

HHS Public Access

Ticks Tick Borne Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 April 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 2019 April ; 10(3): 523–527. doi:10.1016/j.ttbdis.2019.01.002.

Potential effects of blood meal host on bacterial community composition in Ixodes scapularis nymphs

William J. Landesman*,a, **Kenneth Mulder**b, **Brian F. Allan**^c , **Laura A. Bashor**a, **Felicia Keesing**d, **Kathleen LoGiudice**e, and **Richard S. Ostfeld**^f

aBiology Program, Green Mountain College, One Brennan Circle, Poultney, VT 05764

^bThe Math Center, Green Mountain College, One Brennan Circle, Poultney, VT 05764

^cDepartment of Entomology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801

^dProgram in Biology, Bard College, Annandale, NY 12504

^eDepartment of Biological Sciences, Union College, Schenectady, NY 12308

^fCary Institute of Ecosystem Studies, Millbrook NY 12545

Abstract

Tick microbiomes may play an important role in pathogen transmission. However, the drivers of microbiome variation are poorly understood, and this limitation has impeded mechanistic understanding of the functions of microbial communities for pathogen acquisition. The goal of this research was to characterize the role of the blood meal host in structuring the microbiome of Ixodes scapularis, the primary vector of Lyme disease in the eastern United States, and to determine if ticks that fed from different host species harbor distinct bacterial communities. We performed high-throughput 16S rDNA amplicon sequencing on *I. scapularis* nymphs that fed as larvae from known wildlife hosts: raccoon, Virginia opossum, striped skunk, red squirrel or gray squirrel. Using Analysis of Similarity, we found significant differences in the abundance-weighted Unifrac distance matrix among ticks fed from different host species ($p = 0.048$) and a highly significant difference in the weighted and unweighted Unifrac matrices for individuals within species ($p < 0.01$). This finding of associations between the blood meal host and *I. scapularis* microbiome demonstrates that the blood meal host may be a driver of microbiome variation that should be accounted for in studies of pathogen acquisition by ticks.

Keywords

Microbiome; Ixodes scapularis; Vector-host interactions; Lyme disease

^{*}**Corresponding Author:** Biology Program, Green Mountain College, One Brennan Circle, Poultney, VT 05764, USA. Tel: 1-802-287-8323; Fax: 1-802-287-8080; landesmanb@greenmtn.edu.

Declarations of interest: none

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1. Introduction

Research into the drivers of microbiome variation among ticks may improve mechanistic understanding of pathogen transmission (Clay et al., 2008; Narasimhan and Fikrig, 2015). Sources of microbiome variation may include species, life stage, sex, degree of engorgement and geographic location (Moreno et al., 2006; Van Treuren et al., 2015; Zolnik et al., 2016). However, the role of the host blood meal in structuring tick microbiomes remains uncertain. Ixodes scapularis, the principal vector of Lyme disease in the eastern United States (U.S.), makes direct contact with host fur, skin and blood (Barbour et al., 2009) that may influence its microbiome composition. Additionally, host immune cells may lead to alteration of the existing tick microbiome (Kuo et al., 2000; Kurtenbach et al., 2002). The importance of the host blood meal to microbiome variation of ticks is further supported by Swei and Kwan (2017) and by research into reservoir competancy (e.g. LoGiudice et al., 2003).

Lyme borreliosis is a tick-borne disease affecting an estimated 300,000 people per year in the United States (U.S.; CDC, 2013; Mead et al., 2013). The disease-causing agent of Lyme disease is the spirochetal bacterium *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto, transmitted to humans in the eastern U.S. through the bite of nymph and adult life-stage $I.$ scapularis. Here, we seek to understand the influence of host identity on microbiome composition of I. scapularis nymphs, the life stage responsible for the majority of Lyme disease cases (Barbour and Fish, 1993).

2. Materials and Methods

Ticks analyzed in this study are known-host nymphs collected in 2001 for LoGiudice et al. (2003) and stored unprocessed for 16 years. Available ticks fed on gray squirrels (Sciurus carolinensis), raccoons (Procyon lotor), red squirrels (Tamiasciurus hudsonicus), striped skunks (Mephitis mephitis), and Virginia opossums (Didelphis virginiana; Table S1). Hosts were held in cages suspended over pans of water and engorged larvae were collected twice daily and held in vials until molting. Molted nymphs were then stored at 4°C for approximately three months and then maintained at −80°C. For the current study, whole and unfed nymphs were rinsed with 70% ethanol and homogenized on a tissue lyser with a sterile 5mm steel bead. DNA was extracted using Qiagen's QIAamp DNA Micro Kit (Qiagen, Alameda, CA).

We used quantitative real-time PCR to determine tick infection status (presence/absence) and loading (number of B. burgdorferi organisms per tick). We used forward and reverse primers (5'-GCTGTAAACGATGCACACTTGGT-3' and 5'-

GGCGGCACACTTAACACGTTAG-3' respectively) which target a 69 nt region of the B. burgdorferi and B. miyamotoi 16S gene. We simultaneously used a TaqMan[™] probe (5'-TTCGGTACTAACTTTTAGTTAA-3', including the FAM dye and MGBNFQ quencher) to detect only B. burgdorferi with this primer pair (Barbour et al., 2009). Each PCR run included amplicon standards of known concentration from which standard curves were generated. The PCR cycle included a two-minute activation step at 95°C, denaturation at 95°C for 5 s and a combined annealing/extension step of 51°C for 5 s. qPCR was performed on a Qiagen Rotor Gene Q PCR thermal cycler. We utilized "bead blanks", tubes that

Landesman et al. Page 3

contained a sterile bead but no tick, to test for laboratory contamination. DNA was quantified with the Qubit® dsDNA assay kit and Qubit® 2.0 fluorometer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA).

We performed amplicon sequencing of the V4 region of 16S rDNA gene to characterize the bacterial microbiome. For PCR amplification, equal concentrations of DNA isolates, along with primers targeting the V4 region of the 16S rDNA gene (515f/806r; Bergmann et al., 2011) were added to two 48-well Fluidigm Access Array plates (South San Francisco, CA) at the Roy J. Carver Center for Biotechnology (University of Illinois, Urbana, IL). The amplified gene products were then sequenced on a MiSeq PE v3 machine (lllumina Inc., San Diego CA), generating 2×300 nt reads. Sequence reads were processed using QIIME v 1.9 (Caporaso et al., 2010). Quality filtering followed the recommendations of Bokulich et al. (2013) and sequences were de-replicated with Open Reference OTU picking (Rideout et al., 2014) at the 97% identity threshold. Chimeras were identified with USearch61 (Edgar, 2010) and removed, as were OTUs that matched to chloroplasts and mitochondria. We removed singleton and doubleton sequences and sequences that were detected in 10 or more copies on the bead blanks.

For beta diversity we rarefied the dataset to 7,420 sequences per sample and calculated the abundance-weighted and -unweighted Unifrac distance matrices (Lozupone et al., 2011), using the OTU table and phylogenetic tree (Price et al., 2009). To test for an effect of host species on tick bacterial community assemblage, we used a nested Analysis of Similarity (ANOSIM; Clarke, 1993), with host species as a fixed factor and individual host as a nested random factor. By doing so, the average Unifrac distances between hosts are based on estimated centroids for each individual animal. We used principal coordinates (PCO) analysis to visualize the relationship between host species and bacterial community similarity among samples. The ANOSIM and PCO analyses were performed on both the weighted and unweighted Unifrac distance matrices.

We further refined the visualization of principal coordinate plots by performing a canonical analysis of principal coordinates (CAP; Anderson and Willis, 2003). CAP provides a constrained ordination of the distance matrix based on the hypothesis that host species is a significant factor and using a subset of the principal coordinates (PCOs); the ordination is selected to maximize the between-group distance and minimize the within-group distance. In order to assess which bacterial orders were driving differences between ticks fed from different host species, the CAPs were correlated with the most abundant bacterial orders (>1% relative abundance). These orders were then ranked according to the sum of the squared correlation coefficients (SSCC) for the CAPs, providing insight into the taxa making the greatest contribution to variation in bacterial community composition. Statistical analyses were performed in Primer (v 6) and R (v 3.4.1).

3. Results

We obtained 5.5 million paired end reads from 92 tick samples, with one sample returning no sequences. After quality filtering and rarefaction, 88 samples were retained for analysis. There were a total of 2,232 OTUs at the 97% identity threshold. Orders with an average

relative abundance among samples of $>1\%$ were Actinomycetales (6.0% - 36.5% among host species), Rickettsiales (1.2% - 36.3%), Rhizobiales (10.1 % - 22.9%), Pseudomonadales (8.9% - 29.8%), Burkholderiales (5.5% - 21.2%), Xanthomonadales (5.6% - 15.8%), Sphingomonadales (1.7% - 5.9%), Sphingobacteriales (2.1% - 4.4%) and *Caulobacterales* (1.7% - 5.3%, Fig. 1A). The most abundant genera ($>5\%$ abundance) were Pseudomonas spp. (8.4% - 29.1% among host species), Rhodococcus spp. (4.7% - 34.6%), Rickettsia spp. $(0.9\% - 36.3\%)$, an unidentified Xanthomonadaceae $(4.3\% - 15.4\%)$ and *Pigmentiphaga* spp. $(0.9 - 17.1\%)$. Other genera with a relative abundance >1% were Agrobacterium spp., Achromobacter spp., Mycoplana spp., Sphingobacterium spp., Sphingopyxis spp., Ochrobactrum spp., Devosia spp. as well as single unidentified genera within *Rhizobiaceae*, *Comamonadaceae*, *Rhizobiales*, and *Phyllobacteriaceae* (Fig. 1B). One of the 88 ticks was positive for B. burgdorferi, with an estimated load of 62 spirochetes. No Borrelia spp. or other human bacterial pathogens (e.g. Anaplasma spp.) were detected in the 16S rDNA amplicon sequencing data.

We found a significant effect of blood meal host species identity on bacterial community similarity from the weighted Unifrac distance matrix (Spearman's $R = 0.351$; $p = 0.048$; Fig. 2) and a highly significant effect of individual hosts ($R = 0.432$; $p < 0.001$). For the unweighted Unifrac distance matrix there were no significant effects for host species ($R =$ 0.16; $p = 0.18$) but a highly significant effect of individual hosts (R = 0.451, $p < 0.001$).

The CAP analysis yielded a re-parameterization of the first five PCOs, which cumulatively explained 82% of the variation in the abundance-weighted Unifrac distance. In comparison to the PCO plot (Fig. 2), the first two CAP coordinates improved visual separation among hosts (Fig. S1A). The highest SSCC values between orders and the CAP coordinates were found for Actinomycetales (SSCC = 0.95), Rickettsiales (SSCC = 0.80), Rhizobiales (SSCC $= 0.55$), *Pseudomonadales* (SSCC = 0.50) and *Burkholderiales* (SSCC = 0.47), indicating that these groups made the greatest contribution to bacterial community variation across these coordinates (Figs. 1C and S1B). Additional orders with SSCC values > 0.1 were Xanthomonadales (SSCC = 0.27), Sphingobacteriales (0.17), Sphingomonadales (0.14) and Caulobacterales (0.13). To visualize which taxa contributed to variation in bacterial community composition by host, the most abundant $(>1%)$ bacterial orders were plotted according to their correlations with CAPs 1 and 2, and in relation to the average CAPs per host species (Fig S1B).

4. Discussion

Here, we find evidence that the microbiome of *I. scapularis* is affected by both the individual and species identity of the blood meal host. We detected significant effects of host species identity on the composition of the I. scapularis microbiome despite limited replication $(11$ hosts) and the use of a conservative, non-parametric (rank-based) permutation test. Variability in microbial communities between individuals of the same host species may be due to differences in host genotype, diet, physiology and microbial interactions, as well as by stochastic processes (Adair and Douglas, 2017; Grabowski and Hill, 2017). Considering the preliminary nature of these findings, additional research is needed to determine how

consistently host identity influences tick microbiomes, as well as possible mechanisms and importance to the transmission of pathogens.

Previous research has led to mixed conclusions about whether host identity influences the tick microbiome. Swei and Kwan (2017) found differences in bacterial diversity between lizard-(Sceloporus occidentalis) and mouse-fed (Peromyscus maniculatus) Ixodes pacificus, potentially due to antimicrobial properties of lizard blood (Lane and Quistad, 1998; Kuo et al., 2000). Additionally, host identity influences the likelihood that human pathogens will be acquired by feeding larval *I. scapularis* (e.g. LoGiudice et al., 2003; Keesing et al., 2012). On the other hand, Hawlena et al. (2013) found no differences in microbiome composition between *I. scapularis* collected from two different rodent species and Rynkiewicz et al. (2015) found that I. scapularis and D. variabilis harbored distinct bacterial communities, despite having fed from the same host. These opposing conclusions are not directly comparable as the studies showing no host effect utilized different tick species.

Several of the dominant genera we detected have been identified as inhabitants of internal structures of *I. scapularis*. For example, *Pseudomonas*, one of the most abundant genera in our dataset (Fig. 1), is believed to reside in the midgut (Narasimhan and Fikrig, 2015). In our dataset it was the primary representative from the *Pseudomonadales*, an order that was a strong driver of microbiome variation among host species ($SSCC = 0.50$; Fig. 1 and S1B). Three other abundant taxa - Ochrobactrum spp., Agrobacterium spp. (Order: Rhizobiales) and the family Xanthomonadaceae (Order: Xanthomonadales, Fig. S1) were detected in rodent blood (Zhang et al., 2014; Zolnik et al., 2018), suggesting they may be acquired during the blood meal. Taxa present in lower abundances (<1%) in our samples that may reside internally include Stenotrophomonas (Order: Xanthomonadales), Brevundimonas (Order: Caulobacterales) and Acinetobacter (Order: Pseudomonadales; Narasimhan and Fikrig, 2015). These purported internal inhabitants of *I. scapularis* may interact with *B*. burgdorferi and could influence infection status. In particular, *Pseudomonas* spp. may have a negative interaction with *B. burgdorferi* (Ross et al., 2018).

One of the most influential orders driving variation in bacterial community composition was the Rickettsiales (SSCC = 0.80), a group that was composed almost entirely of Rickettsia spp. (Fig. 1), with >96% of sequences from a single OTU. A nucleotide BLAST search revealed Rickettsia buchneri, a non-pathogenic, obligate intracellular bacterium that is transmitted transovarially and transstadially (Macaluso and Paddock, 2014; Kurtti et al., 2015; Gulia-Nuss et al., 2016), as the most likely match. Our observed differences in relative abundance of Rickettsia spp. by host species (Figs. 1 and S1B) may suggest that hosts vary in their capacity to support horizontal and/or transstadial transmission of this organism. As Rickettsia in I. scapularis may be nutritional endosymbionts (e.g. Rio et al., 2016), the potential role of host species to affect *I. scapularis* fitness should be studied further.

Due to variability in 16S gene copy number among taxa (V trovský and Baldrian, 2013; Krehenwinkel et al., 2017), relative abundance may be over-estimated for some groups. Additionally, recent studies suggest that the bacterial diversity of ticks may be lower than reported here (e.g. Narasimhan et al., 2017; Ross et al., 2018). As our analysis was performed on whole ticks, our ability to distinguish between surface-colonizing and internal

bacteria is limited and could account for the higher diversity. Several identified orders (e.g. Actinomycetales, Xanthomonadales, Burkholderiales, Rhizobiales, Sphingobacteriales, Fig. 1) contain species present on plants and in soil that may have colonized I. scapularis larvae from contact with their environment either prior to attachment (Estrada-Pena et al., 2013; Zolnik et al., 2016) or during contact with host hair and skin during feeding. At least one dominant genus (*Rhodococcus* spp.) is a potential inhabitant of mammalian fecal matter (Bell et al., 1998) and could have been acquired by engorged larvae from the water pan. However, we found very little Enterobacteriaceae, a group that is also expected to be present in fecal matter. Such surface-dwelling microbes, while likely reflective of a host signature, may have limited interactions with tick physiology and microbiome and thus not affect pathogen transmission. On the other hand, bacteria on the host surface and near the bite wound could have mixed with host blood and entered the tick during the blood meal (Hynes, 2014); this transmission route warrants further investigation.

Conclusions

This research suggests that despite high within species differences in the tick microbiome, the microbial community varies significantly between host species. Future studies should attempt to account for host identity as one of myriad factors that may influence the composition of the complex tick microbiome in order to better understand how hostmicrobiome-pathogen interactions may affect pathogen transmission.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We thank Bonnie Nightingale, Andrea Silvestri, Jada Lee and L. Page Fredericks for performing DNA extractions and laboratory assistance and Obadiah Mulder for help with data analysis. We thank Tim Hunter of the Advanced Genome Technologies Core Facilities at the University of Vermont and Sheryl White of the University of Vermont's Neuroscience COBRE Molecular Core (Burlington, VT) for consultation on qPCR and for preparation of standards. We thank Dr. Robert Cluss of Middlebury College for his advice throughout the project.

Funding

This research was funded by an Institutional Development Award (IDeA) to W.J.L. from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under grant number P20GM103449, an award by the Nathan Cummings Foundation to R.S.O. and National Institutes of Health Grant AI40076 to R.S.O. and F.K. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of NIGMS or NIH.

References

- Adair KL and Douglas AE, 2017 Making a microbiome: the many determinants of host-associated microbial community composition. Curr. Opin. Microbiol 35, 23–29. [PubMed: 27907842]
- Anderson MJ and Willis TJ, 2003 Canonical analysis of principal coordinates: a useful method of constrained ordination for ecology. Ecology 84, 511–525.
- Barbour AG and Fish D, 1993 The Biological and Social Phenomenon of Lyme Disease. Science 260, 1610–1616. [PubMed: 8503006]

- Barbour AG, Bunikis J, Travinsky B, Hoen AG, Diuk-Wasser MA, Fish D and Tsao JI, 2009 Niche partitioning of Borrelia burgdorferi and Borrelia miyamotoi in the same tick vector and mammalian reservoir species. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg 81, 1120–1131. [PubMed: 19996447]
- Bell K, Philp J, Aw D and Christofi N, 1998 The genus Rhodococcus. J. Appl. Microbiol 85, 195–210. [PubMed: 9750292]
- Bergmann GT, Bates ST, Eilers KG, Lauber CL, Caporaso JG, Walters WA, Knight R and Fierer N, 2011 The under-recognized dominance of Verrucomicrobia in soil bacterial communities. Soil Biol. Biochem 43, 1450–1455. [PubMed: 22267877]
- Bokulich NA, Subramanian S, Faith JJ, Gevers D, Gordon JI, Knight R, Mills DA and Caporaso JG, 2013 Quality-filtering vastly improves diversity estimates from Illumina amplicon sequencing. Nat. Methods 10, 57–59. [PubMed: 23202435]
- Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, Fierer N, Pena AG, Goodrich JK, Gordon JI, Huttley GA, Kelley ST, Knights D, Koenig JE, Ley RE, Lozupone CA, McDonald D, Muegge BD, Pirrung M, Reeder J, Sevinsky JR, Turnbaugh PJ, Walters WA, Widmann J, Yatsunenko T, Zaneveld J and Knight R, 2010 QIIME allows analysis of highthroughput community sequencing data. Nat. Methods 7, 335–336. [PubMed: 20383131]
- CDC, 2013 Reported cases of Lyme disease by state or locality, 2002-2011.
- Clarke KR, 1993 Non-parametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure. Austral Ecol. 18, 117–143.
- Clay K, Klyachko O, Grindle N, Civitello D, Oleske D and Fuqua C, 2008 Microbial communities and interactions in the lone star tick, Amblyomma americanum. Mol. Ecol 17, 4371–4381. [PubMed: 19378409]
- Edgar RC, 2010 Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatics 26, 2460–2461. [PubMed: 20709691]
- Estrada-Pena A, Gray JS, Kahl O, Lane RS and Nijhof AM, 2013 Research on the ecology of ticks and tick-borne pathogens -- methodological principles and caveats. Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol 3, 29. [PubMed: 23964348]
- Grabowski JM and Hill CA, 2017 A Roadmap for Tick-Borne Flavivirus Research in the "Omics" Era. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 7, 519. [PubMed: 29312896]
- Gulia-Nuss M, Nuss AB, Meyer JM, Sonenshine DE, Roe RM, Waterhouse RM, Sattelle DB, de la Fuente J, Ribeiro JM, Megy K, Thimmapuram J, Miller JR, Walenz BP, Koren S, Hostetler JB, Thiagarajan M, Joardar VS, Hannick LI, Bidwell S, Hammond MP, Young S, Zeng Q, Abrudan JL, Almeida FC, Ayllon N, Bhide K, Bissinger BW, Bonzon-Kulichenko E, Buckingham SD, Caffrey DR, Caimano MJ, Croset V, Driscoll T, Gilbert D, Gillespie JJ, Giraldo-Calderon GI, Grabowski JM, Jiang D, Khalil SM, Kim D, Kocan KM, Koci J, Kuhn RJ, Kurtti TJ, Lees K, Lang EG, Kennedy RC, Kwon H, Perera R, Qi Y, Radolf JD, Sakamoto JM, Sanchez-Gracia A, Severo MS, Silverman N, Simo L, Tojo M, Tornador C, Van Zee JP, Vazquez J, Vieira FG, Villar M, Wespiser AR, Yang Y, Zhu J, Arensburger P, Pietrantonio PV, Barker SC, Shao R, Zdobnov EM, Hauser F, Grimmelikhuijzen CJ, Park Y, Rozas J, Benton R, Pedra JH, Nelson DR, Unger MF, Tubio JM, Tu Z, Robertson HM, Shumway M, Sutton G, Wortman JR, Lawson D, Wikel SK, Nene VM, Fraser CM, Collins FH, Birren B, Nelson KE, Caler E and Hill CA, 2016 Genomic insights into the Ixodes scapularis tick vector of Lyme disease. Nat. Commun 7, 10507. [PubMed: 26856261]
- Hawlena H, Rynkiewicz E, Toh E, Alfred A, Durden LA, Hastriter MW, Nelson DE, Rong R, Munro D, Dong Q, Fuqua C and Clay K, 2013 The arthropod, but not the vertebrate host or its environment, dictates bacterial community composition of fleas and ticks. ISME J. 7, 221–223. [PubMed: 22739493]
- Hynes WL, 2014 How ticks control microbes: innate immune responses, in: Sonenshine DE and Roe RM, (Eds.), Biology of Ticks. Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 129–146.
- Keesing F, Hersh MH, Tibbetts M, McHenry DJ, Duerr S, Brunner J, Killilea M, LoGiudice K, Schmidt KA and Ostfeld RS, 2012 Reservoir competence of vertebrate hosts for Anaplasma phagocytophilum. Emerg. Infect. Dis 18, 2013–2016. [PubMed: 23171835]
- Krehenwinkel H, Wolf M, Lim JY, Rominger AJ, Simison WB and Gillespie RG, 2017 Estimating and mitigating amplification bias in qualitative and quantitative arthropod metabarcoding. Sci. Rep 7, 17668. [PubMed: 29247210]

- Kuo MM, Lane RS and Giclas PC, 2000 A comparative study of mammalian and reptilian alternative pathway of complement-mediated killing of the Lyme disease spirochete (Borrelia burgdorferi). J. Parasitol 86, 1223–1228. [PubMed: 11191895]
- Kurtenbach K, De Michelis S, Etti S, Schäfer SM, Sewell H-S, Brade V and Kraiczy P, 2002 Host association of Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato – the key role of host complement. Trends Microbiol. 10, 74–79. [PubMed: 11827808]
- Kurtti TJ, Felsheim RF, Burkhardt NY, Oliver JD, Heu CC and Munderloh UG, 2015 Rickettsia buchneri sp. nov., a rickettsial endosymbiont of the blacklegged tick Ixodes scapularis. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol 65, 965–970. [PubMed: 25563918]
- Lane RS and Quistad G, 1998 Borreliacidal factor in the blood of the western fence lizard (Sceloporus occidentalis). J. Parasitol 29–34. [PubMed: 9488334]
- LoGiudice K, Ostfeld RS, Schmidt KA and Keesing F, 2003 The ecology of infectious disease: effects of host diversity and community composition on Lyme disease risk. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100, 567–571. [PubMed: 12525705]
- Lozupone C, Lladser ME, Knights D, Stombaugh J and Knight R, 2011 UniFrac: an effective distance metric for microbial community comparison. ISME J. 5, 169–172. [PubMed: 20827291]
- Macaluso K and Paddock CD, 2014 Tick-borne spotted fever group rickettsioses and Rickettsia species, in: Sonenshine DE and Roe RM, (Eds.), Biology of ticks. Oxford University Press, New York, NY, pp. 211–250.
- Mead PS, Nelson C, Hinckley A, Hook S, Kugeler K, Perea A and Beard B, 2013 Estimating the public health burden of Lyme disease in the United States. International Conference on Lyme Borreliosis and Other Tick-Borne Diseases
- Moreno CX, Moy F, Daniels TJ, Godfrey HP and Cabello FC, 2006 Molecular analysis of microbial communities identified in different developmental stages of Ixodes scapularis ticks from Westchester and Dutchess Counties, New York. Environ. Microbiol 8, 761–772. [PubMed: 16623735]
- Narasimhan S and Fikrig E, 2015 Tick microbiome: the force within. Trends Parasitol. 31, 315–323. [PubMed: 25936226]
- Narasimhan S, Schuijt TJ, Abraham NM, Rajeevan N, Coumou J, Graham M, Robson A, Wu M-J, Daffre S and Hovius JW, 2017 Modulation of the tick gut milieu by a secreted tick protein favors Borrelia burgdorferi colonization. Nat. Commun 8, 184. [PubMed: 28775250]
- Price MN, Dehal PS and Arkin AP, 2009 FastTree: computing large minimum evolution trees with profiles instead of a distance matrix. Mol. Biol. Evol 26, 1641–1650. [PubMed: 19377059]
- Rideout JR, He Y, Navas-Molina JA, Walters WA, Ursell LK, Gibbons SM, Chase J, McDonald D, Gonzalez A, Robbins-Pianka A, Clemente JC, Gilbert JA, Huse SM, Zhou HW, Knight R and Caporaso JG, 2014 Subsampled open-reference clustering creates consistent, comprehensive OTU definitions and scales to billions of sequences. PeerJ 2, e545. [PubMed: 25177538]
- Rio RVM, Attardo GM and Weiss BL, 2016 Grandeur Alliances: Symbiont Metabolic Integration and Obligate Arthropod Hematophagy. Trends Parasitol. 32, 739–749. [PubMed: 27236581]
- Ross BD, Hayes B, Radey MC, Lee X, Josek T, Bjork J, Neitzel D, Paskewitz S, Chou S and Mougous JD, 2018 Ixodes scapularis does not harbor a stable midgut microbiome. ISME J.
- Rynkiewicz EC, Hemmerich C, Rusch DB, Fuqua C and Clay K, 2015 Concordance of bacterial communities of two tick species and blood of their shared rodent host. Mol. Ecol 24, 2566–2579. [PubMed: 25847197]
- Swei A and Kwan JY, 2017 Tick microbiome and pathogen acquisition altered by host blood meal. ISME J. 11, 813–816. [PubMed: 27858931]
- Van Treuren W, Ponnusamy L, Brinkerhoff RJ, Gonzalez A, Parobek CM, Juliano JJ, Andreadis TG, Falco RC, Ziegler LB, Hathaway N, Keeler C, Emch M, Bailey JA, Roe RM, Apperson CS, Knight R and Meshnick SR, 2015 Variation in the Microbiota of Ixodes Ticks with Regard to Geography, Species, and Sex. Appl. Environ. Microbiol 81,6200–6209. [PubMed: 26150449]
- V trovský T and Baldrian P, 2013 The variability of the 16S rRNA gene in bacterial genomes and its consequences for bacterial community analyses. PLoS One 8, e57923. [PubMed: 23460914]

Landesman et al. Page 9

- Zhang X-C, Yang Z-N, Lua B, Ma X-F, Zhang C-X and Xua H-J, 2014 The composition and transmission of microbiome in hard tick, Ixodes persulcatus, during blood meal. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 5, 864–870. [PubMed: 25150725]
- Zolnik CP, Prill RJ, Falco RC, Daniels TJ and Kolokotronis SO, 2016 Microbiome changes through ontogeny of a tick pathogen vector. Mol. Ecol 25, 4963–4977. [PubMed: 27588381]
- Zolnik CP, Falco RC, Daniels TJ and Kolokotronis SO, 2018 Transient influence of blood meal and natural environment on blacklegged tick bacterial communities. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 9, 563–572. [PubMed: 29409718]

Landesman et al. Page 10

Relative Abundance (%)

100

75

50

25

Pseudomonadales

Burkholderiales

Xanthomonadales

Sphingobacteriales

Sphingomonadales Caulobacterales

Pseudomonadales
Xanthomonadales Red
squirrel Virginia
opossun A Gray
squirrel Skunk в | Gray
| squirrel T L Skunk | Virginia Raccoor Raccoon $\overline{}$ opossum Red squirrel Average relative abundance Gray
squirrel #1 **Skunk** Opossum Gray
squirrel #2 **Skunk** Raccoon Raccoon Raccoon Opossum Opossum **SSCC** Order squirrel #1 #2 #1 $#1$ $#3$ $#1$ #2 #3 $#2$ 0.95 Actinomycetales 4.6% 7.7% 0.9% $2.2%$ 27.8% $7.1%$ 7.9% 8.3% 32.3% 30.5% 36.5% 0.80 28.2% $3.4%$ $1.2%$ 0.3% 61.1% 52.9% $0.0%$ Rickettsiales 6.9% 11.3% 4.2% 1.5% Rhizobiales 0.55 11.9% 36.7% 17.7% 10.8% 16.8% $3.7%$ 4.1% 18.1% 4.7% 20.3% 11.1%

 $6.2%$

15.5%

18.0%

 $0.8%$

4.2%

4.7%

11.8%

 $0.3%$

13.1%

1.5%

 $0.1%$

 $0.7%$

21.6%

4.8%

 $2.2%$

1.6%

0.9%

1.9%

31.6%

 $8.2%$

7.7%

 $5.2%$

2.9%

 $3.9%$

100

75

50

25

Relative Abundance (%)

48.3%

12.0%

10.6%

7.7%

 $3.7%$

2.8%

Figure 1.

 0.50

 0.47

 0.27

 0.17

 0.14

 0.13

19.8%

10.2%

10.0%

 $0.0%$

8.1%

 $3.8%$

9.3%

11.7%

13.8%

6.5%

 $3.1%$

2.3%

32.2%

14.2%

25.5%

3.3%

 $0.5%$

2.0%

Graphs of mean relative abundance of bacterial taxa associated with each host species for bacterial families (Panel A) and genera (Panel B). Genera and families individually comprising <1% of total community are not included. Panel C shows values of relative abundance at the order level. Orders are ranked by the sum of squares of their correlation coefficients (SSCC) with canonical analysis of principal coordinates (CAPs) 1 and 2 (see Figure S1 and the text).

Leaend for Panels A and B

Panel A Actinomycetales
Sphingobacteriales
Caulobacterales

Ξ Rhizobiales Rickettsiales Sphingomonadales
Burkholderiales

25.3%

13.2%

9.3%

12.7%

 $0.0%$

1.6%

5.9%

22.6%

4.9%

2.0%

6.8%

1.8%

Panel B

C

Red

19.4%

10.9%

6.7%

 $2.1%$

1.9%

5.3%

Landesman et al. Page 11

Figure 2.

First two principal coordinates for the abundance-weighted Unifrac distance matrix (Panel A) and the abundance-unweighted Unifrac matrix (Panel B). Panel C shows the results of the nested Analysis of Similarity (ANOSIM), with host species as a fixed factor and individual host as a nested random factor. There were 9,999 permutations, randomly sampled from 69,300 possible permutations.