

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 February 09.

Published in final edited form as: Neurorehabil Neural Repair. 2017 December ; 31(12): 1029–1041. doi:10.1177/1545968317740634.

# Longitudinal structural and functional differences between proportional and poor motor recovery after stroke

Adrian G. Guggisberg, MD<sup>a,b,‡</sup>, Pierre Nicolo, MS<sup>a,b</sup>, Leonardo G. Cohen, MD<sup>c</sup>, Armin Schnider, MD<sup>a,b</sup>, and Ethan R. Buch, PhD<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Division of Neurorehabilitation, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Geneva University Hospital, Geneva, Switzerland <sup>b</sup>Laboratory of Cognitive Neurorehabilitation, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Medical School, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland <sup>c</sup>Human Cortical Physiology and Neurorehabilitation Section, NINDS, NIH, Bethesda, USA

# Abstract

**Background:** Evolution of motor function during the first months after stroke is stereotypically bifurcated, consisting of either recovery to about 70% of maximum possible improvement ("proportional recovery, PROP") or in little to no improvement ("poor recovery, POOR"). There is currently no evidence that any rehabilitation treatment will prevent POOR and favor PROP.

**Objective:** To perform a longitudinal and multimodal assessment of functional and structural changes in brain organization associated with PROP.

**Methods:** Fugl-Meyer assessments (FMA) of the upper extremity and high-density electroencephalography (EEG) were obtained from 63 patients, diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) from 46 patients, at 2–4 weeks (T0) and at 3 months (T1) after stroke onset.

**Results:** We confirmed the presence of two distinct recovery patterns (PROP and POOR) in our sample. At T0, PROP patients had greater integrity of the cortico-spinal tract (CST) and greater EEG functional connectivity (FC) between the affected hemisphere and rest of the brain, in particular between the ventral premotor and the primary motor cortex. POOR patients suffered from degradation of cortico-cortical and cortico-fugal fiber tracts in the affected hemisphere between T0 and T1, which was not observed in PROP patients. Better initial CST integrity correlated with greater initial global FC, which was in turn associated with less white matter degradation between T0 and T1.

**Conclusions:** These findings suggest links between initial CST integrity, systems-level cortical network plasticity, reduction of white-matter atrophy, and clinical motor recovery after stroke. This identifies candidate treatment targets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup><u>Correspondance</u>: aguggis@gmail.com, Av. de Beau-Séjour 26, 1211 Genève 14, Switzerland, phone +41 22 372 3521, fax +41 22 372 3644.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no commercial interests relevant to the subject of the manuscript.

# Introduction

The evolution of motor function after stroke typically follows two divergent paths [1, 2]. Patients will either recover about 70% of maximum possible improvement ("proportional recovery, PROP") or show little to no improvement ("poor recovery, POOR"). There is currently no evidence that any available rehabilitation treatment for stroke patients will prevent POOR and favor PROP. Thus, there is a critical need to better understand the mechanisms underlying PROP so that new therapeutic strategies can be developed for improving outcome in patients with severe motor impairment.

All patients with light to moderate initial motor deficits, as quantified with the Fugl-Meyer Assessment (FMA, although the precise cutoff varies across studies), evolve according to the PROP pattern. Conversely, about half of patients with severe initial deficits will show POOR [1, 2].

Recent studies have revealed that anatomical and physiological measures of corticospinal tract (CST) integrity are important predictors of the likelihood of PROP or POOR patterns in individual patients. The presence of severe initial motor impairment combined with large CST lesion loads identified using diffusion tensor imaging is highly predictive of POOR [3, 4]. Alternatively, the absence of motor evoked potentials in the affected limb induced by transcranial magnetic stimulation of the ipsilesional primary motor cortex is highly predictive of POOR, irrespective of the initial impairment magnitude [5]. However, it is not known whether this finding is specific to the CST or is also influenced by the extant integrity of other perilesional white-matter tracts. Moreover, it is unclear whether PROP and POOR patients also diverge with respect to secondary white-matter degradation occurring during the weeks after the acute lesion. While it is known that secondary antero- and retrograde Wallerian degeneration of the CST can take place during the first weeks after stroke in patients with severe motor deficits [6–8], these degenerative processes have so far not been clearly linked to the PROP vs. POOR pattern dichotomy.

Furthermore, it is currently unclear whether changes in functional neural network activity in first weeks and months following stroke are predictive of PROP [9]. We know from animal models that cortical reorganization beyond the CST can be necessary for recovery [10–13]. Furthermore, there is evidence that this reorganization is reflected by coincident changes in brain structure and function [14, 15]. Thus, identification of functional correlates of recovery in humans should provide additional crucial information about mechanisms underlying PROP recovery patterns and assist in the identification of new therapeutic approaches.

We addressed these questions by performing a longitudinal and multimodal assessment of functional and structural changes in brain organization associated with PROP. Sixty-three patients with hemispheric stroke underwent evaluations during the critical time period for brain plasticity after stroke, i.e. at 2–4 weeks and at 3 months after stroke.

Assessment of the microstructural integrity of cerebral white-matter tracts was performed with diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) using tract-based spatial statistics [16]. This allowed us to examine not only the CST, but the entire brain, for white-matter differences associated with recovery. Furthermore, we investigated longitudinal changes in white-matter integrity

Page 3

using a method that is particularly robust to misalignment artifacts in longitudinal studies [17].

Findings from animal models suggest that changes in structural network connectivity following stroke are accompanied by increased coherent neural oscillations between perilesional and surrounding cortical areas [14, 15]. We previously used high-resolution electroencephalography (EEG) in human stroke patients to identify a pattern of cortical neural network interactions, which was predictive of clinical improvement during the first months after stroke [18]. Greater coherence of spontaneous (i.e. – resting-state) oscillatory neural activity between the perilesional motor area and other regions during the first weeks after stroke was associated with greater clinical motor recovery observed in subsequent months. Similarly, functional resonance imaging studies showed that the magnitude of neural interactions between ipsilesional primary, supplementary, and premotor areas during motor tasks correlated with clinical motor recovery [19, 20].

Here, we examined the multivariate relationship between structural and functional correlates of PROP recovery patterns by testing whether coherent neural interactions are related to microstructural integrity of white-matter tracts. First, we hypothesized that greater coherence of brain oscillatory activity during the first weeks after stroke facilitates PROP. Second, we hypothesized that combined structural and functional features of brain network organization would give insight into the differences underlying proportional rather than poor motor recovery following stroke.

# Methods

#### Patients

Sixty-three stroke patients gave written informed consent to all study procedures. Patients were recruited from our inpatient rehabilitation unit. Inclusion criteria were: (i) clinical diagnosis of stroke involving the territory of the middle cerebral artery as demonstrated by structural MRI; and (ii) at least mild motor impairment at the beginning of rehabilitation. Patients with presence of metallic objects in the brain, or skull breach were excluded. We report all patients with motor deficits available in our database, i.e., no further patient selection was performed after these criteria. Their mean age was 64 years (range 28-85; 27 females) and 24 had left hemispheric stroke. Mean National Institute Stroke Scale (NIHSS) on admission was 13 (range 2–27). All patients received standard therapy at the stroke unit during the acute phase, and an individually tailored multidisciplinary rehabilitation program in the sub-acute and chronic phases. All patients received two times 30 minutes of physical therapy per day on five days per week and five times 30 minutes of occupational therapy per week on an inpatient basis for 8 to 16 weeks, followed by outpatient treatment of 1-4 hours of physical and occupational therapy per week. Data from 20 of these patients have been previously reported for unimodal structural assessments [3] and data from 39 patients for unimodal functional assessments [18]. Procedures were approved by the Geneva Ethics Committee and conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### **Clinical Assessments**

Trained physical or occupational therapists obtained standardized clinical assessments of upper extremity motor function at 2–4 weeks (T0) and 3 months (T1) after stroke using the upper extremity items of the Fugl-Meyer assessment (FMA) [21, 22].

Patients were attributed to PROP or POOR groups based on hierarchical clustering [2] of clinical scores at T0 and T1 using average Euclidean distances and a maximum of two clusters. The goodness of fit was verified with a cophenetic correlation analysis. To estimate the proportion of recovery in our PROP sample, we then performed a linear regression of the observed improvement against the initial impairment of patients in the PROP group [1]:

 $\Delta FMA = \beta * (66 - FMA_{T0}) + C, \quad (1)$ 

where  $\beta$  is the proportion of impairment at T0 which was recovered and C is a constant.

In addition, we cross-validated the proportional model proposed previously in our independent dataset. For this, we applied the previously reported model parameters ( $\beta \approx 0.7$  and C $\approx 0$ , rounded) [1, 2] to all patients of our sample. Model error was then calculated as the difference between predicted and observed improvement from T0 to T1 [3].

Patients and therapists were blinded to the model and its predictions.

#### Diffusion tensor imaging

Longitudinal DTI imaging was obtained at T0 (N=55) and T1 (N=46). Missing images at T0 were due to medical contraindications to magnetic resonance imaging, missing images at T1 due to patient intolerance or refusal. Whole brain, single-shot echo-planar (EPI) diffusion-weighted volumes (30 non-collinear directions; b=1000smm<sup>-2</sup>; 64 slices; voxel size  $1.8 \times 1.8 \times 2.0$  mm3; echo time/repetition time = 82 ms/8.2 s; acquisition time = 4 min 40 sec) plus one volume without diffusion weighting (b = 0 smm<sup>-2</sup>) were acquired parallel to a line intersecting the anterior and posterior commissure on a 3.0 Tesla Siemens Trio TIM 3.0T scanner using a 64-channel coil. High-resolution structural T2-weighted (echo time/ repetition time = 376 ms/5.0 s; voxel size =  $0.45 \times 0.45 \times 0.90 \times mm3$ ) volumes were also acquired.

Preprocessing of the diffusion-weighted images was performed via the TORTOISE software package (https://science.nichd.nih.gov/confluence/display/nihpd/TORTOISE) [23]. Diffusion-weighted images were first corrected for motion and eddy current distortions [24], including proper reorientation of the b-matrix to account for the rotational component of the subject rigid body motion [25]. In addition, B0 susceptibility-induced echo planar image distortions were corrected with an image registration-based approach using B-splines [26]. All corrections were performed in the native space of the diffusion-weighted images. A robust non-linear diffusion tensor model (RESTORE [27]) was then fit to the corrected data.

To transform individual images of each patient to a common template space, we used a nonparametric, nonlinear diffeomorphic deformable image registration pipeline

implemented in DTI-TK (www.nitrc.org/projects/dtitk/), which incrementally estimates its displacement field using a tensor-based registration formulation [28]. This pipeline takes advantage of whole tensor similarity measures via explicit optimization of tensor reorientation, and includes appropriate reorientation of the tensors following deformation. Tracts of patients with lef-themispheric lesions were flipped to the right side before template registration to achieve common lesion lateralization. For longitudinal analyses of differences across the two time points, a within-subject template was first obtained for each patient by aligning the Log-Euclidean mean of the two diffusion tensor images to a template which was iteratively refined for each patient. Then, a group-level atlas was created by aligning the within-subject template to the group target using the same iterative method. This procedure minimized alignment artifacts [17]. The IXI aging template [29] was used as the target for this spatial registration pipeline. Fractional anisotropy (FA) maps were derived for each patient and each time point.

Tract-based spatial statistics were performed by skeletonizing the mean of all aligned FA images via thresholding at 0.2. Each aligned FA image was projected onto the skeleton by filling the skeleton with FA values from the nearest relevant tract centre [16]. For longitudinal analyses, skeletonized images from T0 were subtracted from T1 images. Finally, voxel-wise permutation statistics [30] were applied on the skeleton-space FA data using unpaired comparisons between PROP and POOR groups. Significant voxel clusters were labeled using the "JHU ICBM-DTI-81 white-matter atlas" [31].

In addition we defined regions-of-interest in the right and left corticospinal tract (CST) using binary masks. In order to reduce partial volume effects or small misalignments in the registration, the masks were further refined by only considering voxels that were found in at least 75% of the individual maps for the patient group. Mean FA values were then determined for both the ipsilesional and contralesional CST in each patient. CST asymmetry was calculated from the mean fractional anisotropy (FA) of the CST [32]:

 $\Bigl( FA_{contralesional} - FA_{ipsilesional} \Bigr) / \Bigl( FA_{contralesional} + FA_{ipsilesional} \Bigr).$ 

#### Electroencephalography

EEG data were collected with a 128-channel Biosemi ActiveTwo EEG-system (Biosemi B.V., Amsterdam, Netherlands). Spontaneous activity in a task-free state was recorded with a sampling rate of 512 Hz. Participants were instructed to keep their eyes closed and to remain relaxed but awake. Data segments with artifacts or signs of reduced vigilance were excluded by visual inspection. Five-minutes of artifact-free data were recalculated against the average reference.

EEG source functional connectivity (FC) was calculated in *Matlab* (The MathWorks Inc., Natick, USA) with the open-source toolbox *NUTMEG* (http://nutmeg.berkeley.edu) [33] and its *functional connectivity mapping (FCM) toolbox* as described previously [34]. The lead-potential with 10 mm grid spacing was computed using a boundary element model (BEM), based on each patient's individual 3D T1-weighted structural MRI. The BEM model was created with the Helsinki BEM library (http://peili.hut.fi/BEM/) [35] and the NUTEEG

plugin of NUTMEG. Artifact-free EEG segments were bandpass filtered between 1 and 20 Hz (using an elliptic temporal filter with zero phase distortion) and projected to grey matter voxels with an adaptive spatial filter (scalar minimum variance beamformer) [36]. FC was defined as the absolute imaginary component of coherence [37] in the beta frequency band (13–20 Hz) between estimated source time series at each voxel and all other voxels [18]. This approach avoids overestimation or distortion of functional connectivity due volume conduction or spatial leakage of the inverse solution [38]. Next, we computed the weighted node degree (WND) [39] at each voxel as the sum of its FC with all other cortical voxels. WND characterizes the relative importance of a given brain region in the network [40, 41]. Additionally, we investigated connectivity between an anatomically defined seed motor region [42] and other brain areas.

Between-subject variation in FC magnitude (and hence WND) can be due to variations in signal-to-noise ratios of the recordings. To avoid this potential confound, we z-score normalized WND maps for each subject by subtracting the mean across all voxels and dividing by the standard deviation [18, 43, 44]. Z-score maps were spatially normalized to canonical Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space using *SPM8* (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm8/). Ischemic lesions were masked during spatial normalization to avoid distortions.

Voxel-wise differences between groups were tested against the null-hypothesis of zero difference with statistical non-parametric mapping (SnPM. A correction for testing multiple voxels was obtained by defining a cluster-size threshold based on the cluster size distribution obtained after 10'000 random permutations of original data [45]. In addition, we defined the ipsilesional primary motor cortex, supplementary motor area, dorsal and ventral premotor areas as regions of interest (ROI) with anatomical templates [42] and computed unpaired t-tests on the normally distributed average of voxels within each ROI. A Bonferroni correction was used to correct for testing four ROIs.

#### Multivariate prediction and structure-function relationships

To verify whether structural and functional markers were independently predictive of PROP and to account for baseline differences in lesion extension and clinical severity between groups, we performed a multivariate linear regression analysis with initial (T0) FMA impairment, lesion extent (T0), CST damage, and beta-band FC between the ventral premotor ROI and the rest of the brain as predictors for the errors in the proportional recovery model. Lesion extent was quantified in mm<sup>3</sup> from structural imaging and log transformed to achieve normal distribution. Furthermore, we performed a support vector classification. Predictors were entered in a support vector classifier using a linear kernel as implemented in the *Matlab SVM toolbox* (http://www.isis.ecs.soton.ac.uk/resources/ svminfo/) [46]. The accuracy in classifying a patient as PROP or POOR was determined with a leave-one-out cross-validation procedure. These analyses were based on a subset of 55 patients with complete functional and structural imaging datasets at T0.

Moreover, we investigated bivariate structure-function relationships between initial CST damage and beta-band coherence, as well as between beta-band coherence and secondary white-matter degradation using Pearson correlations.

# Results

#### **Clinical recovery**

Hierarchical clustering segregated all patients into two different recovery groups (cophenetic correlation coefficient=0.83). A first cluster of patients (N=31) showed clinical improvement that was proportional to initial impairment (PROP; Figure 1A; filled squares). A second cluster (N=32) exhibited poor, non-proportional recovery (POOR; Figure 1A; unfilled circles). A linear regression of the observed improvement against the initial impairment of patients in the PROP group yielded similar parameters as previously reported [1, 2], see Table 1.

We then cross-validated the previously reported parameters ( $\beta$ =0.70 and C=0) of the proportional recovery model [1, 2] in our dataset. This accurately predicted the amount of motor improvement in the PROP group (mean model error 1, range ±15 points on the FMA scale), while a large difference between predicted and observed improvement was found in the POOR group (mean model error 38, range 26–46). Figure 1B demonstrates that once again, model errors sharply delineate two different patient groups. Moreover, the same group attribution was found for individual patients as with hierarchical clustering.

Age (p=0.90), gender (p=1.0), and lesion laterality (p=0.31) were comparable across groups. However, the POOR group had larger lesion volume (p=0.006) and lower FMA scores (p<0.0001) at T0 than the PROP group. The lesion maps of the two groups are shown in Supplementary Figure 1.

#### Structural imaging

We asked whether the two groups showed structural differences in their white matter tracts at T0. The POOR group was characterized by greater damage to the CST (p<0.05, cluster corrected, Fig. 2). The present study extended this comparison to all cerebral white matter on a voxel-wise basis, and found clusters that differed significantly in FA along the entire cerebral trajectory of the CST (from the corona radiata through the anterior and posterior limbs of the internal capsule to the cerebral peduncle), and in parts of the external capsule. No other white matter tracts showed significant differences. Therefore, the observation is robust and fairly specific to the CST.

Next, we examined longitudinal white matter tract changes occurring between T0 and T1. The POOR group displayed a significant decrease in FA of the corona radiata, the internal capsule, the corpus callosum, and the superior longitudinal fascicule of the affected hemisphere (Fig. 3, blue colors, p<0.05, cluster corrected), while no significant change could be observed in the PROP group. The difference between the two groups was significant (Fig. 3, red-yellow colors, p<0.05, cluster corrected). An ANOVA including initial FMA impairment and initial CST damage as confounding covariates confirmed that the average FA change across all significant tracts in the affected hemisphere remained significantly greater in POOR than PROP ( $F_{1,41}$ =15.6, p=0.003), hence confirming that secondary degradation in POOR is not merely a consequence of initial clinical and lesion severity.

#### **Functional imaging**

We investigated functional differences between the two groups using high-density EEG in a resting-state paradigm which is unbiased by the ability of the patients to perform a particular task. Figure 4 shows that, at T0, the POOR group displayed disrupted beta-band WND at the convexity of the affected hemisphere (p<0.05, cluster corrected). Conversely, the PROP patients had high beta-band WND in a frontal brain region including the ventral pre-motor cortex. PROP patients had significantly greater beta-band coherence than POOR patients in a large area of the affected hemisphere including the ventral premotor cortex, insula, and inferior parietal lobule (p<0.05, cluster corrected). Among anatomically defined motor regions, the ventral premotor cortex showed a significant difference between groups  $(t_{61}=3.5, p=0.014, Bonferroni corrected, other motor areas p>0.13)$ . These differences in WND indicate that prefrontal brain areas enhanced the overall importance in the brain network [40, 41] in PROP patients, while fronto-temporo-parietal regions were functionally disconnected in POOR patients. When the ipsilesional ventral premotor cortex was defined as a seed region, we observed significantly greater coherence with the primary motor cortex in PROP patients than in POOR patients ( $t_{61}$ =2.3, p=0.025). Hence, spontaneous beta oscillations were more coherent between these two areas in patients with PROP. Importantly, these functional differences remained unchanged when excluding voxels intersecting with lesion masks for each individual patient. No significant differences in longitudinal EEG changes were observed between the two groups (p < 0.05).

#### Multivariate prediction of recovery

We then investigated whether structural and functional markers were independently predictive of PROP while accounting for differences in lesion extension and clinical severity between groups. To this end, we performed a multivariate linear regression analysis with initial (T0) FMA impairment, lesion extent (T0), CST damage, and beta-band FC between the ventral premotor ROI and the rest of the brain as predictors for the errors in the proportional recovery model. Initial FMA impairment, CST damage at T0, and beta-band FC, but not lesion volume remained independent predictors in this multivariate framework (Table 2).

The complementary value of initial FMA and CST damage for prediction of PROP is further illustrated in Figure 5. In our sample, an initial FMA greater than 15 predicted PROP in 100% of patients (Figure 5A, continuous vertical line). In the remaining patients with more severe initial impairment, POOR was observed in 95% of patients with CST asymmetry greater than 0.085 (Figure 5B, dotted line) and 100% of patients with an asymmetry greater than 0.13 (Figure 5B, continuous vertical line). Hence, with a combination of FMA and DTI of the CST, the type of recovery could be predicted with 95% accuracy in 75% of patients. Among the remaining 14 patients with initial FMA 15 and CST asymmetry<br/>else than 0.1 at the ipsilesional ventral premotor area was associated with PROP in 80% of patients, while patients with values lower than 0.1 showed POOR in 78% of cases. Finally, support vector machine classification was applied to the entire cohort with leave-one-out cross-validation. Using initial FMA, CST asymmetry, and beta-band coherence as predictors, we obtained a sensitivity of 0.89, specificity 0.85, positive predictive value 0.86, and negative predictive value 0.88

### Structure-function relationship

Structural and functional differences were statistically related to each other. Figure 6 shows that patients with greater CST integrity (i.e., less asymmetry between the affected and the unaffected tract) also had proportionally greater beta-band functional connectivity between perilesional areas of the affected hemisphere and the rest of the brain at T0 (r=-0.50, p<0.0001). Greater functional connectivity was in turn associated with proportionally less FA reduction in white-matter tracts between T0 to T1 (r=0.36, p=0.015).

# Discussion

This study provides insights into longitudinal structural and functional differences between patients with PROP and POOR patterns. First, our findings reconfirm the importance of CST integrity for motor outcome and recovery [3–5, 32] and additionally demonstrate that this impact is specific to the CST. We then show that PROP and POOR patients also differ with regards to secondary degradation of white-matter tracts. Such secondary degradation has been previously reported to occur in the CST during the first months after stroke due to anterograde or retrograde Wallerian degeneration [6–8]. Our findings show that this degradation extends beyond the CST and involves widespread tracts in the affected hemisphere, and that it is linked to POOR. Finally, the present study also confirms previous observations that changes in ipsilesional functional connectivity are associated with recovery [18–20], and shows that these functional markers of cortical plasticity are enhanced in patients with PROP. The main novelty of this study arises from its multimodal and longitudinal character, which provides insights into structure-function relationships underlying PROP.

#### Primary damage and secondary degradation of white-matter tracts

The degree of damage to the CST correlates with the severity of motor impairment in acute and chronic phases as well as with the amount of improvement [32, 47]. More recent studies have further shown that a large lesion load to the CST in patients with severe initial motor impairment is associated with a POOR pattern of motor improvement [3, 4]. The present study demonstrates that the ability to discriminate between the two recovery patterns is specific to the CST. Differences between PROP and POOR were found along the entire cerebral trajectory of the CST and parts of the external capsule, but in no other tract. This provides further evidence that damage to any part of the CST plays a specific key role for POOR. Note however that a recent study using structural MRI has suggested that other brain areas may also influence recovery [48]. Furthermore, PROP and POOR patterns can also be observed during recovery from aphasia and neglect [49, 50], which may depend on other tracts.

Patients with POOR were further characterized by a striking secondary loss of white-matter integrity during the first months after stroke. In contrast to the selective implication of primary damage to the CST for POOR, secondary degradation concerned extensive parts of the affected hemisphere. Secondary degradation has so far received surprisingly little attention and previous studies have mostly been limited to the CST [6–8]. Given the importance of white-matter lesions for function and cognition, the present observation gives

rise to concern and should motivate further investigations into the reasons and behavioral consequences of secondary degradation, and into how it might be avoided.

#### Structure-function model of proportional recovery

Our study demonstrates significant interdependency between brain structure and function for motor recovery. Patients with at least partial extant CST integrity are more likely to develop spontaneous beta oscillatory synchronization between perilesional motor areas and the rest of the brain. Beta-band synchronization is, in turn, associated with long-term preservation of white matter tracts and proportional clinical recovery. In contrast, severe damage to the CST is associated with reduced markers of cortical plasticity, and subsequent degradation of white-matter within the affected hemisphere. This cascade of factors seems to result in POOR.

These observed systems-level associations are congruent with known molecular and cellular events that link synaptic efficacy and white matter myelination with synchronous oscillatory brain activity. In particular, recent work suggests that axon myelination is a dynamic process tightly regulated by neuron-glia interactions dependent upon synchronous network oscillations [51]. Thus, synchronous beta oscillations may be an important factor preventing secondary degeneration of white matter fiber pathways following stroke. In turn, the maintenance of synchronous beta oscillations may depend on cellular-based plasticity processes such as dendritic spine remodelling or axonal sprouting which were previously reported to go in parallel with synchronous neural activity [14, 15].

Our findings therefore suggest a testable model wherein CST integrity enables a synchronization of spontaneous neural oscillations. Synchronized oscillatory activity, in turn, promotes the reintegration of perilesional areas into the motor network [18] and helps preserve white-matter tracts in the affected hemisphere [51].

Previous multimodal imaging studies in stroke patients have also reported associations between structural CST damage and function of ipsilesional motor areas. Greater CST damage was associated with reduced ipsilesional motor cortex excitability during transcranial magnetic stimulation [52] and with more compensatory activation of perilesional areas during movement tasks [53, 54]. Furthermore, the severity of CST damage was correlated with less interhemispheric synchronization of fMRI fluctuations [54, 55] and greater disinhibition of the contralesional primary motor cortex [52]. Taken together, the different studies provide consistent evidence that the amount of structural damage to the CST predetermines ipsilesional, contralesional, as well as network-wide brain function from the first weeks after stroke until the chronic stage.

#### Prediction of recovery

In accordance with previous studies [3–5], we find that a combination of initial motor examinations and assessments of the CST can reliably predict PROP or POOR in a majority of patients. Yet, despite a multimodal approach, the recovery pattern remained difficult to predict in 25% of our patients. Byblow and colleagues [5] have been able to predict PROP and POOR in most of their patients when using motor evoked potentials in addition to clinical assessments and DTI of the CST as predictors. Conversely, the addition of EEG

coherence only marginally improved prediction. A previous fMRI study also found that the assessment of brain activation patterns during motor tasks did not significantly improve prediction of PROP compared to clinical assessments alone [9].

The relatively low reliability of functional imaging to predict PROP beyond CST integrity may have several reasons. Given the observed interdependency between functional and structural correlates of plasticity, the most likely explanation is that the presence and success of coherent oscillations is contingent upon the integrity of the CST. Coherence in the affected hemisphere is suppressed or ineffective in patients with severe damage to the CST. Furthermore, it is known that measures of brain function using EEG or fMRI are nonstationary, which may decrease their predictive reliability over a timespan of several months compared to more slowly changing structural measures. Finally, it is possible that cortical processes have less overall impact on FMA recovery during the first months than plasticity at the level of the CST.

#### Implications for rehabilitation

From a therapeutic perspective, the multimodal model makes testable predictions with regards to treatment strategies that might be successful in each recovery group. Based on the importance of CST integrity, it may be crucial to re-establish and strengthen CST projections [3–5]. Our findings suggest that this would enable cortical repair processes and better recovery. Thus, for patients with locally severe CST damage but residual motor cortex oscillatory activity, an early-stage focus on plasticity induction within the CST may facilitate spontaneous cortical repair processes. Such an intervention could minimize long-term CST degeneration and convert the recovery pattern trajectory from POOR towards PROP. Future studies will need to investigate how this might be achieved.

In addition, we observed a significant association between beta-band coherence and error in the proportional recovery model that was independent of initial clinical impairment, lesion size, and CST integrity. Furthermore, among patients with relatively preserved CST integrity (FA asymmetry<0.085), greater beta-band coherence between the ipsilesional ventral premotor area and the rest of the brain was associated with greater proportions of motor improvement independently of initial FMA severity (r=0.40, p=0.021). Strategies geared towards increasing spontaneous oscillatory synchronization between perilesional motor areas might therefore be beneficial, in particular in patients with at least partial integrity of the CST. We can speculate that such interventions might allow PROP patients to experience improvements beyond the expected 70%. Previous studies have provided evidence that increases of functional interactions within the motor system can be obtained with new treatment concepts such as non-invasive brain stimulation [56–60] and neurofeedback therapy [61–63].

#### **Potential limitations**

The initial assessment of our study has been obtained somewhat late (i.e. -2-4 weeks) and the follow up somewhat early (i.e. -3 months). Therefore, our assessments did not capture the entire recovery period in some patients. Accordingly, the proportion of recovery (63%) and the proportion of explained variance in the PROP group (R<sup>2</sup>=0.7) were slightly lower

than previously reported. Since initial FMA scores of the POOR group were close to the minimum, an earlier assessment would have yielded essentially the same values. Conversely, some patients from the PROP group would have shown lower values. However, since the separation of the two recovery groups was very robust and comparable to previous studies, it is unlikely that this influenced the main findings.

It is also important to note that our sample of stroke patients was recruited from an inpatient rehabilitation unit and excluded patients who showed almost complete motor recovery within the first two weeks. It is therefore not representative and contains more frequent severe motor deficits. In particular, POOR patterns occur less frequently in real-world settings. However, the inclusion of more PROP patients with less severe affections would have made the reported differences even more pronounced.

A fourth of the patients did not have complete structural imaging at both time points. However, patient demographics, clinical recovery, and EEG measures were not different between patients with and without complete DTI imaging (p>0.20), hence rendering a drop out bias unlikely.

# Conclusions

This study provides correlational evidence for associations between initial CST integrity, systems-level cortical network plasticity, prevention of white-matter atrophy, and clinical motor recovery after stroke. The resulting model of motor recovery identifies candidate targets for individualized therapy.

# Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

# Acknowledgments

#### Sources of Funding

AGG was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grants 320030-129679 and 320030-146639), ERB and LGC by the intramural program of the NINDS, NIH.

# References

- Prabhakaran S, Zarahn E, Riley C, Speizer A, Chong JY, Lazar RM, et al. Inter-individual variability in the capacity for motor recovery after ischemic stroke. Neurorehabil Neural Repair 2008;22(1):64–71. [PubMed: 17687024]
- [2]. Winters C, van Wegen EE, Daffertshofer A, Kwakkel G. Generalizability of the Proportional Recovery Model for the Upper Extremity After an Ischemic Stroke. Neurorehabil Neural Repair 2015;29(7):614–22. [PubMed: 25505223]
- [3]. Buch ER, Rizk S, Nicolo P, Cohen LG, Schnider A, Guggisberg AG. Predicting motor improvement after stroke with clinical assessment and diffusion tensor imaging. Neurology 2016;86(20):1924–5. [PubMed: 27164664]
- [4]. Feng W, Wang J, Chhatbar PY, Doughty C, Landsittel D, Lioutas VA, et al. Corticospinal tract lesion load: An imaging biomarker for stroke motor outcomes. Ann Neurol 2015;78(6):860–70.
   [PubMed: 26289123]

- [5]. Byblow WD, Stinear CM, Barber PA, Petoe MA, Ackerley SJ. Proportional recovery after stroke depends on corticomotor integrity. Ann Neurol 2015;78(6):848–59. [PubMed: 26150318]
- [6]. Ma C, Liu A, Li Z, Zhou X, Zhou S. Longitudinal study of diffusion tensor imaging properties of affected cortical spinal tracts in acute and chronic hemorrhagic stroke. Journal of clinical neuroscience : official journal of the Neurosurgical Society of Australasia 2014;21(8):1388–92. [PubMed: 24746110]
- [7]. Thomalla G, Glauche V, Koch MA, Beaulieu C, Weiller C, Rother J. Diffusion tensor imaging detects early Wallerian degeneration of the pyramidal tract after ischemic stroke. Neuroimage 2004;22(4):1767–74. [PubMed: 15275932]
- [8]. Thomalla G, Glauche V, Weiller C, Rother J. Time course of wallerian degeneration after ischaemic stroke revealed by diffusion tensor imaging. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2005;76(2):266–8. [PubMed: 15654048]
- [9]. Zarahn E, Alon L, Ryan SL, Lazar RM, Vry MS, Weiller C, et al. Prediction of motor recovery using initial impairment and fMRI 48 h poststroke. Cereb Cortex 2011;21(12):2712–21. [PubMed: 21527788]
- [10]. Nudo RJ. Postinfarct cortical plasticity and behavioral recovery. Stroke 2007;38(2 Suppl):840–5.
  [PubMed: 17261749]
- [11]. Nudo RJ, Wise BM, SiFuentes F, Milliken GW. Neural substrates for the effects of rehabilitative training on motor recovery after ischemic infarct. Science 1996;272(5269):1791–4. [PubMed: 8650578]
- [12]. Carmichael ST. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of neural repair after stroke: making waves. Ann Neurol 2006;59(5):735–42. [PubMed: 16634041]
- [13]. Murphy TH, Corbett D. Plasticity during stroke recovery: from synapse to behaviour. Nat Rev Neurosci 2009;10(12):861–72. [PubMed: 19888284]
- [14]. Carmichael ST, Chesselet MF. Synchronous neuronal activity is a signal for axonal sprouting after cortical lesions in the adult. J Neurosci 2002;22(14):6062–70. [PubMed: 12122067]
- [15]. Buch ER, Liew SL, Cohen LG. Plasticity of Sensorimotor Networks: Multiple Overlapping Mechanisms. Neuroscientist in press.
- [16]. Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Johansen-Berg H, Rueckert D, Nichols TE, Mackay CE, et al. Tractbased spatial statistics: voxelwise analysis of multi-subject diffusion data. Neuroimage 2006;31(4):1487–505. [PubMed: 16624579]
- [17]. Keihaninejad S, Zhang H, Ryan NS, Malone IB, Modat M, Cardoso MJ, et al. An unbiased longitudinal analysis framework for tracking white matter changes using diffusion tensor imaging with application to Alzheimer's disease. Neuroimage 2013;72:153–63. [PubMed: 23370057]
- [18]. Nicolo P, Rizk S, Magnin C, Pietro MD, Schnider A, Guggisberg AG. Coherent neural oscillations predict future motor and language improvement after stroke. Brain 2015;138(Pt 10): 3048–60. [PubMed: 26163304]
- [19]. Grefkes C, Nowak DA, Eickhoff SB, Dafotakis M, Kust J, Karbe H, et al. Cortical connectivity after subcortical stroke assessed with functional magnetic resonance imaging. Ann Neurol 2008;63(2):236–46. [PubMed: 17896791]
- [20]. Rehme AK, Eickhoff SB, Wang LE, Fink GR, Grefkes C. Dynamic causal modeling of cortical activity from the acute to the chronic stage after stroke. NeuroImage 2011;55(3):1147–58. [PubMed: 21238594]
- [21]. Fugl-Meyer AR, Jaasko L, Leyman I, Olsson S, Steglind S. The post-stroke hemiplegic patient. 1.
  a method for evaluation of physical performance. Scand J Rehabil Med 1975;7(1):13–31.
  [PubMed: 1135616]
- [22]. Gladstone DJ, Danells CJ, Black SE. The Fugl-Meyer assessment of motor recovery after stroke: a critical review of its measurement properties. Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair 2002;16(3):232–40. [PubMed: 12234086]
- [23]. Pierpaoli C, Walker L, Irfanoglu MO, Barnett A, Basser P, Chang LC, et al. TORTOISE: an integrated software package for processing of diffusion MRI data. ISMRM 18th Annual Meeting Stockholm, Sweden2010.

- [24]. Rohde GK, Barnett AS, Basser PJ, Pierpaoli C. Estimating intensity variance due to noise in registered images: applications to diffusion tensor MRI. Neuroimage 2005;26(3):673–84. [PubMed: 15955477]
- [25]. Leemans A, Jones DK. The B-matrix must be rotated when correcting for subject motion in DTI data. Magn Reson Med 2009;61(6):1336–49. [PubMed: 19319973]
- [26]. Wu M, Chang LC, Walker L, Lemaitre H, Barnett AS, Marenco S, et al. Comparison of EPI distortion correction methods in diffusion tensor MRI using a novel framework. Medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention : MICCAI International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention. 2008;11(Pt 2):321–9.
- [27]. Chang LC, Jones DK, Pierpaoli C. RESTORE: robust estimation of tensors by outlier rejection. Magn Reson Med 2005;53(5):1088–95. [PubMed: 15844157]
- [28]. Zhang H, Yushkevich PA, Alexander DC, Gee JC. Deformable registration of diffusion tensor MR images with explicit orientation optimization. Medical image analysis 2006;10(5):764–85. [PubMed: 16899392]
- [29]. Zhang H, Yushkevich PA, Rueckert D, Gee JC. A Computational White Matter Atlas for Aging with Surface-Based Representation of Fasciculi In: Fischer B, Dawant B, Lorenz C, editors. Workshop on Biomedical Image Registration; 2010; Lübeck: Springer p. 83–90.
- [30]. Winkler AM, Ridgway GR, Webster MA, Smith SM, Nichols TE. Permutation inference for the general linear model. Neuroimage 2014;92:381–97. [PubMed: 24530839]
- [31]. Mori S, Wakana S, Nagae-Poetscher LM, van Zijl PCM. MRI Atlas of Human White Matter. 1st ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier 2005.
- [32]. Stinear CM, Barber PA, Smale PR, Coxon JP, Fleming MK, Byblow WD. Functional potential in chronic stroke patients depends on corticospinal tract integrity. Brain 2007;130(Pt 1):170–80. [PubMed: 17148468]
- [33]. Dalal SS, Zumer JM, Guggisberg AG, Trumpis M, Wong DD, Sekihara K, et al. MEG/EEG Source Reconstruction, Statistical Evaluation, and Visualization with NUTMEG. Comput Intell Neurosci 2011;2011:758973. [PubMed: 21437174]
- [34]. Guggisberg AG, Dalal SS, Zumer JM, Wong DD, Dubovik S, Michel CM, et al. Localization of cortico-peripheral coherence with electroencephalography. Neuroimage 2011;57(4):1348–57.
   [PubMed: 21672634]
- [35]. Stenroos M, Mantynen V, Nenonen J. A Matlab library for solving quasi-static volume conduction problems using the boundary element method. Comput Methods Programs Biomed 2007;88(3):256–63. [PubMed: 18022274]
- [36]. Sekihara K, Nagarajan SS, Poeppel D, Marantz A. Asymptotic SNR of scalar and vector minimum-variance beamformers for neuromagnetic source reconstruction. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 2004;51(10):1726–34. [PubMed: 15490820]
- [37]. Nolte G, Bai O, Wheaton L, Mari Z, Vorbach S, Hallett M. Identifying true brain interaction from EEG data using the imaginary part of coherency. Clin Neurophysiol 2004;115(10):2292–307.
   [PubMed: 15351371]
- [38]. Sekihara K, Owen JP, Trisno S, Nagarajan SS. Removal of spurious coherence in MEG sourcespace coherence analysis. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 2011;58(11):3121–9. [PubMed: 21824842]
- [39]. Newman ME. Analysis of weighted networks. Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys 2004;70(5 Pt 2):056131. [PubMed: 15600716]
- [40]. Stam CJ, van Straaten EC. The organization of physiological brain networks. Clin Neurophysiol 2012;123(6):1067–87. [PubMed: 22356937]
- [41]. De Vico Fallani F, Richiardi J, Chavez M, Achard S. Graph analysis of functional brain networks: practical issues in translational neuroscience. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2014;369(1653).
- [42]. Mayka MA, Corcos DM, Leurgans SE, Vaillancourt DE. Three-dimensional locations and boundaries of motor and premotor cortices as defined by functional brain imaging: a metaanalysis. Neuroimage 2006;31(4):1453–74. [PubMed: 16571375]
- [43]. Dubovik S, Pignat JM, Ptak R, Aboulafia T, Allet L, Gillabert N, et al. The behavioral significance of coherent resting-state oscillations after stroke. Neuroimage 2012;61(1):249–57. [PubMed: 22440653]

- [44]. Guggisberg AG, Rizk S, Ptak R, Di Pietro M, Saj A, Lazeyras F, et al. Two Intrinsic Coupling Types for Resting-State Integration in the Human Brain. Brain Topogr 2015;28(2):318–29. [PubMed: 25182143]
- [45]. Singh KD, Barnes GR, Hillebrand A. Group imaging of task-related changes in cortical synchronisation using nonparametric permutation testing. Neuroimage 2003;19(4):1589–601.
   [PubMed: 12948714]
- [46]. Gunn SR. Support Vector Machines for Classification and Regression. Technical Report: University of Southampton; 1997.
- [47]. Kim KH, Kim YH, Kim MS, Park CH, Lee A, Chang WH. Prediction of Motor Recovery Using Diffusion Tensor Tractography in Supratentorial Stroke Patients With Severe Motor Involvement. Annals of rehabilitation medicine 2015;39(4):570–6. [PubMed: 26361593]
- [48]. Rondina JM, Park CH, Ward NS. Brain regions important for recovery after severe post-stroke upper limb paresis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. in press.
- [49]. Winters C, van Wegen EE, Daffertshofer A, Kwakkel G. Generalizability of the Maximum Proportional Recovery Rule to Visuospatial Neglect Early Poststroke. Neurorehabil Neural Repair 2016.
- [50]. Marchi NA, Ptak R, Di Pietro M, Schnider A, Guggisberg AG. Principles of proportional recovery after stroke generalize to neglect and aphasia. Eur J Neurol 2017;24(8):1084–7. [PubMed: 28585297]
- [51]. Fields RD, Woo DH, Basser PJ. Glial Regulation of the Neuronal Connectome through Local and Long-Distant Communication. Neuron 2015;86(2):374–86. [PubMed: 25905811]
- [52]. Volz LJ, Sarfeld AS, Diekhoff S, Rehme AK, Pool EM, Eickhoff SB, et al. Motor cortex excitability and connectivity in chronic stroke: a multimodal model of functional reorganization. Brain Struct Funct 2015;220(2):1093–107. [PubMed: 24415059]
- [53]. Ward NS, Newton JM, Swayne OB, Lee L, Thompson AJ, Greenwood RJ, et al. Motor system activation after subcortical stroke depends on corticospinal system integrity. Brain. 2006;129(Pt 3):809–19. [PubMed: 16421171]
- [54]. Cunningham DA, Machado A, Janini D, Varnerin N, Bonnett C, Yue G, et al. Assessment of inter-hemispheric imbalance using imaging and noninvasive brain stimulation in patients with chronic stroke. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2015;96(4 Suppl):S94–103. [PubMed: 25194451]
- [55]. Carter AR, Patel KR, Astafiev SV, Snyder AZ, Rengachary J, Strube MJ, et al. Upstream dysfunction of somatomotor functional connectivity after corticospinal damage in stroke. Neurorehabil Neural Repair 2012;26(1):7–19. [PubMed: 21803932]
- [56]. Rizk S, Ptak R, Nyffeler T, Schnider A, Guggisberg AG. Network mechanisms of responsiveness to continuous theta-burst stimulation. Eur J Neurosci 2013;38(8):3230–8. [PubMed: 23941616]
- [57]. Amadi U, Ilie A, Johansen-Berg H, Stagg CJ. Polarity-specific effects of motor transcranial direct current stimulation on fMRI resting state networks. Neuroimage 2014;88:155–61. [PubMed: 24287440]
- [58]. Grefkes C, Nowak DA, Wang LE, Dafotakis M, Eickhoff SB, Fink GR. Modulating cortical connectivity in stroke patients by rTMS assessed with fMRI and dynamic causal modeling. Neuroimage 2010;50(1):233–42. [PubMed: 20005962]
- [59]. Volz LJ, Rehme AK, Michely J, Nettekoven C, Eickhoff SB, Fink GR, et al. Shaping Early Reorganization of Neural Networks Promotes Motor Function after Stroke. Cereb Cortex 2016;26(6):2882–94. [PubMed: 26980614]
- [60]. Sehm B, Schafer A, Kipping J, Margulies D, Conde V, Taubert M, et al. Dynamic modulation of intrinsic functional connectivity by transcranial direct current stimulation. J Neurophysiol 2012;108(12):3253–63. [PubMed: 22993265]
- [61]. Mottaz A, Solca M, Magnin C, Corbet T, Schnider A, Guggisberg AG. Neurofeedback training of alpha-band coherence enhances motor performance. Clin Neurophysiol 2015;126(9):1754–60. [PubMed: 25540133]
- [62]. Vukelic M, Gharabaghi A. Self-regulation of circumscribed brain activity modulates spatially selective and frequency specific connectivity of distributed resting state networks. Frontiers in behavioral neuroscience 2015;9:181. [PubMed: 26236207]

[63]. Liew SL, Rana M, Cornelsen S, Fortunato de Barros Filho M, Birbaumer N, Sitaram R, et al. Improving Motor Corticothalamic Communication After Stroke Using Real-Time fMRI Connectivity-Based Neurofeedback. Neurorehabil Neural Repair 2016;30(7):671–5. [PubMed: 26671217]



**Figure 1. The evolution of motor function after stroke follows one of two strictly different paths.** Hierarchical clustering separated patients into 2 different clusters of recovery. Patients with filled squares recovered a fixed proportion of their initial motor impairment (proportional recovery, PROP), while patients with empty circles showed no or very little improvement (POOR). The straight line represents the proportional recovery model predicting a recovery of 70% of initial impairment (**A**). A histogram of the deviation from proportional recovery again demonstrates the clear separation into two groups (**B**).



**Figure 2. Patients with POOR showed significantly greater damage of the CST at T0.** Green lines indicate examined tracts, red/yellow colours significant differences between groups. All stroke lesions are aligned to the right hemisphere (shown left).



#### Figure 3. Longitudinal DTI correlates of proportional motor recovery.

Patients with POOR suffered from a degradation of white matter tracts (in blue) in the affected hemisphere during the first 3 months after stroke. This was not the case in patients with PROP. Green lines indicate examined tracts, red/yellow colours significant differences between groups. All stroke lesions are aligned to the right hemisphere (shown left).





The weighted node degree (WND) of resting-state functional connectivity was disrupted in the affected hemisphere of patients with POOR (blue). Conversely, patients with PROP showed large WND (red-yellow) (**A**). Enhanced functional connectivity was observed in particular between the ventral premotor (PMv) and primary motor area of the affected hemisphere of patients with PROP (**B**). All stroke lesions are aligned to the right hemisphere (shown right).





#### Figure 5. Prediction of proportional vs. poor recovery.

A combination of clinical assessment and DTI of the CST at T0 allowed predicting whether patients will show PROP or POOR with high accuracy in the majority of patients in our sample. All patients with an initial FMA >15 (gray squares) recovered according to the proportional model (**A**). Among the remaining patients with severe initial impairment, POOR (white circles) was observed in 95% of patients with a CST asymmetry >0.085 (dotted line) and in 100% of patients with CST asymmetry > 0.13 (continuous vertical line).

Conversely, 43% of patients with severe initial impairment but low CST damage evolved to PROP (black squares) (**B**).



#### Figure 6. Multimodal assessments.

Structural and functional signatures of plasticity were related to each other. Patients with greater CST integrity had proportionally greater functional connectivity between perilesional areas of the affected hemisphere and the rest of the brain (**A**). Larger functional connectivity was in turn correlated with less white-matter tract degradation during the first months after stroke (**B**).

# Table 1.

Univariate linear regression between FMA impairment (66-FMA) at T0 and change in FMA from T0 and T1.

Separate analyses have been performed for PROP and POOR clusters.

	y-intercept	β	β (95% CI)	F	R <sup>2</sup>	Two-tailed p
PROP	0.9	0.63	0.48–0.79	66.8	0.70	< 0.0001
POOR	15.9	-0.18	-1.03-0.67	0.2	0.01	0.67

#### Table 2.

Multivariate linear regression with the error of the proportional recovery model as dependent variable.

	Coefficient estimate	Standard error	t(39)	Two-tailed p
y-intercept	16.0	4.5	3.6	0.0008
FMA at T0	-0.4	0.1	-3.5	0.0011
CST asymmetry at T0	133.3	37.4	3.6	0.0008
Beta-band coherence at T0	-9.1	3.9	-2.3	0.023
Log Lesion volume	-0.2	0.5	-0.4	0.72