



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Drug Alcohol Depend. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 February 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Drug Alcohol Depend. 2019 February 01; 195: 193–197. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2018.11.016.

Driving under the influence of cannabis among medical cannabis patients with chronic pain

Erin E. Bonar^{a,b}, James A. Cranford^a, Brooke J. Arterberry^{a,c}, Maureen A. Walton^{a,b}, Kipling M. Bohnert^{a,d}, and Mark A. Ilgen^{a,d}

^aUniversity of Michigan Addiction Center, Department of Psychiatry, University of Michigan School of Medicine, 4250 Plymouth Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48109

^bUniversity of Michigan Injury Prevention Center, University of Michigan School of Medicine, 2800 Plymouth Road, NCRC10-G080, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 48109

^cDepartment of Psychology, Iowa State University, 901 Stange Road, Ames, IA 50011

^dVA Center for Clinical Management Research, VA Ann Arbor Healthcare System, 2215 Fuller Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48105

Abstract

Background: Driving under the influence of cannabis (DUIC) is a public health concern among those using medical cannabis. Understanding behaviors contributing to DUIC can inform prevention efforts. We evaluated three past 6-month DUIC behaviors among medical cannabis users with chronic pain.

Methods: Adults ($N=790$) seeking medical cannabis certification or recertification for moderate/severe pain were recruited from February 2014 through June 2015 at Michigan medical cannabis clinics. About half of participants were male (52%) and 81% were White; their Mean age was 45.8 years. Participants completed survey measures of DUIC (driving within 2 hours of use, driving while “a little high,” and driving while “very high”) and background factors (demographics, alcohol use, etc.). Unadjusted and adjusted logistic regressions were used to examine correlates of DUIC.

Correspondence: Erin E. Bonar, erinbona@med.umich.edu.
Contributors

The final manuscript has been reviewed and approved by all authors.

Erin E. Bonar wrote the first draft of the manuscript and incorporated significant content from co-authors. James A. Cranford conducted the preliminary analyses and reviewed the manuscript draft providing critical scientific feedback. Brooke J. Arterberry assisted with literature review and assisted with writing and critical scientific feedback. Maureen A. Walton was a Co-investigator involved in the design and execution of the study and execution of the RCT and she assisted with writing and critical scientific feedback. Kipling M. Bohnert assisted with conceptualization of analyses and edited the paper for critical scientific feedback. Mark A. Ilgen was the Principle Investigator responsible for the research study from which these data were collected; he provided guidance on analyses and edited the paper providing critical scientific feedback.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Conflicts of Interest
No conflict declared.

Results: For the past 6 months, DUIC within 2 hours of use was reported by 56.4% of the sample, DUIC while a “little high” was reported by 50.5%, and “very high” was reported by 21.1%. Greater cannabis quantity consumed and binge drinking were generally associated with DUIC behaviors. Higher pain was associated with lower likelihood of DUIC. Findings vary somewhat across DUIC measures.

Conclusions: The prevalence of DUIC is concerning, with more research needed on how to best measure DUIC. Prevention messaging for DUIC may be enhanced by addressing alcohol co-consumption.

Keywords

Medical Cannabis; Driving Under the Influence; Risky Driving; Cannabis Use

1. Introduction

Driving under the influence of cannabis (DUIC) is an important public health problem that may pose an increased risk for motor vehicle crash (Li et al., 2012; Neavyn et al., 2014; Rogeberg and Elvik, 2016). Several prior studies suggest have found that presence of THC (delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol) is associated with impaired driving-related functions (Downey et al., 2013; Liguori et al., 1998; Newmeyer et al., 2017; Ramaekers et al., 2004). A recent meta-analysis also concluded that acute cannabis intoxication is related to an increase risk of MVC (Rogeberg and Elvik, 2016); however, another found that cannabis use was not significantly related to “unfavorable traffic events” (including MVC; Hostiuc et al., 2018). Nonetheless, Hostiuc and colleagues noted that the significant limitations in methodology and measurement across studies might meaningfully relate to such negative outcomes associated with DUIC, and that further study is needed (Hostiuc et al., 2018).

Although prior literature regarding DUIC is mixed, rapidly shifting cannabis policies in the U.S. warrant greater attention to this important public health issue. Specifically, over half of U.S. states currently allow legal use of cannabis for medical purposes, and a handful have legalized non-medical use. Further, public access to cannabis is increasing in concert with increases in cannabis potency (ElSohly et al., 2016), availability of high potency cannabis products (Vandrey et al., 2015), and decreasing perceptions of cannabis-related risks (Azofeifa et al., 2016). Given this context, there is an urgent need to better understand DUIC in order to inform future prevention efforts.

Medical cannabis patients may be at particularly high risk for DUIC, given their high frequency of use (Haug et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2016); however, little is known about the prevalence and characteristics of individuals using medical cannabis who engage in DUIC. The clinic visit for certification or recertification may provide an opportunity to engage medical cannabis patients around risk reduction and to prevent DUIC in a non-threatening environment where patients may be receptive to public health interventions. The goal of the present study was to examine the prevalence and correlates of three indicators of DUIC among medical cannabis patients receiving or renewing cannabis certification for moderate/severe pain (the most common medical condition [Ilgen et al., 2013] for which certification is sought).

2. Method

2.1 Participants and procedures

From February 2014 to June 2015, patients aged 21 years and older seeking medical cannabis certification or recertification were recruited from 3 medical cannabis certification centers in Michigan as part of a longitudinal study (methods described previously; Cranford et al., 2017). Potential participants were approached by research staff in clinic areas, provided consent, and self-administered screening surveys to determine eligibility (pain level of >5 out of 10 in past month; exclusions: pregnant women, seeking certification/recertification for Alzheimer's Disease or cancer). Of the 801 participants who were consented, enrolled in the longitudinal study, and answered baseline surveys, n=790 (97.5%) provided data on items assessing DUIC and comprise the present analytic sample. Compensation was \$10 for screening, \$30 for the baseline. Procedures were approved by the University of Michigan Institutional Review Board.

2.2 Measures

We used standard assessment items to query basic demographics (e.g., age, sex, race, etc.). To measure DUIC behaviors, we adapted three items from prior work in young adults (Donovan, 1993) to assess past 6-month frequency of driving (Never to 10+ times): "within 2 hours of using marijuana," "while a little high on marijuana," "while very high on marijuana" (each dichotomized into never vs. ever for analyses), and driving after drinking 4 or more alcoholic drinks. Number of lifetime arrests for "... driving while intoxicated or driving under the influence of marijuana" was assessed based on the Drug Abuse Treatment Outcomes Study (United States Department of Health and Human Services et al., 2010). We assessed substance use with past 6-month measures of drinking 6+ alcoholic drinks on at least one occasion (yes/no) and illicit drug use or misuse of prescription drugs (yes/no; cocaine, heroin, methamphetamine, and prescription sedatives, stimulants, and/or opioids). Past 30-day opioid misuse included a sum of 5 items from the Current Opioid Misuse Measure [(Butler et al., 2007) range 0-20; higher scores indicate more frequent misuse; $\alpha = .67$]. We developed two items for this study to assess cannabis use patterns (i.e., hours high per day, average quantity per week in past month; (Cranford et al., 2017). Items related to driving and cannabis use quantity and hours high are shown in Appendix A¹.

2.3 Data analysis

We used SAS version 9.4 to calculate descriptive statistics and unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression models examining how demographics, cannabis use patterns, and other substance use might relate to each measure of DUIC. We included variables that were significantly related to any DUIC outcome in adjusted models, except that we selected only one measure of cannabis consumption based on strong associations in univariate analyses and because consumption variables were moderately inter-correlated.

¹Supplementary material can be found by accessing the online version of this paper at <http://dx.doi.org> and by entering doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2018.11.016.

3. Results

Table 1 displays descriptive information about participants' demographics, cannabis use, and other substance use. Participants were $M = 45.8$ years old ($SD = 12.8$), half male (52%), and primarily White (81%). Most were unemployed (61%) and 32% were receiving disability benefits. Two-thirds (66%) had a medical cannabis certification and were seeking a certification renewal. The majority (73%) reported using cannabis daily or almost daily in the past 6 months. In the past 6-months, over half drove within 2 hours of cannabis use (56.4%) or while a little high (50.5%); 21.1% drove while very high. Prevalence of DUIC 10+ times in the past 6 months is as follows: 21.6% of the sample drove within 2 hours of cannabis use, 18.7% drove a little high, and 7.2% drove while very high. In the full sample, 4% reported a lifetime history of arrest for DUIC (4.3% of those who drove within 2 hours, 4.8% of those who drove a little high, and 3.6% of those who drove very high); of the 29 individuals who reported a lifetime DUIC arrest, 69% reported at least one type of DUIC in the past 6 months. Also related to driving behaviors, 8% of the total sample reported driving after having 4 or more drinks in the past 6-months, with prevalence being 12.6% among those who drove within 2 hours, 13.3% among those who drove a little high, and 20.5% among those who drove very high.

Table 2 shows results of unadjusted and adjusted analyses focusing on the three measures of past 6-month DUIC as outcomes. Key patterns shown in logistic regression analyses indicate that White individuals had greater odds of DUIC within 2 hours, or while a little high, and that younger individuals had increased odds of DUIC. Having already obtained medical cannabis certification was associated with greater odds of DUIC; however, this was not sustained when accounting for other variables (e.g., quantity of cannabis used) in adjusted analyses. Those using the highest average cannabis quantity per week had greater odds of DUIC while very high, although in some adjusted analyses, lower quantities consumed were not associated with increased odds of DUIC while a little or very high. Specifically, in adjusted analyses, average weekly quantities used in the past month of $<1/8$ oz. were not related to DUIC while a little or very high, and $1/8$ to $1/4$ oz. was not related to DUIC while very high, relative to no cannabis use. Higher pain scores were associated with lower odds of DUIC; opioid misuse was not associated with DUIC. Drug use was not associated with any DUIC outcomes, although binge drinking was related to DUIC.

4. Discussion

Compared to 4.3% of individuals age 16 and older in the U.S. who drove after use of cannabis in the past-year (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Service Administration, 2017), the prevalence of past 6-month DUIC (21% to 56%, depending on the measure used) among medical cannabis patients with chronic pain (i.e., one of the most cited reasons for seeking medical cannabis) in this sample from Michigan is concerning. In light of cannabis policies expanding legal access to cannabis and given the potential risks of impaired driving, these findings underscore the need for interventions to prevent impaired driving among individuals using cannabis for medical reasons. Given there is no standard recommended "dose" for medical cannabis across conditions, further research is needed to better understand dosing of cannabis products within the context of cannabis potency and the

effects of dose, potency, and route of administration on driving-related functions. The use of multiple measures of DUIC is a strength of this investigation. As researchers and policy-makers continue to understand DUIC, it will be important to consider variations in measurement and individuals' subjective perceptions of driving while "high," particularly because the impairing effects of cannabis may last several hours (Neavyn et al., 2014). Thus, subjective perceptions of impairment may not be the best marker of driving-related impairment. Further, although few had reported DUIC-related arrests in their lifetime, as the policies shift and access increases, it is possible that arrests and criminal justice involvement of this population could increase.

Results point to relationships between some demographic (e.g., age, race) and substance use factors, particularly heavy episodic drinking and quantity of cannabis consumption as markers of DUIC risk. Prevention efforts may specifically need to target simultaneous use of alcohol and cannabis. Few members of our sample reported driving after consuming 4 or more drinks in the same period as they reported DUIC; however, we did not collect data on simultaneous co-ingestion of alcohol and cannabis, which is a direction for future research. A prior examination from these data found that participants who could be classified as "high risk drinkers" had lower pain severity and lower odds of receiving disability benefits compared to non-drinkers and low-risk drinkers, but these groups did not differ in their cannabis consumption (Davis et al., 2018). In current analyses, higher pain was associated with decreased risk for DUIC (within 2 hours and "a little high"), and may be an indicator of how likely these patients are to drive, particularly given that pain conditions could inhibit driving. Nonetheless, pain itself is associated with impaired driving-related functions and driving-related performance (Lagarde et al., 2005; Nilsenl et al., 2011; Veldhuijzen et al., 2006), thus mitigating the effects of pain on driving is also a concern for this population regardless of their acute cannabis use. Future research could also examine interactions between pain, alcohol and cannabis consumption, and driving risk.

Limitations include the cross-sectional nature of the data and the retrospective self-reported assessment, precluding causal interpretations. Future research may be improved by using ecological momentary/intensive longitudinal designs and improved measurement of cannabis dosage/consumption. Further, because we used measures created for this study, they have not been subjected to formal psychometric evaluation, thus reliability and validity is unknown. There is a potential lack of generalizability to other populations, given data came from medical cannabis patients with chronic pain, living in a single state. Finally, there is no parallel data available for the past 6-month DUIC measures at the state-level, prohibiting conclusions regarding whether members of this sample engage in DUIC more or less frequently than the general population within the state itself. Future research could also be improved by including driving records and/or MVC data to provide additional data on driving safety among medical cannabis patients.

In conclusion, these results point to the potential need for interventions to increase medical cannabis patients' understanding of cannabis-related driving impairment as well as to increase motivation to avoid driving after consumption. Greater understanding of DUIC among medical (and recreational) cannabis users is needed, particularly in light of shifting state-level policies increasing access to legal medical or recreational cannabis.

Recommendations to avoid driving within several hours after consumption (Neavyn et al., 2014) require further validation, given lack of consensus in the literature, to provide clear public health messaging.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Role of Funding Source:

This study was funded by the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA, #033397, PI: Ilgen). Dr. Bonar was supported by a career development award from NIDA (#036008) during her work on this paper, and Dr. Bohnert was supported by a career development award from the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA HSRD CDA 11-245). Dr. Arterberry was supported by a training grant from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (#007477, PI: Blow). These funding agencies did not have direct involvement in the study design; collection, analysis or interpretation of data; writing of the paper; or in the decision to submit this paper for publication.

References

- Azofeifa A, Mattson ME, Schauer G, McAfee T, Grant A, Lyerla R, 2016 National estimates of marijuana use and related indicators - National Survey on Drug Use and Health, United States, 2002-2014. *MMWR Surveill. Summ.* 65, 1–25. 10.15585/mmwr.ss6511a1.
- Butler SF, Budman SH, Fernandez KC, Houle B, Benoit C, Katz N, Jamison RN, 2007. Development and validation of the Current Opioid Misuse Measure. *Pain* 130, 144–156. 10.1016/j.pain.2007.01.014. [PubMed: 17493754]
- Cranford JA, Arnedt JT, Conroy DA, Bohnert KM, Bourque C, Blow FC, Ilgen M, 2017 Prevalence and correlates of sleep-related problems in adults receiving medical cannabis for chronic pain. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 180, 227–233. [PubMed: 28926791]
- Davis AK, Walton MA, Bohnert KM, Bourque C, Ilgen MA, 2018 Factors associated with alcohol consumption among medical cannabis patients with chronic pain. *Addict. Behav.* 77, 166–171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2017.10.007>. [PubMed: 29045928]
- Donovan JE, 1993 Young adult drinking-driving: behavioral and psychosocial correlates. *J. Stud. Alcohol Drugs* 54, 600–613.
- Downey LA, King R, Papafotiou K, Swann P, Ogden E, Boorman M, Stough C, 2013 The effects of cannabis and alcohol on simulated driving: Influences of dose and experience. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* 50, 879–886. 10.1016/j.aap.2012.07.016. [PubMed: 22871272]
- ElSohly MA, Mehmedic Z, Foster S, Gon C, Chandra S, Church JC, 2016 Changes in cannabis potency over the last 2 decades (1995–2014): Analysis of current data in the United States. *Biol. Psychiatry* 79, 613–619. 10.1016/j.biopsych.2016.01.004. [PubMed: 26903403]
- Haug NA, Padula CB, Sottile JE, Vandrey R, Heinz AJ, Bonn-Miller MO, 2017 Cannabis use patterns and motives: A comparison of younger, middle-aged, and older medical cannabis dispensary patients. *Addict. Behav.* 72, 14–20. 10.1016/j.addbeh.2017.03.006. [PubMed: 28340421]
- Hostiuc S, Moldoveanu A, Negoii I, Drima E, 2018 The association of unfavorable traffic events and cannabis usage: A meta-analysis. *Front. Pharmacol.* 9, 10.3389/fphar.2018.00099.
- Ilgen MA, Bohnert K, Kleinberg F, Jannausch M, Bohnert AS, Walton M, Blow FC, 2013 Characteristics of adults seeking medical marijuana certification. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 132, 654–659. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2013.04.019>. [PubMed: 23683791]
- Lagarde E, Chastang JF, Lafont S, Coeuret-Pellicer M, Chiron M, 2005 Pain and pain treatment were associated with traffic accident involvement in a cohort of middle-aged workers. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* 58, 524–531. 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2004.09.008. [PubMed: 15845340]
- Li MC, Brady JE, DiMaggio CJ, Lusardi AR, Tzong KY, Li G, 2012 Marijuana use and motor vehicle crashes. *Epidemiol. Rev.* 34, 65–72. 10.1093/epirev/mxr017. [PubMed: 21976636]

- Liguori A, Gatto CP, Robinson JH, 1998 Effects of marijuana on equilibrium, psychomotor performance, and simulated driving. *Behav. Pharmacol.* 9, 599–609. [PubMed: 9862085]
- Lin LA, Ilgen MA, Jannausch M, Bohnert KM, 2016 Comparing adults who use cannabis medically with those who use recreationally: Results from a national sample. *Addict. Behav.* 61, 99–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2016.05.015>. [PubMed: 27262964]
- Neavyn MJ, Blohm E, Babu KM, Bird SB, 2014 Medical marijuana and driving: a review. *J. Med. Toxicol.* 10, 269–279. 10.1007/s13181-014-0393-4. [PubMed: 24648180]
- Newmeyer MN, Swortwood MJ, Taylor ME, Abulseoud OA, Woodward TH, Huestis MA, 2017 Evaluation of divided attention psychophysical task performance and effects on pupil sizes following smoked, vaporized and oral cannabis administration. *J. App. Toxicol.* 37, 922–932. 10.1002/jat.3440.
- Nilsenl HK, Landrol NI, Kaasal S, Jenssenl GD, Fayersl P, Borchgrevinkl PC, 2011 Driving functions in a video simulator in chronic non-malignant pain patients using and not using codeine. *Eur. J. Pain* 15, 409–415. 10.1016/j.ejpain.2010.09.008. [PubMed: 20947399]
- Ramaekers JG, Berghaus G, van Laar M, Drummer OH, 2004 Dose related risk of motor vehicle crashes after cannabis use. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 73, 109–119. [PubMed: 14725950]
- Rogeberg O, Elvik R, 2016 The effects of cannabis intoxication on motor vehicle collision revisited and revised. *Addiction* 111, 1348–1359. <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.13347>. [PubMed: 26878835]
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Service Administration, 2017 Results from the 2016 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Detailed Tables. Available at <https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/NSDUH-DetTabs-2016/NSDUH-DetTabs-2016.htm>.
- [dataset] United States Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, and National Institute on Drug Abuse, 2010 Drug Abuse Treatment Outcome Study (DATOS), 1991-1994: [United States]. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [distributor], V5 10.3886/ICPSR02258.v5.
- Vandrey R, Raber JC, Raber ME, Douglass B, Miller C, Bonn-Miller MO, 2015 Cannabinoid dose and label accuracy in edible medical cannabis products. *JAMA* 313, 2491–2493. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2015.6613>. [PubMed: 26103034]
- Veldhuijzen DS, Van Wijck AJ, Wille F, Verster JC, Kenemans JL, Kalkman CJ, Olivier B, Volkerts ER, 2006 Effect of chronic nonmalignant pain on highway driving performance. *Pain* 122, 28–35. 10.1016/j.pain.2005.12.019. [PubMed: 16495013]

Highlights

- We examined 3 measures of driving under the influence of cannabis (DUIC).
- Adults with chronic pain were seeking medical cannabis certification.
- Past 6-month DUIC rates were: 56% within 2 hours, 51% a little high, 21% very high.
- Prevalence of DUIC was high and interventions should be developed for prevention.

Table 1.

Sample descriptive

	Sample Descriptive M (SD) or % (N)
Age	45.8 (12.8)
Male (vs. Female)	52%
Race (White vs. Others)	81%
Unemployed (vs. Not)	61%
Disability (vs. Not)	32%
Past-month usual pain score (0 to 10)	7.1 (1.4)
Past 6-month drove within 2 hours of cannabis use	56%
Past 6-month drove while "a little high"	51%
Past 6-month drove while "very high"	21%
Lifetime arrests for DUIC ^d	
None	96%
1-2 times	3%
3-5 times	1%
Already Has Medical Marijuana Card (vs. no)	66%
Average cannabis used per week in past month	
No use	12%
< 1/8 oz.	16%
1/8 to < 1/4 oz.	20%
1/4 to < 1/2 oz.	22%
1/2 to < 1 oz.	15%
1 or more oz.	15%

	Sample Descriptive M (SD) or % (N)
Past 6-month cannabis use almost daily/daily (vs. less)	73%
Number hours high/day	
None/< 1 hour	22%
1-2 hours	33%
3-4 hours	24%
5-6 hours	10%
7-8 hours	5%
9+ hours	6%
Past 6-month drug use (vs. no)	13%
6+ drinks in past 6-months (vs. no)	27%
Past 6-month drive after drinking 4+ drinks	
Never	92%
1-2 times	5%
3+ times	3%
Opioid Misuse Score ^b	1.4 (2.4)

^aN=770 due to missing data on this item.

^bPast-month opioid misuse measure items: used differently than prescribed, used medications that belong someone else, used more than prescribed, borrowed from someone else, and used for non-pain symptoms.

Table 2. Unadjusted and adjusted results examining correlates of past 6-month DUIC behaviors

	Driving Within 2 Hours (N = 443; 56.4%)		Driving a Little High (N = 398; 50.5%)		Driving Very High (N = 166; 21.1%)	
	Unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	Unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	Unadjusted ratio (95% CI)	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)
Age	0.99 (0.97-1.00)*	0.99 (0.98-1.01)	0.99 (0.98-1.00)	0.99 (0.98-1.01)	0.98 (0.96-0.99)**	0.98 (0.97-1.00)
Male (vs. Female)	1.08 (0.82-1.44)	0.88 (0.64-1.21)	1.40 (1.06-1.85)*	1.22 (0.89-1.67)	1.33 (0.94-1.88)	1.12 (0.77-1.62)
Race (White vs. Others)	2.10 (1.46-3.02)***	1.80 (1.20-2.71)**	2.10 (1.45-3.04)***	1.78 (1.19-2.67)**	1.39 (0.87-2.22)	1.40 (0.84-2.32)
Unemployed (vs. Not)	0.74 (0.55-0.99)*	0.78 (0.55-1.10)	0.86 (0.65-1.15)	0.97 (0.70-1.36)	0.74 (0.52-1.05)	0.82 (0.55-1.22)
Disability (vs. Not)	0.80 (0.59-1.07)	—	0.95 (0.70-1.28)	—	0.97 (0.67-1.40)	—
Past-month usual pain score (0 to 10)	0.84 (0.76-0.93)***	0.88 (0.79-0.99)*	0.85 (0.77-0.94)**	0.88 (0.79-0.99)*	0.98 (0.87-1.11)	1.00 (0.88-1.14)
Already Has Medical Marijuana Card (vs. no)	1.91 (1.42-2.58)***	1.26 (0.89-1.79)	1.97 (1.46-2.67)***	1.40 (0.99-1.97)	1.52 (1.04-2.22)*	1.09 (0.71-1.66)
Average cannabis used per week in past month						
No use	[ref]***	[ref]***	[ref]***	[ref]***	[ref]***	[ref]***
< 1/8 oz.	2.58 (1.46-4.57)	2.36 (1.27-4.39)	1.96 (1.10-3.51)	1.69 (0.90-3.17)	1.93 (0.77-4.86)	1.57 (0.61-4.04)
1/8 to < 1/4 oz.	4.05 (2.32-7.07)	3.59 (1.93-6.70)	3.16 (1.81-5.52)	2.43 (1.30-4.53)	2.43 (1.01-5.85)	1.74 (0.69-4.38)
1/4 to < 1/2 oz.	5.42 (3.12-9.42)	4.68 (2.53-8.65)	4.56 (2.63-7.93)	3.55 (1.92-6.56)	3.67 (1.57-8.57)	2.77 (1.14-6.72)
1/2 to < 1 oz.	7.74 (4.21-14.24)	7.35 (3.75-14.42)	5.23 (2.89-9.49)	4.32 (2.24-8.35)	6.28 (2.66-14.83)	5.01 (2.03-12.35)
1 or more oz.	4.17 (2.31-7.50)	3.60 (1.86-6.97)	3.63 (2.01-6.56)	2.73 (1.41-5.30)	6.52 (2.76-15.43)	4.54 (1.82-11.31)
Past 6-month cannabis use almost daily/daily (vs. less)	2.85 (2.05-3.95)***	—	2.59 (1.86-3.61)***	—	3.43 (2.06-5.69)***	—
Number hours high/day						
None/< 1 hour	[ref]***	—	[ref]***	—	[ref]***	—
1-2 hours	2.95 (1.96-4.42)	—	2.80 (1.84-4.26)	—	3.47 (1.64-7.34)	—
3-4 hours	3.68 (2.37-5.69)	—	4.08 (2.61-6.37)	—	5.84 (2.77-12.34)	—

	Driving Within 2 Hours (N = 443; 56.4%)		Driving a Little High (N = 398; 50.5%)		Driving Very High (N = 166; 21.1%)	
	Unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	Unadjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	Unadjusted ratio (95% CI)	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)
5-6 hours	3.77 (2.14-6.64)		5.45 (3.04-9.75)		10.16 (4.49-22.98)	
7-8 hours	5.36 (2.50-11.51)		7.32 (3.38-15.87)		16.08 (6.45-40.13)	
9+ hours	4.51 (2.22-9.14)		4.57 (2.29-9.14)		11.85 (4.83-29.09)	
Past 6-month Drug Use (vs. no)	1.47 (0.95-2.29)	1.56 (0.96-2.54)	1.66 (1.08-2.55)*	1.76 (1.10-2.83)*	1.14 (0.69-1.89)	1.05 (0.61-1.80)
6+ Drinks in Past 6-months (vs. no)	1.50 (1.07-2.08)*	1.27 (0.88-1.83)	1.67 (1.21-2.31)**	1.41 (0.99-2.02)	1.74 (1.20-2.52)**	1.53 (1.02-2.29)*
Opioid Misuse Score ^b	0.98 (0.93-1.04)	--	1.00 (0.94-1.06)	--	0.97 (0.89-1.04)	--