

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Kidney Int.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 April 01.

Published in final edited form as: *Kidney Int.* 2019 April ; 95(4): 914–928. doi:10.1016/j.kint.2018.10.031.

Monogenic causes of chronic kidney disease in adults

Dervla M. Connaughton, MB BCh BAO^{1,2,3}, Claire Kennedy, MB BCh BAO², Shirlee Shril, MS¹, Nina Mann, MD¹, Susan L. Murray, MB BCh BAO², Patrick A. Williams^{2,4}, Eoin Conlon^{2,4}, Makiko Nakayama, MD¹, Amelie T. van der Ven, Dr.med¹, Hadas Ityel, MD¹, Franziska Kause¹, Caroline M. Kolvenbach¹, Rufeng Dai¹, Asaf Vivante, MD^{1,5}, Daniela A. Braun, Dr.med¹, Ronen Schneider, MD¹, Thomas M. Kitzler, MD¹, Brona Moloney, MB BCh BAO², Conor P. Moran, BSc MBChB MSc⁶, John S. Smyth, MB BCh BAO⁶, Alan Kennedy, PhD³, Katherine Benson, PhD⁴, Caragh Stapleton, BSc⁴, Mark Denton, MB BCh BAO², Colm Magee, MB BCh BAO², Conall M. O'Seaghdha, MB BCh BAO², William D. Plant, MB BCh BAO⁷, Matthew D. Griffin, MB BCh BAO⁸, Atif Awan, MB BCh BAO⁹, Clodagh Sweeney, MB BCh BAO⁹, Shrikant M. Mane, PhD¹⁰, Richard P. Lifton, PhD^{10,11}, Brenda Griffin, MB BCh BAO³, Sean Leavey, MB BCh BAO¹², Liam Casserly, MB BCh BAO¹³, Declan G. de Freitas, MB BCh BAO², John Holian, MB BCh BAO¹⁴, Anthony Dorman, MB BCh BAO^{4,15}, Brendan Doyle, MB BCh BAO^{2,4}, and Friedhelm Hildebrandt, MD¹

¹Department of Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, 300 Longwood Ave, Boston, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA. ²Department of Nephrology & Transplantation, Beaumont Hospital, Dublin 9, Ireland. ³Trinity Health Kidney Centre, Trinity Translational Medicine Institute, Trinity College Dublin, St James St, Dublin 8, Ireland. ⁴Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, 123 St Stephen's Green, Dublin 2, Ireland. ⁵Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel. ⁶Department of Nephrology, Belfast-Ulster Hospital, Belfast, Co. Down, Northern Ireland, BT16 1RH. ⁷Department of Renal Medicine, Cork University Hospital and University College Cork, Wilton, Cork, Ireland. ⁸Department of Nephrology, Galway University Hospital and School of Medicine, National University of Ireland Galway, Ireland. ⁹National Paediatric Haemodialysis Centre and Renal Transplant Unit, Temple Street Children's University

DISCLOSURE

No conflict of interests

Co-corresponding authors: Friedhelm Hildebrandt, M.D., Division of Nephrology, Boston Children's Hospital, 300 Longwood Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, Phone: +1 617-355-6129, friedhelm.hildebrandt@childrens.harvard.edu; Peter Conlon, MB, MHS, FRCPI, FRCP (UK), FACP, Department of Nephrology and Transplantation, Beaumont Hospital, Dublin 9, Ireland, Phone: +353 1 8092747, peterconlon@beaumont.ie.

CONTRIBUTORS

DMC, CK, SLM, PAW, EC, BM, CPM, JSS, MD, CM, CMOS, WDP, MDG, AA, CS, BG, SL, LC, DGdF, JH, PJL, MAL, PJC and FH recruited patients and gathered detailed clinical information for the study. DMC, SS, NM, MN, ATvdV, HI, FK, CMK, RD, AV, DAB, and FH performed whole exome evaluation and mutation analysis. DMC, CK, SS, NM, RS, TMK, AD, BD, PJC and FH performed genotype-phenotype correlation. DMC, CK, SLM, AK, KB, and CS recruited patients and extracted DNA for analysis. SS, SMM and RPL performed whole exome sequencing and downstream data analysis. MAL, PJC and FH conceived of and directed the study with DMC. DMC with PJC and FH wrote the manuscript. All authors approved the final version.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Supplementary information is available at Kidney International's website

Hospital, Temple Street, Dublin 1, Ireland. ¹⁰Department of Genetics, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06510, USA. ¹¹Rockefeller University, 1230 York Ave, New York, NY 10065, USA. ¹²Department of Nephrology, University Hospital Waterford, Dunmore Road, Waterford, Ireland. ¹³Departments of Nephrology and Internal Medicine, University Hospital Limerick, St Nessan's Rd, Dooradoyle, Co. Limerick, Ireland. ¹⁴Department of Nephrology, St. Vincent's University Hospital, Elm Park, Dublin 4, Ireland. ¹⁵Department of Histopathology, Beaumont Hospital, Dublin 9, Ireland. ¹⁶Trinity Health Kidney Centre, Trinity College Dublin, Tallaght University Hospital, Dublin

Abstract

Approximately 500 monogenic causes of chronic kidney disease (CKD) have been identified, mainly in pediatric populations. The frequency of monogenic causes among adults with CKD has been less extensively studied. To determine the likelihood of detecting monogenic causes of CKD in adults presenting to nephrology services in Ireland, we conducted whole exome sequencing (WES) in a multi-centre cohort of 114 families including 138 affected individuals with CKD. Affected adults were recruited from 78 families with a positive family history, 16 families with extra-renal features, and 20 families with neither a family history nor extra-renal features. We detected a pathogenic mutation in a known CKD gene in 42 of 114 families (37%). A monogenic cause was identified in 36% of affected families with a positive family history of CKD, 69% of those with extra-renal features, and only 15% of those without a family history or extra-renal features. There was no difference in the rate of genetic diagnosis in individuals with childhood versus adult onset CKD. Among the 42 families in whom a monogenic cause was identified, WES confirmed the clinical diagnosis in 17 (40%), corrected the clinical diagnosis in 9 (22%), and established a diagnosis for the first time in 16 families referred with CKD of unknown etiology (38%). In this multi-centre study of adults with CKD, a molecular genetic diagnosis was established in over one-third of families. In the evolving era of precision medicine, WES may be an important tool to identify the cause of CKD in adults.

Abstract



Keywords

chronic kidney disease; genetic kidney disease; whole exome sequencing

INTRODUCTION

The estimated global prevalence of chronic kidney disease (CKD) is 11 to 13%.¹ CKD is associated with high morbidity and resource utilisation.² Mounting evidence highlights the urgency for early diagnosis and intervention, to stem the sequelae of elevated cardiovascular risk and to delay progression to end stage kidney disease (ESKD).³ Monogenic causes of CKD in childhood are well established⁴, whereas very little data exists on monogenic causation of CKD in adults. In 34% of adults with CKD a positive family history is reported which suggests genetic causation.^{5–7} However, genetic testing for adults is still not routinely performed in clinical practice. Panel sequencing of CKD genes directed towards specific diagnostic groups has revealed a genetic disorder in up to 43% of patients.⁸ Using whole exome sequencing (WES), a single centre study demonstrated that a monogenic disease-causing gene can be identified in 24% of adults with CKD.⁹

In this study, we aim to determine the contribution of monogenic CKD genes in an Irish adult cohort with CKD. We hypothesise that genetic causes of CKD in adults are underrecognised, particularly in patients with a positive family history of CKD or presence of extra-renal features. Employing WES in patients with familial nephropathy or extra-renal features may therefore reveal monogenic aetiologic diagnoses in a high percentage of patients.

The estimated prevalence of CKD – aetiology unknown (CKDU) is 10-36% in adults.^{7, 10} In this setting, patients often present late with bilateral small kidneys that are not amenable to kidney biopsy. Even if a kidney biopsy is obtained, histological examination can still be uninformative, as advanced CKD can result in histological findings that are indistinguishable between multiple diseases.¹¹ We also hypothesise that WES may be especially useful in patients with CKDU. Establishing a molecular diagnosis in patients with CKDU can therefore have resulting consequences for adequate clinical management particularly in the era of "precision medicine".

RESULTS

A molecular genetic diagnosis was established in 37% of families using WES

We performed WES in 114 families with CKD (138 affected individuals). The median age at time of recruitment was 48 years [range 180-85 years], with a slight male predominance (70/138, 51%, Table 1). We detected a molecular genetic diagnosis in 42 of the 114 families (37%) (Figure 1 A, navy blue segment). The genetic diagnostic rate varied by recruitment group (Figure 2). We detected mutations across a diverse spectrum of known monogenic CKD genes encompassing mutations in 29 different genes (Table 2, Figure 3). These categories included cystic kidney disease genes (8/42 families, Figure 1 B, red segment), syndromic congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract (CAKUT) genes (8/42 families, Figure 1 B, light blue segment), isolated CAKUT genes (6/42 families, Figure 1 B, dark blue segment), chronic glomerulonephritis (GN) genes (4/42 families, Figure 1 B, orange segment), renal tubulopathy genes (4/42, Figure 1 B, purple segment), nephrolithiasis/ nephrocalcinosis (NLNC) genes (4/42 families, Figure 1 B, pink segment),

steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome (SRNS) genes (2/42 families, Figure 1 B, green segment), and Fabry disease genes (1/42 families, Figure 1 B, cream segment).

Detection of a molecular genetic diagnosis in families with an *a priori* clinical diagnosis of cystic kidney disease

In families with *a priori* clinical diagnosis of cystic kidney disease (12/114), we detected a pathogenic mutation in ten of 12 families (83%). In six families, the molecular genetic diagnosis confirmed the pre-WES clinical diagnosis, with detection of mutations in cystic kidney disease or nephronophthisis (NPHP) genes (Table 2 red segment, P13, *IFT140*, P80 and P389, *NPHP1*; P324, *BBS9*, P231and P317, *PKHD1*). In four families, we detected mutations in CKD genes known to phenocopy cystic kidney disease. This pertained mostly to bilateral small kidneys that were thought to represent the phenotype of small cystic kidneys, but in fact represented the CAKUT phenotype of renal hypodysplasia (Table 2, light blue segment, B2328, *GLI3*; B2454, *TBX1*; P320, *MAP2K2*). In one family, WES identified a likely pathogenic mutation in the gene *GLA*, previously reported in patients with Fabry disease (Table 2, B2327, cream segment).¹²

Detection of a molecular genetic diagnosis in families with an *a priori* clinical diagnosis of CAKUT

For families with CAKUT (45/114 families), we detected mutations in ten of 45 families (22%). Five families had mutations in isolated CAKUT genes (Table 2, dark blue segment, P306, *HNF1B*; B2482, *UPK3A*; P69 and P307, *PAX2*; P162, *FREM2*), while three families had mutations in syndromic CAKUT genes (Table 2, light blue segment, B2330, *PROKR2*; B2481, *TBX3*; B2463, *FBN1*). In three of the families in whom we detected mutations in syndromic CAKUT genes, extra-renal features were present on clinical review that were concordant with the corresponding molecular genetic diagnosis (Table 2, column 5). In two families, we identified mutations in non-CAKUT genes (Table. 2 B2457, *AQP2*, purple segment and B2427, *COL4A3*, orange segment). The molecular genetic diagnosis in these two families was discordant with the clinical diagnosis.

Detection of a molecular genetic diagnosis in families with an *a priori* clinical diagnosis of chronic glomerulonephritis (GN)

In two of the seven families referred with chronic GN (7/114), we detected mutations in genes known to be causative of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) (Table 2, green segment). In both families (KF4 and P640), identification of a pathogenic mutation in the *INF2* gene, resulted in the correction of the clinical diagnosis from GN to FSGS.

Detection of a molecular genetic diagnosis in families with an *a priori* clinical diagnosis of tubulo-interstitial kidney disease (TIKD)

Within the TIKD cohort (7/114), we established a molecular genetic diagnosis in two of seven families (29%). In family B2337, both siblings presented with CKD and gout at 42 years. Examination of renal biopsy specimens in both showed evidence of tubulo-interstitial nephritis. The molecular genetic diagnosis confirmed hyperuricaemic nephropathy with detection of a causative mutation in *UMOD* (Table 2 B2337, brown segment). In family

B2342, the molecular genetic diagnosis facilitated a clinical review of two siblings presenting with CKD and diabetes mellitus in adulthood. Both affected siblings had renal biopsy findings of tubulo-interstitial nephritis, while one sibling (B2342_44) had evidence of pancreatic exocrine dysfunction. Detection of a mutation in the gene *HNF1B* therefore facilitated reclassification of the clinical diagnosis to renal cyst and diabetes syndrome (Table 2 B2342, dark blue segment).

No molecular genetic diagnosis established in families with an *a priori* clinical diagnosis of nephrotic syndrome

Of the seven of 114 families referred with nephrotic syndrome, no molecular genetic diagnosis could be established post WES.

Detection of a molecular genetic diagnosis in families with an *a priori* clinical diagnosis of renal tubulopathy

In two unrelated families with renal tubulopathies (Table 2, purple segment, B2350 and B2453), we detected a pathogenic homozygous mutation in *CLCNKB*, previously reported as being causative of Bartter syndrome.¹³ Interestingly, B2453_80 presented both with features of Bartter syndrome and microscopic hematuria. Following WES, we detected a second mutation in the Alport gene *COL4A5* (Table 2, purple and orange segment). Patients with this exact mutation are reported to develop late onset microscopic hematuria and renal impairment.¹⁴

In summary, in 17 of the 42 solved families (40%), the molecular genetic diagnosis post-WES confirmed the *a priori* clinical diagnosis. The diagnostic yield varied depending on the *a priori* clinical diagnosis (Figure 2). In nine of the 42 families (22%), the molecular genetic diagnosis resulted in correction of the clinical diagnosis, while in 16 families with CKD – aetiology unknown" (38%), WES established a new molecular genetic diagnosis (Table 3).

WES corrected the a priori clinical diagnosis

In nine of 42 solved families (22%), WES corrected the clinical diagnosis (Table 3). As an example, patient B2457_78, with an *a priori* clinical diagnosis of CAKUT, presented with ESKD and a renal ultrasound showing bilateral small kidneys presumed to be due to bilateral renal hypodysplasia. Following WES, we detected a heterozygous *AQP2* mutation (Table 2, purple segment). On review post WES, the patient had initially presented as an infant in the 1970s with polyuria, vomiting and hypernatremia and subsequent bilateral renal vein thrombosis. This reverse phenotyping confirmed the molecular genetic diagnosis of nephrogenic diabetes insipidus by WES.

In patient B2427_56, with an *a priori* clinical diagnosis of CAKUT, we detected a heterozygous mutation in the *COL4A3* gene¹¹ (Table 2, orange segment). Due to the lack of a family history and absence of a renal biopsy specimen, the clinical diagnosis of autosomal dominant Alport syndrome had not been suspected initially. This demonstrates the utility of WES in establishing a definitive clinical diagnosis in patients with atypical or indistinct phenotypes.

Family P640 had an initial diagnosis of C3 glomerulonephritis (Table 2, green and orange segment, Supplementary Figure S1). Both affected individuals (P640_82 and P640_83) presented with advanced proteinuric CKD in their twenties. Multiple family members were noted to have low C3 levels but all had normal renal function. Following WES, the molecular genetic diagnosis of FSGS due to a dominant heterozygous mutation in *INF2* was established in P640_82 and P640_83. Interestingly, an additional finding of a dominant heterozygous variant in *C3* was also identified in P640_82 with ESKD and P640_2008 without ESKD, both of whom were hypocomplementaemic. Mutations in this gene can result in complement dysregulation characterised by low C3 levels thereby increasing susceptibility to atypical haemolytic uraemic syndrome.¹⁵

WES established a new clinical diagnosis families with "CKD – aetiology unknown"

In families referred with CKDU (34 of 114 families, 30%), we detected a pathogenic mutation in 16 of 34 families (47%). This represents 38% of the solved cohort (16 of 42 solved families) (Table 4). The molecular genetic diagnoses in these families included cystic kidney disease or NPHP (P322, *DYNC2H1;* P105, *NPHP1;* Table 2, red segment), syndromic CAKUT (P198, *WFS1 and* B2479, *FANC1*, Table 2, light blue segment), Alport syndrome (B2347 *COL4A3,* and P241, P58, P100, *COL4A5,* Table 2, orange segment), TIKD (P193 & P232, *UMOD;* P88, *FAN1,* Table 2, brown segment), hypertensive renal disease (B2467, *WNK4,* Table 2, purple segment), and nephrocalcinosis/nephrolithiasis (B2344, *SLC3A1;* P318, *OCRL;* P182 and B2340, *CLCN5,* Table 2, pink segment). None of the above disease–causing mutations were suspected on clinical grounds before this study, and affected patients were not clinically distinguished from other patients with CKDU. WES therefore facilitated establishment of a molecular genetic diagnosis in families who otherwise would have remained without a formal diagnosis.

Identification of variants of uncertain significance (VUS)

In 12% of families (14/114) we detected a potentially pathogenic mutation in a gene known to cause CKD (Figure 1, light blue segment, Supplementary Table S1), however the identified variants did not meet our *a priori* criteria for definite confirmation of pathogenicity, either due to lack of clinical evidence to perform adequate genotype-phenotype correlation or lack of additional familial DNA to perform segregation analysis.

Factors associated with obtaining genetic diagnosis

The highest yield in terms of establishing a molecular genetic diagnosis was in families with CKD and extra-renal features (11/16 families, 69%). In families with a positive family history, we obtained a molecular genetic diagnosis in 36% (28/78 families). In families with a negative family history and no extra-renal features, monogenic causation was observed in 15% (3/20 families) (Figure 2). No significant difference was observed in the median age of reaching ESKD in individuals in whom we established a molecular diagnosis (33 years, range 6-78 years, Table 4) versus individuals in whom no molecular diagnosis was established (31 years, range 5-68 years, p=0.955).

DISCUSSION

In this large multicentre study, we systematically evaluated the utility of WES in a cohort of adults with CKD. We established a molecular genetic diagnosis following WES in 42 of 114 (37%) families with CKD attending nephrology services in Ireland. A genetic diagnosis was established in 69% (11/16) of families with extra-renal features, 36% (28/78) of families with familial nephropathy, while in families negative for both family history and extra-renal features, monogenic causation was observed in 15% (3/20). It has previously been estimated that ~10% of all adults with CKD have an underlying genetic aetiology.¹⁶ Recently, a higher prevalence of 24% for monogenic causation was reported following WES.⁹ In this single centre study, Lata et al. recruited 92 patients with either a family history of CKD, undiagnosed CKD or a clinical suspicion of genetic kidney disease due to childhood onset CKD. We observed comparable rates of confirmation, correction, and establishment of a new clinical diagnosis post WES (Table 3). More recently, Mallett demonstrated, using targeted exomic sequencing, a genetic diagnostic rate of 43% in patients with familial renal disease.⁸ Akin to our findings, the genetic diagnostic rate was similar in those with pediatric onset disease and adult onset disease (Supplementary Table S2). Together, these data provide compelling evidence that monogenic disease causation is under-recognised in adults with CKD, and WES can be utilised to provide a monogenic aetiologic diagnosis in adults with CKD.

Our data highlights that mutations in genes classically described as "childhood" CKD genes can also be identified in adults. We hypothesise that later onset disease is due to allelic heterogeneity with "milder" phenotypes likely attributable to "milder" missense mutations. ¹⁷ For example, autosomal recessive polycystic kidney disease (ARPKD) has classically been characterised as a childhood onset nephropathy, with few cases of ESKD observed beyond 40 years.¹⁸ We identified recessive missense mutations in the *PKHD1* gene in two unrelated families with onset of ESKD >40 years (Table 2, red segment, P231 and P317). Patient P317_48, presented with "CKD – aetiology unknown" age 46 years, progressing to ESKD at 52 years. A compound heterozygous missense mutation in *PKHD1* was identified by WES (a novel c.2702A>C, p.Asn901Thr variant and a previously reported c.107C>T p.Thr36Met variant¹⁸). Recently, it has been shown that compound heterozygous mutations that involve at least one missense mutation of *PKHD1* can lead to adult onset disease.^{19, 20} These data add to the mounting evidence supporting a monogenic causation hypothesis in adults and highlight the utility of WES in the investigation of adults with CKD.

The estimated prevalence of CKDU is 10-36% in adults,^{7, 10} with a prevalence of 30% (34/114) in the current cohort. By employing WES, we were able to establish a molecular genetic diagnosis in almost half of families with CKDU (16/34, 47%), confirming our hypothesis that CKDU may have a monogenic component. These data are consistent with findings from other groups (Table 3). By employing WES in cases where renal ultrasound and kidney biopsies were uninformative, we detected pathogenic mutations across a diverse spectrum of known monogenic causes of CKD including cystic kidney disease (2/16 families, Table 2, red segment), CAKUT (2/16 families, Table 2, blue segment), chronic GN (4/16 families, Table 2, orange segment), TIKD (3/16 families, Table 2, brown segment), renal tubulopathy (1/16, Table 2, purple segment), and nephrolithiasis/nephrocalcinosis

(4/16 families, Table 2, pink segment) (Figure 3). Given that all these patients would have remained without a clinical diagnosis, these findings are significant.

Consistent with prior literature on genetic CKD in childhood, we demonstrated that the likelihood of obtaining a molecular genetic diagnosis in adults increased with the recognition of extra-renal manifestations (69%).²¹ As demonstrated in our cohort, mild extra-renal features are commonly unrecognised until clinical re-review is performed in full cognizance of the molecular genetic diagnosis (Table 2, Supplementary Table S1).²² This strategy of "reverse phenotyping" has been described extensively in childhood cohorts²³, and our data shows that this holds relevance in adults with CKD. Identification of specific pathogenic mutations can also facilitate screening for otherwise undetected extra-renal features. For example, identifications of mutations in the gene *HNF1B*, has allowed for screening for associated extra-renal features such as diabetes, facilitating early lifestyle intervention strategies and avoidance of pro-diabetic medications in the post-transplant period (P306 and B2342, Table 2, blue segment).

Unnecessary diagnostic interventions can, in certain cases, be avoided following establishment of a molecular genetic diagnosis. This was particularly evident in cases where the pre-test probability of obtaining a diagnosis is low such as occurs in patients presenting with bilateral small kidneys not amenable to biopsy. This was the case for family P88 in whom we detected a pathogenic mutation in the gene *FAN1*, where multiple attempts to obtain a kidney biopsy were futile (Table 2 brown segment). On retrospective review, WES could have provided an earlier, more precise molecular diagnosis thereby facilitating early institution of anti-proteinuric medication, avoidance of systemic immunosuppression and negate the need for a non-diagnostic kidney biopsy.

Employing WES can allow for establishment of a more precise molecular genetic diagnosis in families with complex clinical presentations. As seen in family P640, with a presumed diagnosis of C3 glomerulonephritis, identification of a pathogenic mutation in INF2 permitted the diagnosis of FSGS (Table 2, P640_83, P640_82, Supplementary Figure S1), while detection of a second variant in the gene C3 may explain the observation of complement dysregulation in other family members (Table 2, P640_2008 and P640_82). In patient B2453 80 with Bartter syndrome, clinical heterogeneity was evident at clinical presentation which remained unresolved prior to WES. This patient presented with microscopic hematuria, a finding which was resolved following identification of a hemizygous mutation in the Alport gene COL4A5 (Table 2, orange segment). Patients with this exact mutation are reported to develop late onset microscopic hematuria and renal impairment.¹⁴ Findings such as these can facilitate early intervention with anti-proteinuric medication to stem the progression of CKD. Additionally, given the emerging evidence of increased risk of ESKD in both donors and recipients in families with Alport syndrome²⁴, a molecular genetic diagnosis can help guide physicians when performing risk stratification of potential related donors at live donor assessment.

This study is not without limitations. First, the study was performed on a select population of predominantly Irish ethnicity, thereby reducing generalisability to other populations. Second, our cohort had a higher prevalence of familial CKD (78/114, 68%, Figure 2)

compared to the reported prevalence in the general Irish CKD population (629/1840, 34%).⁷ Finally, although all patients were recruited as adults (median age of recruitment 48 years [range 18-85 years]), some patients developed ESKD in childhood (21/138, 15%, Table 1). Since prior reports suggest a higher prevalence of monogenic causation in childhood⁴, these findings should be considered when extrapolating to the general CKD population. Interestingly, when comparing the rate of molecular genetic diagnosis in childhood onset CKD versus adult onset CKD, no significant difference was observed in the rate of obtaining a genetic diagnosis (20/50, 40% with childhood onset CKD versus 35/85, 41% with adult onset disease, p=0.893, Supplementary Table S3). Equally, no significant difference in the median age of onset of ESKD was observed in patients, in whom we established a genetic diagnosis (median 33 years, range 6-78 years, Table 4) versus those who remained genetically unsolved following WES (31 years, range 5-68 years, p=0.651). These findings are further supported by other groups, who have demonstrated no significant difference in genetic diagnosis rates in those with peadiatric versus adult onset disease (46% versus 40%, Supplementary Table S2).⁸

In 58 of 114 families (51%) no molecular genetic diagnosis was established following WES (Supplementary Table S4). In monogenic diseases about 85% of all causative mutations are located within the coding sequence or the adjacent splice sites.²⁵ The remaining 15% are complex deletion-insertion, copy-number variants, or reside within a promotor or other intronic region. As none of these variants can be detected by WES, this technical limitation might explain why some remain without a molecular diagnosis. Furthermore, WES might miss a subset of causative variants due to low coverage in the respective target region. In the current cohort, a mean depth of coverage of 48× was achieved. Specific exonic regions in the 478 known CKD genes, which did not reach this depth of coverage are outlined in Supplementary Table S5. Mutations in these regions may have been missed by WES analysis.

CONCLUSION

In a select patient cohort presenting with CKD in adulthood, we detected pathogenic mutations in known monogenic CKD genes in over one third of families. Detection of monogenic causes of CKD permit molecular genetic diagnosis for patients and families, and open avenues for personalised treatment strategies for CKD.

METHODS

Human subjects

This multi-centre study enroled adult patients with CKD presenting to nephrology services in Ireland in a consecutive manner from January 2014 to July 2017, as previously described. ⁷ Consent for WES was obtained from each individual recruited and approved by the medical ethics boards at each recruitment site in Ireland. Patients with CKD who had either a positive family history of CKD (Supplementary Figure S2 A, 78/114 families) or extra-renal features (Supplementary Figure S2 B, 16/114 families) were recruited. To assess the effect of familial diagnosis and extra-renal features on detection rate of a molecular genetic diagnosis, families with CKD with a negative family history and no extra-renal features were

also recruited (Supplementary Figure S2 C, 20/114 families). The clinical diagnosis of CKD was defined pre-WES as per the primary nephrologist's referral into one of the following *a priori* clinical diagnoses²⁶:

- Cystic kidney disease including nephronophthisis (NPHP), medullary cystic disease, or other renal cystic ciliopathies (12/114 families). Patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD) were excluded.
- Congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract (CAKUT) (45/114 families) defined as any abnormality of number, size, shape, or anatomical position within the kidneys or urinary tract.
- Chronic glomerulonephritis (GN) encompassing membranoproliferative GN (MPGN), crescentic GN, and haemolytic uraemic syndrome (7/114 families). Patients with genetically confirmed Alport syndrome and CKD due to systemic vasculitis were excluded.
- Tubulo-interstitial kidney disease (TIKD) with biopsy findings of chronic tubulointersitial nephritis without an obvious precipitating cause (7/114 families). Patients with confirmed mutations in *MUC1* and *UMOD* were excluded.
- Steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome (SRNS), or nephrotic syndrome with biopsy findings of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) (7/114 families).
- Renal tubulopathies (2/114 families)
- "CKD aetiology unknown" (CKDU) (34/114 families) where patients had small kidneys bilaterally and/or lacked an informative kidney biopsy.

Whole exome sequencing and variant calling

WES was performed as previously described.^{23, 27} Genomic DNA was isolated from blood lymphocytes or saliva samples as per standard protocols and subjected to exome capture using Agilent SureSelectTM human exome capture arrays (Life technologiesTM) followed by next generation sequencing on the Illumina HiSeqTM sequencing platform. Sequence reads were mapped to the human reference genome assembly (NCBI build 37/hg19) using CLC Genomics WorkbenchTM (version 6.5.2, CLC bio, Aarhus, Denmark). Variants with minor allele frequencies >1% in either dbSNP (version 147), 1,000 Genomes Project, EVS and gnomAD databases were excluded. For patients referred with an *a priori* clinical diagnosis of nephrotic syndrome, we manually searched for the p.Arg229Gln mutation in the *NPHS2* gene, since this allele occurs at a frequency of >1%.²⁸ Synonymous and intronic variants not located within splice site regions were excluded. Retained variants, which included nonsynonymous and splice site variants, were then analysed (Supplementary Figure S3 and S4).

Depending on the *a priori* clinical diagnosis we evaluated WES data for mutations in known CKD genes in the matching disease category (i.e. *a priori* clinical diagnosis of chronic GN, we examined for mutations in known chronic GN genes, Supplementary Table S6–S13). If the family remained unsolved or the *a priori* clinical diagnosis was CKDU, we evaluated for mutations in all 478 known CKD genes (Supplementary Figure S5, Supplementary Tables S6–S13). Remaining variants were ranked based on their probable impact on the function of

the encoded protein considering evolutionary conservation among orthologues across phylogeny using ENSEMBL Genome Browser and assembled using Clustal Omega, as well as the web-based prediction programmes PolyPhen-2, SIFT, and MutationTaster (Supplementary Table S14). Following filtering using our *a priori* criteria (Supplementary Figure S3 and S4), each mutation was then classified as per the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) guidelines.²⁹ In each family in whom we identified a likely causative monogenic mutation, clinical review with the referring physician was conducted to confirm that the phenotype was concordant with previously reported phenotypes, so called "reverse phenotyping". Each likely causative monogenic mutation was classified as per the ACMG guidelines as pathogenic or likely pathogenic. Variants were classified as variants of uncertain significance (VUS) if there was discordance with previously published phenotypes, the exact variant was not previously reported and additional familial DNA was not available to perform segregation analysis. Remaining mutations were confirmed in original patient DNA by Sanger, with segregation whenever familial DNA was available.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were expressed using frequencies and proportions. Age at diagnosis of CKD was defined as age of 1st presentation to a nephrology service with CKD, while age at ESKD was defined as age of commencement of renal replacement therapy (i.e. date of receipt of 1st kidney transplant or date of commencement of dialysis).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Claire Foley (Dublin, Ireland) and Valerie Logan (Dublin, Ireland).

This research was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health to FH (DK088767, DK076683, and DK068306). The Yale Center for Mendelian Genomics is funded by U54 HG006504 granted to RPL. DMC was funded by the Health Research Board, Ireland (HPF-206-674), the International Pediatric Research Foundation Early Investigators' Exchange Program and the Amgen® Irish Nephrology Society Specialist Registrar Research Bursary. NM was supported by funding from the National Institutes of Health T32-DK007726-33 grant at Boston Children's Hospital and by a Fred Lovejoy Resident Research and Education Award. TMK was supported by a Post-Doctoral Fellowship award from the KRESCENT Program, a national kidney research training partnership of the Kidney Foundation of Canada, the Canadian Society of Nephrology, and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. Patient recruitment to the Rare Kidney Disease Registry and Biobank was funded by grants from Science Foundation Ireland (11/Y/B2093) to MAL, the Meath Foundation (203170.13161) to PJC and the Beaumont Hospital and the Rare Kidney Disease Registry and Biobank at Trinity College Dublin. The corresponding authors (PJC and FH) had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Funding

Patient recruitment was funded by Science Foundation Ireland and the Meath Foundation. Whole exome sequencing analysis was supported by grants from the National Institute of Health.

REFERENCES

- Hill NR, Fatoba ST, Oke JL, et al. Global Prevalence of Chronic Kidney Disease A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS One 2016; 11: e0158765. [PubMed: 27383068]
- 2. Go AS, Chertow GM, Fan D, et al. Chronic Kidney Disease and the Risks of Death, Cardiovascular Events, and Hospitalization. New England Journal of Medicine 2009; 351: 1296–1305.
- 3. Gansevoort RT, Correa-Rotter R, Hemmelgarn BR, et al. Chronic kidney disease and cardiovascular risk: epidemiology, mechanisms, and prevention. The Lancet 2013; 382: 339–352.
- Vivante A, Hildebrandt F. Exploring the genetic basis of early-onset chronic kidney disease. Nat Rev Nephrol 2016; 12: 133–146. [PubMed: 26750453]
- 5. Skrunes R, Svarstad E, Reisaeter AV, et al. Familial clustering of ESRD in the Norwegian population. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2014; 9: 1692–1700. [PubMed: 25092600]
- McClellan WM, Satko SG, Gladstone E, et al. Individuals With a Family History of ESRD Are a High-Risk Population for CKD: Implications for Targeted Surveillance and Intervention Activities. American Journal of Kidney Diseases 2009; 53: S100–106. [PubMed: 19231753]
- Connaughton DM, Bukhari S, Conlon P, et al. The Irish Kidney Gene Project Prevalence of Family History in Patients with Kidney Disease in Ireland. Nephron 2015; 130: 293–301. [PubMed: 26202451]
- Mallett AJ, McCarthy HJ, Ho G, et al. Massively parallel sequencing and targeted exomes in familial kidney disease can diagnose underlying genetic disorders. Kidney International 2017; 92: 1493–1506. [PubMed: 28844315]
- 9. Lata S MM, Li Y, Fasel DA, Groopman E, Jobanputra V,, Rasouly H MA, Westland R, Verbitsky M, Nestor J, Slater LM, D'Agati V,, Zaniew M M-KA, Lugani F, Caridi G, Rampoldi L, Mattoo A, Newton, et al. Whole-Exome Sequencing in Adults With Chronic Kidney Disease: A Pilot Study. Annals of Internal Medicine 2018; 168: 100–109. [PubMed: 29204651]
- 10. Neild GH. Primary renal disease in young adults with renal failure. Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation 2010; 25: 1025–1032.
- Malone AF, Phelan PJ, Hall G, et al. Rare hereditary COL4A3/COL4A4 variants may be mistaken for familial focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Kidney Int 2014; 86: 1253–1259. [PubMed: 25229338]
- Spada M, Pagliardini S, Yasuda M, et al. High Incidence of Later-Onset Fabry Disease Revealed by Newborn Screening. Am J Hum Genet 2006; 79: 31–40. [PubMed: 16773563]
- Nozu Kandai, K I, et al. The Pharmacological Characteristics of Molecular-Based Inherited Salt-Losing Tubulopathies. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 2010; 95: E511– E518. [PubMed: 20810575]
- Barker DF DJ, Atkin CL, Gregory MC. Efficient detection of Alport syndrome COL4A5 mutations with multiplex genomic PCR-SSCP. American Journal of Medical Genetics 2001; 98: 148–160. [PubMed: 11223851]
- Frémeaux-Bacchi V et al. Mutations in complement C3 predispose to development of atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. Blood 2008; 112: 4948–4952. [PubMed: 18796626]
- Mallett A, Patel C, Salisbury A, et al. The prevalence and epidemiology of genetic renal disease amongst adults with chronic kidney disease in Australia. Orphanet Journal of Rare Disease 2014; 9.
- Kohl S HD, Dworschak GC, Hilger AC, Saisawat P, Vivante A, Stajic N, Bogdanovic R, Reutter HM, Kehinde EO, Tasic V, Hildebrandt. Mild recessive mutations in six Fraser syndrome-related genes cause isolated congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract. Journal American Society of Nephrology 2014; 25: 1917–1922.
- Bergmann C, Senderek J, Windelen E, et al. Clinical consequences of PKHD1 mutations in 164 patients with autosomal-recessive polycystic kidney disease (ARPKD). Kidney Int 2005; 67: 829– 848. [PubMed: 15698423]
- Ward CJ, Hogan MC, Rossetti S, et al. The gene mutated in autosomal recessive polycystic kidney disease encodes a large, receptor-like protein. Nature Genetics 2002; 30: 259. [PubMed: 11919560]

- 20. Adeva M, El-Youssef M, Rossetti S, et al. Clinical and molecular characterization defines a broadened spectrum of autosomal recessive polycystic kidney disease (ARPKD). Medicine (Baltimore) 2006; 85: 1–21. [PubMed: 16523049]
- Vivante A, Hwang DY, Kohl S, et al. Exome Sequencing Discerns Syndromes in Patients from Consanguineous Families with Congenital Anomalies of the Kidneys and Urinary Tract. J Am Soc Nephrol 2017; 28: 69–75. [PubMed: 27151922]
- Ven ATvd, Shril S, Ityel H, et al. Whole-Exome Sequencing Reveals FAT4 Mutations in a Clinically Unrecognizable Patient with Syndromic CAKUT: A Case Report. Molecular Syndromology 2018; 8: 272–277.
- van der Ven AT, Connaughton DM, Ityel H, et al. Whole-Exome Sequencing Identifies Causative Mutations in Families with Congenital Anomalies of the Kidney and Urinary Tract. J Am Soc Nephrol 2018; 29: 2348–2361. [PubMed: 30143558]
- Gross O WM, Fries JW, Müller GA. Living donor kidney transplantation from relatives with mild urinary abnormalities in Alport syndrome: long-term risk, benefit and outcome. Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation 2008; 24: 1626–1630.
- Lupski JR, Reid JG, Gonzaga-Jauregui C, et al. Whole-Genome Sequencing in a Patient with Charcot–Marie–Tooth Neuropathy. New England Journal of Medicine 2010; 362: 1181–1191. [PubMed: 20220177]
- Postorino M LA, Teatini U, Amuso S, Torino C, Di Iorio BR, Martorano C, Marino C, Morosetti M, Santoro A. The new ERA-EDTA codes for primary kidney diseases. G Ital Nefrol 2013; 30: pii: gin/30.32.15. [PubMed: 25077334]
- Gee HY, Otto EA, Hurd TW, et al. Whole exome resequencing distinguishes cystic kidney diseases fromphenocopies in renal ciliopathies. Kidney International 2014; 85: 880–887. [PubMed: 24257694]
- Machuca E HA, Nevo F, Dantal J, Martinez F, Al-Sabban E, Baudouin V, Abel L, Grünfeld JP, Antignac C. Clinical and epidemiological assessment of steroidresistant nephrotic syndrome associated with the NPHS2 R229Q variant. Kidney International 2009; 75: 727–735. [PubMed: 19145239]
- 29. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genetics in Medicine 2015; 17: 405. [PubMed: 25741868]



Figure 1. Percentage of the 114 families in Ireland with CKD in whom whole exome sequencing (WES) established a molecular genetic diagnosis (i.e. a pathogenic or likely pathogenic monogenic mutation in a known CKD gene was detected following WES)

(A) The 37% of families (42/114) in whom a pathogenic or likely pathogenic mutation in a known CKD disease gene was detected (i.e. molecular genetic diagnosis established following WES) is denoted by a navy blue colour. The 12% of families (14/114) in whom a variant of uncertain significance (VUS) in a known CKD gene was detected, is denoted by the light blue colour. Yellow colour indicates that no meaningful genetic variant could be detected in a known CKD gene following WES (i.e. no molecular genetic diagnosis established following WES).

(B) The category and percentage of monogenic mutations detected in the 42 families in whom we identified a pathogenic or likely pathogenic mutation in a known CKD gene (i.e. families in whom we established a molecular genetic diagnosis). Each colour represents a different molecular genetic diagnostic group.

i) Mutations in known cystic kidney disease including nephronophthisis genes (red)

ii) Mutations in known syndromic CAKUT genes (light blue)

iii) Mutations in known isolated CAKUT genes (dark blue)

iv) Mutations in known chronic glomerulonephritis (GN) genes (orange)

v) Mutations in known tubulo-interstitial kidney disease (TIKD) genes (brown)

vi) Mutations in known renal tubulopathy genes (purple)

vii) Mutations in known nephrolithiasis/nephrocalcinosis (NLNC) genes (pink)

viii) Mutations in known steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome (SRNS) genes (green)

ix) Mutations in known rare chronic kidney disease genes (miscellaneous category) (cream) identified



Figure 2. Percentage of the 114 families in Ireland with CKD in whom whole exome sequencing established a molecular genetic diagnosis (i.e. a pathogenic or likely pathogenic monogenic mutation in a known CKD gene was detected following WES) stratified by recruitment group Navy blue colour denotes families in whom a pathogenic or likely pathogenic mutation in a known CKD gene was detected (i.e. molecular genetic diagnosis established following WES). Light blue colour denotes families in whom we identified a variant of uncertain significance (VUS) in a known CKD gene following WES. Yellow colour indicates that no meaningful genetic variant could be detected in a known CKD gene following WES (i.e. no molecular genetic diagnosis established following WES).

A) Positive family history cohort denotes families with CKD who report CKD in either a 1st

or 2nd degree relative (78/144 families)

B) Negative family history but extra-renal features cohort (16/114 families)

C) Negative family history and no extra-renal features cohort (20/114 families)



Figure 3. Specific mutation category identified by whole exome sequencing in 114 families with chronic kidney disease

The X-axis displays the 7 *a priori* clinical diagnostic groups listed horizontally and includes cystic kidney disease (KD), congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract (CAKUT), chronic glomerulonephritis (GN), tubulo-interstitial kidney disease (TIKD), steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome (SRNS), renal tubulopathy (tubulopathy) and CKD - aetiology unknown. The Y-axis displays the molecular genetic diagnosis established following whole exome sequencing and includes the following:

i) Mutations in known cystic kidney disease including nephronophthisis genes (red) identified

ii) Mutations in known syndromic CAKUT genes (light blue) identified

iii) Mutations in known isolated CAKUT genes (dark blue) identified

iv) Mutations in known chronic glomerulonephritis (GN) genes (orange) identified

v) Mutations in known tubulo-interstitial kidney disease (TIKD) genes (brown) identified

vi) Mutations in known renal tubulopathy genes (purple) identified

vii) Mutations in known steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome (SRNS) genes (green) identified

viii) Mutations in known nephrolithiasis/nephrocalcinosis (NLNC) genes (pink) identified

ix) Mutations in known rare chronic kidney disease genes (miscellaneous category) (cream) identified

x) No molecular genetic diagnosis established following WES (yellow)

Author Manuscript

Table 1.

Clinical characteristics of the 138 affected individuals (114 families) with chronic kidney disease that were submitted for whole exome sequencing analysis

	Tota	l cohort	Positive fa	amily history	Negative fa extra-re	mily history but enal features	Negative fami extra-re	ily history and no nal features
Individuals (Families)	138	8 (114)	10	2 (78)	1	6 (16)	20	0 (20)
A priori clinical diagnosis	5							
Cystic Kidney Disease	16	12%	9	9%	6	38%	1	5%
CAKUT	53	38%	38	37%	3	19%	12	60%
Chronic GN	9	7%	7	7%	1	6%	1	5%
TIKD	10	7%	10	10%	0	0%	0	0%
SRNS	7	5%	4	4%	1	6%	2	10%
Renal tubulopathy	2	1%	1	1%	1	6%	0	0%
CKD aetiology unknown	41	30%	33	32%	4	25%	4	20%
Total	138	100%	102	100%	16	100%	20	100%
ESKD	1							
Yes	90	66%	64	65%	11	69%	15	70%
No	48	34%	38	35%	5	31%	5	30%
Total	138	100%	102	100%	16	100%	20	100%
Onset of CKD ^{<i>a</i>} (years)								
<18 (childhood onset)	50	36%	27	26%	9	56%	14	70%
18 (adult onset)	85	62%	74	73%	6	38%	5	25%
Missing data	3	2%	1	1%	1	6%	1	5%
Total	138	100%	102	100%	16	100%	20	100%
Onset of ESKD^{b} (years)								
<18 (childhood onset)	21	15%	8	8%	5	31%	8	40%
18 (adult onset)	69	50%	56	55%	6	38%	7	35%
CKD only in adulthood	48	35%	38	37%	5	31%	5	25%
Total	138	100%	102	100%	16	100%	20	100%
Sex								
Male	70	51%	49	48%	9	56%	12	60%
Female	68	49%	53	52%	7	44%	8	40%
Total	138	100%	102	100%	16	100%	20	100%
Self-reported ethnicity								
Irish	135	98%	101	99%	14	88%	20	100%
Other European	2	1%	1	1%	1	6%	0	0%
Asian	1	1%	0	0%	1	6%	0	0%
Total	138	100%	102	100%	16	100%	20	100%

Author Manuscript

A priori clinical diagnosis, the clinical diagnosis of chronic kidney disease defined pre-WES as per the primary nephrologist's referral; CAKUT, congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract; CKD, chronic kidney disease; ESKD, End Stage Kidney Disease; GN, glomerulonephritis; SRNS, steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome; TIKD, tubulo-interstitial kidney disease

aage of 1st presentation to medical services with evidence of CKD

 $\boldsymbol{b}_{\text{age}}$ at commencement of renal replacement therapy i.e. dialysis or kidney transplant

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2.

Information on pre-whole exome sequencing a priori clinical diagnosis and post- whole exome sequencing molecular genetic diagnosis in the 42 families in whom pathogenic or likely pathogenic mutations in known monogenic chronic kidney disease genes were identified post WES. All families with a molecular genetic diagnosis were classified as pathogenic or likely pathogenic as per the ACMG guidelines (last column). In families in whom segregation was not possible (column 12) AND the exact mutation was not previously described (last column), the variant was considered a variant of uncertain significance (Supplementary Table S1). In the case of a compound heterozygous mutation, at least one of the alleles were classified as either pathogenic or likely pathogeni

$_{ m ACMG}^{ m K}_{ m HGMD}^{ m K}_{ m HGMD}_{ m ClinVar}^{ m m}$		Path. DM Path.	Path. DM Path.	Path. DM /	Path. DM /	Path. DM Path.	Path. DM /	Path. DM Conflicting	Path. DM Conflicting	VUS Gene/	Path. DM Path.	VUS Gene Likely Path. & uncertain /	Path. DM at same position Path.	Path. DM Path.		Likely Path. DM/	Path. Gene /	Path. DM Path.	Likely Path. Gene/
gnom AD		0/15/277150	0/15/277150	/	/	0/32/276716	0/1/246048	0/9/276648	0/9/276648	0/5/246108	0/142/277030	0/3/276472	0/3/227450	/		0/1/246266	1	/	0/14/276690
$i_{\mathrm{MT}}^{\mathrm{PPZ}S}$		0.917 Del. D.C.	0.917 Del. D.C.	1	1	1.00 Del. D.C.	0:99 Del./	0.76 Del. D.C.	0.76 Del. D.C.	0.60 Del. P.M.	0.97 Del. D.C.	0.97 Del. D.C.	1	1		0.88 Tol. D.C.	1	1	0.89 Del. D.C.
Zygosity Segregation		hom Fa=het. Ma=het Aff	sibs=hom Unaff sibs=het	Hom Fa=NA, Ma=NA Aff	sibs=hom Unaff sib=het	hom Fa=NA, Ma=NA	hom Fa=NA, Ma=NA	hom Fa=NA, Ma=NA Aff.	sib=hom	Comp. het Fa=NA, Ma=NA	Unaff. ⇒ingle het	Comm. Lost En. MA. Ma. MA.	Comp. net FaliNA, MalNA	hom Fa=NA, Ma=NA		het Fa=NA, Ma=WT (Affection status Fa unknown)	het Fa=NA, Ma=WT (Affection status Fa unknown)	het Fa=NA, Ma=NA	het Fa=het, Ma=WT (Affection status Fa
c. change p. change leouddionary conservationf		c.634G>A p.Gly212Arg (<i>D.m.</i>)	c.634G>A p.Gly212Arg (<i>D.m</i>)	c.555_556insA p.Pro186Thrfs 2	2 c.555_556insA p.Pro186Thrfs	c.1027G>A p.Gly343Arg (<i>C.i.</i>)	c.542C>G p.Pro181Arg (D.m)	c.5221 G>A p.Val1741 Met (C.e.)	c.5221 G>A p.Val1741 Met (<i>C.e.</i>)	c.2702A>C p.Asn901Thr $(X.t)$	c.107C>T p.Thr36Met (D.r.)	c.12431C>G p.Pro4144Arg (C.i)	c.10063+2T>G 100% ESS	c.555_556insA p.Pro186Hisfs *		c.332T>G p.Met111 Aug (X.A	c.915del p.Asp305Glufs [#] 18	c.4888C>T p.Gin 1630 *	c.539G>A p.Aıg180Gin (<i>D.r.</i>)
WES establish new clinical Dx												~	>	~					
WES correct clinical Dx																			>
WES confirm clinical Dx		,	>	,	>	>	^	~	>	Ň	ò					`	^	`	
Genotype (Inheritance)	ementary Table S6)	<i>IFT140</i> (AR)	<i>IFT140</i> (AR)	(XV) IdHdN	(XV) IdHdN	NPHPI (AR)	<i>BBS9</i> (AR)	PKHD1 (AR)	PKHD1 (AR)		FAILUT (AK)	DYNC2H1 (AR)	DYNC2H1 (AR)	(NPHPI (AR)	entary Table S7)	PROKR2 (AD)	(UV) EXAL	FBNI (AD)	(TD) (TD)
Molecular genetic Dx post WES $\#0MIM$	IC KIDNEY DISEASE (Supp	Mainzer-Saldino syndrone # 266920	Mainzer-Saldino syndrome # 266920	Nephron-ophthisis 1, juvenile # 256100	Nephron-ophthisis 1, juvenile # 256100	Nephron-ophthisis 1, juvenile # 256100	Bardet-Biedl syndrome 9 # 615986	Polycystic kidney disease 4 # 263200	Polycystic kidney disease 4 # 263200	Polycystic kidney disease	4 # 263200	Short-rib thoracic dysplasia 3 with or	without Polydactyly # 613091	Nephronophthisi s 1, juvenile # 256100	NDROMIC CAKUT (Supplen	Syndromic CAKUT # 244200	Ulnar-manmary syndrome # 181450	Marfan syndrome (Syndromic CAKUT) # 154700	Greig cephalo- polysyndactyly # 175700
Inclusion criteria	CYST	Fam Hx	Fam Hx	Fam Hx	Fam Hx	Extrarenal	Extrarenal	Fam Hx	Fam Hx	E II	ram nx	Eutroneou I	EXtrarenal	Fam Hx	SYI	Fam Hx	Extrarenal	Extrarenal	Extrarenal
Sex		М	F	F	М	М	F	М	н	p	5	μ	1	ц		М	М	Ŀ	Ц
Age at Ist Dx of CKD ESKD [years]		2 27	5 12	21 21	11 12	8 16	20 36	8 40	38 41	46	52	62	70	19 19		0 14	0 12	0 CKD only	0 18
Extra-renal features		Retinitis pigmentosa Mild learning	disability	/	/	Renal tubular acidosis post-transplant	Intellectual disability Retinitis pigmentosa Diabetes mellitus Obesity	/	/		Congental riepauc r inrosis	Retinitis pigmentosa Dextrocardia	Cholestatic liver dysfunction	/		Seizure disorder	Postaxial Polydactyly <mark>Inguinal</mark> <u>hernia</u>	Height 195cm Joint hypermotility Sadde nose Gum brocercophy Dovreslantina palpebral fissures Hiah arch palate Hammer fors Pes	Craniosynostosis Mild learning disability
Reported chincal phenotype		Small, cystic kidneys	Small, cystic kidneys	Small, cystic kidneys	Small, cystic kidneys	BL echogenic kidneys	BL echogenic kidneys	Stand I Lidheerer with onloced all serves	əman kıdıreys witin subcortical cysis	N	ivornai size, cysuc kiancys	739	Nea	BSK		R RHD Nephrectomy	Unilateral RA	BL hydronephrosis/ureter, neurogenic bladder R kidney 22cm L kidney 35cm	BL echogenic kidneys
A priori chinical Despre- WRD codeT		NPHP (2836)	NPHP(2836)	NPHP(2836)	NPHP(2836)	NPHP (2836)	NPHP(2836)	ADDO AD Store	Cystic ND (2/94)	C	Cysue ND (2194)	(11-11-12)	(cccc) hoodan	Unknown (3555)		CAKUT (1625)	CAKUT (1625)	CAKUT (1673 & 1706)	NPHP(2836)
DU		65	09	09	61	23	12	62	64	40	40	:	=	58		12	83	96	44
Fam. ID		i.	11	ogu	Lon	P389	P324	1660	1974		/164		7764	P105		B2330	B2481	B2463	B2328

ACMG HGMD ClinVar	Likely Path. Gene/	Likely Path. Gene Likely Path.	Likely Path. Gene Likely Path.	Likely Path. Gene Likely Path.	Likely Path. Gene/		Path. //	Path. ?DM /	Path. DM/	Path. DM/	Path. DM/	Path. DM/	Likely Path. Gene/	Likely Path. Gene/	Likely Path. Gene/	Likely Path. Gene/		Path. DM Path. & Likely Path.	Likely Path. DM Path.	Likely Path. Gene/	Path. DM Path.	Path. DM Path.	Path. DM Path.	Path. DM /	Likely Path. Gene/
inong ADA	0/19/211848	1	/	0/4/244868	0/4/277214		1	0/21/246014	0/45/223294	1	/	1	0/16/277168	/	/	1		1/103/277100	/	1	1	/	/	0/28/57/198228	0/2/246248
$_{\mathrm{MT}}^{\mathrm{PPZ}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\mathcal{B}}}$	1.00 Tol. D.C.	1.00 Del. D.C.	1.00 Del. D.C.	1.00 Del. D.C.	0.81 Del. D.C.		1	1	0.03 Del. D.C.	1.00 Del. D.C	1.00 Del. D.C	1.00 Del. D.C	1.00 Del. D.C.	0.01 Del/	1	1		1.00 Del. D.C.	1.00 Del. D.C	1.00 Del. D.C.	1	1.00 Del. D.C.	1.00 Del. D.C.	0.01 Tol. D.C.	0.65 Del. D.C.
Zygosity Segregation	het Fa=NA, Ma=NA	het Aff Fa=het. Unaff	Ma=NA	het Fa=NA, Ma=NA Aff.=het	hom Fa=NA, Ma=NA		het Aff sibs=het Unaff sibs=WT	het Fa=NA, Ma=NA	het Fa=NA, Ma=NA		het Aff Fa=het Unaff. Ma=WT Aff sibs=het Unaff sibs=WT		Comp. het Aff sib = comp.	het, Unaff sib=single het	het Aff sib = het Unaff sib=	WT		het $Fa = NA$, Unaff Ma=het	het Fa=NA, Ma=NA	het Fa=NA, Ma=NA	hemi Fa=NA, Ma=NA	het/hemi Fa=NA, Aff	Ma=het Aff sib = hemi	hemi Fa=NA, Ma=NA	het Aff. Fa=het Aff. sib=het Unaff. Ma=WT Unaff.
c. change p. change [evolutionary conservation ⁷]	c.1309C>T p.Pro437Ser (D.r.)	c.692G>T p.Arg231Leu (<i>D.m</i>)	c.692G>T p.Arg231 Leu (<i>D.m.</i>)	c.2654C>T p.Pro885Leu (<i>D.m</i>)	c.217A>T p.lle73Phe $(D.r.^{1})$		c.1333 1334delGC p.Ala445fs [*] 105	c.227C>A p.Ser76 *	c.491 C>A p.Thrl 64Asn (<i>D.r.</i>)	c.70G>C p.Gly24Arg (<i>D.m</i>)	c.70G>C p.Gly24Arg (<i>D.m</i>)	c.70G>C p.Gly24Arg (<i>D.m</i>)	c.3661 C>T p.Pro1221Ser (<i>C.i.</i>)	c.2533C>T p.His845Tyr (<i>D.r.</i>)	c.544+3 544+6 del 75% ESS	c.544+3 544+6 del 75% ESS		c.4981 C>T p.Arg1661Cys (D.m)	c.2452G>A p.Gly818Arg (<i>D.m</i>)	c.2396G>A p.Gly799Asp (<i>C.e.</i>)	c.1423+1 G>T 100% ESS	c.2605G>A p.Gly869Arg (<i>C.e.</i>)	c.2605G>A p.Gly869Arg (<i>C.e.</i>)	c.2692A>G p.Met898Val (<i>D.r.</i>)	c.4534C>T p.Arg1512Cys (M.m.)
WES establish new clinical D _X C				>	>														>	>	>	```	`	le segment	n segment
WES correct clinical Dx	^		>												,	>		`						ar Dx - see purp below	ar Dx - see gree helow
WES confirm clinical Dx			_				>	>	>		>		7	>			<u>S9)</u>							2 molecul	2 molecul
Genotype (Inheritance)	TBX1 (AD)	<i>MAP2K2</i> (AD)	<i>MAP2K2</i> (AD)	WFSI (AD)	FANCI (AR)	ntary Table S8)	HNFIB (AD)	UPK3A (AD)	<i>PAX2</i> (AD)	<i>PAX2</i> (AD)	<i>PAX2</i> (AD)	<i>PAX2</i> (AD)	FREM2 (AR)	FREM2 (AR)	HNFIB (AD)	HNF1B (AD)	Supplementary Table	<i>COL4A3</i> (AD)	<i>COL4A3</i> (AD)	COLAAS (XLD)	COLAAS (XLD)	COLAAS (XLD)	COL4A5 (XLD)	COL4A5 (XLD)	C3 (AD)
Molecular genetic Dx post WES b #OMIM	Di George syndrome # 188400	Cardiofacio-entaneous	syndrome # 615280	Wolfram-like syndrome, autosomal dominant # 614296	Fanconi anemia, complementation group I # 609053	DLATED CAKUT (Suppleme	Renal cysts and diabetes syndrome # 137920	CAKUT [#] 611559	Papillorenal syndrome # 120330		Papillorenal syndrome # 120330		Fraser Syndrome #	617666	Renal cysts and diabetes	syndrome # 137920	LOMERULONEPHRITIS (Alport syndrome # 104200	Alport syndrome # 104200	Alport syndrome # 301050	Alport syndrome # 301050	Alport syndrome #	301050	Alport syndrome # 301050	Susceptibility to atypical haemolytic uraemic
Inclusion criteria	Extrarenal	Fam Hx	Fam Hx	Fam Hx	Extrarenal	IS	Fam Hx	Neither	Fam Hx	Fam Hx	Fam Hx	Fam Hx	Erm U.	Fam HX	Fam Hx	Fam Hx	CHRONIC O	Neither	Fam Hx	Fam Hx	Fam Hx	Fam Hx	Fam Hx	Extrarenal	Fam Hx
Sex	М	W	ц	ц	м		ц	Μ	М	М	F	Ь	>	М	F	М		М	F	F	М	F	М	М	М
Age at 1st Dx of CKD ESKD [years]	30 CKD only	50 CKD only	20 CKD only	36 CKD only	2 15		3	0 6	21 37	42 46	18 25	29 29	50	51	37 40	42 CKD only		3 CKD only	12 48	33 CKD only	23 52	30 40	17 20	3 CKD only	20 Normal renal function
Extra-renal features	Hypothyroid Retinitis pigmentosa	Mild intellectualdisability	<u>Macrocephalv Hyperkeratosis</u> <u>Lentiaines</u>	Hypertension Diabetes mellitus Depression	Gout Retinitis Pigmentosa Anemia Diabetes mellitus <u>Pseudotumour</u> <u>cerebri</u>		/	/	/	/	/	/	~	/	Diabetes mellitus Annular pancreas	Diabetes mellitus		1	/	/	/	Deafness Glaucoma Recurrent pneumonia	<u>Hearina impairment</u>	1	Low complement (C3) levels
Reported clinical phenotype	PresumedNPHP Renal Bx -TIN	Normal size cystic kidneys	Normal size cystic kidneys plus VUR	CKD-aetiology unknown Renal Bx ND	BL small kidneys (renal Bx FSGSquery secondary)		VUR R native nephrectomy	PUV BL VUR	Unilateral RA	VUR	VUR	Unilateral RA	a III	VUK	Renal Bx -TIN	Renal Bx -TIN		Haematuria BLRHD	Haematuria Renal Bx indeterminate	Renal Bx indeterminate	Renal Bx indeterminate, HTN	Renal Bx indeterminate	Renal Bx indeterminate	Hypokalemic metabolic alkalosis/ Bartter syndrome (3085)	Microscopic hematuria Normal renal Bx
A priori dinical Dr pre- NES code	NPHP(2836)	Cystic KD (2794)	Cystic KD (2794)	Unknown (3555)	Unknown (3564)		CAKUT (1618)	CAKUT (1687 & 1618)	CAKUT (3517)	CAKUT (1618)	CAKUT (1618)	CAKUT (3517)	CANTERLEY	CAKU1 (1618)	TIKD(1897)	TIKD(1897)		CAKUT (1625)	Unknown (3564)	Unknown (3564)	Unknown (3564)	Unknown (3564)	Unknown (3564)	Microscopic haematuria (3712)	ChronicGN (1377)
Ind. ID	13	4	73	102	75		92	98	59	77	50	94	9	66	44	63		56	17	63	86	30	16	80	2008
Fam.	B2454		P320	P198	B2479		P306	B2482	P69		P307		510	7107		74070		B2427	B2347	P241	P58	0010	0.01.1	2453 ^{**}	640 **

Connaughton et al.

Γ

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

						ł											
Fam. DD	D. D	A priori chinical Dx pre- WRD code#	Reported dinical phenotype	Extra-renal features	Age at ISLDx of CKD ESKD [years]	Sex	Inclusion riteria	Molecular genetic Dx post WES #OMIM	Genotype (Inheritance)	WES confirm clinical Dx	WES correct clinical Dx	WES establish new clinical Dx	c. change p. change [e-oidtlonary conservation ^f]	Zygosity Segregation	p_{PFZ}^{PPZ}	un Un	ACMG HGMD ClinVar ClinVar
	82	ChronicGN (3749)	No renal Bx performed	Low complement (C3) levels	30	W	Fam Hx		C3 (AD)				c.4534C>T p.Arg1512Cys (<i>M.m.</i>)		0.65 Del. D.C.	0/2/246248	Likely Path. Gene/
						Ħ	BULO-INTERST	ITHAL KIDNEY DISEASE	(Supplementary Tabl	e S6)							
1000	52	TIKD(1897)	Renal Bx -TIN	Uveitis Mucosal ulcers	42 CKD only	м	Fam Hx	Hyperuricemic nephropathy # 162000	UMOD (AD)	,			¢.317G≻A p.Cys106Tyr (X.t.)	het Fa=NA, Ma=NA Aff.	1.00 Del. D.C.	1	Path. DM Uncertain
B2557	53	TIKD(1897)	Renal Bx -TIN	Hyperuricemia	42 CKD only	W	Fam Hx	Hyperuricemic nephropathy # 162000	UMOD (AD)	>			c.317G≻A p.Cys106Tyr (X.t.)	sib= het	1.00 Del. D.C.	/	Path. DM Uncertain
P193	83	Unknown (3555)	BSK	/	20 CKD only	щ	Fam Hx	Hyperuricemic nephropathy # 162000	UMOD (AD)			>	c.280T>C p.Cys94Arg (<i>D.r.</i>)	het Fa=NA, Ma=NA	1.00 Del. D.C.	1	Likely Path. Gene/
P232	60	Unknown (3564)	Renal Bx indeterminate	Hyperuricemia	8 18	W	Fam Hx	Hyperuricemic nephropathy # 162000	UMOD (AD)			>	c.1382C>A p.Ala461 Glu (X.t)	het Fa=NA, Ma=NA	1.00 Tol. D.C.	1	Path. DM Likely Path.
	47	Unknown (3555)	BSK Renal Bx-insufficient tissue	Bronchiectasis Liver dysfunctionNon-	44	×	Fam Hx		FANI (AR)				c.2590C>T p.Gln864 *		/	0/1/246242	Path. Gene/
880				melanomatous cancers	45		K	aryomegalic interstitial				>	c.2774_2775deITT p.Leu925fs	Comp. het Fa=het, Ma=het Aff_cike-comm.het Unaff	1	0/10/267846	Path. Gene/
8	38	[]nknown (3555)	BSK Renal Bx-insufficient rissue	Metastatic line cancer	33	Ľ.	Fam Hx	nephritis # 614817	EANT (AR)			>	c.2590C>T p.Gln864	AII. stos=comp net Onall. sibs=het	/	0/1/246242	Path. Gene/
	5			Tooling Stript Annalogical	38								c.2774_2775deITT p.Leu925fs		/	0/10/267846	Path. Gene/
							RENAL T	TUBULOPATHY (Snooleme	ntary Table S10)								
B2457	78	CAKUT (1625 & 2476)	BLRHD BL renal vein thrombosis Hypernatrenia/Dehydration	/	0	м	Neither	Nephrogenic diabetes insipidus # 125800	AQP2 (AD)		>		c.782C>T p.Ser261 Leu (X.t)	het Fa=NA, Ma=NA	0.99 Del.D.C.	0/4/228000	Likely Path. Gene/
B2467	35	Unknown (3564)	Hypertension CKD Renal Bx indeterminate	1	26 CKD only	Ľ.	Fam Hx	Pseudohypo- aldosteronism - hypertensive CKD # 614491	WNK4 (AD)			>	c.506C>T p.Pro169Leu (<i>D.r.</i>)	het Fa=NA, Ma=NA	0.69 Del.D.C.	/	Path. DM/
B2350**	32	Bartter syndrome (3085)	Hypokalemic metabolic alkalosis	Gout	17 38	ц	Fam Hx	Bartter syndrome # 607364	CLCNKB (AR)	`			c.226C>T p.Arg76	hom Fa=NA, Ma=NA	~	0/3/246064	Path. DM/
82453**	80	Bartter syndrome (3085)	Hypokalemic metabolic alkalosis	<u>Microscopic hematuria</u>	3 CKD only	W	3xtrarenal	Bartter syndrome # 607364	CLCNKB (AR)	>			c.226C>T p.Arg76 *	hom Fa=NA, Ma=NA	/	0/3/246064	Path. DM/
						NE	PHROLITHIASI	S/NEPHROCALCINOSIS	SuDDlementary Table	S11)							
B2344	78	Unknown (3564)	Renal Bx indeterminate	Gout	25 CKD only	M	Txtrarenal	Cystinuria # 220100	SLC3AI (AD)			`	c.1799G>A p.Gly600Glu (<i>D.m</i>)	het Fa=NA, Ma=NA Aff. = het	1.00 Del D.C.	0/21/276676	Likely Path. DM/
P318	50	Unknown (3555)	BSK	Intellectual disability Finaer and wrist swell ing Seizure disorder	41 CKD only	W	Fam Hx Lc	owe syndrome # 309000	OCRL (XL)			>	c.1567G>A p.Asp523Asn (S.c.)	hemi Unaff. Fa=NA Unaff. Ma=het	1.00 Del. D.C.	/	Path. DM/
P182	602	Unknown (3555)	BSK	Hypophosphatemia Bony pain multiple fractures Bony spurs & sclerosis	51 55	M	Fam Hx	Dent disease # 300009	CLCN5 (XL)			>	c.1938del p.Phe646Leufs [#] 10	hemi Fa=NA, Ma=NA	/	1	Likely Path. Gene/
B2340	15	Unknown (3555)	BSK	(Son has nephrolithiasis)	60 CKD only	н	Fam Hx I	Dent disease # 300009	CLCN5 (XL)			`	c.925C>T p.Arg309Cys (<i>D.r</i>)	het Aff. son=hemi Fa=NA, Ma=NA	0.92 Del. D.C.	0/1/6/193605	Likely Path. Gene/
						<u>STE</u>	OID RESISTAN	T NEPHROTIC SYNDRO	AE (Supplemental Tab	le S12)							
VALA	16	Chronic GN (1377)	Renal Bx indeterminate	1	74 78	ц	Fam Hx	Focal segmental	INF2 (AD)		`		с.653G>A p.Aıg218Gln (<i>X.t.</i>)	het Unaff. Fa=NA, Aff.	1.00 Del. D.C.	/	Path. DM Path.
	15	Chronic GN (1377)	Renal Bx-indeterminate	Gout	52 55	ц.	Fam Hx	613237	INF2 (AD)				c.653G>A p.Arg218Gln (<i>X.t.</i>)	Ma=het	1.00 Del. D.C.	1	Path. DM Path.
* *	83	Chronic GN (1349)	Renal Bx-indeterminate	Normal complement (C3) levels	20 23	ц	Fam Hx	Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis #	INF2 (AD)		>		c.353T>A p.llel 18Asn(<i>D.r.</i>)	het Aff. Fa=het Aff. sib=het Unaff. Ma=WT Unaff.	1.00 Del. D.C.	1	Likely Path. Gene/
P640	82	ChronicGN (3749)	Renal Bx ND	Low complement (C3) levels	20.30	М	Fam Hx	613237	INF2 (AD)				c.353T>A p.llel 18As n $(D.r)$	sib=WT	1.00 Del. D.C.	1	Likely Path. Gene/
					RAR	F. MONO	JENIC CKD gen	es MISCELLANEOUS CA	TFGORY) (Sunnleme	ntary Table S	13)						

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Fam. ID	D. D.	A priori dinicul DX pre- WES (PRD code7)	Reported christal phenotype	Extra-retail features	Age at Lst Dx Lst Dx ESCD ESCD [years]	Sex	Inclusion criteria	Molecular genetic Dx post WES #ONIIM	Genotype (Inheritance)	WES confirm clinical Dx	WES correct clinical Dx	WES establish new clinical Dx	c. change p. change [evolutionary conservation ^f]	Zygosity Segregation	p_{PPZ}^{PPZ}	anom AD	$_{ m ACMG}^k_{ m HGMD}$ HGMD $^l_{ m ClinVar}$	
B2327	66	Cystic KD (2794)	Normal size cystic kidneys	Liver dysfunction Unexplained sciences, L factal weakness without definite attheloare noted on brain imaina, unexplained abdominal symptoms, photophobia	6 –	Ľ.	Extrarenal	Fabry Discase # 301500	GLA (XL)		>		c.352C>T p.Argl 18Cys het(X,L ²)	het Fa=NA, Ma=NA	0.99 Del. P.M.	0/15/43/200247	Likely Path. DM Conflicting	
A priori cl cytosine; c conflicting mutation; J NA, not av unknown, XLD, X-lii	inical di interpre FSGS, fç ailable; CKD - a	liagnosis , the clinical di (e, nucleotide change; C etations; del , deletion; c ocal segmental glomen ND, not done; NPHP, aetiology unknown; T, minant.	iagnosis of chronic kidne CAKUT, congenital anon delins, deletion insertion; ulosclerosis; G, guanine; nephronophthisis; p. cha thymine; TIKD, tubulo-j	<pre>iy disease defined pre-WES : nalies of the kidney and urin ; Del., Deleterious; D.C., Di GN, glomerulosclerosis; he mge, amino acid change; Pa interstitial kidney disease; T</pre>	as per the prima nary tract; CKD , isease Causing; I mi, hemizygous tth , pathogenic; IN , tubulo-inter.	ry neph chronis DM, di: , het , h P.M. , J stitial n	rologist's⊥ c kidney di æase muta eterozygou olymorph ephritis; T	referral: A, adenine isease; cm, centime tion; Dx, diagnosis us; hom, homozygc usin; PRD, primary iol., Tolerated; VUF	; AD, autosonr ter; comp , cor ; ESKD , end s ous; HTN , hyp ous; HTN , hyp sus; HTN , hyp sus; ATN , hyp	al domin npound; o tage kidn ertension is; PUV , ric reflux	nant; Aff, conflictin ney diseas n; Ind. II posterioi c; VUS, v	affected; ng, multip se; ESS, e , unique i , urethral ariant of i	AR, autosomal recessive; J le submitters have providee ssential splice site; F, fema ndividual identifier; ins; in valve; R, right; RA, renal a uncertain significance; WE	3L, bilateral; BSK, l assertion criteria to les; Fa, father; Fam. sertion; KD, kidney genesis; RHD, rena genesis; RHD, rena S, whole exome seq	bilateral sma o the Clin Vau ID , unique - disease; L , uencing; W	all kidneys; B : r database but family identif left; M , male: left; M , male: asia; sib s, sibl T , wild type;	x, biopsy; C, there are ier; fs, frameshift Ma, maternal; ing(s); XL, X-linked;	•
Additional	clinical	l features established pc	ost WES, following clinic	cal re-review in full cognizat	nce of the molect	ular geı	netic diagn	nosis are highlighted	d in <mark>bold, und</mark>	erlined t	ext in col	lumns 4 &	5.					
** indicate:	s additio	mal finding in another c	category;															
* nonsense	mutation	'n;																
T, ERA-EI	DTA priı	mary renal disease code	les (https://www.era-edta-	-reg.org/prd.jsp); /, data not :	available.													
^a Inclusion	criteria;	; Fam Hx, positive fami	ily history; Extra-renal, C	XD with extra-renal feature	s; Neither, no fa	mily hi	story and I	no extra-renal featu	res									
$b_{\#}$ OMIM,	Online	Mendelian Inheritance	t in Man (https://www.om	uim.org)														
^c Outcome in families	followin with CK	ng WES: WES confirm KD - aetiology unknow	ı clinical Dx, WES confir 'n.	med the clinical diagnosis; 1	WES correct clin	rical D	t, WES res	sulted in reclassifics	ation/ correctic	n of the e	clinical d	iagnosis;	WES establish new clinical	Dx, WES resulting	in establishı	ment of a mol	ecular diagnosis	
d _i impact of	variant	on cDNA level																

 $\stackrel{c}{\underset{}{}}$ impact of variant on the amino acid or protein level

Kidney Int. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 April 01.

evolutionary conservation was assessed across phylogeny over eight species: M.m., Mus musculus; G.g., Gallus gallus; X.t., Xenopus tropicalis; D.r., Danio rerio; C.e., elegans; C.i., Ciona intestinalis; D.m., Drosphilia melanogaster; S.c., Saccharomyces cerevisiae. If conservation is interrupted in one species but otherwise preserved across phylogeny a numerical reference is provided: ¹ Valine in G. gallus, ²Lysine in M. musculus.

 ${}^{{\cal S}}$ PP2, PolyPhen 2 (http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2)

 $h_{\rm SIFT},$ Sorting intolerant from tolerant (http://sift.jcvi.org/)

/ MT, Mutation Taster (http://www.mutationtaster.org) j smom AD, variant frequencies listed for homozygous/ hemizygous (if applicable)/ heterozygous/ total alleles(http://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/) kacMG, American College of Human Genetics Standards and Guidelines Classification as pathogenic, likely pathogenic or VUS (Richards Genet Med 17(5):405, 2015)

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

I HGMd, Human Gene Mutation Database; https://portal.biobaseinternational.com/hgmd, If the exact variant has previously been reported on HGMD Professional 2017.2 for the reported phenotype and classified as a pathogenic mutations to be disease causing, variant denoted as "DM". Variant denoted as "DM" if the variant is a likely pathogenic mutation to be disease causing but where the author indicated some degree of doubt or subsequent evidence calls the deleterious nature of the variant into question. If the gene but not the exact variant has been reported for the corresponding phenotype "Gene" is indicated in this column.

^mClin Var, classification if variant has been submitted to the ClinVar database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar).

Table 3.

Comparison between the outcomes in the current study and a recent publication

	Current Study n (%)	Lata Study ¹ n (%)
WES confirmed the clinical diagnosis	17 (40%)	6 (27%)
WES corrected/reclassified the clinical diagnosis	9 (22%)	6 (27%)
WES established a new clinical diagnosis	16 (38%)	7 (32%)
Novel candidate gene identified following WES	NA	3 (14%)
Total in whom WES confirmed, corrected, reclassified or established a genetic diagnosis	42 (100%)	22 (100%)

CKD, chronic kidney disease; WES, whole exome sequencing; NA, not applicable.

¹Lata S et al. Whole-Exome Sequencing in Adults With Chronic Kidney Disease: A Pilot Study. Annals of Internal Medicine 2018; 168: 100–109.

Table 4.

Comparison of the clinical characteristics of the 138 affected patients with chronic kidney disease by molecular genetic diagnostic category following whole exome sequencing

Molecular genetic diagnostic category post WES	Median age in years of onset of ESKD [years] (range)	CKD only in adulthood ^a (%)	ESKD in adulthood ^b n (%)	ESKD in childhood ^C n (%)	Total numbers of "solved" individual s n (%)
Cystic Kidney Disease	27 (12-70)	0	8 (73)	3 (27)	11 (100)
CAKUT	21.5 (9–51)	6 (33)	7 (39)	5 (28)	18 (100)
Chronic GN	40 (20–52)	3 (43)	4 (57)	0	7 (100)
TIKD	38 (18–45)	3 (50)	3 (50)	0	6 (100)
Renal Tubulopathy	10, 38	2 (50)	1 (25)	1 (25)	4 (100)
Nephrolithiasis/Nephrocalcinosis	55	3 (75)	1 (25)	0	4 (100)
Steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome	37.5 (20-78)	0	4 (100)	0	4 (100)
Other disease category	6	0	0	1 (100)	1(100)
All molecular diagnostic categories	33 (6-78)	17 (31)	28 (51)	10 (18)	55 (100)

CAKUT, congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract; CKD, chronic kidney disease; ESKD, End Stage Kidney Disease; GN, glomerulonephritis; solved, a pathogenic or likely pathogenic monogenic mutation in a known CKD gene was detected following WES; TIKD, tubulo-interstitial kidney disease.

^aAdult patients who had CKD at time of analysis (i.e. Not yet progressed to ESKD in adulthood i.e. 18 years)

b Patients who developed ESKD 18 years of age

^cPatients who developed ESKD < 18 years of age