

A B S T R A C T

Objective: The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) is widely used to assess psychopathology. The Russian version (PANSS-Ru) has not been validated, and normative data for the Russian-speaking population currently do not exist. The aims of this study were to 1) complete linguistic validation for the PANSS-Ru, 2) perform psychometric validation of the Russian translation, and 3) present norms for the Russian and Belarusian population. Design: Validation and norms of the PANSS-Ru occurred in three stages—Stage I: linguistic validation; Stage II: psychometric validation of the translated version for 40 inpatients with schizophrenia and other psychoses; and Stage III: norms for 533 census-matched inpatients, outpatients, and healthy control subjects. Results: The rating criteria (PANSS-Ru), interview guide (SCI-PANSS-Ru), informant questionnaire (IQ-PANSS-Ru), and scoring form (PANSS QuikScore-Ru) were linguistically and psychometrically validated. Convergent validity between the PANSS subscale scores and total score with the Clinical Global Impressions-Severity Scale (CGI-S) were moderate (r=0.41-0.60) to high (r=0.61-0.80). Cronbach's α (0.88) verified internal consistency, and intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) comparisons had a range of 0.83. Percentile normative data collected from 533 subjects are presented. **Conclusion**: This is the largest population-based study providing linguistic and psychometric validation of the PANSS-Ru. Normative data can provide clinicians with a benchmark of psychopathology and inform the efficacy of treatment interventions. **Keywords**: Schizophrenia, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS), psychometric validation, normative data, Russia-Belarus

Validation of the Russian Version of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS-Ru) and Normative Data

by EVGENIA IVANOVA, PhD; ANZALEE KHAN, PhD; LORA LIHARSKA, MS; ALEXANDER REZNIK, MD, PhD; SERGEY KUZMIN, MD; OLGA KUSHNIR, MD, PhD; ALEXEY AGARKOV, MD, PhD; NIKOLAY BOKHAN, MD, PhD; TATIANA POGORELOVA, MD, PhD; OLGA KHOMENKO, MD, PhD; KSENIA CHERNYSHEVA, MD, PhD; MARGARITA MOROZOVA, MD, PhD; GEORGE RUPCHEV, PhD; TAISIA LEPILKINA, PhD; ALEXANDER OZORNIN, MD, PhD; NINA OZORNINA, MD, PhD; NIKOLAY GOVORIN, MD, PhD; ANNA MALAKHOVA, MD, PhD; NATALIA HMARA, MD; OXANA SHYLOVA, MD; ARTSIOM HRYHORYEU, MD; NATALJYA IVANCHIKOVA, MD; IRINA RAEVSKAYA, MD; PAVEL GUSAK, MD; MARINA SKUGAREVSKAYA, MD, PhD; and LEWIS A. OPLER, MD, PhD⁺ Drs. Ivanova and Khan and Ms. Liharska are with VeraSci (Neurocog Trials) in Durham, North Carolina. Dr. Ivanova is also with Cronos CCS in Lambertville, New Jersey. Dr. Khan is also with Nathan S. Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research in Orangeburg, New York. Dr. Reznik is with Moscow Regional Clinical Psychiatric Hospital No. 5 in Moscow, Russia. Dr. Kuzmin is with the Smolensk Regional Clinical Psychiatric Hospital, Smolensk, Russia. Dr. Kushnir is with St. Nicholas Psychiatric Hospital in St. Petersburg, Russia. Drs. Agarkov, Bokhan, Pogorelova, Chernysheva, and Khomenko are with the Mental Health Research Institute of Tomsk National Research Medical Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences in Tomsk, Russia. Drs. Morozova, Lepilkina, and Rupchev are with the Mental Health Research Center of the Russian Academy of Medical Science in Moscow, Russia. Drs. Ozornin and Ozornina are with Regional Clinical Psychiatric Hospital named after V.H. Kandinsky in Chita, Russia. Dr. Govorin is with the Chita State Academy of Medicine in Chita, Russia. Dr. Malakhova is with the Sverdlovsk Regional Clinical Psychiatric Hospital in Ekaterinburg, Russia. Drs. Shylova and Hmara are with Gomel State Medical University in Gomel, Belarus. Drs. Hryhoryeu, Ivanchikova, Raevskaya, and Gusak are with the Gomel Regional Psychiatric Hospital in Gomel, Belarus. Dr. Skugarevskaya is with the Republican Research and Practical Center for Mental Health in Minsk, Belarus. Dr. Opler was with Long Island University in Brooklyn, New York († deceased).

Innov Clin Neurosci. 2018;15(9-10):32-48

The field of psychiatry is encountering major challenges in the signal-to-background ratio for the accurate detection of change in antipsychotic treatment response in clinical and research settings. Given the increasing application of psychometric instruments in clinical trials, academic research, and clinical practice, there is a need for validated instruments and normative data for each population that is being measured.

The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) is used to assess symptom severity and treatment response in schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders.¹ It has become the "gold standard" for measurement of psychopathology in clinical trials. The PANSS evaluates the presence/absence and severity of positive symptoms, negative symptoms, and general psychopathology in individuals with schizophrenia or other psychotic disorders. It can capture and summarize the heterogeneity of psychotic disorders, while being reliable, valid, and sensitive to change over time.^{2,3}

Literature on cross-cultural translation and adaptation shows that cultural and linguistic disparities can affect the interpretation of quantitative instruments, thus limiting the reliability and validity of a scale's construct when applied to a specific population.^{4,5} Even when a translated version of a scale is administered in a population's native language (e.g., Russian), there could be cultural differences in meaning, relevance, and verbal expression of concepts that might affect the validity of results obtained using the translation.⁶

FUNDING: This study was financially supported by Prophase, LLC (New York, NY) from 2011 to 2015. **DISCLOSURES:** The authors have no conflicts of interest relevant to the content of this article. **CORRESPONDENCE:** Evgenia Ivanova; Email: Evgenia.ivanova@gmail.com.

Population-specific normative data for a scale provide valuable information on the performance (results) of any one individual (e.g., in a clinic or outpatient facility), or any group of individuals (e.g., in a clinical trial).⁷ Population norms from a sample of sufficient size and diversity make it possible for individual scores to be reliably compared to demographically similar individuals from a reference sample or from the healthy population. In addition to informing the interpretation of overall scores and levels of pathology, normative data allow for performance in specific domains of psychopathology to be accurately evaluated.⁸ For example, the authors of the PANSS developed norms for the United States population (provided in the PANSS Manual), but these norms are not generalizable to the entire country because they are based on subjects who were recruited primarily from one state and were not census-matched.² Additionally, many scales assessing psychiatric symptoms, such as the Inventory of Depression and Anxiety Symptoms (IDAS-II), the Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI), and the Hopkins Symptom Checklist (HSC), employ norms to provide information on the distribution of specific symptoms and on how these symptoms are related to demographic characteristics.9-11

In Europe, mental health disorders account for the second-highest burden of disease after cardiovascular illnesses.¹² This is especially true in the Russian Federation and surrounding countries currently experiencing economic and social transitions.¹³ However, to date, limited information has been gathered on the psychiatric population of Russian and Belarus. In addition, due to multiple barriers including the political misapplication of psychiatry in the former Soviet Union, psychiatric literature has lacked equitable data regarding the scientific merit and historical judgement of the Russian-Soviet perception of schizophrenia.¹⁴ Jenkins et al¹³ reported that absence of contemporary training materials and evidence-based guidelines hinder effective care of patients with schizophrenia in this region.

The most commonly used contemporary scale for assessing symptoms of schizophrenia is the PANSS. However, there have been no efforts to fully validate the Russian version of the PANSS, or to collect normative data in Russia. As a result, providing a culturally and linguistically validated Russian translation of the PANSS in conjunction with data on available population norms would help to characterize and improve evidence-based care in Russia and Belarus.

The objectives of this three-stage study were to 1) complete linguistic validation of the PANSS-Ru and all associated guestionnaires, 2) perform psychometric validation of the Russian translation, and 3) establish normative PANSS-Ru data for the Russian and Belarusian population using scores from a representative sample. Cultural adaptation and linguistic validation of the PANSS-Ru scale, interview quide (SCI-PANSS-Ru), informant questionnaire (IQ-PANSS-Ru), and scoring form (PANSS-Ru QuikScore) for the Russian population examine whether any potential cultural disparities in the meaning of individual items or concepts are present. Normative data for the PANSS-Ru present a benchmark level of psychopathology in Russian patients with schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders as compared to healthy Russian individuals, and provide an indication of a patient's clinical status as compared to the expected or abnormal range.

METHODS

Sample. *Stage I.* Linguistic validation of the translated version of the PANSS-Ru included cognitive debriefing on the SCI-PANSS-Ru interviews of 40 Russian participants with schizophrenia or other psychotic disorders from one psychiatric hospital in the Moscow region of Russia and on the IQ-PANSS-Ru interviews of their informants. Subjects and informants participated only in the cognitive debriefing portion of the linguistic validation procedure, which is described in detail further down. Linguistic validation was conducted in 2012.

Stage II. Psychometric validation of the PANSS-Ru included the SCI-PANSS-Ru and IQ-PANSS-Ru interviews of the 40 inpatients and their informants who had participated in the cognitive debriefing step of the linguistic validation procedure. Of the 40 subjects, 37 had a diagnosis of schizophrenia, one had a diagnosis of schizotypal disorder, one had a diagnosis of brief psychotic disorder, and one had a diagnosis of bipolar disorder. The three subjects with diagnoses of other psychotic disorders were included in the sample because assessment of psychotic features with the PANSS is not specific to schizophrenia. Data collection (administration of the SCI-PANSS-Ru interview) was performed by one of two raters present in the room with each

subject, and both raters independently scored each SCI-PANSS-Ru interview. Completed IQ-PANSS-Ru forms were collected for all 40 subjects.

Stage III. Normative data collection utilized a subset of 533 individuals distributed geographically across Russia and Belarus who were representative of the 2010 Russian Federation Census and the 2009 Belarusian Census. Because of the social, historical, and cultural similarities of these populations, they were determined insufficiently different to be treated as two distinct groups.^{15,16} Accordingly, subjects from Russia and Belarus were collapsed into a single analysis group. Normative data collection in Russia and Belarus was conducted from 2013 to 2015.

Of the 533 subjects, 365 were inpatients or outpatients with schizophrenia or other psychotic disorders (inpatient n=197, outpatient n=168), and 168 were healthy controls. There was a total of 17 site-specific raters from both countries and two independent raters. The role of the two independent raters was to evaluate the quality of the PANSS interviews being conducted by the 17 site-specific raters by listening to recordings of the interviews, independently scoring the interviews, and, if necessary, providing the site raters with feedback for improving the quality of the on-site interviews.

Recruitment. The subject recruitment process was the same for the 40 patients involved in Stage I and Stage II of the study and the 365 patients involved in Stage III of the study. For Stage I and Stage II, data were collected from the Moscow Region of Russia. For Stage III (normative data collection), data were collected from 10 sites across Russia and Belarus, located in Moscow, Saint Petersburg, Smolensk, Ekaterinburg, Tomsk, Chita, Gomel, and Gomel Region. All sites participating in the study were inpatient psychiatric hospitals with outpatient facilities. Substantial variations in working policy and practice between adjacent hospitals/clinics required access to raters and patients to be individually negotiated with each hospital and clinic team.

All raters who participated in the study were also clinicians at the study sites serving as primary treatment providers for the recruited patients. These clinicians knew the study requirements and only approached those of their patients whom they knew would most likely meet the inclusion criteria for the study. As a result, all screened patients were accepted into the study. The 168 healthy control participants were enrolled by word-of-mouth through clinicians' colleagues, students, interns, friends, acquaintances, and relatives. No advertisements were used to enroll control patients.

Scales. All scales used in the study had existing Russian versions.

Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI). Psychiatric screening for Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV)¹⁷ Axis I/II disorders was conducted using the MINI (MINI 60_AU11 0_Russian-Ru),¹⁸ a short diagnostic structured interview (DSI) assessing 17 disorders according to DSM-IV/DSM-IV-TR/ICD–10 diagnostic criteria.¹⁹

Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS). Symptoms of schizophrenia were measured according to the subscale scores and total score on the PANSS-Ru,^{1,20,21} which consists of 30 items scored from 1 (Absent) to 7 (Extreme). Scores range from 30 to 210, with higher scores indicating more symptoms.

Clinical Global Impressions-Severity Scale (*CGI-S*).Global symptom severity was assessed using the CGI-S, a brief, stand-alone assessment of the clinician's view of the patient's overall functioning prior to and after initiating a study medication.²² The CGI-S consists of one item scored from 1 to 7, with higher scores indicating poorer functioning or more symptom severity.²²

Inclusion criteria. Each subject participating in one or more stages of the study underwent the same study procedures. After obtaining informed consent from the participant, the site investigator conducted the MINI diagnostic interview and asked about the use of drugs and alcohol (lifetime use and use within the past month). The subject advanced to the next stage of the study only if the MINI confirmed the presence of the subject's primary diagnosis (or its absence in the case of control participants), and the DSM subtype of the diagnosis according to inclusion criteria. Subjects who did not meet the inclusion criteria for diagnosis continued participating in the study in the control group.

Stages I, II, and III inclusion criteria for all non-control subjects were as follows: 1) primary diagnosis of schizophrenia or other psychotic disorder (schizotypal disorder, schizoaffective disorder, delusional disorder, bipolar disorder with psychotic features, or other non-mood psychotic disorder) as established by the MINI;¹⁸ 2) 18 to 65 years of age (inclusive); 3) Russian as primary language; 4) available informant to complete the informant questionnaire (IQ-PANSS-Ru).

Stage III inclusion criteria for healthy control subjects comprised no signs of any psychotic disorder, no history of psychiatric disease, and no current formal psychiatric diagnosis prior to enrollment in the study, as confirmed by the MINI.

Exclusion criteria. Stages I, II, and III exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) primary diagnosis of substance-induced psychosis, other organic psychosis, or other psychotic disorders related to medical conditions, dementia, or intellectual disability; 2) medical/psychiatric condition preventing completion of SCI-PANSS-Ru; 3) more than 65 years of age; 4) inability to speak Russian; 5) no available informant or caregiver; 6) history of traumatic brain injury (TBI).

Data quality. Raters involved in studyrelated activities completed comprehensive rater training on the study protocol and assessments (PANSS-Ru, MINI, and CGI-S) prior to the start of the study.

To ensure quality of the Stage III PANSS-Ru normative data, the study team designed and implemented comprehensive rater training procedures, and employed an active monitoring process for collection of study data. With permission from study participants, all SCI-PANSS-Ru interviews were audio- or video-recorded for assessment of site rater interview quality. All recorded interviews were rated by one independent off-site rater, and one quarter of the interviews underwent additional co-rating by a second independent off-site rater. Site rater quality was measured using the Rater Applied Performance Scale (RAPS).²³

Statistical analysis. SPSS Version 23.0,²⁴ and SAS Version 9.2 were used for statistical analysis.²⁵

Stage I linguistic validation procedure. *PANSS-Ru/SCI-PANSS-Ru/IQ-PANSS-Ru linguistic validation and cultural adaptation.* Linguistic validation²⁶ and cultural adaptation²⁷ of the Russian language translation of the PANSS were developed according to standardized, internationally adopted methods (more detailed information is provided elsewhere²⁸). These methods comprise forward translation, back translation, examination of translation quality by bilingual speakers, and pilot testing using inpatients with schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders. The five specific steps employed in the linguistic validation of the PANSS-Ru are as follows:

- The PANSS was translated from English into Russian by two professional Russian translator-clinicians who provided two independent forward translations for all PANSS-Ru files (rating criteria, SCI-PANSS, IQ-PANSS, and PANSS QuikScore). The wording of seven SCI-PANSS proverbs²⁹ was slightly modified by the translator-clinicians to better adapt the corresponding questions to Russian lifestyle and culture.
- 2. The two Russian translator-clinicians reviewed and deliberated their independent Russian translations and produced a single draft of all PANSS-Ru components.
- An independent bilingual clinical psychologist, who was not involved in the forward translations of the scale and was blinded to the original PANSS scale and questionnaires, back-translated the drafted PANSS-Ru files into English.
- The publisher of the PANSS (Multi-Health Systems Inc. [MHS]) reviewed the back-translated PANSS-Ru version and compared it to the original English version to confirm that all precise meanings had been successfully conveyed.
- 5. Cognitive debriefing of the SCI-PANSS-Ru and IQ-PANSS-Ru was performed on 40 Russian participants with schizophrenia and their informants to test their understanding and interpretation of the questionnaire. Patients and their informants were asked to provide feedback on the Russian translations of the SCI-PANSS and IQ-PANSS questions to ensure all translated questions were easily understood and correctly interpreted in Russian.

Stage II psychometric validation

procedure. To assess the reliability and validity of the translation, psychometric validation of the final version of the PANSS-Ru was performed using the results from the 40 inpatient interviews. Each of the 40 SCI PANSS-Ru interviews was conducted by one of two certified raters present in the room with each participant, while the

other rater observed the interview. Both raters independently scored the PANSS-Ru. Following the debriefing, no modifications to the SCI-PANSS-Ru were made.

Descriptive statistics. Descriptive statistics were used to determine the distribution of clinical characteristics and were reported as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or proportion (%).

Reliability. To assess the PANSS-Ru interrater reliability, the study team anticipated that raters would be in agreement at least 80 percent of the time, with a relative error of 20 percent, thus necessitating a sample size of 40 patients.³⁰

Cronbach's α coefficient was used as an index of internal consistency for each subscale score and total score, and rater concordance (i.e., comparison of scores of independent reviewers and raters) was performed for the healthy control group, inpatient schizophrenia group, and outpatient schizophrenia group. The optimal range of Cronbach's α was above 0.70. To quantify rater concordance, intraclass correlation coefficients were used.³⁰ Reliability coefficients above 0.80 were considered satisfactory.

Validity. Convergent validity was assessed through Pearson's correlations between the PANSS and CGI-S. All statistical analyses were conducted at the p=0.05 significance level.

Stage III normative data analysis procedure. Conventional descriptive analyses were used to present the site and sex distributions of the study sample and the raw score distributions of the three subscales, the total score, and the CGI-S score. Student's t test was used to test for sex differences. Analyses of variance (ANOVA) were used to examine differences among sites. Multiple linear regression analyses were used to assess the effects of sex, age, and site on PANSS scores. All *p*-values were two-sided and *p*-values less than 0.05 were deemed statistically significant. Finally, raw PANSS subscale scores and Marder factor scores³² were converted into percentiles separately for demographic variables that turned out to be significant for severity of symptoms in schizophrenia. Rater interviewing skills were evaluated using the Rater Applied Performance Scale (RAPS), and all raters received at least a score of "Good" on all dimensions before receiving approval to begin assessing patients with the PANSS.

TABLE 1. Demographic characteristics of Stage III sample												
SEX	INPA'	TIENT	OUTP	ATIENT	CONTROL							
JEA	n	%	n	%	n	%						
Male	100	51	82	49	78	46						
Female	97	49	86	51	90	54						
ACT	MEAN	SD	MEAN	SD	MEAN	SD						
AGE	37.99	12.00	39.00	11.70	37.00	12.00						
SD: standard deviatio	n											



FIGURE 1. Comparison of PANSS-Ru score distributions on Positive Symptom subscale, Negative Symptom subscale, General Psychopathology subscale, and Total Score for control, inpatient, and outpatient groups

RESULTS

Stage I linguistic validation. Following consensus review of PANSS-Ru items, it was noted that seven of the proverbs appearing in the original scale could not be directly translated into Russian. These proverbs were: "plain as the nose on your face,""carrying a chip on your shoulder," "too many cooks spoil the broth,""don't cross the bridge until you come to it,""what's good for the goose is good for the gander,""a rolling stone gathers no moss," and "people who live in glass house should not throw stones at others." Cultural adaptation of these proverbs for a Russian population consisted of substituting each English proverb with a Russian proverb with a culturally equivalent meaning.

Stage II psychometric validation. Forty individuals participated in the PANSS-Ru psychometric validation, of which 21 were male

(52.5%) and 19 were female (47.5%). The mean patient age was 39 years (SD 13.99), with an age range of 18 to 65 years. Results substantiated the psychometric qualities of the adapted instrument. A Cronbach's α coefficient of 0.88 verified the adapted instrument's internal consistency. Results from intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) comparisons verified the instrument's inter-rater reliability (range=0.83). PANSS-Ru subscale scores were normally distributed. Pearson correlation between the subscale scores and the total score ranged from 0.76 to 0.86. Internal consistencies met the minimum criteria $(\alpha > 0.745)$. Convergent validity between the PANSS subscale scores and total score with the CGI-S were moderate (r=0.41-0.60) to high (r=0.61-0.80).

Stage III development of normative data. Out of the 533 participants, 260 (49%) were male

TABLE 2. Descriptive	TABLE 2. Descriptive statistics for all Stage III groups															
	CDUID	n	MEAN	95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL FOR MEAN												
ALL GROUPS	anoor			LOWER BOUND	UPPER BOUND	MEDIAN	VARIANCE	SD	МІМ	МАХ	SKEWNESS	KURTOSIS	STD ERROR			
DANICE D. 11	Control	168	12.5	11.96	13.03	12	8.835	2.972	7	22	0.536	-0.028	0.27			
PANSS POSITIVE	Inpatient	197	21.78	20.67	22.89	21	40.204	6.341	9	36	0.261	-0.549	0.56			
Symptoms	Outpatient	168	17.56	16.53	18.59	17	34.119	5.841	8	34	0.57	-0.245	0.522			
PANSS Negative	Control	168	10.85	10.31	11.4	10	9.128	3.021	7	22	0.862	0.524	0.275			
	Inpatient	197	23.61	22.74	24.48	24	24.807	4.981	7	36	-0.214	0.176	0.44			
Symptoms	Outpatient	168	20.24	19.28	21.2	20	29.539	5.435	8	34	0.026	-0.244	0.486			
DANICE Company	Control	168	26.92	25.77	28.07	26	40.96	6.4	17	44	0.467	-0.405	0.582			
PANSS General Psychopathology	Inpatient	197	44.27	42.64	45.89	44	86.307	9.29	24	67	0.142	0.039	0.821			
rsychopathology	Outpatient	168	37.55	35.92	39.18	37	84.636	9.2	16	58	-0.005	-0.557	0.823			
	Control	168	50.26	48.49	52.04	50	97.679	9.883	34	82	0.526	0.158	0.898			
PANSS Total	Inpatient	197	89.66	86.61	92.71	89.5	304.133	17.439	51	133	0.175	-0.041	1.541			
	Outpatient	168	75.35	72.2	78.5	75	316.972	17.804	36	117	0.152	-0.616	1.592			
	Control	168	1.33	1.21	1.45	1	0.44	0.663	1	5	2.826	10.389	0.06			
CGI-S	Inpatient	197	4.4	4.22	4.58	4	1.092	1.045	1	7	0.022	0.463	0.092			
	Outpatient	168	3.6	3.43	3.77	3	0.968	0.984	1	7	0.517	0.7	0.088			
PANSS: Positive and	Negative Synd	rome Sc	ale: Clinical Gl	obal Impressi	ons-Severity	(CGI-S) SD: s	tandard devia	ition								

TABLE 3. PANSS-Ru subscale scores a	TABLE 3. PANSS-Ru subscale scores and total score percentiles for Stage III inpatient, control, and outpatient groups												
	CDOUD	PERCENTILES											
ALL GROUPS	GROUP	5	10	25	50	75	90	95					
	Control	9	9	10	12	14	17	18					
PANSS-Ru Positive Symptoms	Inpatient	12	13	17.25	21	26	31	33.55					
	Outpatient	10	11	13	17	22	25	29					
	Control	7	7	9	10	13	15	16					
PANSS-Ru Negative Symptoms	Inpatient	16	17.9	20	24	27	30	31					
	Outpatient	11	12.6	17	20	24	28	30					
	Control	18	19	22	26	31	36	39					
PANSS-Ru General Psychopathology	Inpatient	28.45	31	38.25	44	49	57	61.55					
	Outpatient	22.3	25	31	37	44.5	50	52.7					
	Control	36	37.2	42	50	56	63.6	67					
PANSS-Ru Total	Inpatient	59.35	69	78.25	89.5	100	113.1	123					
	Outpatient	47.3	52.2	62	75	89	99	105.4					
	Control	1	1	1	1	2	2	2					
CGI-S	Inpatient	3	3	4	4	5	6	6					
	Outpatient	2	3	3	3	4	5	5					
CGI-S: Clinical Global Impressions-Sev	erity; PANSS-Ru: Pos	itive and	Negative	Syndrom	e Scale-F	Russian ve	ersion						
TABLE 4. Correlations of PANSS-Ru ar	nd CGI-S												

PATIENT TYPE	CORRELATION	POSITIVE SYMPTOMS	NEGATIVE SYMPTOMS	GENERAL PSYCHOPATHOLOGY	PANSS-RU TOTAL
Control	Pearson Correlation	0.149	0.233*	0.444**	0.404**
Control	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.104	0.010	<0.001	< 0.001
Investore	Pearson Correlation	0.638**	0.487**	0.492**	0.633**
працен	Sig. (2-tailed)	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Outpatient	Pearson Correlation	0.552**	0.532**	0.568**	0.637**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	< 0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.001
*p≤0.05; **p≤0.01; CGI-S	: Clinical Global Impressions-	Severity; PANSS-R	u: Positive and Neg	ative Syndrome Scale-Russia	an version

and 273 (51%) were female. Demographic data per group are presented in Table 1.

Descriptive statistics for the three subscale scores and the total score for the inpatient, outpatient, and control groups are presented in Table 2 and Figure 1. The score breakdown for each of the groups is as follows:

- Inpatient: mean Total PANSS-Ru score: • 89.82 (SD=17.41); mean Positive Symptoms score: 21.83 (SD=6.35); mean Negative Symptoms score: 23.63 (SD=4.99); mean General Psychopathology score: 44.36 (SD=9.26).
- **Outpatient: mean Total PANSS-Ru** score: 75.35 (SD=17.88); mean Positive Symptoms score: 17.56 (SD=5.87); mean Negative Symptoms score: 20.25 (SD=5.46); mean General Psychopathology score: 37.55 (SD=9.24).
- Control: mean Total PANSS-Ru score: 50.26 (SD=9.88); mean Positive Symptoms score: 12.5 (SD=2.97); mean Negative Symptoms score: 10.85 (SD=3.02); mean General Psychopathology score: 26.92 (SD=6.40).

The values for skewness and kurtosis suggest positively skewed distributions for the Russian translated version of the CGI-S for the control group, as expected. Therefore, scores representing percentiles are given to constitute normative data

(Table 3). Significant differences were observed in age (F=3.552, p<0.001) across groups, with the outpatient group being older. For all other demographic variables, i.e., education ($\chi^2=1.690$, p=0.698), site/location ($\chi^2=2.000$, p=0.597), and sex ($\chi^2=2.012$, p=0.549), no statistical differences were found according to the results of the univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the chi-square tests. Subjects with higher PANSS-Ru scores were older. Therefore, we did not stratify the sample according to region, sex, or education. All normative data for the control, inpatient, and outpatient groups are stratified by age and presented in Appendix 1.

Significant correlations were observed between the Russian CGI-S and the PANSS-Ru Negative Symptoms subscale, General Psychopathology subscale, and Total Score, for the control, inpatient, and outpatient groups. Scores on the Russian CGI-S in the control group did not significantly correlate with the PANSS-Ru Positive Symptoms subscale, possibly due to the limited variability of scores on the Russian CGI-S. This is shown in Table 4.

DISCUSSION

Prior to this study, Russian-speaking clinicians did not have access to a fully validated translation of the PANSS and associated SCI-PANSS and IO-PANSS. Despite the widespread use of the PANSS in clinical and research settings, adequate normative data and reliability and validity data for the Russian language version have not been presented previously. Interpretation of the PANSS has been based on point and percent change over time, and normative data for the PANSS have been derived from a sample predominantly comprising hospitalized English-speaking inpatients in acute care settings in the United States.³ The current study complements the English psychometric properties of the PANSS and provides normative data derived from a sample known to be broadly representative of the general adult population in Russia and Belarus.

Stage I linguistic validation. Almost all the content in the SCI-PANSS interview is generalizable across cultures and therefore not subject to culture-specific modifications of the text. The one common and expected exception to this is the English proverbs used in some SCI-PANSS items. Seven of these proverbs were identified as not being directly translatable into Russian and thus necessitated culture-specific substitutions. For example, "people who live in glass houses should not throw stones at others" was substituted with the Russian proverb "Как аукнется, так и откликнется," which roughly back-translates to "what goes around comes around."

Stage II psychometric validation. The reliability of the PANSS-Ru, as measured by Cronbach's α , was 0.88 for the total score, with a range from 0.62 (Blunted Affect) to 0.92 (Depression). The narrowness of the range associated with the PANSS-Ru indicates that it can be regarded as providing accurate estimates of the internal consistency of the PANSS-Ru in the adult inpatient population. There is no absolute criterion for the reliability of an instrument, but, as a rule of thumb, Anastasi has suggested that Cronbach's α should be at least 0.85 if the intention is to use the instrument to draw inferences concerning an individual.³⁴ Per this criterion, all the PANSS-Ru total scores can be viewed as possessing adequate reliability. Furthermore, it is not unexpected that Blunted Affect would have the lowest reliability: Khan et al³⁵ observed moderate differential item functioning in a Russian sample when compared to scores of the item in a United States sample. Other studies examining cross-cultural differences in the PANSS also report Blunted Affect as the second most difficult item for interviewers to score for most regions including Southern Europe (Δ =0.30), Eastern Asia $(\Delta = 0.28)$, Russia and Ukraine $(\Delta = 0.22)$, and India (Δ =0.10).³⁶ Because Blunted Affect represents decreased emotional expressivity and diminished facial expression.37-40 it could be representative of cultural expectations.

Mean subscale scores and total score were equivalent to the United States general population norms within 13 percent. However, there was a difference of more than five norm-based scoring points for mean General Psychopathology scores. The PANSS-Ru scores were normally distributed, resulting in compatible percentile values reported by the original author.⁴¹

Stage III development of normative data. Normative data from a representative sample of sufficient size and diversity are important to clinicians and researchers because it makes it possible for individual scores to be reliably compared to scores of demographically similar individuals from a reference sample. Normative data also serve as an indication of how a patient's clinical status compares to the expected conditions (inpatient or outpatient, and/or status on each specific domain). The only previous normative data for the PANSS come from Kay, Opler, and Fiszbein² (N=101) and Perkins et al³ (N=240) for United States samples.

The normative tables presented in Appendix 1 were adopted to permit conversion of raw scores into percentiles for all three PANSS-Ru subscale scores and total score for controls, inpatients, and outpatients. The scores for the present inpatient sample are mean Positive Symptoms score: 21.83 (SD=6.35) and mean Negative Symptoms score: 23.63 (SD=4.99). These means are slightly lower than the norms presented by Kay, Opler, and Fiszbein:² mean Positive Symptoms score=19.86 (SD=6.27) and mean Negative Symptoms score=21.75 (SD=6.21). These minor differences could be due to the fact that the data used by Perkins et al³ were derived from a sample comprising 240 predominantly medicated inpatients.

Limitations. The normative data provided for the PANSS-Ru can be readily applied to clinical cases with inpatients and outpatients. Given that the current sample includes healthy controls, clinicians can easily determine if a patient, whether healthy or presenting symptoms of schizophrenia, falls in the expected or abnormal range. However, some limitations to this data must be considered.

First, given that the current sample was mainly collected from populated urban centers across Russia and Belarus, additional samples from rural settings are necessary for developing a more robust profile of the population. Thus, norms from this sample might not be as appropriate for minorities or individuals from other regions of Russia and Belarus. Additional data representing other parts of Russia (Caucasus region, Kaliningrad exclave, Crimea peninsula, Kamchatka peninsula, and Sakhalin island) and the central and western parts of Belarus (Grodno, Mogilev, Brest, Vitebsk, and Minsk regions) would be beneficial.

Second, although scores on the PANSS and the CGI-S are correlated, these scales are not synonymous,⁴² and data comparing the PANSS-Ru to other clinical measures (such as the Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms [SANS], the Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms [SAPS], and the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale [BPRS]) were not available to further assess construct validity.

Third, because the range of psychopathology for this study did not include individuals with severe mental illness, the study has inherent participation bias. Several survey studies suggest that elevated psychopathology contributes to premature dropout.^{43–45} Additionally, patients experiencing greater psychiatric symptom severity have been reported to be more likely to miss clinic appointments,⁴⁶ and thus are likely to make less cooperative participants in research trials. Therefore, further investigations into the behaviors of participants and nonparticipants in clinical research would help elucidate the degree to which participant selection bias is of concern for research in psychiatric settings. Participation bias also affected the control group; there is extensive evidence that poor recruitment or retention of participants in clinical research is widespread and leads to delays in the start or completion of both academic and commercially funded studies.^{47–50} In Russia, there has been limited investigation on how national-level factors (e.g., communication regarding risks and benefits of research, resources from the community, recruitment processes such as advertising, and regional perception of mental illness) operate as barriers or facilitators to research recruitment.

Fourth, although beyond the scope of the present investigation, it would be valuable to formally examine whether the PANSS-Ru is factorially invariant. In the present study, for example, it was shown that demographic variables (e.g., age) exerted significant effects on PANSS-Ru scores, while sex exerted a negligible effect. Simultaneous multi-group CFA could be employed to test whether this latent structure is invariant across age groups and sex.51,52 More importantly, this method could be used to examine whether the PANSS is factorially invariant across cultures and across healthy and clinical populations. Examination of this latter issue would provide important information for those using the PANSS in research or practice.

CONCLUSION

The current study is the largest populationbased validation of the Russian version of the PANSS and presents normative data for Russia and Belarus. It has shown that within the existing regulations and organizational structures of the Russian Federation, it is possible to establish rigorous training programs and structured clinical trials conducted by multidisciplinary teams to improve linguistic and cultural translations and assess psychometric properties of measurement tools. Although it would be beneficial to compare the results of this validation study with those from neighboring geographic regions, linguistic and cultural variations between countries necessitate the development of country-specific psychometric adaptations of psychiatric rating scales and training programs. These are not currently available.

The present analysis shows that the PANSS-Ru has robust psychometric properties in a large sample drawn from the general censusmatched adult population of healthy controls and participants with schizophrenia. The normative data presented will provide clinicians with an accurate and valid benchmark of level of psychopathology in Russian patients with schizophrenia or other psychotic disorders as compared to healthy Russian individuals. Because the norms presented are based on a sample that was broadly representative of the general adult population in terms of age, sex, and education, these norms will serve as a useful supplement for assessing the schizophrenia profile in Russia and Belarus.

The normative data presented in this paper are the only such currently available data for the PANSS-Ru. Use of these population-specific norms will improve outcome criteria by leaving less opportunity for misinterpretation, as the data will inform the efficacy of behavioral and pharmacologic interventions and the modification of treatment plans and targets. The results of the current study will provide clinicians with the empirical guidance that, until now, has been missing in psychiatry research and practice.

IN MEMORIAM

This work is dedicated to the memory of our wonderful colleague, the brilliant scientist and devoted clinician, Dr. Lewis A. Opler, who recently passed away.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Health and Development Foundation (HDF) (Moscow, Russia) for their assistance in making this study possible. The authors would also like to thank the following individuals: Dr. Mark Opler (MedAvante-ProPhase, New York, New York) for his insight and expertise; Dr. Elena Dmitrieva and Sergey Frolov (HDF, Moscow, Russia) for their support; Dr. Guillermo DiClemente and Dr. Christian Yavorsky (CROnos, CCS; Lambertsville, New Jersey) for their assistance with the data monitoring process used in the study; Dr. David V. Sheehan (University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida) for his recognition of the merit of the study, and for allowing the use of the Russian translation of the MINI in the study; Dr. Artem Aldushin (A.S. Puchkov Department of Health, Moscow, Russia) for his help with the corating of study assessments; and James Lefkowitz for developing and providing the compliance and data management system for the study.

REFERENCES

- Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA. The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull*. 1987;13:261–276.
- Kay SR, Opler LA, Fiszbein A. The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) Manual. Toronto, ON: Multi-Health Systems Inc, 2000.
- Perkins DO, Stroup TS, Lieberman JA, et al. Psychotic Disorders Measures. In: Rush AJ, Pincus HA, First MB, et al. (eds). *Handbook of Psychiatric Measures*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association Press Inc.; 2000;
- Yamada H, Kikumoto K, Masui K, et al. Reliability of the Japanese version of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS). *Jpn J Clin Psych*. 1993;22:609–614.
- Yehya A, Ghuloum S, Mahfoud Z, et al. Validity and reliability of the Arabic version of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale. *Psychopathology*. 2016;49:181–187.
- Nelson MA, Palchanes K. A literature review of the critical elements in translation theory. J Nurs Schol. 1994;26(2):113–117.
- Strauss E, Sherman EM, Spreen O. A Compendium of Neuropsychological Tests: Administration, Norms, and Commentary. New York: Oxford University Press; 2006.
- Lezak M, Howieson D, Bigler E, Tranel D. *Neuropsychological Assessment (Sth ed)*. New York: Oxford University Press; 2012.
- Nelson GH, O'Hara MW, Watson D. National norms for the expanded version of the inventory of depression and anxiety symptoms (IDAS-II). J Clin Psychol. 2018;74(6):953–968.
- Francis VM, Rajan P, Turner N. British community norms for the brief symptom inventory. *Br J Clin Psychol.* 1990;29:115–116.
- Deane F, Leathern J, Spicer J. Clinical norms, reliability and validity for the Hopkins Symptom Checklist-21. *Aust J Psychol*. 1992;44(1):21–25.
- WHO Regional Office for Europe. The European health report 2005. Public health action for healthier children and populations. http://www.euro.who.

int/___data/assets/pdf_file/0004/82435/E87325.pdf. Accessed 1 Oct 2018.

- Jenkins R, Lancashire S, McDaid D, et al. Mental health reform in the Russian Federation: an integrated approach to achieve social inclusion and recovery. *Bull World Health Org.* 2007;85(11):821–900.
- Lavretsky H. The Russian concept of schizophrenia: a review of the literature. *Schizophr Bull*. 1998:24(4):537–557.
- Russian Federation Federal State Statistics Service. General information about the all-Russia population census of 2010. http://www.perepis-2010.ru/ perepis-foreigner/.Accessed 1 Oct 2018.
- National Statistical Committee of the Republic of Belarus. General information about the all-Belarus population census of 2009. http://www.belstat. gov.by/ofitsialnaya-statistika/bazy-dannyh/bazadannyh-po-statistike-naseleniya/perepis-naseleniya-2009-goda/. Accessed 1 Oct 2019.
- American Psychiatric Association. *The Diagnostic* and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4th ed).
 Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association Press, Inc.; 2000:297.
- Sheehan D, Janavs J, Harnett Sheehan K, et al. M.I.N.I

 Russian Translation Version 6.0.0. Mapi Research Institute, 2010. https://eprovide.mapi-trust.org/ instruments/mini-international-neuropsychiatricinterview. Accessed 1 Oct 2018.
- Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, et al., The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1998;59 Suppl 20:22-33;quiz 34–57.
- Kay SR, Opler LA, Fiszbein A. *Positive and Negative* Syndrome Scale Manual. North Tonawanda, NY: Multi-Health Systems Inc; 1994.
- 21. Peralta V, Cuesta MJ. Psychometric properties of the positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res.* 1994;53:31–40.
- Guy W (ed.). ECDEU Assessment Manual for Psychopharmacology. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare; 1976.
- 23. Lipsitz J, Kobak K, Feiger A, et al. The Rater Applied Performance Scale (RAPS): development and reliability. *Psychiatric Res*. 2004; 127:147–155.
- 24. IBM Corp. *IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version* 23.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp, 2015.
- 25. SAS Institute Inc. SAS/ACCESS 9.2 Interface to ADABAS: Reference. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc, 2011.
- Acquadro C, Conway K, Giroudet C, et al. Linguistic validation manual for patient-reported outcomes (PRO) instruments. Lyon: Mapi Research Institute; 2004.

- Mear I. Difficulties of international clinical trials: cultural adaptation of quality of life questionnaires. In: Chassany O, Caulin C (eds). *Health-Related Quality* of Life and Patient-Reported Outcomes: Scientific and Useful Criteria. Paris: Springer; 2002.
- Mapi Group. Linguistic Validation. 2017. http:// mapigroup.com/services/language-services/ linguistic-validation/. Accessed 1 Oct 2018.
- Opler LA, Kay SR, Lindenmayer JP, Fiszbein A. Structured Clinical Interview for the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (SCI-PANSS). Toronto: Multi-Health Systems Inc.; 1992.
- Gwet KL. Handbook of Inter-Rater Reliability (2nd ed).
 Gaithersburg, MD: Advanced Analytics, LLC; 2010.
- 30Kramer MS, Feinstein AR. Clinical biostatistics, LIV The biostatistics of concordance. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 1983;29:111–123.
- Marder SR, Davis JM, Chouinard G. The effects of risperidone on the five dimensions of schizophrenia derived by factor analysis: combined results of the North American trials. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1997;58(12):538–546.
- Kay SR, Opler LA, Lindenmayer JP. Reliability and validity of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale for schizophrenics. *Psychiatry Res.* 1988;23:99–110.
- 34. Anastasi A. *Psychological Testing*. New York, Macmillan Publishing Company; 1990.
- Khan A, Liharska L, Harvey PD, et al. Negative symptom dimensions of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale across geographical regions: implications for social, linguistic, and cultural consistency. *Innov Clin Neurosci*. 2017;14(11–12): 30–40.
- Khan A, Yavorsky C, Liechti S, et al. A Rasch model to test the cross-cultural validity in the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) across six geocultural groups. *BMC Psychol.* 2013;1(1):5.
- Messinger JW, Trémeau F, Antonius D, et al. Avolition and expressive deficits capture negative symptom phenomenology: implications for DSM-5 and schizophrenia research. *Clin Psychol Rev.* 2011;31:161–168.
- Strauss GP, Keller WR, Buchanan RW, et al. Nextgeneration negative symptom assessment for clinical trials: validation of the Brief Negative Symptom scale. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2012;142:88–92.
- Kring AM, Gur RE, Blanchard JJ, et al. The Clinical Assessment Interview for Negative Symptoms (CAINS): final development and validation. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2013;170:165–172.
- 40. Galderisi S, Bucci P, Mucci A, et al. Categorical and dimensional approaches to negative symptoms of schizophrenia: focus on long-term stability and functional outcome. *Schizophr Res.* 2013;147:

157—162.

- Dikmen SS, Heaton RK, Grant I, et al. Test-retest reliability and practice effects of Expanded Halstead-Reitan Neuropsychological Test Battery. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc.* 1999;5:346–356.
- Rabinowitz J, Mehnert A, Eerdekens M. To what extent do the PANSS and CGI-S overlap? *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2006;26(3):303–307.
- Clark MM, Niaura R, King TK, Pera V. Depression, smoking, activity level, and health status: pretreatment predictors of attrition in obesity treatment. *Addictive Behaviors*. 1996;21:509–513.
- Eaton WW, Anthony JC, Tepper S, Dryman A. Psychopathology and attrition in the epidemiologic catchment area surveys. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1992;135:1051–1059.
- Farmer ME, Locke BZ, Liu IY, Moscicki EK. Depressive symptoms and attrition: the NHANES I epidemiologic follow-up study. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res*. 1994;4:19–27.
- Killaspy H, Banerjee S, King M, Lloyd M. Prospective, controlled study of psychiatric out-patient non-attendance: characteristics and outcome. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2000;176:160–165.
- Watson JM, Torgerson DJ. Increasing recruitment to randomised trials: a review of randomised controlled trials. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2006;6:34. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-6-34.
- Oude Rengerink K, Opmeer BC, Logtenberg SL, et al. Improving participation of patients in clinical trials—rationale and design of IMPACT. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2010;10(1):85.
- Fletcher B, Gheorghe A, Moore D, et al. Improving the recruitment activity of clinicians in randomised controlled trials: a systematic review. *BMJ Open*. 2012;2(1):e000496.
- Jenkins VA, Farewell D, Farewell V, et al. Teams Talking Trials: results of an RCT to improve the communication of cancer teams about treatment trials. *Contemp Clin Trials*. 2013;35(1):43–51.
- 51. Byrne BM. A primer of LISREL: Basic applications and programming for confirmatory factor analytic models. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- Byrne BM. Structural equation modeling with EQS and EQS/Windows: Basic concepts, applications, and programming. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 1994.
 ICNS

Appendices can be found on pages 40–48

APPENDIX 1A. Contro	l group descriptiv	e statisti	cs for PANSS-Ri	ı by age										
				95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL FOR MEAN										
ALL GROUPS	AGE GROUP	n	MEAN	LOWER BOUND	UPPER BOUND	MEDIAN	VARIANCE	SD	MIN	МАХ	SKEWNESS	KURTOSIS	STD. ERROR	
	\leq 25 years	40	11.62	10.62	12.62	12	4.848	2.202	7	15	-0.332	-0.504	0.48	
DANCE Du Ducition	26–35 years	43	12.4	11.45	13.34	12	9.435	3.072	7	18	0.279	-1.058	0.468	
Symptoms	36–45 years	37	12.52	11.45	13.58	12	7.259	2.694	9	18	0.328	-0.896	0.519	
Symptoms	46–55 years	28	13.33	11.61	15.06	13	12	3.464	9	22	0.945	1.095	0.816	
	\geq 56 years	20	13.08	10.81	15.36	12.5	12.811	3.579	8	20	0.604	-0.229	1.033	
	\leq 25 years	40	9.86	8.9	10.82	10	4.429	2.104	7	16	1.026	2.349	0.459	
DANCE Du Noveting	26–35 years	43	10.7	9.83	11.57	10	7.978	2.825	7	17	0.649	-0.549	0.431	
Symptoms	36–45 years	37	10.7	9.39	12.01	9	10.986	3.314	7	19	0.938	0.025	0.638	
Symptoms	46–55 years	28	11.94	10.06	13.83	12	14.408	3.796	7	22	0.927	1.394	0.895	
	≥56 years	20	11.83	10.06	13.61	12.5	7.788	2.791	7	AVAL FORMEANIINMAX715718918922820711671772271513872271518381742173619441741367734663782367512346135121212820922820922820922818922820925922717719716413413413413717719719719717719719719719719719719719719721	-0.337	-1.469	0.806	
	≤25 years	40	26.81	23.95	29.67	26	39.362	6.274	18	38	0.253	-1.144	1.369	
DANICE Du Comand	26–35 years	43	26.23	24.39	28.07	26	35.802	5.983	17	42	0.639	0.052	0.912	
PANSS-Ru General Psychonathology	36–45 years	37	26.04	23.93	28.14	26	28.268	5.317	17	36	-0.06	-0.684	1.023	
i sychoputhology	46–55 years	28	28.5	24.46	32.54	27.5	66.029	8.126	19	44	0.529	-0.957	1.915	
	≥56 years	20	29.17	24.4	33.94	29.5	56.333	7.506	17	41	-0.163	-0.494	2.167	
	\leq 25 years	40	48.29	44.61	51.96	49	65.314	8.082	34	61	-0.306	-0.981	1.764	
	26–35 years	43	49.33	46.35	52.3	49	93.177	9.653	36	77	0.71	0.279	1.472	
PANSS-Ru Total	36–45 years	37	49.26	46.02	52.5	51	67.046	8.188	34	66	-0.159	-0.419	1.576	
	46–55 years	28	53.78	47.4	60.15	52.5	164.418	12.823	37	82	0.516	-0.492	3.022	
	≥56 years	20	54.08	46.87	61.3	55.5	128.992	11.357	36	75	-0.002	-0.16	3.279	
CGI-S	\leq 25 years	40	1.33	1.11	1.55	1	0.233	0.483	1	2	0.763	-1.579	0.105	
	26–35 years	43	1.37	1.12	1.62	1	0.668	0.817	1	5	3.042	10.506	0.125	
	36–45 years	37	1.22	0.97	1.48	1	0.41	0.641	1	4	3.571	14.095	0.123	
	46–55 years	28	1.44	1.14	1.75	1	0.379	0.616	1	3	1.085	0.387	0.145	
	≥56 years	20	1.25	0.96	1.54	1	0.205	0.452	1	2	1.327	-0.326	0.131	
	≤25 years	40	13.147	12.318	13.976	13	5.644	2.37579	8	18	0.146	0.097	N/A	
DANCS_Du Mardor	26–35 years	43	12.833	12.106	13.559	12	7.085	2.66175	9	22	1.264	2.686	N/A	
Positive	36–45 years	37	13.2	12.284	14.115	13	7.106	2.66569	8	20	0.583	0.384	N/A	
	46–55 years	28	14.416	12.76	16.072	14	15.384	3.92225	9	25	1.305	2.198	N/A	
	\geq 56 years	20	13.714	12.123	15.305	13	12.214	3.49489	9	22	0.955	0.184	N/A	
	\leq 25 years	40	8.8529	7.9849	9.721	8.5	6.190	2.48794	7	17	1.834	3.719	N/A	
PANSS-Ru Marder	26–35 years	43	9.9630	9.1983	10.727	9	7.848	2.80137	7	20	1.152	1.707	N/A	
Negative	36–45 years	37	9.3143	8.3987	10.229	9	7.104	2.66537	7	17	1.025	0.381	N/A	
	46–55 years	28	10.208	8.8616	11.555	10	10.172	3.18937	7	19	0.942	0.839	N/A	
	≥56 years	20	9.6667	8.3605	10.972	8	8.233	2.86938	7	16	0.904	-0.380	N/A	
	≤25 years	40	8.0000	7.1584	8.8416	8.5	5.818	2.41209	4	13	-0.289	-0.549	N/A	
PANSS-Ru Marder	26–35 years	43	7.4259	6.7774	8.0744	7.5	5.645	2.37600	4	13	0.284	-0.410	N/A	
Anxiety	36–45 years	37	7.4286	6.5616	8.2955	7	6.370	2.52384	4	15	0.904	1.346	N/A	
	46–55 years	28	8.5000	7.1589	9.8411	9	10.087	3.17600	4	14	0.120	-1.376	N/A	
	\geq 56 years	20	7.5714	6.4511	8.6917	7	6.057	2.46113	4	13	0.572	0.077	N/A	
	≤25 years	40	10.000	9.0320	10.968	10	7.697	2.77434	7	18	0.796	0.470	N/A	
PANSS-Ru Marder	26–35 years	43	10.388	9.6216	11.156	10	7.903	2.81114	7	17	0.516	-0.685	N/A	
Disorganized	36–45 years	37	10.571	9.6132	11.529	11	7.782	2.78954	7	17	0.385	-0.527	N/A	
	46–55 years	28	12.375	10.881	13.868	13	12.505	3.53630	1	19	0.170	-0.795	N/A	
	≥56 years	20	11.904	10.245	13.564	12	13.290	3.64561	7	21	0.796	0.507	N/A	
	≤25 years	40	10.000	9.0320	10.968	10	7.697	2.77434	7	18	0.796	0.470	N/A	
PANSS-Ru Marder	26–35 years	43	10.388	9.6216	11.156	10	7.903	2.81114	7	17	0.516	-0.685	N/A	
Hostility	36–45 years	37	10.571	9.6132	11.529	11	7.782	2.78954	7	17	0.385	-0.527	N/A	
	46–55 years	28	12.375	10.881	13.868	13	12.505	3.53630	7	19	0.170	-0.795	N/A	
	≥56 years	20	11.904	10.245	13.564	12	13.290	3.64561	/	21	0./96	0.50/	N/A	

APPENDIX 1B. Control group average weighted scores with percentiles for the PANSS-Ru by age PERCENTILES **ALL GROUPS** AGE GROUP 10 50 90 \leq 25 years 7.1 8.2 10 12 13 14.8 26-35 years 8.2 9 10 12 15 17 9 10 12 15 16.2 PANSS-Ru Positive Symptoms 36-45 years 9 9 9 15 46-55 years 10.75 13 19.3 8 8.3 12.5 15.75 19.4 11 \geq 56 years 8.5 10 11 \leq 25 years 7 7 12 7 7 26-35 years 9 10 13 15 PANSS-Ru Negative Symptoms 36-45 years 7 7 8 9 13 15.4 46-55 years 7 7 9 12 14.25 16.6 7 7.6 9.25 12.5 14 15 \geq 56 years \leq 25 years 18 18.2 21.5 26 33.5 35.8 26-35 years 18 35 19 20 26 29 34 PANSS-Ru General Psychopathology 36-45 years 17 17.8 22 26 30 19 34.5 42.2 46-55 years 19.9 20.75 27.5 17 17.3 23.25 29.5 34.75 40.4 \geq 56 years \leq 25 years 34 35 41 49 56 57.8 37 49 54 26-35 years 36.2 41 63.8 PANSS-Ru Total 36-45 years 34.4 35.8 44 51 55 61 46-55 years 37 38.8 42.5 52.5 64.75 70.3 \geq 56 years 36 36.9 43.25 55.5 59 72.6 \leq 25 years 1 1 1 1 2 2 26-35 years 1 1 1 2 2 1 CGI-S 1 1 1 2 36-45 years 1 1 2 2.1 46-55 years 1 1 1 1.75 1 1 2 \geq 56 years 1 1 \leq 25 years 8.75 10 12 13 14 17 9 11 12 26-35 years 10 14 16 PANSS-Ru Marder Positive 8.8 13 15 36-45 years 10 11 17 10 11.5 14 46-55 years 9.25 15.75 21 9.1 10 11 13 15.5 19 \geq 56 years ≤25 years 7 7 7 8.5 10 11.5 26-35 years 7 8 9 12 13.5 7 PANSS-Ru Marder Negative 7 7 7 9 11 13 36-45 years 7 7 12 14.5 46-55 years 7 10 7 7 7 8 12 14.6 \geq 56 years ≤25 years 4 4 6 8.5 10 10.5 4 26-35 years 4 6 7.5 9 10 PANSS-Ru Marder Anxiety 36-45 years 4 4 6 7 9 10.4 46-55 years 4 4.5 5.25 9 11 13 4 4 7 9 11.8 \geq 56 years 6 7 7 \leq 25 years 7 10 12 13.5

26-35 years

36-45 years

46-55 years

 \ge 56 years ≤25 years

26-35 years

36-45 years

46–55 years

 \geq 56 years

CGI-S: Clinical Global Impressions-Severity; PANSS-Ru: Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale-Russian version

PANSS-Ru Marder Disorganized

PANSS-Ru Marder Hostility

7

7

7

4

4

4

4

4

7

7

7.5

7.2

4

4

4

4.5

4

8

9

9

9

4

4

5

5

4

10

11

13

12

6.5

6.5

7

8.5

6.5

13

12

15

14.5

8

10

9

11.75

8

14.5

14.4

17.5

17.6

11

12

10.4

11

95

15

17.8

17.6

N/A

N/A

15.6

16.6

18.2

N/A

N/A

37.8

38.6

35.2

N/A

N/A

60.7

66.8

64

N/A

N/A

2

3.6

3.2

N/A

N/A

18

18.75

19.2

24.75

21.7

16.25

15.25

14.6

18

15.9

12.25

12.25

13.4

13.75

12.9

15.75

16

16.2

19

20.7

12.25

12

12

13

12.25



APPENDIX 1C. Comparison of PANSS-Ru score distributions on Positive Symptoms subscale, Negative Symptoms subscale, General Psychopathology subscale, and Total Score for control group by age

APPENDIX 1D. Inpatie	APPENDIX 1D. Inpatient group descriptive statistics for PANSS-Ru by age												
							95% C	ONFIDENCE	INTERVAL FO	R MEAN			
ALL GROUPS	AGE GROUP	n	MEAN	LOWER BOUND	UPPER BOUND	MEDIAN	VARIANCE	SD	MIN	МАХ	SKEWNESS	KURTOSIS	STD ERROR
	\leq 25 years	43	21.46	18.88	24.04	21.5	40.738	6.383	9	34	0.06	-0.694	1.252
	26–35 years	43	20.61	18.49	22.72	20	35.559	5.963	11	36	0.393	-0.263	1.038
PANSS-Ru Positive	36–45 years	42	21.84	19.26	24.42	20	49.34	7.024	11	36	0.529	-0.446	1.262
Symptoms	46–55 years	41	22.63	20.21	25.05	22	42.033	6.483	10	35	0.102	-0.577	1.184
	≥56 years	26	24.25	20.63	27.87	24	18.786	4.334	19	31	0.279	-1.438	1.532
	≤25 years	43	22.35	20.23	24.46	21.5	27.515	5.246	13	35	0.572	-0.012	1.029
	26–35 years	43	23	21.35	24.65	24	21.688	4.657	7	29	-1.207	2.85	0.811
PANSS-Ru Negative	36–45 years	42	23.23	21.6	24.85	23	19.714	4.44	14	31	-0.072	-0.977	0.797
symptoms	46–55 years	41	24.6	22.66	26.54	25.5	27.007	5.197	12	33	-0.573	-0.148	0.949
	≥56 years	26	28	24.1	31.9	26.5	21.714	4.66	23	36	0.757	-0.697	1.648
	≤25 years	43	43.88	39.87	47.9	47	98.826	9.941	24	66	-0.306	0.135	1.95
	26–35 years	43	42.88	39.84	45.92	43	73.61	8.58	28	61	0.48	-0.347	1.494
PANSS-Ru General	36–45 years	42	43.71	40.04	47.38	44	100.28	10.014	26	67	0.29	0.239	1.799
Psychopathology	46–55 years	41	45.53	42.15	48.92	44.5	82.051	9.058	24	65	0.199	0.79	1.654
	≥56 years	26	48.62	41.78	55.47	47	67.125	8.193	37	62	0.6	-0.123	2.897
	≤25 years	43	87.69	81.21	94.17	90	257.582	16.049	56	123	-0.077	-0.085	3.148
	26–35 vears	43	86.48	80.59	92.38	84	276.82	16.638	51	126	0.359	-0.024	2.896
PANSS-Ru Total	36–45 vears	42	88.77	81.89	95.66	89	352,381	18,772	52	132	0.244	0.076	3.372
	46–55 years	41	92.77	85.95	99.58	91.5	332.875	18.245	51	133	0.184	0.484	3.331
	\geq 56 years	26	100.88	89.27	112.48	99.5	192.696	13.882	80	120	0.141	-0.704	4.908
CGI-S	<25 years	43	4.54	4.25	4.82	4.5	0.498	0.706	3	6	0.223	-0.073	0.138
	26–35 years	43	4.15	3.8	4.51	4	1.008	1.004	1	6	-0.321	2.433	0.175
	36-45 years	42	4 29	3.8	4 78	4	1 813	1 346	2	7	0.305	-0 586	0.242
	46–55 years	41	4 53	4 16	4 91	5	1.015	1.008	3	7	0.227	-0.057	0.184
	>56 years	26	4 88	4 18	5 57	5	0.696	0.835	4	6	0.277	-1 392	0.295
	<25 years	43	24 897	22 7155	27 0793	24	45 305	6 73090	13	40	0.325	-0 524	N/A
	26–35 years	43	23.887	22.0018	25 7629	24	44 706	6 68625	10	47	0.156	-0 108	N/A
PANSS-Ru Marder	36-45 years	42	25.065	22.0010	27 4189	25	62.818	7 92577	11	43	0.443	-0.369	N/A
Positive	46-55 years	41	25.005	22.7110	27.9678	25 5	45 852	6 77141	12	38	-0.050	-0 794	N/A
	>56 years	26	26.941	23 1960	30 6863	26	53 059	7 28415	10	37	-0.703	0.265	N/A
	< 25 years	43	18 615	16 5630	20.6677	20	40.085	6 33127	8	37	0.042	0.203	N/A
	26_35 years	43	20.098	18 5379	21.6582	20	30 770	5 54709	7	31	-0.352	-0.253	N/A
PANSS-Ru Marder	36-45 years	47	19 891	18 2252	21.5502	20	31 477	5.61042	7	37	-0.214	0.071	N/A
Negative	46–55 years	41	21 34	19 2686	23 4133	22 5	46 462	6 81634	7	36	-0.248	-0 407	N/A
	>56 years	26	22.00	18,5895	25.4105	22.0	44.000	6.63325	11	34	-0.194	-0.340	N/A
	<25 years	43	10.23	8 9853	11 4762	10	14 761	3 84202	4	19	0.293	-0 511	N/A
	26–35 years	43	9 8039	8 7682	10.8396	9	13 561	3 68250	4	17	0.247	-0.848	N/A
PANSS-Ru Marder	36-45 years	42	11 195	10 2006	12 1907	11.5	11 228	3 35075	4	21	0.141	0.468	N/A
Anxiety	46-55 years	41	10.25	9 2950	11 2050	10	9.866	3 14106	4	17	0.749	-0.459	N/A
	>56 years	26	9 1176	7 3284	10 9069	8	12 110	3 47998	4	16	0.635	-0 721	N/A
	< 25 years	43	19 461	17 9726	20.9505	20	21.097	4 59317	11	27	-0 193	-1 022	Ν/Δ
	26_35 years	43	19.058	17.6679	20.5505	19	24.456	4.94535	10	27	0.155	-0.348	N/A
PANSS-Ru Marder	36-45 years	42	19.847	18 3985	20.1107	19	23.350	4 88065	9	37	0.230	-0.102	N/A
Disorganized	46_55 years	A1	21 022	19 5706	27.2772	21	23.021	4.77634	11	32	0.665	0.102	N/A
	>56 years	26	21.022	10.07/0	22.4747	21	22.015	4.95123	12	35	-0.281	0.004	N/A
	< 25 years	43	9 1787	8 0073	10 2/01	25	11 057	3 45726	12	17	0.566	-0.574	N/A
	26_35 years	12	7 0608	6 8065	9 0250	7	1/ 212	3 78207	4	12	0.00	-0.006	N/A
PANSS-Ru Marder	36_45 years	رہ (1	0 / 792	8 11/2	10.8422	2 2	21 100	1 50242	4	10	0.914	0.281	N/A
Hostility	A6 55 years	42	0 7 7 7 2	8 1651	10.0425	0	17 226	4.59542	4	22	0.000	0.201	N/A
	>56 years	41	9.7275	6 6205	10.202	0	13 640	3 60220	4	15	0.075	0.074	N/A
(GI-S: Clinical Global Im	≥ Jo years	tv: PANSS	5: Positive and I	Vegative Syndr	ome Scale: SD:	o standard dev	viation: N/A: no	t applicable	4	13	0.055	-0.001	N/A

APPENDIX 1E. Inpatient group average weighted scores with percentiles for the PANSS-Ru by age												
	AGEGROUP				PERCENTILES							
		5	10	25	50	75	90	95				
	≤25 years	10.05	13.4	16	21.5	27	31	32.95				
	26–35 years	12.4	13	14.5	20	25.5	28	31.8				
PANSS-Ru Positive Symptoms	36–45 years	11.6	12.2	18	20	26	33.8	36				
	46–55 years	11.1	13.2	18.75	22	28.25	32.9	33.9				
	≥56 years	19	19	20.25	24	27.75	N/A	N/A				
	≤25 years	14.05	16	18.75	21.5	25.5	30	33.25				
	26–35 years	14	18	19.5	24	26	29	29				
PANSS-Ru Negative Symptoms	36–45 years	15.8	17.2	19	23	28	28.8	30.4				
	46–55 years	13.65	17.1	20.75	25.5	28.25	31	32.45				
	≥56 years	23	23	24.25	26.5	32.25	N/A	N/A				
	≤25 years	24.7	28.1	38.5	47	49.25	54.2	62.85				
	26–35 years	30.1	31.4	37	43	46	57	59.6				
PANSS-Ru General Psychopathology	36–45 years	26.6	29.2	38	44	49	58	65.8				
	46–55 years	27.3	35.2	40.75	44.5	51	60.3	65				
	≥56 years	37	37	44	47	56.5	N/A	N/A				
	≤25 years	56.7	65.7	76.75	90	98.5	109.3	118.45				
	26–35 years	59.4	66.8	74.5	84	96	111.4	117.6				
PANSS-Ru Total	36–45 years	55	62.4	79	89	95	116.6	126.6				
	46–55 years	56.5	72.4	81	91.5	103	125.1	129.15				
	≥56 years	80	80	90.25	99.5	115.75	N/A	N/A				
	≤25 years	3.35	4	4	4.5	5	5.3	6				
	26–35 years	2.4	3	4	4	4.5	6	6				
CGI-S	36–45 years	2	3	3	4	5	6	7				
	46–55 years	3	3	4	5	5	6	6.45				
	≥56 years	4	4	4	5	5.75	N/A	N/A				
	≤25 years	14	16	20	24	30	35	37				
	26–35 years	13.2	15	19	24	29	32	34				
PANSS-Ru Marder Positive	36–45 years	12.35	15.7	19	25	30	38	40.95				
	46–55 years	13.75	16.5	21	25.5	32.75	35	37.5				
	≥56 years	10	14.8	23	26	32.5	36.2	N/A				
	≤25 years	8	9	14	20	24	28	30				
	26–35 years	9.2	12.2	16	21	24	26.8	29.4				
PANSS-Ru Marder Negative	36–45 years	8.05	12.4	17	20	24	27.3	29.3				
	46–55 years	8.25	11	16.25	22.5	26.75	28	32.75				
	≥56 years	11	11	18.5	22	26	31.6	N/A				
	≤25 years	4	6	7	10	13	16	17				
	26–35 years	4	4.4	7	9	12	15	16.4				
PANSS-Ru Marder Anxiety	36–45 years	6	6	9	11.5	14	15	15.65				
	46–55 years	5.25	6	8	10	12	15	16				
	≥56 years	4	5.6	6.5	8	12.5	14.4	N/A				
	≤25 years	11	13	15	20	24	26	26				
	26–35 years	10.6	12.2	16	19	23	26	27.8				
PANSS-Ru Marder Disorganized	36–45 years	11.7	13.7	17	19	24	26.3	28.65				
	46–55 years	14.25	15	18	21	23.75	27.5	32.75				
	≥56 years	12	14.4	19.5	23	27	28	N/A				
	≤25 years	4	5	6	8	12	15	16				
	26–35 years	4	4	5	7	11	13	16.4				
PANSS-Ru Marder Hostility	36–45 years	4	4	6	8	13	16.3	19.6				
	46–55 years	4.25	5	7	9	12	17	18.75				
	≥56 years	4	4	5.5	8	11.5	15	N/A				



APPENDIX 1F. Comparison of PANSS-Ru score distributions on Positive Symptom subscale, Negative Symptom subscale, General Psychopathology subscale, and Total Score for inpatient group by age

APPENDIX 1G. Outpat	tient group descri	ptive sta	tistics for PANS	S-Ru by age									
							95% C	ONFIDENCE	INTERVAL FO	OR MEAN			
ALL GROUPS	AGE GROUP	n	MEAN	LOWER BOUND	UPPER BOUND	MEDIAN	VARIANCE	SD	MIN	МАХ	SKEWNESS	KURTOSIS	STD ERROR
	\leq 25 years	33	18	15.66	20.34	19	29.182	5.402	11	33	0.754	1.085	1.126
	26–35 years	32	17.55	14.72	20.38	17	40.736	6.382	9	29	0.18	-1.362	1.361
PANSS-Ru Positive	36–45 years	42	15.42	13.94	16.91	15	20.413	4.518	9	30	1.03	1.632	0.733
Symptoms	46–55 years	48	19.33	17.07	21.6	20	40.917	6.397	8	34	0.182	-0.619	1.114
	≥56 years	15	19	13.79	24.21	19	46	6.782	11	32	0.745	0.42	2.261
	≤25 years	33	20.39	17.9	22.88	21	33.067	5.75	9	31	-0.032	-0.087	1.199
	26–35 years	32	19.86	17.66	22.07	20	24.695	4.969	9	28	-0.461	-0.317	1.059
PANSS-Ru Negative	36–45 years	42	18.84	17.15	20.53	19	26.515	5.149	8	29	0.137	-0.038	0.835
symptoms	46–55 years	48	21.55	19.4	23.69	22	36.443	6.037	10	34	0.005	-0.491	1.051
	≥56 years	15	21.89	19.03	24.75	21	13.861	3.723	17	29	0.822	0.186	1.241
	≤25 years	33	38.7	35.31	42.08	38	61.403	7.836	23	53	0.147	-0.543	1.634
	26–35 years	32	37	32.63	41.37	35.5	97.143	9.856	18	56	0.032	-0.688	2.101
PANSS-Ru General	36–45 years	42	34.71	31.79	37.63	34	78.698	8.871	20	58	0.502	0.162	1.439
Psychopathology	46–55 years	48	40.12	36.9	43.34	43	82.547	9.086	21	57	-0.288	-0.486	1.582
	≥56 years	15	38.56	30.05	47.06	38	122.528	11.069	16	52	-0.915	1.083	3.69
	≤25 years	33	77.09	70.98	83.2	72	199.538	14.126	51	106	0.465	-0.173	2.945
	26–35 years	32	74.41	65.94	82.88	79	364.634	19.095	36	111	-0.104	-0.702	4.071
PANSS-Ru Total	36–45 vears	42	68.97	63.57	74.38	68	270.567	16.449	44	111	0.604	0.063	2.668
	46–55 vears	48	81	74.32	87.68	84	355.312	18.85	42	117	-0.196	-0.573	3.281
	≥56 years	15	79.44	64.46	94.42	76	379.778	19.488	47	109	0.018	-0.366	6.496
	≤25 years	33	3.61	3.2	4.02	3	0.885	0.941	2	5	0.194	-0.893	0.196
	26–35 years	32	3.73	3.29	4.16	4	0.97	0.985	2	6	0.28	0.184	0.21
CGI-S	36–45 years	42	3.34	3.06	3.62	3	0.718	0.847	2	6	0.949	1.688	0.137
	46–55 years	48	3.7	3.35	4.05	4	0.968	0.984	1	5	-0.591	0.406	0.171
	\geq 56 years	15	4	2.85	5.15	3	2.25	1.5	3	7	1.429	0.825	0.5
	<25 years	33	21.964	20.168	23,7599	21	21.443	4.63067	14	36	0.846	1.782	N/A
	26-35 years	32	20.864	18,365	23,3638	19	56.176	7.49504	12	38	0.613	- 487	N/A
PANSS-Ru Marder	36–45 years	47	19.6	17 951	21 2482	19	33 633	5 79937	12	35	0 780	016	N/A
Positive	46–55 years	48	22.878	20.495	25,2602	24	56,960	7.54717	10	37	-0.157	-1.060	N/A
	>56 years	15	22.070	17 236	27 7639	21	68 636	8 28471	10	40	0.501	524	N/A
	<25 years	33	18,178	15,929	20.4274	17	33.634	5,79945	7	31	0.511	-0.088	N/A
	26-35 years	32	17.00	15 011	18 9881	17	35 556	5 96285	7	30	0 136	-0.362	N/A
PANSS-Ru Marder	36–45 years	42	18.22	16.645	19,7948	18	30,706	5.54127	7	29	-0.086	0415	N/A
Negative	46–55 years	48	18,585	16.823	20.3470	19	31,149	5.58111	9	31	0.061	0478	N/A
	\geq 56 years	15	18.25	14.93	21.5695	19	27.295	5.22451	9	27	-0.459	0.745	N/A
	<25 years	33	9.3571	8.0986	10.6157	9	10.534	3.24567	4	16	0.313	-0.673	N/A
	26–35 years	32	8.8108	7.6779	9.9438	9	11.547	3.39802	4	18	0.468	-0.069	N/A
PANSS-Ru Marder	36–45 years	42	8,7000	7.6178	9,7822	8	14,500	3.80789	4	18	0.712	-0.480	N/A
Anxiety	46–55 years	48	10.024	8.9693	11.0795	10	11.174	3.34281	4	17	0.083	-0.762	N/A
	>56 years	15	9,1667	7,1974	11,1359	9	9.606	3.09936	4	16	0.583	1.285	N/A
	<25 years	33	17.321	15.609	19,0331	16	19.485	4,41423	9	26	0.228	-0.578	N/A
	26-35 years	32	16.891	14.981	18.8020	17	32.821	5,72899	7	33	0.510	0.529	N/A
PANSS-Ru Marder	36-45 years	47	16.20	14 752	17 6480	16	25 959	5.09502	8	29	0 724	0.033	N/A
Disorganized	46–55 years	48	18 195	16 274	20 1154	19	37 011	6.08366	7	29	0.113	-1 161	N/A
	>56 years	15	18,083	14,295	21,8710	18.5	35,538	5.96137	8	29	0.074	-0.214	N/A
	<25 years	33	9,2143	7,7279	10,7006	9	14,693	3,83316	4	18	0.546	-0.291	N/A
	26-35 years	32	7 9189	6 6904	9 1474	7	13 577	3 68464	4	16	0.772	-0.467	N/A
PANSS-Ru Marder	36-45 years	42	7 5000	6 6 7 1 7	8 3788	7	9 561	3 09212	4	15	0.578	-0.688	N/A
Hostility	46-55 years	48	8 5122	7.4770	9 5474	8	10 756	3 27965	4	16	0.610	-0.279	N/A
	>56 years	15	8 0822	5 8582	10 3085	7.5	12 265	3 50216	4	14	0.010	-01 404	N/A
CGL-S: Clinical Global Im	-Jo years		CS_Pu: Positivo	and Nogative S	Syndromo Scale	-Pussian vor	sion: SD: stand:	ard deviation	N/A: not annli	cable	0.231	01.104	11/74

APPENDIX 1H. Outpatient group average weighted scores with percentiles for the PANSS-Ru by age PERCENTILES **ALL GROUPS** AGE GROUP 10 90 50 95 \leq 25 years 11 11 13 19 22 24 31.2 26-35 years 9 9.3 11.75 17 24 26.4 28.7 9 15 17.25 22 24.3 PANSS-Ru Positive Symptoms 36-45 years 10 12 20 30.5 46-55 years 9.4 11 13.5 24 28.2 11 13 19 23 N/A N/A \geq 56 years 11 9.4 11.8 21 23 30 30.8 \leq 25 years 17 12.3 26-35 years 9.45 17.25 20 24 26 27.7 10.85 21 PANSS-Ru Negative Symptoms 36-45 years 11 16 19 28 29 22 25.5 30.6 46-55 years 10 14 17 31.9 17 17 19.5 21 25 N/A N/A \geq 56 years \leq 25 years 24.2 29.4 33 38 44 50.6 52.6 26-35 years 18.9 24.6 28.75 35.5 46.25 50.1 55.25 41.25 45.2 PANSS-Ru General Psychopathology 36-45 years 20 23.8 27 34 54.2 43 46 51.2 56.3 46-55 years 23.1 25.6 32.5 16 32.5 38 48.5 N/A N/A \geq 56 years 16 \leq 25 years 52.6 60.2 68 72 86 102 105.6 59 79 108.9 26-35 years 37.8 49.8 89.75 96.7 PANSS-Ru Total 44.95 55.75 68 78.25 94.3 104.35 36–45 years 47.8 46.2 84 100.8 116.3 46-55 years 54.8 65.5 95 \geq 56 years 47 47 66.5 76 96.5 N/A N/A \leq 25 years 2 2.4 3 3 4 5 5 26-35 years 2 2.3 3 4 4 5 5.85 CGI-S 2 2 3 3 4 4.1 36-45 years 5.05 1.7 2.4 3 4 4 5 5 46-55 years 3 3 3 3 5 N/A N/A \geq 56 years ≤25 years 14.9 16 19 21.5 24.75 28 32.4 12 12 14 26-35 years 19 26 31 38 PANSS-Ru Marder Positive 12 13 19 23 36–45 years 15 29 31.45 10.1 12 16 24 29.5 33 33.9 46-55 years 22 10 10.6 17.25 27.5 37 \geq 56 years ≤25 years 8.8 11.9 15 17 22.75 26.4 30.55 26-35 years 7 12.5 17 20.5 25.4 28.2 7 PANSS-Ru Marder Negative 8.55 10 15.75 18 22 25 29 36-45 years 9 15 19 22 26 28.8 46-55 years 10.2 9 9 17.25 19 19.75 26.4 N/A \geq 56 years \leq 25 years 4 5.8 7 9 11.75 14.1 15.55 4 9 26-35 years 4 6 11.5 13 15.3 PANSS-Ru Marder Anxiety 36-45 years 4 4 8 11.25 15 6 16 46-55 years 4.1 5.2 8 10 13 14.8 15.9 \geq 56 years 4 4.6 7 9 10.75 14.8 N/A \leq 25 years 9.9 11 14.25 16 20 24.1 25.55 26-35 years 7.9 9 13 17 20.5 24.2 28.5 PANSS-Ru Marder Disorganized 24 26.9 36-45 years 9 11 12 16 19 9.1 11 46-55 years 13 19 22.5 26.8 28 8 8.9 13.25 18.5 21.75 27.8 N/A \geq 56 years \leq 25 years 4 4 6 9 12 15.2 17.55 26-35 years 4 4 5 7 10.5 14 16 PANSS-Ru Marder Hostility 36-45 years 4 4.75 10 12 13 4 7 46-55 years 4 4.2 6 8 11 12.8 15.9 7.5 \geq 56 years 4 4 4.5 11 13.4 N/A

CGI-Severity: Clinical Global Impressions-Severity; PANSS-Ru: Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale-Russian version; N/A: not applicable



APPENDIX 1I. Comparison of PANSS-Ru score distributions on Positive Symptom subscale, Negative Symptom subscale, General Psychopathology subscale, and Total Score for outpatient group by age