Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin HIV AIDS. 2018 May; 13(3): 236–248. doi:10.1097/COH.0000000000000448.

Adolescent transition among young people with perinatal HIV in high and low income settings

Ali Judd¹ and Mary-Ann Davies²

¹MRC Clinical Trials Unit at UCL, University College London, London, UK

²Center for Infectious Disease Epidemiology and Research, School of Public Health and Family Medicine, University of Cape Town, South Africa

Abstract

Purpose of review—To summarise evidence for health outcomes among adolescents and young people living with HIV (AYLHIV) who have transitioned to adult care/adulthood, views of AYLHIV and providers on the transition process, and the effect of adolescent and youth friendly services (AYFS) on outcomes.

Recent findings—Forty-three studies were identified (n= 13 high income countries (HIC), n=30 low/middle income countries (LMIC)). In HIC, around 75% of patients were retained in care at approximately 4 years post-transition. In LMIC retention worsened from older adolescence into young adulthood. Across both contexts, comparisons of mortality, immunological and virological outcomes were hampered by a limited number of studies and/or different definitions and study durations. AYLHIV and providers reported several factors which could aid transition and AYFS had generally positive outcomes.

Summary—Overall, outcomes varied by study and context; direct comparison was severely hampered by the inclusion of different populations of AYLHIV (sometimes with small numbers and a lack of comparison groups), the use of different outcome definitions, varying follow-up duration, and the lack of a specific transition process in LMIC. Future studies need to consider harmonizing definitions and implementing unique patient identifiers and data linkage techniques to improve the evidence base on long-term outcomes.

Keywords

Adolescent; high income; low and middle income; transition; outcome; perinatal

INTRODUCTION

Transition to adult care, among adolescents and young people living with HIV (AYLHIV) in high income countries (HIC), is the process whereby children with perinatal HIV are generally seen in paediatric clinics and then transfer to adult clinics in the same or different

Author of correspondence: Ali Judd, MRC Clinical Trials Unit at UCL, 90 High Holborn, London WC1V 6LJ, UK, a.judd@ucl.ac.uk, T: +44 20 7670 4830.

hospitals. The model of care for adolescents with horizontal HIV may vary, with some seen in adolescent care before adult care. However in many low and middle income countries (LMIC), HIV-infected children are mostly seen with adults in completely integrated primary care clinics either from diagnosis or once stable on antiretroviral therapy (ART). While primary care clinics may have child or adolescent days, or specific health workers providing paediatric or adolescent care, providers are usually generalist clinicians who manage adults and children.[1,2] The shift from paediatric-focused to adult-focused care is therefore less pronounced in LMIC than in HIC, rarely involving moving to a different facility or even a different provider. Despite these varied definitions of transition, a key underlying theme is greater personal autonomy, including taking responsibility for one's own health, making one's own clinic appointments, and taking responsibility for ART adherence. Thus the broader issue of transition is relevant to all AYLHIV, and globally, in 2016 there were 1.3 million adolescents 15–19 years old (>80% in sub-Saharan Africa [SSA][3]) and 2.8 million young people 20–24 years old living with HIV.[4]

This review focuses on recent studies of adolescents and young adults mostly with perinatal HIV who have transitioned to adult care or adulthood and where key health outcomes have been measured. We also review papers assessing what young people themselves think of the transition process, provider perspectives and the effectiveness of adolescent and youth friendly services (AYFS) in improving outcomes. We searched PubMed and abstracts from major conferences in the field, and identified 43 studies published or presented in 2016 and 2017, 13 from HIC [Table 1][5–19] and 30 from LMIC [Table 2].[20–49]

HEALTH OUTCOMES FOLLOWING TRANSITION IN HIC

Retention/ loss to follow-up and mortality

Three European[5–7] and three North American studies[8–10] described retention, loss to follow-up (LTFU) and/or mortality following transition (Table 1). Two, from Italy[5] and Canada,[8] were small, single centre studies (n=24 and n=25 respectively) where patients transitioned at age 18 years. In both, three-quarters of patients were retained in care, around 4 years following transition. In larger multi-centre Spanish[6] and UK[7] studies, of 209 and 271 patients with perinatal HIV respectively, median age at transition was 18 years and 17 years, and 2% and 3% died. In Spain 14% were LTFU, not dissimilar to the Italian and Canadian studies' findings, although no timeframe was given for this estimate, but most patients were lost after the first year in adult care. The UK study did not report estimates of retention in care.

Transition from paediatric to adult care in the USA is later than in most other HIC, as patients <25 years generally enter paediatric care, and then transition to adult care at around 25 years of age. In Atlanta, 97% of 72 AYLHIV were linked to adult care, and 89% were retained in the first year, falling to 56% in the second year.[9] Outcomes for the 11 patients with perinatal HIV were not reported separately. In Baltimore, among 19 of 50 AYLHIV with perinatal HIV, 84% were linked to adult care, but only 11 (69%) of these were retained at 12 months, compared to 14 (52%) of the horizontally-infected group.[10]

Immunological and virological outcomes

Five of the studies above also reported immunological and/or virological outcomes post-transition,[5–9] in addition to two studies from Europe.[11,12] In the UK, CD4 was already declining pre-transition; post-transition it continued to decline in some groups but improved in others.[7] In the small Canadian study of 25 patients, CD4 decreased from a mean of 524 cells/mm³ pre-transfer to 370 cells/mm³ one year post-transfer (p=0.04).[8] In Italy, CD4 was higher at the end of follow-up than at transition, though the transition denominator included all patients and the follow-up denominator only those retained in care.

Six studies reported virological outcomes,[5–7,9,11,12] the largest in the UK[7] and Spain. [6] In the UK the proportion with viral suppression (VS) in the 12 months pre-transition was similar to 12 months post-transition (53%, 48% respectively). In Spain 86% of VS patients (denominator not given) pre-transition maintained suppression post-transition, and 70% with viral load (VL) >50 copies/mL pre-transition achieved VS post-transition.[6] In the Netherlands 59 AYLHIV (78% perinatal) transitioned to adult care across multiple clinics. [11] Viral failure peaked at age 18–19 years, which coincides with the timing of transition from paediatric to adult care in the Netherlands. Finally, in Atlanta, those seen more quickly in adult care were more likely to have VS post-transition than those who took longer to have their first adult care appointment, though few patients in the study had perinatal HIV.[9]

Young people's views

Only two studies, from England[13] and Canada,[8] asked young people for their own views about transition. In England, of 120 young people, the majority in adult care rated adult care as better or no different to paediatric care for services and support offered.[13] Also adult care attenders were more likely than those in paediatric care to self-manage aspects of their care. However 50% in each group could name their ART drugs or their most recent CD4 and viral load values. In the Canadian study of 25 patients, the majority felt that age 18 was too young for transition, and suggestions for improving the transition process included allowing young people to maintain ties to non-medical members of the paediatric team and other youth in the clinic, alternating appointments between the adult doctor and paediatrician until solid ties with the adult doctor had been established, and being provided with more information on adult care.[8]

Providers' views

Two European studies[14] and one USA study [15–17] captured provider views on transition. In France, health professionals reported making a clinical care distinction between young people with perinatal HIV and those infected horizontally, and applied different levels of care according to need.[14] Three major problems were identified: difficulties of young people in accepting their illness; difficulties linking young people with adult healthcare providers; and disorientation in the new adult care environment. Findings from the USA study were complementary,[15–17] with barriers to care falling into three groups: structural, including insurance eligibility, transportation and HIV stigma; clinical, including inter-clinic communication, and resource and personnel limitations; and individual including adolescent readiness to transition and developmental capacity. Four components were felt to be critical to successful transition: clinical outcomes, such as adherence and

viral suppression; patients being able to self-manage their care; patients taking responsibility for treatment and health; and patient trust towards the adult clinic. Strategies for connecting young people to adult care (e.g. adolescent clinic staff attending first adult care appointment) and approaches to evaluating longer term outcomes (data sharing) were recommended.

Impact of adolescent friendly services on outcomes

Only two studies within the review period, both from the USA, assessed the impact of adolescent and youth friendly services (AYFS) on outcomes post-transition.[18,19] In Baltimore, 54 patients with horizontal or perinatal HIV receiving care in a youth friendly clinic in adult care were less likely to have a 6 month gap in care (44% vs 59%, p=0.10) and more likely to have two appointments 90 days apart (83% vs 69%, p=0.066) compared to 78 receiving standard adult care.[18] In the other multi-centre study of 680 youth (35% perinatal or transfusion-acquired HIV), after adjustment for demographic and clinic factors, patients were more likely to be retained if they attended a clinic with a youth friendly waiting area, evening clinic hours, and providers with adolescent health training.[19]

HEALTH OUTCOMES FOLLOWING TRANSITION IN LMIC

Retention, loss to follow-up and mortality

Only three studies from LMIC reported mortality/LTFU following transition, with the number of participants ranging from 36–81 and similar transition age (17–18 years).[20–22] Study durations post-transition varied from approximately 6 months (Thailand) to 3 years (Dominican Republic), with corresponding mortality ranging from 0–6%; no studies reported rates for any outcomes.[20–22] LTFU was only reported for Dominican Republic (10%) where transition to a different site for adult care (vs staying at the same site) was associated with higher risk of death/LTFU (p=0.042).[21] Very high retention post-transition following a transition preparedness program was reported from Thailand.[22]

Two studies from SSA (South Africa,[23] Zimbabwe[24]) have examined outcomes as children age through late adolescence to young adulthood, in the context where transition to a different clinic does not routinely occur. Both studies found increased risk of LTFU when patients starting ART matured from young (10–14 years) to older adolescence (15–19 years), increasing further into young adulthood (20–24 years).

Three South African studies examined outcomes following transfer between different health facilities, however in this context transfer may not necessarily indicate transition to adult care.[25–27] Most commonly transfers are to decentralize care from hospital-based specialist paediatric clinics where patients initiated care to primary care clinics once stable on ART.[50] The proportion of patients successfully transferred in Cape Town (81%)[25] Durban (69–88%)[27] and Port Elizabeth (70%)[26] were broadly similar, despite differences in methods used.[25–27] However, only the Cape Town study, which linked datasets using unique identifiers, reported on retention post-transfer which declined from 90% to 84% from 1 to 3 years.[25]

Immunological and virological outcomes

Five studies[20–22,25,28] reported post-transition immunological/virological outcomes. In the Cape Town transfer study, the proportion with CD4 >500cells/µl did not change between transfer and 1, 2 and 3 years post-transfer, and VS only decreased slightly at 3 years.[25] However, VS and CD4 outcomes were worse in those transferring at older ages.[25] In Dominican Republic and Brazil, high proportions (>50%) of patients were not virally suppressed.[20,21] In contrast, in Thailand the STAY (Study of Transitioning Asian Youth) and transition training cohorts reported post-transition VS in 85% and 78% of patients respectively.[22,28]

Transition training/preparedness interventions

Two studies describe transition training with positive outcomes. In Thailand, the Happy Teen 2 (HT2) program (group education and individual counselling) increased median knowledge scores (45% to 95%) with overall high satisfaction.[29] In Malawi, a 6 week transition training program reported positive socio-behavioural outcomes (e.g. school re-enrolment), but impacts on transition were not reported.[30]

Young people's views

Seven studies [28,31–36] described AYLHIV's transition experience, and common transition challenges included fear of abandonment, loss of peer support, negative perceptions about adult care (longer wait times, unfavourable appointment days[32] and poorer quality of care),[31,33–35,51] and stigma.[31,34]

Nevertheless, many adolescents had positive transition expectations. Enabling factors included ongoing support from family, friends and providers,[33,36] maturity, financial security, early preparation, transitioning as a group and staying at the same facility after transition.[31,32] Adolescents frequently requested more time to transition, a formal transition program, involvement in decision-making, pre-transition contact with the adult team, and adolescent support groups in adult care.[31–34,52]

Four studies assessed AYLHIV's transition preparedness.[28,31,32,36] In Kenya, most adolescents (67% transitioned to adult care by 19 years) felt unprepared and experienced considerable anxiety.[31] In Cambodia, 88% of pre-transition AYLHIV felt somewhat/very prepared for transition.[36] Several of these AYLHIV had undergone transition preparedness activities, and having a transition case manager, and transition counselling were strongly associated with better transition preparedness. In the Thai STAY cohort, 46% of post-transition AYLHIV were "very prepared" to transition and 91% were comfortable receiving adult care.[28]

Providers' views

Seven studies from SSA [37–43] and one from Dominican Republic [34] investigated provider perspectives on LMIC transition. There were few LMIC national guidelines or tools to support transition and very few facilities had transition protocols.[37,40,41] Transition age varied widely from 13–25 years; three studies reported using social signals (e.g. completion of secondary school, pregnancy) in addition to age to justify transition.[38–41]

Providers echoed transition challenges identified by AYLHIV. Common themes were: provider difficulty "letting go" of adolescents; patients preferring paediatric care; poor links between adult and paediatric providers; provider perceptions of adult clinics as depersonalized, overburdened, less comprehensive and not adolescent-friendly; and cessation of peer support groups.[34,37–43] Provider recommendations for transition were similar to those of adolescents, including less abrupt transition, later transition age and adolescent support groups in adult care.[34] Notwithstanding, Kung et al (2017) reported that providers acknowledged that many HIC guidelines would not be feasible at scale in LMIC e.g. engagement of adult doctors pre-transition, transition case managers and post-transition paediatric follow-up.[40] Similarly, although nearly all facilities in a survey recommended peer support during transition, only 50% provided this service.[37] There are, however, promising results from provider training programs aimed to strengthen adolescent services in Zimbabwe, with healthcare workers reporting improved linkage, retention and adherence subsequently.[43]

Impact of adolescent and youth friendly services or community adherence groups on outcomes

Three studies examined the impact of AYFS.[44–46] In South Africa, cross-sectional retention and VS in perinatally-infected adolescents were better in a Saturday "Teen Clinic" vs. standard clinic,[46] however in Kenya there was no difference in pre-ART or on-ART LTFU before and after implementation of AYFS for AYLHIV.[44] Post-transition VS increased among 32 adolescents in a Senegal Transition Support Programme but decreased in 15 receiving standard care, but results may be confounded as the standard care group included 4 pregnant women and 8 older youth previously refusing transition.[45] Results of previous studies are varied: Lamb et al (2014) found lower LTFU among adolescents initiating ART at clinics with sexual and reproductive health services and adolescent support groups,[53] while in Kenya there was no difference in LTFU between youth attending AYFS clinics (where 30% of patients were youth) and family-oriented clinics (3% youth).[54]

Three studies found positive outcomes of community-based care for AYLHIV, although again, none specifically examined transition outcomes. In South Africa 12-month retention was high among AYLHIV (median age 22.3 years) enrolled in adherence clubs.[47] In Uganda, LTFU was more than double in adolescents receiving clinic-based vs community-based ART.[48] In Zimbabwe, community based ART mentors positively impacted adolescent self-belief, esteem and adherence.[49]

CONCLUSIONS AND CHALLENGES FOR THE FUTURE

Across the 43 studies, outcomes varied by study and context, and direct comparison was severely hampered by the inclusion of different populations of AYLHIV (sometimes with small numbers and a lack of comparison groups), the use of different definitions of outcomes, varying periods of follow-up, and the lack of a specific transition process in LMIC. Although health outcomes may differ between perinatally and behaviourally-infected AYLHIV, mode of infection is often not routinely recorded or reported in studies, and there are limited disaggregated monitoring and evaluation data.[55,56] Recent analysis of

UNAIDS estimates suggests that in 2016 about 60% of adolescents aged 15–19 years in Southern and East Africa acquired HIV perinatally.[57] Additionally countries and regions are at different stages of transition through adolescence, and for many the bulk of adolescent transition is yet to come.[58] Studies in this review include some of the first cohorts of young people with perinatal HIV to transition, who may have particular challenges, including later ART start, exposure to sub-optimal ART regimens, and consequent persistent stunting, treatment failure and other comorbidities, particularly in LMIC.[58–60]

There is a lack of consensus on the most appropriate metrics to capture the process of transition and its outcomes, and it is hoped that a recent NIH-funded study (Global fRAmework for Data Collection Used for Adolescent HIV Transition Evaluation – GRADUATE [61]) will go some way towards defining a core set of variables for the global community. Long-term follow-up is hampered in many studies which rely on resource-intensive tracing or record reviews, often conducted as a one-off research endeavour, and point to the need for widespread implementation of unique identifiers and data linkage methods to enable longer term assessment of outcomes.[7,25]

Notwithstanding these limitations, in HIC, around 75% of patients were retained in care at approximately four years post-transition, few studies reported mortality and immunological outcomes, and different definitions hampered comparison of virological outcomes. In LMIC, studies of transition outcomes are limited to small cohorts, partly due to lack of a documented transition process in many settings, but suggest that LTFU increases from early through late adolescence and into young adulthood. Across both contexts, AYLHIV and providers reported several factors which could aid transition. Only two studies described transition training interventions, and longer-term data on impact post-transition are needed. In general evidence suggested a positive impact of AYFS on outcomes across transition and/or adolescence, although assessment of quality and cost of services and addressing specific AYLHIV needs may be required to improve outcomes in this group. Given the strong recommendation for peer support from both adolescents and providers, differentiated care models such as community adherence groups may offer particular benefits for AYLHIV. [62,63]

Acknowledgments

None.

Financial support and sponsorship

A.J. receives support from NHS England and the PENTA Foundation. The Medical Research Council Clinical Trials Unit at University College London is supported by the Medical Research Council programme grant MC_UU_12023/26. M-A.D. receives support from the National Institutes of Health under Award Numbers U01AI069924 (International Epidemiologic Databases to Evaluate AIDS Southern Africa), R01HD080465 (B positive), R21HD089859 (GRADUATE), R24AI124872 (Harmonist) and the Wellcome Trust.

References

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, (the last 2 years) have been highlighted as:

of special interest

- •• of outstanding interest
- Dahourou DL, Gautier-Lafaye C, Teasdale CA, Renner L, Yotebieng M, Desmonde S, et al. 2017; Transition from paediatric to adult care of adolescents living with HIV in sub-Saharan Africa: challenges, youth-friendly models, and outcomes. J Int AIDS Soc. 20:21528. [PubMed: 28530039]
- 2. Pettitt ED, Greifinger RC, Phelps BR, Bowsky SJ. 2013; Improving health services for adolescents living with HIV in sub-Saharan Africa: a multi-country assessment. Afr J Reprod Health. 17:17–31. [PubMed: 24689314]
- 3. UNAIDS. 2017AIDSinfo Online Database.
- 4. Mahy M. 2017Special analysis of UNAIDS 2016 estimates.
- Izzo I, Quiros-Roldan E, Saccani B, Chiari E, Casari S, Foca E, et al. 2017Perinatally HIV-infected youths after transition from pediatric to adult care, a single-center experience from Northern Italy. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses.
- 6•. Sainz T, Navarro ML. 2017; HIV-infected youths: transition in Spain compared to the Netherlands. Clin Infect Dis. 64:230.
- 7•. Judd A, Collins IJ, Parrott F, Hill T, Jose S, Ford D, et al. 2017; Growing up with perinatal HIV: changes in clinical outcomes before and after transfer to adult care in the UK. J Int AIDS Soc. 20:71–80.
- 8••. Kakkar F, Van der Linden D, Valois S, Maurice F, Onnorouille M, Lapointe N, et al. 2016; Health outcomes and the transition experience of HIV-infected adolescents after transfer to adult care in Quebec, Canada. BMC Pediatr. 16:109. [PubMed: 27457719]
- 9•. Hussen SA, Chakraborty R, Knezevic A, Camacho-Gonzalez A, Huang E, Stephenson R, et al. 2017; Transitioning young adults from paediatric to adult care and the HIV care continuum in Atlanta, Georgia, USA: a retrospective cohort study. J Int AIDS Soc. 20:21848. [PubMed: 28872281]
- 10•. Ryscavage P, Macharia T, Patel D, Palmeiro R, Tepper V. 2016; Linkage to and retention in care following healthcare transition from pediatric to adult HIV care. AIDS Care. 28:561–565. [PubMed: 26766017]
- 11•. Weijsenfeld AM, Smit C, Cohen S, Wit FW, Mutschelknauss M, van der Knaap LC, et al. 2016; Virological and social outcomes of HIV-infected adolescents and young adults in the Netherlands before and after transition to adult care. Clin Infect Dis. 63:1105–1112. [PubMed: 27439528]
- 12•. Westling K, Naver L, Vesterbacka J, Belfrage E. 2016; Transition of HIV-infected youths from paediatric to adult care, a Swedish single-centre experience. Infect Dis (Lond). 48:449–452. [PubMed: 26950534]
- 13. Judd, A; Le Prevost, M; Thompson, L; Foster, C; Rowson, K; Sturgeon, K; , et al. Experiences of transition to adult care and readiness to self-manage care in young people with perinatal HIV in England. 9th International Workshop on HIV Pediatrics; Paris, France. 2017.
- 14. Le Roux E, Gottot S, Aupiais C, Girard T, Teixeira M, Alberti C. 2017; Professional's perspectives on care management of young people with perinatally acquired HIV during transition: a qualitative study in adult care setting. PLoS One. 12:e0169782. [PubMed: 28114376]
- 15••. Tanner AE, Philbin MM, Ma A, Chambers BD, Nichols S, Lee S, et al. 2017; Adolescent to adult HIV health care transition from the perspective of adult providers in the United States. J Adolesc Health. 61:434–439. [PubMed: 28754584]
- 16. Philbin MM, Tanner AE, Chambers BD, Ma A, Ware S, Lee S, et al. 2017; Transitioning HIV-infected adolescents to adult care at 14 clinics across the United States: using adolescent and adult providers' insights to create multi-level solutions to address transition barriers. AIDS Care. 29:1227–1234. [PubMed: 28599596]
- 17. Philbin MM, Tanner AE, Ma A, Chambers BD, Ware S, Kinnard EN, et al. 2017; Adolescent and adult HIV providers' definitions of HIV-infected youths' successful transition to adult care in the United States. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 31:421–427. [PubMed: 28981334]
- 18••. Griffith D, Keruly J, Lee L, Nolan K, Agwu A. 2016Impact of a youth-targeted HIV clinic integrated within a large urban adult clinic.

19••. Lee L, Yehia BR, Gaur AH, Rutstein R, Gebo K, Keruly JC, et al. 2016; The impact of youth-friendly structures of care on retention among HIV-infected youth. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 30:170–177. [PubMed: 26983056]

- 20. Carvalho Freitas, A; Avelino-Silva, VH; Battaggia Gutierrez, E; Cotrim Segurado, AA. Clinical characteristics and predictors of therapeutic attainments in HIV-infected adolescents in the transition period from pediatric to adult care. 21st International AIDS Conference; Durban, South Africa. 2016.
- 21. Abreu-Perez, R; Lerebours-Nadal, L; Beck-Sague, C; Halpern, M; Nicholas, S; Devieux, J. Where are they now? Mortality, loss to follow-up and viral suppression in perinatally HIV-infected (PHIV) post-transition young adult antiretroviral therapy (ART) patients in the Dominican Republic (DR): 2004–2015. 21st International AIDS Conference; Durban, South Africa. 2016.
- 22. Sricharoenchai, S; Lolekha, R; Na Nakorn, Y; Boon-yasidhi, V; Manaboriboon, B; Leowsrisook, P; , et al. Improving the transition to adult HIV care for perinatally HIV-infected adolescents, Thailand. 9th International Workshop on HIV Pediatrics; Paris, France. 2017.
- 23. Marcus, R; Bradley, J; Kranzer, K; Orrell, C; Atujuna, M; Kalombo, C; , et al. Loss to follow-up in children and adolescents with increasing age in South Africa. 9th International Workshop on HIV Pediatrics; Paris, France. 2017.
- 24••. Kranzer K, Bradley J, Musaazi J, Nyathi M, Gunguwo H, Ndebele W, et al. 2017; Loss to follow-up among children and adolescents growing up with HIV infection: age really matters. J Int AIDS Soc. 20:21737. [PubMed: 28715158]
- 25•. Davies MA, Tsondai P, Tiffin N, Eley B, Rabie H, Euvrard J, et al. 2017; Where do HIV-infected adolescents go after transfer? Tracking transition/transfer of HIV-infected adolescents using linkage of cohort data to a health information system platform. J Int AIDS Soc. 20:21668. [PubMed: 28530037]
- 26. Teasdale CA, Sogaula N, Yuengling KA, Peters ZJ, Mutiti A, Pepeta L, et al. 2017; High risk of loss to follow-up among South African children on ART during transfer, a retrospective cohort analysis with community tracing. J Int AIDS Soc. 20:21748. [PubMed: 28691440]
- 27. Ramirez-Avila L, Regan S, Cloete C, Crankshaw T, Rabideau DJ, Freedberg KA, et al. 2017; Adolescent linkage to care after a large-scale transfer from a hospital-based HIV clinic to the public sector in South Africa. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 36:311–313. [PubMed: 28192387]
- 28. Sohn, A; Chokephaibulkit, K; Lumbiganon, P; Hansudewechakul, R; Gani, Y; Nguyen, L; , et al. Early clinical and social outcomes at and after transfer from pediatric to adult HIV care in Asia. 9th International Workshop on HIV Pediatrics; Paris, France. 2017.
- 29•. Lolekha R, Boon-Yasidhi V, Na-Nakorn Y, Manaboriboon B, Vandepitte WP, Martin M, et al. 2017; The Happy Teen programme: a holistic outpatient clinic-based approach to prepare HIV-infected youth for the transition from paediatric to adult medical care services in Thailand. J Int AIDS Soc. 20:21500. [PubMed: 28530043]
- 30. McKenney, A; Hrapcak, SM; Bwanali, M; Cohen, I; Parent, J; Tokaz, M; , et al. T2 transition training: transferring economic, psychosocial, and self-care skills needed for young adults living with HIV in Malawi for successful transition into adulthood. 8th International Workshop on HIV Pediatrics; Durban, South Africa. 2016.
- 31. Grewal, G; Obimbo, E; Wamalwa, D; Inwani, I. Prevalence, barriers and facilitators of age appropriate transition from pediatric to adult care among HIV infected adolescents at Kenyatta National Hospital. 9th International Workshop on HIV Pediatrics; Paris, France. 2017.
- 32. Massavon, W; Kironde, S; Alowo, A; Nagawa, AV; Ingabire, R; Musoke, I; , et al. Transition care of the youth from adolescents' to adults' ART clinic: lessons from Uganda. A qualitative study. 21st International AIDS Conference; Durban South Africa. 2016.
- 33•. Machado DM, Galano E, de Menezes Succi RC, Vieira CM, Turato ER. 2016; Adolescents growing with HIV/AIDS: experiences of the transition from pediatrics to adult care. Braz J Infect Dis. 20:229–234. [PubMed: 26945104]
- 34. Pinzon-Iregui MC, Ibanez G, Beck-Sague C, Halpern M, Mendoza RM. 2017; "...like because you are a grownup, you do not need help": Experiences of transition from pediatric to adult care among youth with perinatal HIV infection, their caregivers, and health care providers in the Dominican Republic. J Int Assoc Provid AIDS Care. 16:579–587. [PubMed: 28895487]

35. De Souza, F; Paintsil, E; Brown, T; Pierre, R; Keene, D; Kim, N; , et al. Transitioning HIV-infected children into adult care - voices of Jamaican adolescents and their healthcare providers. 8th International Workshop on HIV Pediatrics; Durban, South Africa. 2016.

- 36••. Yi S, Ngin C, Pal K, Khol V, Tuot S, Sau S, et al. 2017; Transition into adult care: factors associated with level of preparedness among adolescents living with HIV in Cambodia. AIDS Research and Therapy. 14:33. [PubMed: 28716149]
- 37. Mark D, Armstrong A, Andrade C, Penazzato M, Hatane L, Taing L, et al. 2017; HIV treatment and care services for adolescents: a situational analysis of 218 facilities in 23 sub-Saharan African countries. J Int AIDS Soc. 20:21591. [PubMed: 28530038]
- 38. Gillespie, SL; Pettitt, ED; Anabwani, GM; Hlatshwayo, M; Kazembe, PN; Mohapi, EQ; , et al. Healthcare transition practices across the Baylor College of Medicine International Pediatric AIDS Initiative (BIPAI) Network of pediatric HIV clinics. 8th International Workshop on HIV Pediatrics; Durban, South Africa. 2016.
- 39. Rakhmanina, N; Corrigan, B; Kose, J; Manson, K. New Horizons Advancing Pediatric HIV Care Collaborative. Approaches to care for the HIV-infected adolescents across national HIV/AIDS programs participating in the New Horizons advancing paediatric HIV care collaborative 21st International AIDS Conference; Durban, South Africa. 2016.
- 40•. Kung TH, Wallace ML, Snyder KL, Robson VK, Mabud TS, Kalombo CD, et al. 2016; South African healthcare provider perspectives on transitioning adolescents into adult HIV care. S Afr Med J. 106:804–808. [PubMed: 27499409]
- 41. Frigati, L; Brittain, K; Rabie, H; Cotton, M; Joubert, S; Nuttall, J; , et al. Diversity in adolescent HIV care services in the public sector in Cape Town, South Africa. 8th International Workshop on HIV Pediatrics; Durban, South Africa. 2016.
- 42. Chekata Inzaule, S; Hamers, R; Kityo, C; Rinke de Wit, TF; Roura, M. Barriers to antiretroviral treatment adherence in HIV-infected long-term treated adolescents and adults in Uganda. 21st International AIDS Conference; Durban, South Africa. 2016.
- 43. Willis, N; Mawodzeke, M; Dupwa, B; Ncube, G; Murungu, J; Mugurungi, O. Transitioning adolescents with HIV the Zimbabwean perspective. 8th International Workshop on HIV Pediatrics; Durban, South Africa. 2016.
- 44••. Teasdale CA, Alwar T, Chege D, Fayorsey R, Hawken MP, Abrams EJ. 2016; Impact of youth and adolescent friendly services on retention of 10–24-year-olds in HIV care and treatment programs in Nyanza, Kenya. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 71:e56–59.
- 45. Ndiaye, FK; Mbodj, H; Diop, S; Diallo, MB; Ndiaye, NA; Diop, A; , et al. Transition of perinatally HIV-infected adolescents into adult care: lessons learned and new challenges. 9th IAS Conference on HIV Science; Paris, France. 2017.
- 46•. Zanoni, B; Cairns, C; Sibaya, T; Haberer, J. High retention and viral suppression rates in a dedicated adolescent-friendly HIV clinic in South Africa. 8th International Workshop on HIV Pediatrics; Durban, South Africa. 2016. Study of 254 adolescents with perinatal HIV showing better outcomes among those attending a "Teen Clinic" compared to those in standard care.
- 47. Wilkinson, L; Moyo, F; Henwood, R; Runeyi, P; Patel, S; de Azevedo, V; , et al. Youth ART adherence clubs: outcomes from an innovative model for HIV-positive youth in Khayelitsha, South Africa. 21st International AIDS Conference; Durban, South Africa. 2016.
- 48•. Okoboi, S; Ssali, L; Kalibala, S; Yansaneh, A; Ayabo, T; Onyango, F. Factors associated with long-term antiretroviral therapy retention among adolescents in TASO Uganda: a retrospective study. 21st International AIDS Conference; Durban, South Africa. 2016. Ugandan study of 617 AYLHIV indicating better retention with community-based vs. facility based ART delivery.
- 49. Kamusiya, P. Bridging the gap from pediatric ART to Adult ART for adolescents through ART mentorship. 21st International AIDS Conference; Durban, South Africa. 2016.
- 50. Davies, MA; Sawry, S; Phiri, S; Rabie, H; Eley, B; Fatti, G; , et al. What does adolescent transition mean in sub-Saharan Africa? Predictors of transfer in Southern African perinatally HIV-infected adolescents. 21st International AIDS Conference; Durban, South Africa. 2016.
- 51. Carty, CR; Lambert, JS; Sidloyi, L; Oprea, C; Pierre, R; Christie-Samuels, C; , et al. The Passages Project: initial observations from a multi-country investigation into factors that impact transition

- from adolescent to adult HIV care. 21st International AIDS Conference; Durban, South Africa. 2016.
- Hansudewechakul R, Pongprapass S, Kongphonoi A, Denjanta S, Watanaporn S, Sohn AH. 2015;
 Transition of Thai HIV-infected adolescents to adult HIV care. J Int AIDS Soc. 18:20651.
 [PubMed: 26637523]
- 53. Lamb MR, Fayorsey R, Nuwagaba-Biribonwoha H, Viola V, Mutabazi V, Alwar T, et al. 2014; High attrition before and after ART initiation among youth (15–24 years of age) enrolled in HIV care. AIDS. 28:559–568. [PubMed: 24076661]
- 54. Ojwang VO, Penner J, Blat C, Agot K, Bukusi EA, Cohen CR. 2016; Loss to follow-up among youth accessing outpatient HIV care and treatment services in Kisumu, Kenya. AIDS Care. 28:500–507. [PubMed: 26565428]
- 55. Slogrove AL, Mahy M, Armstrong A, Davies MA. 2017; Living and dying to be counted: What we know about the epidemiology of the global adolescent HIV epidemic. J Int AIDS Soc. 20:21520. [PubMed: 28530036]
- Sohn AH, Hazra R. 2013; The changing epidemiology of the global paediatric HIV epidemic: keeping track of perinatally HIV-infected adolescents. J Int AIDS Soc. 16:18555. [PubMed: 23782474]
- 57. Stover J. 2017Special analysis of UNAIDS 2017 estimates.
- 58. Slogrove, A; Judd, A; Leroy, V. Collaborative Initiative for Paediatric HIV Education and Research (CIPHER) Global Cohort Collaboration. The epidemiology of perinatally HIV-infected adolescents: a CIPHER cohort collaboration global analysis. 21st International AIDS Conference; Durban, South Africa. 2016.
- 59. Bailey, H; Kiseleva, G; Malyuta, R; Volokha, A; Thorne, C. for the Ukraine Paediatric HIV Cohort Study. Forecasting future burden and need for transitional services for young people with perinatally-acquired HIV in Ukraine (TUPEC167). 21st International AIDS Conference; Durban, South Africa. 2016.
- Boerma RS, Bunupuradah T, Dow D, Fokam J, Kariminia A, Lehman D, et al. 2017; Multicentre analysis of second-line antiretroviral treatment in HIV-infected children: adolescents at high risk of failure. J Int AIDS Soc. 20:21930. [PubMed: 28953325]
- 61. Creating a global framework of data collection used for adolescent HIV transition evaluation (GRADUATE). Project number 5R21HD089859-02. National Institutes of Health; https://projectreporter.nih.gov/project_info_description.cfm? aid=9321396&icde=37799104&ddparam=&ddvalue=&ddsub=&cr=3&csb=default&cs=ASC&pb all
- 62. Grimsrud A, Barnabas RV, Ehrenkranz P, Ford N. 2017; Evidence for scale up: the differentiated care research agenda. J Int AIDS Soc. 20:22024. [PubMed: 28770588]
- 63. Grimsrud A, Bygrave H, Doherty M, Ehrenkranz P, Ellman T, Ferris R, et al. 2016; Reimagining HIV service delivery: the role of differentiated care from prevention to suppression. J Int AIDS Soc. 19:21484. [PubMed: 27914186]

Key points

Transition from paediatric to adult care occurs mainly in high income
countries (HIC), whilst in low and middle income countries (LMIC)
adolescents may stay with the same healthcare provider; however in both
contexts adolescents are transitioning to adulthood and have greater autonomy
for their health

- 43 studies across HIC and LMIC have reported evidence for health outcomes following transition to adult care or adulthood
- Outcomes varied by study and context, and direct comparison was severely
 hampered by the inclusion of different populations of AYLHIV (sometimes
 with small numbers and a lack of comparison groups), the use of different
 definitions of outcomes, varying periods of follow-up, and the lack of a
 specific transition process in LMIC
- Adolescents and young adults living with HIV and providers reported several factors which could aid transition in the future, and generally, adolescent and youth friendly services had positive outcomes
- Future studies need to consider harmonizing definitions and implementing unique patient identifiers and data linkage techniques to improve the evidence base on long-term outcomes.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

TABLE 1

Studies are ordered firstly by the main outcome investigated, and then alphabetically by country within each geographical region Characteristics of Research Articles on Transition among Young People with Perinatal HIV in High Income Countries (HIC)

					Par	Participants	Main outcome	Main finding	Ref
Qualitative/ semi- struct Cross- section Cohort infection Mode of infection Sample size Median age	Mode of Sample infection size	Sample size		Median age	 Patients g	Care- givers Providers			
Retention/ loss to follow-up and mortality outcomes, +/– other outcomes and +/– young people's views	her outcomes and +/– young people's views	nnd +/- young people's views	SA						
X Perinatal 24 18 at transition	Perinatal 24 18 at	24 18 at	- 18 at	18 at transition	 ×		Retention (not defined), CD4, viral suppression, ART	100% retained 12m post-transition, and 76% 52 months later. 63% (75%) VL<50c/ml at transition (12 months post-transition). Median CD4 was 534 c/mm³ at transition (n=24), 626 c/mm³ 1 year later (n=24), and 71 fcc/mm³ 52 months later (n=19). Proportion taking integrase inhibitors increased post-transition.	[5]
X Perinatal 209 18 at transition	Perinatal 209 18 at	209 18 at	18 at	18 at transition	 ×		Loss to follow-up (not defined), mortality, viral suppression	14% loss to follow-up during or immediately after transition. 4 (2%) died post-transition. 86% who had VL<50c/ml pre-transition remained suppressed post-transition	[6]
X Perinatal 271 17 (last paed visit)	Perinatal 27.1 17 (last	271 17 (last	17 (last	17 (last paed visit)	 ×		Mortality, CD4, viral suppression (two consecutive viral loads>400c/ml or one viral load >10,000 c/ml)	7 (3%) died post-transition. CD4 declined pre- transition, and continued to decline in some groups post-transition. Viral load suppression was similar in the 12 months pre-transfer and post-transfer (53%, 48%)	[7]
X Perinatal 25 All transfer to adult care at 18. Mean 22 years at follow-up	Perinatal 25	25		All transfer to adult care at 18. Mean 22 years at follow-up	 ×		Retention (1 clinic visit within 6 months of interview), CD4	76% were retained a mean of 3.6 years after transition from paediatric to adult care. Mean CD4 decreased from 524 c/mm3 at transfer to 370 one year later. Participants felt that 18 years was too young an age to transfer.	[8]
X 15% perinatal 72 25 (first adult visit) 62.5% MSM 22% heterosex'l	15% perinatal 72 25 (first 62.5% MSM 22% heterosex'l	72 25 (first	25 (first		×		Retention (2 visits 3 months apart), viral suppression	89% (56%) retained in 1st (2nd) year after transition to adult care. Following transition, those seen sooner in adult care had higher viral suppression.	[6]
X 38% perinatal 50 Perinatal 22 horizontal 62% horizontal transition	38% perinatal 50 62% horizontal	20		Perinatal 22 horizontal 25 at transition	×		Retention (2 visits over 12 months following linkage (1st adult care visit))	86% were linked to adult care, of whom 58% were retained in adult care at 12 months. Retention was higher in those with perinatal HIV (69%) vs horizontal HIV (52%).	[10]

			Design					P.	Participants		Main outcome	Main finding	Ref
Author (year)	Country	Qualitative/ semi- struct	Cross-section	Cohort	Mode of infection	Sample size	Median age	Patients	Care- givers	Providers			
Immunological and virological outcomes	virological outc	omes											
Weijsenfeld (2016)	Netherlands			×	78% perinatal	59	19 at transition	×			Virological, social	Proportion with viral failure increased during transition to adult care vs pre-transition paediatric care. Low education attainment and less autonomy of ART adherence predicted failure.	[11]
Westling (2016)	Sweden			Х	91% perinatal	34 at baseline, 29 2 years later	19 at transition	Х			Virological, ART	2 years after transfer from paediatric to transition clinic, 90% of 29 were on ART, of which 61% took integrase inhibitors, and 92% had viral load <50c/ml	[12]
Young people's views	<u>.</u>												
Judd (2017)	UK		×		Perinatal	120	n=38 in paediatric care: 16 yrs n=82 in adult care: 20 yrs	×			Rating of adult care vs paediatric care; readiness to self-manage care	Most rated adult care as better or no different to paediatric care for services and support offered. Those in adult care were better able to self-manage their care.	[13]
Provider views													
Le Roux (2017)	France	×			Perinatal	18	N/A			×	Transition practices	Adult care providers attempted to adapt their practice to YP with perinatal HIV transferring from paediatric to adult care. Practice depended on the needs of each patient.	[14]
Tanner (2017) Philbin (2017) Philbin (2017)	USA	×			Perinatal, horizontal	28	N/A			×	Transition processes, barriers and facilitators; definitions of successful transition	Providers identified components of successful transition from adolescent to adult care. Several structural, clinical and individual factors were identified as transition barriers. Collaborative process of transition from adolescent to adult clinics was recommended to facilitate uninterrupted care	[15–17]
Adolescent and youth friendly services	'ı friendly servic	səc											
Griffith (2016)	USA			×	Perinatal, horizontal	132 16/54 receiving youth- targeted	25	×			Retention (6 month gap in care; 2 visits 90 days apart) at 12 months in youth-targeted care vs adult clinic	A lower proportion of those receiving youth-targeted care had a 6 month gap in care (44% vs 59%) and a higher proportion had 2 appointments 90 days apart (83% vs 69%).	[18]

Ref			[19]
Main finding			85% were retained in care overall. After adjustment for demographic and clinical factors, retention was higher in clinics with youth-friendly waiting areas, evening clinic hours, and providers with adolescent health training.
Main outcome			Retention in care (2 HIV care visits 90 days apart within 12 months) stratified by "youth friendly" clinic factors
ıts	Providers		
Participants	Care- givers		
	Patients Care- givers		×
	Median age		25% 15-19 75% 20-24
	Sample size	care were PHIV	089
	Mode of infection		19% heterosexual, 44% MSM, 35% perinatal or transfusion-acquired
	Cohort		
Design	Cross-section		×
	Qualitative/ Cross-semi-section		
	Country		USA
	Author (year)		Lee (2016)

Author Manuscript

TABLE 2

Characteristics of Research Articles on Transition among Young People with Perinatal HIV in Low and Middle Income Countries (LMIC) Studies are ordered firstly by the main outcome investigated, and then alphabetically by country within each geographical region

Ref			[20]	[21]	[22]
	Main finding		At transition: Median CD4: 250 cells/µl; 54% VL>400 copies/ml 1 death post-transition Adherence failure high both in final 2 yrs of paediatric care and first 2 yrs of adult care	6% died; 8% LTFU, 9% discontinued ART. Transitioned to a different site for adult care vs staying at same site associated with death/LTFU (p=0.042) Median VL 1759 copies/ml	12 months after program, 23% had transitioned – 33% at same and 67% at different hospital 94% of transitioned participants completed all adult clinic appointments At median 6.6 months post-transition: 78% had VL <40 copies/ml; median CD4 count =520 (range:24–1,357) cells/µl. 94% satisfied with adult HIV care
	Main outcome		Characteristics of youth in transition to adult care (last 2 yrs in paediatric and first 2 yrs in adult care) Adherence failure (reported in medical records, missed prescription refills, or missed appointments).	Mortality, LTFU (not defined), VL	Satisfaction with and barriers to transition in adolescents 12 months after completing the Happy Teen 2 (HT2) Transition Training Program
ıts	Providers				
Participants	Care- givers				
	Patients		×	×	×
	Median age		18 at first adult visit	18 (range: 13–26) at transition; 21 (range: 15–29) at analysis	20 (range 16–23) at transition
	Sample size		41	8	158; (36 post-transition)
	Mode of infection	people's views		>95% perinatal	98% perinatal
	Cohort	t +/- young	×		
Design	Cross-	tcomes an		×	×
	Qualitative/ semi- struct	es, +/- other ou			
	Country	up and mortality outcom	Brazil	Dominican Republic	Thailand
	Author (year)	Retention/ loss to follow-up and mortality outcomes, +/- other outcomes and +/- young people's views	Carvalho Freitas (2016)	Abreu-Perez (2017)	Sricharoenchai (2017)

Ref		[23]	[24]	[25]	[26]	[27]
	Main finding	Using age-updated analysis, current older adolescents (15–19 yrs) and young adults (20–24 yrs) had higher LTFU than children 5–9 yrs (aHR 7.16; CI 3.25; 15.76 and aHR 7.46; CI 3.16; 17.58 respectively).	Patients starting ART as young adolescents and older adolescents had higher LTFU when moving to next age group (ARR:1.54; CI: 0.94; 2.55 and 1.79; CI: 1.05–3.07 respectively)	81% transferred successfully Retention decreased from 1–3 yrs post-transfer (90–84%). Proportion with VL<400 copies/ml & CD4>500 cells/µl post-transfer was lower in those transferring at 15–19 vs 10–14 yrs.	70% successfully transferred using clinic records. Using community tracing and laboratory data, 40% of those LTFU during transfer were in care at time of study; most had a care interruption at time of transfer	88% (CI: 77–97) of patients linked to transfer clinic. 52% transferred to assigned transfer clinic; 48% transferred to an alternate clinic
	Main outcome	LTFU (not defined)	LTFU (> 60 days late for scheduled visit) when moving to next age group	1) Successful transfer identified using record linkage to visit, laboratory and pharmacy data 2) Post-transfer retention, VL<400 copies/ml, CD4 >500 cells/µl	Successful transfer (using clinic records) and outcomes in patients LTFU during transfer using community tracing and laboratory data	Caregiver report of patient linkage to care post transfer; callo clinic record validation in 10%
Participants	Patients Care Providers	×		×	×	
	Median age	NR; 10–14 yrs; 100 15–19 yrs; 281	NR; 10-14 yrs; 875 15-19 yrs; 385	At transfer: 10–14 yrs; 72% 15–19 yrs 28%	NR – all > 10 years	13 (IQR 12–15) at transfer
	Sample size	555	2273	460	199 aged >10 yrs	309 surveyed; 90% of 341 transferred
	Mode of infection	NR; Children/adolescents aged 5–19 at ART start	"mostly perinatal" except for older age group	NR; adolescents aged 10–19 years on ART who transferred out	NR; Aged 0–15 years at ART start	NR; Visit at age 11–18 years
	Cohort	×	×	×	×	
Design	Cross- section					×
Q	Qualitative/ semi- struct					
	Country	South Africa	Zimbabwe	South Africa	South Africa	South Africa
	Author (year)	Marcus (2017)	Kranzer (2017)	Davies (2017)	Teasdale (2017)	Ramirez-Avila (2017)

Author Manuscript

			Design						Participants			Ref
Author (year)	Country	Qualitative/ semi- struct	Cross-	Cohort	Mode of infection	Sample size	Median age	Patients	Care- givers Providers	Main outcome	Main finding	
Immunological and virological outcomes	gical outcomes											
Sohn (2017)	Thailand		×		93% perinatal	83	20 (IQR: 19–21) at study	×		Post-transition VL and transition preparedness of a transitioned cohort	85% had VL<40 cpm post- transition 46% very prepared to transfer	[28]
Transition training/preparedness interventions	redness interventions											
Lolekha (2017)	Thailand			×	perinatal	161	17 (range: 14–22) at study	×		Effect of Happy Teen 2 programme (HT2) (mix of group education and individual counselling sessions) on youth knowledge scores	Median knowledge score increased from 45% to 82% and 95% after 12 & 18 mos respectively Overall high satisfaction with the HT2 sessions	[29]
McKenney (2016)	Malawi		×		NR; aged 18–24 yrs in T2 program	100 (of 105 T2 graduates)	"average" 20 years;	×		Evaluation of Transition Training (T2) program which aims to equip young adults with life and self-care skills 6-week program meeting twice weekly.	45% re-enrolled in school/ enrolled in tertiary education or found work/internship 24% disclosed their HIV status to a friend or partner 86% had VL <150 copes/ml compared to clinic suppression rates of 77%	[30]
Young people's views												
Grewal (2017)	Kenya	×	×		NR; aged 15–24 yrs	96 (survey); 38 adolescents + 11 key informants (in-depth interviews)	N R	×	×	Proportion transitioned; Barriers and Facilitators	67% transitioned by 19 yrs; Most participants felt unprepared for transition and had anxiety during transition Transition challenges: Fear of letting go of pediatirc providers; Stigma; Differences in care between paediatric and adult clinics Transition enablers: Having sense of responsibility; Early preparation	[31]

Ref			[32]	[33]	[34]	[35]
	Main finding	Transitioning as a group; Supportive system from caregivers and providers	Transition challenges: Loss of peer support; Unfavourable appointment days; Long clinic hours; Stigma from adults Transition enablers: maturity; financial security; support structures; same clinic or staff; peer support	Transition challenges: Strong bond with paediatric team; Uhprepared to address own health needs, Negative beliefs about adult care Transition Facilitators: Resilience and positive expectations of adult care; Transition recommendations: More time; Contact with adult team before transition	Transition challenges: Fear of loss of relationships; Reduced support from caregivers; Not part of decision-making; Lack of familiarity with adult providers; Long wait times in adult clinic; Stigma Transition recommendations: Transitioning with cohort; Formal transition program; Logistic planning of first adult visit; Text-messages; Transition less abrupt	Transition challenges: Psychosocial benefits associated with paediatric care; Better quality of care in paediatrics; Rootedness in the
	Main outcome		Challenges and enablers to transition	Adolescent perspectives on transition process from paediatric to adult care via a Transition Adolescent Clinic (TAC)	Transition challenges and recommendations from 4 focus group discussions	Perspective of adolescents and providers on transfer process
s	Providers				×	×
Participants	Care- givers				×	
a a	Patients		×	×	×	×
	Median age		20.1 (mean) at study	17 at study	17.8 mean; range 13–23) at study	NR
	Sample size		132	91	15 pre/post-transition patients; 7 providers; 7 caregivers	18 adolescents 21 providers
	Mode of infection		NR; aged 17–28 yrs attending adolescent clinic	perinatal	NR .	NR; adolescent in paediatric care
	Cohort					
Design	Cross-					
	Qualitative/ semi- struct		*	×	×	×
	Country		Uganda	Brazil	Dominican Republic	Jamaica
	Author (year)		Massavon (2016)	Machado (2016)	Pinzon-Iregui (2017)	De Souza (2016)

		Q	Design					Partic	Participants			Ref
	Country	Qualitative/ semi- struct	Cross-	Cohort	Mode of infection	Sample size	Median age	Patients Caregivers	re- ers Providers	Main outcome	Main finding	
											paediatric clinic and apprehension about transfer.	
	Cambodia		×		79% perinatal	223	15.8 (mean) at study	×		Level of preparedness for transition measured through structured questionnaire	13% self-reported being "very" and 75% "somewhat" prepared for transition. 58% were "very" and 12% "somewhat" dissatisfied with the transition process 53.3% had high level of transition preparedness when formally assessed Higher level of transition preparedness independently associated trust in friends/family for HIV treatment, receiving counseling on transition, having a 'Case Manager' and satisfaction with transition preparation process.	[36]
Provider views												
Mark 2017	SSA (23 countries)		×		NR	218 facilities	N/A		<u>*</u>	Facility-level situational analysis of adolescent HIV treatment and care services	50% had no transition protocols 63% provide counselling to support transition Age of transition varied widely Peer support recommended by all but only offered by half of facilities	[37]
Gillespie 2016	Botswana; Lesotho; Malawi; Swaziland Tanzania; USA		×		"almost all" perinatally infected	7 clinics		×	×	Characteristics and current healthcare transition practices	Transition challenges: Patient/family reluctance; Concerns regarding transition-readiness; Lack of support services in adult clinic; Concern about quality of care in adult clinic	[38]
Rakh-manina (2016)	Kenya, Zambia, Swaziland Lesotho	×			×	4 countries	N/A		×	Approaches for transition to adult care.	No national guidelines for age of transition	[39]

Ref			[40]	[41]	[42]	[43]
	Main finding	Standard practice ranges from 15 (Zambia) to 21 (Swaziland)	No clinics had a systematised transition process No national guidelines Signals used to justify transition incl: age (16–25); completion of schooling, request to move, traditional circumcision, pregnancy Transition challenges: Providers' difficulty letting go; Patient/caregiver attachment to paediatric providers/ environment; Poor links between adult and paediatric providers; Feared transferring adolescents to overburdened environment; Stigma Transition recommendations: Adolescent support groups in adult clinics; Later transition age	Only 1 site had a formal transition guideline Reasons for transition: age, school completion, traditional circumcision, pregnancy	Stigma in boarding schools; Cessation of adolescent peer groups and decreased clinician support when transitioning to adult care	66 adolescent support groups established; Saturday clinics & community adolescent treatment supporters introduced with improved linkage, retention, adherence, mental health.
	Main outcome		Current state of transition, barriers and facilitators, and components of transition models	Survey of transition approaches	Challenges to long term adherence	Describes program to strengthen provider capacity for AYLHIV services
70	Providers		×	×	×	×
Participants	Care- givers					
a .	Patients					
	Median age		Å.			
	Sample size		43 survey 7 interviews	7 facilities	33 providers; 5 expert clients	N/A
	Mode of infection		Mostly perinatal providers	perinatal	NR	N
	Cohort					
Design	Cross-section		×	×		
	Qualitative/ semi- struct		×		×	×
	Country		South Africa	South Africa	Uganda	Zimbabwe
	Author (year)		Kung (2016)	Frigati (2016)	Chekata Inzaule 2016	Willis 2016

Author Manuscript

Ref		Ī	[44]	[45]	[46]	[47]	[48]	[49]
	Main finding		Pre-ART and on-ART LTFU similar before and after implementation of AYFS e.g. on ART LTFU 11.9% (CI: 7.5; 17.3) vs 17.0% (CI: 10.4; 25.0) at 6 months	Transition duration longer in TSP vs SC (median [IQR] 27 months [17–40] vs 7 [6–8]) VS increased in TSP (61%-81%) but decreased in SC (73%-60%).	Higher retention in TC (n=88) vs SC (n=153) (aOR =9.6; p=0.004) Higher viral suppression in TC vs SC (aOR = 4.1; p=0.004).	Retention at 12 mos by category: ART ineligible: 52.9%; Newly started ART: 86.4%; Stable on ART: 94.3%	Non-retention at 12 months significantly associated with clinic-based (vs. community-based) ART delivery (aHR2.58, CI: 1.26–5.29)	Adherence to treatment increased to 95–100%; Community Based ART Mentors enhanced adolescents' self-belief and esteem
	Main outcome		LTFU before and after implementation of AYFS	Virologic suppression (VS) (not defined) before and after TSP In TSP, transfer to adult care preceded by 2 years of joint visits with adult & paediatric clinician	Cross-sectional retention (picked up meds <3 mos ago) and viral suppression	Retention at 12 months (visit in the 3 months before database closure)	Retention (not defined)	Description of Community Based ART Mentors to support adolescents on ART.
Participants	nts Care- Providers							
	Patients		×	×	× 	× 	×	×
	Median age		21 (IQR:19-23) at enrolment	18.3 in TSP; 20.4 in SC	N N	22.3 (IQR 20.3–23.7) at enrolment	55% 10–14 yrs	NR
	Sample size		2321; 730 (AYFS); 2321 (standard care)	47; 32 in Transition support Program (TSP) vs 15 in Standard Care (SC)	254	337	617	172
	Mode of infection		NR; AYLHIV age 10-24 at enrolment	Perinatal	perinatal	NR; age 12–25	NR: aged 10–19 at ART start	N.
	Cohort		×	×	×	×	×	
Design	Cross- Cross-	roups						
I	Qualitative/ semi- struct	unity adherence _k						×
	Country	andly services or comm	Kenya	Senegal	South Africa	South Africa	Uganda	Zimbabwe
	Author (year)	Adolescent and youth friendly services or community adherence groups	Teasdale (2016)	Ndiaye (2017)	Zanoni 2016	Wilkinson 2016	Okoboi 2016	Kamusiya 2016

Note: all ages are reported in years, All Confidence intervals are 95% confidence intervals; aHR = adjusted Hazard Ratio; aOR = adjusted Odds Ratio; aRR = adjusted Risk Ration; ART = antiretroviral therapy; AYLHIV = adolescents and youth living with HIV; CI: Confidence Interval; IQR: Interval; IQR: Interval; Rose = months; N/A = not reported; yrs = years; SSA = sub-Saharan Africa