

Article

Gas Sensing Properties of In2O³ Nano-Films Obtained by Low Temperature Pulsed Electron Deposition Technique on Alumina Substrates

Tommaso Addabbo ¹ , Mara Bruzzi ² , Ada Fort 1,*, Marco Mugnaini [1](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2410-1581) and Valerio Vignoli ¹

- ¹ Department of Information Engineering and Mathematical Science, University of Siena, via Roma 56, 53100 Siena, Italy; addabbo@dii.unisi.it (T.A.); marco.mugnaini@unisi.it (M.M.); valerio.vignoli@unisi.it (V.V.)
- ² Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Firenze, via G. Sansone, 1, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy; mara.bruzzi@unifi.it
- ***** Correspondence: ada.fort@unisi.it; Tel.: +39-0577-235962

Received: 15 November 2018; Accepted: 10 December 2018; Published: 13 December 2018

Abstract: Nanostructured Indium(III) oxide (In_2O_3) films deposited by low temperature pulsed electron deposition (LPED) technique on customized alumina printed circuit boards have been manufactured and characterized as gas sensing devices. Their electrical properties have monitored directly during deposition to optimize their sensing performance. Experimental results with oxidizing $(NO₂)$ as well as reducing (CO) gases in both air and inert gas carriers are discussed and modeled.

Keywords: metal oxide gas sensors; nano-structured device; pulsed electron deposition technique; sensor modeling

1. Introduction

In recent years, Indium(III) oxide (In_2O_3) has shown a potential as a promising new material for gas sensing applications, in particular suitable for the detection of low concentrations of oxidizing gases like O_3 , NO_x, and Cl₂ [\[1–](#page-15-0)[4\]](#page-15-1). On the other hand, it was also shown that In₂O₃-based gas sensors may have sufficient sensitivity and good selectivity toward some reducing gases (such as CO or H_2) [\[5,](#page-15-2)[6\]](#page-15-3), this latter property strongly depending on the preparation route and surface stoichiometry. In fact, it is known that sensing performance of a metal oxides sensor is mainly regulated by its microscopic morphology and defect chemistry, material properties largely depending on the manufacturing process. In this regard, performance of gas sensors has been shown to be really boosted by using nano-structured materials [\[1](#page-15-0)[–3,](#page-15-4)[6\]](#page-15-3).

In past, In₂O₃ nano-structured films have been manufactured by many different techniques such as chemical vapor deposition, thermal oxidation of In films, spray pyrolysis, sol–gel, atomic layer deposition, pulsed laser ablation, DC, and RF-sputtering [\[7\]](#page-15-5). These techniques generally require a complex processing for precursors to be formed in the environment of the deposition chamber. Furthermore, they require rather high temperatures of the substrate, and therefore generally do not allow using substrates already equipped with screen printed circuitry, as in the case of gas sensing devices, which will be damaged or even melted by high temperatures.

In particular, papers by Korotcenkov et al. (e.g., $[8,9]$ $[8,9]$), studied deeply the behavior of In₂O₃ sensors grown by spray pyrolysis, discussing thoroughly the influence of the sensor microstructure on their performance.

In this work, we deposited nano-crystalline layers of In_2O_3 on dedicated printed circuit boards (PCBs). We used a novel deposition method, the low temperature pulsed electron deposition

(LPED) technique, where a pulsed high power electron beam, permitting the non-equilibrium extraction of energetic particles, allows for depositing homogeneous films with the proper stoichiometry of almost any kind of materials at ambient temperatures, so that direct deposition on temperature-sensitive substrates as PCBs is made possible. In particular, for the sensors presented in this paper the LPED technique was applied with the simultaneous measurement of the film resistance during the growth, which is a specific and novel characteristic of our deposition procedure.

Based on previous works from Korotcenkov, we analyzed and modeled the behavior of our In_2O_3 nano-films deposited by LPED as NO_x and CO sensors. Two models are compared to discuss the behavior of our sensors, one where a 'dense' thin film is taken into account, and the other where 'porous' films are considered, this latter as already modeled in [\[10\]](#page-15-8). The comparison led to the conclusion that, for film thicknesses which bring to reasonable values for the film resistance, the 'dense' thin film model is the one that best fits the experimental results, which are in agreement with the ones reported by Korotcenkov in [\[9\]](#page-15-7). In particular, reducing the film thickness and the grain size decreases the time constants of the gas response of In_2O_3 -based gas sensors, and increases the response to oxidizing gases (thanks also to an increased gas permeability of the film).

2. Sensor Preparation and Characterization

2.1. Thin Film Deposition and Characterization

Thin films were deposited by low temperature pulsed electron deposition (LPED). This deposition system [\[11](#page-15-9)[–13\]](#page-15-10) has been developed by Organic Spintronics, Bologna, Italy [\[14\]](#page-15-11). LPED is obtained by means of a pulsed (100 ns), high power (500 MW/cm²), electron beam that penetrates approximately 1 µm into the target resulting in a rapid evaporation of target material, and its transformation in plasma state. Material of the ablation cloud is used for deposition on the substrate. The non-equilibrium extraction of the target material facilitates stoichiometric composition of the plasma. The formation of a dense discharge plasma increases the energy of the ablated particles to several tens of eVs, higher than during electron beam evaporation or sputtering. This makes it possible to deposit a dense and almost homogeneous nano-crystalline film even at room temperature. In this way, growing of adhering dense layers is made possible even on temperature-sensitive substrates with high energy conversion efficiency. The LPED accelerates electrons using a hollow cathode connected to a dielectric capillary [\[11](#page-15-9)[–14\]](#page-15-11). High voltage is obtained by limiting the lateral expansion of charge carriers by means of a thin dielectric tube for channel confinement, in such a way that breakdown voltage is governed by the channel diameter while the length of the channel plays a minor role [\[15\]](#page-15-12). A transient hollow cathode (THC) is connected to the dielectric acceleration tube, filled with gas at low pressure. High voltage is applied to the THC. A photograph of the deposition chamber during growth of the In_2O_3 film is shown in Figure [1;](#page-2-0) gas used is oxygen. Typical operational characteristics of the system are a beam power density of about 500 MW/cm 2 , repetition rate around 20 Hz, energy efficiency about 30%, growth rate about 0.01 nm/s.

Figure 1. Photograph of the system during deposition of the In₂O₃ film; gas used is oxygen.

Target used to deposit the In₂O₃ films studied in this work is a commercial indium oxide pellet Target used to deposit the In₂O₃ films studied in this work is a commercial indium oxide pellet (Testbourne Ltd., Basingstoke, United Kingdom, I1-9002-D3). The X-ray diffraction pattern of In₂O₃ target material is shown in Figure 2 and compared to reference pattern (PDF 06-0416). The pattern has been measured using the pattern has been measured using a detail of the pattern of the pattern of the pattern of the pat target material is shown in Figure 2 and compared to reference pattern (PDF 06-0416). The pattern has
been measured with angles 2θ ranging from 18° to 75°. Data, obtained using a D8 Advance Brucker
powder diffractometer, powder diffractometer, CuK α 1 radiation, show a low level of impurities. (Testbourne Ltd., Basingstoke, United Kingdom, I1-9002-D3). The X-ray diffraction pattern of In2O3

Figure 2. XRD analysis of the target as compared with the reference pattern PDF 06-0416. **Figure 2.** XRD analysis of the target as compared with the reference pattern PDF 06-0416.

analyzed on dedicated test samples. In_2O_3 thin films with thickness around 230 nm have been analyzed on dedicated test samples. In_2O_3 thin films with thickness around 230 nm have been deposited on glass windows, and have been studied by means of optical transmission measurements, SEM analysis, microanalysis, and profilometry. Optical transmission measurements as a function of wavelength obtained with our film placed normally to the beam in a PerkinElmer spectrophotometer
wavelength obtained with our film placed normally to the beam in a PerkinElmer spectrophotometer are shown in Figure 3. The quality of the deposited film, prior to preparing gas sensing devices, has been accurately $\frac{1}{2}$ SEM analysis, microanalysis, and profilometry. Optical transmission measurements as a function of
wavelength obtained with our film placed normally to the beam in a PerkinElmer spectrophotometer
are shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3. Optical transmission of a In_2O_3 230 nm-thick film on glass substrate.

From data shown in Figure [3,](#page-3-0) the absorption coefficient of the film as a function of the wavelength can be evaluated Figure 3, the absorption coefficient of the absorption coefficient of the film as a function of the film as a function of the function of the

$$
\alpha = \frac{1}{d} \ln \left(\frac{100}{T(\%)} \right) \tag{1}
$$

transitions, the following relationship holds [\[16\]](#page-15-13) $\alpha \propto \frac{1}{v} \sqrt{hv - E_g}$. So, energy gap E_g can be then estimated by fitting with a linear curve the function $(ah v)^2$ vs. hv (see plot in inset), where v is the estimated by fitting with a linear curve the function $(ah v)^2$ vs. hv (see plot in inset), where v is the wave frequency and *h* the Planck constant. The energy gap so obtained, $E_g = 3.7$ eV, is in agreement with what expected for pure In_2O_3 [17]. where $d = 230$ nm is the thickness of the deposited film. For direct optically-allowed inter-band

Profiles of the sample were measured to determine the thickness of the sample (Figure [4a](#page-4-0)). Roughness of the In_2O_3 film deposited on glass has been also inspected by performing different scans with a profilometer on 400 μ m length paths. Roughness data for three measurements on three different positions of the film are shown in Figure 4b. A roughness of 1-2 nm was measured, showing that LPED deposition grows compact dense films closely adhering on the substrate. promotive on 400 pm length paths. Roughness data for three measurements on three di-

Figure 4. *Cont*.

Figure 4. (a) Thickness profile (z direction) measured along a 900 μ m path from the center of the In₂O₃ sample deposited on glass. (**b**) Thickness deviation from flat-film condition, estimated along three different profiles, 400 μ m-long, within the same In_2O_3 230 nm-thick film deposited on a glass substrate. For each of them, roughness is calculated as the average value of absolute deviation data.

SEM analyses performed with a Zeiss EVO MA15 Microscope support the profilometer measurements. As shown in Figure [5,](#page-4-1) the film appears dense and compact. Finally, microanalysis, performed in a volume with a volume with a volume with a volume $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ performed in a volume with approximately 1μ m depth, with an Eds Oxford Inca 250, (see Table 1), confirms the presence of In_2O_3 in proper stoichiometry over the supporting glass window.

Figure 5. SEM micrography of a 230 nm thick film grown on glass.

2.2. Sensor Preparation and Characterization Sensors **2018**, *18*, x FOR PEER REVIEW 6 of 16

Thin films were deposited by LPED on alumina substrates with the structure described in [\[18\]](#page-15-15), and shown in Figure [6.](#page-5-0) The substrates are equipped with screen printed heaters on the backside, and with screen printed Pt based resistive temperature detectors (RTD, (a) in Figure 6) on the front. By means of these devices, the temperature of the tested film can be set and controlled by a feedback with an accuracy of few degrees in the range $120-400$ °C [\[18\]](#page-15-15). The alumina used for the substrate (96% alumina as-fired, grain \sim 2 µm, surface roughness $R_a \sim$ 800 nm) has large roughness: this choice grants a large surface area/volume ratio, which is fundamental for gas sensing applications. In these devices, the temperature of the fested film can be set and controlled by a σ surface area/volume ratio surface area is fundamental for gas sensing σ measure of the temperature of the temperature of the temperature of the temperature of the set and controlled by a feedback of the set and controlled by a few set α with a curacy of few degrees in the range 120–400 for the substrate (96%) of the substrate (96%) in the sub

Figure 6. Alumina substrate. **Figure 6.** Alumina substrate.

The LPED system was opportunely modified in view to allow for the remote control in real-time The LPED system was opportunely modified in view to allow for the remote control in real-time **Figure 6.** Alumina substrate. of the film resistance, sensor temperature, and heater supply. The temperature sensor, heater, and gas-sensor electrodes have been connected to set and monitor directly the substrate temperature, to measure the In Ω Ω film resistance at different steps during deposition, with the aim of se and to measure the In_2O_3 film resistance at different steps during deposition, with the aim of selecting a proper resistance value at 200 °C in the range 100 k Ω –10 M Ω . Figure 7 shows the measured resistance as a function of deposition time for one In_2O_3 film on an alumina substrate. During deposition, the temperature of the film, measured in real-time, was in the range $40-60$ °C. To measure the resistance by means of the internal heater of the gas-sensor. Figure 7 shows a sudden decrease of resistance at 200 \degree C, the deposition was stopped for a few minutes, and the temperature of the film increased by means of the internal heater of the gas-sensor. Figure 7 shows a sudden decrease of resistance from almost infinite to a measurable value after about a 2 h deposition, when a first thin layer is settling through electrodes. Afterwards, the resistance decreases not linearly during deposition: this indicates that the substrate is not completely covered by the dense film, due to the high surface roughness of the substrate, so during the process both the surface area and the thickness grow together. together. s are are $m_2 \omega_3$ have resistance at anterest steps atting deposition, with the and σ s at α position was stopped for a few minutes, and the temperature of the film inc rough is not completely coverculpy the uchse him, and to the high surface rought

Figure 7. Resistance of the thin film as measured during deposition at 200 °C. Film growth rate is about 0.01 nm/s.

A sensor obtained with this technique is shown in Figure [8.](#page-6-0) Figure [9a](#page-6-1),b show SEM micrographs of the sensing layer grown between the electrodes. The film covers the alumina substrate uniformly to mimic its high roughness, maintaining its large surface effective area.

Figure 8. 200 \times magnified photograph of the thin In₂O₃ film as deposited between electrodes of the gas-sensor device. gas-sensor device. gas-sensor device.

Figure 9. SEM images of a thin In_2O_3 film as deposited on the gas-sensor device with the LPED technique (**a**,**b**: different magnifications). technique (**a**,**b**: different magnifications). technique (**a**,**b**: different magnifications).

Like many other metal oxides used for gas sensing, In2O3 is a large band-gap (*Eg* = 3.55–3.75 eV) Like many other metal oxides used for gas sensing, In₂O₃ is a large band-gap ($E_g = 3.55$ –3.75 eV) seminary called an energy donors ($\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{5}$ $\frac{1}{6}$ $\frac{1}{7}$ $\frac{1}{8}$ $\frac{1}{9}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{8}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{10}$ $\frac{1}{$ due to favored bulk native point defects (oxygen vacancy donors), with an energy level in the band gap at less than 0.1 eV from the bottom of the conduction band. semiconductor that, irrespective of the preparation method, behaves as a doped n-type semiconductor

Sensor characterization was performed by the measurement system described in [18], which α (with the state flow α flow (in the state flow α), of α is finally, α allows for the accurate setting of the chemical environment in a test chamber both in terms of gas ition and flow (up to 500 mL/min), of humidity, and, finally, of film temperature (from to 400 $^{\circ}$ C). In particular, a bench of digitally controlled flow-meters is used to properly mix the gases coming from certified gas tanks in order to obtain the desired concentrations of the target gases. The proposed sensors were tested in mixtures of dry air and CO or NO₂. The experimental data were obtained in a constant flow of 200 mL/min. The results in the next section were obtained by evaluating composition and flow (up to 500 mL/min), of humidity, and, finally, of film temperature (from 120 \degree C the sensor response to a target gas as:

$$
RESPONSE = \frac{R - R_0}{R_0} \times 100
$$
 (2)

where R_0 indicates the baseline resistance in the carrier gas at the selected temperature, whereas R indicates the resistance of the film after a fixed time of exposure to the target mixture (4 min for all the reported experimental results).

3. Experimental Results

The characterization results for sensors obtained after a deposition of 4 h (thickness around 150 nm) are summarized in Figure [10,](#page-7-0) where the response to CO and $NO₂$ are shown. Moreover, in the figure the baseline resistance values in the two carrier gases are plotted as a function of temperature. As expected, the material behaves as an n-type semiconductor, and responds with an increased resistance to the oxidizing gases. The behavior in air and nitrogen is similar: oxygen seems to have little influence on the response to $NO₂$ at high temperatures. Due to the material properties discussed in the introduction, the sensor shows a satisfactory response to NO₂ (60% @ 6 ppm NO₂ @ 320 °C in dry air). The response to $NO₂$ in the tested concentration interval is fairly linear, both in nitrogen and in air. This behavior will be justified later by the proposed model. On the other hand, the sensor exhibits
. a negligible response to CO, which shows an anomalous behavior as acceptor-like extrinsic surface state (see Figure [10\)](#page-7-0). This behavior, for the same temperature interval and in case of nano-grained thin films (thickness $<$ 200 nm), was already described and discussed in [\[8\]](#page-15-6). 320 in dropping to N_{2} in the tested concentration interval is fairly linear, both in fairogen

Figure 10. Gas sensing properties of a In_2O_3 sensor (deposition time 4 h, named hereafter sensor A). Leftmost plot—response as a function of temperature to CO and NO2. Rightmost plots: (**a**) baseline Leftmost plot—response as a function of temperature to CO and NO² . Rightmost plots: (**a**) baseline resistance in air as a function of temperature; (**b**) response to NO_2 as a function of NO_2 concentration at 300 $^{\circ}$ C.

In detail, it was found that CO always behaves as an acceptor like extrinsic surface state in N_2 carrier gas, whereas in oxygen a reducing behavior is superimposed to the oxidizing behavior but only at high temperature. In [\[19\]](#page-15-16), the acceptor-like behavior of CO was explained through the presence of humidity and oxygen in the environment. The experimental results seem only partially in agreement with this hypothesis, in fact using the dry inert gas carrier this anomalous behavior is still observable.

The low sensitivity to CO and the small variation of the baseline resistance (see Figure [10\)](#page-7-0) can be both explained by the absence of the depleted region at the surface. Nevertheless, also a small reactivity of the oxygen deficient surface with CO can be hypothesized. This last possible explanation is supported by measurements performed with a quartz crystal microbalance (AT-cut 10 MHz) at room temperature on whose gold electrodes a nano-layer \langle <100 nm thickness) of In₂O₃ was deposited with the same technique [\[20\]](#page-15-17). This sensor has a very little response to CO (no measureable response up to 2000 ppm CO), whereas it senses 12 ppm $NO₂$.

Figure 11. Gas sensing properties of two In₂O₃ sensors (sensor A-deposition time 4 h, sensor B-deposition time 2 h). Leftmost plot: response as a function of temperature to NO₂. Rightmost plots: (a) Baseline resistance in air as a function of temperature. (b) Response to $NO₂$ as a function of $NO₂$ concentration at 300 °C. concentration at 300 ◦C.

The response of the sensors is highly dependent on the deposition time, i.e., on the layer The response of the sensors is highly dependent on the deposition time, i.e., on the layer geometry $g_{\rm max}$ and $g_{\rm max}$ (Figure 11). Both the response and the speed of the sensor are here $\ddot{g}_{\rm stat}$ to and film aspect ratio (Figure [11\)](#page-8-0). Both the response and the speed of the sensor are beneficiated by a
and film aspect ratio (Figure 11). Both the response and the speed of the sensor are beneficiated by a reduction of the sensor thickness; the drawback of reducing the deposition time is the reduction of the surface area and the very large value of the resistance that is obtained with deposition times shorter than 2 h.

In Figure 12 the transient responses to $NO₂$ of two sensors obtained with different deposition times are compared: it can be seen that reducing the deposition from 4 h time to 2 h (thickness about 70 nm), the response speed is highly improved, in fact the thinner sensor (sensor B) reaches the steady state and completely recovers in 4 min at temperatures larger than 290 °C.

Figure 12. Resistance of the chemical film as a function of time when pulses (4 min length) with **Figure 12.** Resistance of the chemical film as a function of time when pulses (4 min length) with different concentration of $NO₂$ are injected into the measurement chamber (24 ppm,12 ppm, 6 ppm). The total flow is 200 mL/min, carrier gas is N_2 . The NO_2 concentrations are shown in the figure.

4. Sensor Model for Oxidizing Gas 4. Sensor Model for Oxidizing Gas

In general, in semiconductor metal oxides, gas sensing is mainly performed through three In general, in semiconductor metal oxides, gas sensing is mainly performed through three possible mechanisms affecting the electrical resistance (*R*):

 $p(x)$ change of free charge corrier concentration $p(x)$, due to (a) Change of free charge carrier concentration (*n*), due to capture/release at adsorbed species on (a) Change of free charge carrier concentration (*n*), due to capture/release at adsorbed species on surface, $R \propto 1/n$.

(b) Change of potential barrier height (qV_s) at the crystal domain (or grain) boundary, due to the presence of ionized adsorbed species on the crystallites surface. Barrier is due to charge presence of ionized adsorbed species on the crystallites surface. Barrier is due to charge carriers carriers trapping at surface by acceptor surface states. The density of acceptor ionized surface entries in principle at surface by acceptor surface states. The density of acceptor formed surface states is determined by the population of intrinsic surface defects and by the possible presence of chemisorbed molecules. This gives rise to an exponential dependence of the electrical resistance of chemisorbed molecules. This gives rise to an exponential dependence of the electrical resistance on the barrier height, which is approximately related to the square of the trapped charge surface on the barrier height, which is approximately related to the square of the trapped charge surface density: $R \propto exp(qV_s/kT)$. The barrier height depends also on native acceptor surface defects (for n-type *exp*(*qVs/kT*). The barrier height depends also on native acceptor surface defects (for n-type semiconductors) and on the concentration of the surrounding gas (oxidizing gas favoring the barrier, semiconductors) and on the concentration of the surrounding gas (oxidizing gas favoring the barrier, reducing gases likely suppressing it). On the contrary, if on the surface there are donor-like defects, reducing gases likely suppression, α is the contrary, if on the suppression are donor-like defects, is not a carrier enriched surface layer exists and the dependence of *R* on the charge surface density is no measured to a more exponential [\[20,](#page-15-17)[21\]](#page-15-18).

 ω Change of bulls also (c) Change of bulk electronic properties, such as the donor concentration (N_d) and bulk charge or properties (μ) , in this latter asses P_{μ} and (μ) carriers mobility (μ_n), in this latter case $R \propto 1/(N_d \mu_n)$.

The first two mechanisms are pure surface phenomena driven by the adsorption/desorption of The first two mechanisms are pure surface phenomena driven by the adsorption/desorption of The first two mechanisms are pure surface phenomena arriver by the adsorption, description of the surrounding gas and by the ionization/neutralization of adsorbed species. Obviously, diffusion the surrounding gas and by the ionization/neutralization of adsorbed species. Obviously, diffusion toward the intergrain/boundary regions can also play a role in the kinetics.

The third process is a red/ox of the lattice, and takes into account possible diffusion of charged The third process is a reduction of the third process into a reduction α reduction of α reduction of α species in the bulk. According to [\[5\]](#page-15-2), in In_2O_3 this last phenomenon seems to be negligible at terms at types layers than 250 °C. temperatures lower than 350 °C.

temperatures lower than 350 °C. Usually the most relevant phenomenon causing an exponential dependence of the resistance on Usually the most relevant phenomenon causing an exponential dependence of the resistance on the surface density of chemisorbed molecules is the (b) one. Compared with other well-known metal the surface density of chemisorbed molecules is the (b) one. Compared with other well-known metal oxides (such as SnO2), In2O³ has a more complicated behavior as a gas sensor, due to the fact that the states (such as SnO₂₎, In₂O₃ has a more complicated behavior as a gas sensor, due to the fact that the surface oxygen stoichiometry (oxygen deficiency) is such that the native surface defects may have a surface oxygen storchachinometry (oxygen acceptible that the native surface defects may have a surface defects may have a such that the native surface deficiency of α donor character. For this reason, it is possible that in reducing gases the surface is oxidized and no donor character. For this reason, it is possible that in reducing gases the surface is oxidized and no It is known, that when the grain/film size goes below a certain critical value the influence of the grain/film geometry becomes important, and strongly influences the sensor response. In some previous works, the case of a thick film porous film made up of nano-spheres was treated by the authors and applied both to p-type and n-type materials [\[10,](#page-15-8)[24\]](#page-16-0). The same model can be used also for thin films when they consist of loosely bound grains (porous layer) as shown in Figure [13a](#page-10-0). This model could be used also for the thin nano-film described in this paper when short deposition times are used.
. Nevertheless, to ensure a reasonable value for the film resistance, as discussed in the previous section, $\,$ the deposition has to be longer than 1.5 h. For long deposition time the film can become 'dense' (see Figure [13b](#page-10-0)). Assuming that no intrinsic acceptor like surface defect can be found at the grain boundary, such that no potential barrier exists at the grain interface, a possible model for the film is the compact thin-layer shown in Figure [13c](#page-10-0). Moreover, Rombach in [\[23\]](#page-15-20) showed that the vertical domain boundaries in the textured films have no detectable influence on the sensing behavior. In this case, the variation of resistance can be ascribed to the reduction of the current path cross section area, related to the creation of a depleted region on the surface when an oxidizing gas is present. is present.

Figure 13. Sensor structure and model. (a) Porous layer. (b) Dense layer. (c) Compact layer.

Let us consider a thin film of homogeneous material exposed to a mixture of air and $\rm NO_2$ (oxidizing gas); at the surface the two following chemisorption reactions are expected. (oxidizing gas); at the surface the two following chemisorption reactions are expected.

$$
2S_O + O_2 + 2e^- \Leftrightarrow 2(S_O - O)^-
$$
\n(3a)

$$
S_{NO_2} + NO_2 + e^- \Leftrightarrow (S_O - NO_2)^{-}
$$
 (3b)

where (*X-Sx*)− indicates an adsorbed and negatively ionized molecule of the species *X* at the where $(X-S_X)$ [−] indicates an adsorbed and negatively ionized molecule of the species *X* at the adsorption α and α marcales a necessition at the surface is due both to interior interior interior interior interior is due both to interior inte site S_x , and e^- indicates a free electron at the surface.

As a whole, the amount of charge localized at the surface is due both to intrinsic ionized defects *As a whole, the amount of charge localized at the surface is due both to intrinsic ionized defects* and to the chemisorbed molecules with a total surface charge density $-qN_s$, being *q* the electron charge $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}$ and N_s the total negatively charged species density that can be written as $[1,\!25\!-\!27]$ $[1,\!25\!-\!27]$ $[1,\!25\!-\!27]$

$$
N_S = \pm N_i + [(S_O - O)^{-}] + [(S_{NO_2} - NO_2)^{-}]
$$
\n(4)

(actually, the surface of semiconductors tends always to be depleted [10]), and [*X*] indicates the where N_i indicates the density of ionized intrinsic defects that can be either acceptors or donors $($ (actually, the surface of semiconductors tends always to be depleted [\[10\]](#page-15-8)), and [*X*] indicates the surface density of the species *X*. In this work, we consider to have only extrinsic acceptor surface states due to the adsorption of both atomic oxygen and of the target gas NO_2 , a net negative charge, so that N_s cannot usually assume a negative sign.

Due to the trapped surface charge we expect that, when the equilibrium is reached, an electric field establishes in the grain to counterbalance the diffusion of free carriers which reach the surface from the bulk. We take into account an n-type semiconductor where the bulk free electron density is N_d and the hole density is negligible. We consider a depleted surface—i.e., to have a net negative charge trapped at the surface—so that −*qN^s* , the charge density, is negative and uniform on the surface. The positive charge density, *ρ*, in the film at the thermal equilibrium can be found by balancing the diffusion current related to the charge density gradient and the free electron drift current caused by the electric field. With reference to the geometry shown in Figure [14,](#page-11-0) being $t \ll b$ and $t \ll l$, considering a current cause of the electric field. With reference to the surface, all the quantities can be considered. to depend only on the of the z coordinate. Hence, the charge density can be found from the equation and *t << l*, considering a homogeneous thin film and a uniform charge density at the surface, all the

$$
J_{tot}(z) = \sigma_n(z) E_z(z) - q D_n \frac{\partial n(z)}{\partial z} = 0
$$
\n(5)

where $J_{tot}(z)$ is the current density, $E_z(z)$ the electrical field, $\sigma_n = qn(z)\mu_n$ is the conductivity (μ_n is the free electron mobility), D_n is the diffusion coefficient of free electrons ($D_n = \mu_n kT/q$), and $n(z)$ is the free electrons density in the bulk. free electrons density in the bulk.

Figure 14. Film geometry. **Figure 14.** Film geometry.

Usually, the positive charge density in the depleted layer, $\rho(z)$, is considered to be constant and equal to qN_d (full depletion) so that in this layer no free electrons exist. Instead, we consider a spatial distribution of the charge that can be related to the free carrier density in this layer as distribution of the charge that can be related to the free carrier density in this layer as

$$
n(z) = N_d - \frac{\rho(z)}{q}
$$
 (6)

From Equation (6) the conductivity in the depleted layer can be written as

$$
\sigma_n(z) = n(z)\mu_n q = \mu_n q \left(N_d - \frac{\rho(z)}{q} \right) \tag{7}
$$

moreover

$$
E_z(z)\varepsilon = \int_0^z \rho(u) du,\tag{8}
$$

where ε is the electric permittivity.

Replacing the expressions in Equations (6)–(8) in Equation (5) we obtain the following integral-differential equation

$$
\mu_n(qN_d - \rho(z)) \int_0^z \rho(u) du = \varepsilon D_n \frac{\partial \rho(z)}{\partial z}
$$
\n(9)

where $0 \le \rho(z) \le qN_d$ holds, and $\rho(0)$ assumes a value granting the following electro-neutrality condition

$$
\int_0^t \rho(u) du = qN_s \tag{10}
$$

Equation (9) can be rewritten as

$$
\rho(z) + \frac{\varepsilon D_n}{\mu_n \int_0^z \rho(u) du} \frac{\partial \rho(z)}{\partial z} = qN_d
$$
\n(11)

By numerically solving Equation (11) the charge density, the electrical field and the voltage distribution in the layer can be found.

It could be noted that since *ρ*(*z*) is always an increasing function of *z*, its local slope is determined by the length

$$
\lambda(z) = \frac{\varepsilon D_n}{\mu_n \int_0^z \rho(u) du} \ge \frac{k \varepsilon T}{q^2 N_d t}
$$
\n(12)

Therefore, it can be shown (see other works of the authors, e.g., $[10,24]$ $[10,24]$) that if the thickness of the layer is not much larger than the minimum value of λ given by the rightmost term of the inequality in Equation (12), *ρ*(*z*) slowly varies along z and its behavior is very far from the 'fully depleted layer approximation'. It is evident that this condition is exactly equivalent to the usual condition

$$
t \gg \lambda_D \tag{13}
$$

with $\lambda_D = \sqrt{\frac{k \varepsilon T}{q^2 N_d}}$ being the Debye length.

When an external electrical field *Eext* is applied along the axis x, and considering it so small as not to interfere with the equilibrium due to the chemisorption, the following relationship holds for the drift current $J_x(z)$

$$
E_{ext} = \sigma_n(z) J_x(z) \tag{14}
$$

Hence the resistance of the layer can be found evaluating the following integral

$$
\frac{1}{R} = G = \frac{b}{l} \int_0^t (qN_d - \rho(u))\mu_n du.
$$
\n(15)

Actually, being the electro-neutrality condition (Equation (10)) always true, this integral is simply equal to

$$
G = \frac{b}{l} q \mu_n (N_d t - N_s) \tag{16}
$$

Hence, if $G = G(N_s)$ is the conductance of the film in presence of the target gas, whereas G_0 = $G(N_{s0})$ is the conductance of the film in a reference condition, for instance in the carrier gas, we have

$$
\frac{G - G_0}{G_0} = \frac{N_{s0} - N_s}{N_d t - N_{s0}},\tag{17}
$$

and, for the sensor response as defined in Equation (2)

$$
\frac{R - R_0}{R_0} = \frac{G_0 - G}{G} = \frac{N_s - N_{s0}}{N_d t - N_s} \tag{18}
$$

So for the dense compact film a linear relationship between the charged species density and the conductance is expected. It can be seen that the sensor response depends on the film thickness and on the bulk carrier density. The smaller are these quantities the larger the sensor response. So, very thin pure films would provide, in principle, very good sensitivities. Obviously, both thickness and bulk carrier density cannot be pushed to low values limitless because they determine the film resistance value. A lower limit for both is set by the maximum measureable resistance and by

the desired measurement range. In particular, very large resistances lead to heavy problems in measurement system design, and limit the measurement accuracy. Moreover, a low N_d value and a small thickness can bring to the depletion of the entire film and to response saturation.

In Figures [15](#page-13-0) and [16,](#page-14-0) the outputs of the proposed model, obtained by numerically solving Equation (11), are shown, and compared with those obtained with fully depleted model. The presented results concern two different In₂O₃ materials, the first one (Figure [15\)](#page-13-0) is a pure material with a very low bulk carrier concentration ($N_d = 1.2 \times 10^{17}$ cm⁻³) whereas the secon[d o](#page-14-0)ne (Figure 16) is characterized by a much larger N_d value (3.6 \times 10^{18} cm⁻³). In simulations, N_{s0} is assumed to be negligible, so the response is simply $(R - R_0)/R_0 = N_s/(N_d t - N_s)$. A comparison of the simulated results with the experimental data indicates that the obtained films behave similarly to those shown in Figure 15 , where the reduction of the film thickness from 144 nm to 72 nm provides a very large gain in terms of response, indicating that $N_d t$ is close to N_s .

Figure 15. Distribution of the charge density ρ (plot (a)), and of the voltage (plot (b)) inside the film as a function of z for different values of charged surface species density, N_s , and for two films thickness, 72 nm and 144 nm. N_s in the range 0.1×10^{11} cm⁻²-6.3 \times 10¹¹ cm⁻². (c) Surface voltage barrier as a function of the density of charged surface species. Solid lines: proposed model, dashed lines: fully depleted model. (**d**) Sensor responses to the variation of surface charge density. Data for In₂O₃ are taken from [\[28,](#page-16-3)[29\]](#page-16-4) $N_d = 1.2 \times 10^{17}$ cm⁻³.

Figure 16. Distribution of the charge density, ρ (plot (a)) and of the voltage (plot (b)) inside the film as a function of z for different values of charged surface species density, N_s , for two films thickness, 72 nm and 144 nm. N_s in the range (0.3×10^{12} cm⁻²-7.2 \times 10^{12} cm⁻²). (c) Surface voltage barrier as function of the density of charged surface species. Solid lines: proposed model, dashed lines: fully a function of the density of charged surface species. Solid lines: proposed model, dashed lines: fully depleted model. (**d**) Sensor responses to variation of surface charge density. Data for In₂O₃ are taken from [\[28](#page-16-3)[,29\]](#page-16-4) $N_d = 3.6 \times 10^{18}$ cm⁻³.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, the feasibility of gas sensors based an In_2O_3 nano-layer deposited on alumina printed circuit substrates by means of low temperature pulsed electron deposition (LPED) technique was explored. As a prime novelty, we have implemented our deposition system with a remote control of the film electrical resistance directly during the growth process. This work shows a first proof of principle of this specific new feature which, providing a more sophisticated tool to tailor in situ the ultimate sensitivity of gas-sensors, opens the way to a new generation of devices with potentially increased functionality. The sensing gas properties of the developed devices were assessed, through experiments and exploiting a model taking into account the nano-structure of the sensing layer. It was shown that the sensors have a linear response in a large range of gas concentration (chemisorbed molecules density), a good sensitivity to NO_x and very low sensitivity to CO. In detail, as far as sensitivity to $NO₂$ is concerned, the optimum temperature range is 280–300 °C. Moreover, the lower the film thickness, the higher the sensitivity and the speed (but the higher also the film resistance): at 300 °C the response to $NO₂$ increases by a factor of \sim 3, in the range 0 ppm–25 ppm, if films of 70 nm are used instead of 150 nm (and the baseline resistance increases as well between 1 and 2 orders of magnitude). The stability of the sensors was at present verified only by preliminary encouraging tests. A more exhaustive measurement campaign to characterize both stability and durability is ongoing.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, T.A., M.B., A.F., M.M., and V.V.; Investigation, T.A., M.B., A.F., M.M., and V.V.; Methodology, T.A., M.B., A.F., M.M., and V.V.; Writing—original draft, M.B. and A.F.; Writing—review & editing, A.F., M.B., and V.V.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Stefano Pelli (CNR Firenze) and Anna Vinattieri from University of Firenze for technical assistance for roughness and transmission measurements on the In_2O_3 samples, respectively.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Han, D.; Zhai, L.; Gu, F.; Wang, Z. Highly sensitive NO_2 gas sensor of ppb-level detection based on In₂O₃ nanobricks at low temperature. *Sens. Actuators B* **2018**, *262*, 655–663. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2018.02.052)
- 2. Wang, Z.; Men, G.; Zhang, R.; Gu, F.; Han, D. Pd loading induced excellent NO₂ gas sensing of 3DOM In₂O₃ at room temperature. *Sens. Actuators B* **2018**, *263*, 218–228. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2018.02.105)
- 3. Xu, X.; Zhang, H.; Hu, X.; Sun, P.; Zhu, Y.; He, C.; Hou, S.; Sun, Y.; Lu, G. Hierarchical nanorod-flowers indium oxide microspheres and their gas sensing properties. *Sens. Actuators B* **2016**, *227*, 547–553. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2015.12.085)
- 4. Ma, J.; Fan, H.; Tian, H.; Ren, X.; Wang, C.; Gao, S.; Wang, W. Ultrahigh sensitivity and selectivity chlorine gas sensing of In2O³ hollow microtubules by bio-template method with degreasing cotton. *Sens. Actuators B* **2018**, *262*, 17–25. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2018.01.226)
- 5. Korotcenkov, G.; Ivanov, M.; Blinov, I.; Stetter, J.R. Kinetics of indium oxide-based thin film gas sensor response: The role of "redox" and adsorption/desorption processes in gas sensing effects. *Thin Solid Films* **2007**, *515*, 3987–3996. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2006.09.044)
- 6. Fu, H.; Hou, C.; Gu, F.; Han, D.; Wang, Z. Facile preparation of rod-like Au/In₂O₃ nanocomposites exhibiting high response to CO at room temperature. *Sens. Actuators B* **2017**, *243*, 516–524. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2016.11.162)
- 7. Zhang, Z.-G.; Wang, X.-X.; Zhang, J.; Yu, M.; Zhang, J.-C.; Zhang, H.-D.; Long, Y.-Z. Recent advances in 1D micro- and nanoscale indium oxide structures. *J. Alloy. Compd.* **2018**, *752*, 359–375. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2018.04.188)
- 8. Korotcenkov, G.; Brinzari, V.; Golovanov, V.; Cerneavschi, A.; Matolin, V.; Tadd, A. Acceptor-like behavior of reducing gases on the surface of n-type In2O³ . *Appl. Surface Sci.* **2004**, *227*, 122–131. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2003.11.051)
- 9. Korotcenkov, G.; Brinzari, V.; Cerneavschi, A.; Ivanov, M.; Golovanov, V.; Cornet, A.; Arbiol, J. The influence of film structure on In2O³ gas response. *Thin Solid Films* **2004**, *460*, 315–323. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2004.02.018)
- 10. Addabbo, T.; Bertocci, F.; Fort, A.; Mugnaini, M.; Vignoli, V. WO₃ Nanograined Chemosensor: A Model of the Sensing Behavior. *IEEE Trans. Nanotechnol.* **2016**, *15*, 867–876. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TNANO.2016.2558099)
- 11. Gleizer, S.; Yarmolich, D.; Felsteiner, J.; Krasik, Y.E.; Nozar, P.; Taliani, C. Electron beam and plasma modes of a channel spark discharge operation. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2009**, *106*, 073301. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3234376)
- 12. Krasik, Y.E.; Gleizer, S.; Chirko, K.; Gleizer, J.Z.; Felsteiner, J.; Bernshtam, V.; Matacotta, F.C. Characterization of a channel spark discharge and the generated electron beam. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2006**, *99*, 063303. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2180453)
- 13. Krasik, Y.E.; Gleizer, S.; Nozar, P.; Taliani, C. Pressure and electron energy measurement in a channel spark discharge. *Plasma Devices Oper.* **2007**, *15*, 107–114. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10519990701195054)
- 14. Available online: <http://www.organic-spintronics.com> (accessed on 11 December 2018).
- 15. Müller, G.; Konijnenberg, M.; Krafft, G.; Schultheiss, C. Thin film deposition by means of pulsed electron beam ablation. In *Science and Technology of Thin Films*; Matacotta, F.C., Ottaviani, G., Eds.; World Scientific: Singapore, 1995; pp. 89–119.
- 16. Dresselhaus, M.S. *Solid State Physics Part II: Optical Properties of Solids*; MIT: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2005; unpublished.
- 17. Hamberg, I.; Granquist, C.G.; Berggren, K.F.; Sernelius, B.E.; Egstrom, L. Band-gap widening in heavily Sn-doped In2O³ . *Phys. Rev. B* **1984**, *30*, 3240–3242. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.30.3240)
- 18. Addabbo, T.; Bertocci, F.; Fort, A.; Mugnaini, M.; Vignoli, V.; Shahin, L.; Rocchi, S. Versatile measurement system for the characterization of gas sensing materials. In Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE I2MTC, Minneapolis, MN, USA, 6–9 May 2013; pp. 976–980.
- 19. Korotcenkov, G.; Brinzari, V.; Stetter, J.R.; Blinov, I.; Blaja, V. The nature of processes controlling the kinetics of indium oxide-based thin film gas sensor response. *Sens. Actuators B* **2007**, *128*, 51–63. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2007.05.028)
- 20. Addabbo, T.; Baldi, A.; Bruzzi, M.; Fort, A.; Mugnaini, M.; Vignoli, V. QCM Sensors Based on In₂O₃ Nano-films Obtained by a Pulsed Plasma Deposition. *Lect. Notes Electr. Eng.* **2018**, *457*, 65–69.
- 21. Fort, A.; Addabbo, T.; Vignoli, V.; Bertocci, F.; Mugnaini, M.; Atrei, A.; Gregorkiewitz, M. Gas-sensing properties and modeling of silver doped potassium hollandite. *Sens. Actuators B* **2014**, *194*, 427–439. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2013.12.102)
- 22. Hubner, M.; Simion, C.E.; Tomescu-Stanoiu, A.; Pokhrel, S.; Barsan, N.; Weimar, U. Influence of humidity on CO sensing with p-type CuO thick film gas sensors. *Sens. Actuators B* **2011**, *153*, 347–353. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2010.10.046)
- 23. Rombach, J.; Papadogianni, A.; Mischo, M.; Cimalla, V.; Kirste, L.; Ambacher, O.; Bierwagen, O. The role of surface electron accumulation and bulk doping for gas-sensing explored with single-crystalline In_2O_3 thin films. *Sens. Actuators B* **2016**, *236*, 909–916. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2016.03.079)
- 24. Addabbo, T.; Bertocci, F.; Fort, A.; Gregorkiewitz, M.; Mugnaini, M.; Spinicci, R.; Vignoli, V. Gas sensing properties and modeling of YCoO³ based perovskite materials. *Sens. Actuators B* **2015**, *221*, 1137–1155. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2015.07.079)
- 25. Afzal, A.; Cioffi, N.; Sabbatini, L.; Torsi, L. NOx sensors based on semiconducting metal oxide nanostructures: progress and perspectives. *Sens. Actuators B* **2012**, *171*, 25–42. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2012.05.026)
- 26. Wetchakun, K.; Samerjai, T.; Tamaekong, N.; Liewhiran, C.; Siriwong, C.; Kruefu, V.; Wisitsoraat, A.; Tuantranont, A.; Phanichphant, S. Semiconducting metal oxides as sensors for environmentally hazardous gases. *Sens. Actuators B* **2011**, *160*, 580–591. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2011.08.032)
- 27. Addabbo, T.; Bertocci, F.; Fort, A.; Gregorkiewitz, M.; Mugnaini, M.; Spinicci, R.; Vignoli, V. Gas sensing properties of YMnO³ based materials for the detection of NOx and CO. *Sens. Actuators B* **2017**, *244*, 1054–1070. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2017.01.054)
- 28. Preissler, N.; Bierwagen, O.; Ramu, A.T.; Speck, J.S. Electrical transport, electrothermal transport, and effective electron mass in single-crystalline In₂O₃ films. *Phys. Rev. B* **2013**, 88, 085305. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.085305)
- 29. Yuan, Z.; Zhu, X.; Wang, X.; Cai, X.; Zhang, B.; Qiu, D.; Wu, H. Annealing effects of In₂O₃ thin films on electrical properties and application in thin film transistors. *Thin Solid Films* **2011**, *519*, 3254–3258. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2010.12.022)

© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.).