

Anticoccidial efficacy of Canary rue (*Ruta pinnata*) extracts against the caprine apicomplexan *Eimeria ninakohlyakimovae*¹

Adassa M. López,^{fi} María C. Muñoz,^{fi} José M. Molina,^{fi} Carlos Hermosilla,[‡] Anja Taubert,[‡] Rafael Zárate,^{||} Inna Hildebrandt,^{||} Grant McNaughton-Smith,[§] José L. Eiroa,[¶] and Antonio Ruiz^{fi2}

[†]Parasitology Unit, Department of Animal Pathology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Trasmontaña, 35416 Arucas, Las Palmas, Spain; [‡]Institute of Parasitology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Justus Liebig University Giessen, Schubertstrasse 81, 35392 Giessen, Germany; ^{||}Canary Islands Cancer Research Institute Foundation, Avda de la Trinidad s/n, 38204 San Cristóbal de La Laguna (S/C de Tenerife), Spain; [§]Centro Atlántico del Medicamento S.A., Avda. de la Trinidad 61, 38204 San Cristóbal de La Laguna (S/C Tenerife), Spain; and [¶]Department of Chemistry, Campus de Tafira, 35017 Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Las Palmas, Spain

ABSTRACT: Continuous use of anticoccidial treatments against *Eimeria* infections has resulted in the development of drug resistance. This study aimed to evaluate the anticoccidial efficacy of a methanolic extract derived from the endemic Canary rue (*Ruta pinnata*) plant of the Canary Islands, Spain, against *Eimeria ninakohlyakimovae* using in vitro assays. Freshly unsporulated oocysts were exposed to different concentrations of *R. pinnata* extract and thereafter evaluated for sporulation inhibition. Additionally, anticoccidial activity was examined by testing the viability of the *E. ninakohlyakimovae* sporozoites and their ability to infect bovine colonic epithelial cells after incubation with different concentrations of *R. pinnata*

plant extract. The inhibition of oocyst sporulation by the extract was both time and concentration dependent, with certain combinations affording the same levels of sporulation inhibition as formaldehyde used as positive control ($P < 0.001$). Moreover, concentrations >0.1 mg/mL also affected not only the viability of the sporozoites but also their cell invasion capacity ($P < 0.001$). Altogether, these results show that methanolic fruit extracts from *R. pinnata* have important anticoccidial activity against oocysts and sporozoites of *Eimeria*. The potential efficacy of the extracts against other animal/human parasites remains to be elucidated, and further studies are needed to better understand its mode of action against coccidian parasites.

Key words: anticoccidials; *Eimeria ninakohlyakimovae*; goat; *Ruta pinnata*

© The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the American Society of Animal Science. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com.

J. Anim. Sci. 2019.97:101–110
doi: 10.1093/jas/sky389

¹This study was funded by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (MICIN, project AGL2007-63415) and FEDER. The Canary Agency of Investigation, Innovation and Society of Information (ACIISI, project SolSubc2200801000244) is also here acknowledged for their financial support.

²Corresponding author: antonio.ruiz@ulpgc.es

Received December 20, 2017.

Accepted October 4, 2018.

INTRODUCTION

Caprine coccidiosis caused by the apicomplexan genus *Eimeria* is one of the major diseases affecting goat kids around the weaning period (Koudela and Bokova, 1998; Ruiz et al., 2006). Control of coccidiosis is currently based on management practices combined with the use of repeated administration of either prophylactic or

metaphylactic anticoccidials. However, extensive use of drugs has caused the emergence of drug-resistance in *Eimeria* spp. strains worldwide (Peek and Landman, 2003). Moreover, no new drugs against *Eimeria* have been introduced into the market for many years, and international legislation increasingly favors the abolition of the use of anticoccidial/coccidiostatic drugs for livestock destined for human nutrition (Dorne et al., 2013). Among alternative methods, the use of natural bioactive plants having antiparasitic activity has been suggested as a real possibility for the control of ruminant gastrointestinal nematodes (Waller and Thamsborg, 2004; Valderrábano et al., 2010). In this context, there are also studies showing that different plant extracts have anticoccidial activity against poultry *Eimeria* species (Nweze et al., 2009; Michels et al., 2011; Burt et al., 2013); however, the information available in ruminants is limited and mainly refers to in vitro methods.

Within the wide spectrum of endemic species in the Canary archipelago (Bramwell, 1998; Arechavaleta et al., 2010), the Canary rue (*Ruta pinnata*; family Rutaceae) is well known by local people for its medical properties. Some pictures of the plant can be found in Supplementary Figures 6–8. Interestingly, ethno-veterinary medicine studies performed in Canada have shown the frequent use of *Ruta* species in the traditional treatment of *Giardia* and *Toxoplasma* in pigs, cats, and dogs (Lans et al., 2007). Based on this information, we aimed to evaluate the anticoccidial effect of an extract derived from the endemic Canary rue (*R. pinnata*) by using different in vitro assays and experimental infections with the apicomplexan protozoa *Eimeria ninakohlyakimovae*.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

All animal procedures conducted in this study were approved by the University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria Animal Welfare Committee. The animals were treated according to the guidelines adopted in the European Communities Council (Directive 2010/63/EU).

Plant Material and Extracts

Mature fruits of *R. pinnata* (~1.5 kg) were randomly collected from wild *R. pinnata* plants distributed in different geographical areas of Gran Canaria, Tenerife, and La Palma (The Canary Islands, Spain: some pictures of the plant are available on the online version of the paper). After

drying at room temperature in the shade, they were homogenized and the plant material was extracted with methanol (Merck) using a Soxhlet extraction system (1.5–2.0 liters of the solvent per 70 g of dried fruits). Extraction was stopped when the solvent distillation did not show any pigmentation, ca. 24 to 36 h. Then the solvent was evaporated to dryness employing a rotavapour over a warm water bath set at 40 °C. The resulting extract was kept at room temperature in the dark until required for further use.

The extract was used at different concentrations after dissolving it in DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich). All working DMSO concentrations were previously checked to demonstrate that they did not affect the viability of the *E. ninakohlyakimovae* stages, unsporulated oocysts or free-released sporozoites, used in the in vitro assays.

Estimation of Condensed Tannin, Polyphenol, Coumarin, and Flavonoid Contents

The extracts were cordially analyzed for condensed tannins (CT) by Professor Harley D. Naumann (Division of Plant Sciences, University of Missouri) using the acid butanol assay (Hagerman, 2011). In this method, interflavan bonds between subunits of the flavan-3-ol CT polymers were oxidatively cleaved in hot, acidic alcohol to produce colored anthocyanidins, which were read spectrophotometrically. CT were purified from the extracts with Sephadex LH-20 (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO) using methods adapted from Strumeyer and Malin (1975) and Naumann et al. (2014) and were used as internally derived standards in the assay.

The amount of total phenolics in extracts was determined according to the Folin–Ciocalteu assay (Julkunen-Tiitto, 1985). Samples (100 µL) were introduced into test tubes containing 8.4 mL of water and 0.5 mL of Folin–Ciocalteu's reagent; and 1 mL of sodium carbonate (20%) were added. The tube were mixed and allowed to stand for 1 h in the darkness at room temperature. The absorbance was measured at 765 nm using a SHIMADZU 1700 UV–vis spectrophotometer. The estimation of phenolic compounds was carried out in triplicate, and the results were averaged. A calibration curve of gallic acid (GA) was prepared, and the results, determined by the regression equation of the calibration curve, were expressed as GA milligram equivalents.

Finally, the presence of coumarins and flavonoids in the extracts was estimated based on TLC on silica gel 60 PF_{254 + 366} plates (20 × 20 cm,

1 mm thickness, Merck Co.) by using the following mix as solvents: *n*-hexane-EtOAc (1:1) and *n*-hexane-EtOAc (3:2). After TLC, the different spots were visualized with visible spectrum light and wavelength light of 254 and 324 nm, with or without subsequent *oleum* treatment (sulfuric acid + glacial acetic acid + dH₂O, 8/32/160) and further heating at 120 °C.

Parasite Maintenance and Preparation

The *E. ninakohlyakimovae* GC strain used in this investigation was initially isolated from field infections in 2006 in Gran Canaria island, Spain (Ruiz et al., 2013), and maintained by continuous passages through male Majorera goat kids for oocyst production. For harboring oocysts, goat kids were orally infected at the age of 4 wk with 2×10^5 sporulated *E. ninakohlyakimovae* GC oocysts. Excreted oocysts were obtained from feces collected after 2 wk post infection (p.i.) according to the methods of Jackson (1964). Briefly, the feces were washed with tap water through decreasing pore size sieves (250, 150, and 80 µm) for the elimination of debris. The resulting oocyst suspension was mixed (1:1) with a saturated sugar solution (1.5 g/L density), and transferred to rectangular plastic bowls and incubated for 2 h at room temperature (RT). Then, floating oocysts were collected by applying glass plates (25 × 25 cm) to the surface of the sugar/oocyst suspension. Attached *E. ninakohlyakimovae* oocysts were collected by rinsing with distilled water, concentrated by centrifugation (1,100 × g, 10 min), re-suspended in a 4% aqueous solution of potassium dichromate (w/v; Merck), and incubated in the presence of oxygen at RT to induce oocyst sporulation as described elsewhere (Hermosilla et al., 2002). Resulting sporulated *E. ninakohlyakimovae* GC oocysts were stored at 4 °C in a 2% aqueous solution of potassium dichromate (w/v; Merck) until further use. A total of four goat kids were employed for the maintenance of the *E. ninakohlyakimovae* GC strain.

To obtain unsporulated *E. ninakohlyakimovae* oocysts for the oocyst sporulation inhibition assay, a modified technique of Jackson (1964) was used. Freshly harvested unsporulated oocysts were partially purified by stirring oocysts (ice bath, 20 min) in a 4% sodium hypochlorite (Panreac) solution, and centrifuged (10 min, 400 × g). Further purification was attained by two centrifugation steps (20 min, 400 × g) in Percoll (Amersham) gradients of 1:60 and 1:50, respectively. Clean unsporulated oocysts were immediately transferred to tissue cell culture

bottles (25 cm², Nunc) and kept at 4 °C in PBS for no longer than 3 d after initial collection. For the sporozoite viability assay and the sporozoite invasion assay, viable *E. ninakohlyakimovae* sporozoites were excysted from sporulated oocysts and purified by a modified excystation protocol according to Fayer and Hammond (1967). In brief, clean oocysts purified as described above were suspended in sterile 0.02 M L-cysteine HCl/0.2 M NaHCO₃ solution, and incubated in a 100% CO₂ atmosphere at 37 °C for 20 h. Subsequently, sporulated oocysts were suspended in Hank's balanced salt solution (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 0.4% (w/v) trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich) and 8% (v/v) sterile filtered caprine bile obtained from a local abattoir and were incubated under microscopic control for up to 4 h at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Free-released sporozoites were washed three times (20 min, 400 × g) in tissue culture 1640 RPMI medium (Sigma-Aldrich) and thereafter re-suspended in 1640 RPMI medium (Sigma-Aldrich) until further use.

In Vitro Oocyst Sporulation Inhibition Assay

By using this assay, we aimed to investigate the capacity of the extract to inhibit the sporulation of *E. ninakohlyakimovae* oocysts in vitro. Routinely, 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes were filled with a 20-µL solution of 5,000 unsporulated oocysts suspended in sterile PBS, 30 µL distilled H₂O, 50 µL of 10% potassium dichromate and 100 µL of different extract (C1 to C5: 3, 1.5, 0.75, 0.18, and 0.023 mg/mL). The incubations were performed at RT over three exposure times: 30 min, 4 and 24 h. After the incubation, oocysts were washed in distilled water four times (1,500 × g, 5 min) and after the last washing step oocysts were re-suspended again in 1 mL 2% potassium dichromate, transferred into 24-multiwell tissue culture plates (Nunc) and incubated in the presence of oxygen at RT. As negative controls, similar concentrations of DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich) to those used to solve the plant extracts (from 3% to 0.023%) were used, while serial formaldehyde solutions served as positive controls (C1 to C5: 4%, 2%, 1%, 0.25%, and 0.03%). The oocyst sporulation rate was determined after 72 h at RT under microscopic analysis, and for this purpose a minimum of 100 oocysts were counted and analyzed. The assay was repeated in a different day and, in both cases, triplicates of all the concentrations assessed were performed.

Sporozoite Viability Assay

The test was used to evaluate the ability of the extract to produce irreversible damage to viable sporozoites thereby killing this parasitic stage. For

this purpose, 5,000 per well viable *E. ninakohlyakimovae* sporozoites suspended in 100 µL sterile 1640 RPMI medium (Sigma-Aldrich) were transferred into 96-multiwell plates (Nunc) and mixed with an equal volume of different extract concentrations (C1 to C8: 10, 5, 2.5, 1.125, 0.625, 0.5, 0.1, and 0.025 mg/mL). The corresponding concentrations of DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich) were used as negative controls and heat-inactivated sporozoites (60 °C, 30 min) served as positive controls. After 3 h of incubation at RT, 100 µL of the supernatant were carefully removed and thereafter sporozoites were stained by adding 100 µL of the fluorescent dye Sytox Orange (Invitrogen) diluted in 1640 RPMI medium at 2.5 µM final concentration. After 15 min of incubation at RT, fluorescence was detected in a fluorescent microscope (Eclipse 80i, Olympus) at an excitation of 510 to 560 nm and an emission of 575 to 590 nm wavelength. All samples were run in triplicates. For the evaluation of the viability, 100 sporozoites were microscopically counted and analyzed for staining properties: Sytox Orange-unstained sporozoites were considered as 'viable', while stained parasites were classified as 'dead'.

Sporozoite Cell Invasion Assay

This assay was conducted to evaluate the ability of the extract to inhibit the capacity of *E. ninakohlyakimovae* sporozoites to invade host cells grown as monolayer cultures. For host cell culture system, immortalized bovine colonic epithelial cells (BCEC) were seeded (100,000 cells per well) onto 12-multiwell plates (Nunc) and incubated with 1640 RPMI medium supplemented with 5% penicillin/streptomycin and 3% fetal calf serum (FCS) up to a minimum of 70 to 80% confluence. DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as negative control and as positive controls different concentrations of sulfadoxine/trimethoprim (Veterin-Diftrin 24 Intervet) (C1 to C5: 10/2, 0.1/0.02, $10^{-3}/2 \times 10^{-4}$, $10^{-6}/2 \times 10^{-7}$ and $10^{-9}/2 \times 10^{-10}$ µg/mL) were employed. Sporozoites (5×10^5) were incubated in Eppendorf tubes with different concentrations of the extract (C1 to C5: 5, 2.5, 1, 0.1, and 0.01 mg/mL), positive and negative controls. The incubations were performed during 3 h at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ atmosphere. After the incubation, sporozoites were washed three times with 1640 RPMI medium ($2,000 \times g$, 5 min) and after the last washing step the sporozoite pellet was re-suspended in 1640 RPMI (100 µL). Sporozoites receiving different treatments were added to confluent BCEC and incubated overnight at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ atmosphere. The sporozoites suspension

was then discarded and the medium replaced with 1640 RPMI containing 5% penicillin/streptomycin and 3% FCS. Photographs were taken of a total of 15 randomly selected spaced power vision fields per well using an optical microscope (Leica DFC 290) and an adapted camera. The invasion rate was quantified by counting the total number of non-infected BCEC and the number of BCEC carrying intracellular sporozoites.

Cytotoxicity Assays

The in vitro cytotoxicity of the plant extracts was performed on two different cell cultures: BCEC and VERO cells (African green monkey kidney cells). BCEC were cultured according to the methodology described in the inhibition cell invasion assay. VERO cells were obtained from the European Collection of Cells Cultures (ECAACC 84113001) and cultivated in RPMI 1640 culture medium (Gibco) supplemented with 2 nM L-glutamine, 500 U/mL penicillin, 50 µg/mL streptomycin, and 10% FCS (Ruiz et al., 2010). Both cell types were cultured in 24-well plates (Nunc) and, when confluent, 150 µL of the following plant extract concentrations were added: 3.125, 0.625, 0.125, and 0.0125 mg/mL. Serial dilutions of DMSO (3%, 1.5%, 0.75%, and 0.325%) were used as negative control. After an overnight incubation, 250 µL of a 2.5 µM Sytox Orange solution were added. The mix was incubated during 10 min at RT and then washed thrice with RPMI 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Finally, the fluorescence intensity was analyzed by a fluorometric reader (Ascent Fluoroskan, Labsystems) using an excitation wavelength of 530 nm and detecting at 590 nm. The results were always confirmed by microscopical observations.

Statistical Analysis

Data from the three in vitro studies and cytotoxicity test were grouped and analyzed independently for significant differences by using the nonparametric Chi-square test. Effects of the different plant extract concentrations on parasites were compared to the corresponding DMSO controls. Effective dose that produced 50% reduction (ED50) of the oocyst sporulation rate, sporozoite viability or cell invasion rate was calculated by plotting a linear regression between the Napierian logarithm (ln) of the effect (y) assessed and log transformed concentrations (x). The formula used was $\ln y = a + bx$, where a and b are the slope of the line and the y -intercept, respectively. Differences were regarded

as significant at a level of $P < 0.05$. For all statistical data analysis, the Sigmastat 3.1 (Windows) was here used.

RESULTS

Estimation of Condensed Tannin, Polyphenol, Coumarin, and Flavonoid Contents

The different extracts assessed had mean values for polyphenol content of about 2 mg/mL, while no condensed tannin could be demonstrated in any of the samples evaluated. TLC showed a wide range of coumarins, specifically and strongly stained of blue color at 324 nm, mainly after *oleum* and heat treatment (Figure 1C and D) (Jaraíz García-Pallasar, 1972). By contrast, the only one single signal for flavonoid was visualized as a weak yellowish spot at visible spectrum light (Figure 1A, see arrow). Typical black color of the flavonoid could be also demonstrated at 254 nm, although relatively masked by the intensity of the signal of nearby compounds, e.g., coumarins (Figure 1B) (Mabry et al., 1970).




Figure 1. TLC of methanolic extracts of *R. pinnata* mature fruits visualized using spectrum visible light (A) and UV light at 254 (B) nm and 324 nm (C) wavelengths. Forth line (D) represents visualization at 324 nm after after *oleum* and heat treatment and the arrow marks the presence of weak yellowish spot at visible spectrum light (A), which probably corresponds to a flavonoid.

In Vitro Assays

The capacity of the extract to inhibit *E. nina-kohlyakimovae*-oocyst sporulation was both concentration and time dependent. As shown in Figure 2A, after 30 min of incubation only the highest concentration (3 mg/mL) of the extract produced a reduction in the sporulation compared to the negative control. After 4 h of incubation,




Figure 2. Oocyst sporulation inhibition assay. Percentage of sporulation after incubation for 30 min (A), 4 h (B) and 24 h (C) with different concentrations of methanolic extracts of *R. pinnata* mature fruits (MeOH Rp) (C1 to C5: 3, 1.5, 0.75, 0, 0.18 and 0.023 mg/mL). DMSO at the same dilutions than for MeOH Rp (from 3% to 0.023%) was used as negative control. Serial formaldehyde solutions served as positive controls (C1 to C5: 4%, 2%, 1%, 0.25%, and 0.03%). Statistical significance for MeOH Rp vs. DMSO: $P < 0.001$ (***) and $P < 0.01$ (**).

both the 1.5 and 3 mg/mL concentrations resulted in a significant reduction of the sporulation rate ($P < 0.01$ and $P < 0.001$, respectively) (Figure 2B). Finally, exposure of oocysts to the extract for 24 h induced a significant inhibition of sporulation ($P < 0.001$) at concentrations of 0.75 mg/mL and greater (Figure 2C). Interestingly, the level of inhibition of the sporulation produced by the 3 mg/mL concentration at this incubation time was similar to that observed with the positive control (formaldehyde at a 4% dilution).

The anticoccidial effect of the extract on the viability of *E. ninakohlyakimovae* sporozoites was dose dependent as illustrated in Figure 3. Concentrations of 5 and 10 mg/mL of the extract produced almost 100% mortality in the sporozoites ($P < 0.001$), a similar result to the one obtained by the heat-inactivation treatment. Lower concentrations still produced significant killing of *E. ninakohlyakimovae* sporozoites (2.5, 1.125, 0.625, and 0.5 mg/mL; $P < 0.001$) (0.1 mg/mL; $P < 0.01$), with 0.84 mg/mL being the effective dose 50. Only the lowest concentration (0.025 mg/mL) used here did not result in increased sporozoite mortality (Figure 3).

The effect of the extract on the ability of *E. ninakohlyakimovae* sporozoites to invade BCEC is displayed in Figure 4. The mean infection rate in negative DMSO controls cell cultures was 15%, ranging from 8.5% to 23%. Greater concentrations of methanolic extracts (3, 2.5, and 1 mg/mL) significantly reduced the infection rate of *E. ninakohlyakimovae* sporozoites in BCEC, with mean values of ~2% ($P < 0.001$). Samples incubated with 0.1 mg/




Figure 3. Sporozoite viability assay. Percentage of sporozoite mortality after treatment for 3 h with methanolic extracts of *R. pinnata* mature fruits (MeOH Rp) at different concentrations (C1 to C8: 10, 5, 2.5, 1.125, 0.625, 0.5, 0.1, and 0.025 mg/mL). The corresponding concentrations of DMSO were used as negative controls. The viability of the sporozoites was determined using the fluorescent dye Sytox Orang. Statistical significance for MeOH Rp vs. DMSO: $P < 0.001$ (***) and $P < 0.01$ (**).

mL resulted in an infection rate similar to the mean observed in positive controls, but still significantly lower than the corresponding DMSO counterpart ($P < 0.01$). Only the lowest concentration (0.01 mg/mL) did not produce any effect on the inhibition of host cell sporozoite invasion.

Cytotoxicity Assessment

The results of the cytotoxicity assays showed that the extract used in the study did not produce relevant cell death. Only at the highest concentration of the extract (3 mg/mL) the fluorescence intensity recorded was greater than that observed in the respective negative DMSO culture, both in BCE ($P < 0.001$) (Figure 5A) and VERO ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 5B) cells. At the following extract concentrations, the fluorescence intensity values were similar to the corresponding negative controls.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, the anticoccidial activity of the endemic Canarian plant *R. pinnata* on *E. ninakohlyakimovae* oocysts and sporozoites was tested in vitro. The results demonstrate that a methanolic extract of the mature fruit from *R. pinnata* has marked anticoccidial properties as demonstrated by their capacity to both inhibit the sporulation of *E. ninakohlyakimovae* oocysts and reduce the sporozoites viability/capacity to invade epithelial




Figure 4. Sporozoite cell invasion assay. Invasion rate on BCEC after treatment for 3 h with methanolic extracts of *R. pinnata* mature fruits (MeOH Rp) at different concentrations (C1 to C5: 5, 2.5, 1, 0.1, and 0.01 mg/mL). DMSO was used as negative control and as positive controls different concentrations of sulfadoxine/trimethoprim (Veterin-Diftrin 24 Intervet) (C1 to C5: 10/2, 0.1/0.02, $10^{-3}/2 \times 10^{-4}$, $10^{-6}/2 \times 10^{-7}$, and $10^{-9}/2 \times 10^{-10}$ $\mu\text{g/mL}$) were employed. The invasion rate of treated sporozoites was tested on bovine colonic epithelial cells (BCECs). Statistical significance for MeOH Rp vs. DMSO: $P < 0.001$ (***) and $P < 0.01$ (**).




Figure 5. Cytotoxicity analysis. The cytotoxicity effect of methanolic extracts of *R. pinnata* mature fruits (MeOH Rp) at different concentrations (C1 to C4: 3.125, 0.625, 0.125, and 0.0125 mg/mL) after 24 h of incubation in BCECs (A) and VERO (B) cells was evaluated. DMSO was used as negative control. Fluorescence intensities are displayed in arbitrary units (AU).

cells in vitro. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study in which the anticoccidial activity of Rutaceae has been investigated in a caprine *Eimeria* sp.

Alternatively to chemotherapeutic treatments, disinfectants applied to the environment to inhibit exogenous sporogony, which is an essential step for further *Eimeria* spp. infections, could be used to control ruminant coccidiosis as it has been suggested for poultry (Williams, 1997). Freshly *Eimeria* oocysts shed by infected animals are always unsporulated and undergo an exogenous asexual replication (sporogony) in the presence of oxygen and adequate temperature only after 48 h, which turns them into infectious

stages containing four sporocysts with two viable sporozoites each. Only fully sporulated oocysts will be able to propagate the disease when orally ingested by other susceptible animals of the farm (Mundt et al., 2003; Dausgies and Najdrowski, 2005). Although the inhibition of oocyst sporulation by the extract after relatively short incubation periods was rather moderate, and only significant at longer incubation times (24 h), some concentrations of the extracts were actually able to induce similar inhibition rates to those observed for positive controls (4% formaldehyde). The effect of concentration and contact time on efficacy against unsporulated *E. tenella* oocysts has been even reported for commercial chemical disinfectants, including Ammonium hydroxide, Phenol, Zixvirox, and Eco Bio (Samaha et al., 2013). Oocysts are considered the resistant stage of coccidian parasites, and thereby able to tolerate adverse physical and chemical agents and, accordingly, McDonnell and Russell (1999) classified the oocysts as the most resistant etiological agents to disinfectants, after the prions. For this reason, moderate to high concentrations and relatively abrasive chemicals are needed for the inhibition of the oocyst sporulation. As in the present study, some other plant extracts, such as the water extracts from pine bark (*Pinus radiatus*), have been tested for their ability to inhibit sporogony in different *Eimeria* species (Molan et al., 2009). However, whether this anticoccidial activity may derive in a practical disinfection tool in field conditions deserves further and more detailed research.

The scientific relevance of the anticoccidial properties of *R. pinnata* also relies on its activity against the first infective stage of all *Eimeria* in vivo, namely the sporozoite stages. *R. pinnata* extracts could not only affect the sporozoite viability but also its ability to actively invade host cells, where they must develop into first generation schizonts, macroschizonts of up to 300 μm in some species (e.g., *E. ninakohlyakimovae*) able to produce severe damage in vivo at the mucosa of the small intestine (Dai et al., 2006; Ruiz et al., 2013). Some reports on inhibitory effects of plant extracts against avian *Eimeria* sporozoites have demonstrated that carvacol, curcumin, or *Echinacea purpurea* extracts inhibit the invasion of Madin-Darby bovine kidney cells by *E. tenella* sporozoites after a 2-h exposure period (Burt et al., 2013). Khalafalla et al. (2011) also demonstrated that, after 3, 6, 18, and 24 h incubation periods, curcumin had considerable effects on sporozoites morphology and viability, reducing the capacity of *E. tenella* sporozoites to invade cells.

The specific anticoccidial effect of *R. pinnata* methanolic extracts against sporozoites of *E. ninakohlyakimovae* is still unknown, and could not be

clarified by the present study. Such effect should not necessarily be the same than the one responsible for the oocyst sporulation inhibition. Nonetheless, it seems evident that, by using the same concentrations, free sporozoites are more susceptible than oocysts when exposed to the extract. One plausible explanation for this phenomenon could be the oocyst wall, which represents a protective physical barrier for the internal sporozoites and allows *E. bovis* oocysts to resist up to 4.5 years in a humid environment at 4 °C (Hermosilla et al., personal communication). This could also be the explanation for the observed failure of sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciifolia*) phenolic extracts to inhibit sporulation of ovine *Eimeria* oocysts in vitro (Saratsi et al., 2012), in spite of the significant anticoccidial effect of sainfoin reported by the same authors when used as forage against *Eimeria* infections in lambs in vivo. By contrast, the extracts of *R. pinnata* used here were able to reduce the sporulation rate of *E. ninakholyakimovae*.

Although the exact mechanisms involved in the response of *E. ninakholyakimovae* to *R. pinnata* extracts are yet unresolved, it could be assumed that the bioactive properties of this plant arise from its high content of plant secondary metabolites, as previously demonstrated for other plants. Natural flavonoid extracts have been shown to reduce *Eimeria* oocyst output, oxidative stress, and promote greater mean daily weight gains in infected lambs (Pérez-Fonseca et al., 2016) and high concentrations of CT also possess anticoccidial activities in small ruminants (Burke et al., 2013; Kommuru et al., 2014). However, these two bioactive compounds could not be the responsible of the anticoccidial activity observed here, as no CT and limited flavonoids were present in the *R. pinnata* extract assessed. By contrast, we found relatively high concentration of polyphenols, a group of phytochemical compounds whose anticoccidial properties have been also demonstrated in poultry. For instance, tea-based diets, which are rich in polyphenols, were effective against *E. maxima* (Jang et al., 2007) and proanthocyanidin, a naturally occurring polyphenolic antioxidant widely distributed in grape seed and other sources, reduced *E. tenella* infection as shown by gut pathology, body weight, and mortality (Wang et al., 2008). Apart from polyphenols, we consistently found different coumarins as components of the *R. pinnata* extracts but, surprisingly, no references in literature could be found showing the anticoccidial effects of these compounds. However, coumarins have been shown to have antiparasitic activity against other protozoa, such as *Trypanosoma* (Gutiérrez et al., 2013), *Leishmania* (Napolitano et al., 2004), and even the Apicomplexa *Plasmodium* (Moon et al.,

2011). All the assumption concerning bioactive compound should nevertheless be taken with caution, as the antiparasitic activity of any plant extract might not dependent necessarily on the majoritarian compounds present in the extract (Mann et al., 1994; Li et al., 2017).

The assessment of the cytotoxicity of the extracts is commonly addressed when evaluating the antiparasitic activity of plant extracts, both in vitro and in vivo (Augustine et al., 1997; Khalafalla et al., 2011; Burt et al., 2013). Results concerning cytotoxicity obtained here revealed that the plant extracts did not induce relevant cell death on either BCEC or VERO cultures, which would be a guaranty for a safe use of *R. pinnata* as an anticoccidial. Although there are no data on the lack of toxicity in vivo of *R. pinnata*, a study on temporal and spatial variation in the diet composition of the endemic large lizard (*Gallotia galloti*) in Tenerife island, Spain, showed that *R. pinnata* fruits constitute the main ingredient of the diet, showing no cytotoxic effects to this animal (Rodríguez et al., 2008). Poikilothermic reptiles obviously differ from homeothermic ruminants in physiology and metabolic requirements, but it might be a hint for rather noncytotoxic properties of *R. pinnata* fruits. Besides, mostly in La Palma islands, where the natural population of *R. pinnata* is larger, these plants are also given to cattle when showing some gastrointestinal parasites (personal communication). Irrespectively of cytotoxicity, other side effects of the plant should be considered due to its antiprotozoal activities, particularly possible impact on beneficial/symbiotic protozoan populations within the digestive tract (e.g., rumen) (Prins, 1978; Bhatta et al., 2013).

Caprine coccidiosis in goat kids is still an important disease worldwide and there is strong evidence that control of the disease can not exclusively rely on chemical prophylactic or metaphylactic treatments but also on alternative solutions such as “green” drug discovery (phytotherapy), hygiene improvement, and/or development of immunoprophylactic strategies (Ruiz et al., 2014). This was the first attempt showing the in vitro anticoccidial effects of *R. pinnata* against *E. ninakholyakimovae*. Further research is needed to understand the mode of action and composition of bioactive metabolites of this Rutaceae and their effect against this and other ruminant *Eimeria* species or even other animal/human parasites. Studies on intensive in vitro culture systems of *R. pinnata* plant cultivation are indispensable to solve the problem of *R. pinnata*-derived material availability in large quantities.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available at *Journal of Animal Science* online.

LITERATURE CITED

- Arechavaleta, M., S. Rodríguez, N. Zurita, and A. García. 2010. Lista de especies silvestres de Canarias. Hongos, plantas y animales terrestres. Gobierno de Canarias, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain.
- Augustine, P. C., J. L. McNaughton, E. Virtanen, and L. Rosi. 1997. Effect of betaine on the growth performance of chicks inoculated with mixed cultures of avian *Eimeria* species and on invasion and development of *Eimeria tenella* and *Eimeria aceryulina* in vitro and in vivo. *Poult. Sci.* 76:802–809. doi:10.1093/ps/76.6.802
- Bhatta, R., L. Baruah, M. Saravanan, K. P. Suresh, and K. T. Sampath. 2013. Effect of medicinal and aromatic plants on rumen fermentation, protozoa population and methanogenesis in vitro. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. (Berl.)* 97:446–456. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0396.2012.01285.x
- Bramwell, D. 1998. Flora of the Canary Islands English version pocket guide. 1st ed. Rueda, Madrid, Spain.
- Burke, J. M., J. E. Miller, T. H. Terrill, S. T. Orlik, M. Acharya, J. J. Garza, and J. A. Mosjidis. 2013. *Sericea lespezea* as an aid in the control of *Eimeria* spp. in lambs. *Vet. Parasitol.* 193:39–46. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.11.046
- Burt, S. A., M. H. Tersteeg-Zijdeveld, B. G. Jongerius-Gortemaker, L. Vervelde, and J. C. Vernooij. 2013. In vitro inhibition of *Eimeria tenella* invasion of epithelial cells by phytochemicals. *Vet. Parasitol.* 191:374–378. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.09.001
- Dai, Y. B., X. Y. Liu, M. Liu, and J. P. Tao. 2006. Pathogenic effects of the coccidium *Eimeria ninakohlyakimovae* in goats. *Vet. Res. Commun.* 30:149–160. doi:10.1007/s11259-006-3228-1
- Dauguschies, A., and M. Najdrowski. 2005. Eimeriosis in cattle: current understanding. *J. Vet. Med. B Infect. Dis. Vet. Public Health* 52:417–427. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0450.2005.00894.x
- Dorne, J. L., C. Heppner, G. E. Kass, J. Schlatter, J. Alexander, and J. Fink-Gremmels. 2013. Special issue: risk assessment of undesirable substances in feed. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 270:185–186. doi:10.1016/j.taap.2012.11.011
- Fayer, R., and D. M. Hammond. 1967. Development of first-generation schizonts of *Eimeria bovis* in cultured bovine cells. *J. Protozool.* 14:764–772.
- Guíñez, R. F., M. J. Matos, S. Vazquez-Rodríguez, L. Santana, E. Uriarte, C. Olea-Azar, and J. D. Maya. 2013. Synthesis and evaluation of antioxidant and trypanocidal properties of a selected series of coumarin derivatives. *Future Med. Chem.* 5:1911–1922. doi:10.4155/fmc.13.147
- Hagerman, A. E. 2011. Method for acid butanol assay of dried fig samples. Tannin handbook. Oxford: Miami University. Available from <http://www.users.miamioh.edu/hagermae/> (Accessed 16 July 2017).
- Hermosilla, C., B. Barbisch, A. Heise, S. Kowalik, and H. Zahner. 2002. Development of *Eimeria bovis* in vitro: suitability of several bovine, human and porcine endothelial cell lines, bovine fetal gastrointestinal, Madin-Darby bovine kidney (MDBK) and African green monkey kidney (VERO) cells. *Parasitol. Res.* 88:301–307. doi:10.1007/s00436-001-0531-1
- Jackson, A. R. 1964. The isolation of viable coccidial sporozoites. *Parasitology* 54:87–93. doi: 10.1017/S0031182000074369
- Jang, S. I., M. H. Jun, H. S. Lillehoj, R. A. Dalloul, I. K. Kong, S. Kim, and W. Min. 2007. Anticoccidial effect of green tea-based diets against *Eimeria maxima*. *Vet. Parasitol.* 144:172–175. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2006.09.005
- Jaraiz García-Pallasar, I. 1972. Cumarins of two Canarian rues [PhD thesis]. Spain: Department of Organic Chemistry, University of La Laguna.
- Julkunen-Tiitto, R. 1985. Phenolic constituents in the leaves of northern willows: methods for the analysis of certain phenolics. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 33:213–217. doi:10.1021/jf00062a013
- Khalafalla, R. E., U. Müller, M. Shahiduzzaman, V. Dyachenko, A. Y. Desouky, G. Alber, and A. Daugschies. 2011. Effects of curcumin (diferuloylmethane) on *Eimeria tenella* sporozoites in vitro. *Parasitol. Res.* 108:879–886. doi:10.1007/s00436-010-2129-y
- Kommuru, D. S., T. Barker, S. Desai, J. M. Burke, A. Ramsay, I. Mueller-Harvey, J. E. Miller, J. A. Mosjidis, N. Kamiseti, and T. H. Terrill. 2014. Use of pelleted *Sericea lespezea* (*Lespedeza cuneata*) for natural control of coccidia and gastrointestinal nematodes in weaned goats. *Vet. Parasitol.* 204:191–198. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2014.04.017
- Koudela, B., and A. Bokova. 1998. Coccidiosis in goats in the Czech Republic. *Vet. Parasitol.* 76:261–267. doi:10.1016/S0304-4017(97)00147-7
- Lans, C., N. Turner, T. Khan, and G. Brauer. 2007. Ethnoveterinary medicines used to treat endoparasites and stomach problems in pigs and pets in British Columbia, Canada. *Vet. Parasitol.* 148:325–340. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2007.06.014
- Li, X. Y., H. J. Tang, L. Zhang, L. Yang, P. Li, and J. Chen. 2017. A selective knockout method for discovery of minor active components from plant extracts: feasibility and challenges as illustrated by an application to *Salvia miltiorrhiza*. *J. Chromatogr. B Analyt. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci.* 1068–1069:253–260. doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2017.10.011
- Mabry, T. J., K. R. Markham, and M. B. Thomas. 1970. The systematic identification of flavonoids. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Mann, J., R. S. Davidson, J. B. Hobbs, D. V. Banthorpe, and J. B. Harborne. 1994. Natural products: their chemistry and biological significance. Prentice Hall, United Kingdom.
- McDonnell, G., and A. D. Russell. 1999. Antiseptics and disinfectants: activity, action, and resistance. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 12:147–179.
- Michels, M. G., L. C. Bertolini, A. F. Esteves, P. Moreira, and S. C. Franca. 2011. Anticoccidial effects of coumestans from *Eclipta alba* for sustainable control of *Eimeria tenella* parasitosis in poultry production. *Vet. Parasitol.* 177:55–60. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2010.11.022
- Molan, A. L., Z. Liu, and S. De. 2009. Effect of pine bark (*Pinus radiata*) extracts on sporulation of coccidian oocysts. *Folia Parasitol. (Praha)*. 56:1–5. doi:10.14411/fp.2009.001
- Moon, H. I., J. H. Lee, Y. C. Lee, and K. S. Kim. 2011. Antiplasmodial and cytotoxic activity of coumarin derivatives from dried roots of *Angelica gigas* Nakai in vitro. *Immunopharmacol. Immunotoxicol.* 33:663–666. doi:10.3109/08923973.2011.559248
- Mundt, H. C., A. Daugschies, F. Uebe, and M. Rinke. 2003. Efficacy of toltrazuril against artificial infections with *Eimeria bovis* in calves. *Parasitol. Res.* 90 (Suppl. 3):S166–S167. doi:10.1007/s00436-003-0929-z
- Napolitano, H. B., M. Silva, J. Ellena, B. D. Rodrigues, A. L. Almeida, P. C. Vieira, G. Oliva, and O. H. Thiemann.

2004. Aurapten, a coumarin with growth inhibition against *Leishmania major* promastigotes. *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.* 37:1847–1852. doi:S0100-879X2004001200010
- Naumann, H. D., A. E. Hagerman, B. D. Lambert, J. P. Muir, L. O. Tedeschi, and M. M. Kothmann. 2014. Molecular weight and protein-precipitating ability of condensed tannins from warm-season perennial legumes. *J. Plant Interac.* 9:212–219. doi:10.1080/17429145.2013.811547
- Nweze, N. E., and I. S. Obiwulu. 2009. Anticoccidial effects of *Ageratum conyzoides*. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 122:6–9. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2008.11.014
- Peek, H. W., and W. J. Landman. 2003. Resistance to anti-coccidial drugs of Dutch avian *Eimeria* spp. field isolates originating from 1996, 1999 and 2001. *Avian Pathol.* 32:391–401. doi:10.1080/0307945031000121149
- Pérez-Fonseca, A., Y. Alcalá-Canto, A. Z. Salem, and A. B. Alberti-Navarro. 2016. Anticoccidial efficacy of naringenin and a grapefruit peel extract in growing lambs naturally-infected with *Eimeria* spp. *Vet. Parasitol.* 232:58–65. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2016.11.009
- Prins, R. A. 1978. Nutritional impact of intestinal drug–microbe interactions. In: J. N. Hathcock, and J. Coon, editors, *Nutrition and drug interrelations*. Academic Press, p. 189–251.
- Rodríguez, A., M. Nogales, B. Rumeu, and B. Rodríguez. 2008. Temporal and spatial variation in the diet of the endemic lizard *Gallotia galloti* in an Insular Mediterranean Scrubland. *J. Herpetol.* 42:213–222. doi:10.1670/07-0752.1
- Ruiz, A., J. H. Behrendt, H. Zahner, C. Hermosilla, D. Pérez, L. Matos, M. D. E. L. C. Muñoz, J. M. Molina, and A. Taubert. 2010. Development of *Eimeria ninakohlyakimovae* in vitro in primary and permanent cell lines. *Vet. Parasitol.* 173:2–10. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2010.05.023
- Ruiz, A., J. F. González, E. Rodríguez, S. Martín, Y. I. Hernández, R. Almeida, and J. M. Molina. 2006. Influence of climatic and management factors on *Eimeria* infections in goats from semi-arid zones. *J. Vet. Med. B Infect. Dis. Vet. Public Health* 53:399–402. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0450.2006.00985.x
- Ruiz, A., L. Matos, M. C. Muñoz, C. Hermosilla, J. M. Molina, M. Andrada, F. Rodríguez, D. Pérez, A. López, A. Guedes, et al. 2013. Isolation of an *Eimeria ninakohlyakimovae* field strain (Canary Islands) and analysis of its infection characteristics in goat kids. *Res. Vet. Sci.* 94:277–284. doi:10.1016/j.rvsc.2012.08.003
- Ruiz, A., M. C. Muñoz, J. M. Molina, C. Hermosilla, M. Andrada, P. Lara, E. Bordón, D. Pérez, A. M. López, L. Matos, et al. 2014. Immunization with *Eimeria ninakohlyakimovae*-live attenuated oocysts protect goat kids from clinical coccidiosis. *Vet. Parasitol.* 199:8–17. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2013.09.032
- Samaha, H. A., Y. N. Haggag, M. A. Nossair, and H. M. Habib. 2013. Assessment efficiency of some chemical disinfectants commonly used against coccidian in poultry farms. *Alexandria J. Vet. Sci.* 39:82–90.
- Saratsis, A., I. Regos, N. Tzanidakis, N. Voutzourakis, A. Stefanakis, D. Treuter, A. Joachim, and S. Sotiraki. 2012. In vivo and in vitro efficacy of sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciifolia*) against *Eimeria* spp in lambs. *Vet. Parasitol.* 188:1–9. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.03.014
- Strumeyer, D. H., and M. J. Malin. 1975. Condensed tannins in grain sorghum: isolation, fractionation, and characterization. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 23:909–914.
- Valderrábano, J., C. Calvete, and J. Uriarte. 2010. Effect of feeding bioactive forages on infection and subsequent development of *Haemonchus contortus* in lamb faeces. *Vet. Parasitol.* 172:89–94. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2010.04.018
- Waller, P. J., and S. M. Thamsborg. 2004. Nematode control in ‘green’ ruminant production systems. *Trends Parasitol.* 20:493–497. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2004.07.012
- Wang, M. L., X. Suo, J. H. Gu, W. W. Zhang, Q. Fang, and X. Wang. 2008. Influence of grape seed proanthocyanidin extract in broiler chickens: effect on chicken coccidiosis and antioxidant status. *Poult. Sci.* 87:2273–2280. doi:10.3382/ps.2008-00077
- Williams, R. B. 1997. Laboratory test of phenolic disinfectants as oocysticides against the chicken coccidium *Eimeria tenella*. *Vet. Record.* 141:447–448. doi:10.1136/vr.141.17.447