Letter

New in Vitro Assay Measuring Direct Interaction of Nonsense Suppressors with the Eukaryotic Protein Synthesis Machinery

Martin Y. Ng, † Haibo Zhang, $^{\dagger,\nabla}$ Amy Weil, $^{\dagger,\#}_{\cdot}$ Vijay Singh, ‡ Ryan Jamiolkowski, ‡ Alireza Baradaran-Heravi,§ Michel Roberge,§ Allan Jacobson,[∥] Westley Friesen,[⊥] Ellen Welch,[⊥] Yale E. Goldman, ‡ and Barry [S.](#page-4-0) Cooperman^{[*](#page-4-0),†}

† Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, [Ph](#page-4-0)i[lad](#page-4-0)elphia, Pennsylvania 19104, United States

‡ Department of Physiology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, United States

§ Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z3 ∥ Department of Microbiology and Physiological Systems, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts 01655, United States

 ${}^{\perp}$ PTC Therapeutics, 100 Corporate Court, South Plainfield, New Jersey 07080, United States

S Supporting Information

[ABSTRACT:](#page-4-0) Nonsense suppressors (NonSups) induce "readthrough", i.e., the selection of near cognate tRNAs at premature termination codons and insertion of the corresponding amino acid into nascent polypeptide. Prior readthrough measurements utilized contexts in which NonSups can promote readthrough directly, by binding to one or more of the components of the protein synthesis machinery, or indirectly, by several other mechanisms. Here we utilize a new, highly purified in vitro assay to measure exclusively direct nonsense suppressor-induced readthrough. Of 16 NonSups tested, 12 display direct readthrough, with results suggesting that such NonSups act by at least two different mechanisms. In preliminary work we demonstrate the potential of single

molecule fluorescence energy transfer measurements to elucidate mechanisms of NonSup-induced direct readthrough, which will aid efforts to identify NonSups having improved clinical efficacy.

KEYWORDS: Premature termination codon (PTC), readthrough, ribosome, in vitro assay, eukaryotic translation, nonsense suppressors

Premature termination codons (PTCs) arise as a consequence of nonsense mutations. Such mutations lead to the replacement of an amino acid codon in mRNA by one of three stop codons, UAA, UGA, or UAG,^{1−3} and result in inactive truncated protein products. Nonsense mutations constitute ∼20% of transmitted or de novo [germ](#page-5-0)line mutations.^{4−6} Globally, there are \sim 7000 genetically transmitted disorders in humans, and ∼11% of all human disease mutations [a](#page-5-0)r[e](#page-5-0) nonsense mutations.⁷ Clearly, millions of people worldwide would benefit from effective therapies directed toward PTC suppression. Clinical [tr](#page-5-0)ials have begun to evaluate the treatment of PTC disorders with therapeutic agents called nonsense suppressors (NonSups).8[−]¹⁰ NonSups induce the selection of near cognate tRNAs at the PTC position, and insertion of the corresponding a[mino](#page-5-0) acids into the nascent polypeptide, a process referred to as "readthrough," which restores the production of full length functional proteins, albeit at levels considerably reduced from wild-type. Even low rates of readthrough can improve clinical outcomes when essential

proteins are completely absent. Examples of such essential proteins include cystic fibrosis transmembrane regulator, dystrophin, and the cancer tumor suppressor proteins adenomatous polyposis coli and p53 (references provided in Supporting Information, Item 10).

In vitro, ex vivo, and in vivo experiments and clinical trials [have identi](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf)fied a diverse structural set of NonSups as candidates for PTC suppression therapy (Figure 1), including aminoglycosides, ataluren, and ataluren-like molecules and others (references provided in Supportin[g Inform](#page-1-0)ation, Item 10). To date, only one NonSup, ataluren (known commercially as Translarna), has been appro[ved in the EU for clinica](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf)l use, but this approval is limited to treatment of patients with nonsense-mediated Duchenne muscular dystrophy. The

Received: October 9, 2018 Accepted: November 21, 2018 Published: November 21, 2018

Figure 1. Structures of the nonsense suppressors (NonSups) studied in this work.

clinical utility of other NonSups, such as aminoglycosides, is restricted, in part, by their toxic side effects, which can arise from readthrough of normal stop codons and other effects. A critical barrier to development of NonSups with broader therapeutic windows is the paucity of information regarding the precise mechanisms by which these molecules stimulate readthrough. All prior results measuring NonSup-induced readthrough of eukaryotic PTCs have been carried out using animals, intact cells, or crude cell extracts. In such systems, NonSups can promote readthrough directly, by binding to one or more of the components of the protein synthesis machinery, or indirectly, either by inhibiting nonsense-mediated mRNA $decay¹¹$ or by modulating processes altering the cellular activity levels of protein synthesis machinery components. $12,13$ This multi[pli](#page-5-0)city of possible mechanisms of nonsense suppression has complicated attempts to determine the precise m[echan](#page-5-0)isms of action of specific NonSups and limited the use of rational design in identifying new, more clinically useful NonSups.

Recently, we developed a highly purified, eukaryotic cell-free protein synthesis system, 14 that we apply here to distinguish NonSups acting directly on the protein synthesis machinery from those that act indir[ect](#page-5-0)ly. We present evidence suggesting that NonSups acting directly can be divided into at least two distinctive structural groups which induce readthrough by different mechanisms. We also demonstrate the potential of using single molecule fluorescence resonance energy transfer (smFRET) to elucidate the details of such mechanisms.

Our system exploits the ability of the intergenic internal ribosome entry site (IRES) of Cricket Paralysis Virus (CrPV-IRES) to form a complex with 80S ribosomes capable of initiating the synthesis of complete proteins in cell-free assays completely lacking initiation factors.^{15,16} Structural studies (references provided in Supporting Information, Item 10) have shown that, prior to polypeptide chain [elon](#page-5-0)gation, CrPV-IRES and the closely relate[d IRES from Taura s](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf)yndrome virus

occupy all three tRNA binding sites (E, P, and A) on the 80S ribosome. We recently demonstrated that the first two cycles of peptide elongation proceed very slowly due to very low rates of pseudotranslocation and translocation, but that, following translocation of tripeptidyl-tRNA, subsequent elongation cycles proceed more rapidly, presumably as a consequence of IRES removal from the ribosome. 14 Based on these results we have now constructed an assay to directly monitor readthrough at the stop codon in the sixt[h p](#page-5-0)osition, when the faster elongation rate is well established. For this purpose, we prepared two CrPv IRES coding sequences, Stop-IRES and Trp-IRES (Figure 2). Stop-IRES contains the stop codon UGA at position 6 and has a peptide coding sequence designed to give a relat[ively hig](#page-2-0)h, detectable amount of readthrough even in the absence of NonSups. The design is based on studies showing that readthrough at the UGA stop codon proceeds in higher yields than at either the UAA and UAG stop codons 17 and that such readthrough is further increased by both a downstream CUA codon (encoding Leu) at codon $7^{18,19}$ a[nd](#page-5-0) an upstream AA sequence as part of the CAA codon 5 (encoding Gln).¹⁷ In Trp-IRES, UGA is replaced [by U](#page-5-0)GG which is cognate to $tRNA^{Trp}$, the most efficient natural $tRNA$ suppressor of th[e U](#page-5-0)GA stop codon.^{20,21} Trp-IRES encodes the octapeptide FKVRQWLM, which permits facile quantification of octapeptide synthesis by ³⁵S-M[et in](#page-5-0)corporation. Although the mRNA attached to the CrPV-IRES contains additional codons downstream from the AUG encoding Met-tRNA Met , peptide synthesis halts with FKVRQWLM-tRNA^{Met} bound to the ribosome P-site, since we omit the Thr-tRNAThr charged isoacceptor tRNA that is encoded by codon 9.

For the results reported below, we prepared two POST5 translocation complexes, each containing FKVRQ-tRNAGh in the P-site, using ribosomes programmed with either Stop-IRES or Trp-IRES. The amounts of POST5 complex were quantified using [³ H]-Gln. We next determined the amount of

Figure 2. Coding sequences of Trp-IRES and Stop-IRES.

 $FKVRQWL[^{35}S]$ -M-tRNA^{Met} that cosediments with the ribosome following incubation of each POST5 complex with a mixture of Trp-tRNA^{Trp}, Leu-tRNA^{Leu}, $[^{35}S]$ -Met-tRNA^{Met}, elongation factors eEF1A and eEF2, and release factors eRF1 and eRF3. Octapeptide formation can also be quantified by hydrolyzing FKVRQWLM-tRNA^{Met} with strong base and measuring the released [35S]-labeled octapeptide following a thin layer electrophoresis purification.^{14,22} Although both methods gave very similar results (Figure S1), we prefer the cosedimentation assay because it is quic[ker a](#page-5-0)nd affords results having lower variability.

For Trp-IRES, synthesis of o[ctapeptide](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf) from POST5 complex proceeded in high yield, giving a measured octapeptide/POST5 ratio of 0.75 ± 0.08 (n = 24). The amount of Stop-IRES readthrough is a sensitive function of release factor concentrations, decreasing dramatically as these concentrations are raised. We adjusted the release factor concentrations to give a basal octapeptide/POST5 ratio of 0.08 ± 0.02 . This value permits facile estimation of NonSupinduced enhancement of readthrough. At the same time, the low concentrations we employ result in NonSup-induced readthrough efficiencies which are high enough to make it feasible to perform experiments, currently underway, that will elucidate the detailed mechanisms of NonSup-induced readthrough.

Results measuring NonSup-induced readthrough of Stop-IRES are presented in Figure 3. The saturation curves obtained with the 7 aminoglycosides (AGs) examined (Figure 3A) are each consistent with a single tight site of AG binding to the ribosome which induces readthrough, with EC_{50} s falling in the range of 0.4−4 μ M and plateau octapeptide/POST5 ratios varying from 0.1 to 0.3 (Table S1) above the basal level measured in the absence of added NonSup (see Supporting Information, Item 2). Thes[e results ar](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf)e consistent with results on readthrough obtained in intact cells showing tha[t \(a\) G418,](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf) [NB84, NB12](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf)4,²³ and gentamicin $X2^{24}$ are much more effective than the gentamicin mixture currently approved as an antibiotic; an[d](#page-5-0) (b) NB8[4,](#page-5-0) NB124,²³ and gentamicin $X2^{24}$ have similar potencies, measured by either EC_{50} or read-

Figure 3. Readthrough as a function of nonsense suppressor concentration. (A) Aminoglycosides. (B) Ataluren-like NonSups and Others. None of the NonSups in Figure 3 showed appreciable inhibition of octapeptide formation from pentapeptide by ribosomes programmed with Trp-IRES at concentrations equal to twice their EC_{50} values. *The highest doxorubicin employed was 30 μ M because higher concentrations led to significant ribosome and Met-tRNAMet particle formation (Figure S7).

through efficienc[y. These](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf) consistencies suggest that aminoglycosides stimulate readthrough in cells primarily by direct effects on the protein synthesis machinery.

The NonSups ataluren, GJ072, and RTC 13 share similar structures, containing a central aromatic heterocycle having two or three substituents, at least one of which is aromatic (Figure 1). They also show similar S-shaped readthrough concentration-dependent activity curves (Figure 3B), with EC_{50} values between 0.17 and 0.35 mM and plateau [octapeptid](#page-1-0)e/POST5 ratios ranging from 0.10 to 0.16 (Table S1). These S-shaped curves yield Hill n values of ~4, which suggest multisite binding of ataluren-like NonSups t[o the](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf) [pro](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf)tein synthesis machinery. Formation of NonSup aggregates in solution which induce readthrough could also give rise to Sshaped curves, but we consider this to be unlikely based on the constancy of the chemical shift and line shape of ataluren's ¹⁹F NMR peak over a concentration range of 0.03−2.0 mM (see Supporting Information, Item 6). Detailed dose-dependent readthrough results are available for live cell activities of ataluren 8 and GJ072,²⁵ each showing bell-shaped curves that [contrast](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf) [with](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf) [the](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf) [saturat](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf)ion behavior seen in Figure 3B. The decreas[e](#page-5-0) in readthro[ug](#page-5-0)h activity at higher NonSup concentrations may reflect interactions of ataluren and GJ072 with cellular components not present in our in vitro assay.

Two other reported NonSups, negamycin²⁶ and doxorubicin, 27 also display readthrough activity (Figure 3B). Both show a simple activity curve, with simil[ar](#page-5-0) readthrough

efficiencies (0.10−0.13) but a 50-fold difference in EC_{50} values, with doxorubicin having the lower value (Table S1). These compounds have potential for future development (see Supporting Information, Item 10).

Several other compounds that have readthrough [activity](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf) [i](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf)n [cellular assays, tylosin,](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf)²⁸ azithromycin,²⁹ GJ071,²⁵ and escin,²⁷ show little or no readthrough activity in our assay in the concentration range $30-600 \mu M$ $30-600 \mu M$ ([Fig](#page-5-0)ure S5[\).](#page-5-0) In additi[on,](#page-5-0) escin at high concentration inhibits both basal readthrough and normal elongation, the latter m[easured w](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf)ith Trp-IRES programmed ribosomes, with the effect on basal readthrough being much more pronounced (Figure S6). These results suggest that readthrough effects of tylosin, azithromycin, GJ071, and escin in cellular assa[ys are like](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf)ly to arise from interactions not directly involving the protein synthesis apparatus.

The assay results reported so far utilize a single time point, corresponding to full reaction leading to either octapeptide or pentapeptide formation (Figure 2). The clear difference between the saturation curves seen for aminoglycosides vs ataluren-like NonSups rai[sed the q](#page-2-0)uestion of whether the kinetics of NonSup-induced octapeptide formation might also show differences. Accordingly, we compared the kinetics of octapeptide formation using ribosomes programmed with Trp-IRES and Stop-IRES in the presence of either G418 or ataluren. Such kinetic measurements are straightforward to perform using our new assay but would not be feasible if a more common reporter protein system were employed, for example by placing the UGA codon in the sequence of a GFP or luciferase mRNA.

The results (Figure 4) show similar rate constants (Table S2) (1.0–1.6 min⁻¹) for octapeptide formation by Trp-IRES in

Figure 4. Kinetics of NonSup-induced octapeptide formation from Trp-IRES POST5 and Stop-IRES-POST5.

the presence or absence of G418 or ataluren and by Stop-IRES in the presence of G418 but a much slower rate constant (0.14 min[−]¹) for Stop-IRES in the presence of ataluren. Additional experiments, described in Supporting Information, Item 3, strongly indicate that, as expected, this slow rate constant for ataluren-induced octapept[ide formation is due](#page-4-0) to slow conversion of Stop-IRES POST5 complex to POST6 complex. These experiments also indicate that Stop-IRES POST6 complexes are labile, in contrast to the stable Trp-IRES POST6 complex (Figure S3). This lability is likely a consequence of imperfect base-pairing in the P-site between the anticodon loop [of FKVRQW](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf)-tRNA^{Trp} and the UGA stop codon.

The results presented in Figures 3 and 4 suggest that aminoglycosides and ataluren-like compounds stimulate readthrough by different mechani[sms, AGs v](#page-2-0)ia binding to a single tight site on the ribosome and ataluren-like compounds via weaker, multisite binding which induces a slower change in the protein synthesis apparatus that permits readthrough.

 EC_{50} values found in intact cells differ considerably from those measured by our cosedimentation assay, being much higher for $\text{AGs}^{23,30'}$ and much lower for ataluren, 8,31 RTC13^{25} and GJ072.²⁵ We attribute these differences to the poor uptake of positively ch[ar](#page-5-0)[ge](#page-6-0)d aminoglycosides into cells, [w](#page-5-0)[hil](#page-6-0)e uptake [is](#page-5-0) favored for [th](#page-5-0)e hydrophobic ataluren-like molecules. Thus, visa-vis the culture medium, intracellular concentration would be ̀ expected to be lower for AGs and higher for ataluren, RTC13, and GJ072.

Aminoglycosides have well-characterized tight binding sites in both prokaryotic 32 and eukaryotic ribosomes, 33 proximal to the small subunit decoding center, that have been linked to their promotion of [m](#page-6-0)isreading, although bindin[g t](#page-6-0)o additional sites at higher aminoglycoside concentrations has also been observed.³⁴ Similarly, the functionally important prokaryotic ribosome binding site of negamycin has also been identified within a [con](#page-6-0)served small subunit rRNA region that is proximal to the decoding center, $32,35$ and it is not unlikely that this site is also present in eukaryotic ribosomes. However, nothing is known about the read[throu](#page-6-0)gh-inducing sites of action within the protein synthesis apparatus of the ataluren-like NonSups (Figure 3B) or of doxorubicin. Indeed, it has even been suggested that ataluren may not target the ribosome. 36 [Although](#page-2-0) aminoglycosides have been the subject of detailed mechanism studies of their effects on prokaryotic misreadi[ng](#page-6-0) (references provided in Supporting Information, Item 10) questions remain over their precise modes of action, and detailed mechanistic studi[es on aminoglycoside sti](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf)mulation of readthrough and misreading by eukaryotic ribosomes are completely lacking. Virtually nothing is known about how negamycin, doxorubicin, and the ataluren-like NonSups stimulate eukaryotic readthrough.

Our laboratories are currently engaged in studies to elucidate the detailed mechanisms of action of NonSups directly interacting with the protein synthesis machinery. Such studies include smFRET measurements, which have provided detailed information about processive biochemical reaction mechanisms, particularly in the study of protein synthesis (references provided in Supporting Information, Item 10). Two fluorescent labeled tRNAs, when bound simultaneously to a ribosome, at either th[e A- and P-sites in a pret](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf)ranslocation complex, or the P- and E-sites in a postranslocation complex, are spaced appropriately to generate a robust FRET signal. $37,38$ In preliminary work we have observed tRNA−tRNA FRET in the pretranslocation complex Trp-IRES-PRE6, which [has](#page-6-0) $tRNA^{Gln}(Cy5)$ in the P-site and the peptidyl-tRNA, $FKVRQW-tRNA^{Trp}(Cy3)$ in the A-site (Figure 5A). The FRET efficiency of 0.47 ± 0.02 is similar to the value recently reported for neighboring tRNAs, also label[ed at or](#page-4-0) near the elbow region of tRNA, bound in a PRE complex to the human ribosome.³⁴ Addition of eEF2.GTP converts Trp-IRES-PRE6 to a Trp-IRES-POST6 complex, containing tRNA^{Gln}(Cy5) in the E-site [an](#page-6-0)d FKVRQW-tRNA^{Trp}(Cy3) in the P-site, which is accompanied by an increase in Cy3:Cy5 FRET efficiency to 0.73 ± 0.01 (Figure 5A). Repetition of this experiment with Stop-IRES-POST5 in the absence of eEF2 decreased the number of [pretranslo](#page-4-0)cation complexes (Stop-IRES-PRE6)

Figure 5. smFRET experiments. (A) eEF2-induced translocation of the 80S-Trp-IRES-PRE6 complex to form 80S-Trp-IRES-POST6 complex followed by release of tRNA^{Gln}. The cartoon at the top shows the state progression during translocation. (i) Single molecule traces. Green and red traces show $tRNA^{Trp}(Cy3)$ emission and $tRNA^{GIn}(Cy5)$ sensitized emission, respectively, following eEF2 injection, excited at 532 nm. (ii) ALEX intensity signal from direct excitation of tRNA^{Gln}(Cy5) at 640 nm. (iii) FRET efficiency between $tRNA^{Trp}(Cy3)$ and $tRNA^{Gln}(Cy5)$ showing a transient increase following eEF2 injection on conversion of the PRE6 complex to POST6. (B) Recording of fluorescence traces for a Stop-IRES complex that did not bind $tRNA^{Trp}$. Colors as in panel A. (C) Effects of increasing G418 concentration on PRE6 complex formation from 80S-Stop-IRES-POST5 complex. Added G418 did not affect formation of Trp-IRES-PRE6 from Trp-IRES-POST5.

formed to 22% of that seen with Trp-IRES. POST5 complexes that did not bind $tRNA^{Trp}$ -TC were readily distinguishable due to the lack of direct Cy3 and sensitized Cy5 emission (Figure 5B, top) but did display substantial emission and photobleaching when Cy5 was illuminated directly (Figure 5B, bottom). Baseline readthrough was increased by addition of G418 (1–10 μ M) with a concentration dependence consistent with the EC_{50} value of 0.98 μ M shown in Table S1. This agreement between the ensemble and single molecule assays demonstrates our ability to monitor NonSu[p-induced](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf) readthrough by smFRET, which, in subsequent studies, will allow determination of the effects of NonSups on the dynamics of the nascent peptide elongation cycle that commences with suppressor tRNA recognition of a premature stop codon.

We expect the results of such smFRET studies, when combined with those of related ensemble mechanistic studies and studies directed toward identifying sites of NonSup interaction, to aid in achieving the understanding needed to improve the clinical efficacy of NonSups.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Materials. Shrimp (A. salina) ribosomes and ribosomal subunits, yeast elongation factors eEF2 and eEF1A, tRNAs and Trp-IRES, and Stop-IRES, POST4, and POST5 complexes were prepared essentially as described in Zhang et al.¹⁴ Yeast 6xHis-tagged release factors (fulllength eRF1 and amino acids 166−685 of eRF3), expressed in E. coli and purified using a TALON cobalt resin, were a generous gift from Alper Celik (University of [Ma](#page-5-0)ssachusetts Medical School). Nonsense suppressors (Figure 1) were obtained as follows: gentamicin mixture and G418 (Sigma), nourseothricin sulfate, a mixture of streptothricins D and F (Gold Biotechnology), doxorubicin (Fisher Scientific), escin and tylosin [\(Alfa Ae](#page-1-0)sar), azithromycin (APExBIO), gentamicin B (MicroCombiChem). PTC Therapeutics supplied the following NonSups: ataluren sodium salt, RTC13, GJ071, GJ072, and gentamicin X2. Negamycin was a gift from Alexander Mankin,

University of Illinois at Chicago. NB84 and $NB124²³$ currently available as ELX-03 and ELX-02, respectively, from Eloxx Pharmaceuticals (Waltham, MA), were gifts from Ti[mo](#page-5-0)r Baasov (Technion, Haifa).

Octapeptide Formation Readthrough Assays. POST5 complex (0.02 μ M) was mixed with Trp-tRNA^{Trp}, Leu-tRNA^{Leu}, and $\left[^{35}S\right]$ -Met-tRNA^{Met} (0.08 μ M each), elongation factors eEF1A (0.08 μ M) and eEF2 (1.0 μ M) and release factors eRF1 (0.010 μ M) and eRF3 (0.020 μ M) and incubated at 37 °C in Buffer 4 (40 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 80 mM NH₄Cl, 5 mM Mg(OAc)₂, 100 mM KOAc, 3 mM 2-mercaptoethanol) either for 20 min (Figure 3) or for times varying from 30 s to 20 min (Figure 4), in the absence or presence of NonSups. For octapeptide determination by cosedimentation of $FKVRQWL[^{35}S]M\text{-}tRNA^{Met}$ with the ribos[ome, rea](#page-2-0)ction mixture aliquots (40 μ L) were quenc[hed](#page-3-0) [with](#page-3-0) 150 μ L of 0.5 M MES buffer (pH 6.0) at 0 °C, which inhibits peptide bond formation. Following addition of carrier 70S E. coli ribosomes (100 pmol, 3 μ L of 33 μ M 70S), all ribosomes were pelleted by ultracentrifugation through a 1.1 M sucrose solution in Buffer 4 (350 μ L) at 540,000g for 70 min at 4 °C. The ribosome pellet was resuspended in Buffer 4, and cosedimenting FKVRQWL[35 S]M-tRNA^{Met} was determined. For octapeptide determination by thin layer electrophoretic purification of base-released FKVRQWL[35S]M, reaction mixture aliquots (80 μ L) were quenched with 0.8 M KOH (9 μ L of 8 M KOH) and the base-quenched samples were incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. Acetic acid $(9 \mu L)$ was then added to lower the pH to 2.8. Samples were next lyophilized, suspended in water, and centrifuged to remove particulates, which contained no ³⁵S. The supernatant was analyzed by thin layer electrophoresis as previously described, 2^2 using the same running buffer. The identity of FKVRQWLM was confirmed by the comigration of the ³⁵S radioactivity with authentic samples (Figure S1A) obtain[e](#page-5-0)d from GenScript (Piscataway, NJ). The ³⁵S radioactivity in the octapeptide band was used to determine the amount of octapeptide produced. Details concerning measurement of [assay](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf) [back](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472/suppl_file/ml8b00472_si_001.pdf)ground and basal level of readthrough in the absence of added NonSup may be found in Supporting Information, Item 2.

Other Methods. smFRET experiments were performed essentially as described in Chen et al.³⁸ Ataluren ¹⁹F NMR spectra were performed in buffer 4 with 10% D_2O on a Bruker DMX 360 MHz NMR spectrometer with a 5 mm Quattro Nucleus Probe. Data were analyzed with mNova softwar[e.](#page-6-0)

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472.

[Additional experimen](http://pubs.acs.org)tal details a[nd references \(PDF\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472)

[■](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00472) AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: cooprman@pobox.upenn.edu.

ORCID[®]

Barry S. [Cooperman:](mailto:cooprman@pobox.upenn.edu) 0000-0001-6989-9788

Present Addresses

[∇]Haibo Zhang: Spark [Therapeutics, 37](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6989-9788)37 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA, 19104.

Amy Weil: AdMed, Inc., 122 Union Square Drive, New Hope, PA, 18938.

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Alexander Mankin (University of Illinois at Chicago, negamycin), Timor Baasov (Technion, Haifa, NB84, NB124), and Alper Celik (University of Massachusetts Medical School, eRF1, eRF3) for reagents used in these studies and Carla Zimmerman for technical assistance. This work was supported by research grants to BSC (PTC Therapeutics; the Orphan Disease Center, University of Pennsylvania MDBR-17-108- CF1282X; Cystic Fibrosis Foundation-COOPER18G0; NIH GM127374), to YEG (NIH GM118139), to MR (Michael Smith Foundation for Health Research) and to AJ (NIH GM27757 and GM122468).

■ ABBREVIATIONS

AG, aminoglycoside; CrPV, cricket paralysis virus; IRES, internal ribosome entry site; NonSups, nonsense suppressors; PTC, premature termination codon; smFRET, single molecule fluorescence resonance energy transfer

■ REFERENCES

(1) Brenner, S.; Stretton, A. O. W.; Kaplan, S. Genetic Code: The 'Nonsense' Triplets for Chain Termination and their Suppression. Nature 1965, 206, 994−998.

(2) Shalev, M.; Baasov, T. When Proteins Start to Make Sense: Finetuning Aminoglycosides for PTC Suppression Therapy. MedChem-Comm 2014, 5, 1092−1105.

(3) Keeling, K. M.; Xue, X.; Gunn, G.; Bedwell, D. M. Therapeutics Based on Stop Codon Readthrough. Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet. 2014, 15, 371−394.

(4) Salvatori, F.; Breveglieri, G.; Zuccato, C.; Finotti, A.; Bianchi, N.; Borgatti, M.; Feriotto, G.; Destro, F.; Canella, A.; Brognara, E.; et al. Production Of Β-Globin and Adult Hemoglobin Following G418 Treatment of Erythroid Precursor Cells from Homozygous Β(0)39 Thalassemia Patients. Am. J. Hematol. 2009, 84, 720−728.

(5) Goldmann, T.; Overlack, N.; Möller, F.; Belakhov, V.; van Wyk, M.; Baasov, T.; Wolfrum, U.; Nagel-Wolfrum, K. A Comparative Evaluation of NB30, NB54 And PTC124 In Translational Read-Through Efficacy for Treatment of an USH1C Nonsense Mutation. EMBO Molecular Medicine 2012, 4, 1186−1199.

(6) Stenson, P. D.; Mort, M.; Ball, E. V.; Evans, K.; Hayden, M.; Heywood, S.; Hussain, M.; Phillips, A. D.; Cooper, D. N. The Human Gene Mutation Database: Towards a Comprehensive Repository of Inherited Mutation Data for Medical Research, Genetic Diagnosis and Next-Generation Sequencing Studies. Hum. Genet. 2017, 136, 665− 677.

(7) Loudon, J. A. Repurposing Amlexanox as a 'Run the Red Light Cure- All' with Read-Through − a 'No-Nonsense' Approach to Personalised Medicine. J. Bioanal. Biomed. 2013, 5, 79−96.

(8) Peltz, S. W.; Morsy, M.; Welch, E. M.; Jacobson, A. Ataluren as an Agent for Therapeutic Nonsense Suppression. Annu. Rev. Med. 2013, 64, 407−425.

(9) McDonald, C. M.; Campbell, C.; Torricelli, R. E.; Finkel, R. S.; Flanigan, K. M.; Goemans, N.; Heydemann, P.; Kaminska, A.; Kirschner, J.; Muntoni, F.; et al. Ataluren in Patients with Nonsense Mutation Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (ACT DMD): a Multicentre, Randomised, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Phase 3 Trial. Lancet 2017, 390, 1489−1498.

(10) Zainal Abidin, N.; Haq, I. J.; Gardner, A. I.; Brodlie, M. Ataluren in Cystic Fibrosis: Development, Clinical Studies and Where Are We Now? Expert Opin. Pharmacother. 2017, 18, 1363−1371.

(11) He, F.; Jacobson, A. Nonsense-Mediated mRNA Decay: Degradation of Defective Transcripts Is Only Part of the Story. Annu. Rev. Genet. 2015, 49, 339−366.

(12) Feng, T.; Yamamoto, A.; Wilkins, S. E.; Sokolova, E.; Yates, L. A.; Münzel, M.; Singh, P.; Hopkinson, R. J.; Fischer, R.; Cockman, M. E.; et al. Optimal Translational Termination Requires C4 Lysyl Hydroxylation of eRF1. Mol. Cell 2014, 53, 645−654.

(13) Keeling, K. M. Nonsense Suppression as an Approach to Treat Lysosomal Storage Diseases. Diseases 2016, 4, 32.

(14) Zhang, H.; Ng, M. Y.; Chen, Y.; Cooperman, B. S. Kinetics of Initiating Polypeptide Elongation in an IRES-Dependent System. eLife 2016, 5, No. e13429.

(15) Jan, E.; Kinzy, T. G.; Sarnow, P. Divergent tRNA-like element supports initiation, elongation, and termination of protein biosynthesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2003, 100, 15410−15415.

(16) Pestova, T. V.; Hellen, C. U. T. Translation elongation after assembly of ribosomes on the Cricket paralysis virus internal ribosomal entry site without initiation factors or initiator tRNA. Genes Dev. 2003, 17, 181−186.

(17) Dabrowski, M.; Bukowy-Bieryllo, Z.; Zietkiewicz, E. Translational Readthrough Potential of Natural Termination Codons in Eucaryotes − The Impact of RNA Sequence. RNA Biol. 2015, 12, 950−958.

(18) Stiebler, A. C.; Freitag, J.; Schink, K. O.; Stehlik, T.; Tillmann, B. A. M.; Ast, J.; Bölker, M. Ribosomal Readthrough at a Short UGA Stop Codon Context Triggers Dual Localization of Metabolic Enzymes in Fungi and Animals. PLoS Genet. 2014, 10, No. e1004685. (19) Loughran, G.; Chou, M.; Ivanov, I. P.; Jungreis, I.; Kellis, M.; Kiran, A. M.; Baranov, P. V.; Atkins, J. F. Evidence of Efficient Stop Codon Readthrough in Four Mammalian Genes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014, 42, 8928−8938.

(20) Blanchet, S.; Cornu, D.; Argentini, M.; Namy, O. New Insights into the Incorporation of Natural Suppressor tRNAs at Stop Codons in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014, 42, 10061− 10072.

(21) Roy, B.; Leszyk, J. D.; Mangus, D. A.; Jacobson, A. Nonsense Suppression by Near-Cognate tRNAs Employs Alternative Base Pairing at Codon Positions 1 and 3. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2015, 112, 3038−3043.

(22) Youngman, E. M.; Brunelle, J. L.; Kochaniak, A. B.; Green, R. The Active Site of the Ribosome Is Composed of Two Layers of Conserved Nucleotides with Distinct Roles in Peptide Bond Formation and Peptide Release. Cell 2004, 117, 589−599.

(23) Bidou, L.; Bugaud, O.; Belakhov, V.; Baasov, T.; Namy, O. Characterization of New-Generation Aminoglycoside Promoting Premature Termination Codon Readthrough in Cancer Cells. RNA Biol. 2017, 14, 378−388.

(24) Friesen, W. J.; Johnson, B.; Sierra, J.; Zhuo, J.; Vazirani, P.; Xue, X.; Tomizawa, Y.; Baiazitov, R.; Morrill, C.; Ren, H.; et al. The Minor Gentamicin Complex Component, X2, Is a Potent Premature Stop Codon Readthrough Molecule with Therapeutic Potential. PLoS One 2018, 13 (10), No. e0206158.

(25) Du, L.; Jung, M. E.; Damoiseaux, R.; Completo, G.; Fike, F.; Ku, J. M.; Nahas, S.; Piao, C.; Hu, H.; Gatti, R. A. A New Series of Small Molecular Weight Compounds Induce Read Through of All Three Types of Nonsense Mutations in the ATM Gene. Mol. Ther. 2013, 21, 1653−1660.

(26) Taguchi, A.; Hamada, K.; Hayashi, Y. Chemotherapeutics Overcoming Nonsense Mutation-Associated Genetic Diseases: Medicinal Chemistry of Negamycin. J. Antibiot. 2018, 71, 205−214.

(27) Mutyam, V.; Du, M.; Xue, X.; Keeling, K. M.; White, E. L.; Bostwick, J. R.; Rasmussen, L.; Liu, B.; Mazur, M.; Hong, J. S.; et al. Discovery of Clinically Approved Agents That Promote Suppression of Cystic Fibrosis Transmembrane Conductance Regulator Nonsense Mutations. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 2016, 194, 1092−1103.

(28) Zilberberg, A.; Lahav, L.; Rosin-Arbesfeld, R. Restoration of APC Gene Function in Colorectal Cancer Cells by Aminoglycosideand Macrolide-Induced Read-Through of Premature Termination Codons. Gut 2010, 59, 496−507.

(29) Caspi, M.; Firsow, A.; Rajkumar, R.; Skalka, N.; Moshkovitz, I.; Munitz, A.; Pasmanik-Chor, M.; Greif, H.; Megido, D.; Kariv, R.; et al. A Flow Cytometry-Based Reporter Assay Identifies Macrolide Antibiotics as Nonsense Mutation Read-Through Agents. J. Mol. Med. 2016, 94, 469−482.

(30) Baradaran-Heravi, A.; Niesser, J.; Balgi, A. D.; Choi, K.; Zimmerman, C.; South, A. P.; Anderson, H. J.; Strynadka, N. C.; Bally, M. B.; Roberge, M. Gentamicin B1 Is a Minor Gentamicin Component with Major Nonsense Mutation Suppression Activity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2017, 114, 3479−3484.

(31) Roy, B.; Friesen, W. J.; Tomizawa, Y.; Leszyk, J. D.; Zhuo, J.; Johnson, B.; Dakka, J.; Trotta, C. R.; Xue, X.; Mutyam, V.; et al. Ataluren Stimulates Ribosomal Selection of Near-Cognate tRNAs to Promote Nonsense Suppression. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2016, 113, 12508−12513.

(32) Lin, J.; Zhou, D.; Steitz, T. A.; Polikanov, Y. S.; Gagnon, M. G. Ribosome-Targeting Antibiotics: Modes of Action, Mechanisms of Resistance, and Implications for Drug Design. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2018, 87, 451−478.

(33) Garreau de Loubresse, N.; Prokhorova, I.; Holtkamp, W.; Rodnina, M. V.; Yusupova, G.; Yusupov, M. Structural Basis for the Inhibition of the Eukaryotic Ribosome. Nature 2014, 513−522, 517.

(34) Prokhorova, I.; Altman, R. B.; Djumagulov, M.; Shrestha, J. P.; Urzhumtsev, A.; Ferguson, A.; Chang, C.-W. T.; Yusupov, M.; Blanchard, S. C.; Yusupova, G. Aminoglycoside Interactions and Impacts on the Eukaryotic Ribosome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2017, 114, E10899−E10908.

(35) Spahn, C. M. T.; Beckmann, R.; Eswar, N.; Penczek, P. A.; Sali, A.; Blobel, G.; Frank, J. Structure of the 80S Ribosome from Saccharomyces Cerevisiae-tRNA-Ribosome and Subunit-Subunit Interactions. Cell 2001, 107, 373−386.

(36) Pibiri, I.; Lentini, L.; Melfi, R.; Gallucci, G.; Pace, A.; Spinello, A.; Barone, G.; Di Leonardo, A. Enhancement of Premature Stop Codon Readthrough in the CFTR Gene by Ataluren (PTC124) Derivatives. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 101, 236−244.

(37) Blanchard, S. C.; Kim, H. D.; Gonzalez, R. L.; Puglisi, J. D.; Chu, S. tRNA Dynamics on the Ribosome During Translation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2004, 101, 12893−12898.

(38) Chen, C.; Stevens, B.; Kaur, J.; Cabral, D.; Liu, H.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, H.; Rosenblum, G.; Smilansky, Z.; Goldman, Y. E.; et al. Single-Molecule Fluorescence Measurements of Ribosomal Translocation Dynamics. Mol. Cell 2011, 42, 367−377.