

HOSTED BY



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## International Journal of Veterinary Science and Medicine

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/ijvsm](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ijvsm)

## Review Article

## Perspectives of nanotechnology in male fertility and sperm function

Laura Falchi<sup>a</sup>, Wael A. Khalil<sup>b</sup>, Mahmoud Hassan<sup>c</sup>, Waleed F.A. Marei<sup>d,e,\*</sup><sup>a</sup> Dipartimento di Medicina Veterinaria, Sezione di Clinica Ostetrica e Ginecologia, Università di Sassari, Sassari, Italy<sup>b</sup> Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt<sup>c</sup> Animal Production Research Institute, Dokki, Giza, Egypt<sup>d</sup> Department of Theriogenology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, Giza 12211, Egypt<sup>e</sup> Gamete Research Centre, Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Antwerp, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium

## ARTICLE INFO

## Keywords:

Antioxidants  
Gamete  
Nanoparticles  
Reproduction  
Semen

## ABSTRACT

Recent advances in nanotechnology have tremendously expanded its possible applications in biomedicine. Although, the effects of nanoparticles (NPs) at cellular and tissue levels have not been fully understood, some of these biological effects might be employed in assisted reproduction to improve male fertility particularly by enhancing sperm cell quality either *in vivo* or *in vitro*. This review summarises the available literature regarding the potential applications of nanomaterials in farm animal reproduction, with a specific focus on the male gamete and on different strategies to improve breeding performances, transgenesis and targeted delivery of substances to a sperm cell. Antioxidant, antimicrobial properties and special surface binding ligand functionalization and their applications for sperm processing and cryopreservation have been reviewed. In addition, nanotoxicity and detrimental effects of NPs on sperm cells are also discussed due to the increasing concerns regarding the environmental impact of the expanding use of nanotechnologies on reproduction.

## 1. Introduction

Nanoparticles (NPs) are particles that are synthesized with extremely small size, at the nanometer scale, with flexible fabrication and high surface-area ratio. Nanoparticles can be made from a variety of materials including metals, polysaccharides, and proteins. Recent advances in nanotechnology have tremendously expanded its possible applications in several scientific branches including medicine. This is mainly attributed to the engineering of nanoparticles with various physical and chemical properties that make them more stable, soluble and more biologically effective compared to their corresponding un-engineered homologues. In addition, NPs have been increasingly employed in the field of drug delivery for generating therapeutic formulations either for lipophilic or unstable hydrophilic substances. Systemic delivery of poorly water-soluble (hydrophobic) drugs remains in fact a major problem in clinical pharmacology. In the WHO (World Health Organization) Model List of Essential Medicines, about 25% of the drugs are considered poorly water-soluble [1] for which the application of nanotechnology could prove highly valuable.

Nanoparticles or nanoparticle-loaded drug formulations are currently being developed to increase drug efficiency through e.g.; 1) protecting against digestion and degradation in the gastrointestinal

tract and thus maximizing intestinal absorption and increased oral bioavailability [2]; 2) prolongation of the half-life of drugs in circulation; 3) bypassing blood-tissue barriers and delivery to specific target tissues, or even at cellular level; 4) rapid onset and prolonged therapeutic action; and 5) reduced effective dose and side effects or toxicity. Several reviews of literature provide comprehensive overviews on the expanding applications of nanotechnology in the field of drug delivery [3–5].

However, a better knowledge of the effects of nano-compounds at cellular and tissue levels is necessary, and little information is available about their activity in the reproductive system. The capacity of nano-carriers to cross the hemato-testicular barrier [6] have been demonstrated raising concerns about their distribution and biocompatibility at systemic level and suggesting that *in vivo* effects may be the result of systemic alterations but also a consequence of direct effects at the testicular level.

This review will focus on the reported *in vitro* and *in vivo* effects of different types of nanoparticles, either beneficial or detrimental, on male fertility and sperm cell function in farm animals, keeping in mind that these effects strictly depend on the chemical nature of the compound (size, surface charge, coating etc.) but also on the biological system involved (animal species, tissue, cell variability). A special

Peer review under responsibility of Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University.

\* Corresponding author at: Gamete Research Centre, Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Antwerp, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium.

E-mail address: [waleed.marei@uantwerpen.be](mailto:waleed.marei@uantwerpen.be) (W.F.A. Marei).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijvsm.2018.09.001>

Received 30 June 2018; Received in revised form 4 September 2018; Accepted 4 September 2018

Available online 12 September 2018

2314-4599/ © 2018 Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

attention was given to the anti-oxidant properties of some nano-compounds and to the possibility to build nano-cargos, promising tools for improving transgenesis and targeted delivery in assisted reproductive techniques.

## 2. Effects of NPs as antioxidants on sperm functions

Recent advances in nanoparticle technologies resulted in the development of several NP formulations with potent antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and antimicrobial properties [7–9]. This obviously opens great potentials in the area of improvement of reproductive functions either *in vitro* or *in vivo* [10].

Applications of NPs based on their antioxidative properties can be particularly valuable for sperm functions and male fertility. Semen cooling and cryopreservation are known to increase oxidative stress in spermatozoa leading to a significant reduction in their fertilization capacity [11]. We have recently tested the efficiency of cerium oxide (CeO<sub>2</sub>) NPs, which are able to store oxygen and act as ROS (reactive oxygen species) scavengers, to protect ram sperm cell viability during cooling [12]. Supplementation of CeO<sub>2</sub> in semen extender improved motility parameters after 48 h and up to 96 h of incubation and increased sperm velocity of sperm cells. This was associated with protecting the integrity of plasma membranes and DNA of spermatozoa [12]. These effects could not be linked with any reduction in intracellular ROS as detected by H2DCFDA staining [12], however, the sensitivity of this cytoplasmic stain may not be sufficient to detect minor differences in sperm cells due to the cellular structure of the sperm head which is mostly occupied by a nucleus. These beneficial effects on sperm preservation by cooling can be very useful to increase productivity of small sheep farms where routine artificial insemination is done using liquid cooled semen prepared in house.

Nano-selenium (SeNPs) has also been used in several studies as a scavenger of ROS to protect against oxidative damage in sperm cells. Addition of Nano-Se to semen extender improved the post-thawing quality and oxidative variables of rooster semen [13]. In addition, oral supplementation of SeNPs also protected the quality of spermatozoa (motility, DNA integrity) and spermatogenesis against oxidative damage induced by Cisplatin, an anticancer agent with male reproductive toxicant properties [14]. When supplemented in the diet of bucks, SeNPs proved to be more effective in increasing antioxidant enzyme activity (GSH-Px, SOD, CAT) and sperm quality when compared with other selenium forms (sodium selenite or selenized yeast) [15,16].

Nano-zinc is also among the metal NPs that were efficiently used to improve semen quality. In young rams, supplementation of the diet with 50 mg/kg or 100 mg/kg ZnNPs in diet improved epididymal semen quality, seminal plasma anti-oxidase activities and the expression of copper-zinc superoxide dismutase (Cu-Zn SOD) [17]. Similarly, supplementation of bull semen extender with zinc nano-complex decreased the levels of malondialdehyde (MDA) and improved mitochondrial activity [18]. Moreover, Zn nano-complex improved the functionality of sperm plasma membranes in a dose dependent manner without any deleterious effect on motility parameters [19]. In streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats, ZnO NPs have been shown to enhance the activity of antioxidant enzymes in the testicular tissue, to increase sperm count and improve sperm characteristics by protecting against oxidative stress [20].

## 3. Nanotechnologies for sperm purification and sorting in farm animals

Modern breeding systems require, nowadays, the diffusion of superior genotypes and thus the selection of high quality gametes and embryos. In male reproduction, many factors such as routine procedures of handling and storage, might affect negatively the quality of spermatozoa, and several strategies have been designed to overcome these limitations in order to achieve high *in vivo* and *in vitro* fertility

rates [21,22]. Among others, sperm purification and sorting represent a valid tool for the selection of sub-populations of the best spermatozoa (in terms of motility and morphology) within an ejaculate and the discard of defective cells, contaminations and debris. These techniques also allow to recover spermatozoa from sub-optimal ejaculates from animals of high genetic values. In literature, several techniques have been developed to achieve this purpose and have been tested in farm animals: among others, swim up assay [23–25]; density gradient centrifugation [23,25–27], filtration through columns [28–32] and single layer centrifugation (SLC) [33–35]. Although these procedures greatly improve motility and functional parameters especially in post-thaw semen, on the other side they lead to a reduction in the concentration of the insemination doses due to their variable recovery rates, they are time consuming and add costs and labour to the standard procedures of semen handling and storage [36].

A novel approach for semen selection is represented by the development of techniques that target specific negative biomarkers of sperm quality, such as for example, defects in the acrosome and cytoplasm membrane or the presence of ubiquitin on sperm surfaces [as reviewed by [37]. Magnetic beads coated with probes that specifically bind to these biomarkers (peanut and pisum sativum agglutinin (PNA/PSA) lectins, annexin V, anti-ubiquitin antibodies) have been successfully used both in humans [38] and in bulls [39] for removing defective spermatozoa by exposure to magnetic field (MACS, magnetic activated cells sorting).

In this context, nanoparticles, with their peculiar characteristics of tuneable size, surface charge and binding properties, have recently contributed to optimise MACS protocols. In particular, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs, known for their magnetization, bio-compatibility and bio-functionalisation properties [40], have been conjugated either with PNA/PSA lectin, to selectively bind to glycans expressed on reacted acrosomes, or with anti-ubiquitin antibodies. With the specific binding to defective spermatozoa, they have been employed to nanopurify frozen-thawed bull spermatozoa, resulting in an increase in conception rates following artificial insemination [41]. Similarly, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs coated with PNA/PSA lectins were used for nanopurification of boar semen, efficiently selecting a subpopulation of highly motile and viable spermatozoa [42]. Interestingly, the authors of these studies reported that the procedure of sperm nanopurification did not require extensive semen manipulation, labour and additional costs, as it takes less than 1 h to prepare a purified semen sample without expensive equipment [36]. Removal of dead spermatozoa is indeed of critical importance as it negatively affects the motion characteristics and membrane integrity of live spermatozoa [43,44]. These encouraging results suggest that nanotechnologies could be easily included in the routine procedures of selection of high quality sperm population to enhance fertility rates in farm animals.

Another promising application of nanotechnologies was suggested by Farini et al. [45] for bovine sperm selection using the Cell-SELEX (systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment) technique. In this method, avidin-coated superparamagnetic Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs (SPION) selectively bound to synthetic DNA aptamers specific for spermatozoa with damaged membranes. The authors reported that the removal of damaged spermatozoa with the aptamer/SPION system significantly improved semen quality, in terms of viable cells, and did not affect *in vitro* embryo development [45]. Those magnetic NPs have been previously shown not to affect sperm motility or acrosome reaction [46].

NPs have also been recently suggested as valid alternatives to fluorochromes and flow cytometry for sperm sorting, and targeting specific sperm DNA sequences. The use of NPs as DNA tags has been investigated with encouraging results by Barchanski et al. [47], proposing bio-conjugated AuNPs as tools for genetic labelling in bull sperm. Recently, in the bovine, Gamrad et al. reported successful labelling of specific sites of the Y chromosome by oligonucleotide functionalised AuNPs [48]. In future, the development of specific nanoprobe will provide precious tools to sex sort sperm cells in routine reproductive management of farm animals, replacing flow cytometry

and its limitations [49–51].

#### 4. Sperm transport of NPs functionalised substances

In the past years, nanotechnologies have been gaining increasing interest in the development of nanoplateforms for delivery of biological compounds to specific targets within tissues or cells. These applications would provide great advantages in the progress of assisted reproductive technologies in farm animals and the potential of these nanocarriers interacting with gametes is yet to be fully understood, opening new perspectives but also new controversies. The majority of the reports on transport of nanocargos and reproduction focused on the spermatozoa for the peculiar characteristics of this cell: motility, scarce intracellular uptake, great capacity to interact through its membranes with several compounds. These properties, combined with the great plasticity, targeted action, binding attitude and small size of NPs have been increasingly investigated in the past decades to achieve successful loading of sperm cells with nucleic acids or proteins. Makhluף et al. in a series of experiments described the spontaneous loading of polyvinyl alcohol (PVA)-Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and PVA-Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>NPs by bovine sperm cells, without detrimental effects on motility and acrosome integrity [46]. Following these observations the same research group designed PVA-Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs conjugated with an antibody raised against protein kinase C. They further described up-take of the antibody/NPs cargo by sperm cells (mainly in the post-acrosomal and upper regions of the head) and functional activity of the antibody in binding its specific antigen. These results suggested a strong ability of nanocarriers to deliver functional cargoes into spermatozoa representing a promising tool for protein identification in target tissues or cells [52]. Similarly, in boar spermatozoa, mesoporous silica NPs (MSNPs) loaded with either a fluorescent nucleic acid (lamin siRNA) or a fluorescent protein (mCherry) interacted with cell membranes without compromising sperm function, indicating these NPs as potential candidates of biological cargoes for intragamete delivery [10]. These encouraging results pave the way for important applications in the field of animal reproduction. Loading sperms with specific nucleic or protein markers may help understanding a number of mechanisms that regulate physiological processes such as fertilization and embryo development. NPs might also be conjugated with target nutrients or treatments for direct supplementation to sperm cells. Moreover, the use of nanocarriers conjugated with specific labels of sperm quality, might help the investigation of the processes of oxidative stress and membrane damage during semen storage at low temperatures (refrigeration or cryopreservation) that greatly impair sperm function, especially in those species in which handling and storing semen is sub-optimal (for example ovine, endangered species, etc) [11].

Mesoporous silica NPs (MSNPs), functionalised with aminopropyltriethoxysilane, polyethileneimine and optionally loaded with two common types of cargo (nucleic acid/protein) were designed to form strong associations with boar sperm without negatively affecting sperm functions such as sperm viability, acrosomal reaction and DNA fragmentation [10,53]. Together with the high affinity of these MSNPs to sperm cells [53], they are suggested to serve as promising nanomaterial to develop or increase the efficiency of existing diagnostics and therapeutic compounds related to sperm quality and male fertility.

#### 5. Treatment of genital infections

In literature, several reports described the antibacterial, antiviral and antifungal properties of metal NPs or dendrimers [54–56]. These characteristics derived either by their actions of lysis on the microbial cell walls, inhibition of viral adhesiveness and penetration into cells, pro-oxidant action with consequent induction of apoptosis and death of the microbial cell [57] or by their drug delivery capacity, enhancing the target release of specific antimicrobial substances [58]. In the field of human reproduction, nanotechnology has been applied for potential

treatment of a variety of genital infections raised by different pathogens: among others *Chlamydia trachomatis* [59], *Candida albicans* [60], vaginal *Herpes-virus* [61]. On the other hand, a very limited number of reports on this topic has been published on farm animals. Recently, the antimicrobial effects of AgNPs have been tested against Gram negative *Prevotella Melaninogenica* and Gram + *Arcanobacterium pyogenes*, two of the multiple drug resistant and most frequently isolated pathogens responsible for bovine clinical endometritis. The results showed that NPs were able to induce metabolic disturbance increasing intracellular production of free radicals, and decrease in biofilm activity [62], representing a valid alternative to traditional antibiotic treatments. No trials to counteract male genital tract infections in farm animals using NPs have been reported. A great deal of information still need to be collected in order to develop therapies *in vivo* and to introduce nanotechnologies in clinical practice and in farming routine. However, this novel approach to contrast infectious diseases in farm animals will provide great health and economic benefits, leading to targeted therapies and contrasting drug resistance.

#### 6. Other recent applications of NPs in semen preparations

An assay using heparin AuNPs (HAuNPs) has been developed as a biomarker for human fertility [63]. This assay utilize the polycationic properties of protamine, the most abundant nuclear protein in the sperm. The electrostatic interactions between polycationic protamine and polyanionic heparins result in visibly observable color change that can be detected by naked eye [63]. Development and optimization of similar “lab-free” tests can be used in the future as animal-side tests to assist in the selection of males for breeding in pets, rabbits and farm animals.

#### 7. Controversies in the use of nanotechnology in reproduction: Environmental impact of NPs dispersion and reprotoxicity

Nanoparticles, particularly the metal oxide NPs, are increasingly used in many fields of every-day life e.g. in cosmetics, electronics and food packaging. Therefore, there are environmental concerns about the dispersion of these NPs and their biological effects on living organisms. Controversial reports about the reprotoxic effects of NPs has been addressed in several recent articles and particular attention has been given to the specific effects on male gametes.

Zinc oxide NPs were shown to exert a cytotoxic action on murine testicular germ cells in a dose dependent manner [64]. Xu et al. [65] found that SNPs primarily affect the maturation process of spermatozoa in the epididymis that turned out to be more sensitive to NPs toxicity compared to both spermatogonia and spermatocytes. ZnO NPs lead to the reversible damage of epididymal sperm without affecting fertility. The NPs have detrimental effects on the quantity and quality of sperm in the epididymis by causing oxidative stress and by damaging the structure of mitochondria, resulting in energy metabolism dysfunction [65].

Silver NPs are a potential cytotoxic agent for sperm cells and exert adverse effects, possibly through the induction of oxidative stress. Furthermore, exposure of murine sperm cells to AgNPs reduces the success rate of *in vitro* fertilization, delays subsequent blastocyst formation, and downregulates gene expression responsible for embryonic development [66]. Lafuente et al. [67] showed that in rats, exposure to oral sub-chronic doses of 50–200 mg/kg/day PVP-AgNPs possesses slight negative influence on sperm morphology.

Hong et al. [68] reported that dose-dependent exposure to TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs caused testicular toxicity, reduced sperm production, and induced sperm lesions in mouse testes, which were closely related to reductions of daily food and water intake, biochemical dysfunctions and oxidative stress.

Gold and AgNPs are also widely used metal NPs. Administration of AuNPs for 35 days in mice resulted in a significant reduction in sperm

motility and normal morphology, as well as sperm chromatin remodeling, lower chromatin stability and increased DNA damage [69]. Similar effects could be detected in epididymal sperms in adult rats after exposure to AgNPs [67], even if the exposure occurs during the prepubertal stage [70]. These effects are also evident in bull sperms [71] and are likely to be due to direct interaction with the sperm cells; since in bulls, AuNPs were shown to impair sperm functions and reduce sperm fertilizing ability, solely by interaction with the sperm surface membrane, and without cellular penetration [72].

Similarly, administration of TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs caused functional defects and DNA damage in male mice [73], and affected the fertility of male offspring after maternal exposure [74]. It was shown to exert cytotoxic and genotoxic damage in the testicular tissue in rats [75] and increased heat-shock protein 70 expression [76] suggesting high levels of cellular stress that may significantly affect subsequent embryo development if these spermatozoa are used for fertilization. Titanium oxide was also toxic for buffalo sperm *in vitro* [77].

Other metal NPs such as dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA)-coated maghemite and CeO<sub>2</sub>NPs did not influence ram or bull sperm structure or function when added *in vitro* [78,79]. Although CeO<sub>2</sub> NPs were shown to enhance ram semen preservation by chilling [12], it may also have detrimental effects if orally supplemented, since in mice, induction of oxidative stress and lower sperm characteristics were reported [80].

## 8. Other limitations for practical applications of NPs

Major limitations for practical applications of NPs *in vivo* include overcoming the biological barriers to drug delivery, avoiding non-specific distribution and targeting, as well as minimizing opsonization and clearance by the immune system after oral or intravenous administration [81]. Recently, several strategies have been developed to create multifunctional NPs with incorporation of active targeting moieties to enhance uptake in specific cells [82]. Functionalization with protein corona and PEGylation, modification of surface charge, and attachment of specific ligands for target tissue, among other strategies have been successfully developed to avoid the above mentioned obstacles and enhance targeting [81]. This has not been widely used in treatment of male infertility, especially in farm animals. However, there are indications that this approach can be successfully used to enhance testicular functions; SOD-loaded biodegradable NPs targeted to *in vitro*-cultured Sertoli cells using FSH-hormone peptide have been developed and reported to have higher protective effects against oxidative stress [83]. Understanding of the mechanisms by which these NPs are taken up by the target cells and their intracellular fate is of primary importance to optimize their intended functions [84]. Further research is still needed before such technology can be translated into effective products for *in vivo* use.

## 9. Conclusions

The above mentioned reports show evidence that different types of NPs have different effects on sperm cell functions either upon direct exposure under *in vitro* conditions or if administered *in vivo*. The antioxidant properties of some NPs are among the most promising applications to protect sperm cell functions during cryopreservation. Other surface ligand functionalizations were proven efficient in sperm cell purification and selection. Fewer reports considered utilizing the antimicrobial properties of NPs on sperm preparations or treatment of genital infections. Nevertheless, toxic effects of NPs on male reproductive performance are evident, which increase the environmental concerns about the dispersion of these NPs and their biological effects on the fertility in both humans and animals.

## Competing interests

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

## References

- [1] Lindenberg M, Kopp S, Dressman JB. Classification of orally administered drugs on the World Health Organization Model list of Essential Medicines according to the biopharmaceutics classification system. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm* 2004;58:265–78.
- [2] Hill EK, Li J. Current and future prospects for nanotechnology in animal production. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol* 2017;8:26.
- [3] Zununi Vahed S, Fathi N, Samiei M, Maleki Dizaj S, Sharifi S. Targeted cancer drug delivery with aptamer-functionalized polymeric nanoparticles. *J Drug Target* 2018;26:1–8.
- [4] Vallet-Regi M, Colilla M, Izquierdo-Barba I, Manzano M. Mesoporous silica nanoparticles for drug delivery: current insights. *Molecules* 2018;23:47.
- [5] Hu J, Sheng Y, Shi J, Yu B, Yu Z, Liao G. Long circulating polymeric nanoparticles for gene/drug delivery. *Curr Drug Metab* 2017. in press.
- [6] Lan Z, Yang W-X. Nanoparticles and spermatogenesis: how do nanoparticles affect spermatogenesis and penetrate the blood–testis barrier. *Nanomedicine* 2012;7:579–96.
- [7] Kim AY, Ha JH, Park SN. Selective release system for antioxidative and anti-inflammatory activities using H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-responsive therapeutic nanoparticles. *Biomacromolecules* 2017;18:3197–206.
- [8] Lee GH, Lee SJ, Jeong SW, Kim HC, Park GY, Lee SG, et al. Antioxidative and anti-inflammatory activities of quercetin-loaded silica nanoparticles. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces* 2016;143:511–7.
- [9] Stevanovic M, Bracko I, Milenkovic M, Filipovic N, Nunic J, Filipic M, et al. Multifunctional PLGA particles containing poly(L-glutamic acid)-capped silver nanoparticles and ascorbic acid with simultaneous antioxidative and prolonged antimicrobial activity. *Acta Biomater* 2014;10:151–62.
- [10] Barkalina N, Jones C, Kashir J, Coote S, Huang X, Morrison R, et al. Effects of mesoporous silica nanoparticles upon the function of mammalian sperm *in vitro*. *Nanomedicine NBM* 2014;10:859–70.
- [11] Khalil WA, El-Harairy MA, Zeidan AEB, Hassan MAE, Mohey-Elsaeed O. Evaluation of bull spermatozoa during and after cryopreservation: Structural and ultra-structural insights. *Int J Vet Sci Med* 2018;6:S49–56.
- [12] Falchi L, Galleri G, Dore GM, Zedda MT, Pau S, Bogliolo L, et al. Effect of exposure to CeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on ram spermatozoa during storage at 4 degrees C for 96 hours. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 2018;16:19.
- [13] Safa S, Moghaddam G, Jozani RJ, Daghigh Kia H, Janmohammadi H. Effect of vitamin E and selenium nanoparticles on post-thaw variables and oxidative status of rooster semen. *Anim Reprod Sci* 2016;174:100–6.
- [14] Rezvanfar MA, Rezvanfar MA, Shahverdi AR, Ahmadi A, Baeri M, Mohammadirad A, et al. Protection of cisplatin-induced spermatotoxicity, DNA damage and chromatin abnormality by selenium nano-particles. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2013;266:356–65.
- [15] Shi LG, Xun WJ, Yue WB, Zhang CX, Ren YS, Shi L, et al. Effect of sodium selenite, Se-yeast and nano-elemental selenium on growth performance, Se concentration and antioxidant status in growing male goats. *Small Rumin Res* 2011;96:49–52.
- [16] Shi LG, Yang RJ, Yue WB, Xun WJ, Zhang CX, Ren YS, et al. Effect of elemental nano-selenium on semen quality, glutathione peroxidase activity, and testis ultra-structure in male Boer goats. *Anim Reprod Sci* 2010;118:248–54.
- [17] Zhang C, Qin X, Guo L. Effect of different Nano-zinc levels in dietary on semen quality, activities of antioxidant enzyme and expression of copper zinc superoxide in epididymis of ram lambs. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica* 2015;48:154–64.
- [18] Yazdanshenas P, Jahanbin R, Mohammadi SA, Aminafshar M, Vaseghi DH, Varnaseri H, et al. Effect of zinc nano-complex on bull semen quality and pregnancy outcome. *J Animal Prod* 2016;18:173–81.
- [19] Jahanbin R, Yazdanshenas P, Amin AM, Mohammadi SA, Varnaseri H, Chamani M, et al. Effect of zinc nano-complex on bull semen quality after freeze-thawing process. *J Animal Prod* 2016;17:371–80.
- [20] Afifi M, Almaghribi OA, Kadasa NM. Ameliorative effect of zinc oxide nanoparticles on antioxidants and sperm characteristics in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rat testes. *Biomed Res Int* 2015;2015. 153573.
- [21] El-Shahat KH, Taysser MI, Badr MR, Zaki KA. Effect of oviduct and follicular fluids on ram sperm capacitation and acrosome reaction *in vitro*. *Int J Vet Sci Med* 2018;6:S57–62.
- [22] El-Bahrawy KA. The influence of caffeine supplementation and concerted utilization of enzymatic and mechanical semen liquefaction on freezability of dromedary camel spermatozoa. *IJVSM* 2017;5:121–7.
- [23] Arias ME, Andara K, Briones E, Felmer R. Bovine sperm separation by Swim-up and density gradients (Percoll and BoviPure): effect on sperm quality, function and gene expression. *Reprod Biol* 2017;17:126–32.
- [24] Pichardo AI, Aragon-Martinez A, Ayala-Escobar ME, Dominguez-Vara IA. Viability tests, active caspase-3 and -7, and chromatin structure in ram sperm selected using the swim-up procedure. *J Androl* 2010;31:169–76.
- [25] Sieme H, Martinsson G, Rauterberg H, Walter K, Aurich C, Proetzoldt R, et al. Application of techniques for sperm selection in fresh and frozen-thawed stallion semen. *Reprod Domest Anim* 2003;38:134–40.
- [26] Garcia-Alvarez O, Maroto-Morales A, Ramon M, del Olmo E, Montoro V, Dominguez-Rebolledo AE, et al. Analysis of selected sperm by density gradient centrifugation might aid in the estimation of *in vivo* fertility of thawed ram spermatozoa. *Theriogenology* 2010;74:979–88.
- [27] Noguchi M, Yoshioka K, Hikono H, Iwagami G, Suzuki C, Kikuchi K. Centrifugation on Percoll density gradient enhances motility, membrane integrity and *in vitro* fertilizing ability of frozen-thawed boar sperm. *Zygote* 2015;23:68–75.
- [28] Galarza DA, Lopez-Sebastian A, Woelders H, Blesbois E, Santiago-Moreno J.



- Sephadex filtration as successful alternative to density-gradient centrifugation procedures for ram sperm selection with improved kinetics. *Anim Reprod Sci* 2018;192:261–70.
- [29] Ramio-Lluch L, Balasch S, Bonet S, Briz M, Pinart E, Rodriguez-Gil JE. Effects of filtration through Sephadex columns improve overall quality parameters and “in vivo” fertility of subfertile refrigerated boar-semen. *Anim Reprod Sci* 2009;115:189–200.
- [30] Husna AU, Ejaz R, Qadeer S, Azam A, Rakha BA, Ansari MS, et al. A comparative analysis of sperm selection procedures prior to cryopreservation for Nili-Ravi buffalo bull (*Bubalus bubalis*) semen: assessment of its impact on post-thaw sperm functional quality. *Anim Reprod Sci* 2016;174:29–36.
- [31] Roach J, Schnobrich M, Ellerbrock R, Feijo L, Bradecamp E, Alvarenga MA, et al. Comparison of cushioned centrifugation and SpermFilter filtration on longevity and morphology of cooled-stored equine semen. *Vet Rec* 2016;178:241.
- [32] Arzondo MM, Caballero JN, Marin-Briggiler CI, Dalvit G, Cetica PD, Vazquez-Levin MH. Glass wool filtration of bull cryopreserved semen: a rapid and effective method to obtain a high percentage of functional sperm. *Theriogenology* 2012;78:201–9.
- [33] Crespo-Felez I, Castaneda-Sampedro A, Sanchez DI, Fernandez-Alegre E, Alvarez-Rodriguez M, Dominguez JC, et al. Effect of Single Layer Centrifugation Porcicoll (70%, 80% and 90%) or supplementation with reduced glutathione, seminal plasma and bovine serum albumin on frozen-thawed boar sperm. *Anim Reprod Sci* 2017;187:167–73.
- [34] Al-Essawe EM, Johannisson A, Wulf M, Aurich C, Morrell JM. Improved cryosurvival of stallion spermatozoa after colloid centrifugation is independent of the addition of seminal plasma. *Cryobiology* 2018;81:145–52.
- [35] Nongbua T, Johannisson A, Edman A, Morrell JM. Effects of single layer centrifugation (SLC) on bull spermatozoa prior to freezing on post-thaw semen characteristics. *Reprod Domest Anim* 2017;52:596–602.
- [36] Feugang JM. Novel agents for sperm purification, sorting, and imaging. *Mol Reprod Dev* 2017;84:832–41.
- [37] Sutovsky P, Aarabi M, Miranda-Vizuete A, Oko R. Negative biomarker based male fertility evaluation: Sperm phenotypes associated with molecular-level anomalies. *Asian J Androl* 2015;17:554–60.
- [38] Said TM, Agarwal A, Zborowski M, Grunewald S, Glander HJ, Paasch U. Utility of magnetic cell separation as a molecular sperm preparation technique. *J Androl* 2008;29:134–42.
- [39] Faezah SS, Zuraina FM, Farah JH, Khairul O, Hilwani NI, Iswadi MI, et al. The effects of magnetic separation on cryopreserved bovine spermatozoa motility, viability and cryo-capacitation status. *Zygote* 2014;22:378–86.
- [40] Huang SH, Juang RS. Biochemical and biomedical applications of multifunctional magnetic nanoparticles: a review. *J Nanoparticle Res*. 2011;13:4411–30.
- [41] Odhiambo JF, DeJarnette JM, Geary TW, Kennedy CE, Suarez SS, Sutovsky M, et al. Increased conception rates in beef cattle inseminated with non-superfatted bull semen. *Biol Reprod* 2014;91:97.
- [42] Feugang J, Liao S, Crenshaw M, Clemente H, Willard S. Lectin-functionalized magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for reproductive improvement. *JFIV Reprod Med Genet* 2015;3:17–9.
- [43] Brinko SP, Blanchard TL, Rigby SL, Love CC, Varner DD. Effects of dead spermatozoa on motion characteristics and membrane integrity of live spermatozoa in fresh and cooled-stored equine semen. *Theriogenology* 2003;59:735–42.
- [44] Shannon P, Curson B. Toxic effect and action of dead sperm on diluted bovine semen. *J Dairy Sci* 1972;55:614–20.
- [45] Farini VL, Camano CV, Ybarra G, Viale DL, Vichera G, Yakisich JS, et al. Improvement of bovine semen quality by removal of membrane-damaged sperm cells with DNA aptamers and magnetic nanoparticles. *J Biotechnol* 2016;229:33–41.
- [46] Ben-David Makhlef S, Qasem R, Rubinstein S, Gedanken A, Breitbart H. Loading magnetic nanoparticles into sperm cells does not affect their functionality. *Langmuir* 2006;22:9480–2.
- [47] Barchanski A, Taylor U, Sajti CL, Gamrad L, Kues WA, Rath D, et al. Bioconjugated Gold Nanoparticles Penetrate Into Spermatozoa Depending on Plasma Membrane Status. *J Biomed Nanotechnol* 2015;11:1597–607.
- [48] Gamrad L, Mancini R, Werner D, Tiedemann D, Taylor U, Ziefuss A, et al. Triplex-hybridizing bioconjugated gold nanoparticles for specific Y-chromosome sequence targeting of bull spermatozoa. *Analyst* 2017;142:2020–8.
- [49] Barkalina N, Jones C, Coward K. Nanomedicine and mammalian sperm: Lessons from the porcine model. *Theriogenology* 2016;85:74–82.
- [50] Barchanski A, Taylor U, Klein S, Petersen S, Rath D, Barcikowski S. Golden perspective: application of laser-generated gold nanoparticle conjugates in reproductive biology. *Reprod Domest Anim* 2011;46:42–52.
- [51] Rath D, Tiedemann D, Gamrad L, Johnson LA, Klein S, Kues W, et al. Sex-sorted boar sperm – an update on related production methods. *Reprod Domest Anim* 2015;50:56–60.
- [52] Makhlef SB, Abu-Mukh R, Rubinstein S, Breitbart H, Gedanken A. Modified PVA-Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles as protein carriers into sperm cells. *Small* 2008;4:1453–8.
- [53] Barkalina N, Jones C, Townley H, Coward K. Functionalization of mesoporous silica nanoparticles with a cell-penetrating peptide to target mammalian sperm in vitro. *Nanomedicine (Lond)* 2015;10:1539–53.
- [54] Samad A, Alam MI, Saxena K. Dendrimers: a class of polymers in the nanotechnology for the delivery of active pharmaceuticals. *Curr Pharm Des* 2009;15:2958–69.
- [55] Hassanein KMA, El-Amir YO. Ameliorative effects of thymoquinone on titanium dioxide nanoparticles induced acute toxicity in rats. *Int J Vet Sci Med* 2018;6:16–21.
- [56] Mohamed MM, Fouad SA, Elshoky HA, Mohammed GM, Salaheldin TA. Antibacterial effect of gold nanoparticles against *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis*. *IJVSM* 2017;5:23–9.
- [57] Siddiqi KS, Husen A, Rao RAK. A review on biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles and their biocidal properties. *J Nanobiotechnol* 2018;16:14.
- [58] Raghunath A, Perumal E. Metal oxide nanoparticles as antimicrobial agents: a promise for the future. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2017;49:137–52.
- [59] Serradij N, Huyen VUT, Kim H, Panyam J, Verbeke P. Inhibition of *Chlamydia trachomatis* growth during the last decade: a mini-review. *Mini Rev Med Chem* 2018;18:1363–72.
- [60] de Abreu LC, Todaro V, Sathler PC, da Silva LC, do Carmo FA, Costa CM, et al. Development and characterization of nisin nanoparticles as potential alternative for the recurrent Vaginal candidiasis treatment. *AAPS PharmSciTech* 2016;17:1421–7.
- [61] Szymanska E, Orłowski P, Winnicka K, Tomaszewska E, Baska P, Celichowski G, et al. Multifunctional tannic acid/silver nanoparticle-based mucoadhesive hydrogel for improved local treatment of HSV infection: in vitro and in vivo studies. *Int J Mol Sci* 2018;19:387.
- [62] Gurunathan S, Choi YJ, Kim JH. Antibacterial efficacy of silver nanoparticles on endometritis caused by *Prevotella melanogenica* and *arcanobacterium pyogenes* in dairy cattle. *Int J Mol Sci* 2018;19:1210.
- [63] Vidya R, Saji A. Naked eye detection of infertility based on sperm protamine-induced aggregation of heparin gold nanoparticles. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 2018;410:3053–8.
- [64] Talebi AR, Khorsandi L, Moridian M. The effect of zinc oxide nanoparticles on mouse spermatogenesis. *J Assist Reprod Genet* 2013;30:1203–9.
- [65] Xu Y, Wang N, Yu Y, Li Y, Li YB, Yu YB, et al. Exposure to silica nanoparticles causes reversible damage of the spermatogenic process in mice. *PLoS ONE* 2014;9:e101572.
- [66] Yoisingnorn T, Choi YJ, Han JW, Kang MH, Das J, Gurunathan S, et al. Internalization of silver nanoparticles into mouse spermatozoa results in poor fertilization and compromised embryo development. *Sci Rep* 2015;5:11170.
- [67] Lafuente D, Garcia T, Blanco J, Sanchez DJ, Sirvent JJ, Domingo JL, et al. Effects of oral exposure to silver nanoparticles on the sperm of rats. *Reprod Toxicol* 2016;60:133–9.
- [68] Hong F, Si W, Zhao X, Wang L, Zhou Y, Chen M, et al. TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanoparticle Exposure Decreases Spermatogenesis via Biochemical Dysfunctions in the Testis of Male Mice. *J Agric Food Chem* 2015;63:7084–92.
- [69] Nazar M, Talebi AR, Hosseini Sharifabad M, Abbasi A, Khoradmeh A, Danafar AH. Acute and chronic effects of gold nanoparticles on sperm parameters and chromatin structure in Mice. *Int J Reprod Biomed (Yazd)* 2016;14:637–42.
- [70] Mathias FT, Romano RM, Kizys MM, Kasamatsu T, Giannocco G, Chiamolera MI, et al. Daily exposure to silver nanoparticles during prepubertal development decreases adult sperm and reproductive parameters. *Nanotoxicology* 2015;9:64–70.
- [71] Zakhidov ST, Pavliuchenkova SM, Samoilov AV, Mudzhiri NM, Marshak TL, Rudoi VM, et al. Bovine sperm chromatin is not protected from the effects of ultrasmall gold nanoparticles. *Izv Akad Nauk Ser Biol* 2013;6:645–52.
- [72] Taylor U, Barchanski A, Petersen S, Kues WA, Baulain U, Gamrad L, et al. Gold nanoparticles interfere with sperm functionality by membrane adsorption without penetration. *Nanotoxicology* 2014;8:118–27.
- [73] Smith MA, Michael R, Aravindan RG, Dash S, Shah SI, Galileo DS, et al. Anatase titanium dioxide nanoparticles in mice: evidence for induced structural and functional sperm defects after short-, but not long-, term exposure. *Asian J Androl* 2015;17:261–8.
- [74] Kyjovska ZO, Boisen AM, Jackson P, Wallin H, Vogel U, Hougaard KS. Daily sperm production: application in studies of prenatal exposure to nanoparticles in mice. *Reprod Toxicol* 2013;36:88–97.
- [75] Meena R, Kajal K, R P. Cytotoxic and genotoxic effects of titanium dioxide nanoparticles in testicular cells of male wistar rat. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* 2015;175:825–40.
- [76] Rezaazadeh-Reyhani Z, Razi M, Malekinejad H, Sadrkhanlou R. Cytotoxic effect of nanosilver particles on testicular tissue: Evidence for biochemical stress and Hsp70-2 protein expression. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol* 2015;40:626–38.
- [77] Pawar K, Kaul G. Toxicity of titanium oxide nanoparticles causes functionality and DNA damage in buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) sperm in vitro. *Toxicol Ind Health* 2014;30:520–33.
- [78] Caldeira DF, Paulini F, Silva RC, Azevedo RB, Lucci CM. In vitro exposure of bull sperm cells to DMSA-coated maghemite nanoparticles does not affect cell functionality or structure. *Int J Hyperthermia* 2018;34:415–22.
- [79] Falchi L, Bogliolo L, Galleri G, Ariu F, Zedda MT, Pinna A, et al. Cerium dioxide nanoparticles did not alter the functional and morphologic characteristics of ram sperm during short-term exposure. *Theriogenology* 2016;85:1274–81.
- [80] Adebayo OA, Akinloye O, Adaramoye OA. Cerium oxide nanoparticle elicits oxidative stress, endocrine imbalance and lowers sperm characteristics in testes of balb/c mice. *Andrologia* 2018;50. in press.
- [81] Blanco E, Shen H, Ferrari M. Principles of nanoparticle design for overcoming biological barriers to drug delivery. *Nat Biotechnol* 2015;33:941–51.
- [82] Bertrand N, Wu J, Xu X, Kamaly N, Farokhzad OC. Cancer nanotechnology: the impact of passive and active targeting in the era of modern cancer biology. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2014;66:2–25.
- [83] Snow-Lisy DC, Sabaneh Jr. ES, Samplaski MK, Morris VB, Labhasetwar V. Superoxide dismutase-loaded biodegradable nanoparticles targeted with a follicle-stimulating hormone peptide protect Sertoli cells from oxidative stress. *Fertil Steril* 2014;101:560–7.
- [84] Yameen B, Choi WI, Vilos C, Swami A, Shi J, Farokhzad OC. Insight into nanoparticle cellular uptake and intracellular targeting. *J Control Release* 2014;190:485–99.