

Published in final edited form as:

Addict Behav. 2019 January; 88: 77–81. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2018.08.015.

Simultaneous Alcohol and Marijuana Use among Underage Young Adults in the United States

Megan E. Patrick, $PhD^{1,a}$, Yvonne M. Terry-McElrath, MSA^2 , Christine M. Lee, PhD^3 , and John E. Schulenberg, $PhD^{2,4}$

¹Institute for Translational Research in Children's Mental Health, Institute of Child Development, University of Minnesota

²Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan

³Center for the Study of Health Risk Behaviors, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of Washington

⁴Department of Psychology, University of Michigan

Abstract

Objective—The current study examines the prevalence, stability, and correlates of simultaneous alcohol and marijuana (SAM) use among underage US young adults, a population at high risk for participating in this behavior.

Method—Analyses used data from 1,719 respondents (46.8% men) who participated in the nationally representative 12th-grade Monitoring the Future study and provided responses to SAM use items at longitudinal follow-up at modal ages 19/20 between 2007 and 2016. Prevalence estimates and covariate associations with SAM use were estimated.

Results—SAM use prevalence at modal age 19/20 was 22.5%. Multivariable models indicated that odds of age 19/20 SAM use were significantly (p<.05) higher for men (vs. women) and for respondents who started alcohol use by age 18 (vs. those who delayed uptake until after high school). Odds of SAM use were especially high for individuals attending college full-time and not living with parents. Among those who reported SAM use at modal age 18, 56.2% continued to report SAM use at modal age 19/20. Among those who did not report SAM use at modal age 18, only 14.2% reported SAM use at modal age 19/20.

Conclusions—SAM use among young adults aged 19/20 in the US is relatively common, but especially so for those who began such use by age 18, highlighting the early onset and stability of this behavior. Among underage drinkers, SAM risk varies by sex, race/ethnicity, college status, and living arrangements.

^aCorresponding Author. Megan E. Patrick, Ph.D., Institute for Translational Research in Children's Mental Health, University of Minnesota, 1100 Washington Avenue S, Suite 101, Minneapolis, MN 55414. Telephone 612-625-9214; mpatrick@umn.edu. Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Simultaneous alcohol and marijuana (SAM) use, defined as using at the same time so that the effects overlap, is associated with increased risk of serious public health concerns (e.g., unsafe driving) compared with marijuana use alone or with concurrent marijuana and alcohol use without overlapping effects (Agosti et al., 2002; Arterberry et al., 2017; Earleywine and Newcomb, 1997; Hartman and Huestis, 2013; Lee et al., 2017; Lipperman-Kreda et al., 2017; Martin et al., 1996; Midanik et al., 2007; Subbaraman and Kerr, 2015; Terry-McElrath et al., 2014; Yurasek et al., 2017). SAM use prevalence is highest in young adulthood (Midanik, et al., 2007; Norton and Colliver, 1988; Subbaraman and Kerr, 2015), a period also associated with increased substance use generally (Bachman et al., 1997; Patrick and Terry-McElrath, 2017; Schulenberg et al., 2017; Schulenberg and Maggs, 2002). Individuals transitioning from high school are at highest risk for SAM use (Terry-McElrath and Patrick, 2016) but little is known about SAM use in this key subpopulation. Studies have not yet examined the extent to which high school SAM and non-SAM users continue or initiate SAM use during young adulthood.

Also, little is known about what places young adults at higher SAM use risk. Adolescent SAM use is more likely for boys than girls, teens whose parents have at least some college education (a proxy for socioeconomic status), and teens who plan to graduate from college, but results regarding race/ethnicity are mixed (Briere et al., 2011; Collins et al., 1998; Hoffman et al., 2000; Lipperman-Kreda, et al., 2017; Patrick et al., 2017a). Adolescent SAM use also is more likely for teens who report binge drinking, defined as having five or more drinks during a single drinking episode (Patrick et al., 2017c). Studies examining SAM use correlates among adults have included combined adolescent/adult samples (Barnwell and Earleywine, 2006; Norton and Colliver, 1988), college students (Arterberry, et al., 2017), individuals in their late 20s-early 30s (Earleywine and Newcomb, 1997), and adults overall (Höhne et al., 2014; Midanik, et al., 2007; Subbaraman and Kerr, 2015). These adult studies show mixed results regarding SAM use likelihood based on sex, race/ethnicity, income, employment, and educational attainment. Among college students, SAM use was more likely for men than women and those with lower college grades, with no differences based on race/ethnicity (Arterberry, et al., 2017). Recognized predictors of young adult overall alcohol use and marijuana use may also be associated with young adult SAM use, such as gender, race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, age of initiation, college status, living situation, and employment (Chen et al., 2017; NIAAA, 2005; Patrick and Terry-McElrath, 2017; Richmond-Rakerd et al., 2017; SAMHSA, 2017a, 2017b; Schulenberg et al., 2017; Terry-McElrath et al., 2017).

The Current Study

The current study uses national samples of US young adults under the legal drinking age to investigate two research aims: (1) Estimate prevalence and within-person stability in SAM use from modal ages 18 through 19/20; and (2) Examine characteristics measured at modal ages 18 and 19/20 associated with high odds of young adult SAM use.

Method

Sample

Monitoring the Future (MTF; Miech et al., 2017; Schulenberg, et al., 2017) annually surveys approximately 15,000 high school seniors (modal age 18) in about 130 schools. About 2,400 students are selected annually for longitudinal follow-up (oversampling substance users, accounted for in weighted analyses), half of whom begin biennial follow-up one year later (model age 19), the other random half two years later (modal age 20). The current study includes respondents in 12th-grade cohorts from 2005 through 2015; age 19/20 data were collected in 2007–2016. The university Institutional Review Board approved the study.

Simultaneous alcohol and marijuana (SAM) use questions were included on a random sixth of 12th-grade questionnaires, completed by 4,004 high school seniors from the relevant cohorts selected for follow-up. Of these, 1,892 individuals (47.3%) responded to follow-up at modal age 19/20; 25 were excluded from analyses because they were 21 years or older or were missing data to confirm age and 150 were removed due to missing or inconsistent data on SAM use. The total analytical sample included 1,719 respondents. We combined cases available for analysis at modal age 19 or 20 in order to have an adequate sample size to examine covariate associations (such as with racial/ethnic categories).

Measures

Simultaneous alcohol and marijuana use.—Respondents who self-reported past 12-month alcohol and marijuana use were asked, "How many of the times when you used marijuana or hashish during the last year did you use it along with alcohol—that is, so that their effects overlapped?" Responses were coded as any or no SAM use (the latter including no past 12-month marijuana use).

Age 18 covariates included gender (male, female), race/ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, or Other [combined due to sample size limitations]), parental education (at least one parent graduated from college, not), grade of first alcohol use (before high school [grades 1-8], during high school [grades 9-12], or after high school), and prevalence of binge drinking ([5+ drinks in a row in the past two weeks] any, none).

Age 19/20 covariates.—Follow-up occurred at modal age 19 or 20 (coded as 0 or 1, respectively). College status combined information on (a) 2- or 4-year college and (b) full-time attendance or less, resulting in a categorical measure of full-time at a 4-year college, full-time at a 2-year college, part-time/other at any type of college, or not attending college. Living with parents was coded as yes (1) or no (0). Employment during the first full week in March was coded as yes (at least a part-time job) or no. Year of data collection was coded using dichotomous year indicators.

Data Analysis

All analyses were conducted with SAS 9.4. The relationship between age 18 and 19/20 categorical SAM use was examined with PROC SURVEYFREQ. Bivariate and multivariable models regressing age 19/20 SAM use on covariate(s) were estimated with

PROC SURVEYLOGISTIC. All analyses used attrition weights, calculated as the inverse of the probability of participation and based on the following age-18 covariates: gender, race/ethnicity, college plans, high school grades, number of parents in the home, religiosity, parental education, alcohol use, cigarette use, marijuana use, geographic region, cohort, and the sampling weight accounting for oversampling of substance users. Missing data on covariates were modeled by coding all covariates as categorical with a separate category identifying cases with missing data; thus, analyses included all possible cases.

Results

SAM prevalence and stability from ages 18 through 19/20 (Research Aim [RA] 1)

From 2007 to 2016, average modal age 18 prevalence levels were as follows: 19.8% (95% CI 17.8, 21.9) reported any SAM use within the past 12 months; 11.7% (95% CI 9.9, 13.5) reported past 12-month concurrent use of alcohol and marijuana without simultaneous use; 32.7% (95% CI 30.3, 35.2) reported past 12-month use of alcohol but not marijuana; and 1% (95% CI 0.3, 1.5) reported past 12-month use of marijuana but not alcohol. At (modal) age 19/20, an average of 22.5% (95% CI 20.4, 24.6) of young adults reported any SAM use within the past 12 months. Approximately one in 10 (10.6% [95% CI 9.0, 12.2]) reported concurrent use of alcohol and marijuana without simultaneous use; 38.0% (95% CI 35.5, 40.5) reported use of alcohol but not marijuana; and 1% (95% CI 0.5, 1.6) reported use of marijuana but not alcohol.

Among respondents who *did report* SAM use at age 18, 56.2% (95% CI 50.4, 62.1) continued to report SAM use at age 19/20. Of those who *did not report* any SAM use at age 18, 14.2% (95% CI 12.2, 16.2) reported SAM use at age 19/20. At a population level, the strong bivariate association between age 18 and age 19/20 SAM use (OR=7.79 [5.80, 10.45], p<.001) indicates notable stability in SAM use between 12th grade and one to two years post-high school, suggesting that the onset of most of this rather stable behavior occurs prior to the end of high school.

Characteristics associated with high odds of SAM use at age 19/20 (RA 2)

Table 1 provides covariate descriptive statistics. Table 2 presents both bivariate and multivariable associations between covariates (controlling for age 18 SAM use) and the odds of any past 12-month SAM use at age 19/20. Age 18 SAM use remained significantly and positively associated with SAM use at age 19/20 both before and after controlling for covariates. In both bivariate and multivariable models, the odds of SAM use were significantly higher for men (vs. women), those who first used alcohol during high school (vs. after high school), those who reported binge drinking at age 18, and those not living with parents at age 19/20 (vs. living with parents). In bivariate but not multivariable models SAM use at age 19/20 was higher for White respondents (vs. Black or Hispanic respondents) and individuals attending a 2-year college full-time (vs. those not in college).

Interaction between full-time college status and living with parents (all other covariates included) was significant (p<05). Among those attending a 4-year college full-time, the odds of SAM use were significantly higher for those not living (vs. living) with parents (28.7%)

vs. 11.8%; AOR 2.87 [95% CI 1.63, 5.04], p=0.0003). Among those not attending a 4-year college full-time, living with parents was not significantly associated with SAM use prevalence.

Discussion

Using a national sample of US young adults below the legal drinking age, the current study found that more than one in five individuals at age 19/20 reported past 12-month simultaneous alcohol and marijuana (SAM) use. Over half of those who reported SAM use at age 18, compared with only 15% of non-SAM users at age 18, continued to report SAM use at age 19/20, showing considerable individual-level stability across the one to two years following high school. Characteristics significantly associated with SAM use risk at age 19/20 included sex, race/ethnicity, age of first use of alcohol, binge drinking at age 18, and (particularly for those attending a 4-year college full-time) living arrangements.

From 2007 to 2016, the prevalence of age 19/20 alcohol use decreased significantly (Patrick et al., 2017b; Schulenberg et al., 2017) but marijuana use increased (Schulenberg et al., 2017). The current study shows that SAM use at age 19/20 did not follow alcohol's historically decreasing pattern, instead remaining generally stable from 2007 to 2016. Increased marijuana use during these years may have affected use norms, so that while the total number of underage drinkers decreased, more of those who drank participated in SAM use, thereby keeping the overall prevalence of SAM use stable across the past decade (Terry-McElrath and Patrick, 2016). Underage drinkers may be substituting SAM use for binge drinking (5+ and per occasion) and high-intensity drinking (10+ drinks per occasion; Patrick, 2016; Patrick and Azar, 2017) to varying degrees, as both binge and high-intensity drinking have also declined over the past decade (Patrick et al., 2017b).

The current study extended available research on the stability of and characteristics associated with SAM use during the transition from high school into young adulthood. High levels of continuity at the population level and stability at the individual level indicate that high school is a key period for the establishment of SAM use and thus of particular relevance for SAM prevention efforts. Consistent with the Arterberry et al. (2017) study conducted at one university, our results show significantly higher SAM use among men compared with women; however, in contrast to their findings, the current national-level study shows significantly higher SAM use among White than Black underage young adults (further analyses on past 12-month alcohol or marijuana use not showing significant differences between White and Black respondents). Underage young adults attending college full-time and not residing with parents are at especially elevated risk for SAM use, as is the case with other risky alcohol use behaviors like high-intensity drinking (Patrick and Terry-McElrath, 2017). Thus, specific population subgroups may particularly benefit from prevention programming that includes accurate and relevant information on the risks associated with SAM use both during high school and in the years immediately following. Other within-person research indicates that, among young adults, higher perceived risk of SAM use is associated with lower use of marijuana on days with a greater number of drinks (Yeomans-Maldonado and Patrick, 2015).

Study limitations include self-reported data, attrition, and high school student samples. Results may not generalize to the full population because high school dropout is associated with alcohol and marijuana use (Tice et al., 2017). Data were available only on the prevalence, not frequency, of SAM use. Investigation of frequency of use as well as SAM use among more diverse samples would be informative, as would studies that examine SAM use further into young adulthood. Future research investigating the extent to which changes in environmental factors such as state-level marijuana policy may affect the likelihood of reporting SAM use would also be informative.

Conclusions

One in five young adults aged 19/20 in the US engage in past-year SAM use. SAM use is especially common among those who were SAM users in 12th grade, with over half continuing this behavior during the one to two years following high school. It is more common among males than females, White than Black youth, and college students living away from home. Evidence suggests that high school is a key period for the establishment of SAM use that continues into young adulthood.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This study was funded by support from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (R01 AA023504 to M. Patrick and R01 AA025037 to C. Lee and M. Patrick) and the National Institute on Drug Abuse (R01DA001411 to R. Miech and L. Johnston and R01DA016575 to J. Schulenberg and L. Johnston). The content here is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the sponsors.

References

- Agosti V, Nunes E, & Levin F (2002). Rates of psychiatric comorbidity among U.S. residents with lifetime cannabis dependence. American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse, 28, 643–652. doi: 10.1081/ADA-120015873 [PubMed: 12492261]
- Arterberry BJ, Treloar H, & McCarthy DM (2017). Empirical profiles of alcohol and marijuana use, drugged driving, and risk perceptions. Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs, 78, 889–898. doi: 10.15288/jsad.2017.78.889 [PubMed: 29087824]
- Bachman JG, Wadsworth KN, O'Malley PM, Johnston LD, & Schulenberg JE (1997). Smoking, drinking, and drug use in young adulthood: The impacts of new freedoms and new responsibilities. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Barnwell SS, & Earleywine M (2006). Simultaneous alcohol and cannabis expectancies predict simultaneous use. Substance Abuse Treatment Prevention and Policy, 1, 29. doi: 10.1186/1747-597x-1-29
- Briere FN, Fallu JS, Descheneaux A, & Janosz M (2011). Predictors and consequences of simultaneous alcohol and cannabis use in adolescents. Addictive Behaviors, 36, 785–788. doi: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2011.02.012 [PubMed: 21429672]
- Chen X, Yu B, Lasopa SO, Bottler LB (2017). Current patterns of marijuana use initiation by age among CIS adolescents and emerging adults: implications for intervention. American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse, 43, 261–270. doi:10.3109/00952990.2016.1165239 [PubMed: 27211100]
- Collins RL, Ellickson PL, & Bell RM (1998). Simultaneous polydrug use among teens: Prevalence and predictors. Journal of Substance Abuse, 10, 233–253. doi:10.1016/S0899-3289(99)00007-3 [PubMed: 10689657]
- Earleywine M, & Newcomb MD (1997). Concurrent versus simultaneous polydrug use: Prevalence, correlates, discriminant validity, and prospective effects on health outcomes. Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology, 5, 353–364. doi:10.1037/1064-1297.5.4.353 [PubMed: 9386962]

Hartman RL, & Huestis MA (2013). Cannabis effects on driving skills. Clinical Chemistry, 59, 478–492. doi:10.1373/clinchem.2012.194381 [PubMed: 23220273]

- Hoffman JH, Barnes GM, Welte JW, & Dintcheff BA (2000). Trends in combinational use of alcohol and illicit drugs among minority adolescents, 1983-1994. American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse, 26, 311–324. doi:10.1081/ADA-100100607 [PubMed: 10852363]
- Höhne B, Pabst A, Hannemann T-V, & Kraus L (2014). Patterns of concurrent alcohol, tobacco, and cannabis use in Germany: Prevalence and correlates. Drugs: Education, Prevention and Policy, 21, 102–109. doi:10.3109/09687637.2013.812614
- Lee CM, Cadigan JM, & Patrick ME (2017). Differences in reporting of perceived acute effects of alcohol use, marijuana use, and simultaneous alcohol and marijuana use. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 180, 391–394. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2017.08.029 [PubMed: 28972908]
- Lipperman-Kreda S, Gruenewald PJ, Grube JW, & Bersamin M (2017). Adolescents, alcohol, and marijuana: Context characteristics and problems associated with simultaneous use. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 179, 55–60. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2017.06.023 [PubMed: 28755540]
- Martin CS, Kaczynski NA, Maisto SA, & Tarter RE (1996). Polydrug use in adolescent drinkers with and without DSM-IV alcohol abuse and dependence. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research, 20, 1099–1108. doi:10.1111/j.1530-0277.1996.tb01953.x
- Midanik LT, Tam TW, & Weisner C (2007). Concurrent and simultaneous drug and alcohol use: Results of the 2000 National Alcohol Survey. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 90, 72–80. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2007.02.024 [PubMed: 17446013]
- Miech RA, Johnston LD, O'Malley PM, Bachman JG, Schulenberg JE, & Patrick ME (2017).
 Monitoring the Future national survey results on drug use, 1975-2016: Volume I, secondary school students. Ann Arbor: Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan http://monitoringthefuture.org/pubs/monographs/mtf-vol1_2016.pdf.
- NIAAA. (2005). Alcohol Alert #68: Young adult drinking. Bethesda, MD: National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, National Institutes of Health https://pubs.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/aa68/AA68.pdf.
- Norton R, & Colliver J (1988). Prevalence and patterns of combined alcohol and marijuana use. Journal of Studies on Alcohol, 49, 378–380. [PubMed: 3172788]
- Patrick ME (2016). A call for research on high-intensity alcohol use. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research, 40, 256–259. doi:10.1111/acer.12945
- Patrick ME, Azar B (2017). High-intensity drinking. Alcohol Research: Current Reviews, 39, e1–e7. https://www.arcr.niaaa.nih.gov/arcr391/article07.pdf
- Patrick ME, Kloska DD, Terry-McElrath YM, Lee CM, O'Malley PM, & Johnston D (2017a). Patterns of simultaneous and concurrent alcohol and marijuana use among adolescents. American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse, 1–11. doi:10.1080/00952990.2017.1402335
- Patrick ME, & Terry-McElrath YM (2017). High-intensity drinking by underage young adults in the United States. Addiction, 112, 82–93. doi:10.1111/add.13556
- Patrick ME, Terry-McElrath YM, Miech RA, Schulenberg JE, O'Malley PM, & Johnston LD (2017b). Age-specific prevalence of binge and high-intensity drinking among U.S. young adults: Changes from 2005 to 2015. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research, 41, 1319–1328. doi:10.1111/acer.13413
- Patrick ME, Veliz PT, Terry-McElrath YM (2017c). High-intensity and simultaneous alcohol and marijuana use among high school seniors in the United States. Substance Abuse, 38, 498–503. doi: 10.1080/08897077.2017.1356421 [PubMed: 28726580]
- Richmond-Rakerd LS, Slutske WS, Wood PK (2017). Age of initiation and substance use progression: a multivariate latent growth analysis. Psychology of Addictive Behaviors, 31, 664–675. doi: 10.1037/adb0000304 [PubMed: 28805408]
- SAMHSA. (2017a). Preventing youth marijuana use: factors associated with use CAPT Decision–Support Tools. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and mental Health Services Administration, US Department of Health and Human Services https://www.samhsa.gov/capt/sites/default/files/resources/preventing-youth-marijuana-use-factors-2017.pdf
- SAMHSA. (2017b). Risk and protective factors associated with binge or heavy episodic drinking among adolescents and young adults: using prevention research to guide prevention practice.

- Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, US Department of Health and Human Services https://www.samhsa.gov/capt/sites/default/files/resources/binge-episodic-adolescents-young-adults.pdf.
- Schulenberg JE, Johnston LD, O'Malley PM, Bachman JG, Miech RA, & Patrick E (2017). Monitoring the Future national survey results on drug use, 1975-2016: Volume II, college students and adults ages 19-55. Ann Arbor, MI: Institute forSocial Research, The University of Michigan, http://monitoringthefuture.org/pubs/monographs/mtf-vol2_2016.pdf
- Schulenberg JE, & Maggs JL (2002). A developmental perspective on alcohol use and heavy drinking during adolescence and the transition to young adulthood. Journal of Studies on Alcohol. Supplement, 54–70. doi:10.15288/jsas.2002.s14.54 [PubMed: 12022730]
- Subbaraman MS, & Kerr WC (2015). Simultaneous versus concurrent use of alcohol and cannabis in the National Alcohol Survey. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research, 39, 872–879. doi: 10.1111/acer.l2698
- Terry-McElrath YM, & Patrick ME (2016). Trends in simultaneous alcohol and marijuana use among U.S. young adults, 1977-2014. Paper presented at the 2016 annual meeting of the Society for Prevention Research, San Francisco, CA, June 1.
- Terry-McElrath YM, O'Malley PM, & Johnston LD (2014). Alcohol and marijuana use patterns associated with unsafe driving among U.S. high school seniors: High use frequency, concurrent use, and simultaneous use. Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs, 75, 378–389. doi:10.15288/jsad.2014.75.378 [PubMed: 24766749]
- Terry-McElrath YM, O'Malley PM, Johnston LD, Bray BC, Patrick ME, Schulenberg JE (2017). Longitudinal patterns of marijuana use across ages 18-50 in a US national sample: a descriptive examination of predictors and health correlates of repeated measures latent class membership. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 171, 70–83. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2016.11.021 [PubMed: 28024188]
- Tice P, Lipari RN, & Van Horn SL (2017). Substance use among 12th grade aged youths by dropout status CBHSQ Report. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/report_3196/ShortReport_3196.html.
- Yeomans-Maldonado G, & Patrick ME (2015). The effect of perceived risk on the combined used of alcohol and marijuana: Results from daily surveys. Addictive Behaviors Reports, 2, 33–36. doi: 10.1016/j.abrep.2015.05.004 [PubMed: 26086039]
- Yurasek AM, Aston ER, & Metrik J (2017). Co-use of Alcohol and Cannabis: A Review. Current Addiction Reports, 4, 184–193. doi:10.1007/s40429-017-0149-8

Highlights

• 22.5% of 19/20 year-olds report simultaneous alcohol and marijuana (SAM) use in the past year.

- Odds of SAM use are higher for men and full-time college attenders not living with parents.
- Most (56.2%) who report SAM use at age 18 continue SAM use at age 19/20.
- Few (14.2%) report SAM use at age 19/20 if they did not at age 18.

Table 1.

Covariate Descriptives

	% ^a	(SE)		%	(SE)						
Covariates measured at age 18											
Sex			Grade of first alcohol use								
Female	53.2	(1.13)	After high school	25.7	(1.27)						
Male	46.8	(1.13)	During high school	48.0	(1.35)						
Race/ethnicity			Before high school	19.0	(1.01)						
Black	9.5	(1.11)	Missing indicator	7.4							
White	61.8	(1.71)	Binge drinking ^C								
Hispanic	15.6	(1.34)	None	81.8	(1.02)						
Other	11.2	(0.92)	Any	16.8	(0.97)						
Missing indicator b	1.9		Missing indicator	1.4							
Parental education											
No college degree	44.5	(1.48)									
College degree for 1+parents	51.4	(1.51)									
Missing indicator	4.1										
(Covariate	s measure	ed at age 19/20								
Years after high school			Living situation								
Modal age 19	53.5	(1.21)	Not living with parents	48.1	(1.56)						
Modal age 20	46.5	(1.21)	Living with parents	51.6	(1.56)						
College status			Missing indicator	0.3							
Not in college	25.2	(1.30)	Employment								
Part-time/other	11.3	(0.98)	Not employed	39.1	(1.28)						
Full-time (2-year college)	18.2	(1.10)	Employed	57.4	(1.34)						
Full-time (4-year college)	43.9	(1.48)	Missing indicator	3.6							
Missing indicator	1.4										

Notes: N(unweighted) = 1,719. Covariates also included year of data collection at age 19/20. Missing data on covariates modeled with missing data indicators as shown.

^aUnadjusted weighted percentage.

b Missing indicators identify the percentage of the sample with missing data on the noted measure; these cases were included in relational analyses by use of a separate categorical indicator.

^CBinge drinking defined as having 5 or more drinks in a row in the past two weeks.

Table 2.

Associations between covariates and any past 12-month simultaneous alcohol and marijuana use among young adults age 19/20, United States

	Simultaneous Alcohol and Marijuana Use at Age 19/20									
	% ^a	OR^b	(95% CI)	p	AOR ^c	(95% CI)	p			
Covariates measured at age 18										
SAM use in past 12 months at ag	e 18									
None	14.2	(ref)			(ref)					
Any	56.2	7.79	(5.80, 10.45)	<.0001	4.62	(3.28, 6.49)	<.0001			
Sex										
Female	18.8	(ref)			(ref)					
Male	26.7	1.57	(1.24, 1.99)	0.0002	1.48	(1.12, 1.97)	0.0065			
Race/ethnicity										
Black	12.7	0.44	(0.24, 0.78)	0.0054	0.80	(0.42, 1.52)	0.4885			
White	25.0	(ref)			(ref)					
Hispanic	18.1	0.66	(0.44, 1.00)	0.0481	0.82	(0.51, 1.32)	0.4115			
Other	21.6	0.83	(0.55, 1.25)	0.3603	0.82	(0.50, 1.33)	0.4174			
Parental education										
No college degree	21.1	(ref)			(ref)					
College degree for 1+parents	23.9	1.18	(0.92, 1.51)	0.1977	1.07	(0.78, 1.46)	0.6977			
Grade of first alcohol use										
After high school	3.6	0.09	(0.05, 0.14)	<.0001	0.16	(0.09, 0.27)	<.0001			
During high school	30.1	(ref)			(ref)					
Before high school	33.0	1.14	(0.85, 1.55)	0.3785	0.90	(0.63, 1.26)	0.5266			
Binge drinking in past 2 weeks at	age 18									
None	16.5	(ref)			(ref)					
Any	51.4	5.38	(4.00, 7.23)	<.0001	2.30	(1.61, 3.28)	<.0001			
Covariates measured at age 19/20	<u>)</u>									
Years after high school										
Modal age 19	20.8	(ref)			(ref)					
Modal age 20	24.5	1.24	(0.97, 1.58)	0.0838	1.18	(0.88, 1.58)	0.2611			
College status										
Not in college	23.9	(ref)			(ref)					
Part-time/other	22.7	0.94	(0.59, 1.50)	0.7885	1.08	(0.59, 1.99)	0.7943			
Full-time (2-year college)	17.1	0.66	(0.44, 0.98)	0.0384	0.77	(0.50, 1.19)	0.2352			
Full-time (4-year college)	24.2	1.02	(0.76, 1.37)	0.9100	1.24	(0.85, 1.79)	0.2602			
Living situation										
Not living with parents	27.2	(ref)			(ref)					
Living with parents	18.1	0.59	(0.46, 0.76)	<.0001	0.62	(0.45, 0.86)	0.0040			

Simultaneous Alcohol and Marijuana Use at Age 19/20 %a OR^b AOR^c (95% CI) (95% CI) p Employment Not employed 22.8 (ref) (ref) Employed 23.1 1.02 (0.79, 1.31)0.8726 0.97 (0.71, 1.34)0.8668 Year of data collection^d 2007 20.4 1.01 (0.56, 1.84)0.9645 0.72 (0.37, 1.42)0.3478 2008 25.7 1.37 (0.75, 2.49)0.3031 0.98 (0.50, 1.94)0.9578 2009 23.1 1.18 (0.67, 2.09)0.5580 0.94 (0.49, 1.83)0.8646 0.98 2010 19.1 0.9346 0.68 0.2829 (0.53, 1.78)(0.34, 1.37)2011 27.5 1.49 (0.80, 2.78)0.2047 1.09 (0.54, 2.20)0.8028 2012 25.2 1.33 (0.75, 2.35)0.3231 0.95 (0.48, 1.86)0.8765 22.5 (0.41, 1.60) 0.5497 2013 1.14 0.6558 0.81 (0.63, 2.07)

(0.65, 2.38)

(0.43, 1.50)

Notes: Total N (unweighted): 1,719. Missing data on non-outcome measures handled with the use of missing data indicators (estimates for missing data indicators not shown). Text in bold indicates results significant at p < 0.05.

0.5046

0.4886

1.16

0.75

(ref)

(0.55, 2.47)

(0.35, 1.58)

0.6950

0.4429

Page 12

2014

2015

2016

Patrick et al.

24.0

16.9

20.2

1.25

0.80

(ref)

^aUnadjusted weighted percentage.

 $^{^{}b}$ OR = Bivariate odds ratio.

^cAOR = Adjusted odds ratio from multivariable analysis.

d Additional bivariate and multivariable models were run wherein year was modeled using a linear term, as well as both linear and quadratic terms. Neither linear nor quadratic terms were significant in these models.