



Mutations in Influenza A Virus Neuraminidase and Hemagglutinin Confer Resistance against a Broadly Neutralizing Hemagglutinin Stem Antibody

Kristina L. Prachanronarong,^a Aneth S. Canale,^a Ping Liu,^b Mohan Somasundaran,^a Shurong Hou,^a Yu-Ping Poh,^d Thomas Han,^f Quan Zhu,^f Nicholas Renzette,^c Konstantin B. Zeldovich,^d Timothy F. Kowalik,^c Nese Kurt-Yilmaz,^a Jeffrey D. Jensen,^e Daniel N. A. Bolon,^a Wayne A. Marasco,^f Robert W. Finberg,^b Celia A. Schiffer,^a Jennifer P. Wang^b

^aDepartment of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts, USA

^dProgram in Bioinformatics and Integrative Biology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts, USA

eSchool of Life Sciences, Center for Evolution and Medicine, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA

Department of Cancer Immunology and AIDS, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

ABSTRACT Influenza A virus (IAV), a major cause of human morbidity and mortality, continuously evolves in response to selective pressures. Stem-directed, broadly neutralizing antibodies (sBnAbs) targeting the influenza virus hemagglutinin (HA) are a promising therapeutic strategy, but neutralization escape mutants can develop. We used an integrated approach combining viral passaging, deep sequencing, and protein structural analyses to define escape mutations and mechanisms of neutralization escape in vitro for the F10 sBnAb. IAV was propagated with escalating concentrations of F10 over serial passages in cultured cells to select for escape mutations. Viral sequence analysis revealed three mutations in HA and one in neuraminidase (NA). Introduction of these specific mutations into IAV through reverse genetics confirmed their roles in resistance to F10. Structural analyses revealed that the selected HA mutations (S123G, N460S, and N203V) are away from the F10 epitope but may indirectly impact influenza virus receptor binding, endosomal fusion, or budding. The NA mutation E329K, which was previously identified to be associated with antibody escape, affects the active site of NA, highlighting the importance of the balance between HA and NA function for viral survival. Thus, whole-genome population sequencing enables the identification of viral resistance mutations responding to antibody-induced selective pressure.

IMPORTANCE Influenza A virus is a public health threat for which currently available vaccines are not always effective. Broadly neutralizing antibodies that bind to the highly conserved stem region of the influenza virus hemagglutinin (HA) can neutralize many influenza virus strains. To understand how influenza virus can become resistant or escape such antibodies, we propagated influenza A virus *in vitro* with escalating concentrations of antibody and analyzed viral populations by whole-genome sequencing. We identified HA mutations near and distal to the antibody binding epitope that conferred resistance to antibody neutralization. Additionally, we identified a neuraminidase (NA) mutation that allowed the virus to grow in the presence of high concentrations of the antibody. Virus carrying dual mutations in HA and NA also grew under high antibody concentrations. We show that NA mutations mediate the escape of neutralization by antibodies against HA, highlighting the importance of a balance between HA and NA for optimal virus function.

KEYWORDS resistance, broadly neutralizing antibody, influenza virus, hemagglutinin, mutants, neuraminidase

Citation Prachanronarong KL, Canale AS, Liu P, Somasundaran M, Hou S, Poh Y-P, Han T, Zhu Q, Renzette N, Zeldovich KB, Kowalik TF, Kurt-Yilmaz N, Jensen JD, Bolon DNA, Marasco WA, Finberg RW, Schiffer CA, Wang JP. 2019. Mutations in influenza A virus neuraminidase and hemagglutinin confer resistance against a broadly neutralizing hemagglutinin stem antibody. J Virol 93:e01639-18. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/JVI.01639-18.

Editor Bryan R. G. Williams, Hudson Institute of Medical Research

Copyright © 2019 American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

Address correspondence to Jennifer P. Wang, jennifer.wang@umassmed.edu.

K.L.P., A.S.C., P.L., and M.S. contributed equally to this work.

Received 20 September 2018 Accepted 22 October 2018

Accepted manuscript posted online 31 October 2018 Published 4 January 2019

^bDepartment of Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts, USA

cDepartment of Microbiology and Physiological Systems, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts, USA

Influenza A virus (IAV) causes a highly contagious acute respiratory illness in humans that is responsible for significant morbidity and mortality. IAV's unique combination of evolutionary mechanisms, including high mutation rate, segment reassortment, and shifts between multiple host species, pose significant challenges for controlling the disease and developing effective vaccinations. The influenza virion consists of eight negative-strand RNA segments which form protein-RNA complexes enveloped in a lipid membrane (1). These eight segments encode at least 10 proteins known to be essential for infectivity and replication. The influenza virus polymerase lacks proofreading activity, resulting in a high spontaneous gene mutation rate (2). Within a given influenza virus strain, sequence evolution proceeds by mutation, selection, and genetic drift, all of which are affected by the host and by drug treatment. High mutation rates, together with development of influenza epidemics, make tracing the evolutionary history of the virus and discovering the principles governing IAV's evolution complex. Therefore, a detailed understanding of IAV genome sequence evolution is imperative.

IAV has two surface glycoproteins, hemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA). HA helps the viral genome enter the host cytoplasm through fusion of the viral membrane with the intracellular endosomal membrane (3). NA cleaves sialic acid from the host cell membrane during the release of newly formed viral progeny, thus reducing viral affinity for previously infected cells (4). Eighteen different subtypes of influenza A virus HA (H1 to H18) exist, which are divided into two distinct groups, with group 1 consisting of H1, H2, H5, H6, H8, H9, H11 to H13, and H16 to H18 and group 2 consisting of H3, H4, H7, H10, H14, and H15. HA is translated as a single polypeptide (HA0) that is cleaved by host proteases into HA1 and HA2 subunits. HA functions as a homotrimer composed of two copies of HA1 and one copy of HA2; the globular head (the receptor-binding site) is formed by HA1, and the stem (or stalk) region is formed by both HA2 and HA1 and is responsible for fusion (see reference 5 for a review). HA is the primary target of the humoral immune response during infection or vaccination. Influenza vaccines generally elicit strain-specific responses with antibodies that target the HA globular head, thereby limiting their efficacy and necessitating administration of new vaccines when a novel strain becomes dominant.

Broadly neutralizing antibodies (BnAbs) bind to conserved epitopes on HA and can neutralize a wide spectrum of influenza viruses (6). In influenza virus, BnAb epitopes typically correspond to receptor-binding and fusion machinery regions that are functionally conserved and, thus, less prone to mutation. BnAbs are potential therapeutic agents when used as passive immunotherapy and can also be integrated into the design of universal vaccines, which could provide protection against a broad range of influenza virus strains and be much more effective than current vaccines. BnAbs against the influenza virus receptor-binding site have limited neutralization capacities, with each antibody effectively neutralizing a subset of strains in both groups 1 and 2 (6-8). Several stem-directed broadly neutralizing antibodies (sBnAbs) against highly conserved epitopes on the HA stem have been developed and characterized, including the following: F10, C179, and CR6261, which neutralize group 1 variants; CR8020, which neutralizes group 2 variants; and CR9114, 3l14, and 39.29, which neutralize both groups 1 and 2 (6, 9-11). sBnAbs that neutralize group 1 viruses share an epitope on the HA stem, while the epitope for group 2-specific antibodies is shifted toward the base of the HA stem. Structural analyses revealed that differences in the binding footprints are due to conformational constraints resulting from group-specific glycans on the HA stem (8).

sBnAbs prevent fusion of the host and virus membranes in the low pH of the endosome by locking HA in a prefusion conformation and preventing the extensive conformational changes in HA required for membrane fusion, thus blocking entry of viral RNA into the infected cell (8). Additional Fc-dependent mechanisms also contribute to protection *in vivo* (12). Despite the high conservation of sBnAb epitopes in the HA stem region, neutralization escape mutations by sBnAbs have occurred in and around these epitopes (6, 13–17). Many of these mutations cause neutralization escape by directly reducing antibody binding affinity, but additional escape mechanisms that impact HA function or viral fitness may also emerge. A recent mutational scanning



FIG 1 Experimental design and viral amplification for passaging with the broadly neutralizing antibody F10. (A) Schematic of experiment 1 and experiment 2 trajectories. Cyan boxes indicate virus that was passaged in the absence of antibody, with the top three passages as P1, P2, and P3 and additional passages as labeled. Red and orange boxes indicate virus that was passaged in the presence of F10 broadly neutralizing antibody (experiments 1 and 2, respectively). Gray boxes indicate virus that was passaged in the presence of 80R control antibody (experiment 2). (B) Ratios of viral titers (output/input) plotted against passage number (experiment 1, upper panel; experiment 2, lower panel).

study of H1 HA has shown that single amino acid mutations are more likely to confer resistance against strain-specific antibodies that target the globular head of HA1 while similar mutations in the stalk confer only modest resistance to neutralization by sBnAbs (18). Another study identified two escape mechanisms against a pan-IAV sBnAb, and some resistant viruses exhibited complete abolition of antibody binding while others showed enhanced fusion ability of HA (11). Defining such escape mechanisms is critical for evaluating sBnAbs to be incorporated into future vaccines and used as therapeutic strategies.

The F10 antibody is an sBnAb derived from the IGHV1-69 germ line by panning phage display libraries generated from healthy donors with immobilized HA; F10 is broadly active against all group 1 viruses and protects mice from lethal H1N1 or H5N1 infection and reduces viral replication in lungs (9). sBnAbs such as F10 bind to highly conserved regions of HA that are required for the virus to function, so characterizing sBnAb escape mutations that do not compromise virus survival provides insight into influenza virus biology. The goal of the current study was to identify IAV escape mutants for the sBnAb F10 by high-throughput sequencing (HTS) analysis of virus populations generated through in vitro trajectory experiments. To select for escape mutants, we propagated the virus under the selective pressure of escalating concentrations of F10. Four mutations were identified, with three in HA (none located in the F10 epitope) and one in NA, that were subsequently confirmed through reverse genetics to cause F10 resistance. A combination of structural and dynamic analyses revealed possible molecular mechanisms by which these mutations can confer F10 resistance. Thus, under the strict selective pressure of an antibody that targets an evolutionarily conserved and functionally critical region, influenza virus selects for indirect mechanisms of escape for survival.

RESULTS

Serial passage of influenza A virus in the presence of F10. We tested F10 against influenza A/Brisbane/59/2007 (H1N1) virus in Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells in our experimental trajectories (Fig. 1). The A/Brisbane/59/2007 strain was used in the

Expt no.	Segment	Protein	Nucleotide change	Amino acid change
1	3	PA	T106C	L28P
	4	HA	A398G	S123G
	4	HA	G1147A	Synonymous
	4	HA	A1410G	N460S
	5	NP	T1148C	Synonymous
	6	NA	G1004A	E329K
2	2	PB1	G1950A	A643T
	4	HA	A638G	N203V
	4	HA	A639T	
	6	NA	G1004A	E329K

TABLE 1 Sites inferred to be evolving under positive selection^a

^{*a*}Positive selection is defined as 99% posterior probability of s > 0.

influenza vaccine in the United States for the 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 seasons (19). We passaged IAV under escalating concentrations of F10 monoclonal antibody, starting with 1× the 50% effective concentration (EC_{50}), or 0.3 µg/ml, at passage 4 and escalating to \geq 5 µg/ml in MDCK cells to select for an F10-resistant virus population in two independent trajectories, designated experiments 1 and 2. Each experiment included a complete no-antibody control arm. In experiment 2, we included an additional control with escalating concentrations of an irrelevant monoclonal antibody, 80R, specific to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (20) (Fig. 1A). The amplification of virus over time is displayed in Fig. 1B.

Sequence analysis reveals candidate F10 escape mutations. Analysis of HTS data from experiments 1 and 2 using the Wright-Fisher ABC (WFABC) model identified viral mutations with a 99% posterior probability of being under positive selection (Table 1) (21, 22). These candidate F10 escape mutations included three nonsynonymous mutations in segment 4 encoding HA (N203VHA, N460SHA, and S123GHA; H1 numbering system) and one nonsynonymous mutation in segment 6 encoding NA (E329KNA). The selection of E329KNA was observed in both trajectories with F10 but not with the 80R control. In addition, one nonsynonymous mutation in segment 2, A643T in PB1 (A643TPB1), one nonsynonymous mutation in segment 3, L28P in the viral polymerase PA (L28PPA), and synonymous mutations in segments 4 and 5 were identified. The synonymous changes appear consistent with genetic hitchhiking effects associated with the above-listed nonsynonymous mutations, owing to their common trajectories. The allele frequencies increased with each passage, and none of these mutations were elicited with the irrelevant control 80R antibody or in the absence of antibody (Fig. 2A). Selection coefficients are shown in Fig. 2B, and the posterior estimates of effective population size (N_e) are shown in Fig. 2C. As expected, N_e is reduced in the challenged population. Segment 4 mutations A638G and A639T generate a double mutant in perfect linkage to encode the N203V^{HA} amino acid substitution.

F10 resistance validated by reverse genetics of individual mutant viruses. A reverse genetics approach was employed to generate influenza virus A/Brisbane/59/ 2007 bearing the individual mutations S123G^{HA}, N203V^{HA}, N460S^{HA}, and E329K^{NA} and the oseltamivir resistance mutation H275Y^{NA} (N1 numbering) as a control. Consistent with results from the serial passaging experiment, the mutations S123G^{HA}, N203V^{HA}, N460S^{HA}, and E329K^{NA} each conferred resistance to F10 relative to wild-type (WT) resistance, as demonstrated by higher viral titers in the presence of F10 (Fig. 3A). The HA mutants (N460S^{HA}, S123G^{HA}, and N203V^{HA}) and NA mutant (E329K^{NA}) grew to higher titers than the WT in the presence of >0.7 μg/ml of F10, while the control mutant H275Y^{NA} had titers comparable to the titer of WT virus. Of interest, the double mutant N203V^{HA}_E329K^{NA} had slightly higher titers at the intermediate concentration of 1.3 μg/ml of F10 than each mutant individually, suggesting that adaptation to F10 may involve a complex and concentration-dependent fitness landscape. The EC₅₀ and the 90% effective concentration (EC₉₀) calculations revealed that all resistant mutations exhibited higher EC₉₀ values than the WT (Table 2) although the EC₅₀ for the E329K^{NA}



FIG 2 Mutations inferred to be evolving under positive selection in the presence of the broadly neutralizing antibody F10. (A) Trajectories of select mutations elicited by viral passaging with F10, with 80R control antibody, or without antibody, in terms of allele frequency. Mutations individually marked as A638G and A639T (gray box) are in perfect linkage and yield N203V, as the wild-type sequence is GGT **AAC** CAA (AAC, positions 638/639/640; protein, **GNQ**). The mutant sequence is GGT **GTC** CAA (GTC, positions 638/639/640; protein, **GVQ**). (B) The posterior probability distribution of selection coefficients (s) for the mutations for experiments 1 and 2. Specific mutations are listed by influenza viral protein, nucleotide change, and amino acid change. Seg, segment; Syn, synonymous. (C) Posterior distributions of effective population size inferred from WFABC. The effective population size was estimated from time-sampled genomic data assuming neutrality. For F10-treated (F10) and control (ctrl) samples, we respectively estimated N_e to be 208 (99% highest posterior density interval, 162, 249) and 40 (99% highest posterior density interval, 350, 512).



FIG 3 Growth of WT and individual mutant viruses in the presence of F10 or oseltamivir. (A) WT and mutant viruses (HA, NA, and HA-NA double mutant) were grown in the indicated concentrations of F10 and quantified by plaque assay. (B) Viral response to the NA inhibitor oseltamivir was measured for the resistance mutation H275Y^{NA} compared to responses of the wild type and the mutation E329K^{NA}. Error bars in panel A indicate the standard deviations.

mutation was comparable to that of the WT. The oseltamivir EC_{50} values for WT, E329K^{NA}, and H275Y^{NA} were also determined (Fig. 3B). Oseltamivir EC_{50} values for the WT, E329K^{NA}, and H275Y^{NA} strains were 0.2, 3.0, and 86.6 μ M, respectively. E329K has been shown to reduce NA enzymatic activity relative to that of WT A/Brisbane/59/2007 (23), which is consistent with the increase of oseltamivir's effective concentration for E329K^{NA} compared to that of the WT. Overall, the reverse genetics enabled the generation of virus harboring the individual selected mutations from *in vitro* passaging and confirmed that these mutations confer resistance to F10.

We measured the plaque diameter of individually cloned viruses to determine the fitness of the identified escape mutations in HA and NA. In the absence of selection

TABLE 2 F10 effective concentration values for influenza A/Brisbane/59/2007 vir

Variant	Enitona ragion	Likely function	$E_{10} E_{10} = (+ S_{10})^{g}$	$E10 EC (\pm SD)^{q}$
valialli	Epitope region		$FT0 EC_{50} (\pm 3D)$	$FTO EC_{90} (\pm 3D)$
WT			0.37 ± 0.04	0.49 ± 0.04
S123G ^{HA}	Head	Modulate fusion pH	0.92 ± 0.12	1.21 ± 0.10
N460S ^{HA}	Stem	Modulate fusion pH	0.77 ± 0.08	0.91 ± 0.26
N203V ^{HA}	Head	Receptor binding specificity	0.68 ± 0.03	$0.82\ \pm\ 0.08$
E329K ^{NA}	Distal to active site	Antigenic drift/modulate active site	0.29 ± 0.01	1.21 ± 0.10
H275Y ^{NA}	Near active site	Resistance to oseltamivir	0.41 ± 0.01	0.52 ± 0.01
N203V ^{HA} _E329K ^{NA}	See above	See above	0.70 ± 0.06	1.18 ± 0.13

^aData shown in this table (in µg/ml) are from one experiment. A second independent experiment to determine EC values yielded similar results.



FIG 4 Viral fitness was estimated by plaque size. Plaque diameters of HA and NA mutant viruses in the absence of F10 (n = 20 per virus) are shown. Error bars indicate the standard deviations. A one-way analysis of variance multiple-comparison test was performed (***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001).

pressure by F10, the plaque sizes of the N460S^{HA} and S123G^{HA} mutants were similar to the size of the WT. However, the plaque sizes of N203V^{HA} and E329K^{NA} were smaller than the size of the WT (Fig. 4). This observation is consistent with studies that showed that the E329K^{NA} mutation, which was previously identified as important for antigenic drift, reduces NA enzyme activity and virus fitness (24). Plaques for the double mutant N203V^{HA}_E329K^{NA} were even smaller, possibly reflecting the effects of both mutations. Thus, while high titers of N203V^{HA} were observed in the presence of F10, N203V^{HA} appeared less fit based on plaque size.

Structural mapping of F10 escape mutants in HA. To further investigate the mutations selected in HA and identify escape mechanisms, we mapped the nonsynonymous mutations N460S^{HA}, S123G^{HA}, and N203V^{HA} onto available crystal structures. Notably, the mutations are located away from the F10 binding epitope (Fig. 5). Instead of directly affecting F10 antibody binding, these distal mutations likely cause antibody escape through indirect mechanisms. Influenza virus RNA enters the host cell and the viral envelope, and the endosomal membrane fuses. The N-terminal fragment of the HA2 subunit, or the fusion peptide, mediates fusion. At neutral pH, the fusion peptide is buried in a negatively charged pocket in the stem of HA, but at acidic pH, the fusion peptide dissociates from the HA stem and inserts into the endosomal membrane to promote fusion between the viral membrane and the endosomal membrane (5, 25, 26).

Two nonsynonymous HA mutations selected by the F10 antibody in experiment 1, N460S^{HA} and S123G^{HA}, are located at key positions involved in the conformational changes needed to facilitate membrane fusion (Fig. 5). The side chain of N460 forms an intermonomer hydrogen bond adjacent to the fusion peptide, which is broken when HA undergoes its conformational change upon fusion, thereby exposing N460 (Fig. 6). N460 is the closest of the observed mutations to the F10 epitope on the HA stem as residues 17 to 21 of the fusion peptide form the center of the F10 epitope. In the N460S mutant, the shorter serine would be less likely to form this hydrogen bond and may alter the stability of the conformational change in HA (27, 28). S123 is located at a hinge region of the HA1 subunit (Fig. 7). HA1 acts as a clamp on HA2 and stabilizes the metastable prefusion state of HA (29). Upon fusion, HA1 undergoes major conformational changes, one of which occurs around S123. In this region, an alpha helix begins to unfold, altering the adjacent antiparallel beta sheet that connects to the receptor-



FIG 5 Escape mutations identified in the F10 trajectories mapped onto the structure of HA. The HA trimer is displayed in gray surface representation (PDB accession number 3FKU). The F10 epitope (or footprint) on the HA stem is displayed as sticks and colored according to degree of contacts with the antibody F10. Residues with the greatest contacts are shown in green, intermediate contacts in cyan, and smallest contacts in navy blue. The fusion peptide is shown in orange stick representation between the F10 epitope (footprint), and the locations of escape mutations are labeled.

binding subdomain (29). The mutation S123G introduces a flexible glycine residue into this hinge, which may facilitate this conformational change. Thus, both N460S^{HA} and S123G^{HA} likely alter the conformational stability of HA.

The final observed mutation, N203V^{HA}, is located at the receptor-binding site of HA (Fig. 8). The emergence of N203V^{HA} has been reported in influenza A/Brisbane/59/2007 virus during propagation of egg-derived virus in either MDCK or Vero cells (30). Residue 203 forms a hydrogen bond with the human receptor analog sialylneolacto-*N*-tetraose c (LSTc) in H2 HA (31), interacts with sialic acid through hydrogen bonds in H3 subtype crystal structures (32, 33), and has been implicated in conferring receptor-binding specificity (24). The selected mutation N203V^{HA} would result in the loss of any hydrogen bond with the receptor at this site. Thus, N203V^{HA} could potentially alter receptor specificity and affinity.

Structural mapping of an F10 escape mutant in NA. In addition to mutations in HA, a mutation in the IAV surface protein NA, E329K^{NA}, was selected *in vitro* and confirmed to cause F10 resistance. Mutations at residue 329 have been previously reported in response to selection with monoclonal antibodies (34–36). NA functions as a tetramer, and each NA monomer contains a substrate (sialic acid) cleaving active site. Residue 329 is located in a loop on the surface of NA, away from both the tetramer interface and the active site. The E329K^{NA} substitution involves a charge switch from an acidic to a basic side chain. To investigate the effects of the E329K^{NA} mutation on NA structure and dynamics, the WT and E329K^{NA} NA tetramer structures of the influenza A/Brisbane/59/2007 virus strain were modeled, and 100-ns molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed as we have previously described to interpret resistant mutations in NA (37) and other systems (38–41). The electrostatic surfaces of the two variants were compared as E329K^{NA} mutation constitutes an overall charge change of



FIG 6 The N460S^{HA} mutation is located adjacent to the fusion peptide. (A) The structure of HA monomer at neutral pH is shown with respect to the viral envelope and endosomal membrane (PDB accession number 3FKU). The HA1 subunit, which forms the head of HA, is shown in blue, the HA2 subunit, which forms the stem of HA, is in gray, and the fusion peptide is shown in red. (B) The location of mutation N460S is circled on the structure of HA at neutral pH, with the F10 epitope colored as shown in Fig. 5. (C and D) A zoomed-in view of the stem region harboring N460S (C) and of the hydrogen bond between N460 and the fusion peptide indicated with a black dashed line (D). (E) At acidic pH, the fusion peptide dissociates from the stem of HA and inserts into the endosomal membrane (PDB accession number 1HTM). (F) The structure of HA2 at acidic pH is shown, where residue N460 is exposed to the surface and is shown in yellow (PDB accession number 1HTM). (G and H) The N460S residue is shown in more detail.

+8e⁻ for the tetramer. Overall, the root mean square fluctuations (RMSFs) (Fig. 9A and B) of the active site in WT NA were higher than those with the E329K^{NA} variant, altering the dynamics of the active site. Interestingly, even though the E329K^{NA} mutation is located far from the active site, the mutation had a distal effect and caused the active site to become more positively charged (Fig. 9C and D). Thus, the E329K^{NA} mutation had propagating effects to alter the surface charge of the enzyme and fluctuations of the active sites, which may underlie the decreased enzymatic activity previously reported for E329K^{NA} relative to that of WT A/Brisbane/59/2007 (23). This alteration in substrate processing by NA may perturb the balance with HA function and, thus, counter F10 inhibition.

DISCUSSION

BnAbs against influenza virus have invigorated the influenza virus field, given their potential use for universal therapies and vaccines that protect against a broad spectrum of strains and subtypes. However, antibody neutralization escape mutations can emerge, and understanding neutralization escape together with the underlying molecular mechanisms is critical for designing antibodies that are less prone to resistance. Here, we identified and characterized F10 escape mutations for a vaccine strain of influenza virus, A/Brisbane/59/2007, by combining viral passaging, HTS, reverse genetics, and structural analyses. We had previously applied a similar approach to understand the temporal evolution of oseltamivir resistance (21, 42), viral reassortment (43), and mutagenesis induced by favipiravir (44, 45). In our current results, we identified mutations in regions of HA that confer virus neutralization (i.e., blockade of viral



FIG 7 S123G^{HA} is located in a hinge region of conformational change in an early fusion intermediate of HA1. (A) The surface representation of HA structure at neutral pH where residue S123 is circled and surrounding residues 115 to 129 are displayed in green (PDB accession number 3QQB). (B) The structure of an early fusion intermediate of HA at acidic pH where residue S123 is circled and residues 115 to 129 are shown in yellow (PDB accession number 3QQO). (C) A detailed view of S123 at neutral pH with surrounding residues shown in green to show the early conformational changes that occur in HA1 during fusion. S123 is located in a hinge region of conformational change in HA1, and the direction of the conformational changes that occur at acidic pH is indicated with red arrows (PDB 3QQB). (D) The resulting structure of the early fusion intermediate of HA is shown in yellow (PDB 3QQO).

replication) and may modulate receptor-binding specificity or fusion (46) or viral budding and release of progeny. We also confirmed the F10 resistance conferred by an NA mutation previously identified to drive antigenic drift (47).

The F10 escape mutations identified in HA are not located at the antibody epitope, and, thus, rather than directly modulating antibody binding, these mutations instead cause antibody escape by indirect mechanisms. Mutations at residues 460 and 123 are located in regions of HA that modulate the pH of fusion (46). Residue N460 (residue 117 of HA2 in H3 numbering) is located in the stem region surrounding the fusion peptide, and mutations at nearby residues 111, 112, and 114 (H3 numbering) had previously been reported to increase the pH of fusion in H3, H5, and H7 subtypes (31, 46, 48, 49). Many other mutations in the fusion peptide or the surrounding pocket have also been shown to significantly affect the fusion activity of HA or the pH of membrane fusion (31, 46, 48–51). Residue S123 (residue 113 in H3 numbering) is located in a 110-helix that is involved in the reorganization of the HA1-HA2 interface that occurs during membrane fusion, and mutations at residues 104, 110, and 115 (in H3 numbering) can impact the pH of fusion due to changes at the HA1-HA2 interface (46, 52). Mutations



FIG 8 The N203V^{HA} mutation is located in the receptor binding site. (A) The head region of HA is represented by a gray surface, and the location of mutation N203V is labeled with a circle and shown in yellow. The human receptor analog LSTc is shown as gold sticks (PDB accession number 2WRG). (B) N203V is located in the HA receptor-binding site and forms a hydrogen bond with the human receptor analog LSTc. The hydrogen bond is shown with a black dashed line connecting the side chain oxygen atom of N203 with a nitrogen atom on LSTc.



FIG 9 The dynamics and electrostatic surface of WT and E329K^{NA}. The root mean square fluctuations (RMSF) of WT (A) and E329K (B) NA during 100-ns MD simulations. The residues are represented on a rainbow scale from blue to red for increasing RMSF values; hence, warmer colors indicate residues with more backbone fluctuations. The radius of the cartoon representation also indicates the RMSF value: the thicker the tube, the higher the RMSF value. The oseltamivir from PDB accession number 3CL2 (black sticks) is displayed solely to indicate the active site on all four NA molecules. (C and D) The electrostatic surface for the final frame from MD simulations of WT (C) and E329K^{NA} (D). The residues are represented on a rainbow scale from blue (positive) to red (negative).

at residue 203 (190 in H3 numbering) have been reported to impact receptor specificity for substrates with an α -2,3 or α -2,6 glycosidic linkage between the terminal sialic acid and the adjacent carbohydrate (47, 53–56). For instance, the mutation E190D^{HA} in combination with G225D^{HA} (H3 numbering) in H1 increases specificity for α -2,6-linked sialic acids and reduces affinity for α -2,3-linked sialic acids (56). Such mutations that alter receptor-binding affinity are selected in response to other neutralizing antibodies as well (57, 58). Overall, we found that under the selective pressure of an sBnAb that has a highly conserved epitope, mutations distal to the antibody binding epitope in HA are selected to enable antibody escape.

In addition to mutations in HA, we found a mutation in NA that confers F10 resistance. This E329K^{NA} mutation in influenza A/Brisbane/59/2007 virus was previously described in the antigenic evolution of proteins in H1N1 viruses used in vaccine formulations during the last 15 years through analysis of inhibition titers and antigenic cartography (47). This single point mutation was found to be primarily responsible for the lack of inhibition by polyclonal antibodies specific for an earlier influenza vaccine antigen, impacting NA drift. Although antigenic change and drift in NA are often due to antibody selection, antigenic change in NA may also result from a functional change in HA so as to maintain the functional balance between HA and NA that is essential for optimal virus infectivity (59). Our structural analyses here revealed the molecular mechanism by which the distal E329K mutation impacts the NA active site, likely modulating enzymatic activity and the functional HA/NA balance in conferring F10

neutralization escape of IAV. Our data demonstrate the plasticity of escape and the emergence of strong, off-target resistance via the NA protein.

A closer inspection of experimental trajectories suggests that the E329K^{NA} mutation may confer resistance against F10 in combination with N203V^{HA}. Once the E329K^{NA} mutation with reduced NA activity emerges and reaches a high frequency in the population (Fig. 2A, passage 5 in experiment 1), the appearance of the N203V^{HA} mutation restores the HA-NA functional balance, and the drug pressure is effectively reduced, thus allowing for further fine-tuning of resistance. These mutations occur in the opposite order in experiment 2, in which N203V^{HA} reaches a high frequency at passage 7 (P7), and then E329K^{NA} reaches a similarly high frequency at P10 to P11 (Fig. 2A). That both trajectories finally stabilized with the two mutations $N203V^{HA}$ and E329K^{NA} suggests that there is an interdependence in conferring resistance to F10. A similar pattern of drug resistance was described by Ginting et al. (60), wherein H275YNA functioned in concert with mutations in HA to mediate oseltamivir resistance. These observations highlight potential intergenic epistatic interactions between HA and NA, which interact with the same molecule, sialic acid, on host receptors but have antagonistic functions. Interestingly, the average plaque size of virus containing both N203V^{HA}_E329K^{NA} was smaller than the plaque sizes of viruses containing the individual mutants. The molecular mechanism of neutralization escape demonstrates the plasticity of escape and the emergence of strong, off-target resistance via the NA protein. The ability of mutant NA to bind HA receptors is demonstrated in vitro, which suggests that HA receptor-binding function can be supplanted by an appropriately evolved NA (61).

These results also highlight the role of both genetic drift and genetic hitchhiking in determining patterns of sequence evolution in IAV. Notably, a number of mutations identified as positively selected in the presence of F10 were also found to be segregating in the control populations (e.g., A638G and the linked mutation A639T, as well as T1148C). By chance, these mutations were seeded at an intermediate frequency in the starting populations, and their subsequent dynamics in the control are consistent with genetic drift (i.e., fluctuating across passages). Conversely, the frequency dynamics observed in the presence of F10 are consistent with positive selection. Relatedly, a small number of synonymous mutations were also observed to similarly increase in frequency. However, their overlapping allele frequency trajectories with the identified nonsynonymous mutations strongly suggest linked, rather than direct, selection (i.e., genetic hitchhiking).

In summary, we identified mutations in HA and NA that promote resistance to the sBnAb F10 in vitro. Our results provide further evidence that mutations in one of these functionally complementary proteins in IAV can facilitate mutations in the other, thus shaping the evolutionary landscape of the virus (62). While the serial passaging and HTS approaches may fail to distinguish functionally interacting mutations from those simply linked by genetic hitchhiking effects (that is, a beneficial mutation linked to an otherwise neutral, or even weakly deleterious, mutation), mutant viruses individually generated by reverse genetics confirmed a functional interplay between N203V^{HA} and E329KNA. This result highlights the importance of considering not only focal point mutations but also the variable fitness effects induced by the genetic backgrounds on which those mutations occur. IAV can use diverse and indirect molecular mechanisms to escape neutralization by sBnAbs. An in-depth understanding of genome-wide effects of sBnAbs on different IAV subtypes will yield insights on which "universal" influenza vaccines may be the most effective and least likely to induce escape mutants. Furthermore, additive and synergistic effects of both single HA and NA mutations and combination mutations on virus replication in the presence and absence of antiviral drugs and sBnAbs can be monitored to define and quantify the impact of multiple selective pressures on the evolution of resistance over time. Given that these will be real-world pressures faced by IAV, such combination studies will be invaluable for determining which combinations may serve as optimal therapeutic strategies in treating future epidemics and pandemics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells, virus stocks, and chemicals. Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) and propagated in Eagle's minimal essential medium (EMEM) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (HyClone, Logan, UT) and 2 mM penicillin-streptomycin. Influenza virus A/Brisbane/59/2007 (H1N1), grown in chicken egg allantoic fluid, was obtained through the NIH Biodefense and Emerging Infections Research Resources Repository, NIAID, NIH (NR-12282; lot 58550257), and passaged three times in MDCK cells (passages 1 to 3). Oseltamivir carboxylate was obtained from Roche (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd., Basel, Switzerland).

Viral titer determination by plaque assay. Viruses were quantified on MDCK cells to determine infectious titer (number of PFU per milliliter) as previously described (63). In brief, six 10-fold serial dilutions were performed on the viral samples, followed by 1 h of binding at 37°C on confluent MDCK cells in 12-well plates. After unbound virus was washed off with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), the cells were overlaid with agar (0.5%) in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium-F12 medium (DMEM-F12) supplemented with penicillin-streptomycin, L-glutamine, bovine serum albumin, HEPES, sodium bicarbonate, and 20 μ g/ml acetylated trypsin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). After the agar solidified, the plates were incubated for ~48 h at 37°C. Cells were fixed and stained with primary antibody anti-H1 (MAB8261; Millipore, Billerica, MA). Plaques were visualized with anti-mouse horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) and developed with a peroxidase substrate kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA).

Viral culture. Viruses were serially passaged in MDCK cells (2.5×10^5 cells/well). The multiplicity of infection (MOI) for passages was 0.01 except for late passages in the first experiment, for which output virus was low and the MOI was adjusted to accommodate. Trajectories were prepared both in the presence and absence of escalating concentrations of F10 antibody or equivalent concentrations of the control monoclonal antibody 80R. In passage 4, the antibody concentration was $1 \times$ the EC₅₀. For the next passage, the concentration was increased to $2 \times$ the EC₅₀ and then doubled for each subsequent passage as long as >50% cytopathic effect (CPE) was present. If <50% CPE was present, the concentration of antibody was escalated at a lower rate.

Determination of the EC_{so} and EC_{so} for F10 antibody. The EC_{so} and EC_{so} values were defined as the concentrations of antibody that reduced plaque number to 50% and 90% of the levels of the no-drug control, respectively. In brief, 3×10^4 MDCK cells/well were seeded in a 96-well plate and incubated overnight at 37° C in 5% CO₂. Virus was added to cells at an MOI of 0.01 in 50 μ l of influenza virus growth medium (EMEM, 10% FBS, 2 mM penicillin-streptomycin, 7.5% bovine serum albumin, and 1 μ g/ml tosylsulfonyl phenylalanyl chloromethyl ketone [TPCK]-treated trypsin [Sigma]) plus serial dilutions of F10 antibody. After incubation at 37° C for 1 h, cells were washed once with PBS; 200 μ l of influenza virus growth medium with the appropriate concentration of antibody was added, and cells were again incubated at 37° C for several days. Supernatants were collected when >90% CPE was achieved for at least one antibody concentration. Supernatants were centrifuged for 15 min at 300 × g at 4°C and stored at -80° C. The viral titer for each sample was determined by plaque assay. Resulting data were fit to a standard binding equation (variable slope, four parameters) in order to estimate EC_{so} and EC_{so} values with GraphPad Prism, version 7 (La Jolla, CA).

High-throughput sequencing. We developed a high-throughput sample processing workflow, carried out in a 96-well format, including RNA purification, reverse transcription, and whole-genome PCR, followed by DNA barcoding and library preparation, as previously described (42). Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform to generate 100-nucleotide reads.

Bioinformatics analysis. An integrated bioinformatics pipeline was developed to trim and bin the raw read data based on barcode, to align reads to the reference IAV genome, and to quantify the level of nucleotide and amino acid variability within the viral population, as previously described (21, 42). To streamline the processing of large numbers of IAV samples, an SQL database with a web interface was developed, integrating sample growth conditions with DNA barcoding information. The database was directly accessed using the analysis pipeline, eliminating the potential of human error when experimental conditions were correlated with large-scale IAV genomic data.

Short reads from the Illumina platform were filtered for quality scores of >20 throughout the read and aligned to the strain's reference genome using BLAST. Over 95% of the selected reads could be mapped to the IAV reference genome obtained from GenBank (accessions numbers CY030232, CY031391, CY058484, CY058485, CY058486, CY058488, CY058489, and CY058491). Only alignments longer than 80 nucleotides were retained. The median sequencing depth was 14,400 reads. Amino acid frequencies were calculated after translated reads were aligned to the corresponding positions in the reference proteins. Unfolded single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) frequencies were generated using the IAV reference genome and used for population genetics analyses, and the amino acid frequencies were used for the structural analysis. The sequencing data sets generated in this study are available at http://bib.umassmed.edu/influenza.

Population genetic analysis. To distinguish SNPs putatively evolving under positive selection from those evolving under genetic drift alone, we applied the Wright-Fisher ABC approach (available from the Jensen Lab, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ [http://jjensenlab.org]) to estimate a global effective population size (N_e) and per-site selection coefficients (s) based on the allele frequency trajectories through time (21, 22, 42). We considered all trajectories reaching a frequency of at least 2% in any passage. If at least 99% of the posterior probability density of the selection coefficient for a given SNP was positive, the site was considered to be significant.

Structural analyses and simulations. The amino acid sequence of influenza A/Brisbane/59/2007 (H1N1) virus HA was obtained from UniProt using the accession number B0VX46, which is associated with

the GenBank accession number CY030232. This HA sequence was aligned to the amino acid sequences of published crystal structures to determine the location of specific mutations on the structure of HA, and the possible impact of these mutations was determined based on what has been reported in the literature about HA structure, conformational changes in HA that occur during fusion, and HA receptor binding. The published crystal structures used in this analysis include F10 in complex with H5 HA (PDB accession number 3FKU), H1 HA bound to the human receptor analog LSTc (PDB accession number 2WRG), a solubilized trimeric H3 HA at the pH of membrane fusion (PDB accession number 1HTM), and H2 HA at neutral and acidic pH (PDB accession numbers 3QQB and 3QQO). The mutagenesis wizard in PyMOL was used to mutate residue 203 to an asparagine in two crystal structures to match the A/Brisbane/59/2007 (H1N1) HA sequence (PDB accession numbers 3FKU and 2WRG) (64). NA from influenza A/Brisbane/59/2007 strain WT and E329K^{NA} apo structures were modeled based on the N1-oseltamivir cocrystal structure (PDB accession number 3CL2) through the program Modeller, version 9.15.

All molecular dynamics simulations were performed using the Desmond package (65) from Schrodinger. The models were first optimized using the Protein Preparation Wizard. The simulation systems were then built through the Desmond system setup using the OPLS3 force field (66). A simple point charge (SPC) solvation model was used with cubic boundary conditions and 12-Å buffer box size. The final system was neutral and had 0.15 M NaCl. A multistage MD simulation protocol was used, as previously described (67). All simulations were performed for a total of 100 ns. The RMSF values of the protein backbone and DNA molecule as well as the protein-ligand contact diagrams were calculated using in-house-modified Schrodinger trajectory analysis Python scripts. The electrostatic surface calculations of the final frame in MD simulations were done through the PyMol APBS plugin.

Reverse genetics and viral rescue. The full-length complementary DNA (eight segments) of A/Brisbane/59/2007 virus that were cloned into the pHW2000 plasmid vector to generate reverse genetics viruses were obtained from R. Webby (St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN). Mutations of interest were introduced into the corresponding HA and NA genes by using QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis (Agilent). Sanger sequencing was used to confirm the presence of these mutants. Reverse genetics viruses were rescued by transfecting a coculture of 293T/MDCK cells with eight pHW2000 plasmids corresponding to the eight virus segments, using TransIT LT-1 (Mirus Bio), as described previously (for the single mutants, 7 WT viral segments + 1 mutant viral segment; for the double mutant, 6 WT viral segments + 2 mutant viral segments). Rescued P2 virus was sequenced and confirmed as containing the correct variant NA segment in the uniform backbone from the other seven segments of A/Brisbane/59/2007. Stocks of viruses harvested from infected MDCK cells were titrated by plaque assay. These stocks were used to evaluate viral fitness/growth and to determine EC_{50} and EC_{90} values for oseltamivir and F10. Images of plaques were acquired using a Nikon SMZ1500 microscope. For each mutant, we used the NIS Elements-BR Analysis program to measure the diameter of 20 randomly selected plaques. The average plaque size for each mutant was calculated and used as an estimate of growth rate.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Melanie Trombly for assistance with manuscript preparation and R. Webby for A/Brisbane/59/2007 virus constructs for reverse genetics.

This work was supported by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, through the Peer Reviewed Medical Research Program (award no. W81XWH-15-1-0317), and by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) Prophecy Program, Defense Sciences Office (contract no. HR0011-11-C-0095 and D13AP00041).

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the authors and are not necessarily endorsed by the Department of Defense.

A.S.C., K.B.Z., N.R., T.F.K., D.N.A.B., J.D.J., W.A.M., R.W.F., C.A.S., and J.P.W. designed the research; K.L.P., P.L., M.S., K.B.Z., Y.-P.P., S.H., and N.R. performed the research; T.H., Q.Z., and W.A.M. contributed new reagents/analytic tools and contributed to data interpretation; K.L.P., A.S.C., P.L, N.K.-Y., and J.P.W. contributed to the analysis and wrote the paper.

We have no conflicts of interest to report.

REFERENCES

- Palese P, Shaw M. 2007. Orthomyxoviridae: the viruses and their replication, p 1647–1690. *In* Knipe DM, Howley PM, Griffin DE, Lamb RA, Martin MA, Roizman B, Straus SE (ed), Fields virology, 5th ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, PA.
- 2. Drake JW. 1993. Rates of spontaneous mutation among RNA viruses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 90:4171–4175. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.90 .9.4171.
- White J, Hoffman L, Arevalo J, Wilson I. 1997. Attachment and entry of influenza virus into host cells. Pivotal roles of hemagglutinin, p 80–104. *In* Chiu W, Burnett RM, Garcea RL (ed), Structural biology of viruses, Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom.
- Wagner R, Matrosovich M, Klenk HD. 2002. Functional balance between haemagglutinin and neuraminidase in influenza virus infections. Rev Med Virol 12:159–166. https://doi.org/10.1002/rmv.352.

- Wilson IA, Skehel JJ, Wiley DC. 1981. Structure of the haemagglutinin membrane glycoprotein of influenza virus at 3 A resolution. Nature 289:366–373. https://doi.org/10.1038/289366a0.
- Laursen NS, Wilson IA. 2013. Broadly neutralizing antibodies against influenza viruses. Antiviral Res 98:476–483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .antiviral.2013.03.021.
- Burton DR, Poignard P, Stanfield RL, Wilson IA. 2012. Broadly neutralizing antibodies present new prospects to counter highly antigenically diverse viruses. Science 337:183–186. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1225416.
- Corti D, Cameroni E, Guarino B, Kallewaard NL, Zhu Q, Lanzavecchia A. 2017. Tackling influenza with broadly neutralizing antibodies. Curr Opin Virol 24:60–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2017.03.002.
- Sui J, Hwang WC, Perez S, Wei G, Aird D, Chen LM, Santelli E, Stec B, Cadwell G, Ali M, Wan H, Murakami A, Yammanuru A, Han T, Cox NJ, Bankston LA, Donis RO, Liddington RC, Marasco WA. 2009. Structural and functional bases for broad-spectrum neutralization of avian and human influenza A viruses. Nat Struct Mol Biol 16:265–273. https://doi.org/10 .1038/nsmb.1566.
- Fu Y, Zhang Z, Sheehan J, Avnir Y, Ridenour C, Sachnik T, Sun J, Hossain MJ, Chen LM, Zhu Q, Donis RO, Marasco WA. 2016. A broadly neutralizing anti-influenza antibody reveals ongoing capacity of haemagglutinin-specific memory B cells to evolve. Nat Commun 7:12780. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12780.
- Chai N, Swem LR, Reichelt M, Chen-Harris H, Luis E, Park S, Fouts A, Lupardus P, Wu TD, Li O, McBride J, Lawrence M, Xu M, Tan MW. 2016. Two escape mechanisms of influenza A virus to a broadly neutralizing stalk-binding antibody. PLoS Pathog 12:e1005702. https://doi.org/10 .1371/journal.ppat.1005702.
- Bournazos S, DiLillo DJ, Ravetch JV. 2015. The role of Fc-FcγR interactions in IgG-mediated microbial neutralization. J Exp Med 212: 1361–1369. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20151267.
- Friesen RH, Lee PS, Stoop EJ, Hoffman RM, Ekiert DC, Bhabha G, Yu W, Juraszek J, Koudstaal W, Jongeneelen M, Korse HJ, Ophorst C, Brinkmanvan der Linden EC, Throsby M, Kwakkenbos MJ, Bakker AQ, Beaumont T, Spits H, Kwaks T, Vogels R, Ward AB, Goudsmit J, Wilson IA. 2014. A common solution to group 2 influenza virus neutralization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:445–450. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319058110.
- Throsby M, van den Brink E, Jongeneelen M, Poon LL, Alard P, Cornelissen L, Bakker A, Cox F, van Deventer E, Guan Y, Cinatl J, ter Meulen J, Lasters I, Carsetti R, Peiris M, de Kruif J, Goudsmit J. 2008. Heterosubtypic neutralizing monoclonal antibodies cross-protective against H5N1 and H1N1 recovered from human IgM+ memory B cells. PLoS One 3:e3942. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0003942.
- Han T, Marasco WA. 2011. Structural basis of influenza virus neutralization. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1217:178–190. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749 -6632.2010.05829.x.
- Dreyfus C, Ekiert DC, Wilson IA. 2013. Structure of a classical broadly neutralizing stem antibody in complex with a pandemic H2 influenza virus hemagglutinin. J Virol 87:7149–7154. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI .02975-12.
- Ekiert DC, Friesen RH, Bhabha G, Kwaks T, Jongeneelen M, Yu W, Ophorst C, Cox F, Korse HJ, Brandenburg B, Vogels R, Brakenhoff JP, Kompier R, Koldijk MH, Cornelissen LA, Poon LL, Peiris M, Koudstaal W, Wilson IA, Goudsmit J. 2011. A highly conserved neutralizing epitope on group 2 influenza A viruses. Science 333:843–850. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.1204839.
- Doud MB, Lee JM, Bloom JD. 2018. How single mutations affect viral escape from broad and narrow antibodies to H1 influenza hemagglutinin. Nat Commun 9:1386. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03665-3.
- Barr IG, McCauley J, Cox N, Daniels R, Engelhardt OG, Fukuda K, Grohmann G, Hay A, Kelso A, Klimov A, Odagiri T, Smith D, Russell C, Tashiro M, Webby R, Wood J, Ye Z, Zhang W. 2010. Epidemiological, antigenic and genetic characteristics of seasonal influenza A(H1N1), A(H3N2) and B influenza viruses: basis for the WHO recommendation on the composition of influenza vaccines for use in the 2009-2010 northern hemisphere season. Vaccine 28:1156–1167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2009.11 .043.
- Sui J, Li W, Murakami A, Tamin A, Matthews LJ, Wong SK, Moore MJ, Tallarico AS, Olurinde M, Choe H, Anderson LJ, Bellini WJ, Farzan M, Marasco WA. 2004. Potent neutralization of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus by a human mAb to S1 protein that blocks receptor association. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:2536–2541. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0307140101.

- Foll M, Poh YP, Renzette N, Ferrer-Admetlla A, Bank C, Shim H, Malaspinas AS, Ewing G, Liu P, Wegmann D, Caffrey DR, Zeldovich KB, Bolon DN, Wang JP, Kowalik TF, Schiffer CA, Finberg RW, Jensen JD. 2014. Influenza virus drug resistance: a time-sampled population genetics perspective. PLoS Genet 10:e1004185. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004185.
- Foll M, Shim H, Jensen JD. 2015. WFABC: a Wright-Fisher ABC-based approach for inferring effective population sizes and selection coefficients from time-sampled data. Mol Ecol Resour 15:87–98. https://doi .org/10.1111/1755-0998.12280.
- Duan S, Govorkova EA, Bahl J, Zaraket H, Baranovich T, Seiler P, Prevost K, Webster RG, Webby RJ. 2014. Epistatic interactions between neuraminidase mutations facilitated the emergence of the oseltamivirresistant H1N1 influenza viruses. Nat Commun 5:5029. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/ncomms6029.
- Tumpey TM, Maines TR, Van Hoeven N, Glaser L, Solórzano A, Pappas C, Cox NJ, Swayne DE, Palese P, Katz JM, García-Sastre A. 2007. A twoamino acid change in the hemagglutinin of the 1918 influenza virus abolishes transmission. Science 315:655–659. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1136212.
- Bullough PA, Hughson FM, Skehel JJ, Wiley DC. 1994. Structure of influenza haemagglutinin at the pH of membrane fusion. Nature 371: 37–43. https://doi.org/10.1038/371037a0.
- Wilschat JC, McElhaney JE, Palache AM. 2006. Influenza rapid reference, 2nd ed. Mosby-Elsevier Science, London, United Kingdom.
- Daniels RS, Downie JC, Hay AJ, Knossow M, Skehel JJ, Wang ML, Wiley DC. 1985. Fusion mutants of the influenza virus hemagglutinin glycoprotein. Cell 40:431–439. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(85)90157-6.
- Ivanovic T, Choi JL, Whelan SP, van Oijen AM, Harrison SC. 2013. Influenza-virus membrane fusion by cooperative fold-back of stochastically induced hemagglutinin intermediates. Elife 2:e00333. https://doi .org/10.7554/eLife.00333.
- Xu R, Wilson IA. 2011. Structural characterization of an early fusion intermediate of influenza virus hemagglutinin. J Virol 85:5172–5182. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02430-10.
- Nakowitsch S, Waltenberger AM, Wressnigg N, Ferstl N, Triendl A, Kiefmann B, Montomoli E, Lapini G, Sergeeva M, Muster T, Romanova JR. 2014. Egg- or cell culture-derived hemagglutinin mutations impair virus stability and antigen content of inactivated influenza vaccines. Biotechnol J 9:405–414. https://doi.org/10.1002/biot.201300225.
- Liu J, Stevens DJ, Haire LF, Walker PA, Coombs PJ, Russell RJ, Gamblin SJ, Skehel JJ. 2009. Structures of receptor complexes formed by hemagglutinins from the Asian Influenza pandemic of 1957. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:17175–17180. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906849106.
- Eisen MB, Sabesan S, Skehel JJ, Wiley DC. 1997. Binding of the influenza A virus to cell-surface receptors: structures of five hemagglutininsialyloligosaccharide complexes determined by X-ray crystallography. Virology 232:19–31. https://doi.org/10.1006/viro.1997.8526.
- Weis W, Brown JH, Cusack S, Paulson JC, Skehel JJ, Wiley DC. 1988. Structure of the influenza virus haemagglutinin complexed with its receptor, sialic acid. Nature 333:426–431. https://doi.org/10.1038/ 333426a0.
- Air GM, Els MC, Brown LE, Laver WG, Webster RG. 1985. Location of antigenic sites on the three-dimensional structure of the influenza N2 virus neuraminidase. Virology 145:237–248. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0042-6822(85)90157-6.
- Air GM, Laver WG, Webster RG, Els MC, Luo M. 1989. Antibody recognition of the influenza virus neuraminidase. Cold Spring Harbor Symp Quant Biol 54 Pt 1:247–255.
- Webster RG, Air GM, Metzger DW, Colman PM, Varghese JN, Baker AT, Laver WG. 1987. Antigenic structure and variation in an influenza virus N9 neuraminidase. J Virol 61:2910–2916.
- Prachanronarong KL, Ozen A, Thayer KM, Yilmaz LS, Zeldovich KB, Bolon DN, Kowalik TF, Jensen JD, Finberg RW, Wang JP, Kurt-Yilmaz N, Schiffer CA. 2016. Molecular basis for differential patterns of drug resistance in influenza N1 and N2 neuraminidase. J Chem Theory Comput 12: 6098–6108. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.6b00703.
- Soumana DI, Kurt Yilmaz N, Ali A, Prachanronarong KL, Schiffer CA. 2016. Molecular and dynamic mechanism underlying drug resistance in genotype 3 hepatitis C NS3/4A protease. J Am Chem Soc 138:11850–11859. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b06454.
- Lin KH, Ali A, Rusere L, Soumana DI, Kurt Yilmaz N, Schiffer CA. 2017. Dengue virus NS2B/NS3 protease inhibitors exploiting the prime side. J Virol 91:e00045-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00045-17.
- 40. Ozen A, Lin KH, Kurt Yilmaz N, Schiffer CA. 2014. Structural basis and

distal effects of Gag substrate coevolution in drug resistance to HIV-1 protease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:15993–15998. https://doi.org/10 .1073/pnas.1414063111.

- Ragland DA, Nalivaika EA, Nalam MN, Prachanronarong KL, Cao H, Bandaranayake RM, Cai Y, Kurt-Yilmaz N, Schiffer CA. 2014. Drug resistance conferred by mutations outside the active site through alterations in the dynamic and structural ensemble of HIV-1 protease. J Am Chem Soc 136:11956–11963. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja504096m.
- Renzette N, Caffrey DR, Zeldovich KB, Liu P, Gallagher GR, Aiello D, Porter AJ, Kurt-Jones EA, Bolon DN, Poh YP, Jensen JD, Schiffer CA, Kowalik TF, Finberg RW, Wang JP. 2014. Evolution of the influenza A virus genome during development of oseltamivir resistance in vitro. J Virol 88:272–281. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01067-13.
- Zeldovich KB, Liu P, Renzette N, Foll M, Pham ST, Venev SV, Gallagher GR, Bolon DN, Kurt-Jones EA, Jensen JD, Caffrey DR, Schiffer CA, Kowalik TF, Wang JP, Finberg RW. 2015. Positive selection drives preferred segment combinations during influenza virus reassortment. Mol Biol Evol 32: 1519–1532. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msv044.
- 44. Bank C, Renzette N, Liu P, Matuszewski S, Shim H, Foll M, Bolon DN, Zeldovich KB, Kowalik TF, Finberg RW, Wang JP, Jensen JD. 2016. An experimental evaluation of drug-induced mutational meltdown as an antiviral treatment strategy. Evolution 70:2470–2484. https://doi.org/10 .1111/evo.13041.
- 45. Ormond L, Liu P, Matuszewski S, Renzette N, Bank C, Zeldovich K, Bolon DN, Kowalik TF, Finberg RW, Jensen JD, Wang JP. 2017. The combined effect of oseltamivir and favipiravir on influenza A virus evolution. Genome Biol Evol 9:1913–1924. https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evx138.
- Mair CM, Ludwig K, Herrmann A, Sieben C. 2014. Receptor binding and pH stability: how influenza A virus hemagglutinin affects host-specific virus infection. Biochim Biophys Acta 1838:1153–1168. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.bbamem.2013.10.004.
- 47. Sandbulte MR, Westgeest KB, Gao J, Xu X, Klimov AI, Russell CA, Burke DF, Smith DJ, Fouchier RA, Eichelberger MC. 2011. Discordant antigenic drift of neuraminidase and hemagglutinin in H1N1 and H3N2 influenza viruses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:20748–20753. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1113801108.
- Thoennes S, Li ZN, Lee BJ, Langley WA, Skehel JJ, Russell RJ, Steinhauer DA. 2008. Analysis of residues near the fusion peptide in the influenza hemagglutinin structure for roles in triggering membrane fusion. Virology 370:403–414. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2007.08.035.
- Reed ML, Yen HL, DuBois RM, Bridges OA, Salomon R, Webster RG, Russell CJ. 2009. Amino acid residues in the fusion peptide pocket regulate the pH of activation of the H5N1 influenza virus hemagglutinin protein. J Virol 83:3568–3580. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02238-08.
- Steinhauer DA, Wharton SA, Skehel JJ, Wiley DC. 1995. Studies of the membrane fusion activities of fusion peptide mutants of influenza virus hemagglutinin. J Virol 69:6643–6651.
- Gething MJ, Doms RW, York D, White J. 1986. Studies on the mechanism of membrane fusion: site-specific mutagenesis of the hemagglutinin of influenza virus. J Cell Physiol 102:11–23.
- DuBois RM, Zaraket H, Reddivari M, Heath RJ, White SW, Russell CJ. 2011. Acid stability of the hemagglutinin protein regulates H5N1 influenza virus pathogenicity. PLoS Pathog 7:e1002398. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.ppat.1002398.
- Matrosovich M, Tuzikov A, Bovin N, Gambaryan A, Klimov A, Castrucci MR, Donatelli I, Kawaoka Y. 2000. Early alterations of the receptorbinding properties of H1, H2, and H3 avian influenza virus hemaggluti-

nins after their introduction into mammals. J Virol 74:8502–8512. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.74.18.8502-8512.2000.

- 54. Maines TR, Chen LM, Van Hoeven N, Tumpey TM, Blixt O, Belser JA, Gustin KM, Pearce MB, Pappas C, Stevens J, Cox NJ, Paulson JC, Raman R, Sasisekharan R, Katz JM, Donis RO. 2011. Effect of receptor binding domain mutations on receptor binding and transmissibility of avian influenza H5N1 viruses. Virology 413:139–147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .virol.2011.02.015.
- Chen LM, Blixt O, Stevens J, Lipatov AS, Davis CT, Collins BE, Cox NJ, Paulson JC, Donis RO. 2012. In vitro evolution of H5N1 avian influenza virus toward human-type receptor specificity. Virology 422:105–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2011.10.006.
- Stevens J, Blixt O, Tumpey TM, Taubenberger JK, Paulson JC, Wilson IA. 2006. Structure and receptor specificity of the hemagglutinin from an H5N1 influenza virus. Science 312:404–410. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1124513.
- Hensley SE, Das SR, Bailey AL, Schmidt LM, Hickman HD, Jayaraman A, Viswanathan K, Raman R, Sasisekharan R, Bennink JR, Yewdell JW. 2009. Hemagglutinin receptor binding avidity drives influenza A virus antigenic drift. Science 326:734–736. https://doi.org/10.1126/science .1178258.
- Yewdell JW, Caton AJ, Gerhard W. 1986. Selection of influenza A virus adsorptive mutants by growth in the presence of a mixture of monoclonal antihemagglutinin antibodies. J Virol 57:623–628.
- Hensley SE, Das SR, Gibbs JS, Bailey AL, Schmidt LM, Bennink JR, Yewdell JW. 2011. Influenza A virus hemagglutinin antibody escape promotes neuraminidase antigenic variation and drug resistance. PLoS One 6:e15190. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015190.
- Ginting TE, Shinya K, Kyan Y, Makino A, Matsumoto N, Kaneda S, Kawaoka Y. 2012. Amino acid changes in hemagglutinin contribute to the replication of oseltamivir-resistant H1N1 influenza viruses. J Virol 86:121–127. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.06085-11.
- 61. Hooper KA, Bloom JD. 2013. A mutant influenza virus that uses an N1 neuraminidase as the receptor-binding protein. J Virol 87:12531–12540. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01889-13.
- 62. Neverov AD, Kryazhimskiy S, Plotkin JB, Bazykin GA. 2015. Coordinated evolution of influenza A surface proteins. PLoS Genet 11:e1005404. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005404.
- Hendricks GL, Weirich KL, Viswanathan K, Li J, Shriver ZH, Ashour J, Ploegh HL, Kurt-Jones EA, Fygenson DK, Finberg RW, Comolli JC, Wang JP. 2013. Sialylneolacto-*N*-tetraose c (LSTc)-bearing liposomal decoys capture influenza A virus. J Biol Chem 288:8061–8073. https://doi.org/ 10.1074/jbc.M112.437202.
- 64. Schrodinger LLC. 2010. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System. Version 1:3r1.
- Bowers KJ, Chow E, Xu H, Dror RO, Eastwood MP, Gregersen BA, Klepeis JL, Kolossvary I, Moraes MA, Sacerdoti FD, Salmon JK, Shan Y, Shaw DE. 2006. Scalable algorithms for molecular dynamics simulations on commodity clusters, p 84. *In* Proceedings of the 2006 ACM/IEEE Conference on Supercomputing, Tampa, FL.
- Harder E, Damm W, Maple J, Wu C, Reboul M, Xiang JY, Wang L, Lupyan D, Dahlgren MK, Knight JL, Kaus JW, Cerutti DS, Krilov G, Jorgensen WL, Abel R, Friesner RA. 2016. OPLS3: a force field providing broad coverage of drug-like small molecules and proteins. J Chem Theory Comput 12:281–296. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.5b00864.
- Leidner F, Kurt Yilmaz N, Paulsen J, Muller YA, Schiffer CA. 2018. Hydration structure and dynamics of inhibitor-bound HIV-1 protease. J Chem Theory Comput 14:2784–2796. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.8b00097.