

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Cancer Lett.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 March 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Lett. 2019 March 01; 444: 127–135. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2018.12.009.

Activation of *AZIN1* RNA editing is a novel mechanism that promotes invasive potential of cancer-associated fibroblasts in colorectal cancer

Sho Takeda¹, Kunitoshi Shigeyasu^{1,2}, Yoshinaga Okugawa^{2,3}, Kazuhiro Yoshida^{1,2}, Yoshiko Mori¹, Shuya Yano¹, Kazuhiro Noma¹, Yuzo Umeda¹, Yoshitaka Kondo¹, Hiroyuki Kishimoto¹, Fuminori Teraishi¹, Takeshi Nagasaka¹, Hiroshi Tazawa¹, Shunsuke Kagawa¹, Toshiyoshi Fujiwara¹, and Ajay Goel^{#,2}

¹Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry, and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Okayama, Japan

²Center for Gastrointestinal Research; Center for Translational Genomics and Oncology, Baylor Scott & White Research Institute and Charles A Sammons Cancer Center, Baylor University Medical Center, TX, USA

³Department of Gastrointestinal and Pediatric Surgery, Division of Reparative Medicine, Institute of Life Sciences, Mie University Graduate School of Medicine, Mie, Japan

Abstract

Adenosine-to-inosine (A-to-I) RNA editing is a recently described epigenetic modification, which is believed to constitute a key oncogenic mechanism in human cancers. However, its functional role in cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) within the tumor microenvironment (TME) and its clinical significance remains unclear. Herein, we systematically analyzed a large cohort of 627 colorectal cancer (CRC) specimens, and investigated the expression pattern of ADAR1 and the its biological significance on the *antizyme inhibitor 1 (AZIN1)* RNA editing levels. Both ADAR1 expression and *AZIN1* RNA editing levels were significantly elevated in CRC tissues vs. normal mucosa, and these findings correlated with the increased expression of mesenchymal markers, Vimentin (ρ =0.44) and Fibroblast activation protein (ρ =0.38). Intriguingly, ADAR1 expression was specifically upregulated in both cancer cells and fibroblasts from cancerous lesions. Conditioned medium from cancer cells led to induction of ADAR1 expression and activation of *AZIN1* RNA editing in fibroblasts (p<0.05). Additionally, edited *AZIN1* enhanced the invasive potential of fibroblasts. In conclusion, we provide novel evidence that hyper-editing of *AZIN1*

Conflicts of interest: The authors have declared no conflicts of interests.

[#]Corresponding author: Ajay Goel, Ph.D., Center for Gastrointestinal Research; Center for Translational Genomics and Oncology, Baylor Scott & White Research Institute and Charles A Sammons Cancer Center, Baylor University Medical Center; 3410 Worth Street, Suite 610, Dallas, TX 75246, USA; Ajay.Goel@BSWHealth.org. Authors' contributions: Conceived and designed experiments: ST, KS, YO, KY, KN, AG; performed experiments: ST, KS, YO;

Authors' contributions: Conceived and designed experiments: ST, KS, YO, KY, KN, AG; performed experiments: ST, KS, YO; Analyzed data: ST, KS, YO, YM, SY, FT, AG; Contributed reagents, materials and other analytical tools: FT, KN, HK, YU, YK, TN, SK, TF, AG; wrote the manuscript: KS, YO, ST, TF, AG.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

enhances the invasive potential of CAFs within the TME in colon and is an important predictor of tumor invasiveness in CRC.

Keywords

AZIN1; RNA editing; cancer associated fibroblasts; colorectal cancer; biomarker; ADAR

INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a common malignancy worldwide and remains the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths in Western countries[1]. CRC pathogenesis is strongly associated with lifestyle choices, such as smoking, diet, alcohol, and obesity[2, 3]. Emerging evidence demonstrates that such lifestyle choices profoundly impact various epigenetic modifications, including DNA methylation[4], histone alterations[5], and regulation of the expression of noncoding RNAs[6]. Dysregulation of these epigenetic processes can directly influence oncogenic signaling pathway, and lead to cancer[7].

RNA editing is a recently identified epigenetic mechanism that regulates posttranscriptional activity of key oncogenes by altering their amino acid sequence and leading to changes in oncogenic function[8]. For example, recent studies have determined that dysregulation of RNA editing is involved in the development of hepatocellular carcinoma, gastric cancer, and colorectal cancer (CRC)[9–12]. Such a RNA editing process, wherein the conversion of an adenosine (A) to inosine (I) in primary RNA transcripts (A-to-I editing) is mediated by Adenosine Deaminase family Acting on RNA (ADAR), leading to diversification of the transcriptome in human cells[13]. One of the targets of RNA editing, *Antizyme inhibitor 1 (AZIN1)*, confers a gain-of-function phenotype frequently through A-to-I conversions, which can promote ornithine decarboxylase (ODC) and polyamines accumulation – conditions that are associated with aggressive tumors[9, 10]. Intriguingly, *AZIN1* is also an important target of ADAR1 in various cancers[9–12].

From a mechanistic perspective, conditions that promote cancer progression and metastasis are frequently influenced by cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) within the tumor microenvironment (TME). Invasion by CAFs within the TME frequently guides invasion by the cancer cells[14]. Although CAFs can deemed to be important prognostic markers and potential therapeutic targets[15], the clinical significance of RNA editing in CAFs remains unexplored.

This study is the first to investigate the clinical significance of ADAR1 expression and the degree of *AZIN1* RNA editing in colorectal CAFs, along with the determination the functional role of *AZIN1* RNA editing in this malignancy. We discovered that ADAR1 is frequently overexpressed in fibroblasts from CRC specimens, and discovered that conditioned medium derived from cancer cells induces ADAR1 expression and promotes *AZIN1* RNA editing in fibroblasts. Furthermore, we identified a novel mechanism where *AZIN1*, that has undergone A-to-I editing, promotes the invasive potential in fibroblasts. Collectively, we illustrate that dysregulation and activation of RNA editing is a salient

feature of the oncogenic cascade that promotes the invasive potential of fibroblasts within the TME in colon cancer.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Patients and Sample Collection

This study examined a total of 627 clinical specimens, which included a testing cohort of 115 matched CRCs and normal mucosa procured from Okayama university and a validation cohort of 512 CRC specimens from TCGA dataset as described in Table 1[16–18]. The labels for the TCGA primary CRC consensus molecular subtypes (CMS) were obtained from Sage Bionetworks Synapse (syn4978511)[19–21]. The diagnosis of each CRC was confirmed for all enrolled patients based on clinicopathological findings. The Tumor Node Metastasis (TNM) staging system from the American Joint Committee on Cancer was used for pathology staging. Written, informed consent was obtained from each patient, and the institutional review board approved this study.

RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Fresh frozen surgical specimens were homogenized with a Mixer Mill MM 300 homogenizer (QIAGEN, Germantown, MD, USA). The total RNA from tissues and cell lines were isolated using the RNeasy Mini kits (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cDNA was synthesized from 1.0 µg of total RNA using Advantage RT PCR-kit (Clontech Laboratories Inc., Mountain View, CA, USA).

RNA editing site-specific quantitative PCR (RESSq-PCR)

The degree of editing of *AZIN1* RNA was analyzed using the RNA editing site-specific quantitative PCR (RESSq-PCR) method published previously[22]. In brief, specific primers for the wild-type and edited *AZIN1* sequences were designed. Based on the difference in the Ct values, the ratios between the edited and wild-type *AZIN1* were calculated using the formula 2^{-(Ct Edited – Ct Wild-type)}. Primer sequences for the PCRs are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

Real-time quantitative PCR analyses for ADAR1

The real-time quantitative PCR was performed for gene expression analysis using the StepOne Real Time PCR System and Power SYBR Green Master Mix (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA), as previously described[23]. GAPDH was used as a normalization control. The relative expression of each mRNA was determined using the Ct method. Primer sequences are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

Cell lines

The HCT116, HT29, and RKO CRC cell lines were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, MD, USA). All cell lines were cultured according to the manufacturer's specifications. All cell lines were tested and authenticated every few months using a panel of established genetic markers[12]. All experiments were performed using cells that did not exceed 15–20 passages.

Immunohistochemical analysis

Paraffin-embedded sections were deparaffinized using xylene and ethanol, and endogenous peroxidase activity was eliminated with H_2O_2 . Following antigen retrieval by autoclaving the tissues at 121°C for 15 minutes, slides were incubated overnight with an anti-ADAR1 antibody, at a 1:100 dilution (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA). The color development was achieved using EnVision + Dual Link Kit (DAKO, Carpinteria, CA, USA) and slides were counterstained with hematoxylin. Negative controls were run in parallel. The level of ADAR1 staining was evaluated using the Allred proportion score (0, none; 1, 1%; 2, 1%–10%; 3, 10%–33%; 4, 33%–67%; and 5, >67% positive cells), measured three times by two independent investigators who were blinded to the nature of the specimens and antibodies used.

Immunofluorescence analysis

Cultured cells were fixed in methanol prior to staining with anti-*AZIN1* antibody at a 1:200 dilution (ab57169, Abcam) overnight, followed by Alexa Fluor 488-conjugate Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) secondary antibody at a 1:200 dilution (A-11001, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Immunofluorescence labeling was examined using an upright fluorescence microscope from the Olympus laboratories.

Wild-type and RNA edited AZIN1 overexpression assays

Plasmids bearing wild type or RNA edited *AZIN1* cDNA sequences were used to overexpress *AZIN1* [9]. These plasmids were ligated into the pLenti6/V5-TOPO®vector[9, 10], and each *AZIN1* expression construct was transfected into a fibroblast cell line, WI38 (2000 ng per one million cells) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). For all transfections, empty pLenti6/V5-TOPO®vector was used as the control vector. Forty-eight hours after transfection, the fibroblasts were collected for further experiments.

Western immunoblotting

Western immunoblotting experiments were performed as described previously[24]. Anti-ODC (1:2000 dilution; ab66067, Abcam) antibodies were used to detect target proteins, and an anti- β -actin antibody (1:5000 dilution; A5441, Sigma) was used as the loading control.

Invasion/migration assays

The invasiveness of cancer cells was evaluated using BioCoat Matrigel Invasion Chambers (Corning Life Sciences, Tewksbury, MA, USA) as described previously[23].

Statistical analysis

Results are shown as means \pm standard deviation (SD). JMP software (ver. 10.0, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used to perform statistical analyses. Differences between groups were estimated by Wilcoxon's rank sum test, the χ^2 test, and Steel test, as appropriate. Correlations of two groups were estimated by Spearman's rank correlation analysis. For time-to-event analyses, survival estimates were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier analysis, and groups were compared with the log-rank test. Overall survival (OS) was measured from the date patients underwent surgery until their date of death resulting from

any cause, or last known follow-up for patients that were still alive. All p values were 2sided, and those less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The ADAR1 expression is upregulated and the degree of *AZIN1* RNA editing is increased in CRC ADAR1 is reported to be dysregulated in several cancer[9–12]. We first measured the expression of ADAR1 in both our testing and validation cohorts. ADAR1 was significantly overexpressed in cancerous tissues when compared to adjacent normal mucosa (p<0.0001 in testing cohort, p=0.0439 in validation cohort; Figure 1A). ADAR1 can catalyze specific A-to-I editing in RNA. We confirmed that the degree *AZIN1* RNA editing was significantly elevated in CRC tissues when compared to corresponding normal mucosa (p<0.0001; Figure 1B). Our results demonstrate that activation of RNA editing is a key feature of CRCs.

Mesenchymal markers are frequently overexpressed in Consensus Molecular Subtype 4 colorectal cancers

Consensus Molecular Subtype (CMS) classification represents CRC heterogeneity at the gene-expression level[19]. We assessed whether RNA editing associated with any specific CMS subgroups. First, we confirmed the clinical significance of various CMS in CRC. As reported previously, the CMS4 group exhibited substantially worse survival compared with other groups (p=0.10; Figure 1C). The CMS4 subtype is characterized as mesenchymal, therefore Vimentin (VIM) and Fibroblast activation protein (FAP) were significantly upregulated in CMS4 cancers visà-vis other groups (p<0.0001; Figure 1D). Other mesenchymal markers including N-cadherin, Snail, and Twist were upregulated in CMS4 CRCs. On the other hand, E-cadherin was downregulated in CMS4 CRCs (Supplementary Figure 1). Our results suggest that CMS4 CRCs might be influenced by the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) or accumulation of CAFs within the TME.

ADAR1 is overexpressed in CMS4 CRCs and correlates with mesenchymal markers

To investigate the relationship between RNA editing enzyme, ADAR1, we analyzed ADAR1 expression in each of the four CMS subgroup. Intriguingly, ADAR1 expression was significantly upregulated in CMS4 vs. CMS2 (p<0.0001) and CMS3 (p<0.0001; Figure 1E) cancers. Interestingly, ADAR1 was overexpressed in CMS1 CRCs, which is not surprising because these lesions are characterized by very diffused immune infiltrates; while increased number of immune cells are responsible for enhanced interferon secretion and induced ADAR1 expression[25].

ADAR1 expression in CRC tissues positively correlated with Vimentin (p<0.0001, ρ =0.44) and FAP (p<0.0001, ρ =0.38), suggesting that RNA editing is affected by EMT or fibroblast accumulation (Figure 1F). Although our data show that ADAR1 expression and mesenchymal characteristics correlate in CRC microenvironment, the key cause of upregulation of RNA editing enzyme remains unknown.

ADAR1 is overexpressed in mesenchymal fibroblasts in CRC

We next determined whether RNA editing is induced in EMT-cancer cells or mesenchymal fibroblasts. First, we analyzed ADAR1 expression in EMT-induced cancer cell lines. However, neither ADAR1 expression nor RNA editing were upregulated in EMT-induced cancer cells (data not shown). These findings indicated that upregulation of ADAR1 and RNA editing does not originate from EMT-induced cancer cells. Next, we used clinical specimens from CRC patients to determine whether ADAR1 was overexpressed in mesenchymal cells.

Immunohistochemical analysis revealed a strong staining for ADAR1, not only in CRC cells but also in mesenchymal fibroblasts when compared with adjacent normal mucosa (Figure 2A). Intriguingly, ADAR1-postive fibroblasts were significantly more concentrated within the cancerous lesions, and ADAR1 expression was much higher in CRC (p<0.0001) and fibroblasts (p<0.0001) vs. normal epithelium (Figure 2B).

ADAR1 targets AZIN1 editing in fibroblasts

The ability of ADAR1 to target *AZIN1* was investigated *in vitro* by modulating the RNA expression. The efficient suppression of ADAR1 via RNA interference resulted in reduced *AZIN1* RNA editing (p=0.0495; Figure 3A). The inverse experiment was performed by overexpression of ADAR1 using ADAR1-carrying plasmids in WI38 fibroblasts. The efficient overexpression of ADAR1 manifested with an increase *AZIN1* RNA editing (p=0.0495; Figure 3B). Collectively, these results suggest that *AZIN1* RNA editing is controlled by ADAR1 in fibroblasts.

Conditioned medium from cancer cells induced upregulation of ADAR1 and enhanced *AZIN1* RNA editing in fibroblasts

Analysis of clinical specimens revealed that ADAR1 is expressed at higher levels in fibroblasts surrounding cancer cells. However, there is lack of any direct evidence indicating any interactions between cancer cells and fibroblasts. We next assessed whether cancer cells can promote ADAR1 expression and enhance *AZIN1* RNA editing in fibroblasts. Fibroblasts were cultured in the conditioned medium derived from CRC cells. Fibroblasts cultured with such conditioned medium expressed ADAR1 at significantly higher levels when compared to controls (p=0.0495 in HCT116, HT29, and RKO medium; Figure 3C). Likewise, the overexpression of ADAR1 was associated with corresponding increase in *AZIN1* RNA editing (p=0.0495 in HCT116, HT29, and RKO medium; Figure 3D). Collectively, these results suggest that cancer cells promote *AZIN1* RNA editing in fibroblasts via induction of ADAR1 RNA editing enzyme. Our results are consistent with the clinical evidence that CMS4 mesenchymal subtype shows higher ADAR1 expression (Figure 1E).

Edited AZIN1 promotes invasion and migration in fibroblasts

To better understand the biological significance of edited *AZIN1* RNA in fibroblasts, we overexpressed either wild-type or edited *AZIN1* RNA in WI38 fibroblast cells. The overexpression of *AZIN1* was confirmed by immunohistochemistry and RT-qPCR (Figure 4A). We next performed invasion and migration assays to determine whether edited *AZIN1* RNA promotes the invasive and migratory potential in fibroblasts. Overexpression of edited

AZIN1 RNA enhanced invasiveness of fibroblasts relative to wild-type *AZIN1* (p=0.0079; Figure 4B). Similarly, the overexpression of edited *AZIN1* RNA increased the migratory potential of fibroblasts when compared to wild-type *AZIN1* overexpressed cells (p=0.0079; Figure 4C). Collectively, these results suggest that edited *AZIN1* RNA in fibroblasts may facilitate cancer progression and worse prognosis in CMS4 CRCs.

Previously the oncogene, ODC, was identified as a downstream target of edited *AZINI*[9]. The overexpression of edited *AZIN1* RNA resulted in upregulation of ODC protein in fibroblasts, confirming that edited *AZIN1* RNA stabilizes ODC more effectively than its wild-type counterpart in fibroblasts (Figure 4D). In this previous study, ODC was reported to be the promoter of invasive potential in fibroblasts[26]. Our results suggest that edited *AZIN1* RNA may promote invasion of fibroblasts via accumulation of ODC (Figure 4E).

Taken together, findings from our study suggest that overexpression of ADAR1 in cancer lesion promotes malignant potential in CRC. We present novel evidence that ADAR1 is overexpressed in fibroblasts and may facilitate invasive potential in cancerous lesions in CRC microenvironment (Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

CRC originates from the accumulation of a series of genetic and epigenetic alterations in colorectal tissues. RNA editing has emerged as a critical epigenetic modification responsible for the evolution and disease progression in various cancers. Adenosine-to-inosine (A-to-I) RNA editing in the context of oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes can alter tumor characteristics to promote a more aggressive phenotype. One of the major targets of the RNA editing enzyme ADAR1, which itself is dysregulated in various cancers, is *AZIN1*. Emerging evidence indicates that *AZIN1* is highly edited in various cancers including HCC, esophageal cancer, and CRC[9, 10, 12]; and edited version of *AZIN1* is highly oncogenic.

Fibroblasts influence the TME by remodeling the extracellular matrix and creating tracks for collective invasion of cancer cells[27, 28]. In particular, invasion of TME by CAFs frequently precedes invasion by cancer cells, often termed as 'CAF-led cancer cell invasion' [14]. Therefore, anti-tumor therapies are touted to eventually include targeting of CAFs[28–30]. However, whether RNA editing has a significant biological impact on fibroblasts in the TME remains unknown. In this study, we demonstrated that ADAR1 levels are frequently dysregulated in CRC, which leads to enhanced editing of its target gene, *AZIN1* RNA. Furthermore, we observed that ADAR1 overexpression is not exclusive to cancer cells but also occurs in CAFs as well. Intriguingly, ADAR1 expression is significantly higher in mesenchymal colorectal tumors – those designated as mesenchymal subgroup of CRCs (CMS4) in this study. We performed a series of functional validation studies in fibroblast cells to determine how edited *AZIN1* contributes to cancer invasiveness.

Ours is the first report to demonstrate that the RNA editing enzyme ADAR1 is frequently overexpressed in mesenchymal subgroup CMS4 CRCs. We also reveal that ADAR1 overexpression correlated with enhanced *AZIN1* RNA editing in CRC tissues. Intriguingly, ADAR1 expression correlated with the mesenchymal markers Vimentin and FAP, which

further supports our argument that *AZIN1* RNA editing facilitates the invasive potential in CRC-associated fibroblasts. By discovering a correlation between ADAR1 and mesenchymal markers, we were encouraged to investigate the significance of RNA editing in mesenchymal cells, specifically CAFs, which are important components of the TME landscape.

To the best of our knowledge, no prior report has demonstrated how *AZIN1* RNA editing facilitates the invasive potential of CRC-associated fibroblasts. In addition, none of the previous studies have tested the hypothesis behind the cause of upregulated ODC in fibroblasts. Our findings fill in a very important gap in knowledge. To answer this question, we examined the functional role of edited *AZIN1* RNA in fibroblasts by using clinical data obtained from CRC cohorts. We demonstrated that conditioned medium derived from cancer cells stimulated ADAR1 expression and *AZIN1* RNA editing in fibroblasts. Additionally, *AZIN1* RNA editing promoted the accumulation of ODC followed by enhanced invasiveness in fibroblasts. ODC is a key protein that catalyzes the first and rate-limiting step in polyamine synthesis[31, 32]. *AZIN1* is an ODC homologue, which controls ODC accumulation through the inhibition of antizyme, a negative regulator of ODC[33]. Edited *AZIN1* RNA has a greater binding affinity for the antizyme vs. the wild-type *AZIN1*; which can help stabilize ODC more efficiently.

We are the first to propose that the *AZIN1* RNA editing-ODC accumulation axis is exploited by fibroblasts to promote invasiveness. Enhanced *AZIN1* RNA editing leads to ODC accumulation. ODC then promotes invasiveness of fibroblasts - a characteristic feature of cancer cells[26]. We are also the first to demonstrate the invasive potential of edited *AZIN1* RNA with ODC accumulation in fibroblasts. We conclude that a pathway that includes ADAR1, *AZIN1* editing, and ODC is triggered by cancer cells and may activate and promote fibroblasts to invade the tumor microenvironment.

One of the limitations of our study is our inability to identify the soluble factor from cancer cells that stimulates RNA editing. However, considering that we have uncovered the invasive potential of edited *AZIN1* RNA in CAFs, our findings support further investigations into whether ADAR1 inhibition is a viable therapy for CRC.

In summary, our study provides novel evidence for the tumor-supportive role of edited *AZIN1* RNA in fibroblasts in CRC. Our study highlights the biological and clinical significance of *AZIN1* RNA editing in fibroblasts and its impact on enhancing invasion within the TME in colon cancer. Our findings suggest that *AZIN1* RNA editing levels are not only are important prognostic biomarkers, these may also serve as a potential therapeutic target in colorectal cancer.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements:

We thank Dr. Leilei Chen in Cancer Science Institute of Singapore, National University of Singapore, Singapore, for providing plasmids. We additionally thank Timothy J. Zumwalt, PhD for proofreading. We also thank Tae Yamanishi, Tomoko Sueishi, and Shusuke Toden for assisting us to perform experiments.

Funding: The present work was supported by the CA72851, CA181572, CA184792, CA187956, and CA202797 grants from the National Cancer Institute, National Institute of Health; RP140784 from the Cancer Prevention Research Institute of Texas; grants from the Sammons Cancer Center and Baylor Foundation, as well as funds from the Baylor Scott & White Research Institute, Dallas, TX, USA awarded to AG. This work was also supported by a grant from the Uehara Memorial Foundation, Takeda Science Foundation, and JSPS KAKENHI 17K16557 to KS.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A, Cancer statistics, 2015, CA Cancer J Clin, 65 (2015) 5–29.
 [PubMed: 25559415]
- [2]. Center MM, Jemal A, Smith RA, Ward E, Worldwide variations in colorectal cancer, CA Cancer J Clin, 59 (2009) 366–378. [PubMed: 19897840]
- [3]. Bagnardi V, Rota M, Botteri E, Tramacere I, Islami F, Fedirko V, Scotti L, Jenab M, Turati F, Pasquali E, Pelucchi C, Galeone C, Bellocco R, Negri E, Corrao G, Boffetta P, La Vecchia C, Alcohol consumption and site-specific cancer risk: a comprehensive dose-response metaanalysis, Br J Cancer, 112 (2015) 580–593. [PubMed: 25422909]
- [4]. Feinberg AP, Tycko B, The history of cancer epigenetics, Nat Rev Cancer, 4 (2004) 143–153. [PubMed: 14732866]
- [5]. Jenuwein T, Allis CD, Translating the histone code, Science, 293 (2001) 1074–1080. [PubMed: 11498575]
- [6]. Mattick JS, Makunin IV, Non-coding RNA, Hum Mol Genet, 15 Spec No 1 (2006) R17–29. [PubMed: 16651366]
- [7]. Okugawa Y, Grady WM, Goel A, Epigenetic Alterations in Colorectal Cancer: Emerging Biomarkers, Gastroenterology, 149 (2015) 1204–1225 e1212. [PubMed: 26216839]
- [8]. Qi L, Chan TH, Tenen DG, Chen L, RNA editome imbalance in hepatocellular carcinoma, Cancer Res, 74 (2014) 1301–1306. [PubMed: 24556721]
- [9]. Chen L, Li Y, Lin CH, Chan TH, Chow RK, Song Y, Liu M, Yuan YF, Fu L, Kong KL, Qi L, Zhang N, Tong AH, Kwong DL, Man K, Lo CM, Lok S, Tenen DG, Guan XY, Recoding RNA editing of AZIN1 predisposes to hepatocellular carcinoma, Nat Med, 19 (2013) 209–216. [PubMed: 23291631]
- [10]. Qin YR, Qiao JJ, Chan TH, Zhu YH, Li FF, Liu H, Fei J, Li Y, Guan XY, Chen L, Adenosine-toinosine RNA editing mediated by ADARs in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, Cancer Res, 74 (2014) 840–851. [PubMed: 24302582]
- [11]. Chan TH, Qamra A, Tan KT, Guo J, Yang H, Qi L, Lin JS, Ng VH, Song Y, Hong H, Tay ST, Liu Y, Lee J, Rha SY, Zhu F, So JB, Teh BT, Yeoh KG, Rozen S, Tenen DG, Tan P, Chen L, ADAR-Mediated RNA Editing Predicts Progression and Prognosis of Gastric Cancer, Gastroenterology, 151 (2016) 637–650.e610. [PubMed: 27373511]
- [12]. Shigeyasu K, Okugawa Y, Toden S, Miyoshi J, Toiyama Y, Nagasaka T, Takahashi N, Kusunoki M, Takayama T, Yamada Y, Fujiwara T, Chen L, Goel A, AZIN1 RNA editing confers cancer stemness and enhances oncogenic potential in colorectal cancer, JCI Insight, 3 (2018).
- [13]. Bass BL, RNA editing by adenosine deaminases that act on RNA, Annu Rev Biochem, 71 (2002) 817–846. [PubMed: 12045112]
- [14]. Itoh G, Chida S, Yanagihara K, Yashiro M, Aiba N, Tanaka M, Cancer-associated fibroblasts induce cancer cell apoptosis that regulates invasion mode of tumours, Oncogene, 36 (2017) 4434–4444. [PubMed: 28368418]
- [15]. Barnett RM, Vilar E, Targeted Therapy for Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts: Are We There Yet?, J Natl Cancer Inst, 110 (2018).
- [16]. The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), https://cancergenome.nih.gov/, (Accessed in April 1, 2018).

- [17]. Gao J, Aksoy BA, Dogrusoz U, Dresdner G, Gross B, Sumer SO, Sun Y, Jacobsen A, Sinha R, Larsson E, Cerami E, Sander C, Schultz N, Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal, Sci Signal, 6 (2013) pl1. [PubMed: 23550210]
- [18]. Cerami E, Gao J, Dogrusoz U, Gross BE, Sumer SO, Aksoy BA, Jacobsen A, Byrne CJ, Heuer ML, Larsson E, Antipin Y, Reva B, Goldberg AP, Sander C, Schultz N, The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data, Cancer Discov, 2 (2012) 401–404. [PubMed: 22588877]
- [19]. Guinney J, Dienstmann R, Wang X, de Reynies A, Schlicker A, Soneson C, Marisa L, Roepman P, Nyamundanda G, Angelino P, Bot BM, Morris JS, Simon IM, Gerster S, Fessler E, De Sousa EMF, Missiaglia E, Ramay H, Barras D, Homicsko K, Maru D, Manyam GC, Broom B, Boige V, Perez-Villamil B, Laderas T, Salazar R, Gray JW, Hanahan D, Tabernero J, Bernards R, Friend SH, Laurent-Puig P, Medema JP, Sadanandam A, Wessels L, Delorenzi M, Kopetz S, Vermeulen L, Tejpar S, The consensus molecular subtypes of colorectal cancer, Nat Med, 21 (2015) 1350–1356. [PubMed: 26457759]
- [20]. Eide PW, Bruun J, Lothe RA, Sveen A, CMScaller: an R package for consensus molecular subtyping of colorectal cancer pre-clinical models, Sci Rep, 7 (2017) 16618. [PubMed: 29192179]
- [21]. Bionetworks Synapse, https://www.synapse.org/Sage, (Accessed in April 1, 2018).
- [22]. Crews LA, Jiang Q, Zipeto MA, Lazzari E, Court AC, Ali S, Barrett CL, Frazer KA, Jamieson CH, An RNA editing fingerprint of cancer stem cell reprogramming, J Transl Med, 13 (2015) 52. [PubMed: 25889244]
- [23]. Okugawa Y, Toiyama Y, Hur K, Toden S, Saigusa S, Tanaka K, Inoue Y, Mohri Y, Kusunoki M, Boland CR, Goel A, Metastasis-associated long non-coding RNA drives gastric cancer development and promotes peritoneal metastasis, Carcinogenesis, 35 (2014) 2731–2739. [PubMed: 25280565]
- [24]. Toden S, Okugawa Y, Buhrmann C, Nattamai D, Anguiano E, Baldwin N, Shakibaei M, Boland CR, Goel A, Novel Evidence for Curcumin and Boswellic Acid-Induced Chemoprevention through Regulation of miR-34a and miR-27a in Colorectal Cancer, Cancer Prev Res (Phila), 8 (2015) 431–443. [PubMed: 25712055]
- [25]. Roth SH, Danan-Gotthold M, Ben-Izhak M, Rechavi G, Cohen CJ, Louzoun Y, Levanon EY, Increased RNA Editing May Provide a Source for Autoantigens in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus, Cell Rep, 23 (2018) 50–57. [PubMed: 29617672]
- [26]. Kubota S, Kiyosawa H, Nomura Y, Yamada T, Seyama Y, Ornithine decarboxylase overexpression in mouse 10T1/2 fibroblasts: cellular transformation and invasion, J Natl Cancer Inst, 89 (1997) 567–571. [PubMed: 9106645]
- [27]. Sanz-Moreno V, Gaggioli C, Yeo M, Albrengues J, Wallberg F, Viros A, Hooper S, Mitter R, Feral CC, Cook M, Larkin J, Marais R, Meneguzzi G, Sahai E, Marshall CJ, ROCK and JAK1 signaling cooperate to control actomyosin contractility in tumor cells and stroma, Cancer Cell, 20 (2011) 229–245. [PubMed: 21840487]
- [28]. Noma K, Smalley KS, Lioni M, Naomoto Y, Tanaka N, El-Deiry W, King AJ, Nakagawa H, Herlyn M, The essential role of fibroblasts in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma-induced angiogenesis, Gastroenterology, 134 (2008) 1981–1993. [PubMed: 18439605]
- [29]. Hanley CJ, Mellone M, Ford K, Thirdborough SM, Mellows T, Frampton SJ, Smith DM, Harden E, Szyndralewiez C, Bullock M, Noble F, Moutasim KA, King EV, Vijayanand P, Mirnezami AH, Underwood TJ, Ottensmeier CH, Thomas GJ, Targeting the Myofibroblastic Cancer-Associated Fibroblast Phenotype Through Inhibition of NOX4, J Natl Cancer Inst, 110 (2018).
- [30]. Kato T, Noma K, Ohara T, Kashima H, Katsura Y, Sato H, Komoto S, Katsube R, Ninomiya T, Tazawa H, Shirakawa Y, Fujiwara T, Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts Affect Intratumoral CD8(+) and FoxP3(+) T Cells Via IL6 in the Tumor Microenvironment, Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research, (2018).
- [31]. Wallace HM, Fraser AV, Hughes A, A perspective of polyamine metabolism, Biochem J, 376 (2003) 1–14. [PubMed: 13678416]
- [32]. Wu D, Kaan HY, Zheng X, Tang X, He Y, Vanessa Tan Q, Zhang N, Song H, Structural basis of Ornithine Decarboxylase inactivation and accelerated degradation by polyamine sensor Antizyme1, Sci Rep, 5 (2015) 14738. [PubMed: 26443277]

[33]. Fujita K, Murakami Y, Hayashi S, A macromolecular inhibitor of the antizyme to ornithine decarboxylase, Biochem J, 204 (1982) 647–652. [PubMed: 7126159]

HIGHLIGHTS

- Hyper-editing of AZIN1 RNA is a frequent event in colorectal cancer fibroblasts.
- Increased AZIN1 editing promotes invasion in colorectal cancer tumor microenvironment.
- AZIN1 may be a potential therapeutic target in colorectal cancer.





(A) ADAR1 expression levels in CRC tissues compared with normal mucosa in testing and validation cohorts. Wilcoxon's signed rank test. (B) *AZIN1* RNA editing levels in CRC tissues compared with normal mucosa in the testing cohort. Wilcoxon's signed rank test. (C) Kaplan-Meier survival curves for OS in CRC patients in validation cohort sorted into CMS4 and other subgroups. Log-rank test. (D) CMS-dependent expression status of Vimentin and FAP in CRC tissues. Steel test. (E) CMS-dependent expression status of ADAR1 in CRC

tissues. Steel test. (F) Correlation between Vimentin, FAP, and ADAR1 expression levels. *p<0.05, ***p<0.001.

Takeda et al.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript



Figure 2: ADAR1 is overexpressed in mesenchymal fibroblasts in CRC lesions (A) Immunohistochemical staining of ADAR1 in CRC clinical specimens. (B) ADAR1 expression in epithelial cells or fibroblasts in CRC. Wilcoxon's signed rank test. ****p<0.001.

0

Normal

Cancer

Cancer Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 March 01.

Cancer

0-

Normal

Takeda et al.





(A) ADAR1 expression levels in WI38 fibroblast cell line transfected with siADAR1 or siControl. Wilcoxon's signed rank test. (B) RNA edited to wild-type *AZIN1* RNA ratios in HT29 and HCT116 cell lines transfected with wild type or edited *AZIN1* RNA containing plasmids as determined by RESSq-PCR. Wilcoxon's signed rank test. (C) ADAR1 expression levels in WI38 fibroblast cell line cultured in cancer medium. Wilcoxon's signed rank test. (D) RNA Edited to wild type *AZIN1* RNA ratios in WI38 fibroblast cell line cultured in cancer medium by RESSq-PCR. Wilcoxon's signed rank test. *p<0.05.



Figure 4: RNA edited AZIN1 promotes invasion and migration in fibroblasts

(A) ADAR1 expression levels and RNA edited to wild type *AZIN1* RNA ratios in WI38 fibroblast cell line transfected with wildtype or edited *AZIN1* RNA containing plasmids. (B) Effect of overexpression of wild type or edited *AZIN1* RNA on invasiveness of WI38 cell line. Wilcoxon's signed rank test. (C) Effect of overexpression of wild type or edited *AZIN1* RNA on migration of WI38 cell line. Wilcoxon's signed rank test. (D) ODC protein expression levels in the transfected WI38 cell line analyzed by western blot. (E) Promotion of invasion and migration by RNA edited *AZIN1* in fibroblasts. **p<0.01.



Figure 5:

Upregulation of ADAR1 in cancer-associated fibroblasts may promote cancer progression ADAR1 is also overexpressed in fibroblasts and may facilitates invasive potential of cancerous lesion in CRC.

Table 1:

Clinicopathological variables and AZINI RNA editing status in validation cohort

Variable		u	CMS1 (n=76)	CMS2 (n=221)	CMS3 (n=72)	CMS4 (n=143)	P value
Gender	Male	239	37	123	38	75	0.7547
	Female	273	39	98	34	68	
Age (y)	~68 [#]	249	24	109	36	80	0.0074
	≧68	263	52	112	36	63	
Pathological T category	pTI/2	108	14	60	22	12	<0.0001
	pT3/4	404	62	161	50	131	
Lymph node metastasis	Absent	296	56	125	52	63	<0.0001
	Present	216	20	96	20	80	
Distant metastasis	Absent	442	72	185	67	118	0.0089
	Present	69	4	36	4	25	
UICC TNM classification	Stage I/II	287	55	118	51	63	<0.0001
	Stage III/IV	225	21	103	21	80	
ADAR1	Low	148	8	83	28	29	<0.0001
	High	148	34	37	17	60	
Vimentin	Low	148	14	93	41	0	<0.0001
	High	148	28	27	4	89	
Fibroblast activation protein	Low	148	17	06	39	2	<0.0001
	High	148	25	30	9	87	