



Published in final edited form as:

Prev Sci. 2020 January ; 21(Suppl 1): 33–42. doi:10.1007/s11121-018-0926-1.

Encompassing Cultural Contexts within Scientific Research Methodologies in the Development of Health Promotion Interventions

Daniel Dickerson, D O., M.P.H.¹, Julie A. Baldwin, Ph.D.², Annie Belcourt, Ph.D.³, Lorenda Belone, Ph D., M.P.H.⁴, Joel Gittelsohn, Ph.D.⁵, Joseph Keawe'aimoku Kaholokula, Ph.D.⁶, John Lowe, Ph.D.⁷, Christi A. Patten, Ph.D.⁸, Nina Wallerstein, Ph.D.⁹

¹University of California, Los Angeles, Integrated Substance Abuse Programs (ISAP), Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, David Geffen School of Medicine. 11075 Santa Monica Blvd., Ste. 200, Los Angeles, CA 90025. daniel.dickerson@ucla.edu.

²Northern Arizona University, P. O. Box 4065, ARD Suite 140, Flagstaff, AZ 86011–4065.

Julie.Baldwin@nau.edu.

³University of Montana, College of Health Professions & Biomedical Sciences, Skaggs Building, Room 306, Missoula, MT 59812. annie.belcourt@mso.umt.edu.

⁴University of New Mexico, Department of Health, Exercise, & Sports Sciences College of Education, MSC04 21610, 1 University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131-0001.

LJoe@salud.unm.edu.

⁵Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Department of International Health, 615 North Wolfe St, Baltimore, MD 21205-2179. jgittel1@jhu.edu.

⁶University of Hawaii at Manoa, Department of Native Hawaiian Health, John A. Burns School of Medicine, 677 Ala Moana Blvd. 1016, Honolulu, HI 96813. kaholoku@hawaii.edu.

⁷Florida State University, College of Nursing, Center for Indigenous Nursing Research for Health Equity (INRHE), 98 Varsity Way, Tallahassee, FL 32306. jrlowe@fsu.edu.

⁸Mayo Clinic, Dept of Psychiatry and Psychology, 200 First ST SW, Rochester, MN 55905.

patten.Christi@mayo.edu.

⁹University of New Mexico, Center for Health Policy, College of Population Health Sciences Center, MSC09 5070, 1 University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131-0001.

NWallerstein@salud.unm.edu.

Abstract

American Indians/Alaska Natives/Native Hawaiians (AI/AN/NHs) disproportionately experience higher rates of various health conditions. Developing culturally centered interventions targeting health conditions is a strategy to decrease the burden of health conditions among this population.

Conflict of Interest. The authors declare that we have no conflicts of interest.

Ethical Approval. This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Informed Consent. Because this article is a review of research projects, informed consent is not applicable.

This study analyzes characteristics from 21 studies currently funded under the Interventions for Health Promotion and Disease Prevention in Native American (NA) Populations program among investigators currently funded under this grant mechanism. Four broad challenges were revealed as critical to address when scientifically establishing culturally centered interventions for Native populations. These challenges were: (a) their ability to harness culture-centered knowledge and perspectives from communities, (b) their utilization of Indigenous-based theories and knowledge systems with Western-based intervention paradigms and theories, (c) their use of Western-based methodologies, and (d) their cultural adaptation, if based on an evidenced-based treatment. Findings revealed that qualitative methodologies and community-based participatory research (CBPR) approaches were very commonly used to finalize the development of interventions. Various Indigenous-based theories and knowledge systems and Western-based theories were used in the methodologies employed. Cultural adaptations were made that often used formative mixed qualitative and quantitative methods. Illustrative examples of strategies used and suggestions for future research are provided. Findings underscored the importance of CBPR methods to improve the efficacy of interventions for AI/AN/NH communities by integrating Indigenous-based theories and knowledge systems with Western science approaches to improve health.

Keywords

American Indians; Alaska Natives; Native Americans; Native Hawaiians; culture; interventions

Acknowledging the Medicine (Introduction)

Native communities residing in the United States (American Indians/Alaska Natives/Native Hawaiians [AI/AN/NHs]) experience disproportionately higher rates of physical and psychiatric morbidity and substance abuse prevalence and live shorter and more challenging lives than other Americans. Approximately seventy-five percent of AI adults are overweight or obese (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2017). AIs and NHs are 2 to 3 times more likely to have diabetes than non-Hispanic Whites (Grandinetti et al., 2007). In addition, the prevalence of heart disease is 20% higher among AIs compared to all other ethnic/racial groups in the United States (Barnes, Adams, & Powell-Griner, 2010) and is 68% higher among NHs compared to other Hawaii ethnic groups (Johnson, Oyama, LeMarchand, & Wilkens, 2004). Furthermore, NHs develop a chronic disease and die, on average, a decade earlier than people of other ethnic groups (Johnson et al., 2004; Nakagawa, Koenig, Asai, Chang, & Seto, 2013; Nakagawa, MacDonald, & Asai, 2015). AI/AN/NHs also experience significantly higher rates of cigarette smoking, alcohol and illicit drug use, suicide rates, and traumatic exposure (Beals et al., 2013; Herne, Bartholomew, & Weahkee, 2014; Kaholokula, Braun, Kana'iaupuni, Grandinetti, & Chang, 2006; Manson, Beals, Klein, Croy, & the AI-SUPERPFP Team, 2005; Martell, Garrett, & Carballo, 2016; Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration [SAMHSA], 2010; Yuen, Nahulu, Hishinuma, & Miyamoto, 2000). These health disparities have been postulated to stem from various historical traumas experienced by this population, such as forced relocation from Native lands and placement into boarding schools (Evans-Campbell, 2008). As a result, these stark public health realities create an urgent necessity to mitigate and reduce the gap between Native health and the health of other groups within the United

States. A potential strategy is to develop culturally congruent interventions for health promotion in Native communities and to “decolonize” health care, or in other words, recognize and support Indigenous liberation by resisting the restraints existing within Western science.

Harnessing culture-centered knowledge and perspectives from communities to aid in intervention development is critical in creating programs that are sustainable within Indigenous communities. The recognition of community agency and the co-creation and local adaptation of interventions based on local cultural knowledge, practices, and aspirations can improve their ultimate efficacy and sustainability. There is a continuum of incorporating culture into interventions, ranging from more superficial cultural tailoring or adaptations (e.g., inserting Native terms and concepts to currently available Western approaches) to culture-centeredness (e.g., where the focus is on community influence and power to create or co-create specific interventions that incorporate core knowledge systems important to them, based on their histories, languages, values, and healing traditions; Dutta, 2007). Other researchers have compared and contrasted the cultural adaptation approach to the cultural grounded approach, which is similar to culture-centeredness (Okamoto, Kulis, Marsiglia, Steiker, & Dustman, 2014; Resnicow, Soler, Braithwaite, Ahluwalia, & Butler, 2000). Okamoto et al. (2014), for example, noted that studies using culturally adapted approaches have yielded mixed results and thus they strongly advocate for a culturally grounded approach for Native populations, where the cultural context is at the core of the intervention.

Similar to the notion of cultural grounding, culture-centeredness seeks to draw from cultural strengths, building from people’s agency, power, and language to direct health changes in their communities (Belone et al., 2017; Belone et al., 2016; Dutta, 2007; Wallerstein, Duran, Oetzel & Minkler, 2018). Culture-centeredness also embraces interventions that are based on Indigenous history, language, values, and healing traditions as ways for people to heal from historical traumas. Harnessing community-based knowledge in the development of culturally centered interventions has the potential to help Indigenous communities both decolonize and reclaim their cultural beliefs, practices, and aspirations that promote health and wellbeing.

Utilization of Indigenous-based theories and knowledge systems within Western-based intervention paradigms poses unique challenges in the development of culturally centered interventions for Indigenous populations. Nonetheless, research has demonstrated that it is possible to integrate Western-based notions and methods of behavior change with Indigenous holistic health belief systems (Baldwin, Johnson, & Benally, 2009; Kaholokula et al., 2017). However, even with a trend toward more community-driven prevention strategies and the focus on greater cultural centeredness, many of the approaches used to guide development of prevention programs continue to be based on Western and individualistic paradigms (Kagawa-Singer, Dressler, George, & Elwood, 2015; Walsh, 2014).

Recent influential publications incorporate a broad view of culture as reflecting the real-world context of Indigenous populations (Kagawa-Singer, 2012). A recent Office of

Behavior and Social Services Research (OBSSR)-funded consensus report calls for a complete “Cultural Framework for Health Research” that recognizes the multi-dimensionality of culture and the importance of viewing communities within their historical, social, and political contexts (Kagawa-Singer et al., 2015). This comprehensive report also challenges researchers to recognize their socialization into the dominant academic scientific perspective, with its bias that Western science approaches are sufficient. It calls for recognizing that communities and research participants have equally valid understandings and knowledge to contribute. We therefore can create better science and rebalance power between academics and communities through the integration of decolonizing approaches that honor Indigenous and cultural knowledge as key to the research process (Denzin, Lincoln, & Smith, 2008).

There are inherent challenges in infusing scientific methodologies with Indigenous healing practices, as the latter is based on broader systems of knowledge that are more challenging to reconcile within the current established procedures of scientific research (Gone, 2016). Although utilization of AI/AN/NH traditional practices has been advocated by many AI/AN/NH community members and leaders (Dickerson, Johnson, Castro, Naswood, & Leon, 2012; Native American Health Center, 2012), their recognition as a viable and beneficial treatment option among the scientific establishment has been minimal.

To our knowledge, no prior work has described the challenges and issues associated with utilization of Western-based scientific methodologies in the development of culturally centered interventions for this population across a nationally representative group of National Institutes of Health (NIH)-funded studies. This paper seeks to fulfill this gap in the literature utilizing a culturally appropriate framework that includes headings reflecting the process of acknowledging, harnessing, gathering, and honoring the “Medicine” or ways of healing.

Harnessing the Medicine (Methods)

Protocol and Approach

This paper was initiated from monthly telephone meetings held among all funded investigators of the Intervention Research to Improve Native American Health (IRINAH) network organized by the NIH from 2015–2017. IRINAH comprises the Principal Investigators of projects supported under NIH PAR-17–496 grants (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services [DHHS], 2016) in order to build collaborative relationships and to assist each other in addressing relevant issues in our studies. Funding for the IRINAH grants was as a result of NIH staff recognizing the need for stronger prevention efforts and published research that addresses health concerns affecting AI/AN/NH communities (Crump, Etz, Arroyo, Hemberger, & Srinivasan, 2017). Monthly collaborative investigator calls were conducted to foster networking and relationships and the sharing of lessons learned following the implementation of the studies.

Review Selection

An emergent, qualitative analysis of studies currently funded under the IRINAH program was conducted. Analyses of these studies, which were deemed feasible as part of the IRINAH initiative, will provide the NIH with important information that can be useful in the design of future grant programs addressing AI/AN/NHs, and was an alternative to conducting a larger review of similar studies. The studies were reviewed by all authors utilizing a summary report provided by each of the 21 research teams. These data included the target health condition and Native population, specific aims, and research design and methods. Research proposals and summaries were provided by PIs of the studies analyzed, which included the co-authors of this paper. Studies were reviewed by authors of this manuscript for patterns and themes within and across the studies based on their target health condition and population. Over a series of teleconferences, all authors then discussed the overarching themes until reaching consensus. In order to minimize bias, strict adherence to the analyses protocol was followed; in addition, several discussions on the interpretation of the results occurred.

Gathering the Medicine (Results)

General Study Characteristics

A total of 21 studies funded under the “Interventions for Health Promotion and Disease Prevention in Native American (NA) Populations” program were analyzed (see Appendix 1). Seven of the studies analyzed are led by the eight authors in this paper. Eight NIH Institutes granted funding to these projects. Thirteen (32.5%) of the 40 Principal/Co-Principal Investigators are of AI/AN/NH descent. As shown in Table 1, a wide diversity of health areas are being addressed. Seven studies address substance use issues (33.3%). Most of the studies are being conducted exclusively in rural/tribal communities (14/21, 71.4%). With regard to type of intervention, eight studies developed and analyzed culturally adapted evidence-based treatment (EBT) interventions.

Challenges Identified

Four broad challenges when scientifically establishing effective interventions for Native populations were revealed. These challenges were: (a) their ability to harness culture-centered knowledge and perspectives from communities, (b) their utilization of Indigenous-based theories and knowledge systems within Western-based intervention paradigms and theories, (c) their use of Western-based methodologies and theories, and (d) their cultural adaptation, if based on an EBT.

Ability to harness culture-centered knowledge and perspectives from communities.—Examples of three of the strategies employed as it relates to harnessing culturally centered knowledge and perspectives from communities in intervention development are provided in Table 2. The majority of these studies utilized qualitative methodologies in order to develop and refine interventions and to ensure cultural appropriateness and community buy-in. Tribal and AI/AN community partners were key contributors across research projects. AI/AN community partnership committees, community elders/cultural practitioners, Native research consultants, tribal community

advisory groups, and tribal research teams were involved in research activities. Community-based recruitment strategies were employed with regard to recruitment of participants across research projects, including the use of community advisory boards, tribal research teams, community planning groups, and a community action project.

Utilization of Indigenous-based theories (knowledge) within Western-based intervention paradigms and theories.—

Various Indigenous-based theoretical constructs and theories were utilized across studies. Studies developing interventions incorporating specific traditional practices are provided in Table 3. Wallerstein and Belone (R01-DA07174–01) utilized Core Indigenous Theory (Cajete, 1994; Pankratz et al., 2006), showcasing how culture, language, and community are central to learning in their Family Listening/Circle Program (FL/CP), a culturally centered and evidence-based prevention program that has demonstrated promising preliminary data relating to substance use risk factors for elementary-school children.

Across studies, the most commonly utilized cultural-based measures sought to assess AI/AN/NH cultural identity, social connectedness, and spirituality. Additional cultural-based scales utilized among IRINAH-funded studies included: Native Reliance (Lowe, Liang, & Henson, 2016; Lowe, Riggs, Henson, & Liehr, 2009), Health Impact Assessment Tool (HIA) (which utilized an iterative process based on discussions in English and the Choctaw language) (HIP, n.d.), a 5-item version of the Oppression Questionnaire (Victoroff, 2005), Awareness of Connectedness Scale (Mohatt, Fok, Burket, Henry, & Allen, 2011), and Historical Losses Scale (Whitbeck, Adams, Hoyt, & Chen, 2004). Measures of several other critical constructs included: the Alaska Native Wellness measure (Wolsko, Lardon, Hopkins, & Ruppert, 2006), traditional use of tobacco, boarding school attendance, perceptions of the boarding school experience, engagement in traditional Native activities, social connectedness using the Interpersonal Needs Questionnaire (Van Orden, Cukrowicz, Witte, & Joiner, 2012; Van Orden, Witte, Gordon, Bender, & Joiner, 2008), and Reflective Processes (adapted from the adult Yup'ik Protective Factors scale; Allen et al. 2012). Whitesell (1R01-DA035111–01) is utilizing various items from Kaufman et al. (2014) to measure cultural knowledge and values. Whitbeck (R01-DA037177–01 A1) is planning on using measures of Indigenous family influences and cultural processes. Mishra (1R01-CA192967) plans on utilizing items from prior studies to measure the following constructs: social norms and support; socio-ecological level variables, such as social norms and health access; cultural influences on colorectal cancer screening; and social network assessment (Philip, Ford, Henry, Rasmus, & Allen, 2016). Walters et al. (2018) provides a more thorough overview of Indigenous-based theoretical constructs and theories utilized in the development of culture-centered interventions from the ground-up (refer to Walters et al., 2018, in this Special Edition Journal).

Use of Western-based methodologies and theories.—Various Western-based theoretical approaches were utilized in the development of interventions throughout IRINAH studies. Gittelsohn (5R01-HL122150–02) is utilizing Social Cognitive Theory (Bandura, 1986) and the Social Ecological Model (Bronfenbrenner, 1986) to guide intervention development by helping to conceptualize the individual as situated within broad family,

institutional, community and political networks that influence their perceptions, behavior, and ultimately, their health status. Booth/Buchwald/Oxford (1R01-NR014153-01) are utilizing Bowlby's Attachment Theory (Bowlby, 1969) to guide intervention development in understanding the experience and reactions of infants and children exposed to stress, adverse events, and trauma. To understand the factors affecting tobacco use among AIs, Choi (1R01-CA174481-01) utilizes Critical Medical Anthropology (CMA; Singer and Baer, 1995) as an overarching organizing perspective. CMA calls for the integrated study of the political-economic framework and cultural meaning of tobacco. Choi added Kleinman's idea of patient/provider explanatory models (Kleinman, Eisenberg, & Good, 1978) and the Protection Motivation Theory (PMT; Rogers, 1975) to guide his web-based smoking cessation program at the intermediate, micro-social, and individual levels. Choi will also address the individual behavior of cessation by incorporating ideas from PMT into his curriculum. PMT includes ideas from the Health Belief Model (Rosenstock, 1974) and the constructs of self-efficacy, fear arousal, appraisal, and coping.

Standard randomized control trial (RCT) methodologies were employed in 17 of the 21 (81.0%) of studies. However, some non-RCT approaches were employed. For example, Wallerstein and Belone (1R01-DA037174-01), using Paulo Freire's empowerment theory from the Global South, are conducting a quasi-experimental comparative longitudinal design within and across three tribal communities by a comparison of the intervention group with the usual and customary comparison group, an advantage not possible with single-group over-time design. Also, utilization of a stratified-group randomized study design was employed by Gittelsohn (5R01-HL122150-02). Three AI communities were selected to serve as an intervention group and three were selected as the comparison (delayed intervention) group since the interventions involved community-based interventions. Whitesell (1R01-DA035111-01) provided one of four components of the intervention "Thiwahe Gluwas'akapi" to participants in order to observe how well each component works in order to create the best intervention "package" at the end. One IRINAH study (Lowe and Baldwin [1R01-DA035143-01A1]) has strengthened youth capacity by having the youth who initially received the intervention help implement the program for the youth in the lagged intervention group. Another study (Belone and Wallerstein [1R01-DA037174-01]) interviews tribal program leaders to assess the level of diffusion of intervention components in order to identify widespread community benefit.

Cultural adaptation if based on an evidence based treatment (EBT).—Several studies culturally adapted EBTs for Indigenous populations, most often using formative mixed qualitative and quantitative methods. The studies reviewed are not using designs to test whether culturally adapted interventions are more effective than non-adapted interventions. The rationale for cultural adaptation came from initial community needs assessments or other formative/relationship building work indicating that approaches specific to the culture were most relevant and potentially effective. For instance, in the delivery of "5 A's intervention for pregnant smokers," a community-based intervention for tobacco cessation, Patten's study (1R01 CA164533-01A10) uses a "Native Sister," in contrast to research staff to deliver the intervention, which is culturally relevant but brings challenges with respect to ensuring successful implementation and fidelity of the

intervention. Patten also modifies content to include digital stories and to promote Native cultural activities to cope with nicotine withdrawal and stress. Social marketing campaign messages and delivery channels were developed through focus groups and individual interviews of pregnant women, family members, and Elders. To guide adaptation and implementation of “Brief Alcohol Screening and Intervention for College Students (BASICS),” a Tier 1, evidence-based alcohol intervention from mainstream colleges, Duran (1R01-AA022068–01) is utilizing a qualitative exploration of key themes emerging from Tribal College students, faculty and staff to guide adaptation and implementation of the intervention. Booth-LaForce, Buchwald, and Oxford (1R01-NR014153–01) will use a descriptive, qualitative method to adapt the Promoting First Relationships (PFR) intervention. Focus groups will be conducted among elders, caregivers, and healthcare and agency staff to assist in the adaptation of the intervention. Investigators will then discuss and summarize key points with regard to adapting the intervention.

Honoring the Medicine (Discussion)

Our analysis provides a unique perspective with regard to how researchers currently funded under the “Interventions of Health Promotion and Disease Prevention in Native American (NA) populations” grant mechanism are addressing key challenges to working with AI/AN/NH communities. Our findings highlight the necessity of employing a community-based participatory approach and having partnerships in place between researchers and AI/AN/NH communities to help ensure culture-centered interventions are developed in a culturally appropriate manner. It is clear that community member involvement, from initiation, to planning, to implementation and evaluation strategies, is a critical aspect of working with tribal communities. A new conceptual CBPR model showcases the value of understanding cultural context as the basis for creating equitable partnering processes (Wallerstein et al., 2018). Effective community involvement can also produce intervention sustainability, build community capacity, and promote culture-centered interventions and transferability of study findings (Belone et al., 2017). Ultimately, obtaining community buy-in and participation in developing/adapting interventions will be more likely to result in a decrease in health disparities by improving interventions and enhancing positive outcomes of health and well-being. Further, federal support addressing health disparities research is needed in order to keep these efforts going and to more fully realize the potential of developing culture-centered interventions.

In the IRINAH network, several teams are using Western-based theories/frameworks. This finding is somewhat surprising due to the specific call of this grant announcement targeting NA communities. Researchers may have been obligated to utilize these theories partially due to the expectation of their use by NIH reviewers. Some Western-based theories, however, may resonate for AI/AN/NH communities or can become hybrid models by incorporating Indigenous constructs and theories. The Western theories/models that may be more relevant are multi-level, collective, or family-based approaches. Several IRINAH projects are also applying Indigenous ways of knowing and theoretical constructs that might be considered more culturally based, such as collectivism, belonging, balance, respect, spirituality, harmony, and holism. Despite these advances, more research is necessary to assess how the theories/frameworks and constructs used by IRINAH investigators are operationalized across

studies and how they are effectively integrated into prevention programs in AI/AN/NH communities (Walsh, 2014). Ideal theoretical frameworks for future AI/AN/NH prevention programs might embrace adaptability and cultural tailoring; cultural beliefs, spirituality, resiliency and traditional practices; and individual, familial, and community factors, while also addressing contextual factors. These contextual factors include historical events and societal risks such as historical trauma, colonization, racism, and present-day social economic conditions, as well as contextual factors of resilience including cultural pride and identity, determination, social cohesion, and collective efficacy (Kirmayer et al., 2011; Kirmayer et al., 2009).

Utilization of cultural-based measures analyzing culturally specific constructs, such as cultural identity and spirituality, may assist toward capturing how culturally centered interventions can alleviate AI/AN/NH's suffering from a variety of health problems. Established guidelines with regard to the development and utilization of assessments analyzing these constructs among AI/AN/NHs are needed. Such efforts can help to advance science by promoting more effective ways to identify common challenges as well as effective CBPR practices.

While the vast majority of funded research studies analyzed in this report utilized some version of a RCT research design, the IRINAH investigators strongly challenge the notion that a RCT is always the best way to test an intervention in the context of AI/AN communities. The advantage of using RCTs are that they fit the traditional scientific "gold standard" of testing efficacy and effectiveness across populations and are highly acceptable to scientific review bodies. However, there are multiple disadvantages to the use of RCTs in AI/AN/NH populations, where they may be perceived as unacceptable and unfair. Randomization may not appear to make sense if the investigators have longer and more established relationships with some communities over others, and therefore may be more "ready" for the intervention. Ethical and social justice concerns often arise through the use of RCTs in this population, as the control-group communities or individuals are seen as receiving a lesser intervention, or none at all. Other challenges include possible cross-contamination in small, close-knit communities, recruiting sufficient numbers in each condition in small communities, the desire to provide fair access to the program to the whole population, and the concern that Western research protocols do not prioritize the importance of providing benefits to the entire community. In fact, for tribal members on the research team, to deny other tribal members the more effective, or even what is perceived to be the more effective intervention, can result in an ethical and cultural, and often stressful, struggle for both academic and community investigators.

Multiple strategies have sought to address the disadvantages of utilizing RCTs among AI/AN/NH communities, though these, too, face challenges. For example, some RCT designs have randomized schools versus randomizing individuals to reduce cross-contamination. NIH reviewers have leveled critiques at these designs because they perceive the units of analysis are too few, even though many tribal communities have only two schools to include in the design. Another strategy (used by several of the IRINAH studies) includes working with multiple tribal communities in order to aggregate data for larger numbers. Randomization of community may be necessary for complex multi-level

community-based trials. However, the complexity of this design can also add burdens of increased staff and resource needs, as well as needing to go through independent, and often lengthy, approval processes within each tribe.

It is important to note that other potentially more culturally appropriate designs have been observed. For example, traditionally, designs that have been effective in addressing fair access to interventions can include delayed interventions as comparison groups. Yet, these too may produce more mistrust if community members do not understand the wait or the reasons behind this wait. It is critically important to work through design issues with tribal community advisory boards or tribal research teams. RCTs might be considered the gold standard, but they are often not able to provide the longevity of follow-up or be adaptive enough to respond to changing conditions (Frieden, 2017). Other designs are emerging as important alternatives, such as pragmatic adaptive trials; matching interventions with risk; or mixed methodologies that also incorporate qualitative data collection into all kinds of designs, including RCTs, quasi-experimental, single pre-post, or multiple case studies to triangulate understandings about the pathways to change and how cultural perspectives contribute to intervention effectiveness (Frieden, 2017). Rather than rely only on comparisons, alternative secondary aims can seek to build capacity in tribal programs for sustainability and diffusion. We recommend, for any research project, that these advantages and disadvantages are well discussed with tribal partners before any decisions are made on the range of available options. Specifically, what forms of non-RCT study designs will work in NA communities and still be acceptable to Western science? The studies reviewed here do not address such questions, but the IRINAH-developed interventions could be incorporated into future studies to examine these issues. The methods used to culturally adapt and re-center EBTs included the use of focus groups, discussions with community advisory boards, key informant interviews, consultation with cultural leaders, and community review and decision-making related to intervention development and methods. Formalizing the process of adaptation helps to ensure that the integrity of the intervention is kept intact, while maximizing its deliverability to the target population (Barrera & Castro, 2006; Lau, 2006). Western science has been criticized for assuming that recommended evidence-based interventions (e.g., CDC, 2015) “should work for all,” even if subpopulations were not adequately included in the clinical trials. However, there is evidence based on meta-analyses studies by Benish, Quitana, and Wampold (2011) and Smith, Rodriguez, and Bernal (2011) that culturally adapted behavioral interventions may be more effective than their unadapted original version for addressing primary outcomes.

Furthermore, cultural adaptations may be required not just for AI/AN/NHs in a general sense, but also more specifically for AI/AN/NH subpopulations, such as those residing in urban areas, small rural or island communities, or communities where cultural resources are limited. On the other hand, there are few data on the potential impact of culturally designed versus non-adapted (i.e., general audience) interventions (Belone et al., 2017; Belone et al., 2016; Kaholokula et al., 2017). Most of the studies reviewed here are outside the scope of addressing such questions but the IRINAH-developed interventions could be tested in future trials examining this issue. In addition, the extent to which adaptations need to be made to specific tribal groups or sub-populations is not clear, which decreases potential scalability

and dissemination of interventions, or if broad adaptations could be made that have relevance to several communities (Institute of Medicine, 2012, 2013).

Some interventions identified in this review are utilizing AI/AN/NH traditional practices. Although various reports have advocated for their utilization in the development of new treatments that could address the unique needs of this population, further NIH-funded studies analyzing their potential effectiveness are needed in order to aid in wider dissemination and reimbursement of services by major insurance companies and Medicare/Medicaid.

With regard to study limitations, some authors on this paper are principal investigators of the studies analyzed, which may cause bias. Thus, this study may not be as objective as it would be if non-involved researchers conducted it.

Strategies employed by recently funded researchers under the “Interventions of Health Promotion and Disease Prevention in Native American (NA) Populations” program demonstrate how barriers historically facing researchers can be overcome through utilization of qualitative methodologies and through the blending of both Indigenous-based and Western-based theories and methodologies. Also, recognizing that investigators conducting research among AI/AN/NHs may feel forced into using conventional research designs, including RCTs and various Western-based theoretical constructs, to increase their funding chances deserves further attention. Nonetheless, research strategies found in this study demonstrate how culture-centered interventions, guided by both scientific and Indigenous-based principles, can result in the development of potentially beneficial interventions that can help to decrease health disparities that are known to exist within this population. Collectively, the funded IRINAH projects demonstrate the importance, relevance, and viability of Indigenous-based theories and knowledge systems in achieving health equity for AI/AN/NH communities.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Funding. Funding for this work was provided by R01 AA022066–04 from NIAAA, 1R01ES022583–01A1 from NIEHS and OBSSR, R01 CA164533 from NCI, 1R01HL126577 from NHLBI, and 1R01DA037174 from NIDA.

References

- Allen J, Ching C, Fok T, Henry D, Skewes M, & People Awakening Team. (2012). Umyuangcaryaraq “Reflecting”: Multidimensional assessment of reflective processes on the consequences of alcohol use among rural Yup’ik Alaska Native youth. *The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*, 38, 468–475. doi: 10.3109/00952990.2012.702169. [PubMed: 22931081]
- Baldwin JA, Johnson JL, & Benally CC (2009). Building partnerships between indigenous communities and universities: Lessons learned in HIV/AIDS and substance abuse prevention research. *American Journal of Public Health*, 99(Suppl 1), S77–S82. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2008.134585. [PubMed: 19246672]
- Bandura A (1986). *Social foundations in thought and action*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

- Barnes PM, Adams PF, & Powell-Griner E (2010). Health characteristics of the American Indian or Alaska Native adult population: United States, 2004–2008. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Contract No.: 20.
- Barrera M, & Castro FG (2006). A heuristic framework for the cultural adaptation of interventions. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 13, 311–316. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2850.2006.00043.x.
- Beals J, Belcourt-Dittloff A, Garrouette EM, Croy C, Jervis LL, Whitesell NR, ...the AI-SUPERPFPP Team. (2013). Trauma and conditional risk of posttraumatic stress disorder in two American Indian reservation communities. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 48, 895–905. doi: 10.1007/s00127-012-0615-5. [PubMed: 23135256]
- Belone L, Orosco A, Damon E, Smith-McNeal W, Rae R, Sherpa ML, ... Wallerstein N (2017). The piloting of a culturally centered American Indian family prevention program: A CBPR partnership between Mescalero Apache and the University of New Mexico. *Public Health Reviews*, 38(30), 13. doi: 10.1186/s40985-017-0076-1. [PubMed: 29450085]
- Belone L, Tosa J, Shendo K, Toya A, Straits K, Tafoya G, ... Wallerstein N (2016). Community based participatory research co-creating interventions with Native communities: A partnership between the University of New Mexico and the Pueblo of Jemez. In Zane N, Leong F & Bernal G (Eds.), *Evidence-based psychological practice with ethnic minorities: Culturally informed research and clinical strategies* (pp. 199–220).
- Benish SQ, Quintana S, & Wampold BE (2011). Culturally adapted psychotherapy and the legitimacy of myth: A direct-comparison meta-analysis. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 58(3), 279–289. doi: 10.1037/a0023626. [PubMed: 21604860]
- Bowlby J (1969). *Attachment and loss*. New York: Basic Books.
- Bronfenbrenner U (1986). Ecology of the family as a context for human development: Research perspectives. *Developmental Psychology*, 22(6), 723–742.
- Cajete G (1994). *Look to the mountain: An ecology of Indigenous education* (1st ed.). Durango, CO: Kivaki Press.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2017). *Summary Health Statistics: National Health Interview Survey: 2015*. Table A-15.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2015, 11 9). Evidence-based practices. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/stlpublichealth/program/resources/evidence.html>.
- Crump AD, Etz K, Arroyo JA, Hemberger N, & Srinivasan S (2017). Accelerating and strengthening Native American health research through a collaborative NIH initiative. *Prevention Science*. doi: 10.1007/s11121-017-0854-5. [Epub ahead of print]
- Denzin NK, Lincoln YS, & Smith LT (Eds.). (2008). *Handbook of critical and Indigenous methodologies*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Dickerson DL, Johnson CL, Castro C, Naswood E, & Leon JMP (2012). *CommUNITY Voices: Integrating traditional healing services for urban American Indians/Alaska Natives in Los Angeles County Learning Collaborative Summary Report*. Los Angeles, CA: Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health.
- Dutta MJ (2007). Communicating about culture and health: Theorizing culture-centered and cultural sensitivity approaches. *Communication Theory*, 17, 304–328. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2885.2007.00297.x.
- Evans-Campbell T (2008). Multilevel framework for exploring impacts on individuals, families, and communities historical trauma in American Indian/Native Alaska Communities: A multilevel framework for exploring impacts on individuals, families, and communities. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 23, 316–338. doi: 10.1177/0886260507312290. [PubMed: 18245571]
- Frieden TR (2017). Evidence for health decision making—Beyond randomized, controlled trials. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 377, 465–475. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1614394.
- Gone JP (2016). Alternative knowledges and the future of community psychology: Provocations from an American Indian healing tradition. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 58(3–4), 314–321. doi: 10.1002/ajcp.12046. [PubMed: 27216322]
- Grandinetti A, Kaholokula JK, Theriault AG, Mor JM, Chang HK, & Waslien C (2007). Prevalence of diabetes and glucose intolerance in an ethnically diverse rural community of Hawai'i. *Ethnicity & Disease*, 17, 245–250.

- Health Impact Partners (n.d.). New to HIA? Retrieved from: <http://www.humanimpact.org/new-to-hia/> on 9 17, 2017.
- Herne MA, Bartholomew ML, & Weahkee RL (2014). Suicide mortality among American Indians and Alaska Natives, 1999–2009. *American Journal of Public Health*, 104(Suppl, 3), S336–S342. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2014.301929. [PubMed: 24754665]
- Institute of Medicine. (2012). *An integrated framework for assessing the value of community-based prevention*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Institute of Medicine. (2013). *Leveraging culture to address health inequalities: Examples from native communities: Workshop summary*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Johnson DB, Oyama N, LeMarchand L, & Wilkens L (2004). Native Hawaiians mortality, morbidity, and lifestyle: Comparing data from 1982, 1990, and 2000. *Pacific Health Dialogue*, 11, 120–130.
- Kagawa-Singer M (2012). Applying the concept of culture to reduce health disparities through health behavior research. *Preventive Medicine*, 55, 356–361. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2012.02.011 [PubMed: 22391576]
- Kagawa-Singer M, Dressler WW, George SM, & Elwood WN (2015). *The cultural framework for health: an integrative approach for research and program design and evaluation*. Bethesda, MD: Retrieved from https://obssr.od.nih.gov/sites/default/files/cultural_framework_for_health%201.pdf
- Kaholokula JK, Braun KL, Kana'iaupuni S, Grandinetti A, & Chang HK (2006). Ethnic-by-gender differences in cigarette smoking among Asian and Pacific Islanders. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*, 8, 275–286. doi: 10.1080/14622200500484600. [PubMed: 16766420]
- Kaholokula JK, Look M, Mabellos T, Zhang G, de Silva M, Yoshimura S, ... Sinclair KA (2017). Cultural dance program improves hypertension management for Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders: A pilot randomized trial. *Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities*, 4(1), 35–46. doi: 10.1007/s40615-015-0198-4. [PubMed: 27294768]
- Kaufman CE, Black K, Keane EM, Big Crow CK, Shangreau C, Arthur-Asmah R, ... Tuitt N (2014). Planning for a group-randomized trial with American Indian youth. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 54(3), S59–S63. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.12.028. [PubMed: 24560078]
- Kirmayer LJ, Dandeneau S, Marshall E, Phillips MK, & Williamson KJ (2011). Rethinking resilience from Indigenous perspectives. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry-Revue Canadienne De Psychiatrie*, 56(2), 84–91. [PubMed: 21333035]
- Kirmayer LJ, Sehdev M, Whitely R, Dandeneau SE, & Issac C (2009). Community resilience: Models, metaphors and measures. *Journal of Aboriginal Health*, 5(1), 62–117.
- Kleinman A, Eisenberg L, & Good B (1978). Culture, illness, and care: Clinical lessons from anthropologic and cross-cultural research. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 88(2), 251–258. [PubMed: 626456]
- Lau AS (2006). Making a case for selective and directed cultural adaptations of evidence-based treatments: Examples from parent training. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 13, 295–310. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2850.2006.00042.x.
- Lowe J, Riggs C, Henson J, & Liehr P (2009). Native-Reliance and word use in stories of stress. *Journal of Cultural Diversity*, 16(1), 5–9. [PubMed: 20669397]
- Lowe J, Liang H, & Henson J (2016). Preventing substance use among Native American early adolescents. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 44(8), 997–1010. doi: 10.1002/jcop.21823.
- Manson SM, Beals J, Klein S, Croy CD, & the AI-SUPERPFP Team. (2005). The social epidemiology of trauma in two American Indian reservation populations. *American Journal of Public Health*, 95, 851–859. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2004.054171. [PubMed: 15855465]
- Martell BN, Garrett BE, & Caraballo RS (2016). Disparities in adult cigarette smoking - United States, 2002–2005 and 2010–2013. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 65, 753–758. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6530a1. [PubMed: 27491017]
- Mohatt NV, Fok CC, Burket R., Henry D, & Allen J (2011). Assessment of awareness of connectedness as a culturally-based protective factor for Alaska Native youth. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 17(4), 444–455. doi: 10.1037/a0025456. [PubMed: 21988583]
- Nakagawa K, Koenig MA, Asai SN, Chang CW, & Seto TB (2013). Disparities among Asians and Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders with ischemic stroke. *Neurology*, 80, 839–843. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182840797. [PubMed: 23365055]

- Nakagawa K, MacDonald PR, & Asai SM (2015). Stroke disparities: Disaggregating Native Hawaiians from other Pacific Islanders. *Ethnicity & Disease*, 25, 157–161. [PubMed: 26118142]
- Native American Health Center. (2012). Native vision: A focus on improving behavioral health wellness for California Native Americans-California Reducing Disparities Project Native American population report. Oakland, CA: Native American Health Center.
- Okamoto SK, Kulis S, Marsiglia FF, Steiker LK, & Dustman PA (2014). Continuum of approaches toward developing culturally focused prevention interventions: From adaptation to grounding. *Journal of Primary Prevention*, 35, 103–112. doi: 10.1007/s10935-013-0334-z. [PubMed: 24322970]
- Pankratz MM, Jackson-Newsom J, Giles SM, Ringwalt CL, Bliss K, & Bell ML (2006). Implementation fidelity in a teacher-led alcohol use prevention curriculum. *Journal of Drug Education*, 36(4), 317–333. doi: 10.2190/H210-2N47-5X5T-21U4. [PubMed: 17533804]
- Philip J, Ford T, Henry D, Rasmus S, & Allen J (2016). Relationship of social network as protective factors in suicide and alcohol use disorder intervention for rural Yup'ik Alaska Native youth. *Psychosocial Intervention*, 25(1), 45–54. doi: 10.1016/j.psi.2015.08.002. [PubMed: 27110094]
- Resnicow K, Soler R, Braithwaite RL, Ahluwalia JS, & Butler J (2000). Cultural sensitivity in substance use prevention. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 28(3), 271–290. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1520-6629(200005)28:3<271::AID-JCOP4>3.0.CO;2-I.
- Rogers RW (1975). A protection motivation theory of fear appeals and attitude change. *Journal of Psychology*, 91(1), 93–114. [PubMed: 28136248]
- Rosenstock I (1974). Historical origins of the health belief model. *Health Education Monographs*, 2(4).
- Singer M, & Baer H (1995). *Critical medical anthropology*. Amityville, NY: Bayswood Publishing.
- Smith TB, Rodriguez MD, & Bernal G (2011). Culture. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 67(2), 166–175. doi: 10.1002/jclp.20757. [PubMed: 21105069]
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), Office of Applied Studies. (2010, 6 24). The NSDUH report: Substance use among American Indian or Alaska Native Adults. Rockville, MD: SAMHSA.
- United States Department of Health and Human Services (2016, 9 26). Intervention research to improve Native American health (IRINAH). Retrieved from <http://www.cancercontrol.cancer.gov/nativeamericanintervention>.
- Van Orden KA, Witte TK, Gordon KH, Bender TW, & Joiner TEJ (2008). Suicidal desire and the capability for suicide: Tests of the interpersonal-psychological theory of suicidal behavior among adults. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 76(1), 72–83. doi: 10.1037/0022-006X.76.1.72. [PubMed: 18229985]
- Van Orden KA, Cukrowicz KC, Witte TK, & Joiner TEJ (2012). Thwarted belongingness and perceived burdensomeness: Construct validity and psychometric properties of the Interpersonal Needs Questionnaire. *Psychological Assessment*, 24(1), 197–215. doi: 10.1037/a0025358. [PubMed: 21928908]
- Victoroff J (2005). Introduction to the oppression questionnaire (OQ). Retrieved from: <http://www.humiliationstudies.org/documents/VictoroffOppressionQuestionnaire.pdf>
- Wallerstein N, Duran B, Oetzel J, & Minkler M (Eds.). (2018). *Community-based participatory research for health: Advancing social and health equity* (3rd ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Walsh ML (2014). Substance abuse prevention in American Indian and Alaska Native Communities: Exploration of guiding theoretical underpinnings and theoretical fit in practice. Graduate Theses and Dissertations. <http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/etd/5398>.
- Walters KL, Johnson-Jennings M, Stroud S, Rasmus S, Charles B, Simeon J, ... Bolafentis J (2018). Growing from our roots: strategies for developing culturally grounded health promotion interventions in American Indian, Alaska Native, and Native Hawaiian communities. *Prevention Science*.
- Whitbeck LB, Adams GW, Hoyt DT, & Chen X (2004). Conceptualizing and measuring historical trauma among American Indian people. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 33(3–4), 119–130. doi: 10.1023/B:AJCP.0000027000.77357.31. [PubMed: 15212173]

- Wolsko C, Lardon C, Hopkins S, & Ruppert E (2006). Conceptions of Wellness among the Yup'ik of the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta: The Vitality of Social and Natural Connection. *Ethnicity & Health*, 11(4), 345–363. doi: 10.1080/13557850600824005. [PubMed: 17060033]
- Yuen NY, Nahulu LB, Hishinuma ES, & Miyamoto RH (2000). Cultural identification and attempted suicide in Native Hawaiian adolescents. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 39, 360–367. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200003000-00019. [PubMed: 10714057]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1.

General Characteristics of Studies Funded Under the “Interventions for Health Promotion and Disease Prevention in Native American Populations” Program (n=21)

Health Area Addressed	#(%)
Medical health and diet issues	5 (23.8)
Substance use issues	7(33.3)
Tobacco prevention	2 (9.5)
Mental health issues	1 (4.8)
Best burn practices and respiratory health	1 (4.8)
Caregiver sensitivity and responsiveness	1 (4.8)
Various combinations of health conditions	4(19.0)
Research setting	
Rural/tribal communities	15 (71.4)
Urban communities	1 (4.8)
Rural/tribal and urban/suburban communities	3 (14.3)
Tribal colleges	2 (9.5)
Type of intervention	
Cultural adaptations of currently available EBTs	8 (38.1)
Development of new interventions incorporating traditional practices	6 (28.5)
Development of colo-rectal cancer screening interventions	1 (4.8)
Social marketing campaigns	2 (9.5)
Computerized interventions, telemedicine, for multiple risk behaviors	1 (4.8)
Integration of EBTs with traditional practices/knowledge	3 (14.3)

Table 2.

Harnessing Culturally-Centered Knowledge and Perspectives from Communities in Intervention Development: Examples of Strategies Utilized

<p>Intervention: Intertribal Talking Circle, a substance abuse prevention intervention targeting AI youth; PI(s): Lowe/Baldwin Strategies employed: Utilized a Community Partnership Committee(CPC) of 5–6 tribal community representatives (tribal leaders/elders, school personnel, teachers, parents, and students). The CPC will engage in the following activities: (a) review and revise the intervention manual attending to the particular tribal language, community needs and culturally-appropriate content, (b) familiarize themselves with and provide input regarding the Native-Reliance Questionnaire and other instruments.</p>
<p>Intervention: <i>Caring Contacts</i>, a suicide prevention intervention, PI(s): Comtois/Nelson Strategies employed: Community leaders and elders will be invited to contribute content and to provide feedback on text messages used in a cultural adaptation of a suicide prevention intervention targeting young AI/AN adults. Candidate text messages will be reviewed by the CAB and by focus groups with tribal members to ensure that they are meaningful, acceptable, and relevant to the community.</p>
<p>Intervention: Motivational Interviewing and Culture for Urban Native American Youth (MICUNAY), PI(s): D'Amico/Dickerson Strategies employed: Community-based knowledge will be acquired through utilization of Sacred Path Indigenous Wellness Center, Community Advisory Boards, and focus groups with AI/AN youth, parents, and providers who serve the AI/AN community in order to ensure cultural sensitivity and appropriateness of the MICUNAY intervention.</p>

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 3.

Utilization of Indigenous-Based Theories (Knowledge) Within Western-Based Intervention Paradigms
Examples of Strategies Utilized

<p>Intervention: <i>Qungasvik</i> (Toolbox): Alcohol and suicide risk prevention for Yup'ik Alaska Native youth. PI(s): Allen/Rasmus Strategies employed: Indigenous knowledge was utilized in a community-driven and culturally-based intervention process guided by a local Indigenous theory of change. The intervention manual implements a flexible, mutable, and syncretic Yup'ik process of local adaptation of cultural activities reflecting both aboriginal as well as post-colonial attributes. The function of these intervention activities involves delivery of protective factors from alcohol misuse and suicide risk to youth.</p>
<p>Intervention: <i>K -HOLA Project</i>, an intervention utilizing hula, the indigenous dance form of Native Hawaiians. PI: Kaholokula Strategies employed: Indigenous knowledge was utilized by employing hula, a long-held traditional practice utilized in Native Hawaiian communities, to address hypertension. Hula is grounded in Indigenous knowledge and values expressed through components of chants/music and dancing, and education with regard to the cultural meaning of each hula dance.</p>
<p>Intervention: A wood stove intervention, PI(s): Belcourt/Noonan/Ward Strategies employed: Indigenous knowledge was utilized by identifying stories identified by community members regarding the historical and cultural role fire and wood stove use has had within the partnering Indigenous communities. As a result, three short films were utilized, including both culturally-adapted films and original films that were created by Native community members using traditional ecological knowledge shared by cultural leaders.</p>

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript