



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 February 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2019 February ; 68(2): e27–e33. doi:10.1097/MPG.0000000000002186.

Updates in Pediatric Pancreatitis: Proceedings of the NASPGHAN *Frontiers in Pediatric Pancreatology* Symposium

Amit S. Grover¹, Alvin Jay Freeman², Maisam Abu-El-Haija³, John F. Eisses⁴, Timothy B. Gardner⁵, Quin Y. Liu⁶, Mark E. Lowe⁷, Jaimie D. Nathan⁸, Tonya M. Palermo⁹, Vikesh K. Singh¹⁰, Andrew T. Trout¹¹, Aliye Uc¹², Sohail Z. Husain^{4,*}, and Veronique D. Morinville^{13,*}

¹Boston Children's Hospital, Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition. Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, United States.

²Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition. Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, United States.

³Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition. Cincinnati, Ohio, United States.

⁴Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh of UPMC, Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition. University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States.

⁵Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center, Section in Gastroenterology and Hepatology. Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Lebanon, New Hampshire, United States.

⁶Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Digestive Diseases Center, Los Angeles, California, United States.

⁷Children's Hospital of St. Louis, Department of Pediatrics. Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, United States.

⁸Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Division of Pediatric General and Thoracic Surgery. Cincinnati, Ohio, United States.

⁹Seattle Children's Research Institute, Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine. University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, Washington, United States.

¹⁰Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine. Johns Hopkins Medical School, Baltimore, Maryland, United States.

*Co-Senior Authors. **Corresponding authors information:** Veronique D. Morinville, MDCM, FRCPC, Division of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition, Montreal Children's Hospital, McGill University Health Centre; B04.2443, 1001 Blvd Decarie, Montreal, QC, Canada, H4A 3J1., Tel: 514-412-4474; Fax: 514-412-4392, Veronique.morinville@mcgill.ca. Sohail Z. Husain, MD, Division of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh of UPMC and the University of Pittsburgh, 4401 Penn Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15224 USA, Tel: +1-412-692-6233, sohail.husain@chp.edu.

Author Roles:

Amit Grover: conceptualization and drafting of initial manuscript, editing; approval of final manuscript as submitted.

AJF, MAEH, JFE, TBG, QYL, MEL, JDN, TMP, VKS, ATT, AU: Writing up of initial individual summaries pertinent to their respective presentations given during the symposium; editing of manuscript; approval of final manuscript

Sohail Husain: Conceptualization, drafting and critically reviewing the manuscript; writing up of summary of presentation; editing and approval of final manuscript. Drs. Husain and Morinville equally served as Senior Co-Authors., Veronique Morinville:

Conceptualization, drafting and critically reviewing the manuscript; writing up of summary of presentation; editing and approval of the final manuscript. Drs. Husain and Morinville equally served as Senior Co-Authors.

Conflicts of Interest reported: Nil reported by all authors

¹¹Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Department of Radiology. Cincinnati, Ohio, United States.

¹²Stead Family Children's Hospital. University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, United States.

¹³Montreal Children's Hospital, Division of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition. McGill University Health Center, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

Abstract

Introduction: The Pancreas Committee of the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (NASPGHAN) aims to promote awareness of pediatric pancreatic diseases, support clinical and basic science research in the field, educate pediatric gastroenterologists, and advocate on behalf of pediatric patients with pancreatic disorders.

Methods: At the 2017 Annual NASPGHAN meeting, the Pancreas Committee held a full day symposium on pediatric pancreatic diseases, entitled, "*Frontiers in Pediatric Pancreatology*." The symposium served as a timely and novel academic meeting that brought together individuals with a vested interest in the care of children with pancreatic disorders. The objective of this day-long course was to update practicing gastroenterologists on the latest advances in research, management algorithms, endoscopic therapies, radiographic resources, surgical approaches, and novel drug therapies targeted to pediatric pancreatitis.

Results: Presentations were divided into 4 modules: 1. Diagnosis, risk factors, and natural history of pancreatitis, 2. Pancreatic imaging and exocrine function, 3. Management of pancreatitis, and 4. New frontiers in pediatric pancreatitis research. The course fostered a unique ecosystem for interdisciplinary collaboration, in addition to promoting discussion and stimulating new research hypotheses regarding pediatric pancreatic disorders. Oral presentations by experts in various fields of pancreatology led to thought-provoking discussion; in addition, a meet-the-professor luncheon stimulated critical evaluation of current research in pediatric pancreatic diseases, highlighting knowledge gaps as well as future research endeavors.

Discussion: The current report summarizes the major learning points from this novel symposium focusing on the growing demographic of pediatric pancreatic diseases.

Keywords

management; risk factors; imaging; medications; endoscopy; complications; exocrine pancreatic insufficiency; surgery; pain; (cellular and) animal models

Introduction:

Pancreatitis is the most common pancreatic disease of childhood with an increasing incidence estimated at 13 cases in 100,000 children per year(1–3). There continues to be a dearth of pediatric literature, and most pediatric gastroenterologists typically rely on diagnostic, prognostic and treatment guidelines published in adult pancreatitis. In recent years, however, there has been a newfound interest in pediatric pancreatic diseases, and a growing cohort of pediatric gastroenterologists have become engaged in collectively

studying and treating acute and chronic pancreatitis. The past decade has seen advances not only in diagnostic and therapeutic modalities but also radiographic, endoscopic and basic science research related to pediatric pancreatic disorders. On November 1st, 2017 the NASPGHAN Pancreas Committee held a single day symposium during its Annual Meeting, entitled, “*Frontiers in Pediatric Pancreatology*,” which included 234 attendees, of whom 20% identified themselves as trainees. The focus of the full day symposium was to report the most current advances in pediatric pancreatic disease to a target audience of pediatric gastroenterologists, gastroenterology trainees, clinical and basic sciences researchers, and specialized affiliated health care providers. The goal was to highlight current research, both clinical and basic science, which could be used to aid in the treatment of children with pancreatic disease, to demonstrate gaps in knowledge, to foster discussion and collaboration, and to stimulate a new generation of pediatric gastroenterologists to enter the field of pediatric pancreatology. The Symposium reflected years of preparation by the NASPGHAN Pancreas Committee, including the initial formation of a scientific organizing committee to brainstorm on pancreas-related topics that would be of interest to a broad audience, and subsequent limitations as to number and type of presentations, to address the needs and maintain interests of both clinicians and basic researchers. Topics were then divided up into modules to present data that had thematic overlaps and allow discussion amongst the involved speakers at the conclusion of each. The final symposium agenda was divided into four modules. The current manuscript presents the available proceedings from each of the sessions.

Module 1: Diagnosis, Risk Factors and Natural History of Pancreatitis in Children

Why do some drugs cause pancreatitis? - Sohail Husain MD—Drugs are associated with acute pancreatitis (AP) in upwards of a fifth of all cases in children(4). Drug exposure can either be a sole etiology for the pancreatitis, or, in about a third of cases, it can predispose patients with other concomitant risk factors to the development of pancreatitis. Determining causation beyond mere association is often challenging and requires knowledge of the pattern of pancreatitis previously reported with the drug exposure(5). Questions that need to be asked include the temporal sequence, or latency period, between first exposure (or sometimes dose escalation) and pancreatitis onset, whether there was relief after stopping the drug, and, in select cases, whether there was recurrence of pancreatitis after a repeat challenge(6). It is important to recognize the drugs that are definitely associated with pancreatitis. They include several anti-epileptics, particularly valproic acid, some medications for inflammatory bowel disease, such as the thiopurines and mesalamine, and the chemotherapeutic drug asparaginase. The mechanisms underlying drug-induced pancreatitis are not well understood. Some drugs, for example valproic acid, appear to dampen the ability of the pancreas to regenerate, while others, for example asparaginase, trigger nutrient deprivation responses in the pancreas. Emerging insight into these mechanisms, as well as their pharmacogenomic determinants, is likely to help with screening patients for the likelihood of developing pancreatitis with drug exposure. The information could also guide rescue therapies for pancreatitis in conditions where the drug is an integral part of the therapeutic regimen; a prime example is asparaginase, which is a crucial treatment for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. In the meantime, for most other drugs, the drug exposure should be immediately discontinued upon identification of a probable role

in the development of pancreatitis. It has been reported that some drugs can be re-challenged without recurrence of pancreatitis(7); however, more research and further investigation into this area and specific drugs is needed. Such decision-making will require a more comprehensive analysis of patient outcomes and database accrual of patient information into a framework similar to the existing drug-induced liver injury network (DILIN).

The role of endoscopy in children with pancreatitis - Quin Liu MD—Endoscopic methods to evaluate and treat childhood pancreatitis include endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) and endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP). EUS affords the ability to non-invasively visualize the pancreas up close, to evaluate for features of chronic inflammation, and importantly to obtain tissue by fine-needle aspiration or fine-needle core biopsy. Although studies have not been conducted in children, positive EUS findings for chronic pancreatitis changes have shown to have a high correlation of 96.2% with histological fibrosis scores for chronic changes to the pancreas(8). EUS-guided drainage is also the modality of choice for the treatment of pancreatic fluid collections such as pseudocysts and walled-off necrosis. As a result of the growing use of EUS and the wider availability of cross-sectional imaging modalities, the use of ERCP for the diagnosis of pancreatic disease is diminishing. ERCP continues to play a dominant therapeutic role in the management of ductal complications of pancreatitis, including pancreatic duct strictures and pancreatic duct stones. Data from the INSPPIRE (International Study Group of Pediatric Pancreatitis: In Search for a Cure) consortium have shown that children with chronic pancreatitis (CP) have a higher likelihood of undergoing therapeutic ERCP compared with children with acute recurrent pancreatitis (ARP). Also, children have a good response to therapeutic ERCP for pancreatic duct stone clearance and also stenting for pancreatic duct stricture with decrease incidences of acute pancreatitis attacks(9,10). The efficacy of ERCP for idiopathic ARP, on the other hand, is less clear(11). The utilization of EUS and ERCP will continue to expand in pediatric pancreas diseases as more pediatric gastroenterologists are being trained in these endoscopic modalities. It will be important to study key questions about the role of endoscopy in pediatric pancreatitis, such as the optimal timing of endoscopic management and whether patients with certain subsets of patients, for example with distinct etiologies, have a more favorable response to endotherapy.

Module 2 – Pancreatic Imaging and Exocrine Function in Children

Imaging methods to assess the pancreas in children: Emphasis on emerging technologies - Andrew Trout MD—Ultrasound (US), computerized tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are the mainstay for imaging pediatric pancreatic diseases. When selecting a modality for routine imaging of pancreatic disease, pediatric gastroenterologists should be aware of the strengths and weaknesses of each of these modalities. Briefly, US benefits from portability and lower cost but provides a limited field of view. CT and MRI provide better characterization of the pancreas and surrounding tissues, with MRI excelling at duct and parenchymal characterization and CT having advantages for detection of pancreatic calcifications and for rapid assessment of an unstable patient.

Newer imaging techniques are allowing physicians to move beyond the basic assessment of pancreatic morphology and viability, to a more in-depth characterization of both parenchymal and ductal health, as well as exocrine function. Such techniques are currently exploratory, but they hold great promise as potential biomarkers in clinical studies, particularly in the pediatric population where non-invasive techniques are highly preferred. Examples of emerging techniques include: Tissue stiffness, which reflects inflammation and fibrosis and can now be measured non-invasively by both ultrasound and MRI(12). MRI also allows increased parenchymal characterization through signal mapping (T1, T2 and diffusion)(13) and can be leveraged to non-invasively assess exocrine function in response to secretin administration. Historically, qualitative assessments of pancreatic secretory function have been the norm but a more quantitative assessment of secretory function is being developed, along with normal ranges for both adults and children(14). With the recent Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval of microbubble contrast agents, contrast-enhanced ultrasound is also now available in the United States, allowing an additional means to image perfusion of the pancreas and peri-pancreatic tissues.

Diagnosis and treatment of exocrine pancreatic insufficiency (EPI) in 2017 -

Mark Lowe MD—The pancreas has dual exocrine and endocrine functions. Pancreatic acinar cells secrete large amounts of digestive enzymes that digest starch, protein and fats. The failure to produce enough enzymes for nutrient processing is termed exocrine pancreatic insufficiency (EPI). Starches require amylase to convert them into products that can be absorbed in the intestine. Pancreatic proteases digest native proteins, as well as oligopeptides that are initially hydrolyzed by gastric pepsin. Lipases act on dietary fats, mostly triglycerides, to release the acyl chains from the glycerol backbone. Enterocytes take up the resultant fatty acids. Although carbohydrate or protein maldigestion can produce symptoms, fat maldigestion is the cause of steatorrhea, the primary symptom of EPI. The presence of EPI can be measured by what are termed direct or indirect tests of pancreatic function. No test measures both the secretory and digestive capacity of pancreatic enzymes. Fecal elastase-1 has become the preferred indirect test for pancreatic function. It is only useful for detecting severe EPI. The treatment of EPI is with pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT). In dosing PERT, the effect of low pH on pancreatic lipase activity and timing of the enzymes with the release of dietary fat from the stomach are important considerations. Most dietary fat leaves the stomach 30–60 minutes after eating. Increasing the dose of PERT is not always the answer in patients with gastrointestinal symptoms and other causes for the symptoms should be considered. A new in-line cartridge with immobilized lipase can efficiently digest fats in real time as formula is being infused for tube-fed patients(15). On the horizon are recombinant preparations of digestive enzymes. Diagnosing EPI and the optimal timing of enzyme administration to benefit digestion remain challenges in the care of patients with EPI.

Endoscopic pancreatic function testing (ePFT) - Maisam Abu-El-Haija MD—

Direct testing of pancreas exocrine function may be warranted in cases where the diagnosis of EPI is uncertain. The main method of direct PFT is to sample pancreatic fluid secretions upon hormonal secretagogue stimulation with cholecystokinin (CCK), secretin, or in combination. CCK and secretin stimulate pancreatic acinar cell enzyme secretion and ductal

secretion of fluid and bicarbonate, respectively. The history of direct pancreatic function testing dates back to the early 1950s when Dreiling and colleagues described a double lumen tube method to diagnose EPI(16–18). The test required 60 minutes and utilized individual catheters to aspirate gastric and duodenal fluid. From the duodenal fluid, the bicarbonate concentration and fluid volume were measured. In adults, bicarbonate concentrations of 80 mEq/L or less are diagnostic of EPI. Since the test is time consuming, requires fluoroscopy, and is unpleasant for the patient, it is not readily available at most centers. As a result, there has been increasing interest in the use of endoscopic pancreatic function testing (ePFT) to collect duodenal fluid. The ePFT also uses CCK or secretin to stimulate pancreatic secretions(19,20). Duodenal fluid is sampled over 15–60 minutes via a catheter inserted with its end visually positioned next to the ampulla of Vater. Most people will monitor the peak enzyme activity and bicarbonate concentration. Endoscopic pancreatic function testing eliminates the need for radiation exposure and in adults has been shown to be less costly, compared to the double lumen method(21).

One of the largest studies on the utility of ePFT in children(22), involving over 500 pediatric patients, showed that testing is feasible and can aid in the investigation of EPI in children. The investigators showed that enzyme activities mature with age in a growing child; hence, caution must be utilized when interpreting “isolated enzyme deficiency” especially in a child younger than 2 years of age. A short version of ePFT over 15 minute period has been described in children(22). However, additional data need to be gathered in this developing field. In order to improve the quality of evidence supporting utilization of ePFTs in EPI diagnosis, there needs to be further work on reliability of results, the ideal secretagogue(s), the best type of fluid measurements and their normative values across different ages, and standardization of collection protocols in the pediatric population.

Module 3 – Management of Pancreatitis in Children

Management of acute pancreatitis in adults: Lessons applicable to pediatric care - Timothy Gardner MD—Over the course of the last decade, there has been an increasing incidence of pediatric AP as well as an increasing prevalence of biliary disease related to the underlying obesity epidemic. This has led to an increase in the number of pediatric patients with acute pancreatitis, as well in the number of patients with complications attributable to acute pancreatitis such as pancreatic fluid collections and necrosis.

In adults, we have learned that aggressive initial fluid resuscitation (20 ml/kg of body weight) of lactated ringer’s solution appears to improve outcomes in AP. The trials which demonstrate the benefit of lactated ringer’s to date, however, have been small and the primary outcomes (usually improvement in the systemic inflammatory response syndrome) often do not mirror important clinical outcomes(23). In addition, the recognition of under-resuscitation as a risk factor for worsening outcomes in acute pancreatitis, has led to a general belief in aggressive, intravenous fluid resuscitation as a cornerstone of management in the first 24 hours(24). As such, there has been a seismic change in not only the type of fluid used in acute pancreatitis (lactated ringer’s) but also in the amount of volume used for initial resuscitation.

Antibiotics that are at times used as a preventive strategy in patients with severe AP are in actuality not effective in preventing necrosis or death, and this has been shown definitively in both cohort and randomized controlled trials(25,26).

It is critical that patients be fed enterally as soon as possible, and oral feeding does not lead to more complications than does tube feeding. In adults, Randomized controlled data supports the use of early oral feedings – within at least 48 hours – and if tube feeding is necessary, nasogastric rather than nasojejunal feedings are acceptable(27). Even if oral/tube feedings are not tolerated and parenteral nutrition is needed, “trickle” oral/tube feedings should be used to prevent intestinal bacterial translocation and cause infected necrosis.

Finally, the recognition of the different kinds of pancreatic fluid collections that may develop at different time-lines in AP—including pseudocysts and walled off pancreatic necrosis—allows for treatment recommendations that are more appropriate to the etiology. Increasingly, endoscopic management of complex fluid collections has become the standard of care for management.

In summary, recent advances in care based on adult data include: 1) the use of aggressive fluid resuscitation at admission with lactated ringer’s solution, 2) the use of antibiotics only in documented infection, but not as prophylaxis in the setting of pancreatic necrosis, 3) aggressively starting enteral nutrition with 24 hours of admission if feasible, 4) the possibility of initiating enteral nutrition orally, and 5) recognizing the different types of pancreatic fluid collections and their distinct treatment strategies(16,17).

Pain self-management interventions for children with chronic pancreatitis -

Tonya Palermo PhD—Abdominal pain is present in the majority (87%) of pediatric patients with ARP or CP(28,29). Children with pain are subjected to a high number of medical investigations, surgical interventions, and opioid prescriptions. As pain becomes more frequent and severe, it reduces health-related quality of life across multiple domains of physical, psychological, and social functioning(29,30). Pain also impacts healthcare utilization and is associated with a high economic and societal burden(28,31). Non-pharmacological interventions for children with CP are urgently needed. A biopsychosocial understanding of pain and disability can guide assessment and treatment. Intervening during childhood presents a unique opportunity to teach effective pain self-management that may decrease emergency room visits and hospital admissions, reduce opioid use, and subsequently prevent or lessen the enormous impact of adult chronic pain and its associated disability. In other chronic painful conditions including gastrointestinal disorders, psychological interventions, particularly self-management interventions, have been effective for reducing pain and pain impact (e.g., disability and depressive symptoms) in pediatric and adult populations(31). Despite their relevance, to date, self-management interventions have not been evaluated in children with CP. One solution for addressing barriers for access to psychological self-management pain interventions is to use internet interventions and mobile health apps to deliver treatment. Internet and mobile interventions are showing effectiveness for managing chronic pain in other pediatric populations and may be extended to children with CP(32).

The role of surgery in pediatric pancreatitis in 2017 - Jaimie Nathan MD—

Indications for surgical intervention in ARP and CP in children may include biliary or duodenal obstruction, symptomatic pseudocysts that are not amenable to endoscopic approaches, and rarely, acute necrotizing pancreatitis requiring debridement. However, the most common indication for surgery in pancreatitis is in the management of chronic pain and debilitation, often in the setting of chronic opioid use. Importantly, a subset of children with CP and ARP suffer from debilitating abdominal pain and severely impaired quality of life (QOL). Surgical interventions are indicated in this cohort of children if they have failed to respond to medical or endoscopic management approaches. Of note, increased symptom duration (>3 years) prior to operation can result in poorer surgical outcomes, including lack of pain relief or improvement QOL. For this reason, a timely and comprehensive multidisciplinary evaluation is warranted early during the course of disease. In children deemed to be surgical candidates, decisions to go for conventional surgical approaches, such as pancreatic resections and drainage procedures, depend on the pancreatic ductal anatomy and gland morphology(33). Decompression of a dilated pancreatic duct can be achieved by the Puestow or the Frey procedure; however, the Puestow is falling out of favor due to high intermediate and long-term failure rates with recurrence of pain and debilitation. In patients with an inflammatory mass in the head of the pancreas, duodenum-preserving pancreatic head resections, such as the Beger, Berne, or Frey, are typically considered, as they are parenchyma-sparing, compared to the Whipple pancreaticoduodenectomy, thereby resulting in lower rates of exocrine insufficiency and diabetes over the long-term. In patients with small duct disease (defined by a non-dilated pancreatic duct) and without a dominant inflammatory head mass, total pancreatectomy with islet autotransplantation (TPIAT) is a preferred option. Additionally, TPIAT is indicated in patients who have failed previous conventional operations and suffer from recurrence of pain and poor QOL. In the pediatric population, TPIAT results in pain relief and liberation from opioids in approximately 85–90% of patients. Although longer-term glycemic outcomes are awaited(34), children undergoing TPIAT have insulin independence rates that exceed those in adults. In children with genetic risk factors for pancreatitis, it is generally felt that TPIAT will provide superior outcomes to resections and drainage operations, with sustained relief and a lower long-term adenocarcinoma risk. The multicenter NIH-funded study Advancing Treatment for Pancreatitis: A Prospective Observational Study of TPIAT (POST) aims to define patient and disease characteristics associated with favorable pain, health-related QOL, and glycemic outcomes, as well as optimal timing of TPIAT and cost-effectiveness(35). In addition, studies to maximize islet engraftment, function and survival and to develop alternative implantation sites to the liver are new frontiers for improving glycemic outcomes following TPIAT.

Module 4 – New Frontiers in Pediatric Pancreatic Research

Cellular and animal models in pediatric pancreatitis - John Eisses MD—Despite the sizeable morbidity and mortality of pancreatitis, treatments are still largely supportive. Most experimental therapeutic strategies have focused on ways to control the florid inflammation that occurs following the initiation of pancreatic injury. The challenge is that by the time most patients present with pancreatitis, marked pancreatic injury has already occurred and multiple inflammatory pathways have been activated. Several cellular and

animal models for pancreatitis have been developed especially due to the inaccessible nature of human pancreatic tissue; however, they typically focus on isolated aspects of the pathogenic response. These models can facilitate a better understanding of the pathophysiologic mechanisms driving pancreatic injury, as well as the recovery mechanisms allowing pancreatic regeneration(36,37). In addition to animal models, several pancreatic cell lines have been established for research purposes and have become a springboard to understand more complex interactions, through co-culture techniques. An exciting development is the ability to make organoids from patient samples(38). Pancreatic organoids are clusters of cells that can be coaxed into forming the semblance of pancreatic tissue. They can be used to test drug toxicity as well as the therapeutic response to medications for pancreatic disorders(39,40). It is important to recognize that the cellular and animal models of pancreatic disease have notable similarities and differences with the clinical entities they are intended to model(41).

Novel targets in the management of pancreatitis - Vikesh Singh MD—As mentioned earlier, the pathophysiology of AP is complex and poorly understood; and most of our understanding of these pathophysiologic pathways is based on animal models which fail to fully mimic the human disease(41). As a result, no single target for drug development has emerged, and care of the patient with AP remains supportive. While many drugs have been evaluated for the treatment of AP, no drug has been found to be effective thus far(42). The limitations of these studies, from a clinical standpoint, include small sample sizes, inclusion of patients with variable times between the onset of symptoms and presentation, predicted severe AP scores having poor positive predictive values for actual severe AP, lack of adjustment for co-interventions that impact outcomes (e.g., fluid therapy), and most importantly, a lack of clear endpoints that incorporate clinical and patient-reported outcomes. The fact that most therapies have been general, rather than etiology-specific, has also limited usefulness. However, a few notable exceptions exist. Targets of drug development programs currently include the complement cascade as well as calcium signaling within acinar cells. Clinically-speaking, there has been significant progress in the primary prevention of post-ERCP pancreatitis with the use of rectal indomethacin and fluid therapy. Progress in the secondary prevention of pancreatitis is also being evaluated, with the recent development of an antisense apoCIII inhibitor for patients with hypertriglyceridemic pancreatitis and the use of the CFTR modulators, such as, ivacaftor in patients with cystic fibrosis(43), with studies ongoing on their usefulness in others with CFTR abnormalities/dysfunction.

Power of consortia and collaborations in studying pediatric pancreatitis - Aliye Uc MD—AP affects roughly 1 in 10,000 children, and while 15–35% develop recurrent episodes; a small subset acquires CP (possibly <1 in 100,000) (3,44). Although rare, pediatric pancreatitis is costly and significantly impacts the lives of families(28,45). There has been an increase in the number of children diagnosed with pancreatitis within the last decade, likely because of an increased awareness of the disease. Recent literature in the field mostly describe risk factors and sequela; only a few have focused on treatment and outcomes. Diagnostic and treatment guidelines are now being developed, but they rely

mostly on expert opinion or adult literature(46). Likewise, there are at the moment only a handful of NIH research funds currently awarded to projects studying pediatric pancreatitis.

The field of pediatric pancreatology desperately needs evidence-based research of the highest quality with double-blinded, randomized controlled clinical trials and validated outcomes. Because pediatric pancreatitis is relatively rare, large numbers of patients for such studies can only be acquired through a multicenter collaboration. INSPPIRE was the first ever NIH-funded multicenter pediatric study to better characterize ARP and CP(47). The plan is to expand its role to performing randomized controlled trials, with the goal to develop better therapeutic alternatives for children. Finally, a similar collaboration could be developed to better understand children who develop even a single episode of AP. In summary, pediatric pancreatology has greatly evolved within the last decade. However, there is still a long road ahead before novel therapies can be offered. Continued commitment from funding organizations is paramount for the advancement of this growing field.

Summary of lunch breakout sessions focusing on identifying directions for future research in pediatric pancreatology – Veronique Morinville MD—

Symposium participants were subdivided into tables of 10–12 during the lunch break, with a moderator assigned to each group to facilitate discussion and assemble key topics for future research in pediatric pancreatology. The discussions focused on: (a) Improved understanding of the epidemiology, disease burden, and incidence of pancreatitis in children and factors related to its increased incidence in recent decades, (b) Age-specific differences in laboratory tests (amylase, lipase) and how they might affect diagnostic criteria, (c) Better understanding of mechanisms leading to drug-induced pancreatitis and an interest in developing a database to help better classify medication associations, (d) Increased understanding of the role of genetics (specifically CFTR) in pancreatitis and identifying other novel genetic risk factors, (e) Better evidence for the management of AP (fluids, pain, imaging; standardized order sets), (f) Improved diagnostic methods for CP (criteria necessary; imaging), (g) Improved standardization of testing for EPI (e.g. ePFT), (h) Management of CP, with a focus on nutritional therapy in both exocrine- and endocrine-insufficient populations, endoscopic/surgical therapies, and pain management, and (i) Methods of increasing collaborations between centers and among NASPGHAN and its sister societies, to further these efforts. In order to promote further discussion and foster future research and research collaborations among audience members, the suggestions were collated and verbally summarized for the overall group at the conclusion of the Symposium.

Concluding remarks

The single topic symposium, *Frontiers in Pediatric Pancreatology*, was the first full-day educational symposium on pediatric pancreatic disease organized by NASPGHAN and the first such symposium within North America. The purpose of the current Proceedings Report was to highlight the academic presentations made therein. While the objective of the symposium was to update the general pediatric GI provider about pediatric pancreatic disease, numerous other themes and needs emerged. There are still many gaps in the knowledge base and management approaches for pediatric AP. Recently, NASPGHAN published management recommendations for pediatric AP (48). Also recently, a joint

NASPGHAN/ ESPGHAN (European sister society) working group developed recommendations in regards to the nutritional management in AP, ARP and CP (49). However, this was based predominantly on expert opinion and the adult literature. There is great potential for growth in this field, particularly since there is currently a dearth of research in many facets of pediatric pancreatology. For example, the ability to predict severe AP continues to be a challenge in pediatrics, as does defining clinically meaningful endpoints that will help direct future research. Optimal timing for endoscopic therapy in both ARP and CP continues to be debated, as do ideal measures of EPI. Interest in ePFT has been increasing in recent years. However, standardization of ePFT will require that as a field we firstly compare variations in protocols among the various centers currently performing ePFT. From this data gathering, we will secondly need to identify one or a few protocols to test in a multi-center fashion for diagnostic accuracy in assessing EPI. The reporting of such data should be according to STARD guidelines (50). Once an optimal protocol is devised, the final step will be to establish normal and disease standards. The optimal timing of surgical or endoscopic therapies is still unclear, and long-term outcome data are lacking. Despite our progress to date, we are still in the early phases of identifying the optimal approaches to therapy and long-term management. As we continue to advance our knowledge of pediatric pancreatic disease, we must remember that the financial, emotional and societal burden of CP in children cannot be underestimated.

In spite of the challenges that remain, progress continues at an increasing pace. Advances in radiographic imaging are allowing pediatric gastroenterologists to offer less invasive diagnostic modalities, while concomitantly reducing non-ionizing radiation exposure. Targeted drug therapies, particularly in cystic fibrosis and hypertriglyceridemia, have allowed us to further understand the pathophysiology of pancreatic function. Through advances in genomic studies and with organoids and in-vitro models of pancreatic disease, it is hoped that increased understanding of etiologies and mechanisms will help in the development of new therapeutic targets. This single topic symposium brought together topic experts and trainees in pediatric pancreatic disease and served as a platform from which future collaborations, research hypotheses and innovative thinking will launch. It is our hope that the Frontiers in Pediatric Pancreatology Symposium will serve as a starting point for the growth of a promising, untapped field in pediatric pancreatology.

Acknowledgments

Funding Source: The Symposium that was the basis to this work was supported in part by the NIH grant 1R13DK115222-01

References:

1. Bai HX, Lowe ME, Husain SZ. What have we learned about acute pancreatitis in children? *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 2011;52(3):262–70. [PubMed: 21336157]
2. Nydegger A, Heine RG, Ranuh R, Gegati-Levy R, Cramer J, Oliver MR. Changing incidence of acute pancreatitis: 10-year experience at the Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;22(8):1313–6. [PubMed: 17489962]
3. Morinville VD, Barmada MM, Lowe ME. Increasing incidence of acute pancreatitis at an American pediatric tertiary care center: is greater awareness among physicians responsible? *Pancreas* 2010;39(1):5–8. [PubMed: 19752770]

4. Bai HX, Ma MH, Orabi AI, Park A, Latif SU, Bhandari V, et al. Novel characterization of drug-associated pancreatitis in children. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 2011; 53(4): 423–8. [PubMed: 21681111]
5. Karch FE, Lasagna L. Adverse Drug Reactions: A Critical Review. *JAMA. American Medical Association* 1975; 234(12):1236–41.
6. Husain SZ, Morinville V, Pohl J, Abu-El-Haija M, Bellin MD, Freedman S, et al. Toxic-metabolic Risk Factors in Pediatric Pancreatitis. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 2016; 62(4):609–17. [PubMed: 26594832]
7. Ledder OD, Lemberg DA, Ooi CY, Day AS. Are thiopurines always contraindicated after thiopurine-induced pancreatitis in inflammatory bowel disease? *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 2013; 57(5):583–6. [PubMed: 23783022]
8. Trikudanathan G, Munigala S, Barlass U, Malli A, Han Y, Sekulic M, et al. Evaluation of Rosemont criteria for non-calcific chronic pancreatitis (NCCP) based on histopathology - A retrospective study. *Pancreatology* 2017;17(1):63–9. [PubMed: 27836330]
9. Oracz G, Pertkiewicz J, Kierkus J, Dadalski M, Socha J, Ryzko J. Efficiency of pancreatic duct stenting therapy in children with chronic pancreatitis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2014; 80(6): 1022–9. [PubMed: 24852105]
10. Troendle DM, Fishman DS, Barth BA, Giefer MJ, Lin TK, Liu QY, et al. Therapeutic Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography in Pediatric Patients With Acute Recurrent and Chronic Pancreatitis: Data From the INSPPIRE (INternational Study group of Pediatric Pancreatitis: In search for a cuRE) Study. *Pancreas* 2017;46(6):764–9. [PubMed: 28609364]
11. Cote GA, Imperiale TF, Schmidt SE, Fogel E, Lehman G, McHenry L, et al. Similar efficacies of biliary, with or without pancreatic, sphincterotomy in treatment of idiopathic recurrent acute pancreatitis. *Gastroenterology* 2012;143(6):1502–1509.e1. [PubMed: 22982183]
12. Kolipaka A, Schroeder S, Mo X, Shah Z, Hart PA, Conwell DL. Magnetic resonance elastography of the pancreas: Measurement reproducibility and relationship with age. *Magn Reson Imaging* 2017;42:1–7. [PubMed: 28476308]
13. Tirkes T, Lin C, Fogel EL, Sherman SS, Wang Q, Sandrasegaran K. T1 mapping for diagnosis of mild chronic pancreatitis. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging* 2017;45(4):1171–6. [PubMed: 27519287]
14. MD ATT, MD DBW, PhD SS, MD MA-E-H Secretin-Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography for Assessing Pancreatic Secretory Function in Children. *The Journal of Pediatrics* 2017; 1–6.
15. Freedman S, Orenstein D, Black P, Brown P, McCoy K, Stevens J, et al. Increased Fat Absorption From Enteral Formula Through an In-line Digestive Cartridge in Patients With Cystic Fibrosis. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 2017; 65(1):97–101. [PubMed: 28471913]
16. DREILING DA. Studies of pancreatic function. III. The use of the secretin test in the diagnosis of patients with the post-cholecystectomy syndrome. *Gastroenterology* 1950;16(1):162–71. [PubMed: 14773724]
17. DREILING DA. Studies in pancreatic function. V. The use of the secretin test in the diagnosis of pancreatitis and in the demonstration of pancreatic insufficiencies in gastrointestinal disorders. *Gastroenterology* 1953;24(4):540–55. [PubMed: 13083815]
18. DREILING DA, HOLLANDER F Studies in pancreatic function; preliminary series of clinical studies with the secretin test. *Gastroenterology* 1948;11(5):714–29. [PubMed: 18100241]
19. Conwell DL, Zuccaro G, Morrow JB, Van Lente F, Obuchowski N, Vargo JJ, et al. Cholecystokinin-stimulated peak lipase concentration in duodenal drainage fluid: a new pancreatic function test. *Am J Gastroenterology. Nature Publishing Group* 2002;97(6):1392–7.
20. Stevens T, Conwell DL, Zuccaro G, Van Lente F, Lopez R, Purich E, et al. A prospective crossover study comparing secretin-stimulated endoscopic and Dreiling tube pancreatic function testing in patients evaluated for chronic pancreatitis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2008;67(3):458–66. [PubMed: 18294508]
21. Conwell DL, Zuccaro G, Vargo JJ, Morrow JB, Obuchowski N, Dumot JA, et al. An endoscopic pancreatic function test with cholecystokinin-octapeptide for the diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2003;1(3):189–94. [PubMed: 15017490]

22. Alfaro Cruz L, Párnicky A, Mayhew A, Hornung LN, Lin TK, Palermo JJ, et al. Utility of Direct Pancreatic Function Testing in Children. *Pancreas* 2016; 1–6. [PubMed: 26658035]
23. Wu BU, Hwang JQ, Gardner TH, Repas K, Delee R, Yu S, et al. Lactated Ringer's solution reduces systemic inflammation compared with saline in patients with acute pancreatitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2011;9(8):710–1. [PubMed: 21645639]
24. Gardner TB, Vege SS, Pearson RK, Chari ST. Fluid resuscitation in acute pancreatitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2008; 6(10):1070–6. [PubMed: 18619920]
25. Bakker OJ, Issa Y, van Santvoort HC, Besselink MG, Schepers NJ, Bruno MJ, et al. Treatment options for acute pancreatitis. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol: Nature Publishing Group* 2014; 11(8):462–9.
26. Fisher JM, Gardner TB. The “golden hours” of management in acute pancreatitis. *Am J Gastroenterology* 2012; 107(8):1146–50.
27. Bakker OJ, van Brunschot S, van Santvoort HC, Besselink MG, Bollen TL, Boermeester MA, et al. Early versus On-Demand Nasoenteric Tube Feeding in Acute Pancreatitis. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 371(21):1983–93. [PubMed: 25409371]
28. Ting J, Wilson L, Schwarzenberg SJ, Himes R, Barth B, Bellin MD, et al. Direct Costs of Acute Recurrent and Chronic Pancreatitis in Children in the INSPPIRE Registry. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 2016; 62(3):443–9. [PubMed: 26704866]
29. Kumar S, Ooi CY, Werlin S, Abu-El-Haija M, Barth B, Bellin MD, et al. Risk Factors Associated With Pediatric Acute Recurrent and Chronic Pancreatitis: Lessons From INSPPIRE. *JAMA Pediatr* 2016; 4 11;170(6):562–9. [PubMed: 27064572]
30. Pohl JF, Limbers CA, Kay M, Harman A, Rollins M, Varni JW. Health-related quality of life in pediatric patients with long-standing pancreatitis. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 2012; 54(5):657–63. [PubMed: 22094900]
31. Fisher E, Heathcote L, Palermo TM, de C Williams AC, Lau J, Eccleston C. Systematic review and meta-analysis of psychological therapies for children with chronic pain. *J Pediatr Psychol* 2014; 9;39(8):763–82. [PubMed: 24602890]
32. Palermo TM, Law EF, Fales J, Bromberg MH, Jessen-Fiddick T, Tai G. Internet-delivered cognitive-behavioral treatment for adolescents with chronic pain and their parents: a randomized controlled multicenter trial. *Pain* 2016; 1;157(1):174–85. [PubMed: 26335910]
33. Strobel O, Büchler MW, Werner J. Surgical therapy of chronic pancreatitis: indications, techniques and results. *Int J Surg* 2009; 8;7(4):305–12. [PubMed: 19501199]
34. Chinnakotla S, Bellin MD, Schwarzenberg SJ, Radosevich DM, Cook M, Dunn TB, et al. Total pancreatectomy and islet autotransplantation in children for chronic pancreatitis: indication, surgical techniques, postoperative management, and long-term outcomes. *Annals of Surgery* 2014; 7;260(1):56–64. [PubMed: 24509206]
35. Bellin MD, Abu-El-Haija M, Morgan K, Adams D, Beilman GJ, Chinnakotla S, et al. A multicenter study of total pancreatectomy with islet autotransplantation (TPIAT): POST (Prospective Observational Study of TPIAT). *Pancreatology* 2018; 4;18(3):286–90. [PubMed: 29456124]
36. Lerch MM, Gorelick FS. Models of acute and chronic pancreatitis. *Gastroenterology* 2013; 6;144(6):1180–93. [PubMed: 23622127]
37. Zhan X, Wang F, Bi Y, Ji B. Animal models of gastrointestinal and liver diseases. Animal models of acute and chronic pancreatitis. *AJP: Gastrointestinal and Liver Physiology* 2016; 9 1;311(3):G343–55.
38. Boj SF, Hwang C-I, Baker LA, Chio IIC, Engle DD, Corbo V, et al. Organoid models of human and mouse ductal pancreatic cancer. *Cell* 2015; 1 15;160(1–2):324–38. [PubMed: 25557080]
39. Hohwieler M, Illing A, Hermann PC, Mayer T, Stockmann M, Perkhofer L, et al. Human pluripotent stem cell-derived acinar/ductal organoids generate human pancreas upon orthotopic transplantation and allow disease modelling. *Gut: BMJ Publishing Group* 2017; 3;66(3):473–86.
40. Hohwieler M, Perkhofer L, Liebau S, Seufferlein T, Müller M, Illing A, et al. Stem cell-derived organoids to model gastrointestinal facets of cystic fibrosis. *United European Gastroenterol J* 2017; 8;5(5):609–24.

41. Gorelick FS, Lerch MM. Do Animal Models of Acute Pancreatitis Reproduce Human Disease? *Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017; 9;4(2):251–62. [PubMed: 28752114]
42. Lankisch PG, Lerch MM. Pharmacological prevention and treatment of acute pancreatitis: where are we now? *Dig Dis. Karger Publishers*; 2006;24(1–2):148–59.
43. Carrion A, Borowitz DS, Freedman SD, Siracusa CM, Goralski JL, Hadjiladis D, et al. Reduction of Recurrence Risk of Pancreatitis in Cystic Fibrosis with Ivacaftor: Case Series. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition. Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 2017; 10 17;Publish Ahead of Print:1.
44. Pant C, Sferra TJ. Emergency Department Visits and Hospitalizations in Children With Chronic Pancreatitis in the United States. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 2015 11;61(5):568–70. [PubMed: 26111296]
45. Pant C, Deshpande A, Olyae M, Anderson MP, Bitar A, Steele MI, et al. Epidemiology of Acute Pancreatitis in Hospitalized Children in the United States from 2000–2009. *Rakoncay Z. PLoS ONE*. 2014 5 7;9(5):e95552. [PubMed: 24805879]
46. Garipey CE, Heyman MB, Lowe ME, Pohl JF, Werlin SL, Wilschanski M, et al. Causal Evaluation of Acute Recurrent and Chronic Pancreatitis in Children: Consensus From the INSPPIRE Group. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 2017 1;64(1):95–103. [PubMed: 27782962]
47. Morinville VD, Lowe ME, Ahuja M, Barth B, Bellin MD, Davis H, et al. Design and implementation of INSPPIRE. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 2014 9;59(3): 360–4. [PubMed: 24824361]
48. Abu-El-Haija M, Kumar S, Quiros JA, Balakrishnan K, Barth B, Bitton S, et al. Management of Acute Pancreatitis in the Pediatric Population: A Clinical Report From the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition Pancreas Committee. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* 2018 1 1;66(1):159–76. [PubMed: 29280782]
49. Abu-El-Haija M, Uc A, Werlin SL, Freeman AJ, Georgieva M, Jojki -Pavkov D, Kalnins D, Kochavi B, Koot B, Van Biervliet S, Walkowiak J, Wilschanski M, Morinville VD. Nutritional Considerations in Pediatric Pancreatitis: A Position Paper from the NASPHAN Pancreas Committee and ESPHAN Cystic Fibrosis/Pancreas Working Group. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 2018 7;67(1):131–143. [PubMed: 29927872]
50. Bossuyt PM, Reitsma JB, Bruns DE et al. STARD 2015: an updated list of essential items for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies. *BMJ* 2015; 351: h5527. [PubMed: 26511519]