

Published in final edited form as:

Nat Rev Immunol. 2017 June; 17(6): 401. doi:10.1038/nri.2017.46.

Immunosuppressive cell death in cancer

Jiajie Hou,

Department of Liver Surgery, Renji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 160 Pujian Rd, Shanghai 200127, China.

Tim F. Greten, and

Gastrointestinal Malignancy Section, Thoracic and Gastrointestinal Oncology Branch, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, Building 10, Bethesda, Maryland 20892, USA.

Qiang Xia

Department of Liver Surgery, Renji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 160 Pujian Rd, Shanghai 200127, China.

Harnessing the fundamental machinery of the immune system provides an opportunity to cure cancer, and this has led oncologists to turn their attention to the interface between therapeutic strategies, cancer cell death and the immunological consequences. In their recent Review article (Immunogenic cell death in cancer and infectious disease. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 17, 97–111 (2017))¹, Galluzzi *et al.* discussed the molecular mechanisms underlying immune activation in response to dying cells in the context of cancer and infection, which was termed immunogenic cell death. This immunogenic outcome provides the ideal basis to treat malignant cancers with conventional therapeutics — such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy — that induce cancer cell death. However, accumulating clinical and experimental data have revealed that dying cancer cells can also have immunosuppressive effects.

Upon oxidative stress, nutrient deprivation or therapy-induced events, cancer cell death is often necrotic, leading to rapid membrane destruction and the release of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs). Cancer cell necrosis has been shown to be associated with the development of advanced cancer and a poor prognosis². One of the most well-known DAMPs, interleukin- 1α (IL- 1α), can be released rapidly by necrotic cells and may promote malignant cell transformation and proliferation³. IL- 1α is also involved in cancer angiogenesis and metastasis through its interaction with platelets⁴. Importantly, IL- 1α release also leads to the production of IL-6 by other cell types, which typically links inflammation to cancer progression. Accordingly, a therapeutic monoclonal antibody targeting IL- 1α has been generated to treat patients with metastatic cancer⁴ and is currently being evaluated in phase III clinical trials (see ClinicalTrials.gov identifiers NCT02138422 and NCT01767857). The canonical DAMP high-mobility group protein B1 (HMGB1) and downstream Toll-like receptor (TLR) signalling are generally considered to be required for

Hou et al. Page 2

the anticancer effects of immunogenic cell death, but there is also evidence that this signalling pathway can promote (not inhibit) cancer^{5,6}. Furthermore, S100 family proteins released by necrotic cells contribute to myeloid cell migration and cancer metastasis^{7,8}. In addition, cancer cell death contributes to a local ionic imbalance, as exemplified by increased potassium concentrations. Elevated extracellular potassium levels impair T cell receptor signalling and therefore may limit effector T cell responses against the cancer⁹.

With regard to treatment-associated immunity, there are several lines of evidence that conflict with this Review. First, chemotherapeutics have been shown to induce the secretion of CXC-chemokine ligand 1 (CXCL1) by some cancer cells, in addition to the induction of CXCL10 described by Galluzzi and co-workers. CXCL1 attracts CD11b⁺GR1⁺ myeloid cells, which promote chemoresistance and meta stasis ^{10,11}. Second, the drug gemcitabine can trigger necrosome formation, resulting in immunosuppression via the production of CXCL1 and SIN3-associated polypeptide p130 (SAP130)¹². Third, different thera peutic approaches can trigger the recruitment of immunosuppressive cell types: oxaliplatin can induce tumour infiltration by immunosuppressive plasma cells ¹³; ionizing irradiation can stimulate the accumulation of regulatory T cells in malignant lesions ¹⁴; and, remarkably, even immunotherapy such as checkpoint blockade can fail owing to the presence of immunosuppressive myeloid cells — only when these cells are eliminated or inhibited are cytotoxic T cells susceptible to checkpoint blockade¹⁵.

Given that various therapeutic strategies suffer clinical failure or resistance, appropriate animal models or clinical validation are needed to re-examine the immuno logical consequences described above. Despite the induction of opposing functions and mechanisms by tumour cell necrosis, the consensus in the field could be shifted in favour of improving the efficiency of anticancer therapeutics. To this end, we need a better understanding of how tumour cell necrosis influences the immune system, which depends not only on intracellular signals and constituents but also on the extra cellular context and systemic crosstalk. Therefore, precision or combination therapies should be considered for refractory cancer.

Acknowledgements

The authors are supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81672801 to J.H.; 81472243 to Q.X.).

References

- Galluzzi L, Buque A, Kepp O, Zitvogel L & Kroemer G Immunogenic cell death in cancer and infectious disease. Nat. Rev. Immunol 17, 97–111 (2017). [PubMed: 27748397]
- 2. Richards CH, Mohammed Z, Qayyum T, Horgan PG & McMillan DC The prognostic value of histological tumor necrosis in solid organ malignant disease: a systematic review. Future Oncol. 7, 1223–1235 (2011). [PubMed: 21992733]
- 3. Di Paolo NC & Shayakhmetov DM Interleukin 1α and the inflammatory process. Nat. Immunol 17, 906–913 (2016). [PubMed: 27434011]
- 4. Hong DS et al. MABp1, a first-in-class true human antibody targeting interleukin-1α in refractory cancers: an open-label, phase 1 dose-escalation and expansion study. Lancet Oncol. 15, 656–666 (2014). [PubMed: 24746841]
- Kang R, Zhang Q, Zeh HJ, III, Lotze MT & Tang D HMGB1 in cancer: good, bad, or both? Clin. Cancer Res. 19, 4046–4057 (2013). [PubMed: 23723299]

Hou et al. Page 3

 Liu Y et al. Hypoxia induced HMGB1 and mitochondrial DNA interactions mediate tumor growth in hepatocellular carcinoma through Toll-like receptor 9. J. Hepatol 63, 114–121 (2015). [PubMed: 25681553]

- Hiratsuka S, Watanabe A, Aburatani H & Maru Y Tumour-mediated upregulation of chemoattractants and recruitment of myeloid cells predetermines lung metastasis. Nat. Cell Biol. 8, 1369–1375 (2006). [PubMed: 17128264]
- 8. Coffelt SB et al. IL-17-producing $\gamma\delta$ T cells and neutrophils conspire to promote breast cancer metastasis. Nature 522, 345–348 (2015). [PubMed: 25822788]
- 9. Eil R et al. Ionic immune suppression within the tumour microenvironment limits T cell effector function. Nature 537, 539–543 (2016). [PubMed: 27626381]
- 10. Sistigu A et al. Cancer cell–autonomous contribution of type I interferon signaling to the efficacy of chemotherapy. Nat. Med 20, 1301–1309 (2014). [PubMed: 25344738]
- 11. Acharyya S et al. A CXCL1 paracrine network links cancer chemoresistance and metastasis. Cell 150, 165–178 (2012). [PubMed: 22770218]
- 12. Seifert L et al. The necrosome promotes pancreatic oncogenesis via CXCL1 and Mincle-induced immune suppression. Nature 532, 245–249 (2016). [PubMed: 27049944]
- 13. Shalapour S et al. Immunosuppressive plasma cells impede T-cell-dependent immunogenic chemotherapy. Nature 521, 94–98 (2015). [PubMed: 25924065]
- 14. Price JG et al. CDKN1A regulates Langerhans cell survival and promotes T_{reg} cell generation upon exposure to ionizing irradiation. Nat. Immunol 16, 1060–1068 (2015). [PubMed: 26343536]
- 15. De Henau O et al. Overcoming resistance to checkpoint blockade therapy by targeting PI3K γ in myeloid cells. Nature 539, 443–447 (2016). [PubMed: 27828943]