PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS B

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rstb

Research



Cite this article: Wu W, Huang L, Mao Q, Wei J, Li J, Zhao Y, Zhang Q, Jia D, Wei T. 2019 Interaction of viral pathogen with porin channels on the outer membrane of insect bacterial symbionts mediates their joint transovarial transmission. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **374**: 20180320. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2018.0320

Accepted: 26 November 2018

One contribution of 19 to a theme issue 'Biotic signalling sheds light on smart pest management'.

Subject Areas:

microbiology, cellular biology, molecular biology

Keywords:

rice dwarf virus, rice leafhopper, transovarial transmission, obligate bacterial symbionts, *Nasuia* and *Sulcia*, porin channel

Author for correspondence:

Taiyun Wei e-mail: weitaiyun@fafu.edu.cn

Electronic supplementary material is available online at https://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9. figshare.c.4325834.



Interaction of viral pathogen with porin channels on the outer membrane of insect bacterial symbionts mediates their joint transovarial transmission

Wei Wu¹, Lingzhi Huang¹, Qianzhuo Mao¹, Jing Wei¹, Jiajia Li¹, Yu Zhao¹, Qian Zhang¹, Dongsheng Jia¹ and Taiyun Wei^{1,2}

¹Vector-borne Virus Research Center, Fujian Province Key Laboratory of Plant Virology, and ²State Key Laboratory for Ecological Pest Control of Fujian and Taiwan Crops and College of Life Science, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, People's Republic of China

(D) TW, 0000-0002-0732-9752

Many hemipteran insects that can transmit plant viruses in a persistent and transovarial manner are generally associated with a common obligate bacterial symbiont Sulcia and its β-proteobacterial partner. Rice dwarf virus (RDV), a plant reovirus, can bind to the envelope of Sulcia through direct interaction of the viral minor outer capsid protein P2 with the bacterial outer membrane protein, allowing the virus to exploit the ancient oocyte entry path of Sulcia in rice leafhopper vectors. Here, we show that RDV can hitchhike with both Sulcia and its β -proteobacterial partner Nasuia to ensure their simultaneous transovarial transmission. Interestingly, RDV can move through the outer envelope of Nasuia and reside in the periplasmic space, which is mediated by the specific interaction of the viral major outer capsid protein P8 and the porin channel on the bacterial outer envelope. Nasuia porin-specific antibody efficiently interferes with the binding between RDV and the Nasuia envelope, thus strongly preventing viral transmission to insect offspring. Thus, RDV has evolved different strategies to exploit the ancient oocyte entry paths used by two obligate bacterial symbionts in rice leafhoppers. Our results thus reveal that RDV has formed complex, cooperative interactions with both Sulcia and Nasuia during their joint transovarial transmission.

This article is part of the theme issue 'Biotic signalling sheds light on smart pest management'.

1. Introduction

At least 10% of all insect species harbour obligate symbionts that supply essential nutrients and other benefits to their hosts [1,2]. Obligate bacterial symbionts generally reside intracellularly within specialized organs and cells (bacteriomes and bacteriocytes, respectively) that regulate symbiont functions and ensure vertical transmission to subsequent generations [3]. Sap-sucking insects in the hemipteran suborder Auchenorrhyncha, including treehoppers, leafhoppers, and planthoppers, are global pests and generally associated with the obligate bacterium 'Candidatus Sulcia muelleri' (hereafter Sulcia) and one β-proteobacterial partner, which is usually replaced by other bacterial symbionts or yeast-like microorganisms [4–6]. The gram-negative bacteria Sulcia and β -proteobacteria each comprise two envelopes with an outer and an inner membrane and the periplasmic space [7]. Various protein channels on the bacterial outer membrane (OM) are involved in the transport, uptake or efflux of diverse compounds including nutrients and toxins [8]. Porins, a class of outer membrane proteins (OMPs) of gram-negative bacteria, are essentially trimeric β-barrels that form channels, possibly facilitating metabolic exchanges between the symbiont and the host cell [9]. Although the structural features and functions of porins in bacteria-host interactions have been well studied [10,11], their roles in the interactions of bacterial symbionts with other microorganisms are still poorly understood. Previous investigations showed that some bacterial symbionts can pass through the outer envelopes of other bacterial symbionts in insects. For example, in leafhopper Cicadella viridis, the secondary y-proteobacterium symbiont Sodalis, as well as Arsenophonus in the leafhopper Macrosteles laevis, can pass through the envelope of the obligate symbiont Sulcia [12,13]. In consequence, Sodalis and Arsenophonus can directly enter the cytoplasm of the primary bacteria to ensure their simultaneous transmission through insect generations [12,13]. These two bacterial symbionts presumably must rely on the porin channels on the outer envelopes for transport into Sulcia. Thus, understanding how the outer envelopes of insect bacterial symbionts respond to other microorganisms would offer important evolutionary insights into numerous interactions among microorganisms in nature.

Most obligate bacterial symbionts are transovarially transmitted by female insects through eggs [14]. Similarly, many plant viruses can be transovarially transmitted by female hemipteran insects to their offspring via infection to ovaries for sustaining virus populations in nature [15]. We hypothesized that the long-term coexistence of bacterial symbionts and plant viruses on their pathway into the oocyte may lead to specific evolutionary outcomes for cross-kingdom interaction between a virus and a bacterium in nature. In general, bacterial symbionts can indirectly affect viral transmission by enhancing immunity and resistance to viruses in insects [16]. In the haemolymph, the GroEL homologue proteins encoded by bacterial symbionts of vector aphids or whiteflies have been reported to interact with the capsid proteins of luteoviruses or begomoviruses and thus ensure their entry into the salivary glands [17,18]; however, the evidence is contradictory. Rice leafhoppers, the hosts of obligate bacteria Sulcia and a β-proteobacterium 'Ca. Nasuia deltocephalinicola' (hereafter Nasuia), can vertically transmit rice dwarf virus (RDV, plant reovirus) to offspring [19]. RDV causes disease outbreaks and extensive rice yield losses in Asian rice-growing countries and is the first recorded plant virus to be transovarially transmitted by an insect vector [19,20]. RDV, belonging to the genus Phytoreovirus in the family Reoviridae [21], is an icosahedral, double-layered particle 70 nm in diameter with one minor outer capsid protein P2 and one major outer capsid protein P8 [22,23]. We previously reported that RDV virions can attach to the outer envelope of Sulcia and induce the formation of virus-containing invaginations or vesicles, allowing RDV to hitchhike on the transovarial transmission route used by obligate symbionts in the rice green leafhopper Nephotettix cincticeps [24]. The specific interaction of the viral minor outer capsid protein P2 and the conserved bacterial surface antigen (BSA) domain of Sulcia OMP mediates this virus-bacterium attachment [24]. However, RDV cannot pass through the Sulcia envelope and enter the cytoplasm [24]. Alternatively, RDV exploits only the Sulcia envelope as a transfer vehicle into insect offspring [24]. Here, we report evidence for a new model for the direct interaction of a plant virus with its vector's bacterial symbionts, wherein RDV particles directly pass through the porin channels on the outer envelopes of Nasuia and accumulate in the

periplasmic space, enabling their joint vertical transmission to insect generations. The specific interaction of the viral major outer capsid protein P8 and *Nasuia* porin mediates this novel virus-bacterium association. Our results suggest that RDV, *Sulcia* and *Nasuia* form complex tripartite interactions during their joint transovarial transmission to the next insect generation.

2. Material and methods

(a) Insects, virus and antibodies

The rice green leafhopper *N. cincticeps* was reared on rice plants in insect-proof cages. The RDV isolate was collected from infected field rice in Sanming, Fujian, China, and its identity was confirmed by reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). The virus was transmitted to TN-1 rice plants using *N. cincticeps* and methods described previously [25]. IgGs isolated from polyclonal antibodies against major outer capsid P8 of RDV were conjugated to fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) according to the manufacturer's instructions, as described previously [24].

(b) Electron microscopy

For observing the subcellular localization of RDV, *Sulcia* and *Nasuia* within insect ovaries, 50 ovaries from leafhoppers inoculated with RDV at different days (6 and 8 d) post-emergence were excised, fixed, dehydrated and embedded as described previously [24]. Thin sections were examined with an H-7650 Hitachi transmission electron microscope.

(c) Immunofluorescence microscopy and fluorescence

in situ hybridization

The distributions of RDV, Sulcia or Nasuia in excised ovaries of N. cincticeps were observed by using short oligonucleotide DNA probes Sulcia-cy5 (5'-CTGAATTACAACGTACAAAACCC-3') and Nasuia-cy3 (5'-GTACTAATTCTTTTACAAGCACTT-3') (Sangon Biotech) and RDV P8-specific antibody directly conjugated to fluorescein isothiocyanate (P8-FITC). Two hundred second-instar nymphs of N. cincticeps were allowed a 2-day acquisition access period on rice plants infected with RDV, then allowed to feed on rice seedlings. At different days post-emergence, 30 insect ovaries were excised and fixed in 4% v/v paraformaldehyde and immunolabelled with P8-FITC. The ovaries were then fixed again for 20 min, pretreated in hybridization buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 180 mM NaCl, 10% v/v SDS, 30% v/v formamide) for 15 min, and incubated in hybridization buffer containing 10 nM oligonucleotide DNA probes targeting the 16S rRNA sequence of Sulcia and Nasuia, as described previously with slight modifications [24]. After 4 h incubation at 50°C, the samples were thoroughly washed in washing buffer (0.15 M NaCl, 0.015 M sodium citrate) and then observed with a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope. As controls, excised ovaries or salivary glands from rice leafhoppers that fed on healthy plants were prepared in the same way.

(d) Yeast two-hybrid assay

A yeast two-hybrid assay was performed to test the interaction between *Nasuia* porin and outer capsid proteins P2 or P8 of RDV, using the Matchmaker Gal4 Two-Hybrid System 3 (Clontech). Based on the sequence of the *Nasuia* porin gene from treehopper *Entylia carinata* (GenBank accession no. CP021173.1), the fragment of *Nasuia* porin gene from leafhopper *N. cincticeps* had been obtained by RT-PCR process. *Nasuia* porin and *Sulcia*

OMP were constructed in the prey plasmid pGADT7 (electronic supplementary material, table S1). The full segment of RDV P8 gene and N-terminal segment of RDV P2 gene were constructed in the bait plasmid pGBKT7. The bait and prey plasmids were used to co-transform yeast strain AH109, and transformants were plated on DDO mediums to test protein interactions. Transformants were also streaked on QDO medium supplemented with 40 μ g ml⁻¹ 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoxyl- α -d-galactopyranoside (X- α -Gal) to further confirm interaction of different co-transformants. The positive control pGBKT7-53/pGADT7-T and negative control pGBKT7-Lam/pGADT7-T were transformed in the same way.

(e) Glutathione S-transferase pull-down assay

Nasuia porin cDNA fragment was cloned into pGEX-3X for fusion with glutathione *S*-transferase (GST) (electronic supplementary material, table S1). RDV P8 cDNA fragment was cloned into pDEST17 for fusion with His-tag. All recombinant proteins were expressed in *Escherichia coli* strain Rosetta and purified. The GST-tag fused protein, GST-*Nasuia* porin, was first bound to GST-Sepharose 4B beads (GE) for 3 h at 4°C, then the mixture was centrifuged for 5 min at 500g, and the supernatant was discarded. His-RDV P8 was added to the beads and incubated for 2 h at 4°C. After being centrifuged and washed five times with washing buffer (300 mM NaCl, 10 mM Na₂HPO₃, 2.7 mM KCl and 1.7 M KH₂PO₄), the bead-bound proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and detected by western blot with His-tag antibody and GST-tag antibody (Sigma).

(f) Nasuia porin-specific antibody preparation and

interference with Rice dwarf virus – *Nasuia* binding Mouse polyclonal antibody against *Nasuia* porin was prepared as described previously [26]. Briefly, the *Nasuia* porin gene was amplified and inserted into plasmid pH4 (electronic supplementary material, table S1). The recombinant plasmid was used to transform *E. coli* strain Rosetta to express the targeted protein, which was then injected into mice to produce antibodies. To test the specificity of antibody against *Nasuia* porin, we extracted total proteins separately from female adult bacteriomes, abdomen I (segments 1 and 2), abdomen II (segments 3–5), and thorax and head, separated them by SDS-PAGE, and probed a western blot assay with porin-specific antibody.

To determine whether the antibody against Nasuia porin neutralized the direct interaction between RDV P8 and Nasuia porin, the abdomens of 30 adult female leafhoppers at 1 day after emergence were microinjected with 64 nl of a mixture of purified viruses (0.01 μ g μ l⁻¹) and *Nasuia* porin-specific antibody (0.5 μ g μ l⁻¹), and the insects were then allowed to feed on healthy rice seedlings. At 5 and 7 days post microinjection, the ovaries were immunolabelled with P8-FITC and hybridized with the probes Sulcia-cy5 and Nasuia-cy3, and then processed for immunofluorescence microscopy as described earlier [24]. As controls, adult female leafhoppers were microinjected with purified virions $(0.01 \ \mu g \ \mu l^{-1})$ and pre-immune serum $(0.5 \ \mu g \ \mu l^{-1})$ and treated in the same way. To further detect the effects of porin-specific antibody on transovarial transmission, we microinjected female leafhoppers with a mixture of RDV virions and porin-specific antibody and maintained them on rice seedlings. At 15 days post-emergence, 100 eggs were collected from rice seedlings and tested for RDV P8 transcript level by RT-qPCR assay. As controls, the female leafhoppers were microinjected with RDV virions and pre-immune serum and treated in the same way. In addition, the levels of RDV, Nasuia and Sulcia were detected by RT-PCR and western blot assays, respectively, after being treated with porin-specific antibody or pre-immune serum.

3. Results

(a) Rice dwarf virus virions move with *Sulcia* and *Nasuia* from the haemolymph into the oocytes of female *N. cincticeps*

As the main vector of RDV, the green rice leafhopper N. cincticeps is associated with two types of obligate bacterial symbionts, the electron-dense Sulcia and electron-translucent Nasuia (electronic supplementary material, figure S1), which follow a common path to enter the ovaries of female leafhoppers [24]. In female insects, the ovary consists of several ovarioles, each of which contains a germarium, vitellarium and pedicel, from apex to base [27]. Oocytes produced by the germarium are linearly arranged within the vitellarium, and surrounded by a layer of follicular cells [27]. Electron microscopy showed that the two obligate bacterial symbionts simultaneously entered the same follicular epithelial cells surrounding the posterior pole of the oocyte, i.e. the epithelial plug, and then accumulated in the oocytes to form a characteristic 'symbiont ball' in the female adults of N. cincticeps (electronic supplementary material, figure S1) [28]. RT-qPCR assay revealed that the two obligate bacterial symbionts started to multiply at the vitellogenesis stage in the female adults of N. cincticeps (electronic supplementary material, figure S2). Their abundance in male insects was extremely low, and the copy number of Sulcia in the female adults was almost twice that of Nasuia (electronic supplementary material, figure S2a,b), suggesting that these two symbionts are essential for female reproduction. To observe the distribution of RDV virions and the two types of symbionts during oocyte invasion, the ovaries excised from viruliferous female adults of N. cincticeps were labelled with P8-FITC using immunofluorescence and specific oligonucleotide probes targeting the 16S rRNA of Sulcia or Nasuia (Sulcia-cy5 and Nasuia-cy3) using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). At 6 days after emergence of adult females of N. cincticeps, RDV virions accompanied Sulcia and Nasuia into the epithelial plug (figure 1a-c). At 8 days after emergence of N. cincticeps, RDV accompanied Sulcia and Nasuia into the oocyte (figure 1d-f). We examined at least 50 virus-infected ovaries and found that RDV always colocalized with both symbionts. Electron microscopy confirmed that viral particles were distributed along the envelopes of Sulcia or Nasuia in the same follicular epithelial cells at the epithelial plug (figure 1g-m). Thus, RDV virions accompany both Sulcia and Nasuia to be transported from the haemolymph into the epithelial plug, and finally into the oocytes of N. cincticeps (figure 1n).

(b) Distinct binding strategies for RDV virions with the envelopes of *Sulcia* and *Nasuia*

We then used electron microscopy to further observe how RDV virions were associated with *Sulcia* and *Nasuia* during their entry into the oocyte. As described previously [24], RDV virions attached to the outer envelopes of *Sulcia*, which finally formed virus-containing invaginations or vesicles (figure 2a-d). The envelope of *Nasuia* is surrounded by two layers of membrane structures with a few big invaginations (figure 2). Frequently, RDV particles can be seen on the outer envelopes of *Nasuia*, in the invagination or between the neighbouring symbionts (figure 2e-h). Interestingly, RDV virions were also found within the periplasmic space between the outer and inner membranes (IMs) of *Nasuia*



Figure 1. RDV virions moved with the obligate bacterial symbionts *Sulcia* and *Nasuia* into the oocyte of female *N. cincticeps.* (a-c) Confocal micrographs show the colocalization of RDV with *Sulcia* or *Nasuia* in the epithelial plug of female insects at 6 days post-emergence. Panel *b* is an enlargement of boxed area in *a*. Panels in *c* are enlargements of boxed area in *b*. (d-f) Colocalization of RDV and *Sulcia* or *Nasuia* in the symbiont ball in the oocyte of female insects at 8 days post-emergence. Panel *e* is an enlargement of boxed area in *d*. Panels in *f* are enlargements of boxed area in *a*. *b*, *d* and *e*: 100 μ m; *c* and *f*: 25 μ m. (g-m) Transmission electron micrographs showing RDV particles distributed along the envelopes of *Nasuia* or *Sulcia* at the same follicular epithelial cells in the epithelial plug. Panel *h* is an enlargement of boxed area in *g*. Panels *i* and *j* are enlargements of boxed areas in *h*. Panels *l* and *m* are enlargements of boxed areas in *k*. Scale bars in *g*: 10 μ m; *h* and *k*: 2 μ m; *i*, *j*, *l* and *m*: 500 nm. (*n*) Model for the exploitation of bacterial symbionts *Sulcia* and *Nasuia* or *Sulcia*. Ep, epithelial plug; Fc, follicular cell; N, *Nasuia*, 0, oocyte; Pd, pedicel; S, *Sulcia*; Sb, symbiont ball. Black arrows mark the entry route of virus-associated bacterial symbionts. Red arrows indicate RDV virions. All electron micrographs and immunofluorescence figures are representative of at least three replications.

(figure 2i-k). Among at least 40 virus-associated *Nasuia* samples, we calculated that about 20% had viruses in the periplasmic space (electronic supplementary material, figure S3). It appears that RDV virions may directly pass through the protein channels on the outer envelope of *Nasuia* and accumulate in the periplasmic space, which enables their joint vertical transmission to the next insect generation.

(c) Distinct interaction strategies for Rice dwarf virus virions with the envelopes of *Sulcia* and *Nasuia*

The above observations indicate that RDV can pass through the protein channels in the OM of *Nasuia* into the periplasmic space. Because porins are abundant OMPs in bacterial OM and form channels [9], we assume that porins are involved



Figure 2. Electron micrographs showing distinct interaction strategies for RDV virions with the envelopes of *Sulcia* or *Nasuia*. (a-d) RDV virions in the invaginations formed by *Sulcia* envelopes. Panels *b* and *d* are enlargements of boxed areas in panels *a* and *c*, respectively. Scale bars in *a* and *c*: 2 µm; *b* and *d*: 500 nm. (e-h) RDV virions attached to the envelope of *Nasuia* close to the epithelial plug. Panels *f* and *h* are enlargements of boxed areas in panels *e* and *g*, respectively. Scale bars: 500 nm. (i-k) RDV virions embedded in the periplasmic space between outer and IMs of *Nasuia* in the epithelial plug. Panels *j* and *k* are enlargements of boxed areas of panels *i* and *j*, respectively. Scale bars: 500 nm. IM, inner membranes; N, *Nasuia*; OM, outer membranes; PS, periplasmic space; S, *Sulcia*. Red arrowheads indicate RDV virions. All micrographs are representative of at least three replications.

in the entry of RDV into the periplasmic space of Nasuia. Based on the sequence of the Nasuia porin gene from the treehopper E. carinata (GenBank accession no. CP021173.1), the fragment of Nasuia porin gene from the leafhopper N. cincticeps had been obtained by RT-PCR process. The complete porin gene of Nasuia from N. cincticeps contained an open reading frame of 1065 bp (GenBank accession no. MH724815) encoding 354 amino acids (aa) with 93% or 86% similarity with that of Nasuia from the treehopper E. carinata or Burkholderia ambifaria, but only 30% or 28% similarity with that of E. coli or Salmonella enterica (electronic supplementary material, figure S4). Secondary structure prediction showed that Nasuia porin consists of 18 ß-strands, six transmembrane regions and a 332-aa OM channel domain (figure 3a). The intact RDV virion consists of one minor outer capsid protein P2 and one major outer protein P8 (figure 3b). The minor outer capsid protein P2, which protrudes from the surface of the outer shell of virions, is responsible for initial contact with vector receptors [23]. The yeast two-hybrid assay confirmed that RDV P8 but not P2 specifically interacted with the MS-channel domain of the Nasuia porin (figure 3c). A GST pull-down assay also confirmed that the GST-fused MS-channel domain of Nasuia porin specifically bound to the His-fused RDV P8 (figure 3d). Moreover, we generated a Nasuia porin-specific antibody to localize porin (electronic supplementary

material, figure S5a, b), and we found that RDV P8 and Nasuia porin colocalized with each other in ovary (electronic supplementary material, figure S5a-c). Thus, the association of RDV virions with the *Nasuia* envelope was mediated by the specific interaction between RDV P8 and *Nasuia* porin. Previously, we have shown that the 15-nm domain of RDV P2 (P2 N) rather than P8 directly interacts with the BSA domain of *Sulcia* OMP, inducing the formation of virus-containing invaginations or vesicles of the outer envelope of *Sulcia* [24]. Therefore, it is clear that RDV has evolved to form different interaction strategies with the envelopes of *Sulcia* and *Nasuia* (figure 3*e*).

(d) Inhibition of interaction between Rice dwarf virus P8 and *Nasuia* porin reduces viral vertical transmission to next insect generation

Our results implied that both *Sucia* and *Nasuia* can mediate vertical virus transmission to the next insect generation. To further determine the role of *Nasuia* porin in the transovarial transmission of RDV, we microinjected *Nasuia* porin antibody into abdomens of leafhoppers to block the adsorption of RDV virions with the envelopes of *Nasuia*. At 1 day after insect emergence, adult *N. cincticeps* females were microinjected with the purified RDV virions and either the porin-specific



Figure 3. RDV virion entry into *Nasuia* periplasmic space was mediated by specific interaction between RDV P8 and *Nasuia* porin. (*a*) Secondary structure prediction showed that *Nasuia* porin consisted of 18 β -strands and six transmembrane regions. (*b*) RDV virion consists of one minor outer capsid protein P2 and one major outer protein P8. Scale bar; 50 nm. (*c*) Yeast two-hybrid assay to detect interactions between P2N (15 nm domain, 1–688 aa) or RDV P8 and *Sulcia* OMP (BSA domain, 706–985 aa) or *Nasuia* porin. (*d*) GST pull-down assay to detect interactions between RDV P8 and *Nasuia* porin. *Nasuia* porin-GST acted as a bait protein with GST as a control. Pull-down samples were probed with GST antibody in a western blot. (*e*) Proposed model for the different binding strategies for RDV virions with the envelopes of *Sulcia* and *Nasuia*. IM, inner membranes; OM, outer membranes; OMP, outer membrane protein; PS, periplasmic space.

antibody or pre-immune serum to trace the distributions of RDV, *Sulcia* and *Nasuia* in the ovaries using immunofluorescence and FISH. At 5 and 7 days after microinjection, confocal microscopy showed that the treatment with the *Nasuia* porinspecific antibody strongly inhibited the association of RDV with *Nasuia*, but not with *Sucia* in the ovaries (figure 4a-h). Moreover, we found that *Nasuia* porin-specific antibody did not affect the invasion efficiency of *Sulcia* and *Nasuia* into the epithelial plug or oocytes, but caused an approximately 30-40% reduction of viruses into the epithelial plug or oocytes among 50 ovaries tested (table 1). RT-qPCR assay indicated that the transcript levels of 16S rRNA from either *Sulcia* or *Nasuia* in the ovaries and other parts of the insect bodies were not significantly affected after treatment with the porin-specific antibody (figure 4i-j). However, the porin-specific antibody caused a significant reduction of the

6



Figure 4. Microinjection of *Nasuia* porin-specific antibody reduced RDV vertical transmission to the next insect generation. Newly emerged adult insects were injected with the purified RDV virions together with porin-specific antibody or pre-immune serum, and ovaries were dissected to detect RDV, *Nasuia* and *Sulcia* in 5 days. (a-d) At 5 days after microinjection, confocal micrographs showed the porin-specific antibody treatment inhibited the association of RDV with *Nasuia*, but not with *Sulcia*, in the epithelial plug. Scale bars: 100 μ m. (e-h) At 7 days after microinjection, confocal microscopy showed that the *Nasuia* porin-specific antibody treatment strongly inhibited the association of RDV with *Nasuia*, but not with *Sulcia*, in the ovaries. (i-k) Transcript levels of *Nasuia* 16S rRNA, *Sulcia* 16S rRNA and RDV P8 in ovaries or other parts of insects treated with porin-specific antibody or pre-immune serum, as determined by RT-qPCR assay in three independent experiments. (*I*) Accumulation levels of *Nasuia*, *Sulcia* and RDV P8 in ovaries or other parts of insects using *Nasuia* porin-, *Sulcia* OMP- or RDV P8-specific lgGs, respectively. Insect actin was detected with actin-specific lgG as a control. (*m*) Vertical transmission rates of *Nasuia*, *Sulcia* and RDV after treatment with porin-specific antibody or pre-immune serum. Ep, epithelial plug; Fc, follicular cell; O, oocyte; Pd, pedicel. All images are representative of at least three replications. (*i*, *j*, *k* and *m*) Data are means \pm s.e. from three independent experiments (independent-sample *t*-test at 0.05 level).

· ·
ŝ
ð
S
ĕ
.⊒
Ε
_
g
.ŏ
Ę
ē
2
<u></u>
g
Ĩ
e.
2
Ľ
S
~~
Š
e
g
9
ã,
af
<u>e</u>
Ś
ă
2
Ŀ
÷
2
÷
0
ų.
F.
Я
õ
5
Ĕ
a
☴
ba
_
<u> </u>
nt
iont
biont
mbiont
symbiont
I, symbiont
ug, symbiont
olug, symbiont
plug, symbiont
ial plug, symbiont
elial plug, symbiont
helial plug, symbiont
vithelial plug, symbiont
epithelial plug, symbiont
n epithelial plug, symbiont
in epithelial plug, symbiont
ia in epithelial plug, symbiont
uia in epithelial plug, symbiont
asuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
1 Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
nd Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
a and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
Icia and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
ulcia and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
Sulcia and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
V, Sulcia and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
DV, Sulcia and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
RDV, Sulcia and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
of RDV, Sulcia and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
of RDV, Sulcia and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
on of RDV, Sulcia and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
tion of RDV, Sulcia and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
ution of RDV, Sulcia and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
ibution of RDV, Sulcia and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
tribution of RDV, Sulcia and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
Nistribution of RDV, Sulcia and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
Distribution of RDV, Sulcia and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
1. Distribution of RDV, Sulcia and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
1. Distribution of RDV, Sulcia and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
le 1. Distribution of RDV, Sulcia and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont
ble 1. Distribution of RDV, Sulcia and Nasuia in epithelial plug, symbiont

		percentage of tissues wi	th RDV, <i>Nasuia</i> and <i>Sulc</i> i	'a signals (mean ± s.e.) ^a			
		epithelial plug		symbiont ball		oocyte	
days post microinjection	microbe	pre-immune serum	porin antibody	pre-immune serum	porin antibody	pre-immune serum	porin antibody
5 days	RDV	0.38 ± 0.01^{b}	0.20 ± 0.01^{c}	$0.13\pm0.01^{ m b}$	$0.07\pm0.01^{ m b}$	$0.47\pm0.01^{ m b}$	0.26 ± 0.01^{c}
	<i>Sulcia</i> and <i>Nasuia</i>	0.53 <u>+</u> 0.01 ^b	0.49 ± 0.01 ^b	0.21 <u>+</u> 0.01 ^b	0.19 ± 0.01 ^b	0.69 土 0.02 ^b	$0.63 \pm 0.01^{ m b}$
7 days	RDV	0.52 <u>+</u> 0.02 ^b	0.42 ± 0.02^{c}	0.33 <u>+</u> 0.02 ^b	0.23 ± 0.01^{c}	0.53 <u>+</u> 0.01 ^b	0.42 ± 0.01^{c}
	<i>Sulcia</i> and <i>Nasuia</i>	0.97 <u>+</u> 0.02 ^b	0.91 ± 0.02 ^b	$0.50\pm0.02^{ m b}$	$0.47 \pm 0.02^{ m b}$	0.98 <u>+</u> 0.02 ^b	$0.93 \pm 0.02^{ m b}$
¹ For each treatment, 50 samples wei ³⁶ Data for the same tissue in the sai	re collected, and three replic me row that are followed b	ates were performed. y different letters are significant	tly different ($P < 0.05$, one	e-way ANOVA, Tukey's HSD test)			

blot assay confirmed that the microinjection of porin-specific antibody significantly reduced the accumulation of RDV in the ovaries but not in the other parts of insect bodies (figure 41). Nor was the vertical transmission rate of Sulcia and Nasuia altered by porin-specific antibody treatment; 100% of the tested eggs laid by females treated with the porin-specific antibody contained Sulcia and Nasuia. However, the porin-specific antibody treatment significantly decreased the rates of RDV transmission to eggs (figure 4m). Our results clearly indicated that Nasuia porinspecific antibody efficiently neutralized the ability of RDV to bind to Nausia envelope, thereby partially inhibiting the efficiency of RDV entry into the oocytes and its transovarial transmission to the next generation. Thus, the direct interaction of RDV with the envelope of the two obligate bacterial symbionts mediated viral transmission from females to their offspring. 4. Discussion Viruses have apparently developed diverse strategies to enter

transcript level of RDV P8 in the ovaries, but not in other

parts of the insect bodies (figure 4k). Subsequently, western

oocytes for transovarial transmission during long-term associations with insect vectors. For example, viruses can exploit the existing pathways to enter oocytes, as used by a major yolk protein precursor, vitellogenin (Vg) and obligate bacterial symbionts [24,29-32]. Here, we show that RDV virions migrate to the ovaries and enter the eggs by hitchhiking on the envelopes of Sulcia and Nasuia, and they simply benefit from the vertical transmission routes used by the two obligate bacterial symbiont partners in female N. cincticeps (figures 1 and 2). RDV exploits its minor outer capsid protein P2 to interact with the BSA domain of the OMP in the Sulcia envelope, inducing the formation of virus-containing invaginations or membrane-enclosed vesicles [24]. In the case of Nasuia, RDV exploits its major outer capsid protein P8 to interact with the porin in the bacterial envelope (figure 3), possibly inducing the opening of porin channels for virions to pass through the outer membrane into the periplasmic space. Additionally, inhibition of the interaction between Sulcia and RDV by using Sulcia OMP antibody reduced RDV accumulation in the ovary to 50% [24]; in our study, our findings indicated that inhibition of the interaction between Nasuia and RDV by using Nasuia porin antibody reduced the RDV accumulation in the ovary to 70% (figure 4k). Therefore, these findings suggested that, compared with Nasuia, Sulcia may play the main role in RDV transovarial transmission. These two strategies for the acquisition of viruses by the outer envelopes of Sulcia and Nasuia represent different cross-kingdom interactions between viruses and bacteria, which enable their joint transovarial transmission. Our results suggest that the RDV, Sulcia and Nasuia have developed complex tripartite interactions and provide novel insights into the development of efficient approaches to attenuate viral epidemics by targeting such symbiont-mediated maternal transmission.

In general, the porin channels on the OMs of gram-negative bacteria are well known as receptors for bacteriocins and phages [33,34]. For example, two transducing bacteriophages require an outer membrane porin of the symbiotic nitrogenfixing bacterium *Sinorhizobium meliloti* as a receptor for

infection [35]. Some bacteriophages also exploit both porins and lipopolysaccharides in the outer leaflet of the outer membrane of the bacterial cell for their adsorption [36–38]. Herein, we reveal for the first time that a viral pathogen has evolved to pass through the porin channels on the OMs of a symbiotic bacterium into the periplasmic space for viral vertical transmission. However, it remains unknown whether this phenomenon also occurs in other insect symbiont–virus systems. Further investigations are warranted to study diverse systems to understand the differences and similarities between the roles and mechanisms of symbionts in viral transmission.

Mutualistic intracellular symbiosis between obligate bacterial symbionts and insects is a widespread phenomenon that has contributed to the global success of insects [39]. The obligate bacterial symbionts, by provisioning nutrients lacking in plant sap, allow the hemipteran species to occupy or dominate new ecological niches [40]. Sulcia and its partner Nasuia in the female adults of N. cincticeps can also be expected to interact cooperatively during their cotransmission to the subsequent insect generations. As revealed by its genomic sequence, Sulcia synthesizes homoserine, which is then used by Nasuia to synthesize the essential amino acid methionine for the host [41]. The two symbionts appear to show functional complementarity and form a symbiotic relationship, which can also be seen from their simultaneous entry into the same follicular epithelial cells at the epithelial plug and transport into the oocytes to form the characteristic symbiont ball (electronic supplementary material, figure S1). RDV has evolved a unique strategy for transovarial transmission by hitchhiking on Sulcia and its partner Nasuia, but the evolution of such multiple interactions among microorganisms in nature is poorly understood. Obligate endosymbionts are generally maternally inherited by their insect hosts and require their host to survive and have a high reproductive output to ensure the endosymbiont persists within the host species over generations [3]. By contrast, vector-borne viral pathogens require immediate access to host resources to complete their life cycle, which

often reduces insect fecundity [42–44]. Coexistence of a maternally inherited symbiont and a virus within the same host may result in a conflict in survival requirements. It appears that maternally inherited symbionts may protect their hosts from viruses to maintain the symbiont within host populations [3]. Several reports have shown that flies are protected from viral infection by the endosymbiont *Wolbachia* by interfering with viral replication, and in turn, decreasing virus-induced mortality [45–47]. Why the obligate bacterial symbionts cooperate with RDV, rather than competing or conflicting with the virus during their vertical transmission is puzzling, but not surprising. Clearly, there is still much to understand about the molecular basis of symbiont–virus interactions in nature.

5. Conclusion

Our results reveal the diverse strategies exploited by a plant reovirus for vertical transmission by interacting with proteins on the OMs of its vector's bacterial symbiont. These results substantially extend our understanding of the vertical transmission mechanisms of plant reoviruses by leafhoppers and provide invaluable clues to the interactions between insect symbionts and plant viruses.

Data accessibility. Data inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Authors' contributions. All authors read and approved the manuscript. W.W., J.W. and T.W. conceived and designed the study, and wrote the paper. W.W. performed most experiments and helped with data analysis. L.H. performed yeast two-hybrid assay and immunofluorescence microscopy. Q.M. performed the transmission electron microscopy. J.L. and Y.Z. performed gene cloning and GST pulldown. Q.Z. and D.J. performed RT-qPCR experiments. T.W. discussed the data and revised the manuscript and also organized and directed the project.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos 31730071, 31571979, 31770166 and 31501602).

References

- Ferrari J, Vavre F. 2011 Bacterial symbionts in insects or the story of communities affecting communities. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 366, 1389–1400. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0226)
- Douglas AE. 2011 Lessons from studying insect symbioses. *Cell Host Microbe* 10, 359-367. (doi:10. 1016/j.chom.2011.09.001)
- Brownlie JC, Johnson KN. 2009 Symbiont-mediated protection in insect hosts. *Trends Microbiol.* 17, 348–354. (doi:10.1016/j.tim.2009.05.005)
- Moran NA, Tran P, Gerardo NM. 2005 Symbiosis and insect diversification: an ancient symbiont of sapfeeding insects from the bacterial phylum Bacteroidetes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **71**, 8802–8810. (doi:10.1128/AEM.71.12.8802-8810. 2005)
- Koga R, Bennett GM, Cryan JR, Moran NA. 2013 Evolutionary replacement of obligate symbionts in an ancient and diverse insect lineage. *Environ*.

Microbiol. **15**, 2073 – 2081. (doi:10.1111/1462-2920. 12121)

- Sacchi L et al. 2008 Multiple symbiosis in the leafhopper Scaphoideus titanus (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae): details of transovarial transmission of Cardinium sp. and yeast-like endosymbionts. Tissue Cell 40, 231–242. (doi:10.1016/j.tice.2007.12.005)
- Costerton J, Ingram J, Cheng K. 1974 Structure and function of the cell envelope of gram-negative bacteria. *Bacteriol. Rev.* 38, 87–101.
- Bos MP, Robert V, Tommassen J. 2007 Biogenesis of the gram-negative bacterial outer membrane. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 61, 191–214. (doi:10.1146/annurev. micro.61.080706.093245)
- Achouak W, Heulin T, Pagès J-M. 2001 Multiple facets of bacterial porins. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **199**, 1–7. (doi:10.1016/S0378-1097(01)00127-6)
- 10. Osborn M, Wu H. 1980 Proteins of the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria. *Annu. Rev.*

Microbiol. **34**, 369–422. (doi:10.1146/annurev.mi. 34.100180.002101)

- Koebnik R, Locher KP, Van Gelder P. 2000 Structure and function of bacterial outer membrane proteins: barrels in a nutshell. *Mol. Microbiol.* **37**, 239–253. (doi:10.1046/j.1365-2958.2000.01983.x)
- Kobiałka M, Michalik A, Walczak M, Junkiert Ł, Szklarzewicz T. 2016 Sulcia symbiont of the leafhopper Macrosteles laevis (Ribaut, 1927)(Insecta, Hemiptera, Cicadellidae: Deltocephalinae) harbors Arsenophonus bacteria. Protoplasma 253, 903–912. (doi:10.1007/s00709-015-0854-x)
- Michalik A, Jankowska W, Kot M, Gołas A, Szklarzewicz T. 2014 Symbiosis in the green leafhopper, *Cicadella viridis* (Hemiptera, Cicadellidae). Association *in statu nascendi*? *Arthropod. Struct. Dev.* 43, 579–587. (doi:10.1016/ j.asd.2014.07.005)

- Bright M, Bulgheresi S. 2010 A complex journey: transmission of microbial symbionts. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 8, 218–230. (doi:10.1038/nrmicro2262)
- Jia D, Chen Q, Mao Q, Zhang X, Wu W, Chen H, Yu X, Wang Z, Wei T. 2018 Vector mediated transmission of persistently transmitted plant viruses. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* 28, 127–132. (doi:10. 1016/i.coviro.2017.12.004)
- Pinheiro PV, Kliot A, Ghanim M, Cilia M. 2015 ls there a role for symbiotic bacteria in plant virus transmission by insects? *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* 8, 69–78. (doi:10.1016/j.cois.2015.01.010)
- Morin S, Ghanim M, Zeidan M, Czosnek H, Verbeek M, van den Heuvel JF. 1999 A GroEL homologue from endosymbiotic bacteria of the whitefly *Bemisia tabaciis* implicated in the circulative transmission of tomato yellow leaf curl virus. *Virology* 256, 75–84. (doi:10.1006/viro.1999.9631)
- Bouvaine S, Boonham N, Douglas AE. 2011 Interactions between a luteovirus and the GroEL chaperonin protein of the symbiotic bacterium *Buchnera aphidicola* of aphids. *J. Gen. Virol.* 92, 1467–1474. (doi:10.1099/vir.0.029355-0)
- Fukushi T. 1933 Transmission of the virus through the eggs of an insect vector. *Proc. Imperial Acad.* 9, 457–460. (doi:10.2183/pjab1912.9.457)
- Hibino H. 1996 Biology and epidemiology of rice viruses. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 34, 249–274. (doi:10.1146/annurev.phyto.34.1.249)
- Lu G, Zhou ZH, Baker ML, Jakana J, Cai D, Wei X, Chen S, Gu X, Chiu W. 1998 Structure of doubleshelled rice dwarf virus. J. Virol. 72, 8541–8549.
- Omura T, Ishikawa K, Hirano H, Ugaki M, Minobe Y, Tsuchizaki T, Kato H. 1989 The outer capsid protein of rice dwarf virus is encoded by genome segment S8. J. Gen. Virol. **70**, 2759–2764. (doi:10.1099/ 0022-1317-70-10-2759)
- Omura T *et al.* 1998 The P2 protein of rice dwarf phytoreovirus is required for adsorption of the virus to cells of the insect vector. *J. Virol.* 72, 9370–9373.
- Jia D *et al.* 2017 Insect symbiotic bacteria harbour viral pathogens for transovarial transmission. *Nat. Microbiol.* 2, 17025. (doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol. 2017.25)
- Wang H, Xu D, Pu L, Zhou G. 2014 Southern rice black-streaked dwarf virus alters insect vectors' host orientation preferences to enhance spread and

increase rice ragged stunt virus co-infection. *Phytopathology* **104**, 196–201. (doi:10.1094/ PHYTO-08-13-0227-R)

- Jia D, Chen H, Zheng A, Chen Q, Liu Q, Xie L, Wu Z, Wei T. 2012 Development of an insect vector cell culture and RNA interference system to investigate the functional role of fijivirus replication protein. *J. Virol.* 86, 5800–5807. (doi:10.1128/JVI.07121-11)
- Bonhag PF. 1958 Ovarian structure and vitellogenesis in insects. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 3, 137–160. (doi:10.1146/annurev.en.03.010158. 001033)
- Hummel, NA, Zalom, FG, Peng, CY. 2006. Anatomy and histology of reproductive organs of female *Homalodisca coagulata* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Proconiini), with special emphasis on categorization of vitellogenic oocytes. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Amer.* 99, 920–932. (doi:10.1603/0013-8746(2006)99% 5B920:AAH0R0%5D2.0.C0;2)
- Whitfield AE, Falk BW, Rotenberg D. 2015 Insect vector-mediated transmission of plant viruses. *Virology* 479, 278–289. (doi:10.1016/j.virol.2015. 03.026)
- Gubler DJ. 2001 Human arbovirus infections worldwide. *Ann. N Y Acad. Sci.* **951**, 13–24. (doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2001.tb02681.x)
- Adams B, Boots M. 2010 How important is vertical transmission in mosquitoes for the persistence of dengue? Insights from a mathematical model. *Epidemics* 2, 1–10. (doi:10.1016/j.epidem. 2010.01.001)
- Huo Y *et al.* 2014 Transovarial transmission of a plant virus is mediated by vitellogenin of its insect vector. *PLoS Pathog.* **10**, e1003949. (doi:10.1371/ journal.ppat.1003949)
- Zeth K, Thein M. 2010 Porins in prokaryotes and eukaryotes: common themes and variations. *Biochem. J.* 431, 13–22. (doi:10.1042/BJ20100371)
- Chatterjee S, Rothenberg E. 2012 Interaction of bacteriophage I with its *E. coli* receptor, LamB. *Viruses* 4, 3162–3178. (doi:10.3390/v4113162)
- Crook MB, Draper AL, Guillory RJ, Griffitts JS. 2013 The *Sinorhizobium meliloti* essential porin RopA1 is a target for numerous bacteriophages. *J. Bacteriol.* 195, 3663–3671. (doi:10.1128/JB.00480-13)
- Silverman J, Benson S. 1987 Bacteriophage K20 requires both the OmpF porin and lipopolysaccharide for receptor function. J. Bacteriol.

169, 4830 – 4833. (doi:10.1128/jb.169.10.4830-4833.1987)

- Sukupolvi S. 1984 Role of lipopolysaccharide in the receptor function for bacteriophage 0x2. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **21**, 83–87. (doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.1984.tb00190.x)
- Yu F, Mizushima S. 1982 Roles of lipopolysaccharide and outer membrane protein OmpC of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in the receptor function for bacteriophage T4. *J. Bacteriol.* **151**, 718–722.
- Feldhaar H. 2011 Bacterial symbionts as mediators of ecologically important traits of insect hosts. *Ecol. Entomol.* 36, 533-543. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2311. 2011.01318.x)
- Hosokawa T, Kikuchi Y, Shimada M, Fukatsu T. 2007 Obligate symbiont involved in pest status of host insect. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 274, 1979–1984. (doi:10. 1098/rspb.2007.0620)
- Douglas AE. 2016 How multi-partner endosymbioses function. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 14, 731. (doi:10.1038/nrmicro.2016.151)
- Styer LM, Meola MA, Kramer LD. 2007 West Nile virus infection decreases fecundity of *Culex tarsalis* females. *J. Med. Entomol.* 44, 1074–1085. (doi:10. 1093/jmedent/44.6.1074)
- Chen Y, Lu C, Li M, Wu W, Zhou G, Wei T. 2016 Adverse effects of rice gall dwarf virus upon its insect vector *Recilia dorsalis* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae). *Plant Dis.* **100**, 784–790. (doi:10. 1094/PDIS-06-15-0713-RE)
- Nakasuji F, Kiritani K. 1970 Effects of rice dwarf virus upon its vector, *Nephotettix cincticeps* Uhler (Hemiptera: Deltocephalidae), and its significance for changes in relative abundance of infected individuals among vector populations. *Appl. Entomol. Zool.* 5, 1–12. (doi:10.1303/aez.5.1)
- Hedges LM, Brownlie JC, O'Neill SL, Johnson KN. 2008 Wolbachia and virus protection in insects. Science 322, 702. (doi:10.1126/science.1162418)
- Moreira LA *et al.* 2009 A *Wolbachia* symbiont in *Aedes aegypti* limits infection with dengue, Chikungunya, and *Plasmodium. Cell* **139**, 1268–1278. (doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.11.042)
- Teixeira L, Ferreira Á, Ashburner M. 2008 The bacterial symbiont *Wolbachia* induces resistance to RNA viral infections in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS Biol.* 6, e1000002. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio. 1000002)