



Published in final edited form as:

Mov Disord. 2019 February ; 34(2): 298–299. doi:10.1002/mds.27555.

A paradoxical relationship between family history, onset age and genetic risk in Parkinson's disease

Madeleine Kristiansen¹, Jodi Maple-Grødem, PhD^{2,3}, Guido Alves, MD, PhD^{2,4,5}, Sampath Arepalli, MS⁶, Dena G. Hernandez, PhD⁶, Hirotaka Iwaki, MD, PhD⁶, Mike A. Nalls, PhD^{6,7}, Andrew Singleton, PhD⁶, Ole-Bjørn Tysnes, MD, PhD^{8,9}, Mathias Toft, MD, PhD^{1,10}, and Lasse Pihlstrøm, MD, PhD^{10,*}

¹Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

²The Norwegian Center for Movement Disorders, Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, Norway

³The Centre for Organelle Research, University of Stavanger, Stavanger, Norway

⁴Department of Neurology, Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, Norway

⁵Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Stavanger, Stavanger, Norway

⁶Laboratory of Neurogenetics, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

⁷Founder/Consultant with Data Tecnica International, Glen Echo, MD, USA

⁸Department of Neurology, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway

⁹Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

¹⁰Department of Neurology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

Keywords

Parkinson's disease; genetic risk score; age at onset; family history

About 10 % of patients with Parkinson's disease (PD) report a positive family history.¹ Large meta-analyses of genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified an increasing number of common risk-variants, yet these do not fully account for familial clustering in PD.² A deeper understanding of the genetic profiles of individual patients,

*Corresponding author at: Department of Neurology, Oslo University Hospital, Box 4950 Nydalen, 0424 Oslo, Norway, lasse.pihlstrom@medisin.uio.no.

Authors' roles

1. Research project: A. Conception, B. Organization, C. Execution, including generation of clinical and genetic data; 2. Statistical Analysis: A. Design, B. Execution, C. Review and Critique; 3. Manuscript Preparation: A. Writing of the first draft, B. Review and Critique

Madeleine Kristiansen: 1C, 2B, 2C, 3A, 3B; Jodi Maple-Grødem: 1C, 2C, 3B; Guido Alves: 1C, 2C, 3B, Sampath Arepalli: 1C, 3B; Aaron Day-Williams: 1C, 3B; Dena G. Hernandez: 1C, 3B; Hirotaka Iwaki: 1C, 3B; Mike A. Nalls: 1C, 2C, 3B; Andrew Singleton: 1C, 3B; Ole-Bjørn Tysnes: 1C, 3B; Mathias Toft: 1C, 2C, 3B; and Lasse Pihlstrøm: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3A, 3B.

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

including cases of familial non-Mendelian PD, could have implications for prognosis and personalized therapy.

The cumulative burden of common GWAS variants has been associated with earlier PD onset³⁻⁵ and higher rates of motor and cognitive progression.^{6,7} Presuming that common risk loci contribute to familial clustering in non-Mendelian PD, one would expect cumulative genetic risk score (GRS) to be on average higher in patients with a positive family history. However, a recent study reported slower progression in patients with affected first degree relatives.⁸

To further explore the relationship between cumulative genetic risk, family history and age at onset in PD we generated a combined dataset from two Norwegian^{9,10} (Oslo and ParkWest) and four US¹¹⁻¹⁴ (dbGAP phs000126.v1.p1, phs000089.v3.p2, phs000196.v2.p1 and PPMI) studies comprising a total of 4266 patients and calculated individual GRS. Methods and results are described in further detail in an online supplement. We found a significant, independent association of both lower GRS ($p=5.7*10^{-8}$, $\beta=-0.92$, $SE=0.17$) and positive family history ($p=4.5*10^{-9}$, $\beta=2.25$, $SE=0.38$) with higher age at onset in a linear regression model including sex, country and top five principal components as covariates. Next, we assessed association between GRS and family history by logistic regression, observing a significant association ($p=0.00052$, odds ratio 95% CI=1.05–1.19).

Taken together, the results indicate that familial PD is paradoxically associated with later age at onset, occurring not because of a lower GRS, but *in spite of* a higher GRS (Table 1). Consequently, one or more unknown mechanisms must be responsible for the observed association between family history and later age at onset. The previous report showing slower progression in familial cases is consistent with the notion of familial PD as paradoxically milder than what should be expected based on the genetic load.⁸

“False negative” family history, where siblings will later go on to develop PD, will plausibly be more frequent in early onset cases, as their siblings will also be younger. If this mechanism was driving the results, however, the same form of bias should also tend to give higher GRS in the non-familial PD group, not lower as we observed here. We note as a limitation that the included substudies were heterogeneous with respect to age at onset and the proportion of patients with positive family history.

We can currently only speculate about possible explanations behind the intriguing paradox this study uncovered, yet we note that our observations might be compatible with a scenario where the genetic risk factors that contribute to familial clustering *interact* with normal aging, having a disproportionately stronger effect in older individuals. Further studies are needed to map out how genetic profile contributes to different aspects of PD phenotype in the individual patient, which could ultimately have implications for prognosis and treatment.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all study participants and investigators who provided publicly available data. Lasse Pihlstrøm is supported by the Norwegian Health Association and Michael J. Fox Foundation. Mathias Toft is supported by the Research Council of Norway and the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority. We thank Ole Andreassen and the DemGene consortium for genotyping of the Oslo cohort. Genotyping of the ParkWest cohort was supported by the Michael J. Fox Foundation and Biogen, for which the authors are thankful to Aaron Day-Williams. The Norwegian ParkWest study has received support from the Research Council of Norway (grant# 177966), the Western Norway Regional Health Authority (grant# 911218 and grant# 912014), and the Norwegian Parkinson Research Foundation. This work was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services; project number Z01 AG000949–06. For up-to-date information on the Parkinson's Progression Marker Initiative (PPMI) visit www.ppmi-info.org. PPMI – a public-private partnership – is funded by the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research and funding partners including AbbVie, Avid Radiopharmaceuticals, Biogen, BioLegend, Bristol-Myers Squibb, GE Healthcare, Genentech, GlaxoSmithKline, Lilly, Lundbeck, Merck, Meso Scale Discovery, Pfizer, Piramal, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme, Servier, Takeda, Teva and UCB.

Funding sources: Lasse Pihlstrøm is supported by the Norwegian Health Association and Michael J. Fox Foundation. Mathias Toft is supported by the Research Council of Norway and the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority. Genotyping of the ParkWest cohort was supported by the Michael J. Fox Foundation and Biogen. The Norwegian ParkWest study has received support from the Research Council of Norway (grant# 177966), the Western Norway Regional Health Authority (grant# 911218 and grant# 912014), and the Norwegian Parkinson Research Foundation. This work was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services; project number Z01 AG000949–06.

Financial disclosures

Madeleine Kristiansen: None

Jodi Maple-Grødem: Grants from the Norwegian Parkinson Research Foundation.

Guido Alves: Grants from the Western Norway Regional Health Authority and the Norwegian Parkinson Research Foundation.

Sampath Arepalli: U.S. government employee

Dena G. Hernandez: U.S. government employee

Hiroataka Iwaki: Grant from Michael J Fox Foundation

Mike A. Nalls: Dr. Nalls reports and is supported by a consulting contract between Data Tecnica International and the National Institute on Aging, NIH, Bethesda MD, USA. He also consults for Illumina Inc., the Michael J Fox Foundation and University of California Helathcare among others.

Andrew Singleton: U.S. government employee

Ole-Bjørn Tysnes: None

Mathias Toft: Grants from the Research Council of Norway, the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority and Michael J Fox Foundation

Lasse Pihlstrøm: Grants from the Norwegian Health Association, the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority, Michael J Fox Foundation and the Norwegian Parkinson Research Foundation

References

1. Thomas B, Beal MF. Parkinson's disease. *Hum Mol Genet* 2007;16 Spec No. 2:R183–194 [PubMed: 17911161]
2. Pihlstrom L, Toft M. Parkinson's disease: What remains of the “missing heritability”? *Mov Disord* 2011;26:1971–1973 [PubMed: 21812035]
3. Nalls MA, Escott-Price V, Williams NM, et al. Genetic risk and age in Parkinson's disease: Continuum not stratum. *Mov Disord* 2015;30:850–854 [PubMed: 25778492]

4. Pihlstrom L, Toft M. Cumulative genetic risk and age at onset in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2015;30:1712–1713 [PubMed: 26234887]
5. Lill CM, Hansen J, Olsen JH, et al. Impact of Parkinson's disease risk loci on age at onset. *Mov Disord* 2015;30:847–850 [PubMed: 25914293]
6. Pihlstrom L, Morset KR, Grimstad E, et al. A cumulative genetic risk score predicts progression in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2016;31:487–490 [PubMed: 26853697]
7. Paul KC, Schulz J, Bronstein JM, et al. Association of Polygenic Risk Score With Cognitive Decline and Motor Progression in Parkinson Disease. *JAMA Neurol* 2018;75:360–366 [PubMed: 29340614]
8. Gaare JJ, Skeie GO, Tzoulis C, et al. Familial aggregation of Parkinson's disease may affect progression of motor symptoms and dementia. *Mov Disord* 2017;32:241–245 [PubMed: 27862270]
9. Pihlstrom L, Axelsson G, Bjornara KA, et al. Supportive evidence for 11 loci from genome-wide association studies in Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 2013;34:1708 e1707–1713
10. Alves G, Muller B, Herlofson K, et al. Incidence of Parkinson's disease in Norway: the Norwegian ParkWest study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2009;80:851–857 [PubMed: 19246476]
11. Hamza TH, Zabetian CP, Tenesa A, et al. Common genetic variation in the HLA region is associated with late-onset sporadic Parkinson's disease. *Nat Genet* 2010;42:781–785 [PubMed: 20711177]
12. Maraganore DM, de Andrade M, Lesnick TG, et al. High-resolution whole-genome association study of Parkinson disease. *Am J Hum Genet* 2005;77:685–693 [PubMed: 16252231]
13. Pankratz N, Wilk JB, Latourelle JC, et al. Genomewide association study for susceptibility genes contributing to familial Parkinson disease. *Hum Genet* 2009;124:593–605 [PubMed: 18985386]
14. Nalls MA, Keller MF, Hernandez DG, et al. Baseline genetic associations in the Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative (PPMI). *Mov Disord* 2016;31:79–85 [PubMed: 26268663]

Table 1

The relationship between genetic risk, age at onset and family history

| | | Genetic risk score quintile | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Mean age at onset | Negative family history | 60.6 | 58.2 | 57.7 | 57.6 | 57.3 |
| | Positive family history | 61.9 | 61.1 | 61.1 | 59.8 | 59.4 |
| | | Age at onset quintile | | | | |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Mean genetic risk Z-score | Negative family history | 0.61 | 0.58 | 0.46 | 0.41 | 0.35 |
| | Positive family history | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.63 | 0.52 | 0.46 |

The table shows mean age at onset across quintiles of genetic risk and vice versa, contrasting the groups of positive versus negative family history Parkinson's disease patients. Higher genetic risk is consistently seen with earlier onset. Yet with similar genetic burden, patients with family history have higher age at onset. Given similar age at onset, patients with family history have higher genetic risk score.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript