

REPLY TO LUTZ-BONENGE ET AL.:

# Biparental mtDNA transmission is unlikely to be the result of nuclear mitochondrial DNA segments

Shiyu Luo<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Alexander Valencia<sup>a,1</sup>, Jinglan Zhang<sup>c</sup>, Ni-Chung Lee<sup>d</sup>, Jesse Slone<sup>a</sup>, Baoheng Gui<sup>a,b</sup>, Xinjian Wang<sup>a</sup>, Zhuo Li<sup>a,2</sup>, Sarah Dell<sup>a</sup>, Jenice Brown<sup>a</sup>, Stella Maris Chen<sup>c</sup>, Yin-Hsiu Chien<sup>d</sup>, Wu-Liang Hwu<sup>d</sup>, Pi-Chuan Fan<sup>e</sup>, Lee-Jun Wong<sup>c</sup>, Paldeep S. Atwal<sup>f</sup>, and Taosheng Huang<sup>a,3</sup>

In Luo et al. (1), we report the transmission of paternal mtDNA in 17 individuals across three unrelated families. In their letter responding to this paper, Lutz-Bonengel et al. (2) argue that these results do not provide sufficient evidence for paternal inheritance of mtDNA. Instead, they propose that these biparental inheritance events are the result of “nuclear elements of mtDNA” (numts), or nuclear mtDNA segments (NUMTs). Although rare, nearly full-length mtDNA insertions have been occasionally observed (3, 4), and there is a possibility that such insertions could superficially resemble a genuine mtDNA.

While we appreciate these concerns, we must point out that our paper merely documents the unusual transmission of apparently full-length paternal mtDNA sequences to offspring. At no point do we propose where these mtDNA sequences are from. However, we do believe that the NUMT hypothesis is extremely unlikely, based on the following observations.

Per our standard protocols (5, 6), we PCR amplified the entire 16.5-kb mtDNA using a pair of back-to-back, nonoverlapping primers, and then sequenced the products by next-generation sequencing. Since these primers face in opposite directions, it is nearly impossible to amplify a linear DNA fragment of full length. In order for a NUMT sequence to amplify, it would have to consist of at least two full-length (or nearly full-length) mtDNA insertions located in close proximity in the same orientation and with the breakpoints situated so that the primers are correctly oriented.

Furthermore, the primer sets in our Method 1 and Method 2 sit in completely different regions of mtDNA. However, the results were always consistent.

Thus, the structure of the insertion would have to allow both pairs of primers to be properly oriented for amplification, adding further complexity to the structure of the proposed NUMTs. Finding three such insertion events independently across all three families would be extraordinary. We believe this makes it unlikely that NUMTs are the origin of this phenomenon.

It should also be noted that any single NUMT insertion would be at a massive copy number disadvantage relative to the mtDNA, making it unlikely to be detected using these methods. Our own studies have shown that, at a minimum, there are at least 100 copies of the mitochondrial genome per copy of the nuclear genome (7).

Finally, across these three families, there are four cases in which a heteroplasmic female who inherited mtDNA from her father went on to have children. Eight children were born to these mothers, of which all children we sequenced received the same heteroplasmic mixture of haplogroups observed in their mothers. If these apparent heteroplasmies were caused by mtDNA insertions in the nuclear genome, then one must assume that these insertions exist in a heterozygous state in these four mothers. As a result, the probability of all these children receiving the NUMT insertion would be relatively low. This is another indication that the DNA in question cannot be nuclear in origin.

In the future, we anticipate conclusively settling this question by analyzing additional tissue-specific and single-cell sequencing data from these and other families showing signs of paternal mtDNA transmission.

<sup>a</sup>Division of Human Genetics, Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH 45229; <sup>b</sup>Maternal and Child Health Hospital of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, Nanning, 530003 Guangxi, China; <sup>c</sup>Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030; <sup>d</sup>Department of Pediatrics and Medical Genetics, National Taiwan University Hospital, 100 Taipei, Taiwan; <sup>e</sup>Department of Pediatrics, National Taiwan University Hospital, 100 Taipei, Taiwan; and <sup>f</sup>Department of Clinical Genomics, Center for Individualized Medicine, Mayo Clinic Hospital, Jacksonville, FL 32224

Author contributions: S.L., C.A.V., J.Z., N.-C.L., J.S., B.G., X.W., Z.L., S.D., J.B., S.M.C., Y.-H.C., W.-L.H., P.-C.F., L.-J.W., P.S.A., and T.H. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Published under the [PNAS license](#).

<sup>1</sup>Present address: Section of Molecular Genetics, PerkinElmer Genomics, Branford, CT 06405.

<sup>2</sup>Present address: Center for Medical Genetics, School of Life Sciences, Central South University, 410008 Changsha, Hunan, China.

<sup>3</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: taosheng.huang@cchmc.org.

Published online January 23, 2019.

- 
- 1 Luo S, et al. (2018) Biparental inheritance of mitochondrial DNA in humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 115:13039–13044.
  - 2 Lutz-Bonengel S, Parson W (2019) No further evidence for paternal leakage of mitochondrial DNA in humans yet. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 116:1821–1822.
  - 3 Dayama G, Emery SB, Kidd JM, Mills RE (2014) The genomic landscape of polymorphic human nuclear mitochondrial insertions. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42:12640–12649.
  - 4 Ju YS, et al.; ICGC Prostate Cancer Working Group; ICGC Bone Cancer Working Group; ICGC Breast Cancer Working Group (2015) Frequent somatic transfer of mitochondrial DNA into the nuclear genome of human cancer cells. *Genome Res* 25:814–824.
  - 5 Zhang W, Cui H, Wong LJ (2012) Comprehensive one-step molecular analyses of mitochondrial genome by massively parallel sequencing. *Clin Chem* 58:1322–1331.
  - 6 Huang T (2011) Next generation sequencing to characterize mitochondrial genomic DNA heteroplasmy. *Curr Protoc Hum Genet* Chapter 19:Unit 19.8.
  - 7 Brockhage R, et al. (2018) Validation of the diagnostic potential of mtDNA copy number derived from whole genome sequencing. *J Genet Genomics* 45:333–335.