



Published in final edited form as:

Obstet Gynecol. 2019 January ; 133(1): 189–190. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000003044.

Paracervical Block for Intrauterine Device Placement Among Nulliparous Women: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Sheila K. Mody, MD, MPH [Director] and

Division of Family Planning, Fellowship in Family Planning, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences, University of California, San Diego

Lynn L. Ngo, MD, MPH

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Southern California Permanente Medical Group, San Diego, California

In Reply:

We thank Dr. Levine and Dr. Fernandez for their interest in our article.¹ We agree that pain with intrauterine device (IUD) placement is multifactorial. Regarding the specific points in their Letter, please see our response below.

1. A standard, single-tooth tenaculum was used in this study. All participants received 2 cc of 1% lidocaine at the tenaculum site. The control and intervention group had the similar pain scores with the tenaculum placement.
2. A review by Whiteman et al in 2013 did not find timing of IUD placement with menses to be associated with less insertion pain.² Even if there were some benefit to IUD placement with menses, since this was a randomized controlled trial, those who had the IUD placed during menses should be evenly distributed between our study groups and should not be a confounding factor in our data analysis.
3. We acknowledge that our study looked at pain control for the standard size IUDs. Our study found a benefit to using 20 cc of buffered 1% lidocaine with standard size IUDs. The Akers et al. study showed a benefit to using a lidocaine paracervical block for the smaller frame IUDs among nulliparous women.³ We do not counsel nulliparous women that they should not use the standard size IUDs. The standard 52-mg LNG IUD has a favorable side effect profile and duration of use.

REFERENCES:

1. Mody SK, Farala JP, Jimenez B, Nishikawa M, Ngo LL. Paracervical Block for Intrauterine Device Placement Among Nulliparous Women: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Obstet Gynecol* 2018;132:575–582. [PubMed: 30095776]

Financial Disclosure

The authors did not report any potential conflicts of interest.

2. Whiteman MK, Tyler CP, Folger SG, Gaffield ME, Curtis KM. When can a woman have an intrauterine device inserted? A systematic review. *Contraception* 2013;87(5):666–673. [PubMed: 22995537]
3. Akers AY, Steinway C, Sonalkar S, Perriera LK, Schreiber C, Harding J, Garcia-Espana JF. Reducing Pain During Intrauterine Device Insertion: A Randomized Controlled Trial in Adolescents and Young Women. *Obstet Gynecol* 2017 10;130(4):795–802. [PubMed: 28885425]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript