Published in final edited form as: *Osteoporos Int.* 2019 March ; 30(3): 537–539. doi:10.1007/s00198-019-04904-z.

Appendicular lean mass and fracture risk assessment: implications for FRAX and sarcopenia

Nicholas C Harvey^{1,2}, John A Kanis^{3,4}, Enwu Liu⁴, Helena Johansson^{3,4}, Mattias Lorentzon^{5,6}, and Eugene McCloskey^{3,7}

¹MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

²NIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre, University of Southampton and University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Tremona Road, Southampton, UK

³Centre for Metabolic Bone Diseases, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

⁴Mary McKillop Health Institute, Australian Catholic University, Melbourne, Australia

⁵Geriatric Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine and Clinical Nutrition, Institute of Medicine, University of Gothenburg, Sweden

⁶Geriatric Medicine, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Mölndal, Sweden

⁷Centre for Integrated research in Musculoskeletal Ageing (CIMA), Mellanby Centre for Bone Research, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

Abstract

The vast majority of current sarcopenia definitions use DXA-derived appendicular lean mass (DXA ALM) as an approximation of muscle mass. However, there is increasing evidence that ALM is poorly predictive of incident fractures. In this editorial, we describe findings from several cohorts suggesting that DXA ALM gives only limited information on the risk of incident fracture, and that in the US MrOS, WHI and Health ABC cohorts, accounting for femoral neck bone mineral density may entirely remove ALM-fracture associations. These observations raise important questions about the role of DXA ALM both in sarcopenia definitions and as a potential input variable for FRAX. We conclude that DXA ALM is unlikely to be a useful addition to the FRAX tool, but that other means of estimating muscle mass, such as those derived from creatine dilution or peripheral quantitative CT, might offer more value for fracture risk assessment.

Editorial

Age-related sarcopenia contributes to functional decline, falls, fractures, morbidity, and mortality of elderly people and was recognised as a disease entity (ICD-10-CM M62.84) in 2016. While a consensus on the operational definition of the condition is awaited, most current definitions incorporate a combination of loss of muscle mass, strength and/or performance. Of those (10 or so) proposed to date, the vast majority of definitions use

Corresponding author: Professor Nicholas C Harvey, MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO16 6YD, UK, Tel: +44 23 8077 7624, nch@mrc.soton.ac.uk.

Harvey et al.

appendicular lean mass (ALM), derived from whole body dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans, as the estimate of muscle mass.[1] However, there is increasing evidence to suggest that DXA ALM may not contribute to the prediction of fracture outcomes (and indeed is variably related to other outcomes such as falls and mortality), particularly when also considering bone mineral density (BMD) at the femoral neck.[2,3] Measures of physical performance, for example low walking speed or inability to rise from a chair, appear more consistently predictive of fracture risk,[4,2] and thus whilst this limitation has been recognised through the consideration of muscle strength and/or function in addition to muscle mass in sarcopenia definitions, these observations do lead to the question of whether sarcopenia, and more specifically ALM, yield any information on fracture risk additional to that obtained from BMD. This is important since it informs the potential utility (or lack of utility) of such measures for the FRAX[®] Fracture Risk Assessment Tool.

DXA-derived ALM reflects the body compartment that is non-fat and non-bone, within the upper and lower limbs. This yields only an approximation of muscle mass, and it will include contributions from skin and connective tissues.[5] In the current definitions of sarcopenia it is usually normalised for height squared or body mass index to take account of differences in body size.[1] Associations between ALM and fracture reported in previous studies are inconsistent, with no association between ALM/height² and hip fracture in the U.S. MrOS cohort,[1] or women in the Framingham study,[6] whilst a study in Swiss retirees found that low lean mass was an independent risk factor for clinical fractures, albeit with a small number of fracture events occurring.[7] Furthermore, there is increasing evidence that any predictive value of ALM for fracture is substantially attenuated by consideration of femoral neck BMD. In a recent analyses, participants of the Women's Health Initiative (WHI) were classified into mutually exclusive groups based on BMD and sarcopenia status (defined using appendicular lean mass values corrected for height and fat mass according to Newman et al.[8])[9] Whereas low BMD was associated with increased risk of hip fracture, women with sarcopenia alone were at similar risk of hip fracture to nonsarcopenic women with normal BMD, suggesting that sarcopenia alone is not predictive of this outcome. In a further WHI study, appendicular lean mass was predictive of incident hip fracture amongst 872 participants 65 years or older who met Fried's criteria for frailty, but this association did not remain statistically significant after adjusting for total hip BMD.[10] These findings are consistent with those of a study of 5911 older men and women in Rotterdam, Netherlands. In this cross sectional study, sarcopenia, defined using the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP)[11] definition, was not associated with prior fractures or falls after adjustment for femoral neck BMD.[12]

We have recently studied the entire MrOS population, across US, Sweden and Hong Kong. [2] Amongst a total of 10,411 men (aged 64-100 years), greater time for 5 chair stands was associated with greater risk of major osteoporotic fracture (MOF), whereas greater walking speed, grip strength and ALM/height² were associated with lower risk of incident MOF. Importantly, inclusion of femoral neck BMD totally attenuated the association between ALM/height² and MOF. Indeed, after adjustment for femoral neck BMD, increasing ALM/ height² was associated with greater risk of hip fracture.[2] Similar findings were observed in the Health ABC study:[13] Amongst 3075 individuals aged 70-79 years, with no adjustment for femoral neck BMD, greater ALM/height² was associated with lower risk of incident hip

Osteoporos Int. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 September 01.

Harvey et al.

What might be the reasons for this attenuation of ALM by BMD in the prediction of incident fractures? The biological link between muscle and bone is well established, with both direct mechanical and endocrine interactions.[14] However, the notion of muscle in excess of bone mass as a risk factor fracture might relate to both physical activity and falls risk, but seems an improbably scenario in elderly men, and runs counter to the mechanostat principle.[14] Importantly, both ALM and BMD are derived from the same instrument, namely DXA, and were moderately correlated in MrOS (r=0.29 to 0.43).[2] It is well established that soft tissue can influence the measurement of BMD, potentially through magnification artifact associated with a thicker body where BMI is higher, and through altered edge detection.[5] This phenomenon has been particularly discussed in terms of adipose tissue, and the effect of muscle mass, which is not specifically measured by DXA, has been much less thoroughly considered. Interestingly in our MrOS analysis, the effect was very similar when ALM rather than ALM/height² was used, suggesting that the finding was not solely a result of size adjustment. Importantly, BMD is calculated from equations incorporating soft tissue mass, [5] and thus the possibility of measurement (or adjustment) artifact must be considered.[2]

The evidence presented above suggests that DXA-derived ALM is of very limited value in the prediction of incident fractures. Questions then arise of its place in sarcopenia definitions and potential for its consideration in FRAX. Importantly fracture is just one outcome resulting from sarcopenia, and ALM may have more value for other outcomes such as falls and mortality, although recent findings suggest a limited contribution here also, at least amongst men.[1] A further practical consideration is that low muscle mass is inherent in the conceptual basis of sarcopenia (Greek for "loss of flesh").[15] Conversely, FRAX is designed to facilitate specifically fracture risk assessment, so in this context, ALM's lack of fracture prediction when BMD is also considered is a particular problem. Practically, there seems little point in spending up to 10 minutes acquiring a DXA whole body scan in addition to a 30 second hip assessment, such as peripheral quantitative computed tomography,[16] or labeled creatine dilution,[17] might contribute to prediction of fracture independently of DXA BMD, and investigations of the value of these indices in large cohorts, considering also DXA BMD and FRAX probability, are warranted.

In summary, there is convincing evidence from several large prospective cohorts (for both men and women), that the use of DXA-derived appendicular lean mass (ALM) in the prediction of incident fractures does not yield additional risk information when BMD is also considered. This clearly raises questions about the role of DXA ALM in sarcopenia definitions, at least in regard to the outcome of fracture, and suggests that DXA ALM is unlikely to be a useful input variable or risk modifier in FRAX.

Author disclosures

NCH has received consultancy/ lecture fees/ honoraria/ grant funding from Alliance for Better Bone Health, AMGEN, MSD, Eli Lilly, Servier, Shire, UCB, Consilient Healthcare and Internis Pharma. EVM has received

Osteoporos Int. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 September 01.

consultancy/ lecture fees/ grant funding/ honoraria from ActiveSignal, AgNovos, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Consilient Healthcare, Gilead, GSK, Hologic, Internis, Lilly, Medtronic, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, Radius Health, Redx Oncology, Roche, Sanofi-Aventis, Servier, Synexus, Tethys, UCB, Viiv, Warner Chilcott, I3 Innovus, Unilever. ML has received lecture or consulting fees from Amgen, Lilly, Meda, UCB Pharma, Renapharma, Radius Health and Consilient Health. JAK reports grants from Amgen, Lilly and Radius Health, and consulting fees from Meda; He is the architect of FRAX but has no financial interest.

References

- Cawthon PM, Blackwell TL, Cauley J, Kado DM, Barrett-Connor E, Lee CG, Hoffman AR, Nevitt M, Stefanick ML, Lane NE, Ensrud KE, et al. Evaluation of the Usefulness of Consensus Definitions of Sarcopenia in Older Men: Results from the Observational Osteoporotic Fractures in Men Cohort Study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2015; 63(11):2247–2259. DOI: 10.1111/jgs.13788 [PubMed: 26502831]
- Harvey NC, Oden A, Orwoll E, Lapidus J, Kwok T, Karlsson MK, Rosengren BE, Ribom E, Cooper C, Cawthon PM, Kanis JA, et al. Measures of Physical Performance and Muscle Strength as Predictors of Fracture Risk Independent of FRAX, Falls, and aBMD: A Meta-Analysis of the Osteoporotic Fractures in Men (MrOS) Study. J Bone Miner Res. 2018; 33(12):2150–2157. DOI: 10.1002/jbmr.3556 [PubMed: 30011086]
- Buckinx F, Landi F, Cesari M, Fielding RA, Visser M, Engelke K, Maggi S, Dennison E, Al-Daghri NM, Allepaerts S, Bauer J, et al. Pitfalls in the measurement of muscle mass: a need for a reference standard. Journal of cachexia, sarcopenia and muscle. 2018; 9(2):269–278. DOI: 10.1002/jcsm. 12268
- Cawthon PM, Fullman RL, Marshall L, Mackey DC, Fink HA, Cauley JA, Cummings SR, Orwoll ES, Ensrud KE. Physical performance and risk of hip fractures in older men. J Bone Miner Res. 2008; 23(7):1037–1044. DOI: 10.1359/jbmr.080227 [PubMed: 18302496]
- 5. IAEA Human Health Series No. 15. Dual energy x-ray absorptiometry for bone mineral density and body composition assessmentInternational Atomic Energy Authority; Vienna: 2010.
- McLean RR, Kiel DP, Berry SD, Broe KE, Zhang X, Cupples LA, Hannan MT. Lower Lean Mass Measured by Dual-Energy X-ray Absorptiometry (DXA) is Not Associated with Increased Risk of Hip Fracture in Women: The Framingham Osteoporosis Study. Calcif Tissue Int. 2018; 103(1):16– 23. DOI: 10.1007/s00223-017-0384-y [PubMed: 29305636]
- Hars M, Biver E, Chevalley T, Herrmann F, Rizzoli R, Ferrari S, Trombetti A. Low Lean Mass Predicts Incident Fractures Independently From FRAX: a Prospective Cohort Study of Recent Retirees. J Bone Miner Res. 2016; 31(11):2048–2056. DOI: 10.1002/jbmr.2878 [PubMed: 27253633]
- Newman AB, Kupelian V, Visser M, Simonsick E, Goodpaster B, Nevitt M, Kritchevsky SB, Tylavsky FA, Rubin SM, Harris TB. Sarcopenia: alternative definitions and associations with lower extremity function. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2003; 51(11):1602–1609. [PubMed: 14687390]
- Harris R, Chang Y, Beavers K, Laddu-Patel D, Bea J, Johnson K, LeBoff M, Womack C, Wallace R, Li W, Crandall C, et al. Risk of Fracture in Women with Sarcopenia, Low Bone Mass, or Both. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2017; doi: 10.1111/jgs.15050
- Zaslavsky O, Li W, Going S, Datta M, Snetselaar L, Zelber-Sagi S. Association between body composition and hip fractures in older women with physical frailty. Geriatrics & gerontology international. 2017; 17(6):898–904. DOI: 10.1111/ggi.12798 [PubMed: 27164296]
- Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Baeyens JP, Bauer JM, Boirie Y, Cederholm T, Landi F, Martin FC, Michel JP, Rolland Y, Schneider SM, Topinkova E, et al. Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis: Report of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. Age Ageing. 2010; 39(4):412–423. DOI: 10.1093/ageing/afq034 [PubMed: 20392703]
- Trajanoska K, Schoufour JD, Darweesh SK, Benz E, Medina-Gomez C, Alferink LJ, Lahousse L, Brusselle G, Stricker B, Darwish Murad S, Zillikens MC, et al. Sarcopenia and Its Clinical Correlates in the General Population: The Rotterdam Study. J Bone Miner Res. 2018; 33(7):1209– 1218. DOI: 10.1002/jbmr.3416 [PubMed: 29502340]
- 13. Malkov S, Cawthon PM, Peters KW, Cauley JA, Murphy RA, Visser M, Wilson JP, Harris T, Satterfield S, Cummings S, Shepherd JA. Hip fractures risk in older men and women associated with DXA-derived measures of thigh subcutaneous fat thickness, cross-sectional muscle area, and

Osteoporos Int. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 September 01.

muscle density. J Bone Miner Res. 2015; 30(8):1414–1421. DOI: 10.1002/jbmr.2469 [PubMed: 25644748]

- Seeman E. Structural basis of growth-related gain and age-related loss of bone strength. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2008; 47(Suppl 4):iv2–8. DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/ken177 [PubMed: 18556646]
- Baumgartner RN, Koehler KM, Gallagher D, Romero L, Heymsfield SB, Ross RR, Garry PJ, Lindeman RD. Epidemiology of sarcopenia among the elderly in New Mexico. Am J Epidemiol. 1998; 147(8):755–763. [PubMed: 9554417]
- Crockett K, Arnold CM, Farthing JP, Chilibeck PD, Johnston JD, Bath B, Baxter-Jones AD, Kontulainen SA. Bone strength and muscle properties in postmenopausal women with and without a recent distal radius fracture. Osteoporos Int. 2015; 26(10):2461–2469. DOI: 10.1007/ s00198-015-3160-8 [PubMed: 26001559]
- 17. Cawthon PM, Orwoll ES, Peters KE, Ensrud KE, Cauley JA, Kado DM, Stefanick ML, Shikany JM, Strotmeyer ES, Glynn NW, Caserotti P, et al. Strong Relation between Muscle Mass Determined by D3-creatine Dilution, Physical Performance and Incidence of Falls and Mobility Limitations in a Prospective Cohort of Older Men. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2018; doi: 10.1093/gerona/gly129