

### **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript Lancet Infect Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 December 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Lancet Infect Dis. 2018 December; 18(12): e399-e407. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(18)30159-2.

## Advancing the public health applications of *Chlamydia trachomatis* serology

Sarah C Woodhall, PhD, Rachel J Gorwitz, MD, Stephanie J Migchelsen, MSc, Sami L Gottlieb, MD, Patrick J Horner, MD, William M Geisler, MD, Catherine Winstanley, PhD, Katrin Hufnagel, MSc, Tim Waterboer, PhD, Diana L Martin, PhD, Wilhelmina M Huston, PhD, Charlotte A Gaydos, DrPH, Carolyn Deal, PhD, Magnus Unemo, PhD, J Kevin Dunbar, MBChB, and Kyle Bernstein, PhD

Blood Safety, Hepatitis, Sexually Transmitted Infections (STI) and HIV Service, Public Health England, London, UK (S C Woodhall PhD,S J Migchelsen MSc,J K Dunbar MBChB); National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit in Evaluation of Interventions (S C Woodhall, P J Horner MD, J K Dunbar) and Population Health Sciences (P J Horner), University of Bristol, Bristol, UK; National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit in Blood Borne and Sexually Transmitted Infections, University College London, London, UK (S C Woodhall, J K Dunbar); Division of STD Prevention (R J Gorwitz MD, K Bernstein PhD) and Division of Parasitic Diseases and Malaria (D L Martin PhD), US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA; Clinical Research Department, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK (S J Migchelsen); Department of Reproductive Health and Research, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland (S L Gottlieb MD); Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA (W M Geisler MD); Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK (C Winstanley PhD); Division of Molecular Diagnostics of Oncogenic Infections, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany (K Hufnagel MSc, T Waterboer PhD); School of Life Sciences, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia (W M Huston PhD); Division of Infectious Diseases, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore MD, USA (C A Gaydos DrPH); Division of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA (C Deal PhD); and WHO Collaborating Centre for Gonorrhoea and Other Sexually Transmitted Infections, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden (M Unemo PhD)

#### Abstract

Declaration of interests

All other authors declare no competing interests.

Correspondence to: Dr Sarah C Woodhall, Centre of Infectious Disease Surveillance and Control, Public Health England, London NW9 5EQ, UK s.woodhall@nhs.net. Contributors

SCW, RJG, JKD, and KB organised and delivered the expert meeting, which was attended by all the authors. SCW and SJM did the literature searches. SCW wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors commented on the manuscript and approved the final version

WMG has received grants from Genocea Biosciences and Moderna Therapeutics, outside the submitted work. WMH has a pending patent for *C trachomatis* diagnostic peptide and method (PCT/AU2013/001333). PJH has received personal fees from the Crown Prosecution Service, the British Association for Sexual Health and HIV, grants from Mast Group, and non-financial support from Hologic, outside the submitted work. Additionally, PJH has a patent for a sialidase spot test to diagnose bacterial vaginosis issued to the University of Bristol, Bristol, UK.

Genital Chlamydia trachomatis infection is the most commonly diagnosed sexually transmitted infection. Trachoma is caused by ocular infection with C trachomatis and is the leading infectious cause of blindness worldwide. New serological assays for C trachomatis could facilitate improved understanding of C trachomatis epidemiology and prevention. C trachomatis serology offers a means of investigating the incidence of chlamydia infection and might be developed as a biomarker of scarring sequelae, such as pelvic inflammatory disease. Therefore, serological assays have potential as epidemiological tools to quantify unmet need, inform service planning, evaluate interventions including screening and treatment, and to assess new vaccine candidates. However, questions about the performance characteristics and interpretation of C trachomatis serological assays remain, which must be addressed to advance development within this field. In this Personal View, we explore the available information about *C* trachomatis serology and propose several priority actions. These actions involve development of target product profiles to guide assay selection and assessment across multiple applications and populations, establishment of a serum bank to facilitate assay development and evaluation, and development of technical and statistical methods for assay evaluation and analysis of serological findings. The field of C trachomatis serology will benefit from collaboration across the public health community to align technological developments with their potential applications.

#### Introduction

Chlamydia trachomatis is an obligate intracellular bacterium that can cause both genital and ocular infections. Genital C trachomatis infection is the most common, curable STI worldwide, with an estimated 131 million new cases each year.<sup>1</sup> Most infections are asymptomatic, but if left untreated can cause scarring of the upper reproductive tract in women and lead to serious complications in women, including pelvic inflammatory disease, ectopic pregnancy, and tubal factor infertility.<sup>2</sup> Trachoma is caused by ocular infection with *C trachomatis* and is the leading infectious cause of blindness worldwide.<sup>3</sup> WHO estimates that around 190 million people are at risk ofblindness from trachoma, with most of these people living in Africa. There has been substantial investment in the past decade in public health programmes to control both genital and ocular infection due to C trachomatis. Control efforts for genital chlamydia have largely focused on enhanced detection and treatment of Ctrachomatis among young adults, and for trachoma control efforts have focused on improved access to water, sanitation, and hygiene, and mass drug administration with azithromycin in affected communities.<sup>3</sup> However, several important questions remain about *C trachomatis* epidemiology, the most effective means of control, and optimal models of surveillance.<sup>4–6</sup> Given the ongoing control efforts and the promise of new C trachomatis vaccines,<sup>7</sup> robust methods are needed to allow monitoring of and insight into the prevalence and incidence of chlamydia infection,<sup>8</sup> and the progression to scarring sequelae. Measures of current infection based on DNA or RNA detection (ie, using nucleic acid amplification tests) provide an inadequate understanding of these features of C trachomatis infection. Therefore, alternative approaches are required, and in recent years interest in the use of serological assays within the fields of genital chlamydia and trachoma has been increasing.

Methods to detect *C trachomatis* antibodies in serum have been available for several decades.<sup>9</sup> However, use of serological assays has been limited by *C trachomatis*'

crossreactivity with other *Chlamydia* spp,<sup>10</sup> suboptimal sensitivity of many assays,<sup>11,12</sup> an incomplete understanding about the longevity and clinical implications of *C trachomatis* antibodies, and the relationship between *C trachomatis* infection and antibody response.<sup>11</sup> Consequently, the widespread use of chlamydia seroepidemiology decreased among researchers for several years, as did funding. Following the development of novel, sensitive, and more specific *C trachomatis* serological assays,<sup>13–17</sup> there is now growing interest in the use of these assays as an epidemiological tool. For example, assays have been developed with the capability to detect antibodies against a range of *C trachomatis* antigens, lateral flow assays are being evaluated for field use, and dried blood spots have been used to facilitate specimen collection, transport, and storage ( table 1).

The current understanding of mucosal immunity and *C trachomatis* immunology suggests that urogenital and ocular infections with C trachomatis lead to detectable IgG response with use of appropriate serological assays in most confirmed infections.<sup>13–15,23</sup> Several factors affect the magnitude of IgG response and the ability of serological assays to detect a previous C trachomatis infection, including the target antibody, assay used, time since infection, and patient characteristics such as age, sex, race, and the number of previous Ctrachomatis infections.<sup>13,14,16,58</sup> In a UK-based study<sup>13,15</sup> that compared several assays in the same population, the sensitivity to detect a previous known chlamydia infection ranged from 46% (IgG pELISA plus medac assay, medac, Wedel, Germany) to 83% (Pgp3 doubleantigen) in women and 40% (SeroCT; Savyon Diagnostics, Ashdod, Israel) to 54% (Pgp3 double-antigen) in men when compared with a previous C trachomatis diagnosis by nucleic acid amplification tests. Seroreversion (ie, loss of detectable antibodies) has been shown in some cases but varies by infection history and assay,<sup>58</sup> with minimal loss of detectable antibody reported in one study using a Pgp3 double-antigen ELISA.<sup>15</sup> In a study<sup>59</sup> of C trachomatis seroprevalence in the context of mass azithromycin treatment for trachoma prevention in a high prevalence area, no instances of seroreversion were observed after 6 months. C trachomatis serological assays can therefore be used to measure age-specific cumulative incidence,<sup>11,18</sup> despite representing a lower bound estimate due to potentially incomplete seroconversion and loss of detectable antibodies over time. C trachomatis antibody response has also been found to correlate with a known history of scarring sequelae. For example, titres of *C* trachomatis antibodies have been found to be higher in women with tubal factor infertility than in women without this disease and some specific antibodies have been found to be more common in women with known disease than in those without disease.<sup>47</sup> Thus, serological assays might be used as a potential biomarker of disease.

Because the sensitivity of serological assays is inadequate, *C trachomatis* serology has limited diagnostic value; in the absence of genetic diagnostic methods it is an accepted tool for presumptive diagnosis of lymphogranuloma venereum,<sup>60</sup> but it is not used for diagnosis of other biovars. Instead, *C trachomatis* serological assays have a potential role in monitoring and surveillance by providing a measure of history of *C trachomatis* exposure among individuals tested. When applied to appropriate samples, such measures might be useful to inform resource allocation and possible clinical need by indicating the size of the population affected, and the effect of population-based interventions such as screening or mass drug administration.

Therefore in this Personal View, we explore the potential public health applications of *C trachomatis* serology, discuss key challenges of its use, and finally propose priorities for research and development that might help advance the field of *C trachomatis* control. This work grew out of an expert meeting convened by Public Health England in September, 2016 (The Public Health applications of *Chlamydia trachomatis* serology; London, UK), and subsequent discussions of studies relating to different assays presented at the 2016 European Society for Chlamydia Research meeting (Oxford, Sept 6–9, 2016).

#### Public health applications of C trachomatis serology

C trachomatis serology provides a means of quantifying the prevalence and incidence of chlamydia infection. A thorough understanding of population-level C trachomatis prevalence and incidence is crucial to identify unmet needs for screening and treatment services and to evaluate the impact of C trachomatis control interventions. However, obtaining reliable estimates of *C* trachomatis prevalence and incidence is challenging. In the case of genital chlamydia, most C trachomatis infections are asymptomatic, so an increase in screening would lead to an increase in reported diagnoses.<sup>61</sup> As a result, surveillance is often centred on only case-based reporting, with scarce information about numbers of patients tested, resulting in an underestimate of the true incidence of *C trachomatis* infection.<sup>61</sup> Furthermore, comparability of surveillance data between countries is limited by differences in testing recommendations, performance characteristics of diagnostic tests, and reporting policies and practices.<sup>62</sup> Even when the total number of people tested in a given country is known, interpreting estimates of the proportion of people who test positive for chlamydia infection is difficult, because the tested population has a different underlying risk from the general population. Therefore, the proportion testing positive will often present a biased measure of prevalence.<sup>61,63</sup> Few countries have undertaken surveys of prevalence in samples of the general population, and when they have been done,<sup>64–66</sup> the surveys were resource intensive. Such studies are unlikely to be feasible in many countries, because of the costs involved.

C trachomatis seroprevalence as a marker of cumulative incidence has been used in several countries<sup>15,18,22,29,30,67</sup> to assess *C trachomatis* epidemiology, and in some cases to investigate population effect of control interventions. In the field of trachoma, mass drug administration programmes have been successful in reducing C trachomatis infection and C trachomatis-related ocular disease.<sup>24,68,69</sup> Longitudinal C trachomatis serology monitoring has strong potential as a tool for post-elimination surveillance, 40-42 and so provides an opportunity to evaluate programme effectiveness and possibly a further understanding of the public health response needed in countries where trachoma has not been eliminated.<sup>70</sup> Subject to certain assumptions about the population sampled, C trachomatis incidence can be estimated from repeated, cross-sectional *C trachomatis* seroprevalence surveys,<sup>71</sup> although it would be necessary to adjust for imperfect assay sensitivity and specificity (AE Ades, University of Bristol, personal communication). C trachomatis serology can also be used to detect step changes in exposures by birth cohort, which would be expected in the context of control measures.<sup>40,72</sup> Distinguishing between recent and past or long-standing infections would also help determine the incidence of disease, and methods are already being developed to enable C trachomatis serology to be used for this purpose.<sup>73</sup>

Another potential application of *C* trachomatis serology is as a measure of diseases related to chlamydia infections, such as pelvic inflammatory disease or ectopic pregnancy. Because the end goal of *C* trachomatis control is to reduce the incidence of disease, monitoring of disease biomarkers and not just of infection might improve understanding of whether *C* trachomatis control is leading to a reduction in reproductive sequelae, even in the absence of substantial reductions in transmission. A *C* trachomatis-related diseases might occur many years after the causative infection and *C* trachomatis is not the only cause of long-term reproductive complications such as pelvic inflammatory disease, ectopic pregnancy, and tubal factor infertility.<sup>2</sup> Measures of the proportion of long-term sequelae that are attributable to *C* trachomatis infection (the so-called population excess fraction) are also essential to determine the need for and cost-effectiveness of control interventions.

Serological methods have been used to investigate the relationship between *C* trachomatis infection and sequelae as well as to estimate the proportion of long-term sequelae attributable to genital *C* trachomatis infection.<sup>20,21,32,52,74</sup> Novel approaches also offer some promise in this area; for example, Ades and colleagues<sup>52</sup> have developed a method using finite mixture modelling of antibody titre to estimate the population excess fraction of tubal factor infertility caused by chlamydia infection. Additionally, proteomic arrays are also being assessed as a means of identifying serological fingerprints to indicate the presence of disease related to genital *C* trachomatis infection<sup>50</sup> and scarring following ocular infection.<sup>49</sup>

Another potential application of *C trachomatis* serology is development and evaluation of *C trachomatis* vaccines. The joint WHO and National Institutes of Health (NIH) sexually transmitted infection vaccine roadmap<sup>75</sup> has set out the need for an effective *C trachomatis* vaccine. Substantial progress towards this vaccine has been made in recent years, with candidate vaccines now in the preclinical and clinical testing phases.<sup>7</sup> Several priority action areas set out in the WHO and NIH roadmap might be addressed through the development and application of serological assays. This includes using serological assays to obtain better epidemiological data, improve understanding of the natural history of *C trachomatis* and burden of sequelae, and expedite clinical development and evaluation of candidate vaccines, thereby encouraging investment in *C trachomatis* vaccine development. Spe-cifically, if acting as biomarkers for disease *C trachomatis* serological assays could be used to obtain more complete and precise estimates of the global burden of *C trachomatis*-associated sequelae, which are important for establishing the public health rationale for vaccination and for potential investors to assess the possible effect of investing in any successful vaccine candidate.<sup>7</sup>

When a safe vaccine candidate does enter a phase 3 clinical trial, *C trachomatis* serology could help identify *C trachomatis*-naive participants for recruitment, and help develop vaccination strategies through an understanding of age-specific exposure. Vaccine evaluation would also benefit from a biomarker of tubal damage for use as part of a clinical endpoint for assessing vaccine efficacy, because of the current diagnostic inaccuracy for *C trachomatis*-related outcomes such as pelvic inflammatory disease.<sup>2</sup> The time and resources needed for a clinical trial of candidate *C trachomatis* vaccines with pelvic inflammatory disease or tubal factor infertility as outcomes might also be prohibitive. It is unclear whether

serology will be able to provide such a biomarker of tubal damage, and serological methods might need to be used in combinaton with cellular markers or radiological findings. However, serology is an important area of research given the need for such measures in any future vaccine evaluation. Because *C trachomatis* infections (with the exception of lymphogranuloma venereum) are localised in the columnar epithelium, detection of antibodies from genital secretions has been proposed as a means of investigating correlates of immune protection against *C trachomatis*,<sup>23</sup> which might complement serological investigations. Assessment of vaccine-induced immune responses will depend on the vaccine's mechanism of action. Assays that distinguish between the natural and vaccine-induced antibody response will therefore be needed.

#### Key challenges to the use of C trachomatis serology in public health

Although progress has been made in recent years, some important challenges remain within the field of *C trachomatis* serology that need to be addressed to improve the use and value of new serological assays in a public health context. Interpretation of *C trachomatis* seroprevalence is difficult; several complexities exist and include the following: not everyone exposed to *C trachomatis* will become infected, some individuals with this infection might not develop antibodies, women are more likely to develop detectable antibodies than men following urogenital *C trachomatis* in-fection,<sup>13,18,76</sup> *C trachomatis* antibodies are not specific to the infection site (ie, ocular or urogenital), and seroprevalence can vary with the number of previous infections and time since infection as antibodies and doing statistical analyses.

Determining assay sensitivity and specificity in the absence of universal guidelines is also challenging. The population that will be tested should be carefully considered when selecting positive and negative controls (ie, those with or without infection or disease) and setting assay thresholds. For example, a study aimed at investigating *C trachomatis* infection might need a different definition of positive and negative controls than a study aimed at investigating *C trachomatis-associated* disease. A further challenge involves the choice of thresholds that define *C trachomatis* antibody response in different populations, because serological assays might be affected by differences in cross-reactivity and background antibody concentrations, which can vary for example by country or ethnicity, or both.

The relative performance of different tests cannot easily be determined without evaluation against the same reference sera. Some laboratory-developed assays have been compared with commercial assays or other laboratory-developed assays,<sup>1315,45,46</sup> but there are few data available to show how different assays perform within the same population. To establish performance characteristics of *C trachomatis* serological assays for different applications and populations, large numbers of serum samples linked to well characterised clinical and demographical information are needed. Serum samples from previous studies (eg, human papillomavirus vaccine trials<sup>77</sup> and HIV unlinked anonymous testing<sup>78</sup>) or residual samples from clinical testing<sup>67</sup> could be used, but they often have limited clinical or demographical information, and varying access arrangements mean that assays have not been evaluated on comparable samples.

Optimal test characteristics might vary between different applications of *C* trachomatis serology, suggesting that different characteristics might be prioritised. For example, a test to measure whether someone has had *C* trachomatis infection will need to detect antibodies that persist over time at relatively low concentrations with high specificity. However, a test that is used to estimate the population excess fraction would ideally be able to distinguish between complicated and uncomplicated *C* trachomatis infections (eg, by identifying high versus low concentrations of antibody in serum,<sup>52</sup> the antibody subclass,<sup>14</sup> or antibodies specifically associated with complications). <sup>47</sup>

Similarly, the context in which an assay is to be deployed will influence prioritisation. For example, in a research setting, tests could be more operator-intensive and less cost-effective than tests used for ongoing surveillance given limited government budgets. Furthermore, a test requiring high volume of sera might be acceptable in a setting where additional blood can be collected from consenting patients, whereas surveillance systems relying on leftover sera from routine testing might have an inadequate volume available. Applications of *C trachomatis* serological assays in a surveillance context might be more tolerant to some reduced precision than when used within a vaccine trial, where previous infection needs to be ruled out to precisely define populations for inclusion in any efficacy analysis.

#### Priority actions for research and development

To address these challenges, we suggest three priority actions for research and development (panel).

#### Generating target product profiles

Target product profiles originated in the field of drug development to focus discussions between regulatory authorities and research sponsors. They allow the drug development process to be directed with the end goal in mind, so that both patient and market needs are met.<sup>79</sup> The process of establishing target product profiles is now commonly used in drug and vaccine development and their use has also extended into the field of diagnostics— eg, for tuberculosis<sup>80</sup> and point-of-care diagnostics for sexually transmitted infections.<sup>81</sup> Target product profiles for *C trachomatis* serological assays should establish the minimal and optimal assay requirements for the different applications previously described. Table 2 sets out some of the initial considerations that can be used to inform target product profiles. A target product profile requires broad technical consultation across clinical, microbiological, and epidemiological fields, as well as representation from vaccine and diagnostic development companies, research groups, public health agencies, and funders.

#### Establishing a serum bank

A well defined serum bank focused on the evaluation of *C trachomatis* serology will be an invaluable resource. The value of serum banks in research of infectious diseases was recently set out in *The Lancet*,<sup>82</sup> which highlighted the role serological studies could play in understanding worldwide distribution of disease and argued for the establishment of a World Serology Bank. The development of a *C trachomatis*-specific serum bank would enable clear and fair access to specimens and relevant epidemiological and clinical data (eg, age, sex, and

a history of *C trachomatis* diagnosis). A serum bank would have the potential to support the development and evaluation of serological assays, and to facilitate identification of potential vaccine targets and correlates of protection. The bank should include sera from women and men of a variety of countries, ages, and ethnicities. These individuals would also have a range of characteristics that include varying histories of *C trachomatis* diagnosis, incorporating different times since treatment and different numbers of known infections; those with and without reproductive complications; and those exposed to potentially cross-reactive pathogens such as *Chlamydia pneumoniae*. Some applications of *C trachomatis* serology, such as the identification of biomarkers of scarring sequelae or developing serological assays to distinguish between infection and exposure, might also benefit from simultaneous assessment of cellular immunity. Therefore, collections that incorporate both serum and whole blood specimens would be particularly valuable, although it would require different regulatory permissions in some settings and would incur additional expenses for collection and storage arrangements.

#### Developing methods for assay evaluation and analysis

Shared protocols to guide assay evaluation would allow for comparability of estimates with use of *C trachomatis* serological methods across assays and increase consistency of reporting. Evaluation protocols should incorporate a consensus position on optimum methods of estimating sensitivity and specificity of *C trachomatis* serological assays, and recognise the need for selection of controls and assay thresholds to be determined according to the intended application while also considering the potential for cross-reactivity. Future efforts should also focus on development and application of statistical methods to appropriately analyse *C trachomatis* serological findings.

#### Conclusions

As the reported incidence of cases of *C* trachomatis infection remains high or continues to increase in many developed countries, the use of *C* trachomatis serology in several areas of public health is likely to be effective, and has already provided further insight into *C* trachomatis epidemiology and natural history. We explored the available information about *C* trachomatis serology and identified three priority actions that we believe would directly benefit public health and advance knowledge within the *C* trachomatis field.

As public health agencies continue to address the high rates of *C trachomatis* infection and the considerable morbidity that arises as a result, a more data-driven approach to programmatic decision making at the country, state, and municipality level is essential. Promising interventions, including vaccines, do and will need robust measures for estimating the population at risk and for determining the potential effect of prevention measures. *C trachomatis* serology is a promising additional tool for public health that could help improve the understanding of the populations at risk and could support the development of novel and effective interventions.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank all participants at the Public Health England expert meeting for their contribution to the discussions. We also thank Paula Blomquist and Nastassya Chandra (Public Health England, London, UK) for their assistance with organising and delivering the meeting. The meeting was supported by funds from Public Health England, SCW thanks Anne Johnson (UCL, London, UK), Anthony Nardone and Kate Soldan (Public Health England, London, UK) and Myra McClure (Imperial College London, London, UK) for mentorship, supervision and expert advice received during her PhD and her work with Public Health England, which informed many of the ideas discussed at the meeting and provided motivation for its inception SCW, PJH, and JKD wish to acknowledge support from the National Institute of Health Research Health Protection Research Unit (NIHR HPRU) in Evaluation of Interventions at the University of Bristol and the NIHR HPRU in Blood Borne and Sexually Transmitted Infections at University College London in partnership with Public Health England. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Health Service, the NIHR, the UK Department of Health, Public Health England, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or WHO.

#### References

- Newman L, Rowley J, Vander Hoorn S, et al. Global estimates of the prevalence and incidence of four curable sexually transmitted infections in 2012 based on systematic review and global reporting. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0143304. [PubMed: 26646541]
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Chlamydia control in Europe: literature review. Feb 12, 2014 https://ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/chlamydia-control-europeliterature-review (accessed Nov 1, 2017).
- WHO. Trachoma factsheet. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2017 http://www.who.int/ mediacentre/factsheets/fs382/en/ (accessed Nov 1, 2017).
- 4. Chow JM, Bauer HM. What data are really needed to evaluate the population impact of chlamydia screening programs? Sex Transm Dis 2016; 43: 9–11. [PubMed: 26650989]
- 5. Davies B, Ward H. A pathway to chlamydia control: updated ECDC guidance. Sex Transm Infect 2016; 92: 483–84. [PubMed: 26977087]
- 6. Price MJ, Ades AE, Soldan K, et al. The natural history of Chlamydia trachomatis infection in women: a multi-parameter evidence synthesis. Health Technol Assess 2016; 20: 1–250.
- Poston TB, Gottlieb SL, Darville T. Status of vaccine research and development of vaccines for Chlamydia trachomatis infection. Vaccine 2017; published online Jan 19. DOI:10.1016/j.vaccine. 2017.01.023.
- The Lancet Infectious Diseases. Time to take sexually transmitted infections seriously. Lancet Infect Dis 2016; 16: 981. [PubMed: 27684331]
- 9. Wang SP, Grayston JT. Human serology in Chlamydia trachomatis infection with microimmunofluorescence. J Infect Dis 1974; 130: 388–97. [PubMed: 4613759]
- Persson K The role of serology, antibiotic susceptibility testing and serovar determination in genital chlamydial infections. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2002; 16: 801–14. [PubMed: 12473283]
- Johnson AM, Horner P. A new role for Chlamydia trachomatis serology? Sex Transm Infect 2008; 84: 79–80. [PubMed: 18256104]
- Peeling RW, Wang SP, Grayston JT, Blasi F, Boman J, Clad A. Chlamydia serology: interlaboratory variation in microimmunofluorescence results. In: Stephens RS, Byrne GI, Al E, eds. Chlamydial infections proceedings of the 9th International Symposium on human chlamydial infections Napa, CA: International Chlamydia Symposium, 1998: 159–62.
- Wills GS, Horner PJ, Reynolds R, et al. Pgp3 antibody enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, a sensitive and specific assay for seroepidemiological analysis of Chlamydia trachomatis infection. Clin Vaccine Immunol 2009; 16: 835–43. [PubMed: 19357314]
- Geisler WM, Morrison SG, Doemland ML, et al. Immunoglobulin-specific responses to Chlamydia elementary bodies in individuals with and at risk for genital chlamydial infection. J Infect Dis 2012; 206: 1836–43. [PubMed: 23045619]
- Horner PJ, Wills GS, Righarts A, et al. Chlamydia trachomatis Pgp3 antibody persists and correlates with self-reported infection and behavioural risks in a blinded cohort study. PLoS One 2016; 11: e0151497 [PubMed: 26974653]

- Winstanley CE, Ramsey KH, Marsh P, Clarke IN. Development and evaluation of an enzymelinked immunosorbent assay for the detection of antibodies to a common urogenital derivative of Chlamydia trachomatis plasmid-encoded PGP3. J Immunol Methods 2017; 445: 23–30. [PubMed: 28283408]
- 17. Goodhew EB, Priest JW, Moss DM, et al. CT694 and pgp3 as serological tools for monitoring trachoma programs. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2012; 6: e1873. [PubMed: 23133684]
- Woodhall SC, Wills GS, Horner PJ, et al. Chlamydia trachomatis Pgp3 antibody population seroprevalence before and during an era of widespread opportunistic chlamydia screening in England (1994—2012). PLoS One 2017; 12: e0152810. [PubMed: 28129328]
- Muzny CA, Kapil R, Austin EL, Brown L, Hook EW, 3rd, Geisler WM. Chlamydia trachomatis infection in African American women who exclusively have sex with women. Int J STD AIDS 2016; 27: 978–83. [PubMed: 26384942]
- Steiner AZ, Diamond MP, Legro RS, et al. Chlamydia trachomatis immunoglobulin G3 seropositivity is a predictor of reproductive outcomes in infertile women with patent fallopian tubes. Fertil Steril 2015; 104: 1522–26. [PubMed: 26413816]
- Gorwitz RJ, Wiesenfeld HC, Chen PL, et al. Population-attributable fraction of tubal factor infertility associated with chlamydia. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017; 217: 336e1–16. [PubMed: 28532600]
- 22. Righarts AA, Morgan J, Horner PJ, Wills GS, McClure MO, Dickson NP. Chlamydia trachomatis incidence using self-reports and serology by gender, age period, and sexual behavior in a birth cohort. Sex Transm Dis 2017; 44: 344–50. [PubMed: 28499283]
- Albritton HL, Kozlowski PA, Lillis RA, et al. A novel whole-bacterial enzyme linkedimmunosorbant assay to quantify Chlamydia trachomatis specific antibodies reveals distinct differences between systemic and genital compartments. PLoS One 2017; 12: e0183101. [PubMed: 28797112]
- 24. Migchelsen SJ, Martin DL, Southisombath K, et al. Defining seropositivity thresholds for use in trachoma elimination studies. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2017; 11: e0005230. [PubMed: 28099433]
- Cocks N, Rainima-Qaniuci M, Yalen C, et al. Community seroprevalence survey for yaws and trachoma in the Western Division of Fiji. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2016; 110: 582–87 [PubMed: 27852877]
- 26. Menon S, Stansfield SH, Logan B, et al. Development and evaluation of a multi-antigen peptide ELISA for the diagnosis of Chlamydia trachomatis related infertility in women. J Med Microbiol 2016; 65: 919–22.
- 27. Stansfield SH, Patel P, Debattista J, et al. Proof of concept: a bioinformatic and serological screening method for identifying new peptide antigens for Chlamydia trachomatis related sequelae in women. Results Immunol 2013; 3: 33–39. [PubMed: 24600556]
- Menon S, Stansfield SH, Walsh M, et al. Sero-epidemiological assessment of Chlamydia trachomatis infection and sub-fertility in Samoan women. BMC Infect Dis 2016; 16: 175. [PubMed: 27102989]
- Lyytikäinen E, Kaasila M, Hiltunen-Back E, et al. A discrepancy of Chlamydia trachomatis incidence and prevalence trends in Finland 1983–2003. BMC Infect Dis 2008; 8: 169. [PubMed: 19094207]
- 30. van Aar F, de Moraes M, Morre SA, et al. Chlamydia trachomatis IgG seroprevalence in the general population of the Netherlands in 1996 and in 2007: differential changes by gender and age. Sex Transm Infect 2014; 90: 434–40.
- Honkila M, Wikström E, Renko M, et al. Probability of vertical transmission of Chlamydia trachomatis estimated from national registry data. Sex Transm Infect 2017; 93: 416–20. [PubMed: 28228485]
- 32. Price MJ, Ades AE, Welton NJ, et al. How much tubal factor infertility is caused by Chlamydia? Estimates based on serological evidence corrected for sensitivity and specificity. Sex Transm Dis 2012; 39: 608–13. [PubMed: 22801343]
- 33. Hoenderboom BM, van Oeffelen AA, van Benthem BH, et al. The Netherlands Chlamydia Cohort Study (NECCST) protocol to assess the risk of late complications following Chlamydia trachomatis infection in women. BMC Infect Dis 2017; 17: 264. [PubMed: 28399813]

- 34. Łój B, Brodowska A, Ciecwiez S, et al. The role of serological testing for Chlamydia trachomatis in differential diagnosis of pelvic pain. Ann Agric Environ Med 2016; 23: 506–10. [PubMed: 27660878]
- 35. Sattari M, Ghiami Rad M, Ghasemzadeh A, Mohammadoghli Reihan Z. Frequency of anti-Chlamydia trachomatis antibodies in infertile women referred to Tabriz Al-Zahra hospital. Int J Reprod Biomed (Yazd) 2017; 15: 17–20. [PubMed: 28280796]
- Olaleye O, Olamijulo JA. The value of chlamydial antibody level for predicting tubal blockage among women undergoing hysterosalpingography in Lagos, Nigeria. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2016; 134: 33–36. [PubMed: 27177510]
- Singh S, Bhandari S, Agarwal P, Chittawar P, Thakur R. Chlamydia antibody testing helps in identifying females with possible tubal factor infertility. Int J Reprod Biomed (Yazd) 2016; 14: 187–92. [PubMed: 27294217]
- Rantsi T, Joki-Korpela P, Wikström E, et al. Population-based study of prediagnostic antibodies to Chlamydia trachomatis in relation to adverse pregnancy outcome. Sex Transm Dis 2016; 43: 382– 87 [PubMed: 27196260]
- 39. Toši -Paji J, Šekli D, Radenkovi J, et al. Augmented oxidative stress in infertile women with persistent chlamydial infection. Reprod Biol 2017; 17: 120–25. [PubMed: 28336198]
- 40. Martin DL, Bid R, Sandi F, et al. Serology for trachoma surveillance after cessation of mass drug administration. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2015; 9: e0003555. [PubMed: 25714363]
- 41. West SK, Munoz B, Weaver J, et al. Can we use antibodies to Chlamydia trachomatis as a surveillance tool for national trachoma control programs? Results from a district survey. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2016; 10: e0004352. [PubMed: 26771906]
- Zambrano AI, Sharma S, Crowley K, et al. The World Health Organization recommendations for trachoma surveillance, experience in Nepal and added benefit of testing for antibodies to Chlamydia trachomatis Pgp3 protein: NESTS Study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2016; 10: e0005003. [PubMed: 27654497]
- 43. Pant BP, Bhatta RC, Chaudhary JS, et al. Control of trachoma from Achham District, Nepal: a cross-sectional study from the Nepal National Trachoma Program. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2016; 10: e0004462. [PubMed: 26871898]
- 44. Willhauck-Fleckenstein M, Dondog B, Surcel H, et al. Assessment of risk factors for Chlamydia trachomatis exposure in the female population and in cervical cancer patients in Mongolia by a new multiplex serology assay. Eighth Meeting of the European Society for Chlamydia Research; Oxford; Sept 6–9, 2016.
- 45. Gwyn S, Mitchell A, Dean D, Mkocha H, Handali S, Martin DL. Lateral flow-based antibody testing for Chlamydia trachomatis. J Immunol Methods 2016; 435: 27–31. [PubMed: 27208400]
- 46. Sun MJ, Zambrano AI, Dize L, et al. Evaluation of a field test for antibodies against Chlamydia trachomatis during trachoma surveillance in Nepal. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2017; 88: 3–6. [PubMed: 28214223]
- Budrys NM, Gong S, Rodgers AK, et al. Chlamydia trachomatis antigens recognized in women with tubal factor infertility, normal fertility, and acute infection. Obstet Gynecol 2012; 119: 1009– 16. [PubMed: 22525912]
- Lu C, Holland MJ, Gong S, et al. Genome-wide identification of Chlamydia trachomatis antigens associated with trachomatous trichiasis. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2012; 53: 2551–59. [PubMed: 22427578]
- Pickering H, Burr SE, Derrick T, et al. Profiling and validation of individual and patterns of Chlamydia trachomatis-specific antibody responses in trachomatous trichiasis. Parasit Vectors2017; 10: 143. [PubMed: 28288672]
- Hufnagel K, Lueong S, Willhauck-Fleckenstein M, et al. Immunoprofiling of Chlamydia trachomatis using whole-proteome microarrays generated by on-chip in situ expression. Sci Rep 2018; published online May 14. DOI:10.1038/s41598-018-25918-3.
- 51. Chernesky M, Luinstra K, Sellors J, et al. Can serology diagnose upper genital tract Chlamydia trachomatis infections? Studies on women with pelvic pain, with or without chlamydial plasmid DNA in endometrial biopsy tissue. Sex Transm Dis 1998; 25: 14–19. [PubMed: 9437779]

- 52. Ades AE, Price MJ, Kounali D, et al. Proportion of tubal factor infertility due to chlamydia: finite mixture modeling of serum antibody titers. Am J Epidemiol 2017; 185: 124–34. [PubMed: 28062393]
- Akande VA, Hunt LP, Cahill DJ, Caul EO, Ford WC, Jenkins JM. Tubal damage in infertile women: prediction using chlamydia serology. Hum Reprod 2003; 18: 1841–47 [PubMed: 12923136]
- 54. Claman P, Toye B, Peeling RW, Jessamine P, Belcher J. Serologic evidence of Chlamydia trachomatis infection and risk of preterm birth. CMAJ 1995; 153: 259–62. [PubMed: 7614441]
- 55. Wang SP, Grayston JT, Kuo CC, Alexander ER, Holmes KK. Serodiagnosis of Chlamydia trachomatis infection with the micro-immunofluorescence test In: Hobson D, Holmes KK, eds. Nongonococcal urethritis and related infections. Washington DC: American Society for Microbiology, 1977: 237–248.
- 56. Frisse AC, Marrazzo JM, Tutlam NT, et al. Validity of self-reported history of Chlamydia trachomatis infection. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017; 216: 393e1–7 [PubMed: 27988270]
- Moore KR, Smith JS, Cole SR, Dittmer DP, Schoenbach VJ, Baird DD. Chlamydia trachomatis seroprevalence and ultrasound-diagnosed uterine fibroids in a large population of young African-American women. Am J Epidemiol 2018; 187: 278–86. [PubMed: 28637238]
- Horner PJ, Wills GS, Reynolds R, et al. Effect of time since exposure to Chlamydia trachomatis on chlamydia antibody detection in women: a cross-sectional study. Sex Transm Infect 2013; 89: 398–403. [PubMed: 23430706]
- Goodhew EB, Morgan SM, Switzer AJ, et al. Longitudinal analysis of antibody responses to trachoma antigens before and after mass drug administration. BMC Infect Dis 2014; 14: 216. [PubMed: 24755001]
- 60. de Vries HJ, Zingoni A, Kreuter A, et al. 2013 European guideline on the management of lymphogranuloma venereum. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2015; 29: 1–6.
- Miller WC. Epidemiology of chlamydial infection: are we losing ground? Sex Transm Infect 2008; 84: 82–86. [PubMed: 18372493]
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Chlamydia—annual epidemiological report 2016 [2014 data]. Dec 30, 2016. http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/healthtopics/chlamydia/Pages/Annualepidemiological-report-2016.aspx (accessed Nov 1, 2017).
- 63. Satterwhite CL, Grier L, Patzer R, Weinstock H, Howards PP, Kleinbaum D. Chlamydia positivity trends among women attending family planning clinics: United States, 2004–2008. Sex Transm Dis 2011; 38: 989–94. [PubMed: 21992972]
- 64. Sonnenberg P, Clifton S, Beddows S, et al. Prevalence, risk factors, and uptake of interventions for sexually transmitted infections in Britain: findings from the National Surveys of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles (Natsal). Lancet 2013; 382: 1795–806. [PubMed: 24286785]
- 65. Torrone E, Papp J, Weinstock H, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Prevalence of Chlamydia trachomatis genital infection among persons aged 14—39 years—United States, 2007–12. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2014; 63: 83–38.
- 66. Klavs I, Rodrigues LC, Wellings K, Kese D, Hayes R. Prevalence of genital Chlamydia trachomatis infection in the general population of Slovenia: serious gaps in control. Sex Transm Infect 2004; 80: 121–23. [PubMed: 15054174]
- 67. Horner P, Soldan K, Vieira SM, et al. C trachomatis Pgp3 antibody prevalence in young women in England, 1993–2010. PLoS One 2013; 8: e72001. [PubMed: 23991024]
- 68. Solomon AW, Harding-Esch E, Alexander ND, et al. Two doses of azithromycin to eliminate trachoma in a Tanzanian community. N Engl J Med 2008; 358: 1870–71. [PubMed: 18434662]
- 69. Harding-Esch EM, Sillah A, Edwards T, et al. Mass treatment with azithromycin for trachoma: when is one round enough? Results from the PRET Trial in the Gambia. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2013; 7: e2115. [PubMed: 23785525]
- Admassu F, Bayu S, Bejiga A, Amare B. Active trachoma two years after three rounds of azithromycin mass treatment in Cheha District Gurage Zone, Southern Ethiopia. BMC Pediatr 2013; 13: 199. [PubMed: 24289535]
- 71. Becker NG. Analysis of infectious disease data. London: Chapman & Hall, 1989.

- 72. Sepulveda N, Stresman G, White MT, Drakeley CJ. Current mathematical models for analyzing anti-malarial antibody data with an eye to malaria elimination and eradication. J Immunol Res 2015; 2015: 738030. [PubMed: 26770994]
- Bakshi RK, Gupta K, Jordan SJ, et al. Immunoglobulin-based investigation of spontaneous resolution of Chlamydia trachomatis infection. J Infect Dis 2017; 215: 1653–56. [PubMed: 28444306]
- 74. Price MJ, Ades AE, Welton NJ, Simms I, Macleod J, Horner PJ. Proportion of pelvic inflammatory disease cases caused by Chlamydia trachomatis: consistent picture from different methods. J Infect Dis 2016; 214: 617–24. [PubMed: 27260786]
- Gottlieb SL, Deal CD, Giersing B, et al. The global roadmap for advancing development of vaccines against sexually transmitted infections: update and next steps. Vaccine 2016; 34: 2939– 47. [PubMed: 27105564]
- Wang J, Zhang Y, Lu C, Lei L, Yu P, Zhong G. A genome-wide profiling of the humoral immune response to Chlamydia trachomatis infection reveals vaccine candidate antigens expressed in humans. J Immunol 2010; 185: 1670–80. [PubMed: 20581152]
- Delere Y, Wichmann O, Klug SJ, et al. The efficacy and duration of vaccine protection against human papillomavirus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Dtsch Arztebl Int 2014; 111: 584– 91. [PubMed: 25249360]
- Datta J, Wellings K, Kessel A. 'Once the stuff's left my body, it's not me': service users' views on unlinked anonymous testing of blood for HIV. Cult Health Sex 2013; 15: 896–909. [PubMed: 23659556]
- 79. Food US and Administration Drug. Guidance for industry and review staff. Target product profile —a strategic development process tool. March, 2007 https://www.fda.gov/downloads/drugs/ guidancecomplianceregulatoryinformation/guidances/ucm080593pdf (accessedNov 1, 2017).
- 80. WHO. High-priority target product profiles for new tuberculosis diagnostics: report of a consensus meeting Geneva: World Health Organization, 2014.
- 81. WHO. STI Point of Care Tests Meeting Report Annecy: World Health Organization, 2014.
- Metcalf CJ, Farrar J, Cutts FT, et al. Use of serological surveys to generate key insights into the changing global landscape of infectious disease. Lancet 2016; 388: 728–30. [PubMed: 27059886]

#### Panel: Priority actions to further develop the public health applications of Chlamydia trachomatis serological assays

#### Generate target product profiles for C trachomatis serological assays

- What are the minimal or optimal characteristics of *C trachomatis* serological assays for different purposes (eg, design and evaluation of genital *C trachomatis* control programmes, design and evaluation of trachoma or ocular *C trachomatis* control programmes, or vaccine development and evaluation) and measures (eg, seroprevalence of *C trachomatis* antibodies as a measure of prevalence and incidence, measure of population excess fraction of disease such as pelvic inflammatory disease or tubal factor infertility, biomarker of disease either alone or in combination with other measures, measure of being *C trachomatis-naive*, or measure of vaccine-induced immune response)?
- What are the minimal or optimal characteristics of the aforementioned purposes and measures in different countries?

#### Establish a serum bank

- Adequate volumes of well characterised serum samples from individuals who have had *C trachomatis* infection and sera from those who have not had this infection should be included. Samples should be stored from individuals of a variety of ages and ethnicities, including children who might still have the maternal antibody, with different characteristics including the numbers of known infections, time since treatment, and presence of known reproductive tract or ocular complications.
- Standardised assessments of clinical outcome and epidemiological data should be incorporated.
- The serum bank should be established with appropriate access arrangements.

#### Develop methods for assay evaluation and analysis of serological findings

- Define how sensitivity and specificity should be estimated for different purposes.
- Define how positive and negative controls should be selected for different test applications.
- Better understand what thresholds should be used for each assay for different applications or test settings.
- Define how head-to-head comparison studies be done.
- Explore and assess what statistical methods should be used to measure epidemiological parameters (eg, incidence of infection and population excess fraction).

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Woodhall et al.

# Table 1:

Chlamydia trachomatis serological assays and examples of their public health applications to date

	Platform or format	Antigens detected (antibody class or subclass)	Examples of public health applications	olic health appli	cations	
			Measure seroprevalence or estimate incidence	Investigate association with disease	Evaluate control interventions	lor
					Genital Chlamydia trachomatis	Trachoma
ELISAs						
Wills et al <sup>13</sup>	Indirect ELISA	Pgp3 (IgG)	18	:	18	:
Geisler et al <sup>14</sup>	Indirect ELISA	Elementary bodies from <i>C trachomatis</i> serovars D/UW-3, F/ICCal-13, and J/UW-36 (IgG1, IgG3)	14,19	20,21	:	:
Horner et al <sup>15</sup>	Double-antigen ELISA	Pgp3 (IgG, IgA, IgM)	15,18,22	:	18	:
Winstanley et al <sup>16</sup>	Indirect ELISA	<sup>p</sup> gp3 (1 <sub>g</sub> G)	:	:	:	:
Albritton et $al^{23}$	Indirect ELISA	Elementary bodies from <i>C trachomatis</i> serovars D/UW3/Cx and E/UW5/Cx (IgG, IgA)	:	23	:	:
Migchelsen et al <sup>24</sup>	Indirect ELISA on dried blood spots	Pgp3 (IgG)	24, 25	:	:	:
Menon et al <sup>26</sup>	Multi-peptide indirect ELISA	12-mer peptides derived from HtrA, hsp60, and Ct443 <sup>27</sup> (IgG)	:	26,28	:	:
Commercially available *	ELISA or EIA	MOMP and hsp60 (IgG, IgA)	29–31	21,32–39	:	:
Multiplex bead arrays						
Goodhew et al <sup>17</sup>	Multiplex bead array	Pgp3 and CT694 (IgG, IgA)	17	:	:	40-43
Willhauck-Fleckenstein et al <sup>44</sup>	Multiplex bead array	MOMP A/D/L2, PorB, TARP, hsp60–1, and Pgp3 (lgG, or lgG, IgA, IgM)	:	:	:	:
Near-patient testing						
Gwyn et al <sup>45</sup>	Lateral flow	Pgp3 (IgG, IgA, IgM)	45,46	:	:	:
Whole proteome microarray						
Budrys et al <sup>47</sup>	ELISA-based proteome array	Representing 908 proteins of <i>Ctrachomatis</i> strain D/UW-3/Cx (IgG)	:	47	:	:
Lu et al <sup>48</sup>	Whole proteome microarray	Representing 908 genomic and plasmid open reading frames of <i>C trachomatis</i> strain D/UW3 (lgG, lgA, lgM)	:	48,49	:	:

Autho
r Mar
nuscript

Author Manuscript

Aut		
hor		
Š		

	Platform or format	Antigens detected (antibody class or subclass)	<b>Examples of public health applications</b>	lic health appli	cations	
			Measure seroprevalence or estimate incidence	Investigate association with disease	Evaluate control interventions	lor
					Genital Chlamydia trachomatis	Trachoma
Hufnagel et al <sup>50</sup>	Whole proteome microarray	Representing 895 proteins of <i>C trachomatis</i> strain D/ UW-3/Cx (IgG, or IgG, IgA, IgM)	:	50	:	:
Immunofluorescence						
Chernesky et al <sup>51</sup>	Whole-cell inclusion immunofluorescence	L2 serovar (IgG, IgA, IgM)	:	52,53	:	:
Commercially available ${}^{\!$	Micro-immunofluorescent assay	Elementary bodies from <i>C trachomatis</i> serovars D-K (IgG, IgA, IgM)	:	54	:	:
Wang <sup>55</sup>	Modified micro-immunofluorescent assay protocol	Elementary bodies from C trachomatis (IgG)	56	57	:	:

This table is not intended to be a comprehensive review. HtrA=high temperature requirement A protease. hsp60=heat shock protein 60. EIA=enzyme immunoassay. MOMP=major outer membrane protein. TARP=translocated actin-recruiting phosphoprotein.

\* Chlamydien IgG rELISA medac assay, medac, Wedel, Germany; Chlamydia trachomatis EIA assay kit, Pathtech, Melboume, Australia; and SeroCT RT kit, Savyon Diagnostics, Ashdod, Israel.

 $^{\star}$ Chlamydia MIF IgG, Focus Diagnostics, Cypress, USA and SeroFIA, Savyon Diagnostics, Ashdod, Israel.

Manuscript	Author	
õ	Manu	
	ğ	

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

assays
cal
.20
serolo
<i>ttis</i> s
trachomatis
'dia ti
ımya
Chlamy
s for
profiles for
product ]
target p
inf
l to
be used
an b
that can
ons t
eratic
Initial considerations
nitial e
In

	Measuring infection	Measuring disease	For use in vaccine evaluation
Performance requirements	High sensitivity and specificity; ability to distinguish first from repeat infection; ability to measure recent infection; and lower precision than in vaccine studies would be sufficient for monitoring and surveillance applications	Ability to distinguish between complicated and uncomplicated infections; ability to identify disease-specific antigens or combinations of antigens or the magnitude of response associated with the disease; and high specificity for sequelae to prevent over investment in resource-poor environments arising from over estimation of the incidence of <i>C trachomatis</i> sequelae	Ability to quantify burden of infection and disease; high precision and high sensitivity for determining $C$ <i>trachomatis</i> -naive status for trials and distinguishing between exposure and infection; to measure vaccine efficacy, availability of markers of tubal involvement, potentially in combination with other measures (eg, celluff markers, radiological measures); and markers of vaccine-induced immune response will depend on the mechanism of action of vaccine-induced and natural responses
Dependencies	Dependencies Appropriate collections of population-based sera	Appropriate collections of population-based sera to estimate disease incidence; and availability of reliable cases and controls with clear case definition to estimate population excess fraction	Vaccine trial design; and mechanism of action of vaccine candidate
Statistical methods	<i>C trachomatis</i> serological assay thresholds appropriate to the application and population; and relation between seroprevalence and cumulative or annual incidence should consider the impact of time since infection and repeat infections on <i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i> antibodies	<i>C trachomatis</i> serological assay thresholds appropriate to the application and population	C trachomatic serological assay thresholds appropriate to the application and population
Technical requirements	High throughput, low volume, and low resource use methods would be valued	High throughput, low volume, and low resource use methods for monitoring and surveillance applications; and should tolerate methods requiring higher specimen volume and operator intensive methods	Should tolerate methods requiring higher specimen volume, and operator intensive methods