Detection and clinical significance of DNA repair gene ERCC8 tag SNPs in gastric cancer

Xingre Lu 🗅, Fengyu Chen 🗅, Xiaowen Liu 🕩, Diao Yuan 🕩, Yunju Zi ២, Xiang He ២, Deyong Zhu ២

Department of Clinical Laboratory, The People's Hospital of Wenshan Prefecture, Yunnan, China

Cite this article as: Lu X, Chen F, Liu X, et al. Detection and clinical significance of DNA repair gene ERCC8 tag SNPs in gastric cancer. Turk J Gastroenterol 2018; 29: 392-6.

ABSTRACT

Background/Aims: Excision repair cross-complementing group 8 (ERCC8) is one of the members of the nucleotide excision repair pathway. This study aimed to explore the association between ERCC8 tag single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and gastric cancer. **Materials and Methods:** Totally, 120 patients with gastric cancer treated from March 2010 to March 2011 were selected as the observation group and 120 healthy individuals were selected as the control group during the same period. The Sequenom MassARRAY system was used to identify genotypes in these samples. The genetic locus of ERCC8 tag SNPs and the relevance of gastric cancer risk to the different ERCC8 genotypes alone or in combination with Helicobacter pylori infection were observed and analyzed. The AA, GA, and GG genotypes on rs158572 and rs158916 in the observation and control groups were compared.

Results: The results showed that the odds ratio of the different ERCC8 rs158572 and rs158916 genotypes was not significantly increased in the observation group compared with that in the control group. By contrast, in patients with H. pylori infection, the ERCC8 rs158572 GA/GG and rs158916 TT genotypes showed a 7.921-fold and 8.021-fold [95% confidence interval (CI)=4.022-15.921, p=0.029 and 95% CI=3.021-15.092, p=0.021, respectively] increased risk of gastric cancer than the AA and CT/CC genotypes, respectively. **Conclusion:** Helicobacter pylori infection combined with ERCC8 rs158572 and rs158916 can be used as a predictive index of gastric cancer occurrence.

Keywords: DNA repair gene, ERCC8, gastric cancer, tag SNPs

INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer is the fourth most common malignant cancer worldwide. Although there has been remarkable improvement in cancer screening and treatment, the incidence of and mortality rate due to gastric cancer are still high (1). The occurrence of gastric cancer is related to dietary habits, living environment, and *Helicobacter pylori* infection (2-4). Genetic factors are also important, but their effect has not been well understood.

Genetic polymorphisms can cause hereditary and genetic susceptibility to a disease (6). Specifically, single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) is the most common form of polymorphism and is thus often used to study genetic effects on human diseases. One or more SNPs can represent the SNPs of a monomer area, which is called a tag SNP (7,8). Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) repair systems play an important role in maintaining the integrity and stability of DNA (9,10) through processes including base excision repair, nucleotide excision repair (NER), mismatch repair, single-strand break repair, and double-strand break repair

(11,12). When DNA is damaged, it activates its self-repair ability. However, the DNA repair ability significantly varies among individuals. Previous studies have shown that the sequences of the DNA repair gene can affect the repair ability of DNA (11,12). The polymorphisms of multiple genes involved in NER pathways are associated with different prognostics of gastric cancer; for example, excision repair cross-complementing group 2 (ERCC2) and excision repair cross-complementing group 6 (ERCC6) are associated with poor prognostic, while ERCC1, ERCC5, and DDB2 predict longer overall survival (13). However, excision repair cross-complementing group 8 (ERCC8) is the core gene in the transcription-coupled repair pathway of NER; its genetic polymorphism in cancer occurrence and prognosis is unclear, and it needs further investigation for the results in the previous reports are not consistent (13-18).

Thus, in this study, we aimed to investigate the significance of ERCC8 tag SNPs and their combination with *H. pylori* infection in diagnosing gastric cancer.

ORCID IDs of the authors: X.L. 0000-0003-2326-2014; F.C. 0000-0003-2169-4913; X.Liu 0000-0002-6256-9580; D.Y. 0000-0003-1326-8201; Y.Z. 0000-0003-3536-0404; X.H. 0000-0002-6690-9845; D.Z. 0000-0002-4138-7921.

Corresponding Author: Xingre Lu; decomotionw@sina.com

Received: October 11, 2017 Accepted: January 29, 2018 Available online date: May 30, 2018 © Copyright 2018 by The Turkish Society of Gastroenterology · Available online at www.turkjgastroenterol.org DOI: 10.5152/tjg.2018.17662

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics

This study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and it was approved by the ethics committee of our hospital. Verbal informed consent was obtained from each subject.

Study subjects and materials

A total of 120 patients with gastric cancer treated at our hospital between March 2010 and March 2011 were selected as the observation group (mean age: 51.1 ± 2.1 years), and 120 healthy individuals (mean age 50.9 ± 2.0 years) were selected as the control group during the same period. All subjects were of the same race. Patients in the observation group were diagnosed with early gastric cancer by gastroscopy and a histopathologic examination.

Fasting venous blood from the included patients was collected, and blood serum was separated. The blood clot was preserved at -20°C. All DNA primers were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.; Waltham, MA, USA. SNP-type tests were performed using the iPLEX Gold Reagent Kit purchased from Sequenom Inc. The Sequenom MassARRAY high-throughput technology platform was used for genotype detection. The phenol-chloroform extraction method was used to extract genomic DNA.

Tag SNP selection

Haploview software was used to screen *ERCC8* tag SNPs, and then, FastSNP was used to evaluate the function and risk of candidate tag SNPs to select an SNP with a potential effect of clinical interest. The risk evaluation of tag SNPs was conducted according to the genetic risk assessment program. After screening, two potential tag SNPs (rs158572 and rs158916) were selected for further study.

SNP genotyping

A 500 μ L blood clot was put in a centrifuge tube, and then, 800 μ L TE was added. After they were mixed evenly, DNA was extracted. The sample was centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 5 min. Subsequently, 400 μ L LTE, 25 μ L 10% SDS, and 5 μ L of 20mg/mL PK solution were sequentially added to the sample that was digested overnight. On the next day, the supernatant was discarded, and the same volume of phenol was added. After whirling for 15 min and centrifugation, the supernatant was discarded. Then, a 1:1 mixture of chloroform:phenol was added, the sample was centrifuged, and the supernatant was discarded again, after which two times of absolute ethyl alcohol and 3 M sodium acetate were added. Finally, the sample was used in the precipitation reaction at -20°C, after which the supernatant was discarded, and 75% ethyl alcohol was added for centrifuge. The precipitation substance was dried and preserved after discarding the supernatant from the sample.

The primer sequences of candidate SNPs were designed, and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed. Then, the PCR product was purified, and single-base extension was performed.

Statistical analysis

Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 17.0 (SPSS Inc.; Chicago, IL, USA) was used to analyze the data. The chi-squared test was performed to compare the difference between the genes in the two groups, and the confidence interval was 95%.

RESULTS

ERCC8 tag SNPs and the risk of gastric cancer

The expression of AA, GA, and GG on rs158572 and of TT, CT, and CC on rs158916 in the observation and control group, respectively, were compared. Compared with the AA genotype, the rs158572 GA genotype, GG genotype, and GA/GG genotype were not associated with an increased risk of gastric cancer (p>0.05, Table 1). Moreover, compared with the rs158916 TT genotype, no positive relationship was observed between the CT genotype, CC genotype, CT/CC genotype, and an increased risk of gastric cancer (p>0.05, Table 2). It has been indicated that the ERCC8 tag SNPs increased the risk of gastric cancer.

ERCC8 tag SNPs combined with H. pylori infection and the risk of gastric cancer

As *H. pylori* infection is one of the main factors that causes gastric cancer, *H. pylori* infection in patients was observed and the relevance of the expression of AA, GA, and GG on rs158572 and of TT, CT, and CC on rs158916 was analyzed; the risk of gastric cancer in the subpopulations with regard to *H. pylori* infection was evaluated. As shown in Table 3, the risk of gastric cancer in patients carrying ERCC8 rs158572 and rs158916 significantly increased, regardless of whether it was combined with *H. pylori* infection (p<0.05). Moreover, compared with the AA genotype, the risk of gastric cancer in patients with the rs158572 GA/GG genotype combined with *H. pylori* infection was much higher than that in patients without *H. pylori* infection (OR=7.921, 95% CI=4.022-15.921, p=0.029 and OR=1.122, 95% CI=0.709-2.022, p=0.012,

Table 1. The occurrence rate of gastric cancer related to theERCC8 tag SNP rs158572 in the observation and controlgroups

Group	Observation group	Control group	OR (95% CI)	р
AA	102	109	1.0	
GA	45	34	1.211 (0.802-1.722)	0.309
GG	9	3	4.099 (0.421-34.22)	0.212
GA/GG	54	37	1.402 (0.872-2.012)	0.22

Table 2. The occurrence rate of gastric cancer related tothe ERCC8 tag SNP rs158916 in the observation and controlgroups

Group	Observation group	Control group	OR (95% CI)	р
ТТ	98	102	1.0	
СТ	29	35	0.810 (0.563-1.121)	0.200
сс	4	4	0.850 (0.312-2.312)	0.792
CT/CC	33	39	0.821 (0.598-1.312)	0.221

Table 2. The occurrence rate of gastric cancer related tothe ERCC8 tag SNP rs158916 in the observation and controlgroups

Group HP (-) HP (+) rs158572 Control/patient AA 75/27 34/75 GA/GG 28/12 11/34 OR (95% CI) 1.122 7.921 OR (95% CI) 1.122 7.921 (0.709-2.022) (4.022-15.92*) P 0.012 0.029 0.012 0.029 rs158916 Control/patient T 32/41 43/65 OR (95% CI) 1.221 8.021 (0.901-3.421) (3.021-15.092) P 0.031 0.021 0.021 0.021	0 1			
AA 75/27 34/75 GA/GG 28/12 11/34 OR (95% CI) 1.122 7.921 (0.709-2.022) (4.022-15.924) p 0.012 0.029 rs158916 Control/patient 75/27 CT/CC 90/22 30/98 TT 32/41 43/65 OR (95% CI) 1.221 8.021 (0.901-3.421) (3.021-15.092)	Group		HP (-)	HP (+)
GA/GG 28/12 11/34 OR (95% Cl) 1.122 7.921 (0.709–2.022) (4.022-15.92* p 0.012 0.029 rs158916 Control/patient 000000000000000000000000000000000000	rs158572	Control/patien	t	
OR (95% CI) 1.122 7.921 (0.709–2.022) (4.022-15.92* p 0.012 0.029 rs158916 Control/patient CT/CC 90/22 30/98 TT 32/41 43/65 OR (95% CI) 1.221 8.021 (0.901-3.421) (3.021-15.092	AA		75/27	34/75
(0.709–2.022) (4.022-15.92 p 0.012 0.029 rs158916 Control/patient CT/CC 90/22 30/98 TT 32/41 43/65 OR (95% CI) 1.221 8.021 (0.901-3.421) (3.021-15.092	GA/GG		28/12	11/34
p 0.012 0.029 rs158916 Control/patient 00/22 30/98 CT/CC 90/22 30/98 00/28 TT 32/41 43/65 000000000000000000000000000000000000	OR (95% CI)		1.122	7.921
rs158916 Control/patient CT/CC 90/22 30/98 TT 32/41 43/65 OR (95% CI) 1.221 8.021 (0.901-3.421) (3.021-15.092			(0.709–2.022)	(4.022-15.921)
CT/CC 90/22 30/98 TT 32/41 43/65 OR (95% CI) 1.221 8.021 (0.901-3.421) (3.021-15.092	р		0.012	0.029
TT 32/41 43/65 OR (95% CI) 1.221 8.021 (0.901-3.421) (3.021-15.092	rs158916	Control/patien	t	
OR (95% CI) 1.221 8.021 (0.901-3.421) (3.021-15.092	CT/CC		90/22	30/98
(0.901-3.421) (3.021-15.092	тт		32/41	43/65
	OR (95% CI)		1.221	8.021
p0.031 0.021			(0.901-3.421)	(3.021-15.092)
	р		0.031	0.021

respectively). Furthermore, compared with the TT genotype, the risk of gastric cancer for patients with the rs158916 CT/CC genotype combined with *H. pylori* infection is much higher than that in patients without *H. pylori* infection (OR=8.021, 95% CI=3.021–15.092, p=0.021 and OR=1.221, 95% CI=0.901-3.421, p=0.031, respectively).

DISCUSSION

The morbidity rate due to gastric cancer is the fourth highest worldwide among all cancers, whereas the mortality rate due to the same holds the second place among all cancers worldwide (19). The modality of gastric cancer is related not only to environment and dietary habits but also to gene mutations in the human body (20,21). Many studies have shown that patients with gastric cancer do not have fresh vegetables and fruit in their daily diet; in addition, they often smoke or eat food with high salinity and high stimulus (22,23). Besides, long-term H. pylori infection is a risk factor of gastric cancer, but only a minority of patients with H. pylori infection will develop gastric cancer (19,24). In recent years, the occurrence rate of gastric cancer has been rising, and operative treatment is the main treatment method. Patients who receive early operative treatment have good prognosis, but there are usually distant metastases in patients at the advanced stage (25,26). Therefore, it is important to increase the detection rate of gastric cancer and understand the cancer condition for providing timely treatment. At present, individual prevention and gene treatment have been increasingly used for malignant cancers, which is a hot research topic (27,28). As a single gene mutation does not have a significant effect on cancer pathogenesis, predictive value is limited (29). The development of SNP technology is thus necessary for malignant cancers, particularly gastric cancer.

During the development of cancer, some genes are critical or even decisive, and such genes can combine with environmental factors to cause malignant cancer in the body. For instance, a carcinogen attacks normal cells in the human body and causes DNA damage. A normal body will activate the DNA repair system to automatically repair DNA, which is a complicated process and involves various enzymes and proteins (30,31). However, a potential mutation during the DNA repair may change DNA function and cause unlimited proliferation and uncontrolled differentiation. Specifically, the SNP can affect the enzyme and thus affect cancer susceptibility (32). ERCC1 is an important member of nucleotide circumscribed repair enzymes, as well as a critical DNA repair gene that makes cells survive, as ERCC can recognize and excise damage. To date, there are few studies on XRCC1 polymorphism and cancer susceptibility, but fewer are concerned with ERCC8 (33).

In our study, the results showed that H. pylori infection combined with ERCC8 gene expression had a positive correlation with gastric cancer. H. pylori infection is an important factor that causes gastric cancer, and ERCC8 expression is correlated with gastric cancer. According to the results, the DNA repair gene ERCC8 tag SNPs have clinical significance and practical value in detecting gastric cancer. More specifically, the AA, GA, and GG genotypes on rs158572 and rs158916 in the observation and control groups were compared. It was revealed that the gene locus on rs158572 in the observation and control groups had no statistical difference. Besides, the gene locus on rs158916 in the two groups had no statistical difference. In contrast, H. pylori infection had a certain correlation with the two tag SNPs. The risk of gastric cancer in patients carrying ERCC8 rs158572 and rs158916 combined with H. pylori infection was significantly increased.

The above findings contribute to a better understanding of the role of genes in causing cancer, in the context that research in genetics has become a major trend in clinical medicine research. Research on the occurrence of malignant cancer induced by aberrant methylation-caused gene disability is a hotspot in the field of genetics (34,35). Individual treatment based on genes will be the main trend in the future, with the interpretation of human genetic maps and advances in genetic disease research.

The exploration of DNA repair gene *ERCC8* tag SNPs in patients with gastric cancer, as well as the effects of various factors on genetic susceptibility, has significant implications in the selection of a preventive detection indicator. This also has significance in the screening of the high-risk population with gastric cancer, e.g., patients with *H. pylori* infection, long-term alcoholism, poor dietary habits, and gastritis.

Although the results of our present study are promising, there are several limitations that have to be taken into consideration. First, a relatively small sample size in the present study may result in insufficient statistical power to detect the relationship between ERCC8 rs158572, rs158916, and gastric cancer. Second, because our research was designed as a clinical study, the possibility of selection bias is exited that it cannot represent the general public. Finally, because all subjects in our study are Chinese, the results of our study cannot be directly generalized to other ethnic groups.

In conclusion, *H. pylori* infection combined with ERCC8 rs158572 and rs158916 can be used as a predictive index of gastric cancer occurrence.

Ethics Committee Approval: Ethics Committee Approval has received for this study from the Ethics Committee of the People's Hospital of Wenshan Prefecture.

Informed Consent: Verbal informed consent was obtained from the patients who participated in this study.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Author contributions: Concept - X.L.; Design - X.L.; Supervision - X.L., F.C., X.W.L., D.Y., Y.Z.; Fundings - X.L.; Materials - X.L., F.C.; Data Collection and/or Processing - F.C., X.W.L., D.Y., Y.Z., X.H., D.Z.; Analysis and/or Interpretation - X.L., F.C., X.W.L., D.Y., D.Z.; Literature Search - X.L., F.C., Y.Z.; Writing - X.L., F.C., X.W.L., X.H.; Critical Reviews - X.L.

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Financial Disclosure: This work was supported by Basic Application Project of Wenshan Prefecture (Project No: 2060402; Application Research and Development).

REFERENCES

1. Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin 2015; 65: 87-108. [CrossRef]

2. Gabitass RF, Annels NE, Stocken DD, Pandha HA, Middleton GW. Elevated myeloid-derived suppressor cells in pancreatic, esophageal and gastric cancer are an independent prognostic factor and are associated with significant elevation of the Th2 cytokine interleukin-13. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2011; 60: 1419-30. [CrossRef]

3. Wroblewski LE, Peek RM Jr, Wilson KT. Helicobacter pylori and gastric cancer: factors that modulate disease risk. Clin Microbiol Rev 2010; 23: 713-39. [CrossRef]

4. Guggenheim DE, Shah MA. Gastric cancer epidemiology and risk factors. J Surg Oncol 2013; 107: 230-6. [CrossRef]

5. Nobili S, Bruno L, Landini I, et al. Genomic and genetic alterations influence the progression of gastric cancer. World J Gastroenterol 2011; 17: 290-9. [CrossRef]

6. Ober C, Vercelli D. Gene-environment interactions in human disease: nuisance or opportunity? Trends Genet 2011; 27: 107-15. [CrossRef]

7. Wang B, Zhou S, Hong F, et al. Association analysis between the tag SNP for sonic hedgehog rs9333613 polymorphism and male sexual orientation. J Androl 2012; 33: 951-4. [CrossRef]

8. Mahdevar G, Zahiri J, Sadeghi M, Nowzari-Dalini A, Ahrabian H. Tag SNP selection via a genetic algorithm. J Biomed Inform 2010; 43: 800-4. [CrossRef]

9. Rajaraman P, Hutchinson A, Wichner S, et al. DNA repair gene polymorphisms and risk of adult meningioma, glioma, and acoustic neuroma. Neuro Oncol 2010; 12: 37-48. [CrossRef]

10. Fang F, Umbach DM, Xu Z, et al. No association between DNA repair gene XRCC1 and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Neurobiol Aging 2010; 33: 1015.e25-6. [CrossRef]

11. Goode EL, Ulrich CM, Potter JD. Polymorphisms in DNA repair genes and associations with cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2002; 11: 1513-30.

12. Lindahl T, Wood RD. Quality control by DNA repair. Science 1999; 286: 1897-905. [CrossRef]

13. Liu J, Deng N, Xu Q, et al. Polymorphisms of multiple genes involved in NER pathway predict prognosis of gastric cancer. Oncotarget 2016. [CrossRef]

14. Guillem VM, Cervantes F, Martínez J, et al. XPC genetic polymorphisms correlate with the response to imatinib treatment in patients with chronic phase chronic myeloid leukemia. Am J Hematol 2010; 85: 482-6. [CrossRef]

15. Fleming ND, Agadjanian H, Nassanian H, et al. Xeroderma pigmentosum complementation group C single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the nucleotide excision repair pathway correlate with prolonged progression-free survival in advanced ovarian cancer. Cancer 2012; 118: 689-97. [CrossRef]

16. Laugel V, Dalloz C, Durand M, et al. Mutation update for the CSB/ ERCC6 and CSA/ERCC8 genes involved in Cockayne syndrome. Hum Mutat 2010; 31: 113-26. [CrossRef]

17. Khayat M, Hardouf H, Zlotogora J, Shalev SA. High carriers frequency of an apparently ancient founder mutation p.Tyr322X in the ERCC8 gene responsible for Cockayne syndrome among Christian Arabs in Northern Israel. Am J Med Genet A 2010; 152A: 3091-4. [CrossRef]

18. Conchello-Monleon R, Pena-Segura JL, Tello-Martin A, et al. [Cockayne syndrome: a new mutation in the ERCC8 gene]. Rev Neurol 2012; 55: 250-1.

19. Ma JL, Zhang L, Brown LM, et al. Fifteen-year effects of Helicobacter pylori, garlic, and vitamin treatments on gastric cancer incidence and mortality. J Natl Cancer Inst 2012; 104: 488-92. [Cross-Ref]

20. Malvezzi M, Bertuccio P, Levi F, La Vecchia C, Negri E. European cancer mortality predictions for the year 2013. Ann Oncol 2013; 24: 792-800. [CrossRef]

21. Group G, Paoletti X, Oba K, et al. Benefit of adjuvant chemotherapy for resectable gastric cancer: a meta-analysis. JAMA 2014; 303: 1729-37.

22. D'Elia L, Rossi G, Ippolito R, Cappuccio FP, Strazzullo P. Habitual salt intake and risk of gastric cancer: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. Clin Nutr 2012; 31: 489-98. [CrossRef]

23. Dhalla F, da Silva SP, Lucas M, Travis S, Chapel H. Review of gastric cancer risk factors in patients with common variable immunodeficiency disorders, resulting in a proposal for a surveillance programme. Clin Exp Immunol 2011; 165: 1-7. [CrossRef]

24. Polk DB, Peek RM, Jr. Helicobacter pylori. gastric cancer and beyond. Nat Rev Cancer 2010; 10: 403-14. [CrossRef]

25. Songun I, Putter H, Kranenbarg EM, Sasako M, van de Velde CJ. Surgical treatment of gastric cancer: 15-year follow-up results of the randomised nationwide Dutch D1D2 trial. Lancet Oncol 2010; 11: 439-49. [CrossRef]

26. Sano T, Aiko T. New Japanese classifications and treatment guidelines for gastric cancer: revision concepts and major revised points. Gastric Cancer 2011; 14: 97-100. [CrossRef]

27. Ueda T, Volinia S, Okumura H, et al. Relation between microRNA expression and progression and prognosis of gastric cancer: a microRNA expression analysis. Lancet Oncol. 2010; 11: 136-46. [CrossRef]

28. Dancey JE, Bedard PL, Onetto N, Hudson TJ. The genetic basis for cancer treatment decisions. Cell 2012; 148: 409-20. [CrossRef]

29. de Araújo RM, de Melo CF, Neto FM, et al. Association study of SNPs of genes IFNGR1 (rs137854905), GSTT1 (rs71748309), and GSTP1 (rs1695) in gastric cancer development in samples of patient in the northern and northeastern Brazil. Tumour Biol 2014; 35: 4983-6. [CrossRef]

30. Deans AJ, SC West. DNA interstrand crosslink repair and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2011; 11: 467-80. [CrossRef]

31. Curtin NJ. DNA repair dysregulation from cancer driver to therapeutic target. Nat Rev Cancer 2012; 12: 801-17. [CrossRef]

32. Park JH, Gail MH, Weinberg CR, et al. Distribution of allele frequencies and effect sizes and their interrelationships for common genetic susceptibility variants. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011; 108: 18026-31. [CrossRef]

33. Lee MS, Liu CY, Su L, Christiani DC. Polymorphisms in ERCC1 and ERCC2/XPD genes and carcinogen DNA adducts in human lung. Lung Cancer 2015; 89: 8-12. [CrossRef]

34. Ruike Y, Imanaka Y, Sato F, Shimizu K, Tsujimoto G. Genome-wide analysis of aberrant methylation in human breast cancer cells using methyl-DNA immunoprecipitation combined with high-throughput sequencing. BMC Genomics 2010; 11: 137. [CrossRef]

35. Suzuki R, Yamamoto E, Nojima M, et al. Aberrant methylation of microRNA-34b/c is a predictive marker of metachronous gastric cancer risk. J Gastroenterol 2014; 49: 1135-44.[CrossRef]