

## **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript

Clin Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 March 20.

Published in final edited form as:

Clin Chem. 2018 April; 64(4): 743-744. doi:10.1373/clinchem.2017.273466.

## The Wide World of Molecular Profiling for Tumor Classification

Samir Hanash<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX

As the past millennium was coming to an end and we were about to enter a new one, the National Cancer Institute (NCI) director issued a request for applications in which the scientific community was challenged to submit proposals "to harness the power of comprehensive molecular analysis technologies to make the classification of tumors vastly more informative." The director invited investigators to form multidisciplinary research groups with proposals to exploit 1 or a related set of comprehensive molecular analysis technologies for the examination of tumor specimens. Together with a group of investigators at the University of Michigan, we submitted a proposal to exploit comprehensive gene expression and proteomic technologies to profile 3 epithelial tumor types: lung, colon, and ovarian. The rationale for 3 tumor types was to determine features that were distinctive to each tumor and that were predictive of clinical behavior that may or may not cut across tumor types (1, 2). The rationale for combining gene expression and proteomics was that gene expression levels might be predictive of neither protein concentrations (3) nor subcellular location or posttranslation modifications that may impact function.

The design of our study was based on a training and testing approach in which the training was based on data from biospecimens obtained at 1 institution and testing was done with gene-expression data obtained from a completely independent sample set. The training set resulted in a 50-gene index that identified low-risk and high-risk stage I lung adenocarcinoma subgroups that differed substantially with respect to survival and that was validated in the independent set.

The question of why this particular set of genes formed a risk index for survival in earlystage lung adenocarcinoma was of interest. The genes had little in common. They were not restricted in their expression to lung cancer and did not appear to be enriched in particular signaling pathways or gene families in a manner that was obvious. Next, we turned to proteomic profiling of adenocarcinomas (4). A leave-one-out cross-validation procedure using the top 20 survival-associated proteins identified by Cox modeling indicated that protein profiles could predict survival in patients with stage I tumors. Interestingly, the combined analysis of the mRNA and protein data revealed 11 components of the glycolysis pathway as associated with poor survival, pointing to glycolysis as an important feature of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>Address correspondence to this author at: MD Anderson Cancer Center, 6767 Bertner Avenue, S13.8336, Houston, TX 77030. Fax (206) 734-2697; shanash@mdanderson.org.

**Author Contributions**: All authors confirmed they have contributed to the intellectual content of this paper and have met the following 3 requirements: (a) significant contributions to the conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; (b) drafting or revising the article for intellectual content; and (c) final approval of the published article.

Authors' Disclosures or Potential Conflicts of Interest: No authors declared any potential conflicts of interest.

Hanash

tumors likely to progress and to the merits of integrating datasets derived from different platforms (5). The protein profiling article has been far less cited than the mRNA profiling article, and this has been rather puzzling.

A follow-up study was published that involved the Director's Challenge Consortium for the Molecular Classification of Lung Adenocarcinoma (6). A large, training-testing, multisite, blinded validation study was done to assess the performance of several prognostic models based on gene expression for 442 lung adenocarcinomas. Several models examined produced risk scores that substantially correlated with actual subject outcome. Performance was improved with the inclusion of clinical data.

To date, the acceptance of prognostic gene expression signatures in clinical practice has been rather slow. This has been attributed in part to the fact that most studies have been developed without a clear definition of the intended clinical application and without rigorous independent validation to demonstrate the clinical utility (7). At present, there is an embarrassment of riches of technologies and platforms for molecular profiling for predictive and prognostic markers. Which approach will emerge that will be widely adopted in the clinic with the prerequisite performance characteristics and ease of implementation remains to be determined.

## References

- Giordano TJ, Shedden KA, Schwartz DR, Kuick R, Taylor JMG, Lee N, et al. Organ-specific molecular classification of primary lung, colon, and ovarian adenocarcinomas using gene expression profiles. Am J Pathol. 2001; 159:1231–8. [PubMed: 11583950]
- 2. Shedden KA, Taylor JM, Giordano TJ, Kuick R, Misek DE, Rennert G, et al. Accurate molecular classification of human cancers based on gene expression using a simple classifier with a pathological tree-based framework. Am J Pathol. 2003; 163:1985–95. [PubMed: 14578198]
- Chen G, Gharib TG, Huang CC, Taylor JMG, MIsek DE, Kardia SLR, et al. Discordant protein and mRNA expression in lung adenocarcinomas. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2002; 1:304–13. [PubMed: 12096112]
- Chen G, Gharib TG, Wang H, Huang CC, Kuick R, Thomas DG, et al. Protein profiles associated with survival in lung adenocarcinoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003; 100:13537–42. [PubMed: 14573703]
- 5. Hanash S. Integrated global profiling of cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2004; 4:638–44. [PubMed: 15286743]
- Gene expression-based survival prediction in lung adenocarcinoma: a multi-site, blinded validation study. Director's Challenge Consortium for the Molecular ClassificationofLung Adenocarcinoma. Shedden K, Taylor JM, Enkemann SA, Tsao MS, Yeatman TJ, Gerlad WL, et al. Nat Med. 2008; 14:822–7. [PubMed: 18641660]
- 7. Subramanian J, Simon R. What should physicians look for in evaluating prognostic gene-expression signatures? Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2010; 7:327–34. [PubMed: 20421890]

## **Featured Article**

Gene-expression profiles predict survival of patients with lung adenocarcinoma. Beer DG, Kardia SL, Huang CC, Giordano TJ, Levin AM, Misek DE, et al. Nat Med 2002;8:816–24.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This article has been cited more than 1150 times since publication.