Adaption of Roots to Nitrogen Deficiency Revealed by 3D Quantification and Proteomic Analysis^{1[OPEN]}

Lu Qin,^a Thomas C. Walk,^b Peipei Han,^a Liyu Chen,^c Sheng Zhang,^d Yinshui Li,^a Xiaojia Hu,^a Lihua Xie,^a Yong Yang,^e Jiping Liu,^e Xing Lu,^f Changbing Yu,^a Jiang Tian,^f Jon E Shaff,^e Leon V. Kochian,^g Xing Liao,^{a,2,3} and Hong Liao^{c,2}

^aOil Crops Research Institute of the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences/Key Laboratory of Biology and Genetics Improvement of Oil Crops of the Ministry of Agriculture, Wuhan 430062, China ^bTropotech LLC, St. Louis, Missouri 63141

^cRoot Biology Center, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou 350002, China

^dInstitute of Biotechnology, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853-2703

^eRobert W. Holley Center for Agriculture and Health, United States Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Service, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853

^fState Key Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Subtropical Agro-bioresources, Root Biology Center, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, China

^gGlobal Institute for Food Security, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon S7N 4J8, Canada

ORCID IDs: 0000-0002-9315-8004 (L.Q.); 0000-0001-8206-1007 (S.Z.); 0000-0002-8162-5824 (Y.Y.); 0000-0002-7558-0025 (J.L.); 0000-0002-2962-1500 (X.L.); 0000-0001-8331-2728 (C.Y.); 0000-0002-1104-7803 (J.T.); 0000-0003-3416-089X (L.V.K.); 0000-0002-6702-7289 (H.L.).

Rapeseed (*Brassica napus*) is an important oil crop worldwide. However, severe inhibition of rapeseed production often occurs in the field due to nitrogen (N) deficiency. The root system is the main organ to acquire N for plant growth, but little is known about the mechanisms underlying rapeseed root adaptions to N deficiency. Here, dynamic changes in root architectural traits of N-deficient rapeseed plants were evaluated by 3D in situ quantification. Root proteome responses to N deficiency were analyzed by the tandem mass tag-based proteomics method, and related proteins were characterized further. Under N deficiency, rapeseed roots become longer, with denser cells in the meristematic zone and larger cells in the elongation zone of root tips, and also become softer with reduced solidity. A total of 171 and 755 differentially expressed proteins were identified in short-and long-term N-deficient roots, respectively. The abundance of proteins involved in cell wall organization or biogenesis was highly enhanced, but most identified peroxidases were reduced in the N-deficient roots. Notably, peroxidase activities also were decreased, which might promote root elongation while lowering the solidity of N-deficient roots. These results were consistent with the cell wall components measured in the N-deficient roots. Further functional analysis using transgenic Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) plants demonstrated that the two root-related differentially expressed proteins contribute to the enhanced root growth under N deficiency conditions. These results provide insights into the global changes of rapeseed root responses to N deficiency and may facilitate the development of rapeseed cultivars with high N use efficiency through root-based genetic improvements.

^[OPEN]Articles can be viewed without a subscription. www.plantphysiol.org/cgi/doi/10.1104/pp.18.00716

Nitrogen (N) is an essential macronutrient for the synthesis of proteins, nucleic acids, and many secondary metabolites and is a key factor in ensuring high crop vields. N deficiency affects crop growth and development, with symptoms including leaf chlorosis, stunted plants, and decreased yields (Coruzzi and Bush, 2001; Kusano et al., 2011). In many agricultural regions, increases in crop production rely on chemical N fertilization. The consumption of N fertilizers worldwide has increased more than 9-fold since 1960 (Hinsinger et al., 2011). However, excessive use of chemical N fertilizers has caused severe environmental problems, such as eutrophication and global warming (Gruber and Galloway, 2008; Zheng et al., 2015). Therefore, it is increasingly important to find widely applicable solutions for reducing chemical N fertilization without decreasing crop production.

¹This work was supported by grants from the Agricultural Science and Technology Innovation Program (CAAS-ASTIP-2013-OCRI) and the Excellent Young Scientist Fund (1610172015004) of the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences and by the National Key Research and Development Program (2016YFD0100202-5).

²Senior authors.

³Author for contact: liaox@oilcrops.cn.

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantphysiol.org) is: Hong Liao (hliao@fafu.edu.cn).

H.L., L.Q., and X.L. designed the experiments and wrote the article; L.Q., P.P.H., Y.S.L., and L.Y.C. performed all the experiments; L.Q., T.C.W., S.Z., and Y.Y. conducted the proteomic analysis; X.L., X.J.H., C.B.Y., and L.H.X. performed the 3D root analysis; J.P.L., J.T., J.E.S., and L.V.K. revised the article.

Understanding the mechanisms of plant adaptation to N deficiency is crucial for improving crop N efficiency and reducing chemical N fertilization. It has been well documented that plants have evolved a number of mechanisms to adapt to N-deficient soils (Scheible et al., 2004; Hermans et al., 2006), with known responses including enhancement of N uptake and translocation, remobilization of N from source organs to newly growing tissues, and alteration of carbohydrate partitioning (Paul and Driscoll, 1997; Fan et al., 2009; Krapp et al., 2011). Changes in root architecture are important for enhancing plant N acquisition under N deficiency conditions (Liu et al., 2008; Gao et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016). In recent years, several studies have identified genes involved in the regulation of root architecture under low-N conditions, with most of the focus on the model plant Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana). One gene, NRT1.1, a dual-affinity nitrate transporter, also is an auxin transporter. The activity of NRT1.1 can affect auxin distribution and thereby modify root architecture (Mounier et al., 2014). Another gene, auxin signaling F-box3 (AFB3), encodes an auxin receptor targeted by a nitrate-inducible microRNA, *miR393*, that has been shown to integrate internal and external N availability signals to control root architecture. Knockout of AFB3 and overexpression of miR393 has been shown to alter the nitrate-controlled growth of primary and lateral roots (Vidal et al., 2010). In maize (*Zea mays*), rootless with undetectable meristems1, which encodes an auxin/indole-3-acetic acid protein, is required for the initiation of embryonic seminal and postembryonic lateral roots (von Behrens et al., 2011). Thus, specific roles have been identified for a number of genes with regard to root responses to low N. However, the global mechanisms underlying rapeseed (Brassica *napus*) root adaption to N deficiency remain largely unknown.

Since cellular proteins perform most of the catalytic work required by biological processes, understanding relevant protein dynamics is likely to yield insights into how plants respond to environmental stimuli. To this end, proteomic techniques can be important for exploring molecular mechanisms underlying plant adaptations to abiotic stress. As such, there are numerous publications available detailing proteomics studies of plants experiencing nutrient deficiency stresses, primarily N, phosphorus, and iron (Rellán-Alvarez et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2011, 2015; Wang et al., 2012). Although several stress-responsive proteins have been identified in these studies, they have been limited nonetheless by the utilization of 2D gel electrophoresis, a technique that is insufficient for the separation of large and small proteins as well as highly acidic or hydrophobic proteins (Zieske, 2006). Moreover, lowabundance proteins, such as membrane proteins, are difficult to detect using this method. Developed shotgun proteomic techniques, such as tandem mass tag (TMT) labeling-based methods, have overcome most of these limitations and have enabled protein identification and the quantification of relative changes in complex samples across multiple experimental conditions (Zieske, 2006; Turek et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016; Hao et al., 2017). Therefore, modern proteomic techniques promise to contribute novel insights into plant adaptation to environmental stresses.

Rapeseed is the third most widely grown oil crop. Due to the high protein content in seeds, large amounts of N are required to increase rapeseed yield. Root architecture determines the ability of plants to acquire N from soils. Therefore, an understanding of root architectural responses to low N availability is essential for determining the potential of rapeseed to improve its tolerance to N deficiency. Although the response to N deficiency at the protein level has been studied in some crops (Møller et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012), little proteomic-based information is available in rapeseed, especially for roots. In this work, dynamic changes of root architectural traits in N-deficient rapeseed plants were evaluated by 3D in situ quantification. Root proteomes from N-deficient and N-sufficient rapeseed were compared through TMT-based proteomic techniques, and proteins with significant alterations in expression were characterized further. The objective of this research is to provide the fundamental information needed to identify the strategies employed by rapeseed roots to cope with N deficiency. This information could be used for research focused on improving N use efficiency and the production of rapeseed.

RESULTS

Architectural Responses of Rapeseed Roots to N Deficiency

To investigate the dynamic responses of rapeseed root architecture to N deficiency, 3D in situ root reconstructions were generated daily and analyzed in the RootReader3D platform (Clark et al., 2011). As illustrated in Figure 1A, rapeseed root architecture was altered dramatically by N availability, namely high N (7,500 μ M N) or low N (190 μ M N in hydroponics). Among all the quantified root architectural traits, total root length and maximum depth (namely main root length) of roots were most sensitive to N availability, with significant effects observed after 2 d of N treatment (Fig. 1B). Changes in lateral tip count, minimum width, and convex hull volume all reached significant levels after 3 d and reached maximum differences after 5 d of differential N treatment (Fig. 1B). These observations support the notion that deeper and wider roots are needed to expand the soil volume explored when N is limiting. Low N availability also reduced root solidity starting after 3 d of differential N treatment (Fig. 1B). This suggests that N deficiency not only affects root elongation and lateral root branching but also changes root stiffness.

To further determine whether root growth in N-deficient rapeseed is correlated with cell division or cell elongation, the number and size of cells in root tips



Figure 1. Architectural and anatomical changes of rapeseed roots as affected by N availability. A, Dynamic changes of rapeseed root system architecture in response to N deficiency. Rapeseed plants were grown in the growth chamber under a 14-h/10-h light/dark photoperiod in hydroponic solution containing 7,500 μ M N (High N) or 190 µM N (Low N) at pH 5.8. 3D reconstructions of root systems were generated from daily imaging of roots over a 7-d period using the RootReader3D hardware and software (Clark et al., 2011). The skeletonized root systems generated with the RootReader3D software are shown in red below. B, Root architectural traits (3D) of rapeseed plants as affected by N availability. Total root length (total lengths of main and lateral roots), total root volume, lateral root length, maximum depth, maximum width, minimum width, solidity, and tip count data were collected after 7 d of N treatments. Max Depth, Maximum vertical depth of the whole root system, namely main root length; Max (or Min) Width, maximum (or minimum) horizontal width of the whole root system. Plots show means \pm sp (n = 4). Asterisks indicate significant differences in root architectural traits between the two N treatments (Student's *t* test, *, *P* < 0.05).

were examined with a confocal microscope with propidium iodide (PI) staining (Fig. 2A) and with an optical microscope with Fast Green/safranin staining (Fig. 2B), respectively. As shown in Figure 2A, cells in the meristematic zone were denser and cells in the root elongation zone were larger in the N-deficient rapeseed roots than in N-sufficient plants. Additionally, the number of cells in the meristematic zone of root tips was significantly different between two N treatments, with a 27.6% increase in the N-deficient plants (Fig. 2, B and C).

Figure 2. Effects of N availability on cell number and cell size in the tips of rapeseed main roots. A, Images of root tips stained with PI using a confocal laser scanning microscope. B, Images of root tips stained with Fast Green/safranin using an optical microscope. C, Cortex cell number in the meristematic zone. Root tip (0.5-cm root segment starting from the root tip) samples were examined from two N treatments: high N, 7,500 µм N; low N, 190 µм N. The number of cortex cells in the meristematic zone was counted with images from Fast Green/safranin paraffin staining. Data shown are means \pm sD (n = 8). The asterisk indicates a significant difference between the two N treatments (Student's t test, *, P < 0.05).



This result indicates that both cell division and cell expansion might contribute to the increased root length of rapeseed in response to N deficiency.

Overview of Rapeseed Root Proteome Changes in Response to N Deficiency

A total of 7,856 and 8,552 rapeseed root proteins were identified through TMT-based proteomic analysis on days 3 and 14, respectively (Fig. 3A). The number of differentially expressed proteins (DEP) increased with increasing duration of N treatment. On day 3, there were 171 root DEPs, of which 109 and 62 were decreased and increased in abundance, respectively, in low N compared with high N (Fig. 3B). Then, 755 DEPs were observed on day 14, of which 353 were decreased in abundance and 402 exhibited increased expression (Fig. 3B). These results illustrate that, as N deficiency progresses, the changes in root architecture and biochemistry become more significant and a larger number of stress-responsive proteins are produced.

According to Gene Ontology (GO) analysis, all identified proteins and DEPs were classified mainly into 14 biological processes and 13 molecular functions (Fig. 4). For biological processes, the most abundant terms were single-organism metabolism process, followed by establishment of localization, biosynthetic process, and response to stress. In short, terms related to single-organism metabolism process were overrepresented for root down-regulated DEPs on both days 3 and 14 of N deficiency, while establishment of localization was overrepresented for root DEPs with increased abundance (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, oxidoreductase activity, hydrolase activity, transferase activity, and peroxidase (POD) activity represented the most abundant groups in the molecular functions category. It is obvious that oxidoreductase activity was overrepresented significantly for root down-regulated DEPs on both days 3 and 14 of N deficiency and only for root



Figure 3. Patterns of proteins and differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) identified in rapeseed roots after implementing the 3-d and 14-d low-N treatment. A, All proteomics proteins. B, DEPs. Venn diagrams show the number of total proteins identified at 3 d (blue) and 14 d (yellow), with the proteins in common to both sampling times in the overlapping sections. Proteins were identified by TMT-based measurements from three biological replicates of rapeseed roots. DEPs were the proteins expressed at different levels in low-N compared with high-N conditions on the same sample day. Up and down arrows represent up-regulated and down-regulated proteins, respectively.

up-regulated DEPs on day 3 (Fig. 4B). Notably, hydrolase activity and transferase activity were overrepresented significantly for root up-regulated DEPs only on day 14 of N deficiency (Fig. 4B).

DEPs Related to Cell Wall Modifications in Response to N Deficiency

As illustrated above, notable changes in rapeseed root architecture were observed in response to N deficiency (Fig. 1). It is well known that root elongation is based on cell division in the meristematic zone, followed by cell expansion, both of which are tightly associated with cell wall modifications. In this study, terms related to cell wall organization or biosynthesis were overrepresented for root up-regulated DEPs on both days 3 and 14 of N deficiency (Fig. 4A). It is noteworthy that there were more than 4 times as many cell wall-related DEPs detected in day-14 N-deficient roots compared with day-3 roots (Table 1). Specifically, several DEPs were involved in cell wall modification, including xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/ hydrolase (XTH; i.e. an enzyme that modulates the synthesis of xylogucans) and expansins (EXPs; i.e. plant cell wall-loosening proteins involved in cell elongation and other developmental processes). The former were up-regulated in both day-3 and day-14 N-deficient roots, and the latter were significantly up-regulated by N starvation in day-14 N-deficient roots but not in day-3 roots (Table 1). Besides XTH and EXP, as mentioned above, other cell wall-modifying enzymes also were significantly up-regulated by N deprivation, including enzymes involved in cellulose synthesis (e.g. endoglucanase, cellulose synthase, and chitinase-like proteins) and enzymes involved in pectin biosynthesis or modification (e.g. galacturonosyl transferase, putative pectate lyase, and pectin methylesterase). The upregulation of cell wall-modifying proteins and enzymes functioning in cell wall extensibility and plasticity might mediate cell enlargement and expansion during root elongation under N deficiency, as quantified using 3D in situ root analysis systems.

DEPs Involved in Phenylpropanoid Biosynthesis in Response to N Deficiency

To better understanding the biological functions of the identified DEPs on the biochemical pathways, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment analysis was performed in this study. The top three enriched pathways were phenylpropanoid biosynthesis, N metabolism, and Phe metabolism (Fig. 5A). In short, the abundance of catalytic enzymes involved in phenylpropanoid biosynthesis was increased by N deficiency, especially in the day-14 N-deficient roots, including four phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL), three coumarate: coenzyme A ligase (4CL), and one caffeoyl coenzyme A O-methyltransferase (CCoAOMT). Notably, PODs were significantly overrepresented in day-14 roots (Fig. 4B), which putatively participate in phenylpropanoid biosynthesis (Fig. 5B). However, a total of 14 and 20 PODs were identified as DEPs in day-3 and day-14 N-deficient roots, respectively (Tables 1 and 2), and most of the identified PODs were significantly downregulated in N-deficient roots (Table 2).

Interaction Networks of Identified DEPs in N-Deficient Rapeseed Plants

Proteins in living cells often function in complex interrelated networks. The DEPs up-regulated by N deficiency were analyzed using the STRING database of known and predicted protein-protein interactions. Very few DEPs interacted with each other in day-3 N-deficient roots; thus, further analysis was focused

Figure 4. Representation of GO categories present among BLAST hits of rapeseed proteins identified 3 and 14 d after implementing the low-N treatments. GO terms were parsed from annotations of the top 10 hits, and parent terms were parsed from the biological process (A) and molecular function (B) ontologies. Overrepresentation was determined using false discovery rate (FDR)-corrected hypergeometric tests at each time point, with comparisons for each set of DEPs made against representation in all proteomics proteins. The significance threshold in FDR-corrected (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995) hypergeometric tests was q = 0.05. Significantly overrepresented categories are marked by asterisks: *, *q* < 0.05; **, *q* < 0.01; and ***, q < 0.001.



on the DEPs from day-14 N-deficient roots. First, a group of DEPs involved in cell wall metabolism were analyzed through STRING analysis, which could be divided into eight subclusters (Fig. 6A). Specifically, the subclusters were involved mainly in the formation of cell wall components and cytoskeleton organization, including subclusters I-1 (cellulose synthesis), I-2 (pectin metabolism), I-3 (cutin, suberin, and wax biosynthesis), and I-4 (cytoskeleton organization; Fig. 6A). The protein interactions for subcluster I-5 (sterol composition) participate in cell elongation and polarity, and subclusters 1-6 and I-7 are involved in membrane and vacuolar trafficking, respectively (Fig. 6A). Finally, subcluster I-8 is involved in signal transduction and transcription and also interacted with proteins involved in cytoskeleton organization (Fig. 6A). These results further suggested that cell wall metabolism might be tightly connected with membrane/vacuolar trafficking and signal transduction during N deficiency.

Next, the protein-protein interaction networks of other DEPs in day-14 N-deficient roots were analyzed further using STRING. Proteins were grouped into eight large units, including units associated with ribosome biogenesis, glycolysis, phenylpropanoid biosynthesis and N metabolism, sulfur metabolism, amino acid metabolism, pyruvate metabolism, and starch and Suc metabolism. As illustrated in Figure 6B, the upregulated and down-regulated proteins were integrated into interconnected protein networks. In short, all the DEPs involved in ribosome biogenesis interacted closely, and the abundance of them was reduced in N-deficient rapeseed roots. Most of the DEPs associated

Protein Identifier	Arabidopsis Homolog	Ratio 3 d	Ratio 14 d	Abbreviation in Arabidopsis	Protein	No. of Unique Peptides	No. of Peptides	No. of Peptide Spectrum Matches
Cell wall organizatio	n or biogenesis							
BnaAnng23050D	NP_194756.1	1.750	-	XTH24	Xyloglucan:xyloglucosyl transferase	1	2	6
BnaCnng62600D	NP_178708.1	1.659	1.816	XTH4	Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/	11	13	28
BnaC03g55190D	NP_190085.1	-	2.227	XTH31	hydrolase4 Xyloglucan:xyloglucosyl	2	8	19
BnaA10g05530D	NP_199783.1	_	2.765	GH9A1	Endoglucanase25	1	14	40
BnaA02g17370D	NP 177697.1	_	1.943	GH9B7	Endoglucanase10	4	11	42
BnaCnng23250D	NP_192138.1	_	1.796	GH9B13	Endoglucanase17	3	3	3
BnaA03g52020D	NP_194967.1	_	1.813	CESA1	Cellulose synthase1	9	19	43
BnaA03g55200D	NP_196136.1	-	1.899	CEV1	CONSTITUTIVE EXPRESSION OF VSP1	1	10	20
BnaC02g02440D	NP_196136.1	-	1.811	CEV1	CONSTITUTIVE EXPRESSION OF VSP1	1	10	19
BnaC08g35320D	NP_179803.4	-	1.614	CSI1	Cellulose synthase-interactive	10	11	14
BnaC01g37020D	NP 188048.1	_	1.942	PMF3	Pectinesterase3	2	4	13
BnaC01g37010D	NP 188048.1	_	1.541	PME3	Pectinesterase3	2	2	3
BnaUnng03540D	NP 190491.1	_	1.983	AT3G49220	Pectinesterase	5	6	7
BnaC02g17500D	NP 564906.1	_	1.513	AT1G67750	Putative pectate lyase5	1	2	3
BnaA03g30660D	NP 187552.3	_	1.649	AT3G09410	Putative pectinacetylesterase	1	10	40
BnaA04g24790D	NP_181833.1	1.723	-	ATPMEPCRD	Putative pectinesterase/	1	3	5
BnaA04g24790D	NP_181833.1	-	2.865	ATPMEPCRD	Putative pectinesterase/	3	3	5
BnaC07g45050D	NP_195174.6	-	1.617	AT4G34480	Glucan endo-1,3- <i>B</i> -glucosidase7	2	5	8
BnaC06g03450D	NP 188616.1	_	1.905	DWF1	DWARF1	6	12	24
BnaC05g03990D	NP 172076.1	_	1.523	POM1	Chitinase family protein	1	2	4
BnaC06g13400D	NP_851111.1	-	2.457	RWP1	Reduced levels of wall-bound	1	4	4
BnaC07g15930D	NP 569048.1	_	1.880	ARA12	Subtilisin-like protease	2	21	52
BnaC07g45310D	NP 567972.1	_	1.935	M4F13.40	Subtilisin-like Ser protease?	3	10	32
BnaC01g20780D	NP 567803.1	_	3.628	EXPB3	Expansin B3	2	10	15
BnaA06g40800D	NP 190183.1	_	1.786	EXI A1	Expansin-like A1	2	5	11
BnaCnng23400D	NP 190183.1	_	1.682	EXLA1	Expansin-like A1	6	6	12
BnaA10g24090D	NP 196304.1	2.183	_	PGIP1	Polygalacturonase inhibitor1	3	3	5
BnaA03g29620D	NP 850525.1	_	1.553	AT3G06770	Polygalacturonase-like protein	1	4	6
BnaA03g34310D	NP_188308.1	-	1.917	AT3G16850	Glycoside hydrolase family28 protein	10	10	15
BnaC09g45990D	NP_196618.1	_	1.524	AT5G10560	Putative β -D-xylosidase6	7	16	44
BnaA07g06190D	NP_189024.1	-	1.576	GAE6	UDP-D-glucuronate 4-	3	4	5
BnaCnng29190D	NP 186768.1	2.397	_	AT3G01190	Peroxidase27	2	13	49
BnaC01g31810D	NP 188814.1	2.204	_	AT3G21770	Peroxidase30	3	4	11
BnaA02g02520D	NP 197022.1	1.618	_	AT5G15180	Peroxidase56	2	14	52
BnaA01g11800D	NP 567641.1	_	2.584	PRXR1	Peroxidase42	10	10	25
BnaC01g31810D	NP 188814.1	_	1.830	AT3G21770	Peroxidase30	6	12	24
BnaA09g08390D	NP 850652.1	_	1.806	AT3G32980	Peroxidase32	1	12	99
BnaC09g08690D	NP 850652.1	_	1.791	AT3G32980	Peroxidase32	2	14	112
BnaCnng07140D	NP_188814.1	_	1.694	AT3G21770	Peroxidase30	6	12	31
BnaC08g35130D	NP 179828.1	_	1.607	AT2G22420	Peroxidase	2	10	18
Cell cytoskeleton org	anization					-		
BnaA10g12850D	NP 851227.1	1.659	_	ADF3	Actin-depolymerizing factor3	1	4	15
BnaC04g48100D	NP_187818.1	-	1.521	ACT11	Actin11	2	2	3
BnaA10g20420D	NP_196786.1	_	1.726	TUB6	β -6 tubulin	1	17	30
BnaA06g26420D	NP_568437.1	_	1.657	TUB8	β -8 tubulin	4	4	5
0	_					(Table c	ontinues o	n following page.

Fable	e 1.	Up-regulated	DEPs rela	ated	to root growth	of rapeseed	pl	ants after 3 and	114	l d	of	high	-N an	d I	low-N	treatme
-------	------	--------------	-----------	------	----------------	-------------	----	------------------	-----	-----	----	------	-------	-----	-------	---------

Table 1. (Continued from previous page.)

Protein Identifier	Arabidopsis Homolog	Ratio 3 d	Ratio 14 d	Abbreviation in Arabidopsis	Protein	No. of Unique Peptides	No. of Peptides	No. of Peptide Spectrum Matches
BnaC01g24230D	NP_190146.1	_	1.727	TET3	Tetraspanin3	11	12	17
BnaA09g16950D	NP_199226.1	-	1.644	FLA13	Fasciclin-like arabinogalactan	4	4	8
BnaC05g02150D	NP_563692.1	-	2.012	FLA9	Fasciclin-like arabinoogalactan9	1	10	20
Auxin related					C C			
BnaAnng17240D	NP_566306.3	-	2.159	AIR12	Auxin-responsive-like protein	1	11	13
BnaC03g05800D	NP_196834.3	-	1.651	SFC	GTPase-activating protein	2	2	3
Other root growth re	lated							
BnaC06g32200D	NP_565008.1	1.712	1.588	LPR2	Low phosphate root2	2	2	2
BnaA09g47290D	NP_563901.1	_	1.697	BFRUCT4	β -Fructofuranosidase	2	5	8
BnaA05g35560D	NP_193087.1	1.951	3.896	AMT1;1	Ammonium transporter1;1	2	2	3

with sulfur metabolism and glycolysis also were downregulated by N deprivation. As expected, the DEPs related to N metabolism and amino acid metabolism were tightly connected and differentially regulated by N deficiency. In this network, nitrate reductase1 and nitrite reductase1 were among the down-regulated proteins and interacted with NRT2.5, which was among the up-regulated proteins (Fig. 6B). Additionally, several proteins involved in starch and Suc metabolism were differentially regulated by N deficiency, specifically, β -glucosidase26 and galactinolsucrose galactosyltransferase5. The latter is involved in the synthesis of raffinose, and both interacted with β -fructofuranosidase (BFRUCT4; Fig. 6B), with possible roles in the continued mobilization of Suc to sink organs contributing to root elongation.

Interestingly, DEPs involved in phenylpropanoid biosynthesis interacted tightly together and clustered into two large units. Similar to Figure 5B, most of the DEPs that participated in the phenylpropanoid pathway (lignin precursor biosynthesis) were up-regulated, whereas *O*-methyltransferase1 (OMT1; i.e. an enzyme catalyzing the methylation of lignin precursors, monolignols) seemed an important link between these two units (Fig. 6B). Furthermore, interaction networks also found that PODs were linked with each other, and most of them were down-regulated by N deficiency (Fig. 6B).

Changes in POD Activity of Rapeseed under N Deficiency

PODs are widely reported to catalyze the last enzymatic step in the biosynthesis of lignin and also have regulatory roles in regulating cell wall loosing and stiffening. In order to further validate the results from the proteomic analysis in this study, POD activity in N-deficient rapeseed roots was determined through colorimetric and in gel assays. As shown in Figure 7A, the POD activity in N-deficient roots determined via a colorimetric spectrophotometry assay was 25% and 48% less than in N-sufficient roots after 3 and 14 d, respectively. Furthermore, an in gel assay also displayed reduced POD activity in N-deficient roots (Fig. 7B). Overall, these results indicate that POD activity in rapeseed roots is reduced with the onset of N deficiency, which is consistent with the decreased abundance of PODs identified via proteomic analysis in rapeseed roots under N deficiency conditions.

Cell Wall Composition Is Altered in N-Deficient Rapeseed Roots

The cell walls of plants determine cell size and shape, which determine tissue morphology. To further find the correlation between DEPs involved in cell wall metabolism and the components of cell walls in N-deficient rapeseed roots, the four major components, cellulose, hemicellulose, pectin, and lignin, were measured in roots of rapeseed after 14 d in the different N treatments. As shown in Figure 8A, the hemicellulose content was enhanced significantly in N-deficient roots, while the cellulose content did not seem to be influenced by N deficiency. As for pectin, two kinds of pectin were detected in this study, as seen in Figure 8B, and the content of ISP was increased under N deficiency conditions, while the CSP also was affected significantly by N supply, whose content was increased 29.2% in the N-deficient roots of rapeseed.

However, the lignin content in N-deficient roots was 11.2% less than that in N-sufficient roots (Fig. 9A). Moreover, as shown in Figure 9B, the stele of the N-sufficient rapeseed roots was pink after phloroglucinol staining, whereas the color was relatively weak under N deficiency conditions, especially for the region 3 cm away from the root tip. Root segments (the root region between 3 and 4 cm away from the root tip, as shown in Fig. 9B) were further stained with phloroglucinol after paraffin sectioning; lignified vessel elements in the xylem of N-sufficient rapeseed roots showed deeper color than those of N-deficient roots (Fig. 9C), suggesting that N deficiency might influence the lignin synthesis of rapeseed roots. Thus, it was consistent with the abundant changes of DEPs involved in lignin synthesis and the morphological changes of N-deficient rapeseed roots.



в



Figure 5. KEGG enrichment analysis of day-14 DEPs in rapeseed roots and DEPs enriched in the phenylpropanoid pathway. A, KEGG enrichment analysis of identified DEPs in day-14 rapeseed roots (P < 0.05). The $-\log_{10}$ values of Fisher's exact test *P* values for significant pathways are shown on the *x* axis. The KEGG database was used to identify enriched pathways by a two-tailed Fisher's exact test in a comparison of DEPs against all identified proteins. Pathways with a corrected P < 0.05 were considered significant. B, DEPs identified in day-14 N-deficient roots that mapped to the phenylpropanoid pathway. Up- and down-regulated proteins are boxed in red and green, respectively, and yellow boxes indicate reactions mapped with both up- and down-regulated proteins.

Protein Identifier Arabidopsis Honolog Ratio 3 d Ratio 3 d Ratio 1 d Abbreviation in Anbidopsis Protein No. of Puptides Cell wall organization or biogenesis BnaA03g4760D F XTH14 Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/ 1 3 3 BnaA10g05530D NP_199783.1 0.615 - GH9A1 Endoglucanase25 2 6 8 BnaA03g01880D NP_196115.1 0.605 - AT2G45750 Putative methyltmasferase pectinesterase/ 3 4 10 BnaC03g01880D NP_176598.1 - 0.560 DIR5 Dirigent protein5 5 21 BnaC03g1730D NP_567738.1 0.610 - ATG26010 Peroxidase7 1 3 3 BnaC03g1730D NP_567738.1 0.605 - ATG26010 Peroxidase7 1 3 3 BnaA03g47720D NP_567738.1 0.615 <th>0</th> <th></th> <th>0</th> <th></th> <th>1 1</th> <th>0</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	0		0		1 1	0			
Cell wall organization or biogenesis BnaA03g47620D NP_194312.1 0.440 - XTH14 Xyloglucan 1 3 3 BnaA10g05530D NP_199783.1 0.615 - GH9A1 Endottansglucosylase/ hydrolase14 - - 8 BnaA05g05070D NP_192783.1 0.615 - GH9A1 Endottansglucosylase/ hydrolase14 - - 6 8 BnaA05g05070D NP_196115.1 0.605 - AT2C45750 Putative methyltransferase 5 5 10 BnaC09g11880D NP_16598.1 - 0.560 DIR5 Dirigen proteinfs 4 5 21 BnaA03g47730D NP_567738.1 0.612 - AT4C26010 Peroxidase73 2 7 29 BnaA03g47730D NP_567738.1 0.616 - AT4C26010 Peroxidase7 1 3 3 BnaA05g23250D NP_174372.1 0.356 - AT1G20870 Peroxidase7 1 3 4 BnaA05g0730D NP_20151.1	Protein Identifier	Arabidopsis Homolog	Ratio 3 d	Ratio 14 d	Abbreviation in Arabidopsis	Protein	No. of Unique Peptides	No. of Peptides	No. of Peptide Spectrum Matches
Bina A03g47620D NP_194312.1 0.440 - XTH14 Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/ hydrobase14 1 3 3 Bina A03g07520D NP_199783.1 0.615 - GH9A1 Endoglucansac25 2 6 8 Bina A05g05070D NP_182099.1 0.580 - AT2C45750 Putative methyltransferase 5 5 10 Bina A03g01580D NP_196115.1 0.605 - AT3C04960 Putative methyltransferase 5 21 Bina A03g01580D NP_196115.1 0.605 - AT4C626010 Peroxidase73 2 7 29 Bina A03g47720D NP_567738.1 0.606 - AT4G26010 Peroxidase74 1 3 3 Bina A05g5350D NP_214372.1 0.571 - AT1G26010 Peroxidase7 1 3 3 Bina A05g3250D NP_217432.1 0.505 - AT1G26010 Peroxidase7 1 3 4 Bina A05g3250D NP_217432.1 0.589 AT2G189	Cell wall organization	on or hiogenesis							
Bnak Dog 18 202 NR 1997 Rath 1 Provide and 1997 Rath 1 Prov	BnaA03g47620D	NP 194312 1	0 4 4 0	_	XTH14	Xyloglucan	1	3	3
BraA10g05530D NP_199783.1 0.615 - GH9A1 Endoglucanase2 2 6 8 BraA03g05070D NP_182099.1 0.580 - AT2G4570 Putative methyltransferase 5 5 10 BraA03g05070D NP_196115.1 0.605 - AT5C04960 Putative methyltransferase 3 4 10 BraC08g11880D NP_176598.1 - 0.560 DIRS Dirigent protein5 4 5 21 BraA03g4730D NP_2057738.1 0.612 - AT4C26010 Peroxidase73 2 7 29 BraA03g47730D NP_567738.1 0.612 - AT4C26010 Peroxidase7 1 3 3 BraA040g23250D NP_174372.1 0.571 - AT1G0870 Peroxidase7 1 3 4 BraA040g2350D NP_174372.1 0.571 - AT1G0870 Peroxidase7 3 9 20 BraA040g0730D NP_201541.1 - 0.663 RH519	51111 100 5 17 0200		01110			endotransglucosylase/ hydrolase14		Ĵ	J
BnaA05g05070D NP_182099.1 0.580 - AT2G45750 Putative methyltransferase PMT16 5 5 10 BnaA03g01580D NP_196115.1 0.605 - AT3C04960 Putative petimesterase: petimesterase: petimesterase: petimesterase: petimesterase: perimetry noteins 3 4 10 BnaC08g46340D NP_176598.1 - 0.595 - AT1G05240 Peroxidase1/2 3 6 10 BnaA03g4773D0 NP_567738.1 0.612 - AT4C26010 Peroxidase44 7 9 29 BnaA03g4772D0 NP_567738.1 0.605 - AT4C26010 Peroxidase7 1 3 3 BnaA03g4772D0 NP_2567738.1 0.605 - AT5C64100 Peroxidase7 1 3 4 BnaA03g67400 NP_1792841 0.490 - AT5C1630870 Peroxidase7 3 9 20 BnaA03g073800 NP_201541.1 0.428 - RHS19 Peroxidase73 2 16 47 BnaA040g73800	BnaA10g05530D	NP_199783.1	0.615	_	GH9A1	Endoglucanase25	2	6	8
BnaA03g01580D NP_196115.1 0.605 - AT5G04960 Putative pectinesterase / inbitor46 3 4 10 BnaC09g11880D NP_176598.1 - 0.560 DIRS Dirigent protein5 4 5 21 BnaC08g46340D NP_567738.1 0.612 - AT1G05240 Peroxidase1/2 3 6 10 BnaA03g47730D NP_567738.1 0.612 - AT4G26010 Peroxidase44 7 9 29 BnaA03g47720D NP_567738.1 0.605 - AT4G26010 Peroxidase7 1 3 4 BnaA06g23250D NP_201215.1 0.605 - AT5G64100 Peroxidase7 1 3 4 BnaA08g25570D NP_174322.1 0.336 - AT5G0420 Peroxidase7 3 9 20 BnaA09g07300D NP_201541.1 0.428 - RH519 Peroxidase7 2 16 47 BnaA08g07380D NP_192617.1 - 0.646 Pr37 <	BnaA05g05070D	NP_182099.1	0.580	-	AT2G45750	Putative methyltransferase PMT16	5	5	10
BnaC09g118800 NP_176598.1 - 0.560 DIR5 Dirigent protein5 4 5 21 BnaC08g463400 NP_563732.1 0.538 - ATIG05240 Peroxidase73 2 7 29 BnaA03g477300 NP_567738.1 0.612 - AT4C26010 Peroxidase74 6 15 55 BnaCong110800 NP_174372.1 0.571 - ATIG26010 Peroxidase67 1 3 3 BnaA046g232500 NP_201215.1 0.606 - ATIG26010 Peroxidase67 1 3 4 BnaA03g67400 NP_179284.1 0.490 - ATIG16800 Peroxidase7 3 9 20 BnaA03g67400 NP_197284.1 0.490 - ATSG17820 Peroxidase7 1 3 4 BnaA03g673600 NP_20151.1 - 0.653 RHS19 Peroxidase7 2 16 47 BnaA06g43300 NP_20151.1 - 0.666 ATIG3940 Peroxidase7	BnaA03g01580D	NP_196115.1	0.605	-	AT5G04960	Putative pectinesterase/ pectinesterase inhibitor46	3	4	10
BnaC08g46340D NP_563732.1 0.595 - ATIG05240 Peroxidase1/2 3 6 10 BnaAnng05140D NP_201541.1 0.538 - RHS19 Peroxidase23 2 7 29 BnaA03g47720D NP_567738.1 0.606 - AT4G26010 Peroxidase44 6 15 55 BnaCng11080D NP_174372.1 0.571 - ATIG30870 Peroxidase69 6 10 53 BnaA06g25570D NP_174372.1 0.336 - ATIG30870 Peroxidase7 1 3 4 BnaA09g25570D NP_174372.1 0.436 - RHS19 Peroxidase73 10 16 45 BnaA09g07300D NP_20151.1 - 0.658 AT2G18980 Peroxidase16 2 13 59 BnaA09g3730D NP_20151.1 - 0.656 AT1G05240 Peroxidase17 1 16 61 BnaA09g3370D NP_567332.1 - 0.626 AT1G05240 Peroxidase17 </td <td>BnaC09g11880D</td> <td>NP 176598.1</td> <td>_</td> <td>0.560</td> <td>DIR5</td> <td>Dirigent protein5</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> <td>21</td>	BnaC09g11880D	NP 176598.1	_	0.560	DIR5	Dirigent protein5	4	5	21
BnaAmg05140D NP_201541.1 0.538 - RHS19 Peroxidase73 2 7 29 BnaA03g47730D NP_567738.1 0.612 - AT4C26010 Peroxidase44 6 15 55 BnaA03g47720D NP_567738.1 0.606 - AT4C26010 Peroxidase7 1 3 3 BnaA05g23250D NP_201215.1 0.605 - AT5G64100 Peroxidase7 1 3 4 BnaA03g05740D NP_174372.1 0.336 - AT1G0870 Peroxidase7 1 3 4 BnaA09g053570D NP_1917284.1 0.490 - AT5G17820 Peroxidase7 1 0 64 47 BnaA09g0730D NP_201541.1 - 0.653 RHS19 Peroxidase73 2 16 47 BnaA09g07380D NP_201215.1 - 0.656 AT5G64100 Peroxidase73 2 16 47 BnaA03g23400D NP_201215.1 - 0.613 AT5G64100 <td< td=""><td>BnaC08g46340D</td><td>NP_563732.1</td><td>0.595</td><td>_</td><td>AT1G05240</td><td>Peroxidase1/2</td><td>3</td><td>6</td><td>10</td></td<>	BnaC08g46340D	NP_563732.1	0.595	_	AT1G05240	Peroxidase1/2	3	6	10
BnaA03947730D NP_567738.1 0.612 - AT4C26010 Peroxidase44 7 9 29 BnaA03947720D NP_567738.1 0.606 - AT4C26010 Peroxidase7 1 3 3 BnaCn191080D NP_174372.1 0.536 - AT1G30870 Peroxidase7 1 3 4 BnaA09235570D NP_174372.1 0.336 - AT1G30870 Peroxidase7 1 3 4 BnaA092057570D NP_172841.1 0.490 - AT5G17820 Peroxidase73 10 16 45 BnaA099037300D NP_201541.1 0.428 - RHS19 Peroxidase73 2 16 47 BnaA099037300D NP_20151.1 - 0.646 Prx37 Peroxidase73 1 16 61 BnaA09232600D NP_20121.71 - 0.656 AT1G05240 Peroxidase73 1 16 61 BnaA03934020D NP_201217.1 - 0.585 AT5G64120 Peroxidase69 </td <td>BnaAnng05140D</td> <td>NP_201541.1</td> <td>0.538</td> <td>_</td> <td>RHS19</td> <td>Peroxidase73</td> <td>2</td> <td>7</td> <td>29</td>	BnaAnng05140D	NP_201541.1	0.538	_	RHS19	Peroxidase73	2	7	29
BnaA03e47720D NP_567738.1 0.606 - AT4G26010 Peroxidase44 6 15 55 BnaA00623250D NP_174372.1 0.571 - AT1G30870 Peroxidase69 6 10 53 BnaA00523550D NP_2101215.1 0.605 - AT3G64100 Peroxidase57 3 9 20 BnaA03g66740D NP_197284.1 0.490 - AT5G17820 Peroxidase57 3 9 20 BnaA09g07300D NP_201541.1 0.428 - RHS19 Peroxidase73 10 16 45 BnaA09g07300D NP_192617.1 - 0.626 AT1G5240 Peroxidase73 2 16 47 BnaA02g34060D NP_192617.1 - 0.626 AT1G5240 Peroxidase1/2 6 15 26 BnaA02g34060D NP_201215.1 - 0.585 AT5G64100 Peroxidase1/2 5 16 49 BnaA06g32350D NP_187668.1 - 0.611 AT3G0190 Per	BnaA03g47730D	NP_567738.1	0.612	_	AT4G26010	Peroxidase44	7	9	29
BnaCnng11080D NP_174372.1 0.571 - AT1G30870 Peroxidase7 1 3 3 BnaA06g23250D NP_201215.1 0.605 - AT1G30870 Peroxidase69 6 10 53 BnaA03g06740D NP_174372.1 0.336 - AT1G30870 Peroxidase77 1 3 4 BnaA03g06740D NP_197284.1 0.490 - AT5G17820 Peroxidase73 10 16 45 BnaA09g05300D NP_201541.1 0.428 - RHS19 Peroxidase73 2 16 47 BnaA09g53760D NP_192617.1 - 0.646 Px37 Peroxidase73 1 16 61 BnaA09g3360D NP_201215.1 - 0.626 AT1G05240 Peroxidase71 10 10 17 BnaA05g34060D NP_201217.1 - 0.585 AT5G64100 Peroxidase77 5 16 49 BnaA05g34020D NP_186768.1 - 0.613 AT1G05240 Peroxidase77 5 16 49 BnaA00g232500D NP_201217.1	BnaA03g47720D	NP_567738.1	0.606	_	AT4G26010	Peroxidase44	6	15	55
BnaA06g23250D NP_201215.1 0.605 - AT5G64100 Peroxidase69 6 10 53 BnaA03g25570D NP_174372.1 0.336 - AT1G30870 Peroxidase57 1 3 4 BnaA03g06740D NP_197284.1 0.490 - AT5G17820 Peroxidase57 3 9 20 BnaA09g07300D NP_201541.1 0.428 - RHS19 Peroxidase73 10 16 45 BnaA09g07380D NP_192617.1 - 0.653 RHS19 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaA09g53760D NP_192617.1 - 0.626 AT1G05240 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaA02g34060D NP_201215.1 - 0.293 AT5G64100 Peroxidase69 1 12 52 BnaA06g23250D NP_186768.1 - 0.611 AT3G01190 Peroxidase69 8 13 55 BnaA06g23250D NP_186776.1 - 0.457 AT3G03670 Peroxida	BnaCnng11080D	NP_174372.1	0.571	_	AT1G30870	Peroxidase7	1	3	3
BnaA09g25570D NP_174372.1 0.336 - AT1G30870 Peroxidase7 1 3 4 BnaA03g06740D NP_197284.1 0.490 - AT5G17820 Peroxidase73 3 9 20 BnaA03g067300D NP_201541.1 0.428 - RHS19 Peroxidase16 2 13 59 BnaA09g07380D NP_179488.1 - 0.653 RHS19 Peroxidase73 2 16 47 BnaA09g3760D NP_201541.1 - 0.646 Prx37 Peroxidase73 1 16 61 BnaC08g46330D NP_201215.1 - 0.626 AT1G05240 Peroxidase671 10 10 17 BnaA05g3402D NP_201215.1 - 0.613 AT1G05240 Peroxidase671 10 10 17 BnaA05g3402D NP_16678.1 - 0.613 AT1G05240 Peroxidase67 8 13 55 BnaA03g2835D0 NP_187017.1 - 0.657 Prx37 Peroxidase37	BnaA06g23250D	NP_201215.1	0.605	_	AT5G64100	Peroxidase69	6	10	53
BnaA03g06740D NP_197284.1 0.490 - AT5G17820 Peroxidase57 3 9 20 BnaC09g0730DD NP_201541.1 0.428 - RH519 Peroxidase73 10 16 45 BnaAnng10890D NP_201541.1 - 0.633 RH519 Peroxidase73 2 16 47 BnaA09g07380D NP_201541.1 - 0.646 Prx37 Peroxidase73 1 16 61 BnaC08g4630D NP_563732.1 - 0.626 AT1G05240 Peroxidase617 10 10 17 BnaA05g34020D NP_201215.1 - 0.293 AT5G64100 Peroxidase71 10 10 17 BnaA05g34020D NP_201215.1 - 0.459 AT5G64100 Peroxidase69 8 13 55 BnaA06g23250D NP_201215.1 - 0.457 AT6G64100 Peroxidase69 8 13 55 BnaA08g23250D NP_201215.1 - 0.611 AT1G05240 Peroxidase69 8 13 55 BnaC01g02540D NP_1567919.1 <	BnaA09g25570D	NP_174372.1	0.336	_	AT1G30870	Peroxidase7	1	3	4
BnaC09g07300D NP_201541.1 0.428 - RHS19 Peroxidase73 10 16 45 BnaAnng10800D NP_179488.1 - 0.589 AT2G18980 Peroxidase16 2 13 59 BnaA09g07380D NP_201541.1 - 0.663 RHS19 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaA09g53760D NP_563732.1 - 0.626 AT1G05240 Peroxidase1/2 6 15 26 BnaA02g34060D NP_201215.1 - 0.293 AT5G64100 Peroxidase71 10 10 17 BnaA05g34060D NP_201215.1 - 0.585 AT5G64100 Peroxidase77 5 16 49 BnaA0g23250D NP_201215.1 - 0.459 AT5G64100 Peroxidase1/2 5 8 13 55 BnaA10g03270D NP_563732.1 - 0.457 AT3G03670 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC0g25402D NP_18707.1 - 0.457 AT3G03670 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC01g04790D NP_56	BnaA03g06740D	NP_197284.1	0.490	_	AT5G17820	Peroxidase57	3	9	20
BnaAnng10890D NP_179488.1 - 0.589 AT2G18980 Peroxidase16 2 13 59 BnaA09g07380D NP_201541.1 - 0.653 RH519 Peroxidase73 2 16 47 BnaA09g53760D NP_192617.1 - 0.646 Prx37 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC08g46330D NP_563732.1 - 0.626 AT1G05240 Peroxidase69 1 12 52 BnaA02g34060D NP_201217.1 - 0.585 AT5G64100 Peroxidase69 1 10 17 BnaA05g34020D NP_186768.1 - 0.611 AT3G01190 Peroxidase27 5 16 49 BnaA06g323250D NP_201215.1 - 0.459 AT5G64100 Peroxidase69 8 13 55 BnaA10g03270D NP_56732.1 - 0.613 AT1G05240 Peroxidase1/2 5 8 13 BnaC01g04790D NP_567919.1 - 0.626 AT4G33420 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC01g04750D NP_197284.1	BnaC09g07300D	NP_201541.1	0.428	_	RHS19	Peroxidase73	10	16	45
BnaA09g07380D NP_201541.1 - 0.653 RHS19 Peroxidase73 2 16 47 BnaA09g53760D NP_192617.1 - 0.646 Prx37 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC08g46330D NP_563732.1 - 0.626 AT1C05240 Peroxidase1/2 6 15 26 BnaA02g34060D NP_201215.1 - 0.628 AT5G64100 Peroxidase71 10 10 17 BnaA05g34020D NP_186768.1 - 0.611 AT3C01190 Peroxidase77 5 16 49 BnaA06g32320D NP_201215.1 - 0.459 AT5G64100 Peroxidase71 10 10 17 BnaA06g32320D NP_563732.1 - 0.613 AT1C05240 Peroxidase1/2 5 8 13 55 BnaA0g328350D NP_187017.1 - 0.657 Prx37 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC01g04790D NP_567919.1 - 0.656 AT4G33420 Peroxidase57 4 14 56 Cell cytoskeleton organization	BnaAnng10890D	NP_179488.1	_	0.589	AT2G18980	Peroxidase16	2	13	59
BnaA09g53760D NP_192617.1 - 0.646 Prx37 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC08g40330D NP_563732.1 - 0.626 AT1C05240 Peroxidase1/2 6 15 26 BnaA02g3400D NP_201215.1 - 0.293 AT5C64120 Peroxidase69 1 12 52 BnaA05g3402D NP_186768.1 - 0.611 AT3C01190 Peroxidase27 5 16 49 BnaA06g3250D NP_201215.1 - 0.459 AT5C64100 Peroxidase69 8 13 55 BnaA06g32320D NP_187017.1 - 0.457 AT3C03670 Peroxidase1/2 5 8 13 BnaA03g28350D NP_187017.1 - 0.657 Prx37 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC01g04790D NP_192617.1 - 0.656 AT4G33420 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC01g04790D NP_181250.1 0.617 0.654 AT2G37130 Peroxidase57 4 14 56 Cell cytoskeleton organization - <td>BnaA09g07380D</td> <td>NP_201541.1</td> <td>_</td> <td>0.653</td> <td>RHS19</td> <td>Peroxidase73</td> <td>2</td> <td>16</td> <td>47</td>	BnaA09g07380D	NP_201541.1	_	0.653	RHS19	Peroxidase73	2	16	47
BnaC08g46330D NP_563732.1 - 0.626 AT1G05240 Peroxidase1/2 6 15 26 BnaA02g34060D NP_201215.1 - 0.293 AT5G64100 Peroxidase69 1 12 52 BnaCnng51060D NP_201217.1 - 0.585 AT5G64120 Peroxidase71 10 10 17 BnaA05g34020D NP_186768.1 - 0.611 AT3G01190 Peroxidase71 10 10 17 BnaA05g34020D NP_186768.1 - 0.613 AT1G05240 Peroxidase69 8 13 55 BnaA03g2250D NP_201215.1 - 0.459 AT5G64100 Peroxidase1/2 5 8 13 BnaA03g22350D NP_187017.1 - 0.457 AT3G03670 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC01g04790D NP_192617.1 - 0.657 Prx37 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC01g04790D NP_181250.1 0.617 0.54 AT2G37130 Peroxidase57 4 14 56 Cell cytoskeleton organization <	BnaA09g53760D	NP_192617.1	_	0.646	Prx37	Peroxidase37	1	16	61
BnaA02g34060D NP_201215.1 - 0.293 AT5G64100 Peroxidase69 1 12 52 BnaCnng51060D NP_201217.1 - 0.585 AT5G64120 Peroxidase71 10 10 17 BnaA05g34020D NP_186768.1 - 0.611 AT3G01190 Peroxidase77 5 16 49 BnaA06g323250D NP_201215.1 - 0.459 AT5G64100 Peroxidase69 8 13 55 BnaA10g03270D NP_563732.1 - 0.613 AT1G05240 Peroxidase1/2 5 8 13 BnaA03g28350D NP_187017.1 - 0.457 AT3G03670 Peroxidase 5 5 8 BnaC01g04790D NP_567919.1 - 0.657 Prx37 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC01g04790D NP_181250.1 0.617 0.654 AT2G37130 Peroxidase57 4 14 56 Cell cytoskeleton organization - ADF11 Actin-depolymerizing factor11 2 4 9 Auxin related - - ADF	BnaC08g46330D	NP_563732.1	_	0.626	AT1G05240	Peroxidase1/2	6	15	26
BnaCnng51060D NP_201217.1 - 0.585 AT5G64120 Peroxidase71 10 10 17 BnaA05g34020D NP_186768.1 - 0.611 AT3G01190 Peroxidase27 5 16 49 BnaA06g23250D NP_201215.1 - 0.459 AT5G64100 Peroxidase69 8 13 55 BnaA10g03270D NP_563732.1 - 0.613 AT1G05240 Peroxidase1/2 5 8 13 BnaA03g28350D NP_187017.1 - 0.457 AT3G0670 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC01g04790D NP_567919.1 - 0.657 Prx37 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC01g04790D NP_181250.1 0.617 0.654 AT2G37130 Peroxidase 4 13 37 BnaC02g07500D NP_197284.1 0.565 0.634 AT5G17820 Peroxidase57 4 14 56 Cell cytoskeleton organization - NADF11 Actin-depolymerizing factor11 2 4 9 Auxin related - 0.454	BnaA02g34060D	NP_201215.1	_	0.293	AT5G64100	Peroxidase69	1	12	52
BnaA05g34020D NP_186768.1 - 0.611 AT3G01190 Peroxidase27 5 16 49 BnaA06g23250D NP_201215.1 - 0.459 AT5G64100 Peroxidase69 8 13 55 BnaA10g03270D NP_563732.1 - 0.613 AT1G05240 Peroxidase1/2 5 8 13 BnaA03g28350D NP_187017.1 - 0.457 AT3G03670 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC09g25420D NP_192617.1 - 0.657 Prx37 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC01g04790D NP_567919.1 - 0.626 AT4G33420 Peroxidase 5 5 7 BnaA03g17000D NP_181250.1 0.617 0.654 AT2G37130 Peroxidase57 4 14 56 Cell cytoskeleton organization - ADF11 Actin-depolymerizing factor11 2 4 9 Auxin related - 0.454 AT5G51470 Auxin-responsive GH3 family protein 2 2 3 Root hair related - - ARH513<	BnaCnng51060D	NP_201217.1	-	0.585	AT5G64120	Peroxidase71	10	10	17
BnaA06g23250D NP_201215.1 - 0.459 AT5G64100 Peroxidase69 8 13 55 BnaA10g03270D NP_563732.1 - 0.613 AT1G05240 Peroxidase1/2 5 8 13 BnaA03g28350D NP_187017.1 - 0.457 AT3G03670 Peroxidase 5 5 8 BnaC09g25420D NP_192617.1 - 0.657 Prx37 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC01g04790D NP_567919.1 - 0.626 AT4G33420 Peroxidase 4 13 37 BnaC02g07500D NP_181250.1 0.617 0.654 AT2G37130 Peroxidase 4 14 56 Cell cytoskeleton organization - AD511 Actin-depolymerizing factor11 2 4 9 Auxin related - 0.454 AT5G51470 Auxin-responsive GH3 family protein 2 2 3 BnaA02g20520D NP_199960.1 - 0.454 AT5G51470 Auxin-responsive GH3 family protein 2 2 3 BnaA02g20520D NP_192136.1 0	BnaA05g34020D	NP_186768.1	_	0.611	AT3G01190	Peroxidase27	5	16	49
BnaA10g03270D NP_563732.1 - 0.613 AT1G05240 Peroxidase1/2 5 8 13 BnaA03g28350D NP_187017.1 - 0.457 AT3G03670 Peroxidase 5 5 8 BnaC09g25420D NP_192617.1 - 0.657 Prx37 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC01g04790D NP_567919.1 - 0.626 AT4G33420 Peroxidase 5 5 7 BnaA03g17000D NP_181250.1 0.617 0.654 AT2G37130 Peroxidase 4 13 37 BnaC02g07500D NP_197284.1 0.565 0.634 AT5G17820 Peroxidase57 4 14 56 Cell cytoskeleton organization - - ADF11 Actin-depolymerizing factor11 2 4 9 Auxin related - 0.454 AT5G51470 Auxin-responsive GH3 family protein 2 2 3 BnaA02g20520D NP_192136.1 0.294 - RHS13 Root hair specific13 3 3 8 BnaA06g39800D NP_178467.1 0	BnaA06g23250D	NP_201215.1	_	0.459	AT5G64100	Peroxidase69	8	13	55
BnaA03g28350D NP_187017.1 - 0.457 AT3G03670 Peroxidase 5 5 8 BnaC09g25420D NP_192617.1 - 0.657 Prx37 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC01g04790D NP_567919.1 - 0.626 AT4G33420 Peroxidase 5 5 7 BnaA03g17000D NP_181250.1 0.617 0.654 AT2G37130 Peroxidase 4 13 37 BnaC02g07500D NP_197284.1 0.565 0.634 AT5G17820 Peroxidase57 4 14 56 Cell cytoskeleton organization - ADF11 Actin-depolymerizing factor11 2 4 9 Auxin related - 0.454 AT5G51470 Auxin-responsive GH3 family protein 2 2 3 Root hair related - 0.454 AT5G51470 Auxin-responsive GH3 family protein 2 2 3 BnaA02g20520D NP_192136.1 0.294 - RHS13 Root hair specific13 3 3 8 BnaA06g39800D NP_175895.1 0.318 <td< td=""><td>BnaA10g03270D</td><td>NP_563732.1</td><td>_</td><td>0.613</td><td>AT1G05240</td><td>Peroxidase1/2</td><td>5</td><td>8</td><td>13</td></td<>	BnaA10g03270D	NP_563732.1	_	0.613	AT1G05240	Peroxidase1/2	5	8	13
BnaC09g25420D NP_192617.1 - 0.657 Prx37 Peroxidase37 1 16 61 BnaC01g04790D NP_567919.1 - 0.626 AT4G33420 Peroxidase 5 5 7 BnaA03g17000D NP_181250.1 0.617 0.654 AT2G37130 Peroxidase 4 13 37 BnaC02g07500D NP_197284.1 0.565 0.634 AT5G17820 Peroxidase57 4 14 56 Cell cytoskeleton organization - ADF11 Actin-depolymerizing factor11 2 4 9 Auxin related - 0.454 AT5G51470 Auxin-responsive GH3 family 2 2 3 Root hair related - 0.454 AT5G51470 Auxin-responsive GH3 family 2 2 3 BnaA02g20520D NP_192136.1 0.294 - RHS13 Root hair specific13 3 3 8 BnaA06g39800D NP_178467.1 0.555 - MRH6 Morphogenesis of root hair6 1 2 3 BnaC06g18560D NP_175895.1 0.318 - </td <td>BnaA03g28350D</td> <td>NP_187017.1</td> <td>_</td> <td>0.457</td> <td>AT3G03670</td> <td>Peroxidase</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>8</td>	BnaA03g28350D	NP_187017.1	_	0.457	AT3G03670	Peroxidase	5	5	8
BnaC01g04790D NP_567919.1 - 0.626 AT4G33420 Peroxidase 5 5 7 BnaA03g17000D NP_181250.1 0.617 0.654 AT2G37130 Peroxidase 4 13 37 BnaC02g07500D NP_197284.1 0.565 0.634 AT5G17820 Peroxidase57 4 14 56 Cell cytoskeleton organization - ADF11 Actin-depolymerizing factor11 2 4 9 Auxin related - 0.407 - ADF11 Actin-depolymerizing factor11 2 2 3 BnaC01g04630D NP_199960.1 - 0.454 AT5G51470 Auxin-responsive GH3 family protein 2 2 3 Root hair related - - RHS13 Root hair specific13 3 3 8 BnaA06g39800D NP_178467.1 0.555 - MRH6 Morphogenesis of root hair6 1 2 3 BnaC06g18560D NP_175895.1 0.318 - PRP1 Pro-rich protein1 1 3 4	BnaC09g25420D	NP_192617.1	_	0.657	Prx37	Peroxidase37	1	16	61
BnaA03g17000D NP_181250.1 0.617 0.654 AT2G37130 Peroxidase 4 13 37 BnaC02g07500D NP_197284.1 0.565 0.634 AT5G17820 Peroxidase57 4 14 56 Cell cytoskeleton organization BnaCnng08860D NP_171680.1 0.407 - ADF11 Actin-depolymerizing factor11 2 4 9 Auxin related - 0.454 AT5G51470 Auxin-responsive GH3 family protein 2 2 3 Root hair related - 0.454 AT5G51470 Auxin-responsive GH3 family protein 2 2 3 BnaA02g20520D NP_192136.1 0.294 - RHS13 Root hair specific13 3 3 8 BnaA06g39800D NP_178467.1 0.555 - MRH6 Morphogenesis of root hair6 1 2 3 BnaC06g18560D NP_175895.1 0.318 - PRP1 Pro-rich protein1 1 3 4	BnaC01g04790D	NP_567919.1	_	0.626	AT4G33420	Peroxidase	5	5	7
BnaC02g07500D NP_197284.1 0.565 0.634 AT5G17820 Peroxidase57 4 14 56 Cell cytoskeleton organization BnaCnng08860D NP_171680.1 0.407 - ADF11 Actin-depolymerizing factor11 2 4 9 Auxin related - 0.454 AT5G51470 Auxin-responsive GH3 family protein 2 2 3 Root hair related - - RHS13 Root hair specific13 3 3 8 BnaA02g20520D NP_192136.1 0.294 - RHS13 Root hair specific13 3 3 8 BnaA06g39800D NP_178467.1 0.555 - MRH6 Morphogenesis of root hair6 1 2 3 BnaC06g18560D NP_175895.1 0.318 - PRP1 Pro-rich protein1 1 3 4	BnaA03g17000D	NP_181250.1	0.617	0.654	AT2G37130	Peroxidase	4	13	37
Cell cytoskeleton organization BnaCnng08860D NP_171680.1 0.407 – ADF11 Actin-depolymerizing factor11 2 4 9 Auxin related BnaC01g04630D NP_199960.1 – 0.454 AT5G51470 Auxin-responsive GH3 family 2 2 3 Root hair related	BnaC02g07500D	NP_197284.1	0.565	0.634	AT5G17820	Peroxidase57	4	14	56
BnaCnng08860D NP_171680.1 0.407 – ADF11 Actin-depolymerizing factor11 2 4 9 Auxin related BnaC01g04630D NP_199960.1 – 0.454 AT5G51470 Auxin-responsive GH3 family 2 2 3 Root hair related	Cell cytoskeleton or	ganization							
Auxin related BnaC01g04630D NP_199960.1 0.454 AT5G51470 Auxin-responsive GH3 family 2 2 3 protein protein 0.454 AT5G51470 Auxin-responsive GH3 family 2 2 3 Root hair related BnaA02g20520D NP_192136.1 0.294 - RHS13 Root hair specific13 3 3 8 BnaA06g39800D NP_178467.1 0.555 - MRH6 Morphogenesis of root hair6 1 2 3 BnaC06g18560D NP_175895.1 0.318 - PRP1 Pro-rich protein1 1 3 4	BnaCnng08860D	NP_171680.1	0.407	-	ADF11	Actin-depolymerizing factor11	2	4	9
BnaC01g04630D NP_199960.1 – 0.454 AT5G51470 Auxin-responsive GH3 family protein 2 2 3 Root hair related BnaA02g20520D NP_192136.1 0.294 – RHS13 Root hair specific13 3 3 8 BnaA06g39800D NP_178467.1 0.555 – MRH6 Morphogenesis of root hair6 1 2 3 BnaC06g18560D NP_175895.1 0.318 – PRP1 Pro-rich protein1 1 3 4	Auxin related					., .			
protein Root hair related BnaA02g20520D NP_192136.1 0.294 — RHS13 Root hair specific13 3 3 8 BnaA06g39800D NP_178467.1 0.555 — MRH6 Morphogenesis of root hair6 1 2 3 BnaC06g18560D NP_175895.1 0.318 — PRP1 Pro-rich protein1 1 3 4	BnaC01g04630D	NP_199960.1	-	0.454	AT5G51470	Auxin-responsive GH3 family	2	2	3
BnaA02g20520D NP_192136.1 0.294 – RHS13 Root hair specific13 3 3 8 BnaA06g39800D NP_178467.1 0.555 – MRH6 Morphogenesis of root hair6 1 2 3 BnaC06g18560D NP_175895.1 0.318 – PRP1 Pro-rich protein1 1 3 4	Root hair related					protein			
BnaA06g39800D NP_178467.1 0.555 MRH6 Morphogenesis of root hair6 1 2 3 BnaC06g18560D NP_175895.1 0.318 - PRP1 Pro-rich protein1 1 3 4	BnaA02g20520D	NP 192136.1	0.294	_	RHS13	Root hair specific13	3	3	8
BnaC06g18560D NP_175895.1 0.318 – PRP1 Pro-rich protein1 1 3 4	BnaA06g39800D	NP 178467.1	0.555	_	MRH6	Morphogenesis of root hair6	1	2	3
	BnaC06g18560D	NP_175895.1	0.318	_	PRP1	Pro-rich protein1	1	3	4

Table 2. Down-regulated DEPs related to root growth of rapeseed plants after 3 and 14 d of high-N and low-N treatment

Functional Analysis of Two Candidate DEPs in Transgenic Arabidopsis

To further verify the functions of DEPs from proteomic analysis in root growth, two putative rootrelated DEPs were selected, XTH31 and BFRUCT4, which had been reported previously to be associated with cell wall organization (Zhu et al., 2012) and glycan metabolism (Sergeeva et al., 2006) in Arabidopsis, respectively. Transgenic Arabidopsis plants harboring the coding region of *BnaXTH31* or *BnaBFRUCT4* under the control of the *35S* promoter were generated and evaluated for changes in root growth under both high-N (60 mM) and low-N (2 mM) conditions. The results showed that the overexpression of *BnaXTH31* promoted total root growth of Arabidopsis after 7 d, especially under low-N conditions (Fig. 10, A and B), while overexpressing *BnaBFRUCT4* increased main root growth only at the low-N level (Fig. 10, C and D). These results indicated that these two root-related proteins contribute to stimulate plant root growth under N deficiency stress.

DISCUSSION

N deficiency is a major constraint for rapeseed production. Yet, most published reports on N deficiency responses in rapeseed have focused on leaves, especially after long-term N starvation with obvious N-deficient symptoms (Kim et al., 2009). Still, root





Figure 6. Predicted protein-protein interaction networks of DEPs in day-14 N-deficient rapeseed roots. A, Predicted protein-protein interaction networks of DEPs (up-regulated proteins) involved in cell wall metabolism in day-14 N-deficient rapeseed roots. Eight subclusters are grouped according to the pathways connected with these proteins: I-1, cellulose synthesis; I-2, pectin metabolism; I-3, cutin, suberin, and wax biosynthesis; I-4, cytoskeleton organization; I-5, sterol composition; I-6, membrane trafficking; I-7, vacuolar trafficking; and I-8, signal transduction and transcription. B, Predicted protein-protein interaction networks of all DEPs, except for those involved in cell wall metabolism, in day-14 N-deficient roots. DEPs within dotted circles share common metabolic pathways. The intensity of color in the circles indicates the degree of up-regulation (red) or down-regulation (green) of the DEPs. This interaction network was constructed using the STRING protein-protein network analysis program (http://string-db. org/) with the Arabidopsis database and confidence scores greater than 0.7. Network files were downloaded from STRING, and network images were made in Cytoscape 3.3.0. The full names for all the DEPs shown in the protein-protein interaction networks are listed in Supplemental Table \$3.

systems are important for acquiring nutrients from soils, and root system alterations in response to N availability have been noted in many crops, including rice (*Oryza sativa*) and wheat (*Triticum* spp.; Wang et al., 2002; Rasmussen et al., 2015). In these studies, root morphological traits, such as main root length and total root length, were determined by using 2D root observation systems (Wang et al., 2002; Rasmussen et al., 2015). However, the spatial distribution of roots in soils is three-dimensional. In recent years, several research efforts have shown that root architecture can be influenced significantly by nutrient availability (Walch-Liu et al., 2006; Giehl et al., 2014; Saengwilai et al., 2014). In maize, root architectures with deeper roots were more effective at N acquisition from low-N soils (Saengwilai et al., 2014). In this study, a 3D in situ quantification system was applied to investigate the root architectural responses of rapeseed to N deficiency. Then, the mechanisms underlying rapeseed root responses to N deficiency were investigated using TMT-based proteomic techniques.

As reported previously, low N stimulates the elongation of main and lateral roots, but not the density of lateral roots, in Arabidopsis (López-Bucio et al., 2003; Gruber et al., 2013). In this study, we observed that N deficiency not only stimulated the elongation of main and lateral roots of rapeseed but also increased root tip count (Fig. 1B). It is well known that root morphogenesis is controlled by the regulation of cell division and expansion (Strader et al., 2010). As expected, not only

Figure 7. Assay of POD activity in N-deficient rapeseed roots. POD activity assays in N-deficient rapeseed roots were determined by a colorimetric enzyme assay (A) and staining in gel (B). For the colorimetric enzyme assay, POD activities were determined by monitoring A_{470} using the guaiacol method. Data represent means ± sD (n = 4). Asterisks indicate significant differences between N treatments at the same sampling day (Student's t test, *, P < 0.05). For POD activity staining in gel, extracted proteins were equally loaded and separated on a native PAGE gel at 4°C and then incubated with staining substrates $(0.03\% [v/v] H_2O_2$ and 1 mM diaminobenzidine). Four biological replicates for each treatment also were used for in gel assays. FW, Fresh weight.



the cell number in the meristematic zone of rapeseed roots was enhanced but also the cell size in the elongation zone was enlarged by N starvation (Fig. 2). This suggested that the increased root growth of N-deficient rapeseed seedlings might be caused by the stimulation of both cell division and expansion.

Moreover, the cell wall influences cell size and shape, which determine the tissue morphology of plants. A previous review summarized that cell wall architecture and cell wall metabolism often are affected by various abiotic stresses, such as drought, flooding, heat, cold, salt, heavy metals, light, and air pollutants (Le Gall et al., 2015). In our study, the root growth of rapeseed seedlings under N deficiency was accompanied by the increased expression of several cell wall-related proteins (e.g. XTH and EXP; Table 1). Several studies have reported that the abundance of XTH increased in osmotically stressed plants, resulting in striking cell wall remodeling, thus promoting cell growth and strengthening of the cell wall (Tenhaken, 2015). For example, Arabidopsis XTH31 showed predominant xyloglucan found to be involved in cell wall modification and cell elongation through modulating xyloglucan endotransglucosylase action under aluminum stress (Zhu et al., 2012). In this study, functional analysis of BnaXTH31 in transgenic Arabidopsis demonstrated that this gene contributes to the enhanced root growth upon N deprivation stress (Fig. 10, A and B). EXPs are a group of proteins involved in the irreversible extension of cell walls and associated cell enlargement in plants (Cosgrove, 1996). They also have been reported to be involved in root architectural modifications in soybean (Glycine max; Guo et al., 2011). In this study, three EXP proteins were identified only in long-term N-deficient roots displaying dramatic root morphological changes (Table 1). And the enhanced expression of these cell wall-related proteins was in line with the increased content of hemicellulose in N-deficient rapeseed roots (Fig. 8A). Moreover, several other proteins involved in cell wall formation also were identified in this study. For instance, rapeseed homologs of the Arabidopsis

endohydrolase activity in vitro, and XTH31 has been



Figure 8. Changes of cell wall components in rapeseed roots in response to N deficiency. A, Cellulose and hemicellulose contents. B, Ionic bound pectin (ISP) and covalently bound pectin (CSP) contents. Rapeseed roots were collected at 14 d after high-N (7,500 μ M N) and low-N (190 μ M N) treatments. Data represent means ± sD (n = 4). Asterisks indicate significant differences between the two N treatments (Student's t test, *, P < 0.05). DW, Dry weight.

proteins cellulose synthase, CESA1 and CEV1, which function in cellulose and primary cell wall formation (Pysh et al., 2012), as well as the chitinase family protein POM1, which participates in radical cell expansion in Arabidopsis (Scheres et al., 2002), were all up-regulated in day-14 N-deficient rapeseed roots.

Here, several cell wall-related DEPs involved in cytoskeleton organization also were identified with increased abundance in the 14-d N-deficient rapeseed roots (Table 1), such as actin11 (ACT11), which is an essential component of the cell cytoskeleton and plays important roles in cell shape determination, cell division, organelle movement, and extension growth (Hussey et al., 2006). Microtubules are major structural components of eukaryotic cells and play a key role in regulating cell division, cell proliferation, and cell morphology (Wasteneys and Yang, 2004). In this study, two tubulin proteins, β -6 tubulin (TUB6) and TUB8, were identified in N-deficient rapeseed roots. Interestingly, ACT11 and two TUB proteins were predicted to interact tightly with each other by STRING analysis (Fig. 6A). Additionally, TUB6 and TUB8 potentially interacted with several Rab GTPases (Fig. 6A; Supplemental Table S1), which belong to a family of monomeric small GTPases of the Ras superfamily. It has been reported that several Rab GTPases are crucial for cytokinesis and function in regulating cell division (Qi and Zheng, 2013; Gibieža and Prekeris, 2018). Therefore, the response of root elongation in rapeseed to N deficiency might be achieved through a coordination of these relevant proteins involved in both cell division and cell expansion. This might ultimately trigger root morphological changes under low-N conditions.

According to 3D in situ quantification, roots became wider but softer under N deficiency conditions, and the solidity of roots decreased significantly within 3 d under the low-N treatment (Fig. 1B). PODs are ubiquitous to all organisms and are encoded by large multigene families (Francoz et al., 2015). Several studies suggest that PODs function in cell wall lignification, auxin catabolism, defensive responses, and salt tolerance (Hiraga et al., 2001; Shigeto and Tsutsumi, 2016). It is well known that cell expansion is linked to processes controlling cell wall loosening and stiffening, and the balance between these two processes can be regulated by reactive oxygen species homeostasis (Tsukagoshi et al., 2010), which is controlled by PODs; thus, the balance between cell wall loosening and stiffening can be influenced by the activities of class III PODs (Tenhaken, 2015). In this study, a large number of PODs were characterized as down-regulated DEPs in response to N deficiency (Table 2), which is consistent with previous results in maize (Trevisan et al., 2015). In addition, POD enzyme activity in rapeseed roots was repressed significantly by N deficiency in this work (Fig. 7). According to a previous study, oxygen radicals and H_2O_2 are two major reactive oxygen species that are differentially distributed within the root tissues of Arabidopsis (Dunand et al., 2007). In this study, we speculated that the down-regulation of PODs and the reduction of POD enzyme activity may facilitate root growth by the decrease of apoplastic H₂O₂ and the production of oxygen radicals to cleave the cell wall polymers, then promote cell wall loosing and root growth. Additionally, the STRING analysis of protein-protein interaction networks predicts that down-regulated PODs interact with proteins in lignin synthesis (e.g. OMT1) and catalytic enzymes in the biosynthesis of lignin precursors (e.g. 4CL1, 4CL2, 4CL5, PAL1, PAL4, and CCoAMOT1; Fig. 6B). Although all the identified enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of lignin precursors were up-regulated by N deficiency, most of the

Figure 9. Changes of lignin content in rapeseed roots in response to N deficiency. A, Lignin content. Rapeseed roots were collected 14 d after the N treatments and analyzed by the acetyl bromide method for measuring lignin content. Data represent means ± sD (n = 4). The asterisk indicates a significant difference between the two N treatments (Student's *t* test, *, P < 0.05). DW, Dry weight. B, Lignin histochemistry staining in rapeseed roots. Three days after the N treatments, roots (eight individual rapeseed seedlings for each treatment) were stained and imaged using an optical microscope (Olympus BX53F). Lignin was visualized by pink staining. The area within the black dotted rectangle (root segments between 3 and 4 cm away from the root tip) was magnified as shown above and below. C, Lignin deposition in the root xylem tissues. Three days after the N treatments, root segments (between 3 and 4 cm away from the root tip) that were visibly different between the two N treatments based on staining were selected for longitudinal slicing and then were observed with an optical microscope (Nikon Eclipse Ci). High N, 7,500 μм N; low N, 190 μм N.



High N

Low N

enzymes that participate in the last step of lignin synthesis were suppressed by N deficiency, which might lead to the decrease of the lignin content observed in N-deficient rapeseed roots in this study (Figs. 5B and 9). Furthermore, one of the down-regulated PODs is a homolog of AtPRX71, which has been demonstrated to restrict cell expansion in Arabidopsis (Raggi et al., 2015). Therefore, the down-regulation of PODs herein might promote root elongation in concert with the stimulation of EXPs and XTHs while also decreasing root solidity through the inhibition of lignin biosynthesis under low-N conditions. Our results suggest that N deficiency may make plant roots softer, which might be attributable to the down-regulation of PODs.

In conclusion, these results provide a global view of architectural changes as well as the underlying molecular mechanisms involved in the adaption of rapeseed roots to N deficiency. Changes in the abundance of cell wall-related proteins and PODs quite likely alter the rigidity of the cell wall to promote root cell division and expansion, leading to increased root elongation, which could contribute to the longer and thinner rapeseed lateral roots induced by N deficiency.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Dynamic Changes of Root Architecture in N-Deficient Rapeseed Plants

For 3D in situ quantification of N deficient roots, a hydroponic experiment was conducted using a Chinese-grown rapeseed (*Brassica napus*) cultivar, Zhongshuang 11. Seeds were sterilized and germinated in one-quarter-strength modified Hoagland nutrient solution for 3 d before transplanting into one-half-strength nutrient solution with different N treatments (high N, 7,500 μ M N; or low N, 190 μ M N; pH 5.8, refreshed weekly). Plants were grown in 24°C/20°C day/night temperature regime with a 14-h/10-h light/dark photoperiod. Root images were acquired daily from days 1 to 7 after implementing the N treatments. The imaging system consisted of a Nikon D800E Digital SLR camera with a Nikon 105 mm f/2.8D AF ED-IF lens. Prior to 3D



Figure 10. Root growth performance of *BnaXTH31* and *BnaBFRUCT4* ectopically expressed in Arabidopsis. A, Phenotypes of wild-type Columbia-0 (Col-0) and transgenic lines expressing *BnaXTH31*. B, Root length. C, Phenotypes of wild-type (Col-0) and transgenic lines expressing *BnaBFRUCT4*. D, Root length. Arabidopsis plants were grown in high-N (60 mm N) or low-N (2 mm N) Murashige and Skoog medium for 7 d. Data represent means \pm sD (n = 12). Asterisks indicate significant differences between wild-type and transgenic plants at the same N level (Student's *t* test, *, P < 0.05).

reconstruction, each series of 100 2D images was identically cropped, adjusted to a resolution of 200 mm per pixel, and converted to grayscale using Adobe Photoshop. The images then were thresholded and reconstructed. Finally, root traits such as total root length, total root volume, maximum

depth, maximum width, minimum width, solidity, and tip count were computed in RootReader3D (Clark et al., 2011; Piñeros et al., 2016), and lateral root length was the total length of all roots minus the maximum depth (namely main root length).

Rapeseed Root Response to Nitrogen Deficiency

After 3 d of N treatment, cell number and cell size were investigated in 0.5-cm root segments starting from the root tip. The root segments (eight individual rapeseed seedlings for each treatment) were stained with 10 μ g mL⁻¹ PI for 3 min as described by Bai et al. (2009) with a modification for staining time and then were observed with a confocal laser scanning microscope (Zeiss LSM 710). To quantify cell number in the meristematic zone, root samples (eight individual rapeseed seedlings for each treatment) were fixed overnight in 50% (v/v) formaldehyde-acetic acid, dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, embedded in paraffin wax, and longitudinally sectioned (5 μ m thick) with a microtome (Leica RM2016). Sections were dried onto slides at 37°C and stained with Fast Green/ safranin prior to acquiring images with an optical microscope (Nikon Eclipse Ci). Then, photographs taken by the microscope were used to count the number of cortex cells in the meristematic zone of root tips at high- and low-N levels.

Experimental Design, Protein Preparation, and TMT10-plex Labeling

For proteomics analysis, a hydroponics experiment was conducted using the same rapeseed cultivar and N treatments as mentioned above. Roots from three independent biological replicates were harvested separately 3 d (short term) and 14 d (long term) after initiating the N treatments for subsequent TMT-based proteomic analysis.

An overview of the proteomics experimental design and workflow is shown in Supplemental Figure S1. Specifically, samples were collected from rapeseed roots after 3 and 14 d of growth under N-deficient and nutrient-sufficient conditions. Three biological replicates for each treatment led to nine samples being collected at each time point. Proteins were extracted according to the previous method with some modifications (Yang et al., 2007). Each sample was powdered in liquid N2 followed by suspension in extraction buffer (0.5 м Tris-HCl, pH 7.5) containing 50 mM EDTA, 0.1 M KCl, 0.7 M Suc, 10 mM DTT, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 1% (w/v) polyvinylpyrrolidone. An equal volume of Tris-EDTA-saturated phenol (pH 8) was added followed by shaking for 30 min at 4°C. The homogenate was centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C. The phenolic phase was collected, and the extraction was repeated. Five volumes of 0.1 M ammonium acetate in methanol was added to the collected phenolic phase to precipitate proteins at -20°C overnight. After centrifugation for 40 min, the supernatant was discarded and the resulting pellet was washed once with chilled methanol and twice with chilled acetone. The pellet was air dried and stored at -80°C.

Protein pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer, then protein quantitation, digestion, and TMT labeling were performed as reported previously (Chen et al., 2013), except that TMT10-plex (Thermo Fisher Scientific) tagging was used in this work. Specifically, 126-tag, 127N-tag, and 127C-tag were used for low-N samples and 129C-tag, 130N-tag, and 130C-tag were used for N-sufficient samples. The remaining 131-tag in each set of TMT10-plex was used for a mixture of equal amounts of protein from each of the 12 samples to bridge the results collected between days 3 and 14. Then, the 10 labeled samples were pooled and evaporated to dryness before being subjected to cation-exchange chromatography using an SCX cartridge (PolyLC) and desalted as described previously (Chen et al., 2013) for subsequent high-pH reverse-phase chromatography.

High-pH Reverse-Phase Fractionation and Nano-Scale Reverse-Phase Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry

All high-pH reverse-phase chromatography was performed with a Dionex UltiMate 3000 HPLC system as reported previously (Yang et al., 2011). The TMT10-plex-tagged tryptic peptides described above were reconstituted and loaded onto an XTerra MS C18 column from Waters. Forty-eight fractions were collected at 1-min intervals and pooled into a total of 18 fractions based on the UV A_{214} and with the multiple fraction concatenation strategy as published (Wang et al., 2011). All fractions were dried and reconstituted for nano-scale reverse-phase chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) analysis.

The nano-scale reverse-phase chromatography-MS/MS analysis and Orbitrap Elite settings were the same as published recently (Wang et al., 2014), with slight modifications incorporated specifically for running TMT10-plex-labeled peptides. The Orbitrap Elite device was operated using an fourier transform mass analyzer for a one-survey MS scan, followed by higher energy collisional dissociation-MS/MS scans on the top 15 precursor peptides with multiple charged ions above a threshold ion count of 8,000 at a normalized collision energy of 37.5%. MS survey scans were set at a resolving power of 60,000 (full width at half maximum at mass-to-charge ratio [*m*/z] 400) for the mass range of *m*/z 375 to 1,800 with automatic gain control = 1e6 and maximum injection time = 100 ms. MS/MS scans were set at 30,000 resolution with 1.5 atomic mass units of isolation width at automatic gain control = 1e5 and maximum injection time = 250 ms for the mass range *m*/z 100 to 2,000. Dynamic exclusion parameters were set at repeat count 1 with a 30-s repeat duration, an exclusion list size of 500, and a 70-s exclusion duration with ±10 ppm exclusion mass width. The activation time was 0.1 ms for higher energy collisional dissociation analysis. All data were acquired using the Xcalibur 2.2 operation software (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Data Processing and Protein Identification

All MS and MS/MS raw spectra from each set of TMT10-plex experiments were processed using Sequest HT software within Proteome Discoverer 1.4. The database of rapeseed peptide sequences (http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/ brassicanapus/data/) was used for searches. The default search settings were as follows: two miscleavages for full trypsin with fixed carbamidomethyl modification of Cys, fixed TMT10-plex modifications on Lys and N-terminal amines, variable modifications of Met oxidation, and deamidation of Asn and Gln residues. The peptide mass tolerance and fragment mass tolerance values were 10 ppm and 50 mD, respectively. Only high-confidence peptides defined by Sequest HT with a 1% FDR by Percolator were considered for peptide identification. The TMT10-plex quantification method within Proteome Discoverer 1.4 was used to calculate the reporter ratios with a mass tolerance ± 10 ppm without applying isotopic correction factors. Only peptide spectra containing all reporter ions were designated as quantifiable spectra and used for peptide/protein quantitation. Values were expressed as the ratio in each sample relative to the value of the pooled bridge sample. A protein ratio was expressed as the median value of the ratios for all quantifiable spectra of unique peptides pertaining to that protein. A precursor coisolation filter of 50% also was applied to minimize ratio compression caused by the coisolation of precursor ions. For each relative ratio group, normalization on protein median was applied. Matching of at least two peptides was required for each protein to continue analysis. All statistical analyses were performed with R scripts and in Microsoft Excel. Differential expression between low N and high N was determined for each peptide using a combination of Student's t tests and empirically derived ratio thresholds to control the false discovery rate based on previous reports (Janvilisri et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2013). Briefly, proteins were considered differentially expressed if (1) Student's t test P values were less than 0.05 and (2) the ratio of expression between low N and high N was beyond the range of ratios observed for 95% of the ratios observed between replicates within N treatments. For the day-3 treatment, proteins with a fold change larger than 1.6 and P < 0.05 were considered to be significantly differentially expressed. For the day-14 treatment, the fold change ratio threshold for DEPs was 1.51.

Functional Annotation and Bioinformatics Analysis

To determine the functional properties of identified DEPs, all rapeseed peptides and GO annotations were downloaded from the rapeseed genome database and BLAST searched against the Swiss-Prot database release-2015_07 using BLASTP, returning the top 10 hits with an e value cutoff of 10^{-10} . The GO terms were categorized into third-level parent terms through is a relations within the molecular function and biological process ontologies. All peptides also were used to query the KEGG database through BlastKOALA (http:// www.kegg.jp/blastkoala/). Representation analysis for GO and KEGG was performed using hypergeometric tests with FDR-corrected q values (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). Categories were considered significantly overrepresented if the q value was less than 0.05 and the proportion in the category was greater in DEPs than in the set of all proteomic proteins. Protein-protein interaction networks were constructed using the STRING program (http://string-db.org/) with the Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) database and confidence scores greater than 0.7. Network files were downloaded from STRING, and network images were made in Cytoscape 3.3.0.

POD Activity Assays in N-Deficient Rapeseed Roots

To quantitatively assay POD activity, roots of day-3 and day-14 N-sufficient and N-deficient rapeseed plants were homogenized in ice-cold phosphatebuffered saline solution (50 mm, pH 7) and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant was used immediately to determine POD activity by monitoring A_{470} using the guaiacol method (Fang and Kao, 2000).

To measure POD activity in gel, proteins were extracted by grinding 100 mg of roots in an equal volume of extraction buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, 5 mM EDTA, 85 mM NaCl, 15 mM MgCl₂, and protease inhibitor). The method for in gel assays of POD activity was described previously (Den Herder et al., 2007). The protein concentration of tissue extracts was determined according to the method of Bradford (Bradford, 1976) using BSA as a protein standard. Protein samples were diluted with ultrapure water to achieve equal protein concentrations. Proteins were equally loaded per lane, then separated on a native PAGE gel at 4°C before incubating in staining solution containing substrates (0.03% [v/v] H₂O₂ and 1 mM diaminobenzidine). Four biological replicates for each treatment were used for quantitative and in gel assays.

Measurement of Root Lignocellulosic Components

The hemicellulose and cellulose contents were measured using a previously reported method (Foster et al., 2010b). Briefly, rapeseed roots were collected at 14 d after initiating N treatments, then 0.5-g dry root samples were powdered and analyzed. First, the cell wall materials of the roots were isolated using 0.01% (w/v) sodium azide, and the cell wall starch was digested. After that, the cell wall material was hydrolyzed by 2 \times trifluoroacetic acid, and after centrifugation, the precipitate and the supernatant were separately incubated and pretreated for the measurement of cellulose and hemicellulose. The released Glc was measured by an anthrone assay at 625 nm.

The ISP and CSP contents of the collected root samples were assayed according to the previous method (Szatanik-Kloc et al., 2017). Cell wall materials were prepared as described above. The ISP and CSP pectin fractions were extracted from dried cell wall samples with two different extractants. For the ISP fraction, a 5-mg sample was added with 1 mL of 50 mm cyclohexane diamine tetraacetic acid (pH 6.5). For the CSP fraction, a 5-mg sample was added with 1 mL of 50 mM Na₂CO₃ (containing 2 mm cyclohexane diamine tetraacetic acid). The supernatants from different extractions were determined using the sulfuric acid-carbazole colorimetry method (Szatanik-Kloc et al., 2017).

The lignin content of the root samples was determined by the acetyl bromide method as described previously (Hatfield et al., 1999; Foster et al., 2010a). A sample of 0.5 g of dry roots from the different N treatments also was powdered for lignin content measurement. First, the cell wall of the roots was isolated using 0.01% (w/v) sodium azide, and the cell wall starch was digested. Then, lignin was quantified with the acetyl bromide method with absorbance values at 280 nm. The histochemistry staining for lignin was modified slightly from Chinwang et al. (2011). Briefly, roots (eight individual rapeseed seedlings for each treatment) were stained in 1% (w/v) phloroglucinol in 95% (v/v) ethanol for 3 min, and the phloroglucinol was removed and then immediately incubated with 6 mM HCl. The roots were mounted on microscope slides and imaged using an optical microscope (Olympus BX53F). Lignin was visualized by pink or red staining. To further examine lignin deposition, root segments were prepared and fixed with paraffin and then longitudinally sectioned (5 μ m thick) with a microtome (Leica RM2016). After removal of the paraffin, the root samples (six individual rapeseed seedlings for each treatment) were stained with 1% (w/v) phloroglucinol in 95% (v/v) ethanol for 3 min, and the phloroglucinol was removed and incubated with 6 mM HCl. The root sections were stained and then observed with an optical microscope (Nikon Eclipse Ci).

Vector Construction and Plant Transformation

In order to investigate the possible roles of the detected DEPs in root growth in response to N deficiency, *BnaXTH31*-overexpression and *BnaBFRUCT4*-overexpression constructs were generated. In short, an 879-bp full-length coding sequence of *BnaXTH31* was amplified from cDNA of cv Zhongshuang 11 and inserted into the *SacI/Bam*HI cloning sites of the pBI121S vector driven by the 355 promoter. And a 1,977-bp full-length coding sequence of *BnaBFRUCT4* also was amplified and inserted into the *XhoI* cloning sites of the pBI121S vector. The sequences of primers used for plasmid construction are listed in Supplemental Table S2. To generate transgenic Arabidopsis plants overexpressing *BnaXTH31* or *BnaBFRUCT4*, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 harboring the plasmid pBI121S was used to transform Col-0 plants through floral dip-mediated infiltration (Clough and Bent, 1998). Independent T3 homozygous transgenic lines were acquired through kanamycin-resistant screening and molecular verification and were used in the experiment of root growth analysis.

Root Growth of Transgenic Arabidopsis Plants

For root growth analysis in response to N deficiency stress, Arabidopsis wildtype Col-0 and transgenic plants were grown under high-N (60 mM) and low-N (2 mM) conditions for 1 week. Seeds were surface sterilized and sown on Murashige and Skoog medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) containing 0.8% (w/v) agar and 1% (w/v) Suc. The sown seeds were vernalized for 3 d in the dark at 4°C and then grown in a 24°C/20°C day/night temperature regime with a 16-h/8-h light/dark photoperiod. Root images were acquired after implementing N treatments for 1 week by an EPSON scanner (EPSON V700 J221A), and the root length was calculated by image-analysis software (ImageJ).

Statistical Analyses

All means and se values of data in this study except proteomics were calculated in Microsoft Excel 2010. All comparisons between high-N and low-N treatments in this study were performed using Student's *t* test in Microsoft Excel 2010 with P < 0.05 considered statistically significant, and the comparison of root growth between the wild type and transgenic lines at the same N level in Arabidopsis also was analyzed as above.

Accession Numbers

Sequence data of the major proteins discussed in this work can be found in the rapeseed genome database (http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/brassicanapus/) with the protein identifiers listed in Table 1, and the sequence data of corresponding homologous proteins in Arabidopsis can be found in the National Center for Biotechnology Information database with the accession numbers also listed in Table 1.

Supplemental Data

The following supplemental materials are available.

- Supplemental Figure S1. Experimental design and workflow diagram for the TMT10-plex labeling-based methods used in this study.
- Supplemental Table S1. DEPs involved in signal transduction and transcription identified in this study.
- Supplemental Table S2. Primer sequences used for the overexpression transgenic Arabidopsis study.
- Supplemental Table S3. Full names of DEPs shown in the protein-protein interaction networks (Fig. 6).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Eric Craft (Robert Holley Center for Agriculture and Health, U.S. Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Service) for technical support regarding imaging and analysis of root system morphology and architecture. We also thank Xiaomin Jia (Robert Holley Center for Agriculture and Health, U.S. Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Service) for technical support in the proteomic experiment as well as Xiaonan Ma (Henan University) and Xinjie Shen (Oil Crops Research Institute) for technical support in the PI staining experiment.

Received June 21, 2018; accepted November 2, 2018; published November 19, 2018.

LITERATURE CITED

- Bai L, Zhang G, Zhou Y, Zhang Z, Wang W, Du Y, Wu Z, Song CP (2009) Plasma membrane-associated proline-rich extensin-like receptor kinase 4, a novel regulator of Ca signalling, is required for abscisic acid responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J 60: 314–327
- **Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y** (1995) Controlling the false discover rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc B **57**: 289–300
- Bradford MM (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem 72: 248–254 942051

- Chen JW, Scaria J, Mao C, Sobral B, Zhang S, Lawley T, Chang YF (2013) Proteomic comparison of historic and recently emerged hypervirulent Clostridium difficile strains. J Proteome Res **12**: 1151–1161
- Chen S, Ding G, Wang Z, Cai H, Xu F (2015) Proteomic and comparative genomic analysis reveals adaptability of *Brassica napus* to phosphorusdeficient stress. J Proteomics 117: 106–119
- Chen Z, Cui Q, Liang C, Sun L, Tian J, Liao H (2011) Identification of differentially expressed proteins in soybean nodules under phosphorus deficiency through proteomic analysis. Proteomics 11: 4648–4659
- Chinwang U, Siriphanich J, Chairat R (2011) Enzymatic browning of freshcut galangal (*Alpinia siamense* K. Schum) and its relationship to oxidative enzymes. J Jpn Soc Hortic Sci 80: 103–112
- Clark RT, MacCurdy RB, Jung JK, Shaff JE, McCouch SR, Aneshansley DJ, Kochian LV (2011) Three-dimensional root phenotyping with a novel imaging and software platform. Plant Physiol 156: 455–465
- Clough SJ, Bent AF (1998) Floral dip: A simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J 16: 735–743
- Coruzzi G, Bush DR (2001) Nitrogen and carbon nutrient and metabolite signaling in plants. Plant Physiol 125: 61–64
- Cosgrove DJ (1996) Plant cell enlargement and the action of expansins. BioEssays 18: 533–540
- Den Herder J, Lievens S, Rombauts S, Holsters M, Goormachtig S (2007) A symbiotic plant peroxidase involved in bacterial invasion of the tropical legume Sesbania rostrata. Plant Physiol 144: 717–727
- Dunand C, Crèvecoeur M, Penel C (2007) Distribution of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide in Arabidopsis root and their influence on root development: Possible interaction with peroxidases. New Phytol 174: 332–341
- Fan SC, Lin CS, Hsu PK, Lin SH, Tsay YF (2009) The Arabidopsis nitrate transporter NRT1.7, expressed in phloem, is responsible for source-tosink remobilization of nitrate. Plant Cell 21: 2750–2761
- Fang W, Kao CH (2000) Enhanced peroxidase activity in rice leaves in response to excess iron, copper and zinc. Plant Sci 158: 71–76
- Foster CE, Martin TM, Pauly M (2010a) Comprehensive compositional analysis of plant cell walls (lignocellulosic biomass) part I: Lignin. J Vis Exp 37: e1745
- Foster CE, Martin TM, Pauly M (2010b) Comprehensive compositional analysis of plant cell walls (lignocellulosic biomass) part II: Carbohydrates. J Vis Exp 37: e1837
- Francoz E, Ranocha P, Nguyen-Kim H, Jamet E, Burlat V, Dunand C (2015) Roles of cell wall peroxidases in plant development. Phytochemistry 112: 15–21
- Gao K, Chen F, Yuan L, Zhang F, Mi G (2015) A comprehensive analysis of root morphological changes and nitrogen allocation in maize in response to low nitrogen stress. Plant Cell Environ 38: 740–750
- Gibieža P, Prekeris R (2018) Rab GTPases and cell division. Small GTPases 9: 107–115
- Giehl RF, Gruber BD, von Wirén N (2014) It's time to make changes: Modulation of root system architecture by nutrient signals. J Exp Bot 65: 769–778
- Gruber N, Galloway JN (2008) An Earth-system perspective of the global nitrogen cycle. Nature 451: 293–296
- Gruber BD, Giehl RF, Friedel S, von Wirén N (2013) Plasticity of the Arabidopsis root system under nutrient deficiencies. Plant Physiol 163: 161–179
- Guo W, Zhao J, Li X, Qin L, Yan X, Liao H (2011) A soybean β-expansin gene *GmEXPB2* intrinsically involved in root system architecture responses to abiotic stresses. Plant J 66: 541–552
- Hao J, Guo H, Shi X, Wang Y, Wan Q, Song YB, Zhang L, Dong M, Shen C (2017) Comparative proteomic analyses of two *Taxus* species (*Taxus* × *media* and *Taxus mairei*) reveals variations in the metabolisms associated with paclitaxel and other metabolites. Plant Cell Physiol 58: 1878–1890
- Hatfield RD, Grabber J, Ralph J, Brei K (1999) Using the acetyl bromide assay to determine lignin concentrations in herbaceous plants: Some cautionary notes. J Agric Food Chem 47: 628–632
- Hermans C, Hammond JP, White PJ, Verbruggen N (2006) How do plants respond to nutrient shortage by biomass allocation? Trends Plant Sci 11: 610–617
- Hinsinger P, Betencourt E, Bernard L, Brauman A, Plassard C, Shen J, Tang X, Zhang F (2011) P for two, sharing a scarce resource: Soil phosphorus acquisition in the rhizosphere of intercropped species. Plant Physiol 156: 1078–1086

- Hiraga S, Sasaki K, Ito H, Ohashi Y, Matsui H (2001) A large family of class III plant peroxidases. Plant Cell Physiol **42:** 462–468
- Hussey PJ, Ketelaar T, Deeks MJ (2006) Control of the actin cytoskeleton in plant cell growth. Annu Rev Plant Biol 57: 109–125
- Janvilisri T, Scaria J, Teng CH, McDonough SP, Gleed RD, Fubini SL, Zhang S, Akey B, Chang YF (2012) Temporal differential proteomes of Clostridium difficile in the pig ileal-ligated loop model. PLoS ONE 7: e45608
- Kim H, Shibato J, Kim DW, Oh MK, Kim MK, Shim IeS, Iwahashi H, Masuo Y, Rakwal R (2009) Gel-based proteomics approach for detecting low nitrogen-responsive proteins in cultivated rice species. Physiol Mol Biol Plants 15: 31–41
- Krapp A, Berthomé R, Orsel M, Mercey-Boutet S, Yu A, Castaings L, Elftieh S, Major H, Renou JP, Daniel-Vedele F (2011) Arabidopsis roots and shoots show distinct temporal adaptation patterns toward nitrogen starvation. Plant Physiol 157: 1255–1282
- Kusano M, Fukushima A, Redestig H, Saito K (2011) Metabolomic approaches toward understanding nitrogen metabolism in plants. J Exp Bot 62: 1439–1453
- Le Gall H, Philippe F, Domon JM, Gillet F, Pelloux J, Rayon C (2015) Cell wall metabolism in response to abiotic stress. Plants (Basel) 4: 112–166
- Li X, Zeng R, Liao H (2016) Improving crop nutrient efficiency through root architecture modifications. J Integr Plant Biol 58: 193–202
- Liu JC, Li JS, Chen FJ, Zhang FS, Ren TH, Zhuang ZJ, Mi GH (2008) Mapping QTLs for root traits under different nitrate levels at the seedling stage in maize (*Zea mays L.*). Plant Soil **305**: 253–265
- López-Bucio J, Cruz-Ramírez A, Herrera-Estrella L (2003) The role of nutrient availability in regulating root architecture. Curr Opin Plant Biol 6: 280–287
- Møller AL, Pedas P, Andersen B, Svensson B, Schjoerring JK, Finnie C (2011) Responses of barley root and shoot proteomes to long-term nitrogen deficiency, short-term nitrogen starvation and ammonium. Plant Cell Environ 34: 2024–2037
- Mounier E, Pervent M, Ljung K, Gojon A, Nacry P (2014) Auxin-mediated nitrate signalling by NRT1.1 participates in the adaptive response of *Arabidopsis* root architecture to the spatial heterogeneity of nitrate availability. Plant Cell Environ **37**: 162–174
- Murashige T, Skoog F (1962) A revised medium for growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. Physiol Plant 15: 495–497
- Paul MJ, Driscoll SP (1997) Sugar repression of photosynthesis: The role of carbohydrates in signalling nitrogen deficiency through source:sink imbalance. Plant Cell Environ 20: 110–116
- Piñeros MA, Larson BG, Shaff JE, Schneider DJ, Falcão AX, Yuan L, Clark RT, Craft EJ, Davis TW, Pradier PL, et al (2016) Evolving technologies for growing, imaging and analyzing 3D root system architecture of crop plants. J Integr Plant Biol 58: 230–241
- Pysh L, Alexander N, Swatzyna L, Harbert R (2012) Four alleles of At-CESA3 form an allelic series with respect to root phenotype in Arabidopsis thaliana. Physiol Plant 144: 369–381
- Qi X, Zheng H (2013) Functional analysis of small Rab GTPases in cytokinesis in Arabidopsis thaliana. Methods Mol Biol 1043: 103–112
- Raggi S, Ferrarini A, Delledonne M, Dunand C, Ranocha P, De Lorenzo G, Cervone F, Ferrari S (2015) The Arabidopsis class III peroxidase AtPRX71 negatively regulates growth under physiological conditions and in response to cell wall damage. Plant Physiol 169: 2513–2525
- Rasmussen IS, Dresboll DB, Thorup-Kristensen K (2015) Winter wheat cultivars and nitrogen (N) fertilization: Effects on root growth, N uptake efficiency and N use efficiency. Eur J Agron 68: 38–49
- Rellán-Alvarez R, Andaluz S, Rodríguez-Celma J, Wohlgemuth G, Zocchi G, Alvarez-Fernández A, Fiehn O, López-Millán AF, Abadía J (2010) Changes in the proteomic and metabolic profiles of Beta vulgaris root tips in response to iron deficiency and resupply. BMC Plant Biol 10: 120
- Saengwilai P, Tian X, Lynch JP (2014) Low crown root number enhances nitrogen acquisition from low-nitrogen soils in maize. Plant Physiol 166: 581–589
- Scheible WR, Morcuende R, Czechowski T, Fritz C, Osuna D, Palacios-Rojas N, Schindelasch D, Thimm O, Udvardi MK, Stitt M (2004) Genome-wide reprogramming of primary and secondary metabolism, protein synthesis, cellular growth processes, and the regulatory infrastructure of Arabidopsis in response to nitrogen. Plant Physiol 136: 2483–2499

- Scheres B, Benfey P, Dolan L (2002) Root development. The Arabidopsis Book 1: e0101,
- Sergeeva LI, Keurentjes JJB, Bentsink L, Vonk J, van der Plas LHW, Koornneef M, Vreugdenhil D (2006) Vacuolar invertase regulates elongation of Arabidopsis thaliana roots as revealed by QTL and mutant analysis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103: 2994–2999
- Shigeto J, Tsutsumi Y (2016) Diverse functions and reactions of class III peroxidases. New Phytol 209: 1395–1402
- Strader LC, Chen GL, Bartel B (2010) Ethylene directs auxin to control root cell expansion. Plant J 64: 874–884
- Szatanik-Kloc A, Szerement J, Cybulska J, Jozefaciuk G (2017) Input of different kinds of soluble pectin to cation binding properties of roots cell walls. Plant Physiol Biochem 120: 194–201
- Tenhaken R (2015) Cell wall remodeling under abiotic stress. Front Plant Sci 5: 771
- Trevisan S, Manoli A, Ravazzolo L, Botton A, Pivato M, Masi A, Quaggiotti S (2015) Nitrate sensing by the maize root apex transition zone: A merged transcriptomic and proteomic survey. J Exp Bot 66: 3699–3715
- Tsukagoshi H, Busch W, Benfey PN (2010) Transcriptional regulation of ROS controls transition from proliferation to differentiation in the root. Cell **143**: 606–616
- Turek I, Wheeler JI, Gehring C, Irving HR, Marondedze C (2015) Quantitative proteome changes in *Arabidopsis thaliana* suspension-cultured cells in response to plant natriuretic peptides. Data Brief **4**: 336–343
- Vidal EA, Araus V, Lu C, Parry G, Green PJ, Coruzzi GM, Gutiérrez RA (2010) Nitrate-responsive miR393/AFB3 regulatory module controls root system architecture in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107: 4477–4482
- von Behrens I, Komatsu M, Zhang Y, Berendzen KW, Niu X, Sakai H, Taramino G, Hochholdinger F (2011) Rootless with undetectable meristem 1 encodes a monocot-specific AUX/IAA protein that controls embryonic seminal and post-embryonic lateral root initiation in maize. Plant J 66: 341–353
- Walch-Liu P, İvanov II, Filleur S, Gan Y, Remans T, Forde BG (2006) Nitrogen regulation of root branching. Ann Bot 97: 875–881
- Wang P, Sun X, Xie Y, Li M, Chen W, Zhang S, Liang D, Ma F (2014) Melatonin regulates proteomic changes during leaf senescence in *Malus hupehensis*. J Pineal Res 57: 291–307

- Wang XB, Wu P, Hu B, Chen QS (2002) Effects of nitrate on the growth of lateral root and nitrogen absorption in rice. Acta Bot Sin 44: 678–683
- Wang X, Bian Y, Cheng K, Zou H, Sun SS, He JX (2012) A comprehensive differential proteomic study of nitrate deprivation in Arabidopsis reveals complex regulatory networks of plant nitrogen responses. J Proteome Res 11: 2301–2315
- Wang X, Chang L, Tong Z, Wang D, Yin Q, Wang D, Jin X, Yang Q, Wang L, Sun Y, et al (2016) Proteomics profiling reveals carbohydrate metabolic enzymes and 14-3-3 proteins play important roles for starch accumulation during cassava root tuberization. Sci Rep 6: 19643
- Wang Y, Yang F, Gritsenko MA, Wang Y, Clauss T, Liu T, Shen Y, Monroe ME, Lopez-Ferrer D, Reno T, et al (2011) Reversed-phase chromatography with multiple fraction concatenation strategy for proteome profiling of human MCF10A cells. Proteomics 11: 2019–2026
- Wasteneys GO, Yang Z (2004) New views on the plant cytoskeleton. Plant Physiol 136: 3884–3891
- Yang Y, Thannhauser TW, Li L, Zhang S (2007) Development of an integrated approach for evaluation of 2-D gel image analysis: Impact of multiple proteins in single spots on comparative proteomics in conventional 2-D gel/MALDI workflow. Electrophoresis 28: 2080–2094
- Yang Y, Qiang X, Owsiany K, Zhang S, Thannhauser TW, Li L (2011) Evaluation of different multidimensional LC-MS/MS pipelines for isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantitation (iTRAQ)-based proteomic analysis of potato tubers in response to cold storage. J Proteome Res 10: 4647–4660
- Zheng M, Zheng H, Wu Y, Xiao Y, Du Y, Xu W, Lu F, Wang X, Ouyang Z (2015) Changes in nitrogen budget and potential risk to the environment over 20 years (1990-2010) in the agroecosystems of the Haihe Basin, China. J Environ Sci (China) 28: 195–202
- Zhu XF, Shi YZ, Lei GJ, Fry SC, Zhang BC, Zhou YH, Braam J, Jiang T, Xu XY, Mao CZ, et al (2012) *XTH31*, encoding an in vitro XEH/XET-active enzyme, regulates aluminum sensitivity by modulating in vivo XET action, cell wall xyloglucan content, and aluminum binding capacity in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell **24**: 4731–4747
- Zieske LR (2006) A perspective on the use of iTRAQ reagent technology for protein complex and profiling studies. J Exp Bot 57: 1501–1508