t@kokurakinen.or.jp Telephone: +81-93-5112000 Fax: +81-93-5113240

Received: May 31, 2018 Peer-review started: May 31, 2018 First decision: July 17, 2018 Revised: August 2, 2018 Accepted: October 17, 2018 Article in press: October 16, 2018 Published online: November 26, 2018

Abstract

AIM

To elucidate the effect of antithrombotic therapy (ATT) on bleeding and thromboembolic complications during or after laparoscopic digestive surgery.

METHODS

Published articles or internationally accepted abstracts between 2000 and 2017 were searched from PubMed, Cochrane Database, and Google Scholar, and studies involving laparoscopic digestive surgery and antiplatelet therapy (APT) and/or anticoagulation therapy (ACT) were included after careful review of each study. Data such as study design, type of surgical procedures, antithrombotic drugs used, and surgical outcome (both bleeding and thromboembolic complications) were extracted from each study.

RESULTS

Thirteen published articles and two internationally accepted abstracts were eligible for inclusion in the systematic review. Only one study concerning elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy in patients with perioperative heparin bridging for ACT showed that the risk of postoperative bleeding was higher compared with those without ACT. The remaining 14 studies reported no significant differences in the incidence of bleeding complications between the ATT group and the group without ATT. The risk of thromboembolic events (TE) associated with laparoscopic digestive surgery in patients receiving ATT was not significantly higher than those with no ATT or interrupted APT.



Fujikawa T et al. Laparoscopic digestive surgery and antithrombotic therapy

CONCLUSION

Laparoscopic digestive surgery in ATT-burdened patients for prevention of bleeding and TE showed satisfactory results. The risk of hemorrhagic complication during or after these procedures in patients with continued APT or heparin bridging was not significantly higher than in patients with no ATT or interrupted APT.

Key words: Thromboembolic complication; Bleeding complication; Laparoscopic surgery; Anticoagulation therapy; Digestive surgery; Antithrombotic therapy; Antiplatelet therapy

© **The Author(s) 2018.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: In total, 15 published articles and abstracts concerning laparoscopic digestive surgery and antithrombotic therapy were systematically reviewed. These articles demonstrated that the risk of bleeding and thromboembolic complications during or after these procedures in patients with continued antiplatelets or heparin bridging was not significantly higher than in patients with no antithrombotics or interrupted antiplatelets.

Fujikawa T, Ando K. Safety of laparoscopic surgery in digestive diseases with special reference to antithrombotic therapy: A systematic review of the literature. *World J Clin Cases* 2018; 6(14): 767-775 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet. com/2307-8960/full/v6/i14/767.htm DOI: http://dx.doi. org/10.12998/wjcc.v6.i14.767

INTRODUCTION

Following cancer, heart disease and cerebrovascular disease are the major causes of death worldwide. With the arrival of an aging society in recent years, the number of patients with heart disease and/or cerebrovascular disease who require non-cardiac surgery is increasing. For the purpose of preventing thromboembolic events (TE), most of them receive antithrombotic therapy (ATT), including antiplatelet therapy (APT) and anticoagulation therapy (ACT). Perioperative management of these patients is challenging for surgeons, and they are often at high risk of bleeding and thromboembolic complications^[1-4].

Many digestive operations are currently performed laparoscopically. Several reports have shown advantages of laparoscopic digestive surgery, including early recovery of digestive function, reduction of body wall destruction, reduction of postoperative pain, less postoperative complications, and rapid return to daily life^[5-9]. During laparoscopic surgery, minimizing surgical blood loss to maintain a dry operative field is exclusively important. Improvement of several techniques and new surgical devices, such as ultrasonic coagulating shears or saline-linked soft-coagulation system, led us to perform various types of advanced laparoscopic digestive operations including colorectal resection, esophagogastrectomy, and hepato-biliary-pancreas surgery. However, optimal management of patients receiving ATT during laparoscopic digestive surgery is still controversial.

The purpose of the current systematic review study is to elucidate the effect of ATT on bleeding and thromboembolic complications during or after laparoscopic digestive surgery.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Articles written in English and published between 2000 and 2017 were collected from PubMed, Cochrane Database and Google Scholar. We also used PubMed and Google Scholar to search internationally accepted English abstracts. The following key words were used for the search: Clopidogrel, aspirin, antiplatelet, anticoagulant, warfarin, bleeding, hemorrhage, gastrointestinal, gastroenterological, digestive and laparoscopic surgery. Articles or abstracts were included when published in peer reviewed journals or when accepted at internationally renowned medical conferences. Types of eligible studies included randomized clinical trials, prospective or retrospective cohort studies, or casecontrol studies; guidelines, review articles, or case series/reports were not included.

After removing duplicates, articles were systematically excluded by careful review of each study. The quality of each study was assessed depending on study design, and eligible articles and abstracts were determined. Complete data were extracted from each study, which included study design, year of publication, sample size, type of surgical procedures, type of antithrombotic drugs, and surgical outcome (both bleeding and thromboembolic complications).

RESULTS

Characteristics of included studies

Research collection and screening was conducted from January 2018 to February 2018. In all, 13 articles and two abstracts were included^[10-24]. Among them, there were no randomized clinical trials or prospective cohort studies, and only retrospective cohort studies or casecontrol studies were seen. Among 15 studies, nine studies examined only APT use, two studies focused on ACT, and four studies investigated both. Concerning APT, patients who had continued preoperative APT were compared with those who did not receive APT. In patients with continued APT, only single antiplatelet agents, such as aspirin, were usually continued. One study focused on clopidogrel alone, and one study investigated aspirin alone. In studies regarding ACT, only warfarin was used, and most patients received heparin bridging perioperatively.



Only one retrospective cohort study used a large number of cases (over 1000 cases), but various types of laparoscopic surgery (mostly laparoscopic cholecystectomy) were included. This is the largest study to date, examining the effects of APT on outcome of abdominal laparoscopic operations. This study demonstrated that there was no significant difference in postoperative bleeding events between patients who continued APT and other patients.

We classified the type of surgery into two categories based on previous reports^[10]: Basic laparoscopic surgery (*e.g.*, cholecystectomy, appendectomy, adhesiolysis, hernia repair) and advanced laparoscopic surgery (*e.g.*, colorectal resection, gastrectomy, liver/pancreas resection). The results of basic surgery and advanced surgery are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Bleeding events included two categories: intraoperative bleeding complications (IBCs; increased surgical blood loss), and postoperative bleeding complications (PBCs; intraabdominal bleeding, gastroenterology bleeding, or abdominal wall hematoma).

Basic laparoscopic surgery

In basic laparoscopic surgeries, only two types of surgery (cholecystectomy and appendectomy) were included. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy was the most commonly reported overall, and a total of eight studies were included^[11-15,17,18,24]. Research on laparoscopic appendectomy included two case-control studies^[19,20].

For laparoscopic cholecystectomy, warfarin was described in three studies. With only one study, the risk of PBC in ACT patients was significantly higher than those without ACT^[11]. In the remaining two studies, the proportion of IBC or PBC did not increase, even with heparin bridging^[14,18]. In terms of APT, seven studies focusing on aspirin and/or thienopyridine were included^[12-14,17,18,24,25]. IBC was examined as an outcome in six of them, and PBC was analyzed in four studies. None of the studies demonstrated an increase in IBC or PBC when APT (mostly aspirin monotherapy) was continued preoperatively. In two laparoscopic appendectomy studies^[19,20], they were exclusively performed in an emergency setting. Both studies focused on preoperative APT continuation and showed that neither IBC nor PBC increased with continued APT.

These findings suggested that when basic laparoscopic digestive operations were performed, the risk of either IBC or PBC in patients undergoing preoperative continued monotherapy for APT or heparin bridging for ACT was not significantly higher than in those without ATT or interrupted APT.

Advanced laparoscopic surgery

Concerning advanced laparoscopic surgery, only limited numbers of studies were found in three types of surgery; one study on laparoscopic liver resection^[16], two studies on laparoscopic colorectal cancer resection^[12,21], and two studies regarding laparoscopic gastrectomy^[22,23]. Fujikawa *et al*^[16] conducted a retrospective cohort study using liver resection cases (including laparoscopic and open surgery). The authors found that neither IBC nor PBC increased in the case of laparoscopic liver resection, even with aspirin monotherapy for APT and/or heparin bridging for ACT. In two studies of laparoscopic colorectal cancer resection, the effect of APT on IBC or PBC was assessed, and the authors found that APT continuation did not significantly affect hemorrhagic complications^[12,21].

Among two papers regarding laparoscopic gastrectomy, Takahashi *et al*⁽²²⁾ examined the difference in IBC and PBC between the ATT group and the group without ATT. The ATT group included preoperative APT continuation and heparin substitution for ACT, but there was no significant difference in IBC or PBC between the groups. Finally, Gerin *et al*⁽²³⁾ examined the difference in PBC during laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy between the warfarin group and the group without warfarin. PBC occurred in 6.7% of patients who received ACT, whereas 3.3% of patients without ACT experienced PBC (P = 0.60).

Perioperative thromboembolic events and mortality

Among 15 included studies, the incidence of perioperative TE and the mortality rate was described in eight and 14 studies, respectively. In basic laparoscopic surgeries, the TE rate was 0%-2.2% in the continued APT group and 0%-0.2% in the control group. Six out of eight studies showed no mortality in the entire cohort. In the remaining two studies, there was no difference in mortality between the groups. In advanced laparoscopic surgery, the incidence of TE was identical between the groups, with only one expired case (1% of the ATT group). Overall, the risk of TE associated with laparoscopic digestive surgery for patients receiving ATT was not significantly higher than those without ATT or interrupted APT.

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic review that assesses the effect of ATT on bleeding and thromboembolic complications during and after laparoscopic digestive surgery. The present review summarized results of various types of laparoscopic digestive surgery in patients receiving ATT for the prevention of thromboembolism. The risk of hemorrhagic or thromboembolic complications during or after these procedures in patients with continued APT or heparin bridging was not significantly higher than in patients with no ATT or interrupted APT. There are some promising results for both basic and laparoscopic surgery. However, in terms of advanced laparoscopic surgery, such as colorectal resection or liver resection, there is scarce evidence.

ATT includes two types of medications, classified as antiplatelets and anticoagulants. Antiplatelets

WJCC www.wjgnet.com

Laparoscopic surgery (overall) Fujikawa ^[10] 2013 Ab an at Laparoscopic cholecystectomy Ercan ^[11] 2010 Li (n = Anderson ^[13] 2014 Lapar	bdominal laparoscopic surgery (cholecy stectomy (mostly), appendectomy, surgery for GI malignancy, liver resection,			
Laparoscopic cholecystectomy Ercan ^[11] 2010 L _z Ono ^[12] 2013 L _z (n = Anderson ^[13] 2014 Lapan	splenectomy etc)	Patients with continued use of ASA $(n = 52)$ Patients with discontinuation of APT $(n = 160)$ Patients not on APT (control, $n = 863$)	PBC 0% in continued ASA vs 2.5% in discontinuation vs 0.7% in control ($P = 0.987$)	TE 0% in continued ASA <i>vs</i> 0.6% in discontinued ASA <i>vs</i> 0.2% in control (<i>P</i> = 0.625) Only one mortality in continued ASA group (1.9%)
Ono ^[12] 2013 L _z (n = Anderson ^[13] 2014 Lapar	Laparoscopic cholecystectomy	Patients with ACT (w/ bridging, $n = 44$)	PBC 25% in ACT vs 1.5% in control ($P < 0.001$)	(not mentioned)
Anderson ^[13] 2014 Lapar	(only elective) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy = 270) or Laparoscopic colorectal	Fattents without ACL (control, $n = 1.971$) Patients with continued ASA ($n = 52$) Patients without ASA (control, $n = 436$)	One mortanty due to severe preemig SBL 27 mL in continued ASA vs 17 mL in control (P = 0.430)	No mortality in both groups
	cancer resection (n = 2.10) iroscopic cholecystectomy (elective and emergency)	Patients with continued clopidogrel ($n = 36$) Matched patients without clopidogrel 1 (control $n = 36$)	No difference in SBL (49 g $vs 47$ g, $P = 0.85$) PBC 0% in clopidogrel $vs 2.8\%$ in control ($P = 0.31$)	No TE in both groups No mortality in both groups
Noda ^[14] 2014 Early	/ laparoscopic cholecystectomy for	Patients with continued use of ATT ($n = 21$) Patients without ATT ($n = 16$)	No conversion to open surgery No PBC in hoth ornins	No mortality in both groups
Joseph ^{115]} 2015	Emergency laparoscopic cholecystectomy	Patients with continued use of APT $(n = 56)$, including those with preop Plt transfusion $(n = 12)$	SBL $\geq 100 \text{ mL } 14.3\%$ in continued ASA <i>vs</i> 9% in control (<i>P</i> = 0.50)	No difference in the rates of overall postop complications (8.9% vs 7.1%, P = 0.80)
Fujikawa ^{ltel} 2017 Emer ₁ 106	rgency cholecystectomy including 6 laparoscopic surgery for acute cholecystitis	Fattents without APT (control, $n = 56$) Patients with continued use of APT ($n = 89$) Patients without APT (control, $n = 154$)	SBL $\geq 500 \text{ mL } 12\%$ in continued APT $vs 5\%$ in control ($P = 0.240$) PBC 7% in multiple APT $vs 3\%$ in single APT vs	No mortality in both groups TE 1.1% in continued APT $vs 0\%$ in control ($P = 0.37$) No mortality in both groups
Sakamoto ^{17]} 2017 La	Laparoscopic cholecystectomy (only elective operation)	Patients with continued single APT ($n = 49$) Patients with discontinuation of APT ($n = 106$)	SBL $\geq 200 \text{ mL control} (r^{-0.027})$ SBL $\geq 200 \text{ mL 4.7\%}$ in continued APT 2s 4.7% in discontinued APT 2s 1.5% in control ($P = 0.064$) PBC 0% in continued APT 2s 0.9% in discontinued APT 2s 0.9% in discontinued APT 2s 0.9% in control ($P = 0.072$)	TE 0% in continued APT vs 0.9% in discontinued APT vs 0.2% in control (P = 0.296) No mortality in any group
Yun ^{isj} 2017 Lapar. vs en	roscopic cholecystectomy (elective mergency) for acute cholecystitis	Patients not on APT (control, <i>n</i> = 653) Patients with continued use of ATT (almost APT, <i>n</i> = 22) Patients with discontinued ATT (almost APT, control, <i>n</i> = 45)	SBL \geq 100mL 13.6% in continued ATT vs 22.2% in control ($P = 0.613$)	One case of TE (2.2%) in control Mortality 4.6% in continued ATT vs 2.2% in control (P > 0.999)
Laparoscopic appendectomy Chechik ^[19] 2011 Appei Pearcy ^[20] 2017 I	endectomy including laparoscopic appendectomy (<i>n</i> = 78) Laparoscopic appendectomy (urgent only)	Patients with continued APT ($n = 39$) Patients without APT (control, $n = 140$) Patients with continued APT ($n = 287$) Matched patients without APT (control, $n = 287$)	No difference in SBL or PBC between the groups No difference in SBL (31 g vs 26 g) or blood transfusion rate (1% vs 0%) between the groups	No mortality in both groups Two cases of TE (MI) in continued APT (0.7%) No difference in the rates of mortality (1% vs 0%, P = 0.12)

Fujikawa T et al. Laparoscopic digestive surgery and antithrombotic therapy



irst author of the reports Year Aparoscopic liver resection Fujikawa ^[24] 2017 Laparos				
aparoscopic liver resection Fujikawa ^[24] 2017 Laparos	Surgery type	Drug use and exposure	Bleeding events	TE, mortality
	scopic liver resection <i>vs</i> open liver resection	Patients with ATT $(n = 100)$	SBL ≥ 500 mL 23% in those with ATT rs 27% in control ($P = 0.468$)	TE 1 % in ATT vs 1.3% in control ($P = 0.310$)
		Patients without ATT (control, $n = 158$)	PBC 4.6% in those with ATT vs 4.3% in control	Mortality 1% in ATT $vs 0\%$ in control $(P = 0.350)$
aparoscopic colorectal cancer resection				
Ono ^[12] 2013 Laj	aparoscopic colorectal cancer action (# = 218) or lanamenomic	Patients with continued ASA ($n = 52$) Patiente without ASA (control $n = 436$)	SBL 27 mL in continued ASA vs 17 mL in control $r_D = 0.430$	No mortality in both groups
	cholecystectomy $(n = 270)$			
Shimoike ^[21] 2016 Color	orectal cancer surgery including	Patients with APT $(n = 148)$	PBC 0.7% in those with APT vs 0.9% in control	TE 0.7% in APT $vs \ 0\%$ in control ($P = 0.301$)
lar	aparoscopic surgery $(n = 191)$	Patients without APT (control, $n = 343$)	(P = 1.000)	No mortality in both groups
aparoscopic gastrectomy				:
Takahashi ^{tal} 2017 I	Laparoscopic gastrectomy	Patients with ATT	No difference in SBL or PBC between the groups	No difference in overall complications
		(continued in high risk, $n = 12$) Patients without ATT ($n = 34$)		between the groups No mortality in both groups
Gerin ^[23] 2015 Lap	paroscopic sleeve gastrectomy	Patients with ACT ($n = 15$) Matched patients without ACT	PBC 6.7% in ACT vs 3.3% in control ($P = 0.60$)	No mortality in both groups
		(control, $n = 30$)		

ATT: Antithrombotic therapy; APT: Antiplatelet therapy; ACT: Anticoagulation therapy; TE: Thromboembolism; SBL: Surgical blood loss; PBC: Postoperative bleeding complications; ASA: Aspirin; HBP: Hepatobiliary and pancreas.

diseases, such as myocardial infarction or cerebral infarction. Antiplatelets include thienopyridine (e.g., clopidogrel, ticlopidine, or prasugrel), type III phosphodiesterase nhibitor (e.g., cilostazol), acetylsalicylic acid (aspirin), and other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents^[10,26]. On the other hand, anticoagulants interfere with the native decrease platelet aggregation and prevent thrombus formation, and they are generally used for primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular ivaroxaban, apixaban, edoxaban)^[26,27]. The two latter types are now increasingly used and are referred to as direct-acting oral anticoagulants (DOACs) or non-vitamin K clotting cascade and prevent blood clotting, and they are generally used for atrial fibrillation, deep vein thrombosis, cardiac endoprostheses, and acute coronary syndrome. These include vitamin K antagonists (e.g., warfarin), heparin derivatives (e.g., fondaparinux), direct thrombin inhibitors (e.g., dabigatran), and factor Xa inhibitors (e.g., antagonist oral anticoagulants (NOACs). The types of antithrombotics, specific agents, and duration of action are summarized in Table 3.

So far, there has been scarce evidence concerning the definite protocols or guidelines for each specific gastroenterological surgical procedure, including laparoscopic out also relatively advanced digestive operations^{(6,8,9]}. During laparoscopic surgery, minimizing surgical blood loss to maintain a dry operative field is required, and thus, this Thanks to the development of techniques and various energy devices, indication of laparoscopic digestive surgery is now expanded not only to basic procedures aparoscopic digestive surgery is still controversial, rigorous antithrombotic management, such as continued aspirin monotherapy for APT or heparin bridging for ACT, considered to be safe and feasible.

neparin bridging 3-5 d before surgery. In the case of DOACs, ATT is stopped 1-2 d before surgery (with some modification needed if decreased renal function exists); if Using several recently updated guidelines concerning antithrombotics as references^[26-30], the recommended protocol of perioperative management for patients undergoing ATT in the case of elective open or laparoscopic digestive surgery is shown in Figure 1. The management generally consists of three approaches according to types of ATT; APT, warfarin, and DOACs. In patients with thromboembolic risks, aspirin monotherapy is continued in patients with APT, and warfarin is substituted by he thromboembolic risk is very high, heparin bridging might be considered. Postoperatively, every antithrombotic agent is reinstituted as soon as possible (POD1-2)



Table 3	Types, s	pecific agents	, and acting	g duration of	f commonl	y used a	antithromb	ootic drugs
---------	----------	----------------	--------------	---------------	-----------	----------	------------	-------------

Class of agents	Туре	Specific agents	Duration of action
Antiplatelets			
	Thienopyridines	Clopidogrel (Plavix), Ticlopidine (Panardine), Prasugrel (Effient)	5-7 d ¹
	Type 🏾 PDE inhibitor	Cilostazol (Pretal)	2 d
	Acetylsalicylic acid	Aspirin	7-10 d
	Other NSAIDs	Ibuprofen (Brufen, Advil), Loxoprofen (Loxonin), Diclofenac (Voltaren), etc	Varies
Anticoagulants			
	Vitamin K antagonist	Warfarin (Coumadin)	5 d
	Heparin derivatives	Fondaparinux (Arixtra)	1.5-2 d
		DOACs	
	Direct thrombin inhibitor	Dabigatran (Pradaxa)	1-2 d
	Factor Xa inhibitors	Rivaroxaban (Xarelto), Apixaban (Eliquis), Edoxaban (Lixiana)	1-2 d

¹In ticlopidine, duration of action is 10-14 d. PDE: Phosphodiesterase; NSAID: Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; DOAC: Direct-acting oral anticoagulant.



Figure 1 Recommended perioperative management protocol for patients undergoing antithrombotic therapy in the case of elective laparoscopic digestive surgery. The management generally consists of three ways according to the types of antithrombotic therapy (ATT); antiplatelet therapy (APT), warfarin, and Direct-acting oral anticoagulants (DOACs). In patients with thromboembolic risks, aspirin monotherapy is continued in patients with APT, and/or warfarin was substituted by heparin bridging 3-5 d before surgery. In the case of DOACs, ATT is stopped 1-2 d before surgery (with some modification needed if decreased renal function exists); if the thromboembolic risk is very high, heparin bridging might be considered. Postoperatively, every antithrombotic agent is reinstituted as soon as possible (POD1-2). ATT: Antithrombotic therapy; APT: Antiplatelet therapy; TE: Thromboembolism; ACT: Anticoagulation therapy; DOAC: Direct-acting oral anticoagulant.

Recent updated guidelines concerning antithrombotic management during non-cardiac surgery^[26,27,31-33] showed that the prevention of TE is more important than bleeding complications, as it might cause death or severe sequelae. Concerning implantation of a coronary stent, recent American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (commonly known as ACC/AHA) and European Society of Cardiology (commonly known as ESC) guideline state that we should continue antiplatelet medications, at least aspirin monotherapy, in the perioperative period for patients with high risk of thromboembolism^[30], but most institutions practically choose to discontinue APT in the case of major digestive surgery with bleeding risks. Discontinuing aspirin or clopidogrel may lead to an increased risk of acute myocardial infarction,

cerebral infarction, and subsequent death^[34,35]. Although some studies, including the POISE-2 study, have reported that a modest increase in bleeding risk was observed in continued APT patients during non-cardiac surgery^[36,37], most studies have shown that there was no increase in significant bleeding events^[38,39]. Thus, sufficient consideration and emphasis should be placed on the prevention of thromboembolism caused by cessation of antithrombotic drugs, rather than the risk of perioperative bleeding.

Concerning patients with ACT, heparin bridging is a common management for warfarin^[40]. Recently, a large-scale randomized controlled trial (BRIDGE study) showed that heparin bridging was not recommended in the case of low bleeding risk surgery due to increased bleeding risks^[25]. However, this study included relatively small numbers of major digestive surgery, and it could not conclude that heparin bridging is unnecessary in major general or abdominal surgery. In the current review, only one study concerning warfarin use and laparoscopic cholecystectomy showed an elevated risk of postoperative bleeding when heparin bridging was used^[11]. The remaining studies demonstrated the safety of ACT bridging without an increase in severe bleeding complications. Especially in patients with high thromboembolic risks, heparin bridging might be considered to avoid critical thromboembolic complications.

In the present review, there was no report regarding patients who received DOACs during laparoscopic digestive surgery. Currently, DOACs are increasingly prescribed for the purpose of preventing arterial or venous thromboembolism. In large clinical trials, DOACs have been shown to have lower rates of intracranial hemorrhage compared to warfarin^[41-44]. Furthermore, in cases of intracranial bleeding, there are reports that hematoma sizes were small in patients receiving DOACs compared to those with warfarin administration^[45,46]. This difference is mainly due to the difference in mechanism of action in the blood clotting cascade. A sufficient understanding of these pharmacological characteristics, which is remarkably

WJCC | www.wjgnet.com

different from warfarin, is of paramount importance for surgeons. A recently published review and an ongoing prospective study^[47,48] suggests safety and feasibility of perioperative management of DOACs during noncardiac surgery, which is rather simple compared with those of warfarin. Still, the detailed assessment of perioperative management protocol, such as the necessity of bridging anticoagulation, has not yet conducted and should be investigated further. In addition, these reports or reviews did not show results according to the procedure types. Safety of every surgical type, including laparoscopic digestive surgery, should be assessed in the future.

Summary and recommendations for future studies

Currently, there are only limited numbers of studies concerning the management of ATT-prescribed patients during laparoscopic digestive surgery. As the population ages and the morbidity of cardiovascular disease increases, this patient population is expanded further. Definite protocols or guidelines should be established using reliable studies with good design. In the future, a well-designed prospective randomized study or multicenter cohort study is mandatory to elucidate the safety and feasibility of laparoscopic digestive surgery.

In conclusion, laparoscopic digestive surgery in ATTburdened patients for the prevention of bleeding and TE showed satisfactory results. The risk of hemorrhagic complication during or after these procedures in patients with continued APT or heparin bridging was not significantly higher than in patients with no ATT or interrupted APT.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Recently, many digestive surgical procedures are being performed laparoscopically. However, the effect of antithrombotic therapy (ATT) on perioperative bleeding complications during laparoscopic surgery is still largely unclear.

Research motivation

The risk of bleeding complications in ATT is related to the perioperative use of antiplatelet therapy (APT) or anticoagulation therapy (ACT). To safely perform laparoscopic digestive surgery in patients with ATT, optimal perioperative management of antithrombotic drugs should be established.

Research objectives

The main objective of the present study is to elucidate the effect of ATT on bleeding and thromboembolic complications during or after laparoscopic digestive surgery.

Research methods

Published articles or internationally accepted abstracts between 2000 and 2017 were searched, and studies involving laparoscopic digestive surgery and ATT were included after careful review of each study. Data including study design, type of surgical procedures, type of antithrombotic drugs, and surgical outcome were analyzed.

Research results

In total, 15 studies were included. Only one study concerning laparoscopic cholecystectomy showed that patients with heparin bridging for ACT had

a higher risk of postoperative bleeding. The remaining 14 studies reported continued APT or that heparin bridging for ACT did not affect the incidence of bleeding complication. The risk of thromboembolic events after laparoscopic digestive surgery in patients receiving ATT was not significantly higher than those with no ATT or interrupted APT.

Research conclusions

The risk of hemorrhagic complication during or after these procedures in patients with continued APT or heparin bridging was not significantly higher than in patients with no ATT or interrupted APT.

Research perspectives

The definite protocol or guidelines should be established using reliable studies with good design. In the future, a well-designed prospective randomized study or multicenter cohort study is mandatory to elucidate the safety and feasibility of laparoscopic digestive surgery.

REFERENCES

- Thachil J, Gatt A, Martlew V. Management of surgical patients receiving anticoagulation and antiplatelet agents. *Br J Surg* 2008; 95: 1437-1448 [PMID: 18991253 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.6381]
- 2 Kaluza GL, Joseph J, Lee JR, Raizner ME, Raizner AE. Catastrophic outcomes of noncardiac surgery soon after coronary stenting. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000; 35: 1288-1294 [PMID: 10758971 DOI: 10.1016/S0735-1097(00)00521-0]
- 3 Fujikawa T, Tanaka A, Abe T, Yoshimoto Y, Tada S, Maekawa H. Effect of antiplatelet therapy on patients undergoing gastroenterological surgery: thromboembolic risks versus bleeding risks during its perioperative withdrawal. *World J Surg* 2015; 39: 139-149 [PMID: 25201469 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-014-2760-3]
- 4 Mita K, Ito H, Murabayashi R, Sueyoshi K, Asakawa H, Nabetani M, Kamasako A, Koizumi K, Hayashi T. Postoperative bleeding complications after gastric cancer surgery in patients receiving anticoagulation and/or antiplatelet agents. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2012; 19: 3745-3752 [PMID: 22805868 DOI: 10.1245/ s10434-012-2500-6]
- 5 Kiviluoto T, Sirén J, Luukkonen P, Kivilaakso E. Randomised trial of laparoscopic versus open cholecystectomy for acute and gangrenous cholecystitis. *Lancet* 1998; 351: 321-325 [PMID: 9652612 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(97)08447-X]
- 6 Guller U, Jain N, Hervey S. Laparoscopic vs Open Colectomy. Outcomes Comparison Based on Large Nationwide Databases. *Arch Surg* 2003; 138: 1179 [PMID: 14609864 DOI: 10.1001/ archsurg.138.11.1179]
- 7 Kapischke M, Caliebe A, Tepel J, Schulz T, Hedderich J. Open versus laparoscopic appendicectomy. *Surg Endosc* 2006; 20: 1060-1068 [PMID: 16703441 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-005-0016-x]
- 8 Klarenbeek BR, Veenhof AA, de Lange ES, Bemelman WA, Bergamaschi R, Heres P, Lacy AM, van den Broek WT, van der Peet DL, Cuesta MA. The Sigma-trial protocol: a prospective double-blind multi-centre comparison of laparoscopic versus open elective sigmoid resection in patients with symptomatic diverticulitis. *BMC Surg* 2007; 7: 16 [PMID: 17683563 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2482-7-16]
- 9 Nguyen KT, Gamblin TC, Geller DA. World review of laparoscopic liver resection-2,804 patients. *Ann Surg* 2009; 250: 831-841 [PMID: 19801936 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181b0c4df]
- 10 Fujikawa T, Tanaka A, Abe T, Yoshimoto Y, Tada S, Maekawa H, Shimoike N. Does antiplatelet therapy affect outcomes of patients receiving abdominal laparoscopic surgery? Lessons from more than 1,000 laparoscopic operations in a single tertiary referral hospital. *J Am Coll Surg* 2013; **217**: 1044-1053 [PMID: 24051069 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2013.08.005]
- 11 Ercan M, Bostanci EB, Ozer I, Ulas M, Ozogul YB, Teke Z, Akoglu M. Postoperative hemorrhagic complications after elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy in patients receiving long-term anticoagulant therapy. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 2010; **395**: 247-253

Fujikawa T et al. Laparoscopic digestive surgery and antithrombotic therapy

[PMID: 19294412 DOI: 10.1007/s00423-009-0483-y]

- 12 Ono K, Idani H, Hidaka H, Kusudo K, Koyama Y, Taguchi S. Effect of aspirin continuation on blood loss and postoperative morbidity in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy or colorectal cancer resection. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 2013; 23: 97-100 [PMID: 23386161 DOI: 10.1097/ SLE.0b013e318278cdf8]
- 13 Anderson K, Jupiter DC, Abernathy SW, Frazee RC. Should clopidogrel be discontinued before laparoscopic cholecystectomy? *Am J Surg* 2014; 208: 926-931; discussion 930-931 [PMID: 25435299 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2014.08.001]
- 14 Noda T, Hatano H, Dono K, Shimizu J, Oshima K, Tanida T, Miyake M, Komori T, Kawanishi K, Morita S, Imamura H, Iwazawa T, Akagi K, Kitada M. Safety of early laparoscopic cholecystectomy for patients with acute cholecystitis undergoing antiplatelet or anticoagulation therapy: a single-institution experience. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2014; **61**: 1501-1506 [PMID: 25436333]
- 15 Joseph B, Rawashdeh B, Aziz H, Kulvatunyou N, Pandit V, Jehangir Q, O'Keeffe T, Tang A, Green DJ, Friese RS, Rhee P. An acute care surgery dilemma: emergent laparoscopic cholecystectomy in patients on aspirin therapy. *Am J Surg* 2015; 209: 689-694 [PMID: 25064416 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2014.04.014]
- 16 Fujikawa T, Kawamoto H, Kawamura Y, Emoto N, Sakamoto Y, Tanaka A. Impact of laparoscopic liver resection on bleeding complications in patients receiving antithrombotics. *World J Gastrointest Endosc* 2017; 9: 396-404 [PMID: 28874960 DOI: 10.4253/wjge.v9.i8.396]
- 17 Sakamoto Y, Fujikawa T, Kawamoto H, Yoshimoto Y, Tanaka A. The safety and feasibility of elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy in patients with antiplatelet therapy: Lessons from more than 800 cases in a single tertiary referral hospital. In: World Congress of Surgery 2017 Abstract Book: International Society of Surgery ISS/ SIC, 2017: PE413
- 18 Yun JH, Jung HI, Lee HU, Baek MJ, Bae SH. The efficacy of laparoscopic cholecystectomy without discontinuation in patients on antithrombotic therapy. *Ann Surg Treat Res* 2017; **92**: 143-148 [PMID: 28289668 DOI: 10.4174/astr.2017.92.3.143]
- 19 Chechik O, Inbar R, Danino B, Lador R, Greenberg R, Avital S. Anti-platelet therapy: no association with increased blood loss in patients undergoing open or laparoscopic appendectomy. *Isr Med Assoc J* 2011; 13: 342-344 [PMID: 21809730]
- 20 Pearcy C, Almahmoud K, Jackson T, Hartline C, Cahill A, Spence L, Kim D, Olatubosun O, Todd SR, Campion EM, Burlew CC, Regner J, Frazee R, Michaels D, Dissanaike S, Stewart C, Foley N, Nelson P, Agrawal V, Truitt MS. Risky business? Investigating outcomes of patients undergoing urgent laparoscopic appendectomy on antithrombotic therapy. *Am J Surg* 2017; **214**: 1012-1015 [PMID: 28982518 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2017.08.035]
- 21 Shimoike N, Shimoike N, Fujikawa T, Yoshimoto Y, Tanaka A. Does antiplatelet therapy affect short-term and long-term outcomes of patients undergoing surgery for colorectal cancer? - Surgical radicality versus perioperative antiplatelet-related morbidity risks. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol Res* 2016; **5**: 1962-1969 [DOI: 10.17554/ j.issn.2224-3992.2016.05.605]
- 22 Takahashi K, Ito H, Katsube T, Tsuboi A, Hashimoto M, Ota E, Mita K, Asakawa H, Hayashi T, Fujino K. Associations between antithrombotic therapy and the risk of perioperative complications among patients undergoing laparoscopic gastrectomy. *Surg Endosc* 2017; 31: 567-572 [PMID: 27287908 DOI: 10.1007/ s00464-016-4998-3]
- 23 Gerin O, Rebibo L, Dhahri A, Regimbeau JM. The Safety of Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy in Patients Receiving Chronic Anticoagulation Therapy: A Case-Matched Study. *Obes Surg* 2015; 25: 1686-1692 [PMID: 25663098 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-015-1590-1]
- 24 Fujikawa T, Yoshimoto Y, Kawamura Y, Nishimura T, Kawamoto H, Yamamoto T, Emoto N, Sakamoto Y, Tanaka A. Impact of antiplatelet therapy on increased blood loss and bleeding complication in patients undergoing urgent cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. In: 12th Biennial E-AHPBA Congress 2017 Book of

Abstracts: European-African Hepato Pancreato Biliary Association, 2017: P43.04

- 25 Douketis JD, Spyropoulos AC, Kaatz S, Becker RC, Caprini JA, Dunn AS, Garcia DA, Jacobson A, Jaffer AK, Kong DF, Schulman S, Turpie AG, Hasselblad V, Ortel TL; BRIDGE Investigators. Perioperative Bridging Anticoagulation in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2015; **373**: 823-833 [PMID: 26095867 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1501035]
- 26 ASGE Standards of Practice Committee, Acosta RD, Abraham NS, Chandrasekhara V, Chathadi KV, Early DS, Eloubeidi MA, Evans JA, Faulx AL, Fisher DA, Fonkalsrud L, Hwang JH, Khashab MA, Lightdale JR, Muthusamy VR, Pasha SF, Saltzman JR, Shaukat A, Shergill AK, Wang A, Cash BD, DeWitt JM. The management of antithrombotic agents for patients undergoing GI endoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2016; 83: 3-16 [PMID: 26621548 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2015.09.035]
- 27 Fujimoto K, Fujishiro M, Kato M, Higuchi K, Iwakiri R, Sakamoto C, Uchiyama S, Kashiwagi A, Ogawa H, Murakami K, Mine T, Yoshino J, Kinoshita Y, Ichinose M, Matsui T; Japan Gastroenterological Endoscopy Society. Guidelines for gastroenterological endoscopy in patients undergoing antithrombotic treatment. *Dig Endosc* 2014; 26: 1-14 [PMID: 24215155 DOI: 10.1111/den.12183]
- 28 Douketis JD, Spyropoulos AC, Spencer FA, Mayr M, Jaffer AK, Eckman MH, Dunn AS, Kunz R. Perioperative management of antithrombotic therapy: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest* 2012; 141: e326S-e350S [PMID: 22315266 DOI: 10.1378/chest.11-2298]
- 29 Korte W, Cattaneo M, Chassot PG, Eichinger S, von Heymann C, Hofmann N, Rickli H, Spannagl M, Ziegler B, Verheugt F, Huber K. Peri-operative management of antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery disease: joint position paper by members of the working group on Perioperative Haemostasis of the Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis Research (GTH), the working group on Perioperative Coagulation of the Austrian Society for Anesthesiology, Resuscitation and Intensive Care (ÖGARI) and the Working Group Thrombosis of the European Society for Cardiology (ESC). *Thromb Haemost* 2011; **105**: 743-749 [PMID: 21437351 DOI: 10.1160/TH10-04-0217]
- 30 Levine GN, Bates ER, Bittl JA, Brindis RG, Fihn SD, Fleisher LA, Granger CB, Lange RA, Mack MJ, Mauri L, Mehran R, Mukherjee D, Newby LK, O'Gara PT, Sabatine MS, Smith PK, Smith SC Jr. 2016 ACC/AHA Guideline Focused Update on Duration of Dual Antiplatelet Therapy in Patients With Coronary Artery Disease: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines: An Update of the 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI Guideline for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention, 2011 ACCF/AHA Guideline for Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery, 2012 ACC/AHA/ACP/AATS/ PCNA/SCAI/STS Guideline for the Diagnosis and Management of Patients With Stable Ischemic Heart Disease, 2013 ACCF/ AHA Guideline for the Management of ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction, 2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients With Non-ST-Elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes, and 2014 ACC/AHA Guideline on Perioperative Cardiovascular Evaluation and Management of Patients Undergoing Noncardiac Surgery. Circulation 2016; 134: e123-e155 [PMID: 27026020 DOI: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000404]
- States and States a

College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2009; **74**: E25-E68 [PMID: 19924773 DOI: 10.1002/ccd.22351]

- 32 Polkowski M, Larghi A, Weynand B, Boustière C, Giovannini M, Pujol B, Dumonceau JM; European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE). Learning, techniques, and complications of endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)-guided sampling in gastroenterology: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Technical Guideline. *Endoscopy* 2012; **44**: 190-206 [PMID: 22180307 DOI: 10.1055/s-0031-1291543]
- 33 Spyropoulos AC, Douketis JD, Gerotziafas G, Kaatz S, Ortel TL, Schulman S; Subcommittee on Control of Anticoagulation of the SSC of the ISTH. Periprocedural antithrombotic and bridging therapy: recommendations for standardized reporting in patients with arterial indications for chronic oral anticoagulant therapy. *J Thromb Haemost* 2012; 10: 692-694 [PMID: 22934291 DOI: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2012.04630.x]
- 34 Ho PM, Peterson ED, Wang L, Magid DJ, Fihn SD, Larsen GC, Jesse RA, Rumsfeld JS. Incidence of death and acute myocardial infarction associated with stopping clopidogrel after acute coronary syndrome. *JAMA* 2008; **299**: 532-539 [PMID: 18252883 DOI: 10.1001/jama.299.5.532]
- 35 Ferrari E, Benhamou M, Cerboni P, Marcel B. Coronary syndromes following aspirin withdrawal: a special risk for late stent thrombosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005; 45: 456-459 [PMID: 15680728 DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2004.11.041]
- 36 Devereaux PJ, Mrkobrada M, Sessler DI, Leslie K, Alonso-Coello P, Kurz A, Villar JC, Sigamani A, Biccard BM, Meyhoff CS, Parlow JL, Guyatt G, Robinson A, Garg AX, Rodseth RN, Botto F, Lurati Buse G, Xavier D, Chan MT, Tiboni M, Cook D, Kumar PA, Forget P, Malaga G, Fleischmann E, Amir M, Eikelboom J, Mizera R, Torres D, Wang CY, VanHelder T, Paniagua P, Berwanger O, Srinathan S, Graham M, Pasin L, Le Manach Y, Gao P, Pogue J, Whitlock R, Lamy A, Kearon C, Baigent C, Chow C, Pettit S, Chrolavicius S, Yusuf S; POISE-2 Investigators. Aspirin in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 370: 1494-1503 [PMID: 24679062 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1401105]
- 37 Prevention of pulmonary embolism and deep vein thrombosis with low dose aspirin: Pulmonary Embolism Prevention (PEP) trial. *Lancet* 2000; **355**: 1295-1302 [PMID: 10776741 DOI: 10.1016/ S0140-6736(00)02110-3]
- 38 Wolf AM, Pucci MJ, Gabale SD, McIntyre CA, Irizarry AM, Kennedy EP, Rosato EL, Lavu H, Winter JM, Yeo CJ. Safety of perioperative aspirin therapy in pancreatic operations. *Surgery* 2014; 155: 39-46 [PMID: 23890963 DOI: 10.1016/j.surg.2013.05.031]
- 39 Fang X, Baillargeon JG, Jupiter DC. Continued Antiplatelet Therapy and Risk of Bleeding in Gastrointestinal Procedures: A Systematic Review. *J Am Coll Surg* 2016; 222: 890-905.e11 [PMID: 27016908 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2016.01.053]
- 40 Baron TH, Kamath PS, McBane RD. Management of antithrombotic therapy in patients undergoing invasive procedures. *N Engl J Med* 2013; 368: 2113-2124 [PMID: 23718166 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMra1206531]
- 41 Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S, Eikelboom J, Oldgren J,

Parekh A, Pogue J, Reilly PA, Themeles E, Varrone J, Wang S, Alings M, Xavier D, Zhu J, Diaz R, Lewis BS, Darius H, Diener HC, Joyner CD, Wallentin L; RE-LY Steering Committee and Investigators. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2009; **361**: 1139-1151 [PMID: 19717844 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa0905561]

- 42 Patel MR, Mahaffey KW, Garg J, Pan G, Singer DE, Hacke W, Breithardt G, Halperin JL, Hankey GJ, Piccini JP, Becker RC, Nessel CC, Paolini JF, Berkowitz SD, Fox KA, Califf RM; ROCKET AF Investigators. Rivaroxaban versus warfarin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 365: 883-891 [PMID: 21830957 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1009638]
- 43 Granger CB, Alexander JH, McMurray JJ, Lopes RD, Hylek EM, Hanna M, Al-Khalidi HR, Ansell J, Atar D, Avezum A, Bahit MC, Diaz R, Easton JD, Ezekowitz JA, Flaker G, Garcia D, Geraldes M, Gersh BJ, Golitsyn S, Goto S, Hermosillo AG, Hohnloser SH, Horowitz J, Mohan P, Jansky P, Lewis BS, Lopez-Sendon JL, Pais P, Parkhomenko A, Verheugt FW, Zhu J, Wallentin L; ARISTOTLE Committees and Investigators. Apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 365: 981-992 [PMID: 21870978 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1107039]
- 44 Giugliano RP, Ruff CT, Braunwald E, Murphy SA, Wiviott SD, Halperin JL, Waldo AL, Ezekowitz MD, Weitz JI, Špinar J, Ruzyllo W, Ruda M, Koretsune Y, Betcher J, Shi M, Grip LT, Patel SP, Patel I, Hanyok JJ, Mercuri M, Antman EM; ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 Investigators. Edoxaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2013; **369**: 2093-2104 [PMID: 24251359 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1310907]
- 45 Komori M, Yasaka M, Kokuba K, Matsuoka H, Fujimoto S, Yoshida M, Kameda K, Shono T, Nagata S, Ago T, Kitazono T, Okada Y. Intracranial hemorrhage during dabigatran treatment. *Circ J* 2014; **78**: 1335-1341 [PMID: 24662438 DOI: 10.1253/circj. CJ-13-1534]
- 46 Hagii J, Tomita H, Metoki N, Saito S, Shiroto H, Hitomi H, Kamada T, Seino S, Takahashi K, Baba Y, Sasaki S, Uchizawa T, Iwata M, Matsumoto S, Osanai T, Yasujima M, Okumura K. Characteristics of intracerebral hemorrhage during rivaroxaban treatment: comparison with those during warfarin. *Stroke* 2014; 45: 2805-2807 [PMID: 25082810 DOI: 10.1161/ STROKEAHA.114.006661]
- 47 Verma A, Ha ACT, Rutka JT, Verma S. What Surgeons Should Know About Non-Vitamin K Oral Anticoagulants: A Review. *JAMA Surg* 2018; **153**: 577-585 [PMID: 29710221 DOI: 10.1001/ jamasurg.2018.0374]
- 48 Douketis JD, Spyropoulos AC, Anderson JM, Arnold DM, Bates SM, Blostein M, Carrier M, Caprini JA, Clark NP, Coppens M, Dentali F, Duncan J, Gross PL, Kassis J, Kowalski S, Lee AY, Le Gal G, Le Templier G, Li N, MacKay E, Shah V, Shivakumar S, Solymoss S, Spencer FA, Syed S, Tafur AJ, Vanassche T, Thiele T, Wu C, Yeo E, Schulman S. The Perioperative Anticoagulant Use for Surgery Evaluation (PAUSE) Study for Patients on a Direct Oral Anticoagulant Who Need an Elective Surgery or Procedure: Design and Rationale. *Thromb Haemost* 2017; **117**: 2415-2424 [PMID: 29212129 DOI: 10.1160/TH17-08-0553]

P- Reviewer: Huang LY S- Editor: Dou Y L- Editor: Filipodia E- Editor: Song H







Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk http://www.wjgnet.com

