

#### **HHS Public Access**

Psychoneuroendocrinology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 January 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

*Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2019 January ; 99: 225–235. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2018.09.001.

### Racial discrimination, Educational Attainment, and Biological Dysregulation Among Midlife African American Women

Amani M. Allen, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>, Marilyn D. Thomas, M.P.H.<sup>2</sup>, Eli K. Michaels, M.P.H.<sup>3</sup>, Alexis N. Reeves, M.P.H.<sup>4</sup>, Uche Okoye<sup>5</sup>, Melisa M. Price, M.P.H.<sup>6</sup>, Rebecca E. Hasson, Ph.D.<sup>7</sup>, S. Leonard Syme, Ph.D.<sup>8</sup>, and David H. Chae, Sc.D.<sup>9</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Divisions of Community Health Sciences and Epidemiology, University of California Berkeley School of Public Health, 2121 Berkeley Way #5302, Berkeley, CA, 94720-7360, USA. Electronic address: anjeter@berkeley.edu.

<sup>2</sup>Division of Epidemiology, University of California Berkeley School of Public Health, 2121 Berkeley Way #5302, Berkeley, CA, 94720-7360, USA. Electronic address: Marilyn.thomas@berkeley.edu.

<sup>3</sup>Division of Epidemiology, University of California Berkeley School of Public Health, 2121 Berkeley Way #5302, Berkeley, CA, 94720-7360, USA. Electronic address: elikmichaels@berkley.edu.

<sup>4</sup>Division of Epidemiology, University of California Berkeley School of Public Health, 2121 Berkeley Way #5302, Berkeley, CA, 94720-7360, USA. Electronic address: alexisnicolereeves@berkeley.edu.

<sup>5</sup>Division of Community Health Sciences, University of California Berkeley School of Public Health, 2121 Berkeley Way #5302, Berkeley, CA, 94720-7360, USA. Electronic address: uchookoye@berkeley.edu.

<sup>6</sup>Division of Community Health Sciences, University of California Berkeley School of Public Health, 2121 Berkeley Way #5302, Berkeley, CA, 94720-7360, USA. Electronic address: melisa.price@ucsf.edu.

<sup>7</sup>Schools of Kinesiology and Public Health, University of Michigan, 2110 Observatory Lodge/1402 Washington Heights, Ann Arbor, MI, 48109-2029, USA. Electronic address: hassonr@umich.edu.

<sup>8</sup>Divisions of Community Health Sciences and Epidemiology, University of California Berkeley School of Public Health, 2121 Berkeley Way #5302, Berkeley, CA, 94720-7360, USA. Electronic address: slsyme@berkeley.edu.

Corresponding author: All correspondence should be sent to Amani M. Allen at the University of California Berkeley, School of Public Health, 2121 Berkeley Way #5302, Berkeley, CA 94720-7360; Tel: 240-350-8821; Fax: 510-643-5056; anjeter@berkeley.edu. <sup>1</sup>Present Address: University of California San Francisco, Philip R. Lee Institute for Health Policy Studies, 3333 California St., Suite 265, San Francisco, CA, 94118, USA; melisa.price@ucsf.edu

Declarations of interest: none

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

<sup>9</sup>Department of Human Development and Family Studies, College of Human Sciences, Auburn University, 210 Spidle Hall, Auburn, GA, 36849, USA. Electronic address: david.chae@auburn.edu

#### Abstract

**Objective:** To examine the association between self-reported racial discrimination and allostatic load, and whether the association differs by socioeconomic position.

**Methods:** We recruited a purposive cross-section of midlife (ages 30–50) African American women residing in four San Francisco Bay area counties (n=208). Racial discrimination Was measured using the Experience of Discrimination scale. Allostatic load was measured as a comPosite of 15 biomarkers assessing cardiometabolic, neuroendocrine, and inflammatory activity. We calculated four composite measures of allostatic load and three system-specific measures of biological dysregulation. Multivariable regression was used to examine associations, while adjusting for relevant confounders.

**Results:** In the high education group, reporting low (b=-1.09, P=.02, 95% CI=-1.99, -0.18) and very high (b=-1.88, P=.003, 95% CI=-3.11, -0.65) discrimination was associated with lower allostatic load (reference=moderate). Among those with lower education, reporting low (b=2.05, P=.008, 95% CI=0.55, 3.56) discrimination was associated with higher allostatic load. Similar but less consistent associations were found for poverty status. Associations were similar for cardiometabolic functioning, but not for neuroendocrine or inflammatory activity.

**Conclusions:** Racial discrimination may be an important predictor of cumulative physiologic dysregulation. Factors associated with educational attainment may mitigate this association for African American women and other groups experiencing chronic social stress.

#### Keywords

Racial Discrimination; African American; Race/ethnicity; Stress; Allostatic Load; Socioeconomic Position

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In the U.S., experiences of social stress associated with race and gender intersect to perpetuate and exacerbate poor health outcomes for women of color, particularly African Americans (Geronimus, 1996; Jackson, 2005; Jackson et al., 2001). African American (AA) women are disproportionately burdened by the simultaneous dysregulation of multiple physiologic systems (Chyu and Upchurch, 2011; Duru et al., 2012; Geronimus et al., 2006; Upchurch et al., 2015), commonly referred to as allostatic load or cumulative biological risk. Allostatic load has been associated with numerous negative health outcomes including decreased cognitive and physical function, heart disease, stroke, diabetes, and mortality (Beckie, 2012; Borrell et al., 2010; Habib et al., 2001; McEwen, 1998; Seeman et al., 1997). The association between social stress and cumulative biological risk is now well accepted. Though early studies focused on age-related changes in physiologic function (Crimmins et al., 2003; Karlamangla et al., 2002; Seeman et al., 2001; Seeman et al., 1997), more recent studies have demonstrated associations with explicit forms of social stress that appear to be

unrelated to chronological age. In particular, numerous studies show an association between chronic (i.e., ongoing or repeated) social stress and allostatic load, which may help explain the heightened risk of poor health among AA women (Beckie, 2012; Borrell et al., 2010; Carlson and Chamberlain, 2005; Habib et al., 2001; Kessler et al., 1999; McEwen, 1998; Seeman et al., 1997).

Chronic stress plays a critical role in the progression of multiple disease states via prolonged activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and the sympatho-adrenalmedullary (SAM) axis, the body's primary stress response systems (Borrell et al., 2010; Karlamangla et al., 2002; McEwen, 1998; Seeman et al., 1997). Activation of these neuroendocrine systems results in a cascade of physiologic events including the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines, catecholamines, and acute phase proteins, all of which have deleterious effects on the body with sustained elevation (Karlamangla et al., 2002; McEwen, 1998; Seeman et al., 1997). When stress exposure is *chronic*, the negative feedback loops that typically shut-off the neuroendocrine stress response are dysregulated resulting in a chronic pro-inflammatory state (Karlamangla et al., 2002; Seeman et al., 1997), heightened and extended autonomic reactivity (Karlamangla et al., 2002; McEwen, 1998; Seeman et al., 1997), and a variety of other impairments (e.g., tissue damage, receptor desensitization) (Crimmins et al., 2003; McEwen, 1998; Miller et al., 2002). Although helpful in the short term (i.e., when responding to more mild and transient forms of stress) chronic hyperactivity of various systems can compromise the body's ability to regulate the internal physiologic environment resulting in cumulative biological dysregulation (Karlamangla et al., 2002; McEwen, 1998; Seeman et al., 1997).

Perception of mistreatment has been associated with pronounced and sustained arousal across a number of physiologic systems including the HPA axis, autonomic nervous system, immune, metabolic and cardiovascular systems (Adam et al., 2015; Busse et al., 2017; Cooper et al., 2009; Cunningham et al., 2012; Dickerson et al., 2009; Friedman et al., 2009; Fuller-Rowell et al., 2012; Hill et al., 2017; Hoggard et al., 2015; Huynh et al., 2017; Lewis et al., 2010; Mays et al., 2007; Mendes et al., 2008; Myers, 2009; Wagner et al., 2015; Zeiders et al., 2014). Studies have also provided evidence of a more buffered stress response among those reporting chronic unfair treatment. For example, numerous studies have shown associations between reports of unfair treatment and a blunted cortisol response as well as overall poor HPA flexibility (e.g., flatter diurnal slope), especially among AAs (Adam et al., 2015; Busse et al., 2017; Fuller-Rowell et al., 2012; Hill et al., 2017; Huynh et al., 2016; Skinner et al., 2011; Zeiders et al., 2014). This heightened dysregulation observed among AAs may be at least partially explained by their histories of past exposures and their perception of the potential impact of these events (Zeiders et al., 2014)-getting hired and work settings, more generally, are among the most commonly reported sources of discrimination among AAs (Brondolo et al., 2011; Karlsen and Nazroo, 2002; Krieger et al., 2011).

Several studies show that the majority of AA women report racial discrimination as a unique and chronic social stressor (Cunningham et al., 2012; Fuller-Rowell et al., 2012; Krieger and Sidney, 1996; Lewis et al., 2010), evoking negative affective states that have been linked with biological alterations characteristic of the stress response (Nuru-Jeter et al., 2009;

Tomfohr et al., 2016). Moreover, it is generally widely accepted that the nature of the threat matters for evoking particular stress responses (Dickerson et al., 2009; Kemeny, 2003; Miller et al., 2007; Plummer and Slane, 1996). Social evaluative threat, such as that experienced with racial discrimination, is a particular form of stress that studies show is associated with heightened inflammation via dysregulation of both the HPA and SAM axes (Kemeny, 2003). A strong body of research has shown adverse effects of discrimination on mental health outcomes including depression, anxiety, psychological distress, hypervigilance, and various health behaviors (Borrell et al., 2006; Brown et al., 2000; Landrine and Klonoff, 1996; Lorenzo-Blanco et al., 2011; Robinette et al., 2016; Sellers et al., 2006; Tomfohr et al., 2016; Williams and Mohammed, 2013; Williams et al., 1997), all of which have been linked with subsequent poor physical health outcomes via neurobiological stress responses (Brown et al., 2000; Chae et al., 2012b; Gee et al., 2006; Pieterse et al., 2012). Those neurobiological pathways include, among others, impaired hippocampal learning and activity, which has deleterious effects on HPA functioning; a hyperactive amygdala, which compromises memory and the body's ability to predict threat and prepare the body for adaptive regulation (i.e., allostasis); and overall reduced neuroplasticity which promotes wear and tear due to the inability of the brain to respond adaptively to both anticipated and unanticipated stressors (Berger and Sarnyai, 2015; Carlson and Chamberlain, 2005; Fossion and Linkowski, 2007; Logan and Barksdale, 2008; Lucas et al., 2016; Lupien et al., 2015; McEwen, 2003; McEwen et al., 2015; Ziabreva et al., 2003). One of the amygdala's primary roles is to regulate the fight or flight response. Notably, studies have shown enhanced amygdala activity—especially the left amygdala, which is associated with recall of events among women than among men, suggesting that women may be more vulnerable to emotionally threatening events (Hamann, 2005).

Evidence suggests that rather than having uniform effects on physiology, stressors are met with integrated cognitive, affective, and behavioral responses that determine the psychobiological response to stress (Kemeny, 2003). In particular, studies suggest that how people appraise and cope with specific stressors differs by socioeconomic position (SEP). Lower socioeconomic groups are more likely to minimize attributions to discrimination and internalize their discrimination experiences (Crocker et al., 1991), both of which have been associated with poorer health (Chae et al., 2012a). Lower socioeconomic groups also report greater stress exposure, and hence may face depletion of health-protective resources and a lower sense of control over stressful life situations, increasing the likelihood of appraising stressors as threatening (Gallo and Matthews, 2003).

Geronimus proposed the "weathering hypothesis" to explain the accelerated physiologic deterioration experienced among black women due to the repeated stress associated with cumulative social disadvantage, including chronic experiences of racial discrimination (Geronimus, 1996; Geronimus et al., 2006). Several studies show heightened allostatic load among AA women relative to other race-gender groups (Chyu and Upchurch, 2011; Duru et al., 2012; Geronimus et al., 2006; Upchurch et al., 2015), which may help explain their elevated risk of poor health especially during midlife where differences are most pronounced (Borrell et al., 2010; Chyu and Upchurch, 2011). However, no studies to our knowledge have examined whether racial discrimination may account for the higher levels of allostatic load observed among this group. The purpose of this study was two-fold: To (1) examine the

association between level of reported racial discrimination and allostatic load among a community-sample of midlife AA women, and (2) assess whether two commonly used indicators of SEP (i.e., educational attainment and poverty status) modify this association. We hypothesized that the association between racial discrimination and allostatic load would be greatest among lower socioeconomic groups.

#### 2. METHODS

#### 2.1 Study Design

Data are from the African American Women's Heart & Health Study, an observational cross-sectional study designed to examine associations between social-environmental stressors and cardiometabolic risk among a community sample of midlife (ages 30–50) AA women residing in the San Francisco Bay area (n=208). The SF Bay area is a major metropolitan area in the state of California. Study recruitment was conducted by the 1<sup>st</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> author and took place March 2012 through March 2013. According to the 2010 U.S. Census, the nine-county San Francisco Bay area was: 52.5% white, 23.3% Asian, 6.7% AA, 16% other or two or more races, and 23.5% Latino (Census, 2010). Given their relatively low proportion compared to other groups, recruitment efforts targeted counties with the highest percent of AAs: Solano (14.7%), Alameda (12.6%), Contra Costa (9.3%), San Francisco (6.1%).

Purposive sampling was used to maximize variability on key exposures and covariates (e.g., racial discrimination, socioeconomic factors) and included: targeted neighborhood sampling (e.g., low vs. high percent AAs per census tract), venue-based (e.g., nail/hair salons, churches, transportation hubs), event-based (e.g., arts concerts/performances, festivals, black college expo) and organization-based sampling (e.g., non-profits serving either low- or highincome AA women). We also recruited via social media and traditional media outlets. Potential study participants were screened for the following eligibility criteria: 1) selfidentified AA and female gender since birth, 2) ages 30-50, 3) US-born, 4) parent(s)/ primary caregiver(s) US-born AA, 5) can read/write English. Women who were pregnant or lactating and/or self-reported a physician-diagnosed inflammatory (e.g., HIV) or autoimmune disease (e.g., Lupus) were excluded to avoid misclassification. Study participation consisted of two visits: 1) interviewer-administered questionnaire and computer-assisted self-interview, 2) physical exam (i.e., height, weight, resting blood pressure, waist and hip circumference, body fat percent and body mass index) and fasting venous blood draw. Participants incentives included a \$70 gift card, t-shirt, 8 heart healthy cookbook, and a packet of health promotion materials. The study was approved by the Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects at the University of California, Berkeley.

#### 2.2 Measures

Allostatic Load (AL) was operationalized as a composite of 15 biomarkers assessing functioning of the HPA axis (cortisol), SAM axis (epinephrine and norepinephrine), inflammation (Interleukin-6 (IL-6) and C-reactive protein (hsCRP)), and cardiometabolic function (diastolic and systolic blood pressure, waist circumference, body mass index, glucose, glycosylated hemoglobin, high and low-density lipoprotein, triglycerides, and total

cholesterol) (Borrell et al., 2010; Geronimus et al., 2006; McEwen, 1998; Seeman et al., 1997; Seplaki et al., 2005).

Resting diastolic and systolic blood pressure (DBP and SBP, respectively) were measured from the sitting position using an automated oscillometric blood pressure monitor, after an initial 5-minute rest. Four consecutive measures were taken in 1-minute intervals; the first measure was discarded and the average of the last 3 measures was recorded (Pickering et al., 2005). Waist circumference was measured just above the top of the iliac crest with a tape measure, arms/hands down at the sides after one normal exhalation (NHLBI Obesity Education Initiative, 2000). Body mass index was measured via bioelectrical impedance using a handheld body fat analyzer. Fasting venous blood specimens were collected and stored at -80°C. Assays for hsCRP, cortisol, epinephrine and norepinephrine were performed by Quest Diagnostics. All other labs were assayed by the Client Services Laboratory at the UC Davis Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine.

Cutpoints for each biomarker are displayed in Table 1. We used established clinically-based cutpoints where available (Crimmins et al., 2003). Most of the biomarkers with established cutpoints have thresholds for subclinical and clinical risk, permitting assessment of dysregulated systems that may not yet meet criteria for clinical diagnosis. For biomarkers without established cutpoints (IL-6, epinephrine, norepinephrine, and cortisol), risk assessment was based on the distribution of the study sample as described below (Geronimus et al., 2006; Karlamangla et al., 2002; Seeman et al., 1997; Seplaki et al., 2005).

We calculated four composite measures of AL to assess predictive ability across varying assessments and degrees of dysregulation (Seplaki et al., 2005): 1) sum of biomarkers for which the respondent is above the established cutpoint for subclinical risk (for biomarkers with established criteria) or above the 75<sup>th</sup>-percentile (for biomarkers without established criteria), 2) sum of biomarkers for which the respondent is above the established cutpoint for clinical risk or the 90<sup>th</sup>-percentile to assess more extreme dysregulation, 3) summary score based on established cutpoints for subclinical and clinical risk respectively or, correspondingly, the interquartile range (IQR), and 4) sum of z-scores for each biomarker. For the 75<sup>th</sup>-percentile/subclinical and 90<sup>th</sup>-percentile/clinical risk measures, each of the 15 biomarkers was categorized at the specified cutpoint (0=not at risk, 1=at risk) and summed with scores ranging from 0 to 15 where higher scores reflect higher levels of AL. The summary measure based on the IOR categorizes biomarkers at the 25<sup>th</sup>-percentile/ subclinical and 75th-percentile/clinical cutpoints to capture mor e of a gradient rather than establishing risk based only on the extremes of the distribution, and to avoid potential misclassification from combining all participants in the bottom 75<sup>th</sup> or 90<sup>th</sup> percentile as not at risk. Participants were categorized as low (0=bottom 25%), moderate (1=middle 50%), or high risk (2=top 25%); values were summed with scores ranging from 0 to 30 where higher values reflect higher levels of ALIOR. Last, z-scores were calculated for each biomarker and summed to represent risk in standard deviation units.

We also calculated system-specific measures of physiologic dysregulation to examine whether certain systems were more or less responsive to stress. Scores for inflammation included CRP and IL-6, and were based on the IQR specification described above due to the

greater range of the summary scores (0–4 vs. 0–2) and to minimize the misclassification inherent in dichotomous risk assessment. Scores from the component biomarkers were summed and then categorized to reflect risk: none (both inflammatory markers in normal range), low (one biomarker in normal range and one in moderate range), moderate (two in moderate range, or one in high range and one in normal range), high (one biomarker in moderate range and one in high range, or both in high range). Scores for neuroendocrine dysregulation included cortisol, epinephrine and norepinephrine and was based on the 75<sup>th</sup>-percentile scoring (0/1) since the majority of the biomarker values fell within the laboratory reference range and there was no distinction in level of risk between the 25<sup>th</sup>- and 75<sup>th</sup>-percentile cutpoints. Scores from the component biomarkers were summed and categorized to reflect low (all biomarkers in normal range), moderate (one elevated biomarker), and high risk (at least two elevated biomarkers). For cardiometabolic function, each of the 10 remaining biomarkers was categorized used the coding scheme described above for low, moderate, and high based on subclinical and clinical cut-points, respectively. Next, a summary score ranging from 0–20 was generated.

We decided to collapse cardiovascular and metabolic functioning into one cardiometabolic system-specific measure for several reasons: (1) blood glucose and various measures of lipid balance vary together and are determined by a common set of lifestyle factors (Basaranoglu et al., 2015; Sacks et al., 2014), (2) models of allostasis include many of the biomarkers reflected in our CV and metabolic system measures but do not separate them (McEwen and Rasgon, 2018) (3) the synergy of the metabolic and cardiovascular systems leave decisions about which biomarkers belong to which system equivocal and dependent upon the study aims. High density lipoprotein (HDL), low density lipoprotein (LDL), triglycerides, and total cholesterol could easily be moved to the CV system measure leaving the metabolic system focused on carbohydrate metabolism (glucose, hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), body mass index (BMI), waist circumference). Some studies have separated cardiovascular, lipid metabolism, and glucose metabolism (e.g., Ong et al., 2017; Robinette et al., 2016), which would also be acceptable for our study aims, (4) the metabolic syndrome (MS) has been characterized as a critical pathway to CVD as well as allostatic load (McEwen & Rasgon, 2018), (5) MS includes biomarkers from both our cardiovascular and metabolic system measures, and (6) recent studies are starting to link allostatic load and the stress response with cardiometabolic health (Nobel et al., 2017; Picard et al., 2014).

Racial discrimination was measured using a modified version of the widely used and previously validated Experiences of Discrimination (EOD) scale (Krieger et al., 2005), consisting of eight items asking whether respondents have ever experienced discrimination because of their race, ethnicity, or skin color in any of eight different domains (i.e., at school, getting hired/getting a job, at work, getting housing, getting medical care, getting credit/bank loans/mortgage, from police/courts, on the street/public setting). Reliability of the scale in our sample ( $\alpha$ =.92) was higher than in the original validation study ( $\alpha$ =0.81–0.87 among AA respondents). Responses were scored on a 5-point likert scale ranging from 1="Never" to 5="6 or more times", summed across items, and categorized into: none, low, moderate, high, and very high levels of racial discrimination, given previous evidence of non-linear associations (Table 2).

We used moderate as the referent group given previous evidence indicating that moderate stress exposure is self-regulatory and provides optimal psychological and biological functioning (Aschbacher et al., 2013; Bush et al., 2011; Chatkoff et al., 2010; Del Giudice et al., 2011; Dooley et al., 2017; Gunnar et al., 2015; Seery et al., 2010). The standard approach of modeling racial discrimination continuously, rather than categorically, precludes an assessment of the potentially protective/buffering effects of moderate levels of racial discrimination. One exception is Krieger & Sidney (1996) who used moderate discrimination (experiencing 1 or 2 situations vs. none or 3+) as the referent category in a study of the association between EOD and blood pressure among young Black and White adults in the CARDIA study. Findings showed moderate discrimination to be protective against high blood pressure in most study subgroups defined jointly by race, gender, and class. In another study, O'Brien and colleagues (2017) examined hair cortisol and lifetime discrimination in a multi-ethnic sample of 180 adults. They found the mean level of lifetime discrimination (moderate) to be associated with lower hair cortisol concentration compared to 1 standard deviation (SD) above (high) or below (low) the mean. Based on this evidence, we treated moderate discrimination as the referent category in all analyses.

Educational attainment and poverty status were both dichotomous variables. Participants were asked to report the highest level of education completed. Responses ranged from "some grade school but did not graduate" to a "doctoral degree". Due to cell size, educational attainment was dichotomized as > versus a high school diploma (0/1). Participants were also asked to report their total monthly household income and the number of persons in the household. Poverty status was then operationalized as versus > 100% of the Federal Poverty Threshold (FPT) according to the 2011 FPT guidelines. Potential covariates included age in years, health insurance (0=yes, 1=no), marital status (married/ domestic partner=0 vs. not married/no domestic partner=1), neuroticism based on a summary score from the 8-item neuroticism subscale of the Big 5 Inventory of personality traits, and medication use. For medication use, participants were asked if they were currently taking medication for "high blood pressure, hypertension, stroke, heart attack, blood circulation problems, hardening of the arteries, or any other heart or blood problem" and whether they were "currently taking medication to manage diabetes" (no/yes).

#### 2.3 Statistical Analysis

Multivariable regression was used to quantify the change in AL per unit change in racial discrimination. Ordinary least squares and ordered logistic models were used, according to the specification of the dependent variable. First, we estimated the independent effect of racial discrimination on each outcome. Next, we performed two different sets of marginal effects models to examine effect modification: first by educational attainment, then by poverty status. Following recommendations outlined by Selvin (2004), we used a threshold of P<0.2 for interactions to prevent threats to validity resulting from false negatives or "wrong model bias". For all other estimates, significance was based on P<.05 and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Models were adjusted for age, educational attainment and medication use regardless of significance to account for known confounders and ensure accurate risk assessment. Health insurance, poverty status adjusted for household size, marital status, and neuroticism were included in final models if significant at P<.10 to

account for potential confounding and reporting bias (see Table 2). Previous studies show associations between lifestyle behaviors and allostatic load. However, there is also literature suggesting that lifestyle behaviors may mediate the association between racial discrimination and allostatic load. Hence, we conceptualized lifestyle behaviors as mediators rather than moderators and therefore consistent with epidemiologic modeling (directed acyclical graph), did not include these variables as covariates in our analysis in order to avoid over-controlling and due to the cross-sectional nature of our data. Employment status was also conceptualized as a potential mediator and not included in our analysis.

Multiple imputation by chained equations was used to account for missing data (missing at random). Several biomarkers were log-transformed prior to imputation to correct for skewness and meet the normality assumptions of multiple imputation. Monte Carlo estimates were examined to confirm reproducibility of effect estimates across imputations. There were no significant departures from established criteria. Relative efficiency ranged from 98–100%, and relative variance increase and percent increase in standard error were both within 5%. One observation was excluded because it had missing data on both the right- and left-hand side of the imputation model, and therefore had missing data post-imputation (n=207). One additional outlying observation (> 3 SD beyond the IQR) was excluded from models estimating inflammation and neuroendocrine dysregulation (n=206). Additional post-estimation diagnostics included variance inflation factors to assess multicolinearity and tests of leverage and influence (Cook's D), multivariate normality (Doornik-Hansen omnibus test) and heteroskedasticity (Breusch-Pagan and Cook-Weisberg). Analyses were conducted using Stata/SE 14.0.

#### 3. RESULTS

Sample characteristics are shown in Table 2. Mean age was 42 years. Two-thirds of the study sample had more than a high school diploma. Approximately 20% were below the federal poverty level, a little over half were employed (55%), 30% were married, and 73% had health insurance. Mean levels of AL were in the low to moderate range. Approximately 11% of the sample reported no experiences of racial discrimination, the majority (64%) reported low to moderate levels of discrimination (i.e., between 1 to 3 experiences of discrimination in at least 1 domain), and 25% reported high to very high levels of racial discrimination (i.e., 5 to 6+ experiences in at least 1 domain). Discrimination experienced "on the street or in a public setting" was the most common form reported followed by "from the police or in the courts", "at work", "getting hired or getting a job", and "at school": 73%, 67%, 67%, 65%, and 61% respectively.

Results showing the adjusted association between racial discrimination and AL are shown in Table 3. For three of the four composite measures of AL, associations varied by education (all except  $AL_{z\_sum}$ ). For simplicity, we display results for  $AL_{75}$  only (results for all composite measures is available online, supplementary Table 1). For  $AL_{75}$ : among those with higher education (> high school diploma), those reporting low (b=-1.09, *P*=.02, 95% CI= -1.99, -0.18), and very high (b=-1.88, *P*=.003, 95% CI= - 3.11, -0.65) levels of racial discrimination had lower levels of AL (ref=moderate). Among those with lower education, we found the opposite (Figure 1): those reporting low (b=2.05, *P*<.01, 95% CI= 0.55, 3.56)

racial discrimination had the highest levels of AL<sub>75</sub>. Associations for high and very high racial discrimination did not reach statistical significance but are suggestive of a U-shaped relationship among those with lower education. For AL<sub>IQR</sub>, a similar and statistically significant U-shaped pattern emerged among those with lower education, but with effect sizes roughly  $1.5-2\times$  greater than observed for AL<sub>75</sub>. Among those with higher education, reporting very high levels of discrimination was associated with lower levels of AL<sub>IQR</sub> (supplementary Figure 1). Fewer significant associations emerged for AL<sub>90</sub>: among those with higher education, those reporting very high discrimination had lower AL. The opposite was observed among those with lower education, although the joint test of coefficients for the interaction did not reach statistical significance (*P*>.2) (Selvin, 2004). There were no significant differences by education for AL<sub>z\_sum</sub> and no significant main effects, although the direction of effect estimates is consistent with those observed using the other AL measures for the high education group. Interaction results for poverty were less consistent.

Only one of the composite AL measures,  $AL_{30}$ , showed significant differences between poverty-level groups (supplementary Table 2). Findings were consistent with the results for educational attainment (Figure 2): among those not in poverty, reporting very high (vs. moderate) racial discrimination was associated with lower levels of AL (b=–2.54, *P*<.05, 95% CI= –4.56, –0.52). Among those at or below 100% of the FPL, reporting no racial discrimination (vs. moderate) was associated with higher levels of AL (b=4.88, *P*<.04, 95% CI= 0.28–9.48).

Table 4 shows results for the interaction of racial discrimination and educational attainment in relation to each of our system-specific measures of physiologic dysregulation. Findings for cardiometabolic function mirrored those reported for  $AL_{75}$  and  $AL_{IQR}$  above (supplementary Figure 2). For neuroendocrine and inflammatory activity, no differences by education emerged. Instead, reporting very high levels of racial discrimination was associated with blunted physiologic activity, regardless of educational attainment. There were no significant interactions for poverty status: reporting very high levels of racial discrimination was associated with blunted cardiometabolic activity after adjusting for model covariates including education and poverty status (b=-1.61, *P*=0.03, 95% CI=-3.06, -0.15). For neuroendocrine activity, those reporting very high racial discrimination had lower odds of AL. However, these results did not reach statistical significance (OR=0.32, *P*=.06, 95% CI= 0.10, 1.05).

To determine if our results were being driven by particular biomarkers, we performed separate regressions on each individual biomarker. Given the direction, magnitude and statistical significance of associations, it does not appear that a few biomarkers are driving the results (supplementary Tables 3 and 4). For educational attainment, there were significant effects for two of the individual biomarkers: epinephrine and waist circumference. Among those in the low education group: reporting a high level of racial discrimination was associated with higher levels of circulating epinephrine (b=.63, *P*=.03, 95% CI=0.05, 1.2) and higher waist circumference (b=0.52, *P*=.03, 95% CI=0.05, 0.98). Among those in the higher education group: reporting low levels of racial discrimination was associated with lower waist circumference (b=-0.21, *P*=.04, 95% CI=-0.41, -0.01). For poverty status, there were no significant interaction effects for any of the individual

biomarkers. We also examined independent main effects for the remaining 13 biomarkers (excluding epinephrine and waist circumference). HbA1c was the only biomarker showing a significant main effect for racial discrimination: reporting very high racial discrimination was associated with lower HbA1c (b=-0.21, P=.04, 95% CI=-0.42, -.01).

#### 4. DISCUSSION

We examined the association between racial discrimination and cumulative biological dysregulation among a community sample of midlife AA women in the San Francisco Bay Area. This is the first study to examine racial discrimination and AL among this group. This is also the first study to show that the association between racial discrimination and AL is moderated by educational attainment, and quite dramatically. This is not only a novel finding but a very informative one that points to potential differences in the mechanisms linking racial discrimination and AL between socioeconomic groups. The buffered pattern observed among both the higher education and higher income groups highlights the need to better understand the factors that may safeguard against the negative health outcomes associated with racial discrimination and potentially other forms of chronic social stress. The U-shaped pattern observed among the lower socioeconomic groups, particularly the inverse association among those reporting low (vs. high) levels of racial discrimination, raises questions that may help inform future research in this area such as potential reporting bias (i.e., underreporting) and the role of coping, racial identity and internalized racism (Chae et al., 2014; Krieger, 1990; Sellers et al., 2006), among other potential explanations. Additionally, although our findings do not appear to be driven by particular individual biomarkers, cardiometabolic function may be a primary driver and warrants further consideration as a mechanism underlying this association.

The buffered pattern observed among the higher socioeconomic groups is consistent with prior work suggesting that acknowledging racism is protective compared to internalizing those experiences and potentially engaging in self-blame (Chae et al., 2012a; Chae et al., 2014; Krieger and Sidney, 1996; LaVeist et al., 2001; Major et al., 2002). The U-shaped relationship observed among the lower socioeconomic groups is also consistent with previous research. Reporting lower levels of racial discrimination has been associated with worse cardiovascular health among low socioeconomic black women who respond to unfair treatment by accepting it and keeping it to themselves, suggesting that coping may influence how discrimination impacts biological functioning (Krieger and Sidney, 1996). This may reflect a tendency to deny, suppress, or otherwise passively cope with racial discrimination (Krieger and Sidney, 1996; Nuru-Jeter et al., 2009), which has been previously associated with poor health outcomes (Krieger and Sidney, 1996), and may suggest some degree of reporting bias among lower socioeconomic groups. Literature on stigma and attributions to discrimination reflect the need to better understand the factors that determine variability in responses to discrimination. It is now generally understood that vulnerability and resilience are both common responses to prejudice and discrimination (Major et al., 2002).

Other studies have, similarly, noted non-linearities in the racial discrimination-biology relationship (Fuller-Rowell et al., 2012; Krieger and Sidney, 1996; Skinner et al., 2011). Skinner (2011) found that AAs reporting low (vs. high) levels of racial discrimination have a

flatter diurnal cortisol rhythm, characteristic of poor HPA flexibility (i.e., dysregulation) (Skinner et al., 2011). Using data from the National Study of Midlife in the United States (MIDUS), Fuller-Rowell et al. examined associations by race and found that among African Americans, reporting low (vs.) high levels of discrimination (where 90% of AAs reported their discrimination experiences as due to race) was associated with lower waking cortisol (i.e., less HPA flexibility), and that this effect was greatest among lower socioeconomic groups (Fuller-Rowell et al., 2012).

The lack of consistent results for poverty are not surprising given previous findings that higher income does not protect blacks from higher levels of AL (Geronimus et al., 2006). Further studies suggest that neighborhood poverty may be a stronger predictor of AL than individual-level income. (Robinette et al., 2016; Schulz et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2017) Additionally, studies suggest that higher educated, but not necessarily higher income, AAs are more likely to live and work in settings where they are the minority, thereby increasing opportunities for experiencing racial discrimination (NPR/Robert Wood Johnson Foundation/Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 2017; Pew Research Center, 2016; Wilson et al., 2017). Hence, higher educated AAs may not only experience certain types of discrimination more but may also be better equipped to recognize it. Howard and Sparks (2015) found that among AAs, those with a college degree or higher had significantly lower AL compared to those with less than a high school diploma. Our study findings complement these results and provide preliminary evidence suggesting that reports of racial discrimination may be one factor helping to explain this disparity. Further research is needed to explicitly test this hypothesis.

Consistent with the concept of subclinical dysregulation, the association between racial discrimination and AL was strongest for measures capturing more moderate (i.e.,  $AL_{75}$ ,  $AL_{IQR}$ ) vs. extreme levels of dysregulation ( $AL_{90}$ ), which is especially salient given our sample of mostly healthy women not yet diagnosed with serious medical conditions

Several methodological considerations should be noted. This was an exploratory study intended to generate preliminary data and hypotheses about the potential role of social stress, in particular racial discrimination, for heightened biological dysregulation among AA women. However, we are unable to infer causality due to the cross-sectional nature of the study. We used a non-probability purposive sample intended to maximize variability in the primary exposure and ensure stable estimates across subgroups (Rothman et al., 2014). Compared to AA women in the 2013 American Community Survey ages 30-50 residing in the same Bay Area counties, our sample had a similar distribution of educational attainment, employment and marital status but a higher percent not insured and lower percent in poverty (data available upon request) (Ruggles et al., 2010). Although we used validated scales, our self-report measures are subject to the typical reporting bias inherent in all survey research. Biomarkers were assessed via one fasting venous blood draw and therefore do not capture circadian rhythm. Resting, rather than ambulatory, blood pressure was measured but taken to capture a true average and minimize white coat effect. A few of our models had power below 80% (i.e., inflammation=34%, neuroendocrine=65%). Hence, observed differences are likely under-estimates of the true effects. Educational attainment was dichotomized at high school diploma, also biasing our results toward the null vs. dichotomizing at college

degree. Last, detailed information about medication use was not available. Hence, we were unable to control for medications prescribed off-label.

This study also has several strengths. First, only one other study has, to our knowledge, examined the relationship between racial discrimination and AL among any population. Brody et. al. examined the association among AA adolescents ages 16-18 in the rural south (Brody et al 2014). Other studies have examined racial discrimination and health more broadly (e.g., birth outcomes, mental health outcomes, cardiovascular disease) (Dominguez et al., 2008; Lewis et al., 2014; Pieterse et al., 2012) and in relation to specific biomarkers (e.g., cortisol, CRH, CRP, catecholamines) (Albert et al., 2008; Braveman et al., 2015; Fuller-Rowell et al., 2012; Lewis et al., 2010; Wagner et al., 2013), though findings are mixed (Cohen et al., 2006; Huynh et al., 2016; Schmitt et al., 2014; Skinner et al., 2011; Wagner et al., 2013). However, previous studies indicate the need to study simultaneous dysregulation across multiple systems to better understand how psychosocial factors contribute to stress-related illness, given the importance of integrative biology for disease outcomes. In fact, some studies have shown significant associations between composite AL scores and subsequent poor health outcomes whereas individual biomarkers are not significant independent risk factors (Ong et al., 2017). Hence, studies point to the need to understand the "interconnected nature of biological stress systems including their cooccurring responses to social stressors" (Lucas et al., 2016).

There have also been studies examining more general unfair treatment (not attributed to race, ethnicity, skin color) in relation to specific biomarkers (e.g., IL-6, CRP, cortisol, coronary artery calcification) and one in relation to AL (Upchurch et al., 2015). These studies support previous findings suggesting that experiencing discrimination is more salient than the type of discrimination experienced (Huynh et al., 2016; Kershaw et al., 2016; Ong et al., 2017; Zeiders et al., 2014). However, other studies suggest that racial discrimination has particularly pernicious health effects among racial minority groups for whom such experiences are more frequent, more severe and occur across a wider range of situations; and among those with a particularly strong racial group identity (Crocker and Major, 1989; Major et al., 2002; Sellers et al., 2003). Racial discrimination is commonly reported by AA women, has been reported as a particularly salient form of social stress (Carter, 2007; Nuru-Jeter et al., 2009; Williams et al., 2012), and as distinct from other forms of social stress including other forms of unfair treatment. Our study is the first to examine whether *racial* discrimination is associated with multi-system physiologic dysregulation among AA women.

Prior research has shown considerable variation in reports of discrimination by race both in terms of frequency of reports and to what the discrimination was attributed (e.g., race, gender). The majority of AAs attribute their discrimination experiences to race and report more chronic experiences, whereas whites report non-racial forms of discrimination more frequently (Cozier et al., 2006; Karlamangla et al., 2002; Krieger and Sidney, 1996; Plummer and Slane, 1996; Williams et al., 2012). African Americans' reports of racial discrimination have been further described as a form of historical trauma characterized by rumination, heightened vigilance, and the processing of contemporary experiences as re-experiencing historical oppression (Borders and Liang, 2011; Carter, 2007; Hicken et al.,

2013; Nuru-Jeter et al., 2009; Williams et al., 2012). On the contrary, whites commonly attribute their experiences to reverse racism such as being denied opportunities due to Affirmative Action policies (i.e., policies intended to protect and provide opportunities to groups that have faced historical discrimination) (Williams et al., 2012). Further work is needed to better understand differences in how different groups recognize and understand discrimination. However, these qualitative differences suggest the importance of not conflating the racial discrimination experiences of AAs and whites, and the importance of distinguishing between racial discrimination and other forms of unfair treatment. Taken together, this evidence was a primary motivation for limiting our study to African American women, in addition to evidence documenting significant gender disparities in AL by race (Chyu and Upchurch, 2011; Deuster et al., 2011; Duru et al., 2012).

Additionally, acknowledging the heterogeneity in reports of racial discrimination among AA women, our within group study was an attempt to better isolate racial discrimination as the exposure of interest. By restricting the sample to AA women, we avoid the misclassification and biases inherent in multi-ethnic studies vis a vis incommensurability of the exposure across groups (Howard and Sparks, 2015). Testing this association among AA women provides evidence suggesting that reported racial discrimination may be one risk factor associated with higher AL among this group; but that importantly, this association varies by level of educational attainment. Further, given that AAs report racial discrimination at a much higher frequency than whites, it follows that racial discrimination may help explain the higher rates of AL observed among this group. However, future studies examining differences between AA men and women is warranted. It is unclear whether this association is unique to AA women and thus explains the disparity observed among this group. If the association persists for AA women and men, it would suggest that racial discrimination is not an adequate explanation for heightened risk among AA women alone but rather may help explain why AAs, as a group, experience accelerated biological weathering relative to whites.

This is also the first study to examine the racial discrimination-AL association in adulthood, the period of life where race-gender disparities in AL become more apparent. Geronimus showed the gap in AL scores between race-gender groups becomes "especially pronounced after age 30" with AA women having the highest probability. Notably, although AA and white men and women start with the same intercept at age 18, the slope of the association between age and AL is greatest among AA women, suggesting that AA women experience biological "weathering" at an accelerated pace relative to other race-gender groups. We believe this distinction—the *racial* discrimination-AL association among *midlife* AA women —is an important one that may help explain the higher rates of AL observed in previous studies. Thus, while several studies have now shown that AA women have higher levels of AL relative to other groups, no previous studies to our knowledge, have specifically investigated the factors that may account for this particular vulnerability (heightened *multi-system* physiologic dysregulation during midlife among this group).

#### 5. CONCLUSIONS

Racial discrimination may be an important predictor of biological dysregulation, increasing risk for poor health among AA women. Results suggest mechanisms that may buffer or exacerbate the effect of racial discrimination; and the need to further explore differences by socioeconomic position, particularly educational attainment. Findings provide new insights that may help explain the role of social determinants for disease pathogenesis, and offer direction for future research among socially disadvantaged groups and other groups experiencing more general forms of chronic stress. In the US, disparities by race have persisted over time and across health outcomes. African Americans are among the most socially disadvantaged groups in the US. However, after adjustment for socioeconomic factors, health behaviors, and access to and utilization of health care, health disparities persist. The role of social stress for adverse health outcomes is now widely accepted. Although de jure racial discrimination no longer exists, AAs report various forms of de facto racial discrimination (structural, institutional, personally-mediated) as a salient and ongoing source of life stress (Carter, 2007; Guyll et al., 2001; Nuru-Jeter et al., 2009; Williams et al., 2012; Williams and Mohammed, 2013). Geronimus' "weathering" hypothesis was developed as a framework for examining the early health deterioration observed among AA women, and suggests that this decline is a physical manifestation of the stress associated with being a member of a socially marginalized group and contending with various forms of recurring racial discrimination (Geronimus et al., 2006). Our findings have implications for AA women in the US and for socially marginalized groups more broadly. Studies show associations between racial discrimination and mental and physical health among many socially marginalized groups; for example, indigenous people of Australia, Native Hawaiians, Turkish immigrants in Germany, minority and immigrant women in New Zealand, Southeast Asian refugees and Korean immigrants in Canada, and "blacks and browns" in Brazil (Bastos et al., 2014; Berger et al., 2015; Fischer et al., 2017; Kaholokula et al., 2012; Larson et al., 2007; Thayer and Kuzawa, 2015). Importantly, these reports have included both observational and laboratory- based assessments, though attention to multisystem physiologic dysregulation is limited.

Further research using larger probability-based samples is needed to provide more precise risk estimates, improve generalizability, and determine causation. Additionally, studies examining lifestyle behaviors as potential mediators is also warranted. Though further research is needed, discrimination remains a unique and important stressor and may have relevance for identifying at-risk groups and help inform strategies to prevent, detect, and treat disease progression.

#### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by research grants from: University of California, Berkeley (UCB) Hellman Fund, UCB Population Center, UCB Research Bridging Grant, UCB Experimental Social Science Laboratory, Robert Wood Johnson Health and Society Scholars Program (UCB site), UC Center for New Racial Studies, and the UCB

Institute for the Study of Societal Issues. A Allen was also partially supported by NIMHD grant P60MD006902; MT was partially supported by NIGMS grant UL1GM118985. Study sponsors did not participate in study design, data collection, data analysis, interpretation of study results, or drafting of the manuscript.

We wish to thank Holly Berryman Stern from the UCB Tang Center for support related to laboratory specimens, Roger Hoffman of Westportal for in-kind support in building the CASIC platform, Miguel Dorta from StataCorp and Maureen Lahiff for comments on statistical analysis, and the many undergraduate, graduate, and postdoctoral students working as volunteer research assistants. We also thank Nancy Krieger and John Lynch as well as four anonymous reviewers for comments on previous drafts of this manuscript.

A Allen, Principal Investigator, conceptualized the study, coordinated all logistics related to recruitment and data collection, designed and performed all statistical analyses (and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data analyzed), and took primary responsibility for drafting the manuscript; M Thomas assisted with the design of the analysis, specifically operationalizing study variables, as well as data interpretation, and drafting the manuscript; E Michaels assisted with design of the analysis, reviewing statistical code, data interpretation, and drafting the manuscript; AN Reeves assisted with data interpretation and drafting the manuscript; U Okoye assisted with data interpretation and editing of the manuscript; M Price was responsible for management of data collection, made contributions to the intellectual content of the manuscript revisions; SL Syme helped provided assistance with interpreting the study results and drafting the manuscript. DH Chae helped conceptualize the study and provided assistance with data interpretation and drafting the manuscript.

#### Abbreviations:

**CV** medication

cardiovascular medication

#### REFERENCES

- Adam EK, Heissel JA, Zeiders KH, Richeson JA, Ross EC, Ehrlich KB, Levy DJ, Kemeny M, Brodish AB, Malanchuk O, 2015 Developmental histories of perceived racial discrimination and diurnal cortisol profiles in adulthood: A 20-year prospective study. Psychoneuroendocrinology 62, 279– 291. [PubMed: 26352481]
- Albert MA, Ravenell J, Glynn RJ, Khera A, Halevy N, de Lemos JA, 2008 Cardiovascular risk indicators and perceived race/ethnic discrimination in the Dallas Heart Study. American heart journal 156, 1103–1109. [PubMed: 19033005]
- Aschbacher K, O'Donovan A, Wolkowitz OM, Dhabhar FS, Su Y, Epel E, 2013 Good stress, bad stress and oxidative stress: insights from anticipatory cortisol reactivity. Psychoneuroendocrinology 38, 1698–1708. [PubMed: 23490070]
- Basaranoglu M, Basaranoglu G, Bugianesi E, 2015 Carbohydrate intake and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: fructose as a weapon of mass destruction. Hepatobiliary Surgery and Nutrition 4, 109. [PubMed: 26005677]
- Bastos JL, Barros AJ, Celeste RK, Paradies Y, Faerstein E, 2014 Age, class and race discrimination: their interactions and associations with mental health among Brazilian university students. Cadernos de saude publica 30, 175–186. [PubMed: 24627024]
- Beckie TM, 2012 A systematic review of allostatic load, health, and health disparities. Biological research for nursing 14, 311–346. [PubMed: 23007870]
- Berger M, Juster R-P, Sarnyai Z, 2015 Mental health consequences of stress and trauma: allostatic load markers for practice and policy with a focus on Indigenous health. Australasian Psychiatry 23, 644–649. [PubMed: 26432654]
- Berger M, Sarnyai Z, 2015 "More than skin deep": stress neurobiology and mental health consequences of racial discrimination. Stress 18, 1–10. [PubMed: 25407297]
- Borders A, Liang CT, 2011 Rumination partially mediates the associations between perceived ethnic discrimination, emotional distress, and aggression. Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology 17, 125. [PubMed: 21604836]
- Borrell LN, Dallo FJ, Nguyen N, 2010 Racial/Ethnic Disparities in All-Cause Mortality in US Adults: The Effect of Allostatic Load. Public Health Rep. 125, 810–816. [PubMed: 21121226]

- Borrell LN, Kiefe CI, Williams DR, Diez-Roux AV, Gordon-Larsen P, 2006 Self-reported health, perceived racial discrimination, and skin color in African Americans in the CARDIA study. Soc. Sci. Med 63, 1415–1427. [PubMed: 16750286]
- Braveman PA, Heck K, Egerter S, Marchi KS, Dominguez TP, Cubbin C, Fingar K, Pearson JA, Curtis M, 2015 The role of socioeconomic factors in black–white disparities in preterm birth. Am. J. Public Health 105, 694–702. [PubMed: 25211759]
- Brondolo E, Hausmann LR, Jhalani J, Pencille M, Atencio-Bacayon J, Kumar A, Kwok J, Ullah J, Roth A, Chen D, 2011 Dimensions of perceived racism and self-reported health: examination of racial/ethnic differences and potential mediators. Ann. Behav. Med 42, 14–28. [PubMed: 21374099]
- Brown TN, Williams DR, Jackson JS, Neighbors HW, Torres M, Sellers SL, Brown KT, 2000 "Being black and feeling blue": The mental health consequences of racial discrimination. Race and Society 2, 117–131.
- Bush NR, Obradovi J, Adler N, Boyce WT, 2011 Kindergarten stressors and cumulative adrenocortical activation: The "first straws" of allostatic load? Development and psychopathology 23, 1089–1106. [PubMed: 22018083]
- Busse D, Yim IS, Campos B, Marshburn CK, 2017 Discrimination and the HPA axis: current evidence and future directions. J. Behav. Med 40, 539–552. [PubMed: 28155003]
- Carlson E, Chamberlain R, 2005 Allostatic load and health disparities: a theoretical orientation. Research in nursing & health 28, 306–315. [PubMed: 16028266]
- Carter RT, 2007 Racism and psychological and emotional injury: Recognizing and assessing racebased traumatic stress. The Counseling Psychologist 35, 13–105.
- Census BA, 2010 Metropolitan Transportation Commission and the Association of Bay Area Governments Library. http://www.bayareacensus.ca.gov/index.html accessed 5/22/2013.
- Chae DH, Nuru-Jeter AM, Adler NE, 2012a Implicit racial bias as a moderator of the association between racial discrimination and hypertension: a study of Midlife African American men. Psychosom. Med 74, 961. [PubMed: 23107842]
- Chae DH, Nuru-Jeter AM, Adler NE, Brody GH, Lin J, Blackburn EH, Epel ES, 2014 Discrimination, Racial Bias, and Telomere Length in African-American Men. Am. J. Prev. Med 46, 103–111. [PubMed: 24439343]
- Chae DH, Nuru-Jeter AM, Lincoln KD, Arriola KRJ, 2012b Racial Discrimination, Mood Disorders, and Cardiovascular Disease Among Black Americans. Ann. Epidemiol 22, 104–111. [PubMed: 22104740]
- Chatkoff DK, Maier KJ, Klein C, 2010 Nonlinear associations between chronic stress and cardiovascular reactivity and recovery. International Journal of Psychophysiology 77, 150–156. [PubMed: 20561895]
- Chyu L, Upchurch DM, 2011 Racial and Ethnic Patterns of Allostatic Load Among Adult Women in the United States: Findings from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1999– 2004. J. Womens Health 20, 575–583.
- Cohen S, Schwartz JE, Epel E, Kirschbaum C, Sidney S, Seeman T, 2006 Socioeconomic status, race, and diurnal cortisol decline in the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) Study. Psychosom. Med 68, 41–50. [PubMed: 16449410]
- Cooper DC, Mills PJ, Bardwell WA, Ziegler MG, Dimsdale JE, 2009 The effects of ethnic discrimination and socioeconomic status on endothelin-1 among blacks and whites. American journal of hypertension 22, 698–704. [PubMed: 19390511]
- Cozier Y, Palmer JR, Horton NJ, Fredman L, Wise LA, Rosenberg L, 2006 Racial discrimination and the incidence of hypertension in US black women. Ann. Epidemiol 16, 681–687. [PubMed: 16458539]
- Crimmins EM, Johnston M, Hayward M, Seeman T, 2003 Age differences in allostatic load: an index of physiological dysregulation. Experimental gerontology 38, 731–734. [PubMed: 12855278]
- Crocker J, Major B, 1989 Social stigma and self-esteem: The self-protective properties of stigma. Psychological Review 96, 608.
- Crocker J, Voelkl K, Testa M, Major B, 1991 Social stigma: The affective consequences of attributional ambiguity. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 60, 218.

- Cunningham TJ, Seeman TE, Kawachi I, Gortmaker SL, Jacobs DR, Kiefe CI, Berkman LF, 2012 Racial/ethnic and gender differences in the association between self-reported experiences of racial/ ethnic discrimination and inflammation in the CARDIA cohort of 4 US communities. Soc. Sci. Med 75, 922–931. [PubMed: 22682683]
- Del Giudice M, Ellis BJ, Shirtcliff EA, 2011 The adaptive calibration model of stress responsivity. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews 35, 1562–1592. [PubMed: 21145350]
- Deuster PA, Kim-Dorner SJ, Remaley AT, Poth M, 2011 Allostatic Load and Health Status of African Americans and Whites. Am. J. Health Behav 35, 641–653. [PubMed: 22251756]
- Dickerson SS, Gable SL, Irwin MR, Aziz N, Kemeny ME, 2009 Social-evaluative threat and proinflammatory cytokine regulation: An experimental laboratory investigation. Psychol. Sci 20, 1237–1244. [PubMed: 19754527]
- Dominguez TP, Dunkel-Schetter C, Glynn LM, Hobel C, Sandman CA, 2008 Racial differences in birth outcomes: the role of general, pregnancy, and racism stress. Health Psychol. 27, 194. [PubMed: 18377138]
- Dooley LN, Slavich GM, Moreno PI, Bower JE, 2017 Strength through adversity: Moderate lifetime stress exposure is associated with psychological resilience in breast cancer survivors. Stress and Health 33, 549–557. [PubMed: 28052491]
- Duru OK, Harawa NT, Kermah D, Norris KC, 2012 Allostatic Load Burden and Racial Disparities in Mortality. J. Natl. Med. Assoc 104, 89–95. [PubMed: 22708252]
- Fischer S, Nater UM, Strahler J, Skoluda N, Dieterich L, Oezcan O, Mewes R, 2017 Psychobiological impact of ethnic discrimination in Turkish immigrants living in Germany. Stress 20, 167–174. [PubMed: 28276806]
- Fossion P, Linkowski P, 2007 The relevance of the concept of resiliency in the field of psychiatry. Revue medicale de Bruxelles 28, 33–38. [PubMed: 17427677]
- Friedman EM, Williams DR, Singer BH, Ryff CD, 2009 Chronic discrimination predicts higher circulating levels of E-selectin in a national sample: The MIDUS study. Brain Behav. Immun 23, 684–692. [PubMed: 19171188]
- Fuller-Rowell TE, Doan SN, Eccles JS, 2012 Differential effects of perceived discrimination on the diurnal cortisol rhythm of African Americans and Whites. Psychoneuroendocrinology 37, 107– 118. [PubMed: 21689889]
- Gallo LC, Matthews KA, 2003 Understanding the association between socioeconomic status and physical health: do negative emotions play a role? Psychol. Bull 129, 10. [PubMed: 12555793]
- Gee GC, Ryan A, Laflamme DJ, Holt J, 2006 Self-reported discrimination and mental health status among African descendants, Mexican Americans, and other Latinos in the New Hampshire REACH 2010 Initiative: the added dimension of immigration. Am. J. Public Health 96, 1821– 1828. [PubMed: 17008579]
- Geronimus AT, 1996 Black/white differences in the relationship of maternal age to birthweight: a population-based test of the weathering hypothesis. Soc. Sci. Med 42, 589–597. [PubMed: 8643983]
- Geronimus AT, Hicken M, Keene D, Bound J, 2006 "Weathering" and age patterns of allostatic load scores among blacks and whites in the United States. Am. J. Public Health 96, 826–833. [PubMed: 16380565]
- Gunnar MR, Doom JR, Esposito EA, 2015 Psychoneuroendocrinology of stress: Normative development and individual differences. Handbook of child psychology and developmental science, 1–46.
- Guyll M, Matthews KA, Bromberger JT, 2001 Discrimination and unfair treatment: Relationship to cardiovascular reactivity among African American and European American women. Health Psychol. 20, 315–325. [PubMed: 11570645]
- Habib KE, Gold PW, Chrousos GP, 2001 Neuroendocrinology of stress. Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinics 30, 695–728. [PubMed: 11571937]
- Hamann S, 2005 Sex differences in the responses of the human amygdala. The Neuroscientist 11, 288–293. [PubMed: 16061516]

- Hicken MT, Lee H, Ailshire J, Burgard SA, Williams DR, 2013 "Every shut eye, ain't sleep": The role of racism-related vigilance in racial/ethnic disparities in sleep difficulty. Race and social problems 5, 100–112. [PubMed: 23894254]
- Hill LK, Hoggard LS, Richmond AS, Gray DL, Williams DP, Thayer JF, 2017 Examining the Association Between Perceived Discrimination and Heart Rate Variability in African Americans. Cult. Divers. Ethn. Minor. Psychol 23, 5–14.
- Hoggard LS, Hill LK, Gray DL, Sellers RM, 2015 Capturing the cardiac effects of racial discrimination: Do the effects "keep going"? International Journal of Psychophysiology 97, 163– 170. [PubMed: 25931114]
- Howard JT, Sparks P, 2015 The role of education in explaining racial/ethnic allostatic load differentials in the United States. Biodemography and Social Biology 61, 19–39.
- Huynh VW, Guan S-SA, Almeida DM, McCreath H, Fuligni AJ, 2016 Everyday discrimination and diurnal cortisol during adolescence. Hormones and behavior 80, 76–81. [PubMed: 26853614]
- Huynh VW, Huynh Q-L, Stein M-P, 2017 Not just sticks and stones: Indirect ethnic discrimination leads to greater physiological reactivity. Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology 23, 425. [PubMed: 28080102]
- Jackson FM, 2005 The Development of a Race and Gender-Specific Stress Measure for African-American Women: Jackson, Hogue, Phillips Contextualized Stress Measure. Ethnicity & Disease 15, 594–600. [PubMed: 16259481]
- Jackson FM, Phillips MT, Hogue CJR, Curry-Owens TY, 2001 Examining the Burdens of Gendered Racism: Implications for Pregnancy Outcomes Among College-Educated African American Women. Maternal and Child Health Journal 5, 95–107. [PubMed: 11573844]
- Kaholokula J.K.a., Grandinetti A, Keller S, Nacapoy AH, Mau MK, 2012 Association between perceived racism and physiological stress indices in Native Hawaiians. J. Behav. Med 35, 27–37. [PubMed: 21360284]
- Karlamangla AS, Singer BH, McEwen BS, Rowe JW, Seeman TE, 2002 Allostatic load as a predictor of functional decline: MacArthur studies of successful aging. Journal of clinical epidemiology 55, 696–710. [PubMed: 12160918]
- Karlsen S, Nazroo JY, 2002 Relation between racial discrimination, social class, and health among ethnic minority groups. Am. J. Public Health 92, 624–631. [PubMed: 11919063]
- Kemeny ME, 2003 The psychobiology of stress. Current directions in psychological science 12, 124–129.
- Kershaw KN, Lewis TT, Roux AVD, Jenny NS, Liu K, Penedo FJ, Carnethon MR, 2016 Self-reported experiences of discrimination and inflammation among men and women: The multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. Health Psychol. 35, 343. [PubMed: 27018725]
- Kessler RC, Mickelson KD, Williams DR, 1999 The prevalence, distribution, and mental health correlates of perceived discrimination in the United States. J. Health Soc. Behav, 208–230. [PubMed: 10513145]
- Krieger N, 1990. Racial and gender discrimination: risk factors for high blood pressure? Social science & medicine (1982) 30, 1273–1281.
- Krieger N, Kosheleva A, Waterman PD, Chen JT, Koenen K, 2011 Racial discrimination, psychological distress, and self-rated health among US-born and foreign-born Black Americans. Am. J. Public Health 101, 1704–1713. [PubMed: 21778504]
- Krieger N, Sidney S, 1996 Racial discrimination and blood pressure: The CARDIA study of young black and white adults. Am. J. Public Health 86, 1370–1378. [PubMed: 8876504]
- Krieger N, Smith K, Naishadham D, Hartman C, Barbeau EM, 2005 Experiences of discrimination: Validity and reliability of a self-report measure for population health research on racism and health. Soc. Sci. Med 61, 1576–1596. [PubMed: 16005789]
- Landrine H, Klonoff EA, 1996 The schedule of racist events: A measure of racial discrimination and a study of its negative physical and mental health consequences. Journal of Black Psychology 22, 144–168.
- Larson A, Gillies M, Howard PJ, Coffin J, 2007 It's enough to make you sick: the impact of racism on the health of Aboriginal Australians. Australian and New Zealand journal of public health 31, 322–329. [PubMed: 17725009]

- LaVeist TA, Sellers R, Neighbors HW, 2001 Perceived racism and self and system blame attribution: consequences for longevity. Ethnicity & disease 11, 711–721. [PubMed: 11763865]
- Lewis TT, Aiello AE, Leurgans S, Kelly J, Barnes LL, 2010 Self-reported experiences of everyday discrimination are associated with elevated C-reactive protein levels in older African-American adults. Brain Behav. Immun 24, 438–443. [PubMed: 19944144]
- Lewis TT, Williams DR, Tamene M, Clark CR, 2014 Self-Reported Experiences of Discrimination and Cardiovascular Disease. Current cardiovascular risk reports 8, 365. [PubMed: 24729825]
- Logan JG, Barksdale DJ, 2008 Allostasis and allostatic load: expanding the discourse on stress and cardiovascular disease. J. Clin. Nurs 17, 201–208. [PubMed: 18578796]
- Lorenzo-Blanco EI, Unger JB, Ritt-Olson A, Soto D, Baezconde-Garbanati L, 2011 Acculturation, Gender, Depression, and Cigarette Smoking Among US Hispanic Youth: The Mediating Role of Perceived Discrimination. J. Youth Adolesc 40, 1519–1533. [PubMed: 21293915]
- Lucas T, Lumley MA, Flack JM, Wegner R, Pierce J, Goetz S, 2016 A preliminary experimental examination of worldview verification, perceived racism, and stress reactivity in African Americans. Health Psychol. 35, 366. [PubMed: 27018728]
- Lupien SJ, Ouellet-Morin I, Hupbach A, Tu MT, Buss C, Walker D, Pruessner J, Mcewen BS, 2015 Beyond the stress concept: Allostatic load—A developmental biological and cognitive perspective. Developmental Psychopathology: Volume Two: Developmental Neuroscience, 578–628.
- Major B, Quinton WJ, McCoy SK, 2002 Antecedents and consequences of attributions to discrimination: Theoretical and empirical advances, Advances in experimental social psychology. Elsevier, pp. 251–330.
- Mays VM, Cochran SD, Barnes NW, 2007 Race, race-based discrimination, and health outcomes among African Americans. Annu. Rev. Psychol 58, 201–225. [PubMed: 16953796]
- McEwen BS, 1998 Stress, adaptation, and disease: Allostasis and allostatic load. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 840, 33–44. [PubMed: 9629234]
- McEwen BS, 2003 Mood disorders and allostatic load. Biological psychiatry 54, 200–207. [PubMed: 12893096]
- McEwen BS, Bowles NP, Gray JD, Hill MN, Hunter RG, Karatsoreos IN, Nasca C, 2015 Mechanisms of stress in the brain. Nature neuroscience 18, 1353. [PubMed: 26404710]
- McEwen BS, Rasgon NL, 2018 The Brain and Body on Stress: Allostatic Load and Mechanisms for Depression and Dementa, in: Strain JJ, Blumenfield M (Eds.), Depression As a Systemic Illness. Oxford University Press, England, pp. 14–36.
- Mendes WB, Major B, McCoy S, Blascovich J, 2008 How attributional ambiguity shapes physiological and emotional responses to social rejection and acceptance. Journal of personality and social psychology 94, 278. [PubMed: 18211177]
- Miller GE, Chen E, Zhou ES, 2007 If it goes up, must it come down? Chronic stress and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis in humans. Psychol. Bull. 133, 25. [PubMed: 17201569]
- Miller GE, Cohen S, Ritchey AK, 2002 Chronic psychological stress and the regulation of proinflammatory cytokines: a glucocorticoid-resistance model. Health Psychol. 21, 531. [PubMed: 12433005]
- Myers HF, 2009 Ethnicity-and socio-economic status-related stresses in context: an integrative review and conceptual model. J. Behav. Med 32, 9–19. [PubMed: 18989769]
- NHLBI Obesity Education Initiative, N.I.H., 2000 North American Association for the Study of Obesity, Expert Panel on the Identification Treatment of Overweight Obesity in Adults. The practical guide: identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults.
- Nobel L, Roblin DW, Becker ER, Druss BG, Joski PI, Allison JJ, 2017 Index of cardiometabolic health: a new method of measuring allostatic load using electronic health records. Biomarkers 22, 394–402. [PubMed: 27310889]
- NPR/Robert Wood Johnson Foundation/Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, 2017 Discrimination in America: Experiences and Views of African Americans, Discrimination in America.
- Nuru-Jeter A, Dominguez TP, Hammond WP, Leu J, Skaff M, Egerter S, Jones CP, Braveman P, 2009 "It's the skin you're in": African-American women talk about their experiences of racism. An

exploratory study to develop measures of racism for birth outcome studies. Maternal and child health journal 13, 29. [PubMed: 18463971]

- Ong AD, Williams DR, Nwizu U, Gruenewald TL, 2017 Everyday Unfair Treatment and Multisystem Biological Dysregulation in African American Adults. Cult. Divers. Ethn. Minor. Psychol 23, 27–35.
- Pew Research Center, 2016 On Views of Race and Inequality, Black and Whites are Worlds Apart, Social and Demographic Trends.
- Picard M, Juster R-P, McEwen BS, 2014 Mitochondrial allostatic load puts the 'gluc'back in glucocorticoids. Nature Reviews Endocrinology 10, 303.
- Pickering TG, Hall JE, Appel LJ, Falkner BE, Graves J, Hill MN, Jones DW, Kurtz T, Sheps SG, Roccella EJ, 2005 Recommendations for blood pressure measurement in humans and experimental animals. Circulation 111, 697–716. [PubMed: 15699287]
- Pieterse AL, Todd NR, Neville HA, Carter RT, 2012 Perceived racism and mental health among Black American adults: a meta-analytic review. American Psychological Association.
- Plummer DL, Slane S, 1996 Patterns of coping in racially stressful situations. Journal of Black Psychology 22, 302–315.
- Robinette JW, Charles ST, Almeida DM, Gruenewald TL, 2016 Neighborhood features and physiological risk: an examination of allostatic load. Health & place 41, 110–118. [PubMed: 27583527]
- Rothman K, Hatch E, Gallacher J, 2014 Representativeness is not helpful in studying heterogeneity of effects across subgroups. International journal of epidemiology 43, 633–634. [PubMed: 24396049]
- Ruggles S, Genadek K, Goeken R, Grover J, Sobek M, 2010 Integrated Public Use Microdata Series: Version 6.0 [Machine-readable database]. Minneapolis: Minnesota Population Center, University of Minnesota [producer and distributor].
- Sacks FM, Carey VJ, Anderson CA, Miller ER, Copeland T, Charleston J, Harshfield BJ, Laranjo N, McCarron P, Swain J, 2014 Effects of high vs low glycemic index of dietary carbohydrate on cardiovascular disease risk factors and insulin sensitivity: the OmniCarb randomized clinical trial. Jama 312, 2531–2541. [PubMed: 25514303]
- Schmitt MT, Branscombe NR, Postmes T, Garcia A, 2014 The consequences of perceived discrimination for psychological well-being: a meta-analytic review. Psychol. Bull 140, 921.
  [PubMed: 24547896]
- Schulz AJ, Mentz G, Lachance L, Johnson J, Gaines C, Israel BA, 2012 Associations between socioeconomic status and allostatic load: effects of neighborhood poverty and tests of mediating pathways. Am. J. Public Health 102, 1706–1714. [PubMed: 22873478]
- Seeman TE, McEwen BS, Rowe JW, Singer BH, 2001 Allostatic load as a marker of cumulative biological risk: MacArthur studies of successful aging. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 98, 4770– 4775. [PubMed: 11287659]
- Seeman TE, Singer BH, Rowe JW, Horwitz RI, McEwen BS, 1997 Price of adaptation—allostatic load and its health consequences: MacArthur studies of successful aging. Archives of internal medicine 157, 2259–2268. [PubMed: 9343003]
- Seery MD, Holman EA, Silver RC, 2010 Whatever does not kill us: cumulative lifetime adversity, vulnerability, and resilience. Journal of personality and social psychology 99, 1025. [PubMed: 20939649]
- Sellers RM, Caldwell CH, Schmeelk-Cone KH, Zimmerman MA, 2003 Racial identity, racial discrimination, perceived stress, and psychological distress among African American young adults. J. Health Soc. Behav, 302–317. [PubMed: 14582310]
- Sellers RM, Copeland-Linder N, Martin PP, Lewis RH, 2006 Racial identity matters: The relationship between racial discrimination and psychological functioning in African American adolescents. Journal of Research on Adolescence 16, 187–216.

Selvin S, 2004 Statistical analysis of epidemiologic data. Oxford University Press.

Seplaki CL, Goldman N, Glei D, Weinstein M, 2005 A comparative analysis of measurement approaches for physiological dysregulation in an older population. Experimental gerontology 40, 438–449. [PubMed: 15919596]

- Skinner ML, Shirtcliff EA, Haggerty KP, Coe CL, Catalano RF, 2011 Allostasis model facilitates understanding race differences in the diurnal cortisol rhythm. Development and psychopathology 23, 1167–1186. [PubMed: 22018088]
- Tan M, Mamun A, Kitzman H, Mandapati SR, Dodgen L, 2017 Neighborhood Disadvantage and Allostatic Load in African American Women at Risk for Obesity-Related Diseases. Preventing chronic disease 14.
- Thayer ZM, Kuzawa CW, 2015 Ethnic discrimination predicts poor self-rated health and cortisol in pregnancy: Insights from New Zealand. Soc. Sci. Med 128, 36–42. [PubMed: 25589034]
- Tomfohr LM, Pung MA, Dimsdale JE, 2016 Mediators of the Relationship Between Race and Allostatic Load in African and White Americans. Health Psychol. 35, 322–332. [PubMed: 27018723]
- Upchurch DM, Stein J, Greendale GA, Chyu L, Tseng CH, Huang MH, Lewis TT, Kravitz HM, Seeman T, 2015 A Longitudinal Investigation of Race, Socioeconomic Status, and Psychosocial Mediators of Allostatic Load in Midlife Women: Findings From the Study of Women's Health Across the Nation. Psychosom. Med 77, 402–412. [PubMed: 25886828]
- Wagner J, Lampert R, Tennen H, Feinn R, 2015 Exposure to discrimination and heart rate variability reactivity to acute stress among women with diabetes. Stress and Health 31, 255–262. [PubMed: 24194397]
- Wagner JA, Tennen H, Finan PH, Ghuman N, Burg MM, 2013 Self-reported Racial Discrimination and Endothelial Reactivity to Acute Stress in Women. Stress and Health 29, 214–221. [PubMed: 22962001]
- Williams DR, John DA, Oyserman D, Sonnega J, Mohammed SA, Jackson JS, 2012 Research on discrimination and health: an exploratory study of unresolved conceptual and measurement issues. Am. J. Public Health 102, 975–978. [PubMed: 22420798]
- Williams DR, Mohammed SA, 2013 Racism and Health I: Pathways and Scientific Evidence. Am. Behav. Sci 57, 1152–1173.
- Williams DR, Yan Y, Jackson JS, Anderson NB, 1997 Racial Differences in Physical and Mental Health: Socio-economic Status, Stress and Discrimination. J Health Psychol 2, 335–351. [PubMed: 22013026]
- Wilson KB, Thorpe RJ, Jr, LaVeist TA, 2017 Dollar for Dollar: Racial and ethnic inequalities in health and health-related outcomes among persons with very high income. Preventive medicine 96, 149–153. [PubMed: 28237367]
- Zeiders KH, Hoyt LT, Adam EK, 2014 Associations between self-reported discrimination and diurnal cortisol rhythms among young adults: The moderating role of racial–ethnic minority status. Psychoneuroendocrinology 50, 280–288. [PubMed: 25262035]
- Ziabreva I, Poeggel G, Schnabel R, Braun K, 2003 Separation-induced receptor changes in the hippocampus and amygdala of Octodon degus: influence of maternal vocalizations. Journal of Neuroscience 23, 5329–5336. [PubMed: 12832558]

#### Highlights

• There was a non-linear association between discrimination and allostatic load

- The association between discrimination and allostatic load varied by education
- A U-shaped relationship emerged among those with lower education
- High discrimination had a buffering effect among those with higher education
- Associations were found for allostatic load and in system-specific analyses

Allen et al.



#### Figure 1:

Allostatic load (AL<sub>75</sub>) by Racial Discrimination and Educational Attainment (n=206), San Francisco Bay Area

Note: Reference group is moderate. \*p<0.10, \*\*p<0.05, \*\*\*p<0.01; HS Diploma=High School Diploma

Author Manuscript

Allen et al.



#### Figure 2:

Linear Regression of Allostatic Load (AL<sub>IQR</sub>) and Racial Discrimination by Poverty Level (n=206)

Note: Reference group is moderate. FPL is Federal Poverty Line. \*p<0.10, \*\*p<0.05, \*\*\*p<0.01

-
The second secon
ō
¥
~
$\geq$
Q
2
5
0
0
Ξ.
Ë,
ript

Table 1.

Allostatic Load Biomarker Cutpoints

Biomarker	Used	Cutpoints <sup>†</sup>	% Above Cutpoint for AL $75^{\frac{1}{75}}$	Cutpoint <sup>§</sup>	% Above Cutpoint for $\mathrm{AL}_{90}^{\ddagger}$		AL <sub>IQR</sub> Cutpoints <sup>£</sup>	
<b>Cardiometabolic System</b>						Low Risk	Moderate Risk	High Risk
HDL (mg/dL)	ATPIII	<50	41.6	<40	12.6	50	40 & <50	<40
LDL (mg/dL)	ATPIII	100	52.2	130	16.4	<100	100 & < 130	130
Waist Circumference (in)	ATPIII	>35	72.3	>49	1	<35	35 & 45	>45
Glucose (mg/dL)	ATPIII	100  or  < 70	17.4	126 or <70	8.7	70  or  < 100	100 & < 126	126 or <70
HbA1c (mmol/mol)	ADA	5.7	19.3	6.5	4.4	<5.7	5.7& <6.5	6.5
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)	ATPIII	160	66.2	200	22.7	<160	160 & < 200	200
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	ATPIII	150	7.3	200	2.9	<150	$150 \ \& < 200$	200
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	ATPIII	25 or<18.5	85.5	30  or  < 18.5	63.8	<25	25 & < 30	30  or  < 18.5
Systolic BP (mm Hg) $^{F}$	AHA	120	47.3	140	15.0	<120	120 & <140	140
Diastolic BP (mm $\mathrm{Hg})^{F}$	AHA	80	47.8	06	26.6	<80	80 & <90	06
Neuroendocrine System								
Cortisol (pg/dL)	n/a	>12.7	-	>17.3	1	7.4	>7.4 & 12.7	>12.7
Epinephrine (pg/mL)	n/a	<i>T.TT</i> <		>120	1	47.4	>47.4 & 77.7	<i>T.TT</i> <
Norepinephrine (pg/mL)	n/a	>686.3	1	>849	I	404.4	>404.4 & 686.3	>686.3
Inflammatory System								
il-6 (pg/mL)	n/a	9.7<	1	>17.8	I	1	>1 & 7.9	>7.9
hsCRP (mg/L)	AHA	>3	49.3	>9.6	ł	$\overline{}$	>1 & <3	>3
Notes:								
75 <sup>th</sup> percentile cutpoints used	for biomar	rkers that don't l	have established clinical guidelines	: (II-6, epinephri	ne, norepinephrine and cortisol); Es	stablished subcl	linical cutpoints used	for rest

Psychoneuroendocrinology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 January 01.

 $\star^{t}$ Cells left empty intentionally because cutpoints are based off distributions, rendering % above cutpoint information redundant.

<sup>8</sup>90<sup>th</sup> percentile cutpoints used for biomarkers that don't have established clinical guidelines (II-6, epinephrine, norepinephrine, cortisol, waist and hs-CRP); Established clinical (high risk) cutpoints used for rest.

<sup>f</sup>IQR percentile cutpoints used for biomarkers that don't have established clinical guidelines for assessing low, moderate, and high risk (II-6, epinephrine, norepinephrine, cortisol and waist); Established clinical cutpoints used for the rest, where subclinical cutpoints=moderate risk and clinical cutpoints=high risk.

YJNC7 used in AL75 and ALiqr: JNC8 used in AL90.

## Author Manuscript

Abbreviations:

Author Manuscript

AL = Allostatic load

ATPIII = Adult Treatment Panel III

ADA = American Diabetes Association AHA = American Heart Association

JNC7 = Seventh Joint National Committee JNC8 = Eighth Joint National Committee

HDL = High-density lipoprotein. LDL = Low-density lipoprotein. BMI = Body mass index

Systolic BP = Systolic blood pressure. Diastolic BP = Diastolic blood pressure. II-6 = Interlukin 6.

hsCRP = High-sensitivity C-reactive protein.

#### Table 2.

Study Sample Characteristics (n=207), San Francisco Bay Area

Variable	Mean (SD) or n (%)
COVARIATES (n=207)	
Age, mean (SD)	42 (6)
Educational Attainment A	
> High school diploma	138 (66.7)
High school diploma	69 (33.3)
Poverty Status, n (%)	
>100% Federal poverty level (FPL)	168 (81.2)
100% Federal poverty level (FPL)	39 (18.8)
Employment Status	
Employed	114 (55.1)
Not employed	93 (44.9)
Health Insurance	
Insured	152 (73.4)
Not insured	55 (26.6)
Marital/Domestic Partnership Status	
Married/domestic partnership	61 (29.5)
Not married	146 (70.5)
Smoking Status	
Non-smoker	118 (57)
Smoker %	89 (43)
Alcohol Use	
< 3 drinks per day	169 (81.6)
3 drinks per day	38 (18.4)
Exercise	
5 times per week	74 (35.8)
< 5 times per week	133 (64.3)
Currently Taking Cardiovascular (C	V) Medication
No	164 (79.2)
Yes	43 (20.8)
Currently Taking Diabetes Medicatio	n
No	195 (94.2)
Yes	12 (5.8)
Neuroticism-fleiprSD	3.08 (0.8)
RACIAL DISCRIMINATION	
Experience of discrimination (EOD) Ca	itegories
None (EOD score: 8)	22 (10.6)
Low (EOD score: 9–16)	71 (34.3)
Moderate (EOD score: 17-24)	63 (30.4)
High(EOD score: 25–32)	29 (14.0)

Variable	Mean (SD) or n (%)
Very High (EOD score: 33-40)	22 (10.6)
ALLOSTATIC LOAD MEASURES	
AL <sub>75</sub> , mean (SD)	6.10 (2.2)
AL <sup>90</sup> , mean (SD)	2.32 (1.6)
AL <sub>IQR</sub> , mean (SD)	11.45 (3.8)
SYSTEM-SPECIFIC MEASURES	
Inflammatory System <sup>a</sup>	
Very low risk	33 (15.0)
Low risk	39 (18.8)
Moderate risk	77 (37.2)
High risk	60 (29.0)
Neuroendocrine System <sup>b</sup>	
Low risk	86 (41.6)
Moderate risk	93 (44.9)
High risk	28 (13.5)
Cardiometabolic System, mean (SD) <sup>C</sup>	6.79 (3.10)

#### Notes:

 $^{a}$ Interlukin 6 (Il-6 and High-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP)

<sup>b</sup>Cortisol, epinephrine, and norepinephrine.

 $^{c}$ Systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), triglycerides, cholesterol, BMI, waist, glucose, and HbA1c.

Author Manuscript

Risk of Allostatic Load by Racial Discrimination and Educational Attainment (n=207), San Francisco Bay Area

Variable	q	AL <sub>75</sub> <sup>†‡</sup> 95% CI	Ρ
Discrimination X > HS Diplon	na		
None	-0.18	-1.56, 1.19	0.79
Low	-1.09	-1.99, -0.18	0.02
Moderate (ref)	6.21	5.16, 7.27	<.001
High	-0.97	-2.08, 0.14	0.09
Very high	-1.88	-3.11, -0.65	<.001
Discrimination X HS Diplon	na		
None	1.44	-0.67, 3.54	0.18
Low	2.05	0.55, 3.56	0.01
Moderate (ref)	-0.41	-1.55, 0.73	0.50
High	2.16	-0.04, 4.36	0.05
Very high	2.24	-0.08, 4.57	0.06
$\mathbf{Covariates}^{\mathcal{J}}$			
HS diploma	I	I	1
Age	0.07	0.02, 0.12	0.01
Not insured	-0.10	-0.78, 0.59	0.78
100% FPL	0.58	0.16, 1.32	0.12
Taking CV medication	0.99	0.25, 1.73	0.01
Taking diabetes medication	1.59	0.36, 2.81	0.01
Notes:			
$\dot{\tau}^{\dagger}_{\star}$ One outlying observation remo	ved, n = 2	206.	
$t_{\rm Finteraction} = 2.21 \ (p<0.0696),$	where p-	<.2 is statistically sig	mificant52
$\S$ Finteraction = 0.78 (p<0.5382),	where p-	<.2 is statistically sig	mificant <sup>52</sup>
$\pounds$ Finteraction = 2.27 (p<0.0637),	where p•	<.2 is statistically sig	nificant <sup>52</sup>
$Y_{\rm Finteraction} = 1.33  (p<0.2588),$	where p	<.2 is statistically sig	gnificant52

# Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

Fempty cells due to statistically non-significant interaction between discrimination and education level for the model. Main effect of education reported as a covariate.

 $\int_{X}$  Referent groups: moderate discrimination, >HS diploma, and the dichotomized low-risk counterpart for all covariates.

Empty cells because among the HS diploma group, educational attainment is reported as an interaction term.

Abbreviations:

CV medication = cardiovascular medication

HS diploma = high school diploma

FPL = federal poverty level

#### Table 4:

System-Specific Physiologic Dysregulation by Racial Discrimination and Educational Attainment (n=207), San Francisco Bay Area

Variable		Cardiometabolic <sup>†</sup>			Neuroendocrine <sup>§</sup>			Inflammatory £¥	
Discrimination Category	b	95% CI	Р	OR	95% CI	Р	OR	95% CI	Р
Discrimination X > HS Diploma									
None	0.027921	1.88, 1.94	0.977	1.45	0.52, 4.06	0.48			
Low	-1.190299	-2.43, 0.05	0.060	1.05	0.51, 2.19	0.89	0.89	0.34, 2.29	0.81
Moderate (ref)	6.261993	4.82, 7.71	0.000				0.75	0.28, 2.04	0.58
High	-1.382565	-2.86, 0.10	0.067	1.87	0.70, 4.96	0.21	0.37	0.12, 1.08	0.07
Very high	-2.636509	-4.32, -0.96	0.002	0.32	0.10, 1.05	0.06	0.71	0.22, 2.32	0.57
Discrimination X HS Diploma <sup>¶</sup>									
None	0.4411431	-1.23, 2.11	0.603						
Low	1.419099	0.19, 2.64	0.024						
Moderate (ref)	-0.5645661	-1.49, 0.36	0.228						
High	1.973987	0.23, 3.72	0.027						
Very high	2.158017	0.25, 4.06	0.027						
Covariates <sup><i>f</i></sup>									
HS diploma				1.5	0.78, 2.88	0.22	1.11	0.55, 2.23	0.77
Age	0.1044384	0.03, 0.18	0.005	1.01	0.96, 1.06	0.78	3.01	1.54, 5.91	<.001
Not insured	0.3999438	-0.53, 1.33	0.398	0.94	0.49, 1.80	0.86	1	0.95, 1.05	0.94
100% FPL	0.3776245	-0.66, 1.42	0.475	1.46	0.69, 3.09	0.33	1.11	0.61, 2.02	0.73
Taking CV medication $\epsilon$	1.419316	0.38, 2.46	0.008				0.57	0.30, 1.10	0.09
Taking diabetes medication $\epsilon$	2.773564	1.04, 4.51	0.002				1.46	0.76, 2.79	0.25

Notes:

 $^{\dagger}$ Finteraction = 2.46 (p<0.0469)

<sup>§</sup>Finteraction = 1.08 (p<0.3626)

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Fintraction}} = 0.67 \text{ (p<0.6128)}$ 

 $Y_{\text{Referent group: no discrimination.}}$ 

<sup>#</sup>Empty cells due to statistically non-significant interaction between discrimination and education level for the model. Main effect of education reported as a covariate.

 $\int_{\text{Referent groups:}} HS$  diploma, and the dichotomized low-risk counterpart for all covariates.

Empty cells because among the HS diploma group, educational attainment is reported as an interaction term.

€ Empty cells because cardiovascular medication usage not relevant for neuroendocrine dysregulation.

€ Empty cells because diabetes medication usage not relevant for cardiovascular or neuroendocrine dysregulation.

Abbreviations:

 $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{B}\mathbf{e}\mathbf{t}\mathbf{a}$  reported for ordinary least squares regression

CV medication = cardiovascular medication

HS diploma = high school diploma

FPL = federal poverty level