



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Curr Opin HIV AIDS. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 May 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin HIV AIDS. 2019 May ; 14(3): 221–226. doi:10.1097/COH.0000000000000538.

Ethical Issues in HIV Phylogenetics and Molecular Epidemiology

Sanjay R. Mehta, MD,

Departments of Medicine and Pathology, University of California San Diego; Department of Medicine San Diego Veterans Affairs Medical Center

Cynthia Schairer, PhD, and

Department of Psychiatry, University of California San Diego

Susan Little, MD

Department of Medicine, University of California San Diego

Abstract

Purpose of review: HIV phylogenetic and molecular epidemiology (ME) analyses are increasingly being performed with a goal of improving HIV prevention efforts. However, ethical, legal and social issues are associated with these analyses, and should be considered when performed.

Recent findings: Several working groups have recently outlined the major issues surrounding the use of ME for HIV prevention. First, the benefits of HIV ME remain unclear, and further work is needed to quantitatively demonstrate the benefits that can be expected. Second, privacy loss is an important risk, with implications of disclosure varying by the regional legal and social climate. Inferential privacy risks will increase with technological improvements in sequencing and analysis. Third, data sharing, which enhances the utility of the data, may also increase the risk of inferential privacy loss. Mitigation strategies are available to address each of these issues.

Summary: HIV ME for research and public health pose significant ethical issues that continue to evolve with improving technology, increased sampling, and a changing legal and social climate. Guidance surrounding these issues needs to be developed for researchers and public health officials in an iterative and region specific manner that accounts for the potential benefits and risks of this technology.

Keywords

HIV; phylogenetics; molecular epidemiology; privacy; disclosure

I. Introduction

The rapid and continuous evolution of HIV provides an evolutionary signal that can be exploited to infer links between persons infected with genetically similar viruses. Molecular

Corresponding Author: Sanjay Mehta MD, 9500 Gilman Drive MC 8208, San Diego, CA 92093, srmehta@ucsd.edu, Phone: 858-642-3175, Fax: 619-543-5094.

Conflicts of interest

No authors have declared conflicts of interest with this study.

epidemiology (ME) is the analysis of HIV sequence data (phylogenetics) integrated with sociodemographic, behavioral, and geographic information to help understand the epidemiology of a disease. In the past, phylogenetic analyses of HIV sequences have been used to provide clues on the historical origins of HIV, and describe historical and current epidemics. These data, when generated and analyzed in real-time, can be used to improve the understanding of HIV transmission dynamics within a population, information that is critical to developing and implementing effective public health interventions. A number of ethical, legal and social issues (ELSI) are associated with the use of HIV sequence data and phylogenetic analysis for research and public health. Several groups of experts and stakeholders have met to discuss the ELSI issues related to molecular epidemiology (ME) informed approaches to HIV prevention. These meetings include one led by Project Inform and the Third Coast Center for AIDS Research (CFAR) in Chicago in May 2017(1) and focusing on the use of ME in public health, and a second organized by the Ethics Working Group of PANGEA HIV (Phylogenetics And Networks for Generalized Networks in Africa(2)) also in May 2017 in London, and focusing on ME issues in Africa(3). More recently, Dr. Liza Dawson at the NIH (National Institutes of Health) held a workshop in April 2018 to address the ethical issues in NIH-funded ME research, and spin-off discussions continue. This paper will summarize the key points from the work produced from these meetings and other related work, as well as highlight future directions.

II. Molecular Epidemiology Provides Insight into HIV Transmission Dynamics

HIV sequence data that is required for phylogenetic analyses and molecular epidemiology are widely available from the drug resistance tests routinely performed during clinical care to guide selection of antiretroviral therapy (ART). These sequences (typically a consensus sequence obtained from the *pol* gene of the circulating HIV) can be used to infer a phylogeny or a transmission network using models of viral evolution and similarity between sequences to guide these inferences. These approaches have had great success in describing viral transmission dynamics of regional and national epidemics (e.g., Switzerland(4), The Netherlands(5, 6), United Kingdom(7–9), Canada (British Columbia)(10), and the United States [U.S.](11, 12)). HIV molecular epidemiology has also been used to describe emerging epidemics(13, 14), cross-national transmission(15–17), drug-resistance dynamics(10, 18–22), and to predict risk factors associated with transmission(6, 9, 23–25). They have even been used to predict cluster growth dynamics(10, 26) (i.e. which clusters of linked infections are likely to grow faster), including recent work by our group.

III. Ethical Challenges in HIV Phylogenetics

The main ethical challenge that arises from the study of HIV phylogenetics is to balance the urgent need to better understand HIV transmission characteristics with the risk of harms arising from an unintended breach of personal privacy associated with use of these same data. Due to the social stigma that surrounds HIV and the criminalization of HIV transmission in some jurisdictions, the potential harms of disclosure of results could be personally harmful to persons involved in this research. However, the challenge of balancing

advances in HIV knowledge and individual privacy demands is shaped by the context in which HIV ME is used. Here we describe the fundamental technical issues before discussing how we should consider them in the context of HIV phylogenetic analyses.

The characteristics of HIV that allow phylogenetic analyses to provide clues about transmission dynamics also pose potential risk. Given the relatively rapid mutation rate of the virus (i.e. 10^{-5} mutations/per site each generation), each infected individual ends up with a unique viral population. ME using phylogenetic or genetic network analyses uses the similarity of these viral sequences to identify putative transmissions between individuals linked by genetically similar sequences(27, 28). Current methods relying on sequence data alone cannot exclude possible intermediate individuals (i.e., unsampled persons) between two linked individuals along a transmission chain, a common source of infection, nor the directionality of any transmission event based on sequence data alone. However, additional epidemiologic data, such as a history of sexual contact between a genetically linked pair, may increase the probability of a direct transmission. Phylogenetic analyses are also fundamentally relational, and so identifying characteristics of person A included in an analysis might provide information on person B who was phylogenetically related(3). These inferences could be a demographic characteristic such as gender (i.e. person B was part of a cluster of infected males), or the analyses might suggest that person B was a potential source of infection. Phylogenetic relationships may also suggest travel or migration, if a person's virus is more closely related to an epidemic from another region.

IV. Risks Associated with HIV Molecular Epidemiology in Research

The ethical issues around the use of HIV ME in research include how best to navigate the landscape of stigma, criminalization, and the risks of privacy loss to individuals and groups given the potential harms posed by this work⁷²⁻⁷⁸. Re-identification can occur through data breaches, or through inferences made from the relational results of the analysis of de-identified datasets. Data sharing and analyses of combined datasets may compromise data security and increase the potential that identities are inferred. However, limits on data sharing prevent the transparency and dissemination of data and results that are critical to scientific progress and required by journals and funding agencies. Privacy loss can be further compounded by inference of other characteristics of an individual, such as sexuality or location of residence. In extreme cases, inference can lead to putative identification of a source partner in a transmission event.

As viral sequencing and data collection systems become more thorough and efficient, the risks associated with HIV phylogenetics grow. Next-generation sequencing (NGS) is now widely available and rapidly becoming competitive economically with standard Sanger sequencing. With sequencing of a greater proportion of circulating variants (i.e. depth) and sequencing of the entire viral genome (WGS), NGS can provide a more comprehensive picture of circulating virus. The sequence data generated by NGS can be used to infer transmission links and the directionality of transmission events with increasing certainty(29). The addition of metadata (e.g. geography, recency of infection, HLA profiles) can enhance the accuracy of these inferences even further. Improvements in the efficiency and cost of sequencing also allow larger numbers of samples to be sequenced. This will lead

to a greater depth of sample in many epidemics, further enhancing the accuracy of phylogenetic inferences.

The importance of these risks is intertwined with their legal and social implications. Phylogenetic inferences about sociodemographic characteristics or linked infections with directionality could lead to re-identification of the individuals whose data is included in the analyses. The effects of such disclosures becomes magnified by local societal norms, legal code, and the stigma perceived by the infected individuals. The legal impact also remains significant, as 33 U.S. states currently have HIV specific laws criminalizing HIV transmission and non-disclosure of HIV status(30, 31), and in 2016, 61 countries around the world have adopted laws that criminalize HIV transmission(32). Privacy loss and source identification can also lead to the exacerbation of social harms from individual and group level stigma. The impact of re-identification in a socially conservative and anti-gay region such as Chechnya could be significantly worse than in a liberal and open city such as Amsterdam in the Netherlands. Thus, it is important to realize that the ethical issues surrounding HIV and phylogenetics must be interpreted in the local context.

V. Mitigating Risks in HIV Phylogenetic Research

Prior to pursuing HIV ME work, and once it has been determined that the scientific benefits of a study are worth the risk of harm to subjects, researchers need to make sure that participants in studies involving HIV phylogenetics are aware of the risks. Obtaining informed consent is no small feat, when many professionals working in HIV care and prevention are not even able to comprehend the risks associated with HIV phylogenetics(33). Further work is needed to improve the informed consent process to explain the risks associated with future planned (and possibly unplanned) ME studies.

Sharing of data and results is a cornerstone of the scientific process and it facilitates progress. Current Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) guidelines require the use of data use agreements when sharing limited data sets (i.e. datasets that include limited identifying information). These agreements may restrict users from combining data sets for analysis. However, many funding agencies (e.g. NIH) and journals still require that datasets need to be available for sharing to the scientific community. Restricting access to trusted individuals and groups can be difficult under these circumstances, and the NIH is actively working on guidelines related to these issues. Proposed solutions include the use of “black box” servers, which could store and analyze data but would not allow access to the actual data(34, 35). With such a solution, investigators could query one or more datasets stored on the server and receive the results of the query but not the actual data. The outputs could be designed to further limit the risk of privacy loss.

Presentation of results through publication is standard in scientific research. However, presentation of these data can have unintended consequences including the re-identification of individuals through inferences made from the presented results. This could be true even when the research was performed using de-identified datasets, as the relational results might provide clues to the identity of individuals. Furthermore, analysis of a more geographically focused or concentrated sample will also have a greater risk of privacy loss. Approaches to

mitigating these risks include data smoothing, where a reduction in granularity or the addition of “noise” limits the detailed inferences that can be made from the data. However, the application of too much smoothing may lead to a significant reduction in the utility of the results. Approaches to “tune” the degree of smoothing to minimize risk and maximize utility (e.g. differential privacy(36–39)) are needed.

VI. Risks Associated with HIV Phylogenetics in Public Health

The goals of public health departments are to protect and promote the health of their constituency. However, the goal of protecting and promoting the health of a society may not always be congruent with the concerns of individuals. Numerous examples of these situations exist including the quarantining of individuals with infectious forms of tuberculosis, and partner notification of individuals diagnosed with sexually transmitted infections. In these situations, an individual’s privacy is considered subordinate to the risk of transmission to others. Partner notification is commonly performed with HIV diagnoses, but the privacy related risks may be greater than with other infections given social stigma and the current legal climate in many states and nations.

ELSI issues surrounding HIV ME are further compounded when HIV phylogenetics is applied to public health. While all of the issues associated with HIV ME research remain, additional issues arise. The ultimate goals of ME analyses in public health are also to identify persons or groups at risk for transmitting or acquiring HIV. Therefore, the results of an analysis may lead to an intervention directed to these individuals or groups by public health workers. In this situation, the risk of privacy loss comes not only from the data, but also from the actions of the public health department, and the discreteness by which they are performed. The actions (on the ground and through publications) of a public health department may also further stigmatize groups of infected individuals through the suggestion of increased transmission risk. Intensified stigma could have the real effect of keeping people away from care, as well as increase hostility toward those suspected of high-risk behavior or assumed to be living with HIV. In fact, Schairer et al. found evidence of apprehension about public health departments using HIV ME for prevention among a group of stakeholders in San Diego (Schairer et al., under review).

VII. Mitigating Risks in the use of HIV Phylogenetics in Public Health

The consultation led by Project Inform and the Third Coast CFAR highlighted the similarity of the roll out of HIV ME in public health with Data-to-Care, where surveillance data (e.g. CD4+ T-cell counts, HIV viral load) was used to identify persons unlinked or not engaged in care. With Data-to-Care, community engagement and stakeholder meetings allowed officials to feel confident that the community believed that the benefits of the program would outweigh the risks. Given that public health departments do not require consent to use personal data, stakeholders and experts agree that community education and engagement should take place before and during the use of HIV ME for public health purposes.

Reducing the stigma associated with HIV infection could lead to a reduction in the legal and social risks encountered by PLWH. While the laws and the enforcement of these laws vary

from state to state, and country to country, a number of groups are working to decriminalize HIV transmission (e.g. HIV Justice Network, Center for HIV Law and Policy). Given the rapid improvements in HIV phylogenetic data collection and analyses, some investigators have suggested that it may be prudent to deploy HIV ME in public health only when taking local criminalization laws into context.

VIII. Benefits of HIV Molecular Epidemiology

Determining whether the benefits of molecular epidemiology outweigh the potential for harm also requires a clear understanding of the potential benefits, and the limitations around these analyses. Prior work has demonstrated that HIV ME can be used to delineate factors associated with HIV transmission dynamics(40–42). Others have demonstrated how ME could be used to identify “hotspots” of transmission(43, 44), measure the impact of prevention interventions(45, 46), as well as identify and estimate the size of key populations (e.g., PLWH who are unaware, HIV uninfected and at increased risk of acquiring HIV infection)(47, 48). HIV ME could also be used to identify the PLWH at highest risk of spreading HIV, directing the use of tailored, resource-intensive interventions (e.g., linkage to care, long-acting ART, directly observed therapy, etc.) to these persons. The utility of this last approach has been addressed through simulations which have shown that network targeting of interventions can improve the efficiency and efficacy of these prevention efforts compared to random allocation (24, 49). Empirical data to support these approaches remains limited. A handful of reports have demonstrated how ME analyses led to changes in a public health response(43, 44). However, these have been uncontrolled observations, where the potential benefits have been difficult to quantify. No controlled trials or prospective empirical observations have been performed to corroborate these results and quantitatively measure the benefits of HIV ME.

Future technological developments could enhance the potential benefits of ME on HIV prevention. Improving the depth of sampling (i.e. the proportion of PLWH in a given region that have available sequence and demographic data), and the use of NGS, which will improve the accuracy of inferences, may allow a more nuanced understanding of transmission dynamics. This could allow prevention resources to be focused at an even more granular level, but also increase the inferential privacy risk to persons whose data is being analyzed.

At this stage, there are still many unanswered questions about the potential and real-world expectations around the benefits of HIV ME for prevention. Much work is needed to quantitatively understand how ME can be made useful for HIV prevention so we can truly determine if a risk-benefit equipoise can be reached using this technology.

IX. Conclusion

In summary, the use of HIV phylogenetics and molecular epidemiology for research and public health poses significant ethical issues that will need to be addressed in an iterative manner as these technologies are deployed. Furthermore, the benefits of HIV ME need to be better characterized and quantified to allow researchers, public health officials, ethicists and

the public to determine whether the potential benefits outweigh the risks of using HIV ME for prevention. Ideally this would be accomplished using controlled trials, but even observational data are lacking.

Acknowledgements

None

Financial support and sponsorship

This work was supported by funding from the National Institutes of Health AI093163 (SRM), AI106039 (SL), AI108351 (SL), MH100974 (SL, SRM), P30 AI036214 (SRM, SL).

Funding Sources: National Institutes of Health

References

- **1. Benbow ND, Evans D. Ethical Considerations for Molecular HIV Surveillance in the United States. 2018.-Summary of the North Coast CFAR consultation on Molecular HIV Surveillance
2. Phylogenetics And Networks for Generalised HIV Epidemics in Africa (PANGEA) [The PANGEA_HIV project aims to adapt modern molecular epidemiology and phylodynamics of HIV sequence data to generate new insights into HIV transmission dynamics in generalized epidemics in Africa, and to provide a potential new approach for the evaluation of transmission interventions.]. Available from: <http://www.pangea-hiv.org/>.
- **3. Coltart CEM, Hoppe A, Parker M, et al. Ethical considerations in global HIV phylogenetic research. *Lancet HIV*. 2018;5(11):e656–e66. [PubMed: 30174214] - Summary of the PANGEA meeting on ethical issues in global HIV phylogenetic research
4. Kouyos RD, von Wyl V, Yerly S, et al. Molecular epidemiology reveals long-term changes in HIV type 1 subtype B transmission in Switzerland. *J Infect Dis*. 2010;201(10):1488–97. [PubMed: 20384495]
5. Bezemer D, van Sighem A, Lukashov VV, et al. Transmission networks of HIV-1 among men having sex with men in the Netherlands. *AIDS*. 2010;24(2):271–82. [PubMed: 20010072]
6. Ratmann O, van Sighem A, Bezemer D, et al. Sources of HIV infection among men having sex with men and implications for prevention. *Sci Transl Med*. 2016;8(320):320ra2.
7. Hughes GJ, Fearnhill E, Dunn D, et al. Molecular phylodynamics of the heterosexual HIV epidemic in the United Kingdom. *PLoS Pathog*. 2009;5(9):e1000590. [PubMed: 19779560]
8. Leigh Brown AJ, Lycett SJ, Weinert L, et al. Transmission network parameters estimated from HIV sequences for a nationwide epidemic. *J Infect Dis*. 2011;204(9):1463–9. [PubMed: 21921202]
9. Lewis F, Hughes GJ, Rambaut A, et al. Episodic sexual transmission of HIV revealed by molecular phylodynamics. *PLoS Med*. 2008;5(3):e50. [PubMed: 18351795]
10. Poon AF, Joy JB, Woods CK, et al. The impact of clinical, demographic and risk factors on rates of HIV transmission: a population-based phylogenetic analysis in British Columbia, Canada. *J Infect Dis*. 2015;211(6):926–35. [PubMed: 25312037]
11. Dennis AM, Hue S, Hurt CB, et al. Phylogenetic insights into regional HIV transmission. *AIDS*. 2012;26(14):1813–22. [PubMed: 22739398]
12. Oster AM, Wertheim JO, Hernandez AL, et al. Using Molecular HIV Surveillance Data to Understand Transmission Between Subpopulations in the United States. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2015;70(4):444–51. [PubMed: 26302431]
13. Khanani MR, Somani M, Rehmani SS, et al. The spread of HIV in Pakistan: bridging of the epidemic between populations. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(7):e22449. [PubMed: 21799857]
14. Wang X, Wu Y, Mao L, et al. Targeting HIV Prevention Based on Molecular Epidemiology Among Deeply Sampled Subnetworks of Men Who Have Sex With Men. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(9):1462–8. [PubMed: 26129754]

15. Mehta SR, Wertheim JO, Brouwer KC, et al. HIV Transmission Networks in the San Diego-Tijuana Border Region. *EBioMedicine*. 2015;2(10):1456–63. [PubMed: 26629540]
16. Wertheim JO, Leigh Brown AJ, Hepler NL, et al. The global transmission network of HIV-1. *J Infect Dis*. 2014;209(2):304–13. [PubMed: 24151309]
17. Wertheim JO, Oster AM, Hernandez AL, et al. The International Dimension of the U.S. HIV Transmission Network and Onward Transmission of HIV Recently Imported into the United States. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2016.
18. Brooks JI, Niznick H, Ofner M, et al. Local phylogenetic analysis identifies distinct trends in transmitted HIV drug resistance: implications for public health interventions. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2013;13:509. [PubMed: 24171696]
19. Panichsillapakit T, Smith DM, Wertheim JO, et al. Prevalence of transmitted HIV drug resistance among recently infected persons in San Diego, California 1996–2013. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2015.
20. Poon AF, Aldous JL, Mathews WC, et al. Transmitted drug resistance in the CFAR network of integrated clinical systems cohort: prevalence and effects on pre-therapy CD4 and viral load. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(6):e21189. [PubMed: 21701595]
21. Wertheim JO, Oster AM, Saduvala N, et al. Fitness Effects of Drug-Resistant Strains Across the United States HIV-1 Transmission Network. Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI) 2015; Seattle, WA2015.
22. Kuhnert D, Kouyos R, Shirreff G, et al. Quantifying the fitness cost of HIV-1 drug resistance mutations through phylodynamics. *PLoS Pathog*. 2018;14(2):e1006895. [PubMed: 29462208]
23. Hue S, Brown AE, Ragonnet-Cronin M, et al. Phylogenetic analyses reveal HIV-1 infections between men misclassified as heterosexual transmissions. *AIDS*. 2014;28(13):1967–75. [PubMed: 24991999]
24. Little SJ, Kosakovsky Pond SL, Anderson CM, et al. Using HIV networks to inform real time prevention interventions. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(6):e98443. [PubMed: 24901437]
25. Ragonnet-Cronin M, Jackson C, Bradley-Stewart A, et al. Recent and Rapid Transmission of HIV among People who Inject Drugs in Scotland Revealed through Phylogenetic Analysis. *J Infect Dis*. 2018.
26. McVea D, Liang R, Joy JB, et al., editors. A framework for predicting phylogenetic clusters of hiv at high risk for growth. Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI) 2017; 2017; Seattle, WA.
27. Smith DM, May SJ, Tweeten S, et al. A public health model for the molecular surveillance of HIV transmission in San Diego, California. *AIDS*. 2009;23(2):225–32. [PubMed: 19098493]
28. Wertheim JO, Leigh Brown AJ, Hepler NL, et al. The Global Transmission Network of HIV-1. *J Infect Dis*.
- *29. Leitner T, Romero-Severson E. Phylogenetic patterns recover known HIV epidemiological relationships and reveal common transmission of multiple variants. *Nat Microbiol*. 2018;3(9): 983–8. [PubMed: 30061758] - Description of approaches to use NGS data to infer transmission directionality and patterns
30. HIV Criminalization: State Laws Criminalizing Conduct Based on HIV Status: The Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation; 2010 [Available from: <http://kff.org/other/state-indicator/criminal-statutes-on-hiv-transmission/>].
- **31. HIV Criminalization in the United States: A Sourcebook on State and Federal HIV Criminal Law and Practice. New York, NY: The Center for HIV Law and Policy; 2017.- Key document summarizing laws regarding the criminalization of HIV transmission across the US
- **32. Bernard EJ, Cameron S. Advancing HIV Justice2: Building Momentum in Global Advocacy against HIV Criminalisation Brighton, England and Amsterdam, Netherlands: HIV Justice Network and Global Network of People Living with HIV (GNP+); 2016.-- Key document summarizing laws regarding the criminalization of HIV transmission across the world
- *33. Schairer C, Mehta SR, Vinterbo SA, et al. Perceptions of molecular epidemiology studies of HIV among stakeholders. *J Public Health Res*. 2017;6(3):992. [PubMed: 29291190] -Study of the perception and understanding of HIV molecular epidemiology among stakeholders

34. Chen F, Wang C, Dai W, et al. PRESAGE: PRivacy-preserving gENetic testing via SoftwAre Guard Extension. *BMC Med Genomics*. 2017;10(Suppl 2):48. [PubMed: 28786365]
35. Chen F, Wang S, Jiang X, et al. PRINCESS: Privacy-protecting Rare disease International Network Collaboration via Encryption through Software guard extensionS. *Bioinformatics*. 2017;33(6): 871–8. [PubMed: 28065902]
36. Chawla S, Dwork C, McSherry F, et al. Toward privacy in public databases. *Theory of Cryptography, Proceedings*. 2005;3378:363–85.
37. Dwork C Differential privacy. *Automata, Languages and Programming, Pt 2*. 2006;4052:1–12.
38. Dwork C, Pottenger R. Toward practicing privacy. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 2013;20(1):102–8. [PubMed: 23243088]
39. Song S, Little S, Mehta S, et al. Differentially Private Continual Release of Graph Statistics. *arXiv preprint 2018;arxiv:1809.02575*.
40. Hughes GJ, Fearnhill E, Dunn D, et al. Molecular phylodynamics of the heterosexual HIV epidemic in the United Kingdom. *PLoS Pathog*. 2009;5(9):e1000590. [PubMed: 19779560]
41. Gilbert MT, Rambaut A, Wlasiuk G, et al. The emergence of HIV/AIDS in the Americas and beyond. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2007;104(47):18566–70. [PubMed: 17978186]
42. Castro-Nallar E, Perez-Losada M, Burton GF, Crandall KA. The evolution of HIV: inferences using phylogenetics. *Molecular phylogenetics and evolution*. 2012;62(2):777–92. [PubMed: 22138161]
- *43. Poon AF, Gustafson R, Daly P, et al. Near real-time monitoring of HIV transmission hotspots from routine HIV genotyping: an implementation case study. *Lancet HIV*. 2016;3(5):e231–8. [PubMed: 27126490]
- *44. Mehta SR, Chaillon A, Gaines TL, et al. Impact of Public Safety Policies on Human Immunodeficiency Virus Transmission Dynamics in Tijuana, Mexico. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2018;66(5):758–64. [PubMed: 29045592]
45. Mehta SR, Murrell B, Anderson CM, et al. Using HIV Sequence and Epidemiologic Data to Assess the Effect of Self-referral Testing for Acute HIV Infection on Incident Diagnoses in San Diego, California. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;63(1):101–7. [PubMed: 27174704]
46. Wertheim JO, Kosakovsky Pond SL, Little SJ, De Gruttola V. Using HIV transmission networks to investigate community effects in HIV prevention trials. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(11):e27775. [PubMed: 22114692]
47. Oster AM, France AM, Mermin J. *Molecular Epidemiology and the Transformation of HIV Prevention*. JAMA. 2018.
48. Volz EM, Le Vu S, Ratmann O, et al. Molecular Epidemiology of HIV-1 Subtype B Reveals Heterogeneous Transmission Risk: Implications for Intervention and Control. *J Infect Dis*. 2018.
49. Liu A, Glidden DV, Anderson PL, et al. Patterns and correlates of PrEP drug detection among MSM and transgender women in the Global iPrEx Study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;67(5):528–37. [PubMed: 25230290]

Key Points

- The benefits of HIV molecular epidemiology remain unclear, and further work is needed to quantitatively demonstrate the benefits that can be expected.
- Privacy loss and disclosures are important risks associated with HIV molecular epidemiologic analyses, and the implications of disclosure vary by the regional legal and social climate.
- Inferential privacy risks will increase with technological improvements in sequencing and analysis.
- Data sharing, which enhances the utility of the data, may also increase the risk of inferential privacy loss.
- Guidance for HIV molecular epidemiology work is needed for researchers and public health officials to ensure best practices when applying these analyses.