



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Nurs Outlook. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 March 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Nurs Outlook. 2019 ; 67(2): 169–189. doi:10.1016/j.outlook.2018.11.002.

Reducing toxic stress in the NICU to improve infant outcomes

Ashley Weber, PhD, RN^a and Tondi M. Harrison, PhD, RN, FAAN^b

^aUniversity of Cincinnati College of Nursing, 310 Proctor Hall, 3110 Vine St, Cincinnati, OH 45221, USA

^bThe Ohio State University, Newton Hall, College of Nursing, 1585 Neil Avenue, Columbus OH, 43210 USA

Abstract

In 2011, the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) published a technical report on the lifelong effects of early toxic stress on human development, and included a new framework for promoting pediatric health: the Ecobiodevelopmental Framework for Early Childhood Policies and Programs. We believe that hospitalization is a specific form of toxic stress for the neonatal patient, and that toxic stress must be addressed by the nursing profession in order to substantially improve outcomes for the critically-ill neonate. Approximately 4% of normal birthweight newborns and 85% of low birthweight newborns are hospitalized each year in the highly technological Neonatal Intensive Unit (NICU). Neonates are exposed to roughly 70 stressful procedures a day during hospitalization, which can permanently and negatively alter the infant's developing brain. Neurologic deficits can be partly attributed to the frequent, toxic, and cumulative exposure to stressors during NICU hospitalization. However, the AAP Report does not provide specific action steps necessary to address toxic stress in the NICU and realize the new vision for pediatric healthcare outlined therein. Therefore, this paper applies the concepts and vision laid out in the AAP Report to the care of the hospitalized neonate and provides action steps for true transformative change in neonatal intensive care. We review how the environment of the NICU is a significant source of toxic stress for hospitalized infants. We provide recommendations for caregiving practices that could significantly buffer the toxic stress experienced by hospitalized infants. We also identify areas of research inquiry that are needed to address gaps in nursing knowledge and to propel nursing science forward. Finally, we advocate for several public policies that are not fully addressed in the AAP Technical Report, but are vital to the health and development of all newborns.

Keywords

toxic stress; neonatal intensive care unit; infant; stress; buffering

Corresponding Author: Ashley Weber, PhD, RN, Assistant Professor, University of Cincinnati College of Nursing, Office Phone: 513.558.0132; Research Cell: 614.364.2382, ashley.weber@uc.edu, Tondi M. Harrison, PhD, RN, FAAN, Assistant Professor, The Ohio State University College of Nursing, Office Phone: 614-292-8525, harrison.473@osu.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

The authors declare no conflicts of interest or commercial interests in this work.

Toxic Stress in the NICU: Transformative change is needed to improve infant outcomes

In 2011, the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) published a technical report on the lifelong effects of early “toxic stress” on human development, and introduced a new framework for promoting healthy human development: the Ecobiodevelopmental (EBD) Framework for Early Childhood Policies and Programs. In the Technical Report, the AAP called for a new paradigm for caring for children and families to promote health, prevent disease, and mitigate sources of early stress that have lifelong consequences for the pediatric patient (Shonkoff, Garner, Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of Child and Family Health, Committee on Early Childhood, Adoption, and Dependent Care, & Section on Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, 2012). The authors (Shonkoff et al., 2012) differentially define three levels of stress based on their ability to provoke lasting physiologic disruption to the patient: *positive stress*, *tolerable stress*, and *toxic stress* (Table 1). Each level has a different intensity, duration, and/or frequency of the stress response, and thus a different potential to cause irreversible harm. The Report also introduced the concept of *buffering*, the socioemotional support provided by a loving caregiver that mitigates the harmful effects of the stress response and confers protection to the child (Table 1). The Report defines a caregiver as a caring, responsive adult who can facilitate coping with a stressor, including (but not limited to) parents, family members, nurses, other clinicians, and volunteers.

The AAP report presented the EBD framework to guide the future of pediatric practice, research, and policy in reducing toxic stress for children (Shonkoff et al., 2012). The EBD framework posits that ecology (social and physical environment), biology (physiological adaptations/disruptions), and human development (learning, behavior, well-being) converge to produce lifelong health outcomes. The EBD framework outlines several ecological approaches to significantly decrease the number of and severity of adverse events experienced by children and increase the protective buffering of child stress responses that occurs within supportive caregiving relationships. These approaches involve strengthening caregiver and community capacities to promote pediatric health. Caregiver and community capacities are defined as time and commitment to the child, sufficient resources (e.g., economic, emotional, psychological, social, and institutional), and caregiver skills and knowledge. The model posits that innovative policies and programs to increase caregiver and community capacities will lead to adaptive biologic systems in the child that ultimately produce optimal health and development (Shonkoff et al., 2012).

The ecological approach of the AAP report is a useful foundation for examining neonatal care for the hospitalized infant (Shah, Jerardi, Auger, & Beck, 2016). Each year in the United States (US) nearly 4 million newborns are hospitalized (Witt, Weiss, & Elixhauser, 2006), with an incidence of Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) admission at 43.0 per 1000 normal-birth-weight infants (2500–3999 g), and admission rate for very low-birth-weight infants (<1500 g) at 844.1 per 1000 live births (Harrison & Goodman, 2015). In the highly technological NICU, neonates are exposed to roughly 70 stressful procedures a day

(Pereira et al., 2013), which can permanently and negatively alter the infant's developing brain (Pickler et al., 2010). Neurologic deficits are some of the most significant morbidities resulting from the infant conditions requiring NICU admission (Stensvold et al., 2017; Stoll et al., 2010, 2015), such as prematurity and birth defects. These deficits can be partly attributed to the frequent, toxic, and cumulative exposure to stressors during NICU hospitalization (Weber, Harrison, & Steward, 2012). Revolutionary changes in nursing practice, research, and policy will be needed to substantially improve patient outcomes.

Although the AAP Report provides a useful framework from which to launch interventions, the report does not offer specific action steps necessary to substantially improve patient outcomes in the NICU and to realize the new vision for pediatric healthcare. Therefore, the purpose of this paper is to apply the concepts and vision laid out in the AAP Report to the care of the hospitalized neonate, and to propose action steps for true transformative change in neonatal intensive care. First, we review how the early environment of the NICU is a significant source of toxic stress for hospitalized infants. Second, we provide recommendations for caregiving practices that could significantly buffer the toxic stress experienced by hospitalized infants. Third, we identify areas of research inquiry needed to address gaps in nursing knowledge and to propel nursing science forward. Finally, we advocate for several public policies that are not fully addressed in the AAP Technical Report but we feel are vital to the health and development of all newborns.

Levels of Stress in the NICU

The most common infant stress responses provoked by the NICU environment constitutes that of the most dangerous form of stress, toxic stress. While the AAP Report provided several examples of stressors capable of inducing a toxic stress response (e.g., parental neglect, abuse, and maternal depression), others have expanded on the AAP's examples to include childhood hospitalization (Franke, 2014; Shah et al., 2016). Specifically, NICU hospitalization is a significant source of toxic stress for infants due to the nature, timing, and buffering of infant stress within the NICU environment.

Nature of Stressors.

Infants in the NICU are exposed to complex, multisensory, and painful stimuli and frequently deprived of developmentally-supportive stimuli. Both the presence of negative stimuli and the lack of positive stimuli constitute significant stressors that are physiologically disruptive to the infant (Carbajal et al., 2008; Hatfield & Polomano, 2012; Weber et al., 2012). Stressors can originate from the physical NICU environment (e.g., bright lighting, loud noises, noxious smells), the psychosocial NICU environment (e.g., lack of developmentally-supportive social interactions, non-contingent caregiver responses to infant cues), or the clinical NICU environment (e.g., clinical procedures necessary for the health and safety of the infant).

The physical NICU environment is an overwhelming source of stressful stimuli, similar to many ICU settings (Shoemark, Harcourt, Arnup, & Hunt, 2016). NICUs exhibit high volumes of background noise stemming from alarms, equipment, acute patient events, and staff conversations (Byers, Waugh, & Lowman, 2006; Szymczak & Shellhaas, 2014). The

intensity, frequency, and characteristics of ICU sounds invoke toxic stress responses from infants as ICU sounds are mainly comprised of abrasive sounds whose pitch, pattern, and tone are difficult to predict (Bremmer, Byers, & Kiehl, 2003). Similarly, excessive lighting in the NICU environment is frequently experienced by infants during clinical procedures, acute patient events, and infant assessments (Ozawa, Sasaki, & Kanda, 2010). Bright light invokes physiologic dysregulation in NICU infants and can contribute to poor visual development (Watanabe et al., 2013). Finally, noxious smells from disinfectants, adhesives, lubricants, skin care products, and hand hygiene products induce pain responses in NICU infants (Frie, Bartocci, Lagercrantz, & Kuhn, 2017; Kuhn, Astruc, Messer, & Marlier, 2011; Lipchock, Reed, & Mennella, 2011).

The psychosocial environment of the NICU provides an exemplar for infant stress exposure derived from deprivation of positive sensory stimuli and an overabundance of negative stimuli that are physiologically disruptive to the infant. For example, NICU infants are commonly deprived of positive touch experiences, such as hand containment, kangaroo care, and swaddled holding (Hubbard & Gattman, 2017; Torowicz, Lizanti, Rim, & Medoff-Cooper, 2012). Critically-ill infants are held during kangaroo care on average only twice a week by parents in the NICU (Gonya & Nelin, 2013). Our previous work support these findings, as many infants in our studies went entire weeks without being held (Authors blinded, 2018). Several studies have shown that due to serious time constraints, nurses must ration care and are most likely to omit or skip developmental activities; parental support, teaching, and involvement; and infant comfort care (Hendricks-Muñoz & Prendergast, 2007; Rochefort, Rathwell, & Clarke, 2016; Tubbs-Cooley, Pickler, Younger, & Mark, 2015). Time constraints can also significantly impair a nurse's ability to provide care based on developmentally supportive, contingent responses to infant cues. Non-contingent responses include caregivers not responding to cues provided by the infant signaling a specific need (e.g., infant crying due to hunger or the need to be held) or implementing caregiving procedures without regard to infant cues (e.g., changing the infant's diaper when the infant is asleep). For example, a case study of a hospitalized infant documented nearly one hundred interruptions during sleep per day and caregiving interruptions spaced less than 30 minutes apart 90% of the time (Daniels & Harrison, 2015). Non-contingent responses to infant cues communicating the need for sleep, feeding, social interaction, or a break from clinical procedures significantly impair infant physiologic regulation during these activities (Chuang et al., 2018; Kirk, Alder, & King, 2007; Levy et al., 2016; Whetten, 2016).

Common distressing stimuli in the NICU required for the infant's clinical care include mechanical ventilation, nasogastric tube insertion, heelsticks, intravenous catheter insertions, handling, and suctioning (Newnham, Inder, & Milgrom, 2009). One study found that infants were manipulated with clinical procedures an average of 38 times over a 24-hour period in the NICU (Pereira et al., 2013). Another study found that NICU infants are exposed to as many as 16 painful procedures a day (Carbajal et al., 2008). Our previous work is consistent with these findings, as premature infants in the NICU experienced the equivalent of about 12 severely stressful events with an 8 hour observation period (Authors blinded, 2018). These studies are testimonies to relentless infant stress exposure in the NICU.

Timing of Stressors.

Infants are hospitalized in the NICU during a critical and sensitive period in their brain development (Feldman, 2015). During the first few months of life, neuronal plasticity, neuronal pruning, and brain growth occur at the highest rate of any other period in the human lifespan. Structures in the infant brain are actively organizing and integrating into functional neuronal networks (Clouchoux et al., 2011; Smyser et al., 2010; Smyser, Snyder, & Neil, 2011). Thus, distressing stimuli in the NICU have a heightened ability to permanently alter infant brain development by augmenting neuronal cell death (Bhutta & Anand, 2002), reducing brain volume, and impairing brain structure and function (Smith et al., 2011).

Buffering of Stressors.

The absence of a supportive caregiver to buffer severe infant stress responses is a common phenomenon in the NICU. Less than a third of parents visit the NICU six or more days per week, with parental presence constituting only 5% of the time the infant is hospitalized (Reynolds et al., 2013). Other studies have found that parents visit roughly four days out of each week during the infant's entire NICU hospitalization (Greene et al., 2015). Thus, infants spend the majority of NICU hospitalization without their parents present to buffer infant stress responses to noxious stimuli.

Nurses play a pivotal role as the primary supportive caregiver when parents are not present at the bedside. Hand containment, facilitated tucking, nonnutritive sucking, cue-based care, bundled care, and swaddled holding are a few of the many evidence-based interventions that nurses implement to buffer infant stress responses in the NICU (Pillai Riddell et al., 2015). At the same time, these stress-buffering interventions are the first activities to be missed or skipped by nurses due to time and staffing constraints (Hendricks-Muñoz & Prendergast, 2007; Rochefort et al., 2016; Tubbs-Cooley et al., 2015). So while nurses can be supportive caregivers at the bedside, nurses cannot replace parents and the comfort and consistency of care they provide their infants. Therefore, parental absence from the bedside places the infant at high risk for experiencing toxic, as opposed to tolerable, stress.

In summary, the nature and timing of stressors in the NICU predispose the infant to toxic stress in the absence of a supportive caregiver to help regulate the infant. We, along with others, believe that NICU hospitalization constitutes a significant, toxic stressor for infants (Sanders & Hall, 2017; Shah et al., 2016). The longer the NICU hospitalization, the greater chance for prolonged and cumulative exposure to toxic stress. Furthermore, because parental visitation decreases with increased NICU length of stay (Gonya & Nelin, 2013; Greene et al., 2015), the steadily reduced presence of parents at the bedside places the infant at additional risk for experiencing toxic, as opposed to tolerable, stress. In the next section, we provide practice, research, and policy approaches to decrease toxic infant stress responses and increase social buffering in the NICU.

Implications for Neonatal Research, Practice, and Policy

The concepts described in the EBD framework can be easily applied to infant experience in the NICU. Over the past several decades, neonatal scholars have made their own recommendations to increase caregiver and community capacities (i.e., time and commitment to the infant, resources, caregiving skills and knowledge) and buffer infant stress. Successful efforts include providing institutional resources for family accommodations in the hospital (Franck, Ferguson, Fryda, & Rubin, 2015, 2017), socioemotional resources such as peer-to-peer parental support for NICU parents (Hall, Ryan, Beatty, & Grubbs, 2015; Hynan & Hall, 2015; Macdonell et al., 2013; Rossman, Greene, & Meier, 2015), psychological resources from mental health professionals (Hynan & Hall, 2015; Hynan et al., 2015), and even financial resources to promote optimal infant nutrition through breastfeeding in the NICU (Johnson et al., 2018; Morgan et al., 2015; Relton et al., 2018). Well-established parent education programs increase caregiver skills and knowledge; reduce parental distress, depression, and anxiety; and strengthen the parent-infant relationship in the NICU (Chertok, McCrone, Parker, & Leslie, 2014). Single-family rooms, when designed to promote the psychosocial needs of all users (i.e., infants, families, and clinicians), not only improve infant developmental outcomes (Lester et al., 2014, 2016; Shahheidari & Homer, 2012; Vohr et al., 2017), but also invite parental visitation (Raikila et al., 2017), reduce noise (Liu, 2012), control infection (Sadatsafavi, Niknejad, Shepley, & Sadatsafavi, 2017), increase kangaroo care and breastfeeding rates (Jones, Jones, & Feary, 2016), and promote bonding and parental independence (Toivonen, Lehtonen, Löyttyniemi, & Axelin, 2017). The provision of human milk is critical to the optimal nutrition, health, and development of NICU infants (Crenshaw, 2014; Section on Breastfeeding, 2012), and breastfeeding support interventions significantly improve breastfeeding outcomes (Gharib, Fletcher, Tucker, Vohr, & Lechner, 2017; Haroon, Das, Salam, Imdad, & Bhutta, 2013; Relton et al., 2018; Renfrew et al., 2009).

The recommendations above highlight the applicability of the AAP Report to current movements in neonatal healthcare: *trauma-informed care* and *family-centered care*. Trauma-informed care of the hospitalized infant emphasizes the need for healthcare providers to realize that (1) NICU hospitalization can be seen as a form of trauma for our infants and their families, (2) to recognize the signs and symptoms of trauma and its impact on our infants and families, and (3) to prevent additional trauma by reducing toxic stress to tolerable stress in the NICU (Coughlin, 2014; D'Agata, Young, Cong, Grasso, & McGrath, 2016; Sanders & Hall, 2017). Family-centered care advocates for the comprehensive and holistic care of our infants and their families, with emphasis on family participation; respect for families preferences, needs, and differences; and transparent communication and knowledge-sharing (Ramezani, Hadian Shirazi, Sabet Sarvestani, & Moattari, 2014). In the NICU, the pillars of family-centered care include family-centered developmental care, staff education and support, peer-to-peer family support, palliative/bereavement care, discharge education and follow-up, and mental health support (Craig et al., 2015; Lee, Carter, Stevenson, & Harrison, 2014; Verma et al., 2017).

Unfortunately, like the recommendations proposed in the AAP Report, the interventions prescribed by the trauma-informed and family-centered care movements are not new (Glass

& McAtee, 2006; Link & Phelan, 1995; McEwen, 1998; Schor & American Academy of Pediatrics Task Force on the Family, 2003; Schore, 1996). Trauma-informed care has a rich history of over 30 years in the mental health professions (Wilson, Pence, & Conradi, 2013), while family-centered care and its subcomponents have been evolving since the 1980s (Als, 1977; Als et al., 1994; Anderson, Marks, & Wahlberg, 1986). Despite decades of research, dissemination and implementation of these evidence-based recommendations in the United States is lagging. In the following sections, we summarize current recommendations for neonatal practice, identify much needed areas of research inquiry, and advocate for public policies necessary to reduce toxic stress in U.S. NICUs.

Clinical Strategies to Buffer Stress

Given that buffering is the differentiating factor between tolerable and toxic stress, what would the ideal “buffering” environment look like in clinical practice? We propose that through alternative approaches to caregiving, nurses can reduce toxic stressors in the NICU. Many caregiving activities that are stressful, such as diapering (Comaru & Miura, 2009; Lyngstad et al, 2014), are also necessary to infant health. However, the nature, timing, and level of support in which activities are executed can be altered to buffer infant responses.

Nature of caregiving.—The physical, psychosocial, and clinical environment in which caregiving is performed can be modified by NICU nurses and other healthcare clinicians to reduce infant toxic stress. For example, the AAP states that background noise in the NICU should not exceed a volume of 45 to 50 decibels, with transient sounds not to exceed 65–70 decibels (White, Smith, Shepley, & Committee to Establish Recommended Standards for Newborn ICU Design, 2013). Similarly, the AAP recommends that lighting in the NICU reach not more than 600 lux (White et al., 2013), and a Cochrane meta-analysis supports cycled-lighting to promote the development of NICU infants (Morag & Ohlsson, 2016). Products used in the NICU setting should be assessed for noxious odors and replaced with milder, yet equally effective products. In addition to reducing noxious noise, lights, and smells in the physical environment, NICU nurses can also encourage families to provide positive sounds, sights, and smells for their infants. Maternal odors provided by breastmilk and scent cloths provide comfort and physiologic stability to NICU infants (Badiie, Asghari, & Mohammadzadeh, 2013; Baudesson de Chanville et al., 2017; Martha G. Welch et al., 2013). Recordings of parents’ singing, womb sounds, and voices enhance infant sleep, feeding, and physiologic stability (Chirico et al., 2017; Doheny, Hurwitz, Insoft, Ringer, & Lahav, 2012; El-Dib & Glass, 2015; Filippa et al., 2017). Importantly, parent-scented cloths and voice recordings can be administered by nurses when parents are absent.

The psychosocial environment, specifically with regards to the positioning, developmental support, and contingent responsiveness provided to the infant, can have a profound impact on the ability of the infant to self-regulate (Chang, Anderson, & Lin, 2002; Grenier, Bigsby, Vergara, & Lester, 2003; Jarus et al., 2011). We recommend that, whenever possible, all caregiving be completed by two people: one person to perform care, and the other to contingently respond to infant cues and assist the baby in self-regulation during care. Numerous studies have shown the ability of “Two-Person Techniques,” such as hand containment, facilitated tucking (Hartley, Miller, & Gephart, 2015; Herrington & Chiodo,

2014; Hill, Engle, Jorgensen, Kralik, & Whitman, 2005; Obeidat, Kahalaf, Callister, & Froelicher, 2009; Pillai Riddell et al., 2015; Ward-Larson, Horn, & Gosnell, 2004), and kangaroo care (Cho et al., 2016; Dezhdar, Jahanpour, Firouz Bakht, & Ostovar, 2016; Johnston et al., 2014) to significantly lower infant physiological and behavioral responses to stress during caregiving. Parents, whenever possible, should be part of the Two-Person Technique to promote bonding and encourage management of their baby's care. If parents are not present at the bedside, then respiratory, occupational, physical, or massage therapists could assist the nurse in regulating the infant. Even trained volunteers, under the direct supervision of a nurse, could be guided in providing hand containment for the infant (Fritsch-deBruyn, Capalbo, Rea, & Siano, 1990). The second person could assist in positioning the infant in side-lying or prone during caregiving for infants who do not tolerate the supine position. While many studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of Two-Person Techniques in buffering infant stress responses to single episodes of caregiving (Hartley et al., 2015; Herrington & Chiodo, 2014; Hill et al., 2005; Obeidat et al., 2009; Pillai Riddell et al., 2015; Ward-Larson et al., 2004), consistent, serial use of Two-Person Techniques on the neurobiological, structural, and functional brain development of NICU infants has not been studied. Sustained touch, talking with the infant, and making eye contact are effective techniques that nurses and other clinicians can use for interacting socially with the infant when parents are not able to be present (Welch et al., 2014). Such social interventions delivered by professional staff will be more effective when the professionals caring for that infant are consistent, primary caregivers (Mefford & Alligood, 2011).

Finally, the frequency of clinical procedures and caregiving is excessive in NICUs and should be tailored to the infant's unique developmental and healthcare needs. Each activity should be scrutinized for necessity to the infant's care. For example, measuring abdominal girth and gastric residual can be deferred in many infants (Dutta et al., 2015). Vital signs should be taken from the monitor when able, and blood pressures can be performed once a shift in infants with a consistent clinical course. Suctioning can be performed only when clinically indicated by a decline in respiratory status or oxygenation, as opposed to a routine schedule (Mann, Sweet, Knupp, Buck, & Chipps, 2013). Tailoring the frequency of caregiving to the infant is a strategy that requires strong nursing judgement, specifically in the ability of the nurse to weigh the risks and benefits of lowering the infant's stress levels versus the need for monitoring of the infant. However, this strategy also highlights the critical importance of the nurse in reducing the infant's exposure to toxic stress.

Timing of caregiving.—Although we cannot change the timing of critical brain development during which infants receive care, we can change the timing of caregiving to minimize the stress infants experience during hospitalization. Caregiving activities should revolve around the infant's sleep/wake cycles, arousal cues, and unique developmental needs. How this is actually implemented into practice may differ based on the infant's corrected gestational age (CGA) and medical status. For stable infants who are not able to nipple feed (e.g., infants less than 32 CGA), most routine caregiving activities can be deferred until the infant awakens. Tube feedings can be given while the infant is sleeping after merely checking tube placement, thus minimally disturbing the infant. If the infant is too immature to independently awaken, then caregiving activities can be performed after it is

necessary for the nurse to disturb the infant (e.g., an oxygenation desaturation episode requiring stimulation). Using this strategy, it is possible to provide the infant with as much as 6 hours of rest before changing the diaper to maintain skin integrity and monitor for adequate urine output. For infants who are learning to nipple feed and beginning to awaken every 3–4 hours, cue-based caregiving activities, as well as cue-based feeds, can be implemented. Using this strategy, both caregiving activities and oral feeds would be deferred if the infant does not awaken, resulting in a gastric feed. And finally, for infants who consistently awaken every 3–4 hours, waiting until the infant shows signs of arousal can promote smooth transitions from sleep to awake states, while enhancing the infant's readiness and engagement for feeding (Kirk et al., 2007; Shaker, 2013).

Buffering during caregiving.—While it is the responsibility of all NICU caregivers (i.e., nurses, other clinicians, parents, family members, and volunteers) to buffer infant toxic stress responses in the NICU, nurses should teach, encourage, and support parents in being the primary buffer to their infant's stress. Nothing can completely replace the invaluable comfort and consistency of care that parents provide their infants. Family-integrated care (FICare) needs to be promptly implemented as a standard of care in U.S. NICUs. With FICare, parents assume nursing care of their infants with the bedside nurse as coach and mentor and become adept at identifying and buffering early signs of their infant's toxic stress responses (Craig et al., 2015; Hall et al., 2017; O'Brien et al., 2015). Continuous kangaroo care (i.e., as early as possible, for as long as possible) needs to be another standard of care in U.S. NICUs (Mörelus, Örténstrand, Theodorsson, & Frostell, 2015), because kangaroo care is a powerful nonpharmacologic treatment that greatly buffers the infant's response to pain and stress (Cong, Ludington-Hoe, & Walsh, 2011; Kostandy et al., 2008; Lyngstad, Tandberg, Storm, Ekeberg, & Moen, 2014; Mitchell, Yates, Williams, Chang, & Hall, 2013; Pillai Riddell et al., 2015). A recent paper provides action steps for NICUs to transform into NIPUs, Neonatal Intensive Parenting Units (Hall et al., 2017). These steps include FICare, continuous skin-to-skin care, and the provision of extensive psychosocial resources, such as paid parent support coordinators, dedicated mental health professionals, telemedicine services, and structured parent orientation and education sessions (Hall et al., 2017). All of these recommendations are standard and known to be effective at reducing toxic stress and enhancing outcomes in NICU infants. However, these standards will not and cannot be implemented if parents are not present at the bedside.

We firmly believe that parents want to be good parents and be present for their infant. Yet, multiple barriers to parent engagement exist. Parents may stay away because they perceive judgement rather than support from nursing staff, feel overwhelmed in the ICU setting, are frightened and uncertain about providing care or even touching their infant, see nursing staff as "better" caregivers for their sick infant, and feel unneeded or in the way (Cleveland & Gill, 2013; Obeidat, Bond, & Callister, 2009; Williams et al., 2018). Other parents may have severe mental health issues, substance use disorders, or are not developmentally mature enough (e.g., a young adolescent) to independently take on the responsibilities of parenting. Parents who are visibly impaired or lack the maturity to adequately provide care for their infant need appropriate intervention on behalf of the infant. However, nurses need to acknowledge and act on their critical role of advocating for the family as a unit and not only

the infant. Welcoming, supporting, encouraging, and teaching these parents when they are able to be present and ensuring that these families have access to the resources they need are critical nursing interventions that can have a huge impact.

Parental stress, depression, and anxiety also contribute to lack of visitation and engagement with infant care in the NICU and are significant comorbidities in high-risk parent populations (Gonya & Nelin, 2013). Several evidence-based strategies assist mothers in coping with mental health complications during NICU hospitalization and increase parent visitation and engagement. These strategies include free community classes during pregnancy and NICU hospitalization to address the stressors of parenting in the NICU (Puthussery, Chutiyami, Tseng, Kilby, & Kapadia, 2018), scheduling peer advisors to have frequent meetings with NICU parents to address concerns (Bourque et al., 2018; Hall et al., 2015; Levick, Quinn, & Vennema, 2014; Rossman et al., 2015), and universal screening for mental health complications (e.g., acute stress disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, depression, and anxiety) upon NICU admission, 14 days of life, and sequentially thereafter with trained mental health professionals (Cherry et al., 2016; Cole, Olkkola, Zarrin, Berger, & Moldenhauer, 2018; Hynan et al., 2015; McCabe-Beane, Stasik-O'Brien, & Segre, 2018).

Every parent should be assessed daily for barriers to visiting and to remaining involved in their infant's care. Common barriers to parental presence in the NICU include transportation issues, long commutes to the hospital, inability to pay for parking/lodging/meals, financial imperatives to return to work, and lack of resources for sibling care (Blomqvist, Frölund, Rubertsson, & Nyqvist, 2013; Greene et al., 2015; Heinemann, Hellström-Westas, & Hedberg Nyqvist, 2013). Neonatal healthcare teams need to work daily to remove these barriers. Hospital systems need to proactively develop innovative solutions for promoting and supporting parental presence. These solutions include providing a place for parents to stay and take care of their basic needs, such as eating, bathing, laundry, and sleep (Edéll-Gustafsson, Angelhoff, Johnsson, Karlsson, & Mörelius, 2015). Ideally, private rooms would offer these amenities at the bedside, but if not possible, facilities on the unit or at the very least on-site within the hospital system (e.g., Ronald McDonald House) would allow parents with long commutes to have a space in close proximity to their baby. Vouchers for lodging and meals would significantly reduce the financial burden of staying long periods in the NICU. Providing on-site childcare facilities for siblings would remove the barrier of securing and paying for sibling childcare. Providing transportation services through hospital-provided shuttles, volunteer agencies, and reimbursement vouchers for parking, gas, bus passes, or taxi services can help remove transportation barriers.

Furthermore, technology-based interventions offer significant promise in improving provider-family communication and increasing parent engagement remotely, but require further testing (Epstein et al., 2017). Examples of technology-based interventions that have been implemented to supplement parents' physical presence include text messaging updates (Globus et al., 2016), web-cam footage of infants (Rhoads, Green, Gauss, Mitchell, & Pate, 2015), FaceTime and Skype updates (Epstein, Sherman, Blackman, & Sinkin, 2015; Lindberg, Axelsson, & Ohrling, 2009), virtual rounding (Yager, Clark, Cummings, & Noviski, 2017), and virtual visitation (Gray et al., 2000; Yeo, Ho, Khong, & Lau, 2011). Although these interventions cannot provide direct physiologic buffering to infant stress

responses, they may indirectly buffer infant stress by improving interaction when parents are physically present.

Finally, decreasing length of stay would necessarily decrease the toxic exposure of NICU hospitalization. Several interventions that promote parental presence and engagement in the NICU have been associated with significant decreases in length of stay, such as kangaroo care (Conde-Agudelo & Díaz-Rossello, 2016), Continuous Opportunities for Parent Empowerment (COPE; Melnyk et al., 2006), and the Auditory, Tactile, Visual, and Vestibular intervention (ATVV; White-Traut et al., 2002). Although the interventions mentioned in this section can increase hospital costs due to time and resources needed for implementation, the savings incurred would undoubtedly pay for the expenses of these and other interventions (Conde-Agudelo & Díaz-Rossello, 2016; Melnyk et al., 2006; Ortenstrand et al., 2010; White-Traut et al., 2002).

Implementation into Practice.—Although it is easy to make recommendations for clinical practice, implementation is much more difficult given the changes required in health care staffing, training, and culture to transform NICUs into NIPUs (Bracht, O’Leary, Lee, & O’Brien, 2013; Galarza-Winton, Dicky, O’Leary, Lee, & O’Brien, 2013; Macdonell et al., 2013). While health care professionals philosophically agree with FCC principles, U.S. NICUs have not been able to shift even basic infant care to the parents. Implementing the principles of family centered care (FCC) as described above would be a major step forward in reducing toxic stress in the NICU. Neonatal leadership in nursing, medicine, and the allied health disciplines must make FCC a top priority in their strategic plans, as evidenced by providing training, support, and feedback to neonatal staff at orientation; including FCC in required yearly competencies; and assessing practice of FCC during employee evaluations and as a criteria for promotions. In order for a paradigm-shifting, cultural revolution to occur, elements of FCC must be seen as key quality improvement metrics (Tzelepis, Sanson-Fisher, Zucca, & Fradgley, 2015), which are continuously measured, just like rates of infection, pressure injuries, and medication errors. The National Perinatal Association has published a 61-item checklist detailing gold-standard components of FCC (Hynan & Hall, 2015), which can be used to track an individual NICU’s progress. Including FCC in quality improvement metrics communicates the hospital system’s investment in providing optimal care for infants and families (Groene et al., 2009; Groene, 2011; Pluut, 2016)

Implications for Research

The EBD Framework incorporated into the AAP Report was intended as a guide for pediatric researchers in the design, testing, and implementation of new interventions to improve pediatric health (Shonkoff et al., 2012). However, the framework’s concepts were derived from dialogues over half a century old and are not radically different from previous work in stress or neonatal research (Als, 1977; Als et al., 1986; Brazelton, Tronick, Adamson, Als, & Wise, 1975; Minde, Whitelaw, Brown, & Fitzhardinge, 1983; Tessier et al., 1998; Zeskind & Iacino, 1984). As such, this framework is unlikely to catalyze the development and implementation of new policies and programs necessary to revolutionize pediatrics.

For example, the three delineations of stress responses presented in the AAP Report (i.e., positive stress, tolerable stress, and toxic stress), have been presented previously throughout the decades using different terminology (McEwen, 1972, 1980, 1998). *Positive stress* is conceptually similar to *eustress* and *allostasis*: the body's natural, physiologic response to stress that maintains homeostasis and healthy, short-term adaptation to stressors (McEwen & Wingfield, 2010). *Tolerable stress* is conceptually similar to *distress* and *dysregulation of allostatic processes*: maladaptive physiologic responses to stress that are repeatedly induced, prolonged, not rapidly terminated, and/or inadequate with the potential to cause damage (Danese & McEwen, 2011; Hatfield & Polomano, 2012). Finally, *toxic stress* is a severe form of dysregulated allostatic processes that induce damage (i.e., wear and tear, known as *allostatic load*) on the brain and body, resulting in poor health outcomes (McEwen, 1998). In other words, toxic stress responses contribute to allostatic load in the hospitalized neonate. Notice that the difference between tolerable and toxic stress is the presence of buffering (Table 3). While the concept of buffering may distinguish between dysregulated stress responses that contribute to allostatic load and those that do not, the concept of buffering is also well-established. Social support as a buffer to the stress response also has been reported from at least the 1970s (Bell, LeRoy, & Stephenson, 1982; Dean & Lin, 1977; Hennessy, Kaiser, & Sachser, 2009; Levine, 1993).

Perhaps the newer designations for levels of stress (i.e., positive, tolerable, toxic) are more intuitive and understandable descriptions of the concepts. However, continuing to generate new terminology without a subsequent pairing in action creates an illusion of progress, without any meaningful change in outcomes. The illusion of progress generates real harm for our patients by equating advancements in theory as actual improvements in healthcare and pediatric health. An example of this is AAP's claim that the EBD framework will revolutionize pediatrics by increasing multidimensional research (i.e., research that includes biological, genetic, ecological, neurological, and developmental domains) for a more comprehensive investigation of pediatric health (Shonkoff et al., 2012). Significant advancements in multidisciplinary research are important and innovative, but will not directly and most efficiently address the social determinants of health, which are well-documented as the largest contributors to toxic stress in the United States (Braveman & Gottlieb, 2014; Tilden, Cox, Moore, & Naylor, 2018). Instead, a specific and unique set of research questions will be more fruitful in producing research and policy recommendations that will address the social determinants of health and transform pediatric care.

We propose that the first steps are to study the following questions related to defining levels of stress and identifying best designs for intervention delivery.

- How do we measure and differentiate between the three levels of stress, enabling accurate identification of risk and measurement of intervention effectiveness?
- What components are needed in an intervention toolkit tailored to an individual family's needs?
- How can we best time, coordinate, and package stress-reducing interventions (clinical practice and social programs) to maximize likelihood of prevention or reduction of physiologic harm?

We believe that the mechanisms, relationships, and measures described in the adult allostatic load model should be adapted to apply to developing infants and children (Johnson et al., 2011; Authors blinded, 2012) and used to define positive, tolerable and toxic stress. An allostatic load panel of pediatric-specific biomarkers could be monitored as an integrated neurobiological network contributing to infant and child brain structure, function, and development. Examples of these markers include heart rate variability from the parasympathetic nervous system (Porges, 2009); skin conductance and norepinephrine from the sympathetic nervous system (Harrison et al., 2006); dopamine from reward circuits (Hassan et al., 2018), corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH), adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH), and cortisol from the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis (Gunnar & Hostinar, 2015); and oxytocin as the social buffering hormone (Weber et al., 2018). Aberrant response patterns in individual markers or in the network of markers, including significant, prolonged deviations from the child’s baseline, could indicate tolerable or toxic responses to stress, depending on the level of social buffering hormones like oxytocin. In addition, evidence-based interventions could be implemented in the NICU at the first indication of physiologic dysregulation or deviation from baseline, potentially preventing toxic stress responses and subsequent allostatic load. An allostatic load panel could confirm the effectiveness of new and innovative interventions that downgrade toxic stress responses to tolerable stress responses, thus minimizing effects of NICU stressors on the developing infant brain. In the community, normative and high-risk infant populations could be compared for differences in developmental trends over time. Results could inform the timing of programs and policies aimed at addressing the social determinants of health to improve pediatric health outcomes.

A wealth of evidence already exists in support of a wide range of family-centered and developmental care interventions in reducing toxic stress. Yet, implementation of well-established, evidence-based interventions remains infrequent, inconsistent, or nonexistent in clinical practice (Callen, Pinelli, Atkinson, & Saigal, 2005; Hendricks-Muñoz & Prendergast, 2007; Raffray, Semenic, Osorio Galeano, & Ochoa Marín, 2014; Seidman et al., 2015). The concepts, principles, and theories of implementation science offer numerous strategies for enhancing uptake of evidence-based interventions (Curtis, Fry, Shaban, & Considine, 2017; Kaplan, Provost, Froehle, & Margolis, 2012; Rapport et al., 2017). Implementation science research will assist us in moving from a scattered range of family-centered developmental care interventions to a comprehensive, coordinated intervention toolkit that is sequentially, strategically, and personally designed for each family.

The ability of the nurse to implement these toolkits heavily depends on the communication, coordination, and resource allocation of the entire healthcare team. Nurse scientists therefore must begin to examine the factors that contribute to inadequate implementation of these clearly evidence-based recommendations (Geerligs, Rankin, Shepherd, & Butow, 2018). Focused efforts directed at implementation and dissemination science are required to generate pediatric research that is strategic in addressing barriers to adoption, customizable to benefit broad populations, and tailored to the real-world settings in which improvement is directed (Curtis et al., 2017; Rapport et al., 2017). Furthermore, innovative solutions to staffing, team communication, and resource allocation can help address the most commonly cited barriers to implementation (Geerligs et al., 2018). Now, more than ever, pediatric

scientists in the United States need to design studies with focused consideration of the end users and key stakeholders of their interventions to promote translation and dissemination of their work (Geerligts et al., 2018).

Simultaneously, research is needed to identify the best ways to communicate our science in support of policy change that will influence population health and reduce health care costs.

- How do we operationalize and measure the concepts outlined in the EBD Framework (ecologic, biologic, and human development) to more clearly communicate our science to policy-makers and to the public? For example, do data demonstrating structural and functional improvement in infants' brains have a greater impact on policy makers than identifying and addressing psychosocial risk factors?
- How can we best disseminate our science to policy-makers and to the public to maximize our influence and compel others to enact meaningful change?

Health care systems in Europe, Australia, New Zealand, and Canada have all adopted the recommendations provided in this paper and have significantly improved infant outcomes, as well as reduced hospital costs (Broom, Parsons, Carlisle, Kecskes, & Thibeau, 2017; O'Brien et al., 2015). In the United States, however, individual and institutional-based interventions alone are unlikely to help a significant number of families who experience socioeconomic barriers to visitation and do not have the resources to be present in the NICU. Rather, public policies addressing the social determinants of health will have a greater impact on the health outcomes of infants and their families than individual and institutional-based strategies. Research focused on identifying best strategies for successful adoption and implementation of social and policy initiatives is critically important. Recommendations for future research will help to fill clear gaps in nursing knowledge. However, the new knowledge derived from these questions will unlikely lead to meaningful reductions in toxic stress across U.S. NICUs unless that knowledge is paired with innovations in action.

Implications for Public Policy

According to the AAP Report, the nation's health would be significantly bolstered if investments were devoted to primary prevention and promotion of prenatal and early childhood health (Shonkoff et al., 2012). Federal approaches to public policies with demonstrable health benefits will be the most efficient and effective way to provide equitable access to socioeconomic resources that have an enormous impact on population health. Yet the United States remains significantly behind other developed countries in providing policies and programs that strengthen caregiver and community capacities to promote the foundations of pediatric health (i.e., stable and responsive relationships, safe and supportive environments, and optimal nutrition). Broader public policies that address poverty, access to high-quality healthcare, and availability of resources have not been adopted due to the political climate in the United States. In the seven years since the AAP Report was published, little progress has been made in the policy arena that will address toxic stress in our children. Although the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 was effective in increasing access to health insurance among the poor through expansion of Medicaid, the Supreme Court ruling in 2012 made Medicaid expansion voluntary by state, resulting in

access being dependent on geographic location (Courtemanche, Marton, Ukert, Yelowitz, & Zapata, 2017; Daw & Sommers, 2018; Kominski, Nonzee, & Sorensen, 2017). In the following paragraphs, we discuss public policies we believe will efficiently and effectively minimize toxic stress in America's children. Crucial socioeconomic resources that can be provided through federal public policies include 1) paid family leave, 2) universal healthcare, 3) increased welfare programs, and 4) affordable childcare.

Paid family leave.—Paid family leave is significantly associated with reduced rates of congenital anomalies, low birth weights, prematurity, and overall mortality (Burtle & Bezruchka, 2016; Rowe-Finkbeiner, Martin, Abrams, Zuccaro, & Dardari, 2016; Ruhm, 2011). The United States is the only developed nation that does not have a mandate for paid family leave during critical illness or the birth of a child (Shepherd-Banigan & Bell, 2014). Most employer-based paid leave policies will not cover the entire period of a NICU hospitalization (which is often months for the sickest infants). Many working families, especially families with lower incomes, cannot afford to take unpaid leave because they cannot pay for basic necessities without work income or partial wage supplements. In 2011, the U.S. Department of Labor estimated that only 11% of families had access to paid family leave benefits (Gault, Hartmann, Hegewisch, Milli, & Reichlin, 2014; US Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2012). Because families who are most likely to depend on paid leave for financial survival are the least likely to receive leave benefits, NICU parents often have no choice but to return to work (Shepherd-Banigan & Bell, 2014). Implementing a federal paid family leave law would provide families with a necessary financial resource to take time off to care for their critically-ill infants and help buffer potentially toxic stress responses in the NICU.

Universal healthcare.—The United States is also the only developed nation that does not have universal access to affordable healthcare insurance (Fisher, 2012). Only three fourths of adults in the United States are continuously insured, while over a third of adults in poverty report having an unmet healthcare need due to cost (Okoro et al., 2017). Although health insurance coverage for children has improved since the implementation of the Affordable Care Act in 2014, almost 4 million children remain uninsured, 22% of whom are less than 3 years old (Edelman Wright, 2017). Lack of insurance or interruptions in insurance coverage have been repeatedly linked to reduced access to healthcare, unmet healthcare needs, and poor health outcomes in children (Abdullah et al., 2010; DeVoe, Tillotson, & Wallace, 2009; DeVoe, Tillotson, Wallace, Lesko, & Angier, 2012; Federico, Steiner, Beaty, Crane, & Kempe, 2007; Flores et al., 2017, 2016; Fry-Johnson, Daniels, Levine, & Rust, 2005; Szilagyi, Schuster, & Cheng, 2009).

Income plays a major role in insurance access and affordability. Low-income families are more likely to lack health insurance coverage (Devoe et al., 2007; Kreider et al., 2016; Larson & Halfon, 2010), have higher out of pocket costs (Devoe et al., 2007; Galbraith, Wong, Kim, & Newacheck, 2005; Gwet & Machlin, 2018), and experience unmet healthcare needs due to cost (Fry-Johnson et al., 2005; Kreider et al., 2016; Wherry, Kenney, & Sommers, 2016). Americans spend roughly 13% of their income on out-of-pocket healthcare expenses, which presents a huge financial liability for low-income families (Gwet &

Machlin, 2018). Even low-income families with private insurance experience significant unmet healthcare needs due to unaffordable premiums, high deductibles, and co-payments (Devoe et al., 2007). Compared to families with public healthcare insurance, families with private insurance report greater financial burden, decreased access to affordable healthcare services, and missed care as a result of inability to pay (Kreider et al., 2016). Full-year public coverage provides significantly greater protection from financial burden than full-year private coverage (Galbraith et al., 2005), as Medicaid significantly decreases the poverty rate (Wherry et al., 2016).

The insurance status of the parent has been repeatedly shown to impact their children's access to insurance and access to care (DeVoe et al., 2015). Thus, expanding public insurance access for adults and children improves pediatric healthcare access and health outcomes (Feinberg, Swartz, Zaslavsky, Gardner, & Walker, 2002; Flores et al., 2017; Sommers, Blendon, Orav, & Epstein, 2016; Sommers, Gunja, Finegold, & Musco, 2015; Vistnes, Lipton, & Miller, 2016). Affordable access to comprehensive healthcare insurance has also been repeatedly shown to predict receipt of high-quality pediatric healthcare (DeVoe, Ray, Krois, & Carlson, 2010; DeVoe et al., 2009; DeVoe et al., 2012) and improvement in child health outcomes (Abdullah et al., 2010; Flores et al., 2017, 2016; Fry-Johnson et al., 2005). Universal, affordable access to healthcare, regardless of employment status or ability to pay insurers, would ensure that all children and their families can obtain critical preventive care for promoting health, regardless of income (Gorin, 1997; Lewis, 2004; Montagu & Goodman, 2016; Morgan, Ensor, & Waters, 2016; Potera, 2017; Rahman et al., 2017; Rashford, 2007).

Therefore, the United States needs to ensure that every American is insured and able to access and afford evidence-based healthcare services that prevent chronic disease and promote health. This includes significantly expanding and funding Medicaid, Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP), a universal public healthcare plan, and a variety of evidence-based programs that decrease costs by leveraging preventative health services. For example, home visiting programs are well-studied, evidence-based interventions that significantly improve childhood outcomes while reducing healthcare costs (Avellar & Supplee, 2013; Duffee et al., 2017; Olds et al., 2014). Expanding the Maternal, Infant, and Early Childhood Home Visiting Program (MIECHV Program), mandating it as a reimbursable service, and fully and continuously funding it through Medicaid, the federal government, and private insurance companies (Herzfeldt-Kamprath, Calsyn, & Huelskoetter, 2017) would greatly improve health outcomes for our nation's children and families. The United States should include same-rate reimbursement for telemedicine in a comprehensive universal healthcare bill, so that parents who have transportation difficulties can receive high-quality care for their NICU infant after discharge. Moreover, using telemedicine when parents cannot be present in the NICU can provide a unique opportunity for parents to receive education about the skills needed to safely care for their baby after discharge. In this way, parents can focus on applying what they have learned while they are physically present in the NICU.

Poverty and welfare programs.—Poverty is one of the most significant contributors to poor physical and mental health in childhood and beyond (Beardslee, Gladstone, &

O'Connor, 2012; Berkman & Sivaramakrishnan, 2008; Gladstone & Beardslee, 2009; Kim & Saada, 2013; Kramer, Seguin, Lydon, & Goulet, 2000; Moore et al., 2017). Poverty has also been repeatedly associated with preterm birth, low birthweights, and congenital anomalies, the most common reasons for admission to the NICU (Bassil et al., 2013; Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Understanding Premature Birth and Assuring Healthy Outcomes, 2007; Olson, Diekema, Elliott, & Renier, 2010). In the United States, about one in four infants and toddlers live in poverty (Coball & Jiang, 2018). The United States is the wealthiest country in the world, but is consistently among the top five nations with the highest inequality in wealth (Brandmeir, Grimm, Heise, & Holzhausen, 2015; Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2017). The wealthiest one percent of U.S. families control nearly 40% of our nation's wealth, and the wealthiest 10% of families control nearly 80% of our wealth (Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 2017; Egan, 2017; Ingraham, 2017). According to the World Economic Forum, the United States ranks 23/30 developed countries in wealth inequality by the Inclusive Development Index, a comprehensive measure of inequality that includes income, health, poverty, and sustainability (Samans, Blanke, Drzeniek Hanouz, & Corrigan, 2017; White, 2017).

The United States is particularly deficient in providing social protection for our most vulnerable families, including providing public goods, services, employment, and compensation that serve as social safety nets for our poorest and sickest patients (Samans et al., 2017; White, 2017). In 2016, approximately 12% of all U.S. households and 30% of single-mother households experienced food insecurity (Coleman-Jensen, Rabbitt, Gregory, & Singh, 2017). A survey of nearly 100,000 Americans found that over 60% of households were worried about having enough income to pay their rent or mortgage (Njai, Siegel, Yin, & Liao, 2017). Food and housing insecurity are chronic, toxic stressors with documented effects of poor health outcomes in children and their families (Cutts et al., 2011; Schure, Katon, Wong, & Liu, 2016; Winden, Chen, & Melton, 2017).

We must address the social determinants of health if we want to actually improve health outcomes. For example, it is difficult to treat maternal depression if a NICU mother cannot afford to pay for food, housing, healthcare, or heat. Broader public policies that address poverty, income inequality, and food/housing insecurity must become a priority. These policies include raising the minimum wage and indexing it with inflation, expanding the earned income tax, increasing access to quality, low-cost financial services and home ownership, revising the tax code to ensure capital gains tax rates are proportionate to income tax rates, incentivizing family savings through refundable tax credits, and increasing benefits from the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC), and Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF).

Affordable childcare and early childhood education.—One of the most commonly cited barriers to family presence and participation in the NICU is lack of affordable childcare for siblings of critically-ill infants (Blomqvist et al., 2013; Greene et al., 2015; Heinemann et al., 2013). Due to the critically ill status of many infants in the NICU, younger siblings and community members are often restricted from visiting due to safety and

infection control reasons (e.g., flu season). In the United States, the costs of early childcare rivals that of college tuition, and make up nearly 30% of a family's income (Childcare Aware of America, 2017). The United States has one of the highest costs of childcare in the world, ranking 5th among developed countries (Childcare Aware of America, 2017; Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2016). Policies that would help low-income families include significant expansion of Child Care and Development Block Grants, Early Head Start, Head Start, universal preschool for all three- and four-year-olds, and childcare subsidies that ensure no more than 10% of a family's income is spent on childcare.

The Academy and American Public Policy.—Passing federal legislation to address the social determinants of health will not be easy. However, it is not acceptable to conclude that nurses and nursing organizations should prioritize individual and institutional interventions because the societal ones are difficult. As a profession, nursing is governed by a Code of Ethics that encompass a commitment to promote the public good (Fowler, 2017). Advocacy is an essential component of practice (Fowler, 2017), as nurses are accountable to the patients we care for and to society. Furthermore, nurses are in a strategic position to advocate for socioeconomic resources that empower vulnerable families to expertly care for their infants.

One of the primary purposes of the Academy is to serve both the public and the nursing profession by advancing public policy to transform America's healthcare system. In 2018, Tilden and colleagues highlighted the critical need for the Academy to form strategic partnerships that address the social determinants of health (Tilden et al., 2018). Tilden and colleagues also asserted that “significant efforts to improve health require societal actions that include upstream investments” (Tilden et al., 2018). We agree, and believe that the combined power of healthcare organizations like the AAN, AAP, Pediatric Policy Council, American Nurses Association (ANA), and the American Medical Association (AMA) would be enormous in garnering support for legislation that greatly increases our society's investments in the upstream, social determinants of health. As an organization comprised of nursing's most accomplished leaders, we urge the Academy and its members to mobilize, form strategic partnerships with allied healthcare organizations, and lead political efforts to enact sweeping change in providing equitable and affordable access to resources such as paid family leave, health care, child care, and welfare programs.

Conclusion

Over the last several decades, neonatal professionals in the United States have made multiple changes to reduce toxic stress exposure in the NICU. However, difficult changes remain unaddressed, and harmful toxic stress exposure continues, largely due to systemic financial and political constraints. The AAP Technical Report rightly stated, “the causal sequences of risk that contribute to demographic differences in educational achievement and physical well-being threaten our country's democratic ideals by undermining the national credo of equal opportunity (pp 233, Shonkoff, 2012).” A top priority for pediatrics must be the adoption and integration of evidence-based practices, interventions, and policies into

healthcare and society at large. Implementation science must be the fuel for evidence-based pediatric practice that reduces toxic stress.

None of the research and practice changes we have outlined in this paper will matter if our families cannot afford to be with their infant in the NICU. To thoroughly address toxic stress in the NICU, professional nursing organizations, including the American Academy of Nursing whose primary role is to advocate for policies that improve health, must gather their considerable resources to advocate for and support legislation that will provide universal access to paid family leave, high-quality and affordable healthcare, childcare, and a socioeconomic safety net to overcome poverty. Only when families have the resources they need to be successful in helping their children grow and develop will we see the true transformative change so desperately needed in pediatric healthcare. Moving pediatric healthcare forward depends on our ability to convince our leaders that caring for our infants, children, and families is a critical and necessary upstream investment that will improve health, educational achievement, and opportunity for all of our citizens. We urge the Academy, its members, and the entire nursing profession to use their voice and their vote to step-up and speak for our children and our future.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health Postdoctoral Fellowship (1T32NR015433–01).

References

- Abdullah F, Zhang Y, Lardaro T, Black M, Colombani PM, Chrouser K, ... Chang DC (2010). Analysis of 23 million US hospitalizations: uninsured children have higher all-cause in-hospital mortality. *Journal of Public Health (Oxford, England)*, 32(2), 236–244. 10.1093/pubmed/fdp099
- Als H (1977). The newborn communicates. *The Journal of Communication*, 27(2), 66–73. [PubMed: 853137]
- Als H, Lawhon G, Brown E, Gibes R, Duffy FH, McAnulty G, & Blickman JG (1986). Individualized behavioral and environmental care for the very low birth weight preterm infant at high risk for bronchopulmonary dysplasia: neonatal intensive care unit and developmental outcome. *Pediatrics*, 78(6), 1123–1132. [PubMed: 3786036]
- Als H, Lawhon G, Duffy FH, McAnulty GB, Gibes-Grossman R, & Blickman JG (1994). Individualized developmental care for the very low-birth-weight preterm infant. Medical and neurofunctional effects. *JAMA*, 272(11), 853–858. [PubMed: 8078162]
- Anderson GC, Marks EA, & Wahlberg V (1986). AGA preemies can be Kangaroo infants too. *The American Journal of Nursing*, 86(9), 1000. [PubMed: 3638907]
- Avellar SA, & Supplee LH (2013). Effectiveness of home visiting in improving child health and reducing child maltreatment. *Pediatrics*, 132 Suppl 2, S90–99. 10.1542/peds.2013-1021G [PubMed: 24187128]
- Badiee Z, Asghari M, & Mohammadzadeh M (2013). The calming effect of maternal breast milk odor on premature infants. *Pediatrics and Neonatology*, 54(5), 322–325. 10.1016/j.pedneo.2013.04.004 [PubMed: 23707040]
- Bassil KL, Collier S, Mirea L, Yang J, Seshia MMK, Shah PS, ... Canadian Neonatal Network. (2013). Association between congenital anomalies and area-level deprivation among infants in neonatal intensive care units. *American Journal of Perinatology*, 30(3), 225–232. 10.1055/s-0032-1323584 [PubMed: 22879358]
- Baudesson de Chanville A, Brevaut-Malaty V, Garbi A, Tosello B, Baumstarck K, & Gire C (2017). Analgesic Effect of Maternal Human Milk Odor on Premature Neonates: A Randomized Controlled

- Trial. *Journal of Human Lactation: Official Journal of International Lactation Consultant Association*, 33(2), 300–308. 10.1177/0890334417693225
- Beardslee WR, Gladstone TRG, & O'Connor EE (2012). Developmental risk of depression: experience matters. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 21(2), 261–278, vii. 10.1016/j.chc.2011.12.001 [PubMed: 22537726]
- Bell RA, LeRoy JB, & Stephenson JJ (1982). Evaluating the mediating effects of social support upon life events and depressive symptoms. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 10(4), 325–340. [PubMed: 10259110]
- Berkman LF, & Sivaramakrishnan K (2008). WHO commission on the social determinants of health: A bold new venture. *European Journal of Public Health*, 18(6), 547. 10.1093/eurpub/ckn104 [PubMed: 19001461]
- Bhutta AT, & Anand KJS (2002). Vulnerability of the developing brain. Neuronal mechanisms. *Clinics in Perinatology*, 29(3), 357–372. [PubMed: 12380463]
- Blomqvist YT, Frölund L, Rubertsson C, & Nyqvist KH (2013). Provision of Kangaroo Mother Care: supportive factors and barriers perceived by parents. *Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences*, 27(2), 345–353. 10.1111/j.1471-6712.2012.01040.x [PubMed: 22816503]
- Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. (2017). Changes in U.S. Family Finances from 2013 to 2016: Evidence from the Survey of Consumer Finances (Survey of Consumer Finances No. 103, 3). Washington (DC): Federal Reserve Retrieved from <https://www.federalreserve.gov/publications/files/scf17.pdf>
- Bourque CJ, Dahan S, Mantha G, Robson K, Reichherzer M, & Janvier A (2018). Improving neonatal care with the help of veteran resource parents: An overview of current practices. *Seminars in Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*, 23(1), 44–51. 10.1016/j.siny.2017.10.005 [PubMed: 29111298]
- Bracht M, O'Leary L, Lee SK, & O'Brien K (2013). Implementing family-integrated care in the NICU: a parent education and support program. *Advances in Neonatal Care: Official Journal of the National Association of Neonatal Nurses*, 13(2), 115–126. 10.1097/ANC.0b013e318285fb5b [PubMed: 23532031]
- Brandmeir K, Grimm M, Heise M, & Holzhausen A (2015). Global Wealth Report (Economic Research). Allianz. Retrieved from https://www.allianz.com/en/economic_research/publications/specials_fmo/agwr15e.html/?search.query=gloabal%20OR%20wealth%20OR%20report%20OR%20income%20OR%20inequality%20OR%20report&search.filter=-_contentType:editorial
- Braveman P, & Gottlieb L (2014). The social determinants of health: it's time to consider the causes of the causes. *Public Health Reports (Washington, D.C.: 1974)*, 129 Suppl 2, 19–31. 10.1177/00333549141291S206
- Brazelton TB, Tronick E, Adamson L, Als H, & Wise S (1975). Early mother-infant reciprocity. *Ciba Foundation Symposium*, (33), 137–154. [PubMed: 1045978]
- Bremmer P, Byers JF, & Kiehl E (2003). Noise and the premature infant: physiological effects and practice implications. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, and Neonatal Nursing: JOGNN / NAACOG*, 32(4), 447–454.
- Broom M, Parsons G, Carlisle H, Kecskes Z, & Thibeau S (2017). Exploring Parental and Staff Perceptions of the Family-Integrated Care Model: A Qualitative Focus Group Study. *Advances in Neonatal Care: Official Journal of the National Association of Neonatal Nurses*, 17(6), E12–E19. 10.1097/ANC.0000000000000443
- Burtle A, & Bezruchka S (2016). Population Health and Paid Parental Leave: What the United States Can Learn from Two Decades of Research. *Healthcare*, 4(2). 10.3390/healthcare4020030
- Byers JF, Waugh WR, & Lowman LB (2006). Sound level exposure of high-risk infants in different environmental conditions. *Neonatal Network: NN*, 25(1), 25–32. 10.1891/0730-0832.25.1.25 [PubMed: 16514864]
- Callen J, Pinelli J, Atkinson S, & Saigal S (2005). Qualitative analysis of barriers to breastfeeding in very-low-birthweight infants in the hospital and postdischarge. *Advances in Neonatal Care: Official Journal of the National Association of Neonatal Nurses*, 5(2), 93–103. [PubMed: 15806450]

- Carbajal R, Rousset A, Danan C, Coquery S, Nolent P, Ducrocq S, ... Bréart G (2008). Epidemiology and treatment of painful procedures in neonates in intensive care units. *JAMA*, 300(1), 60–70. 10.1001/jama.300.1.60 [PubMed: 18594041]
- Chang Y-J, Anderson GC, & Lin C-H (2002). Effects of prone and supine positions on sleep state and stress responses in mechanically ventilated preterm infants during the first postnatal week. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 40(2), 161–169. [PubMed: 12366646]
- Cherry AS, Blucker RT, Thornberry TS, Hetherington C, McCaffree MA, & Gillaspay SR (2016). Postpartum depression screening in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit: program development, implementation, and lessons learned. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*, 9, 59–67. 10.2147/JMDH.S91559 [PubMed: 26937199]
- Chertok IRA, McCrone S, Parker D, & Leslie N (2014). Review of interventions to reduce stress among mothers of infants in the NICU. *Advances in Neonatal Care: Official Journal of the National Association of Neonatal Nurses*, 14(1), 30–37. 10.1097/ANC.0000000000000044
- Childcare Aware of America. (2017). Parents and the High Cost of Childcare (Parents and the High Cost of Child Care). Arlington, VA: Childcare Aware of America Retrieved from https://usa.childcareaware.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/2017_CCA_High_Cost_Report_FINAL.pdf
- Chirico G, Cabano R, Villa G, Bigogno A, Ardesi M, & Dioni E (2017). Randomised study showed that recorded maternal voices reduced pain in preterm infants undergoing heel lance procedures in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Acta Paediatrica (Oslo, Norway: 1992)*, 106(10), 1564–1568. 10.1111/apa.13944
- Cho E-S, Kim S-J, Kwon MS, Cho H, Kim EH, Jun EM, & Lee S (2016). The Effects of Kangaroo Care in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit on the Physiological Functions of Preterm Infants, Maternal-Infant Attachment, and Maternal Stress. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing*, 31(4), 430–438. 10.1016/j.pedn.2016.02.007 [PubMed: 26975461]
- Chuang L-J, Wang S-H, Ma M-C, Lin C-N, Chen C-L, & Huang M-C (2018). A modified developmental care bundle reduces pain and stress in preterm infants undergoing examinations for retinopathy of prematurity (ROP): A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*. 10.1111/jocn.14645
- Cleveland LM, & Gill SL (2013). “Try not to judge”: mothers of substance exposed infants. *MCN. The American Journal of Maternal Child Nursing*, 38(4), 200–205. 10.1097/NMC.0b013e31827816de [PubMed: 23812057]
- Clouchoux C, Kudelski D, Gholipour A, Warfield SK, Viseur S, Bouyssi-Kobar M, ... Limperopoulos C (2011). Quantitative in vivo MRI measurement of cortical development in the fetus. *Brain Structure & Function, (Journal Article)*. 10.1007/s00429-011-0325-x
- Coball H, & Jiang Y (2018). Basic Facts about Low-Income Children: Children under 18 Years, 2016. Retrieved March 12, 2018, from <http://www.nccp.org/topics/childpoverty.html>
- Cole JCM, Olkkola M, Zarrin HE, Berger K, & Moldenhauer JS (2018). Universal Postpartum Mental Health Screening for Parents of Newborns With Prenatally Diagnosed Birth Defects. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, and Neonatal Nursing: JOGNN*, 47(1), 84–93. 10.1016/j.jogn.2017.04.131
- Coleman-Jensen A, Rabbitt MP, Gregory CA, & Singh A (2017). Household Food Security in the United States in 2016 (Economic Research Service No. ERR-237) (p. 44). Washington (DC): United States Department of Agriculture Retrieved from <https://www.ers.usda.gov/publications/pub-details/?pubid=84972>
- Conde-Agudelo A, & Díaz-Rossello JL (2016). Kangaroo mother care to reduce morbidity and mortality in low birthweight infants. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 8, CD002771 10.1002/14651858.CD002771.pub4
- Cong X, Ludington-Hoe SM, & Walsh S (2011). Randomized crossover trial of kangaroo care to reduce biobehavioral pain responses in preterm infants: a pilot study. *Biological Research for Nursing*, 13(2), 204–216. 10.1177/1099800410385839 [PubMed: 21196428]
- Coughlin M (2014). *Transformative Nursing in the NICU: Trauma-Informed Age-Appropriate Care*. Springer Publishing Company.
- Courtemanche C, Marton J, Ukert B, Yelowitz A, & Zapata D (2017). Early Impacts of the Affordable Care Act on Health Insurance Coverage in Medicaid Expansion and Non-Expansion States.

Journal of Policy Analysis and Management: [The Journal of the Association for Public Policy Analysis and Management], 36(1), 178–210.

- Craig JW, Glick C, Phillips R, Hall SL, Smith J, & Browne J (2015). Recommendations for involving the family in developmental care of the NICU baby. *Journal of Perinatology: Official Journal of the California Perinatal Association*, 35 Suppl 1, S5–8. 10.1038/jp.2015.142 [PubMed: 26597804]
- Crenshaw JT (2014). Healthy Birth Practice #6: Keep Mother and Baby Together- It's Best for Mother, Baby, and Breastfeeding. *The Journal of Perinatal Education*, 23(4), 211–217. 10.1891/1058-1243.23.4.211 [PubMed: 25411542]
- Curtis K, Fry M, Shaban RZ, & Considine J (2017). Translating research findings to clinical nursing practice. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 26(5–6), 862–872. 10.1111/jocn.13586 [PubMed: 27649522]
- Cutts DB, Meyers AF, Black MM, Casey PH, Chilton M, Cook JT, ... Frank DA (2011). US Housing Insecurity and the Health of Very Young Children. *American Journal of Public Health*, 101(8), 1508–1514. 10.2105/AJPH.2011.300139 [PubMed: 21680929]
- Danese A, & McEwen BS (2011). Adverse childhood experiences, allostasis, allostatic load, and age-related disease. *Physiology & Behavior*, (Journal Article). 10.1016/j.physbeh.2011.08.019
- Daw JR, & Sommers BD (2018). Association of the Affordable Care Act Dependent Coverage Provision With Prenatal Care Use and Birth Outcomes. *JAMA*, 319(6), 579–587. 10.1001/jama.2018.0030 [PubMed: 29450525]
- Dean A, & Lin N (1977). The stress-buffering role of social support. Problems and prospects for systematic investigation. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 165(6), 403–417. [PubMed: 591939]
- Devoe JE, Baez A, Angier H, Krois L, Edlund C, & Carney PA (2007). Insurance + access not equal to health care: typology of barriers to health care access for low-income families. *Annals of Family Medicine*, 5(6), 511–518. 10.1370/afm.748 [PubMed: 18025488]
- DeVoe JE, Crawford C, Angier H, O'Malley J, Gallia C, Marino M, & Gold R (2015). The Association Between Medicaid Coverage for Children and Parents Persists: 2002–2010. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 19(8), 1766–1774. 10.1007/s10995-015-1690-5 [PubMed: 25874876]
- DeVoe JE, Ray M, Krois L, & Carlson MJ (2010). Uncertain health insurance coverage and unmet children's health care needs. *Family Medicine*, 42(2), 121–132. [PubMed: 20135570]
- DeVoe JE, Tillotson CJ, & Wallace LS (2009). Children's receipt of health care services and family health insurance patterns. *Annals of Family Medicine*, 7(5), 406–413. 10.1370/afm.1040 [PubMed: 19752468]
- Devoe JE, Tillotson CJ, Wallace LS, Lesko SE, & Angier H (2012). The effects of health insurance and a usual source of care on a child's receipt of health care. *Journal of Pediatric Health Care: Official Publication of National Association of Pediatric Nurse Associates & Practitioners*, 26(5), e25–35. 10.1016/j.pedhc.2011.01.003
- Dezhdar S, Jahanpour F, Firouz Bakht S, & Ostovar A (2016). The Effects of Kangaroo Mother Care and Swaddling on Venipuncture Pain in Premature Neonates: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal*, 18(4), e29649 10.5812/ircmj.29649 [PubMed: 27274399]
- Doheny L, Hurwitz S, Insoft R, Ringer S, & Lahav A (2012). Exposure to biological maternal sounds improves cardiorespiratory regulation in extremely preterm infants. *The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine: The Official Journal of the European Association of Perinatal Medicine, the Federation of Asia and Oceania Perinatal Societies, the International Society of Perinatal Obstetricians*, 25(9), 1591–1594. 10.3109/14767058.2011.648237
- Duffee JH, Mendelsohn AL, Kuo AA, Legano LA, Earls MF, COUNCIL ON COMMUNITY PEDIATRICS, ... COMMITTEE ON CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT. (2017). Early Childhood Home Visiting. *Pediatrics*, 140(3). 10.1542/peds.2017-2150
- Dutta S, Singh B, Chessell L, Wilson J, Janes M, McDonald K, ... Fusch C (2015). Guidelines for feeding very low birth weight infants. *Nutrients*, 7(1), 423–442. 10.3390/nu7010423 [PubMed: 25580815]
- D'Agata AL, Young EE, Cong X, Grasso DJ, & McGrath JM (2016). Infant Medical Trauma in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (IMTN): A Proposed Concept for Science and Practice. *Advances in Neonatal Care: Official Journal of the National Association of Neonatal Nurses*, 16(4), 289–297. 10.1097/ANC.0000000000000309

- Edéll-Gustafsson U, Angelhoff C, Johnsson E, Karlsson J, & Mörelius E (2015). Hindering and buffering factors for parental sleep in neonatal care. A phenomenographic study. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 24(5–6), 717–727. 10.1111/jocn.12654 [PubMed: 25041598]
- Edelman Wright M (2017). *The State of America's Children: 2017* (The State of America's Children). Washington (DC): Children's Defense Fund Retrieved from <http://www.childrensdefense.org/library/state-of-americas-children/2017-soac.pdf>
- Egan M (2017, 9 27). Record inequality: The top 1% controls 38.6% of America's wealth. Retrieved February 21, 2018, from <http://money.cnn.com/2017/09/27/news/economy/inequality-record-top-1-percent-wealth/index.html>
- El-Dib M, & Glass P (2015). Does exposure of premature infants to repetitive recorded mother sounds improve neurodevelopmental outcome? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 112(31), E4166 10.1073/pnas.1507315112 [PubMed: 26170335]
- Epstein, Elizabeth G, Arechiga J, Dancy M, Simon J, Wilson D, & Alhusen JL (2017). An Integrative Review of Technology to Support Communication With Parents of Infants in the NICU. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, and Neonatal Nursing : JOGNN*, 46(3), 357–366. 10.1016/j.jogn.2016.11.019
- Epstein, Elizabeth Gingell, Sherman J, Blackman A, & Sinkin RA (2015). Testing the Feasibility of Skype and FaceTime Updates With Parents in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. *American Journal of Critical Care: An Official Publication, American Association of Critical-Care Nurses*, 24(4), 290–296. 10.4037/ajcc2015828
- Federico SG, Steiner JF, Beaty B, Crane L, & Kempe A (2007). Disruptions in insurance coverage: patterns and relationship to health care access, unmet need, and utilization before enrollment in the State Children's Health Insurance Program. *Pediatrics*, 120(4), e1009–1016. 10.1542/peds.2006-3094 [PubMed: 17908722]
- Feinberg E, Swartz K, Zaslavsky A, Gardner J, & Walker DK (2002). Family income and the impact of a children's health insurance program on reported need for health services and unmet health need. *Pediatrics*, 109(2), E29. [PubMed: 11826239]
- Feldman R (2015). Sensitive periods in human social development: New insights from research on oxytocin, synchrony, and high-risk parenting. *Development and Psychopathology*, 27(2), 369–395. 10.1017/S0954579415000048 [PubMed: 25997760]
- Filippa M, Panza C, Ferrari F, Frassoldati R, Kuhn P, Balduzzi S, & D'Amico R (2017). Systematic review of maternal voice interventions demonstrates increased stability in preterm infants. *Acta Paediatrica* (Oslo, Norway: 1992), 106(8), 1220–1229. 10.1111/apa.13832
- Fisher M (2012, 6 28). Here's a Map of the Countries That Provide Universal Health Care (America's Still Not on It). *The Atlantic*. Retrieved from <https://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2012/06/heres-a-map-of-the-countries-that-provide-universal-health-care-americas-still-not-on-it/259153/>
- Flores G, Lin H, Walker C, Lee M, Currie JM, Allgeyer R, ... Massey K (2017). The health and healthcare impact of providing insurance coverage to uninsured children: A prospective observational study. *BMC Public Health*, 17(1), 553 10.1186/s12889-017-4363-z [PubMed: 28592269]
- Flores G, Lin H, Walker C, Lee M, Portillo A, Henry M, ... Massey K (2016). A cross-sectional study of parental awareness of and reasons for lack of health insurance among minority children, and the impact on health, access to care, and unmet needs. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 15, 44 10.1186/s12939-016-0331-y [PubMed: 27000795]
- Fowler M (2017). *Guide to the Code of Ethics for Nurses with Interpretive Statements: Develo* (Second). Silver Spring, MD: American Nurses Association Retrieved from <https://www.nursingworld.org/nurses-books/guide-to-the-code-of-ethics-for-nurses-with-interpretive-statements-develo/>
- Franck LS, Ferguson D, Fryda S, & Rubin N (2015). The Child and Family Hospital Experience: Is It Influenced by Family Accommodation? *Medical Care Research and Review: MCRR*, 72(4), 419–437. 10.1177/1077558715579667 [PubMed: 25854957]
- Franck LS, Ferguson D, Fryda S, & Rubin N (2017). The influence of family accommodation on pediatric hospital experience in Canada. *BMC Health Services Research*, 17(1), 561 10.1186/s12913-017-2529-0 [PubMed: 28810855]

- Franke HA (2014). Toxic Stress: Effects, Prevention and Treatment. *Children* (Basel, Switzerland), 1(3), 390–402. 10.3390/children1030390
- Frie J, Bartocci M, Lagercrantz H, & Kuhn P (2017). Cortical Responses to Alien Odors in Newborns: An fNIRS Study. *Cerebral Cortex* (New York, N.Y.: 1991), 1–12. 10.1093/cercor/bhx194
- Fritsch-deBruyn R, Capalbo M, Rea A, & Siano B (1990). Cuddler Program provides soothing answers. *Neonatal Network : NN*, 8(6), 45–49. [PubMed: 2348814]
- Fry-Johnson YW, Daniels EC, Levine R, & Rust G (2005). Being uninsured: impact on children's healthcare and health. *Current Opinion in Pediatrics*, 17(6), 753–758. [PubMed: 16282783]
- Galarza-Winton ME, Dicky T, O'Leary L, Lee SK, & O'Brien K (2013). Implementing family-integrated care in the NICU: educating nurses. *Advances in Neonatal Care: Official Journal of the National Association of Neonatal Nurses*, 13(5), 335–340. 10.1097/ANC.0b013e3182a14cde
- Galbraith AA, Wong ST, Kim SE, & Newacheck PW (2005). Out-of-pocket financial burden for low-income families with children: socioeconomic disparities and effects of insurance. *Health Services Research*, 40(6 Pt 1), 1722–1736. 10.1111/j.1475-6773.2005.00421.x [PubMed: 16336545]
- Gault B, Hartmann H, Hegewisch A, Milli J, & Reichlin L (2014). Paid Parental Leave in the United States: What the Data Tell Us about Access, Usage, and Economic and Health Benefits. Retrieved August 8, 2017, from <https://iwpr.org/publications/paid-parental-leave-in-the-united-states-what-the-data-tell-us-about-access-usage-and-economic-and-health-benefits/>
- Geerligs L, Rankin NM, Shepherd HL, & Butow P (2018). Hospital-based interventions: a systematic review of staff-reported barriers and facilitators to implementation processes. *Implementation Science: IS*, 13(1), 36 10.1186/s13012-018-0726-9 [PubMed: 29475440]
- Gharib S, Fletcher M, Tucker R, Vohr B, & Lechner BE (2017). Effect of Dedicated Lactation Support Services on Breastfeeding Outcomes in Extremely-Low-Birth-Weight Neonates. *Journal of Human Lactation: Official Journal of International Lactation Consultant Association*, 890334417741304. 10.1177/0890334417741304
- Gladstone TRG, & Beardslee WR (2009). The prevention of depression in children and adolescents: a review. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry. Revue Canadienne De Psychiatrie*, 54(4), 212–221. 10.1177/070674370905400402 [PubMed: 19321027]
- Glass TA, & McAtee MJ (2006). Behavioral science at the crossroads in public health: extending horizons, envisioning the future. *Social Science & Medicine* (1982), 62(7), 1650–1671. 10.1016/j.socscimed.2005.08.044 [PubMed: 16198467]
- Globus O, Leibovitch L, Maayan-Metzger A, Schushan-Eisen I, Morag I, Mazkereth R, ... Strauss T (2016). The use of short message services (SMS) to provide medical updating to parents in the NICU. *Journal of Perinatology: Official Journal of the California Perinatal Association*, 36(9), 739–743. 10.1038/jp.2016.83 [PubMed: 27195981]
- Gonya J, & Nelin LD (2013). Factors associated with maternal visitation and participation in skin-to-skin care in an all referral level IIIc NICU. *Acta Paediatrica* (Oslo, Norway: 1992), 102(2), e53–56. 10.1111/apa.12064
- Gorin S (1997). Universal health care coverage in the United States: barriers, prospects, and implications. *Health & Social Work*, 22(3), 223–230. [PubMed: 9260086]
- Gray JE, Safran C, Davis RB, Pompilio-Weitzner G, Stewart JE, Zaccagnini L, & Pursley D (2000). Baby CareLink: using the internet and telemedicine to improve care for high-risk infants. *Pediatrics*, 106(6), 1318–1324. [PubMed: 11099583]
- Greene MM, Rossman B, Patra K, Kratovil A, Khan S, & Meier PP (2015). Maternal psychological distress and visitation to the neonatal intensive care unit. *Acta Paediatrica* (Oslo, Norway: 1992), 104(7), e306–313. 10.1111/apa.12975
- Grenier IR, Bigsby R, Vergara ER, & Lester BM (2003). Comparison of motor self-regulatory and stress behaviors of preterm infants across body positions. *The American Journal of Occupational Therapy: Official Publication of the American Occupational Therapy Association*, 57(3), 289–297. [PubMed: 12785667]
- Groene O, Lombarts MJMH, Klazinga N, Alonso J, Thompson A, & Suñol R (2009). Is patient-centredness in European hospitals related to existing quality improvement strategies? Analysis of a cross-sectional survey (MARQuIS study). *Quality & Safety in Health Care*, 18 Suppl 1, i44–50. 10.1136/qshc.2008.029397 [PubMed: 19188461]

- Groene Oliver. (2011). Patient centredness and quality improvement efforts in hospitals: rationale, measurement, implementation. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care: Journal of the International Society for Quality in Health Care*, 23(5), 531–537. 10.1093/intqhc/mzr058
- Gunnar MR, & Hostinar CE (2015). The Social Buffering of the Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenocortical Axis in Humans: Developmental and Experiential Determinants. *Social Neuroscience*, 10(5), 479–488. 10.1080/17470919.2015.1070747 [PubMed: 26230646]
- Gwet P, & Machlin SR (2018). Out-of-Pocket Health Care Expenses for Non-Elderly Families by Income and Family Structure, 2015 (Statistical Brief No. 507). Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US) Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK476263/>
- Hall SL, Hynan MT, Phillips R, Lassen S, Craig JW, Goyer E, ... Cohen H (2017). The neonatal intensive parenting unit: an introduction. *Journal of Perinatology: Official Journal of the California Perinatal Association*. 10.1038/jp.2017.108
- Hall SL, Ryan DJ, Beatty J, & Grubbs L (2015). Recommendations for peer-to-peer support for NICU parents. *Journal of Perinatology*, 35(S1), S9–S13. 10.1038/jp.2015.143 [PubMed: 26597805]
- Haroon S, Das JK, Salam RA, Imdad A, & Bhutta ZA (2013). Breastfeeding promotion interventions and breastfeeding practices: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*, 13 Suppl 3, S20 10.1186/1471-2458-13-S3-S20 [PubMed: 24564836]
- Harrison D, Boyce S, Loughnan P, Dargaville P, Storm H, & Johnston L (2006). Skin conductance as a measure of pain and stress in hospitalised infants. *Early Human Development*, 82(9), 603–608. 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2005.12.008 [PubMed: 16507342]
- Harrison W, & Goodman D (2015). Epidemiologic Trends in Neonatal Intensive Care, 2007–2012. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 169(9), 855–862. 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2015.1305 [PubMed: 26214387]
- Hartley KA, Miller CS, & Gephart SM (2015). Facilitated tucking to reduce pain in neonates: evidence for best practice. *Advances in Neonatal Care: Official Journal of the National Association of Neonatal Nurses*, 15(3), 201–208. 10.1097/ANC.000000000000193 [PubMed: 26002861]
- Hassan WM, Al-Ayadhi L, Bjørklund G, Alabdali A, Chirumbolo S, & El-Ansary A (2018). The Use of Multi-parametric Biomarker Profiles May Increase the Accuracy of ASD Prediction. *Journal of Molecular Neuroscience: MN*, 66(1), 85–101. 10.1007/s12031-018-1136-9 [PubMed: 30112624]
- Hatfield LA, & Polomano RC (2012). Infant distress: moving toward concept clarity. *Clinical Nursing Research*, 21(2), 164–182. 10.1177/1054773811410601 [PubMed: 21646548]
- Heinemann A-B, Hellström-Westas L, & Hedberg Nyqvist K (2013). Factors affecting parents' presence with their extremely preterm infants in a neonatal intensive care room. *Acta Paediatrica (Oslo, Norway: 1992)*, 102(7), 695–702. 10.1111/apa.12267
- Hendricks-Muñoz KD, & Prendergast CC (2007). Barriers to provision of developmental care in the neonatal intensive care unit: neonatal nursing perceptions. *American Journal of Perinatology*, 24(2), 71–77. 10.1055/s-2006-958156 [PubMed: 17260329]
- Hennessy MB, Kaiser S, & Sachser N (2009). Social buffering of the stress response: diversity, mechanisms, and functions. *Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology*, 30(4), 470–482. 10.1016/j.yfrne.2009.06.001 [PubMed: 19545584]
- Herrington CJ, & Chiodo LM (2014). Human touch effectively and safely reduces pain in the newborn intensive care unit. *Pain Management Nursing: Official Journal of the American Society of Pain Management Nurses*, 15(1), 107–115. 10.1016/j.pmn.2012.06.007 [PubMed: 24602430]
- Herzfeldt-Kamprath R, Calsyn M, & Huelskoetter T (2017). Medicaid and Home Visiting: Best Practices from States. Washington (DC): Center for American Progress Retrieved from <https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/early-childhood/reports/2017/01/25/297160/medicaid-and-home-visiting/>
- Hill S, Engle S, Jorgensen J, Kralik A, & Whitman K (2005). Effects of facilitated tucking during routine care of infants born preterm. *Pediatric Physical Therapy: The Official Publication of the Section on Pediatrics of the American Physical Therapy Association*, 17(2), 158–163.
- Hynan MT, & Hall SL (2015). Psychosocial program standards for NICU parents. *Journal of Perinatology: Official Journal of the California Perinatal Association*, 35 Suppl 1, S1–4. 10.1038/jp.2015.141 [PubMed: 26597799]

- Hynan MT, Steinberg Z, Baker L, Cicco R, Geller PA, Lassen S, ... Stuebe A (2015). Recommendations for mental health professionals in the NICU. *Journal of Perinatology: Official Journal of the California Perinatal Association*, 35 Suppl 1, S14–18. 10.1038/jp.2015.144 [PubMed: 26597800]
- Ingraham C (2017, 12 6). The richest 1 percent now owns more of the country's wealth than at any time in the past 50 years. *Washington Post*. Retrieved from <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/wp/2017/12/06/the-richest-1-percent-now-owns-more-of-the-countrys-wealth-than-at-any-time-in-the-past-50-years/>
- Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Understanding Premature Birth and Assuring Healthy Outcomes. (2007). *Preterm Birth: Causes, Consequences, and Prevention*. (Behrman RE & Butler AS, Eds.). Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US) Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK11362/>
- Jarus T, Bart O, Rabinovich G, Sadeh A, Bloch L, Dolfin T, & Litmanovitz I (2011). Effects of prone and supine positions on sleep state and stress responses in preterm infants. *Infant Behavior & Development*, 34(2), 257–263. 10.1016/j.infbeh.2010.12.014
- Johnson AE, Bruce J, Tarullo AR, & Gunnar MR (2011). Growth delay as an index of allostatic load in young children: predictions to disinhibited social approach and diurnal cortisol activity. *Development and Psychopathology*, 23(3), 859–871. 10.1017/S0954579411000356 [PubMed: 21756437]
- Johnson M, Whelan B, Relton C, Thomas K, Strong M, Scott E, & Renfrew MJ (2018). Valuing breastfeeding: a qualitative study of women's experiences of a financial incentive scheme for breastfeeding. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 18(1), 20 10.1186/s12884-017-1651-7 [PubMed: 29310619]
- Johnston C, Campbell-Yeo M, Fernandes A, Inglis D, Streiner D, & Zee R (2014). Skin-to-skin care for procedural pain in neonates. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (1), CD008435 10.1002/14651858.CD008435.pub2 [PubMed: 24459000]
- Jones R, Jones L, & Feary A-M (2016). The Effects of Single-Family Rooms on Parenting Behavior and Maternal Psychological Factors. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, and Neonatal Nursing: JOGNN*, 45(3), 359–370. 10.1016/j.jogn.2015.12.015
- Kaplan HC, Provost LP, Froehle CM, & Margolis PA (2012). The Model for Understanding Success in Quality (MUSIQ): building a theory of context in healthcare quality improvement. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 21(1), 13–20. 10.1136/bmjqs-2011-000010
- Kim D, & Saada A (2013). The social determinants of infant mortality and birth outcomes in Western developed nations: a cross-country systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 10(6), 2296–2335. 10.3390/ijerph10062296 [PubMed: 23739649]
- Kirk AT, Alder SC, & King JD (2007). Cue-based oral feeding clinical pathway results in earlier attainment of full oral feeding in premature infants. *Journal of Perinatology: Official Journal of the California Perinatal Association*, 27(9), 572–578. 10.1038/sj.jp.7211791
- Kominski GF, Nonzee NJ, & Sorensen A (2017). The Affordable Care Act's Impacts on Access to Insurance and Health Care for Low-Income Populations. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 38, 489–505. 10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031816-044555
- Kostandy RR, Ludington-Hoe SM, Cong X, Abouelfetoh A, Bronson C, Stankus A, & Jarrell JR (2008). Kangaroo Care (skin contact) reduces crying response to pain in preterm neonates: pilot results. *Pain Management Nursing : Official Journal of the American Society of Pain Management Nurses*, 9(2), 55–65. 10.1016/j.pmn.2007.11.004 [PubMed: 18513662]
- Kramer MS, Seguin L, Lydon J, & Goulet L (2000). Socio-economic disparities in pregnancy outcome: why do the poor fare so poorly? *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology*, 14(3), 194–210. [PubMed: 10949211]
- Kreider AR, French B, Aysola J, Saloner B, Noonan KG, & Rubin DM (2016). Quality of Health Insurance Coverage and Access to Care for Children in Low-Income Families. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 170(1), 43–51. 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2015.3028 [PubMed: 26569497]
- Kuhn P, Astruc D, Messer J, & Marlier L (2011). Exploring the olfactory environment of premature newborns: a French survey of health care and cleaning products used in neonatal units. *Acta Paediatrica (Oslo, Norway: 1992)*, 100(3), 334–339. 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2010.02076.x

- Larson K, & Halfon N (2010). Family Income Gradients in the Health and Health Care Access of US Children. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 14(3), 332–342. 10.1007/s10995-009-0477-y [PubMed: 19499315]
- Lee LA, Carter M, Stevenson SB, & Harrison HA (2014). Improving family-centered care practices in the NICU. *Neonatal Network: NN*, 33(3), 125–132. 10.1891/0730-0832.33.3.125 [PubMed: 24816872]
- Lester BM, Hawes K, Abar B, Sullivan M, Miller R, Bigsby R, ... Padbury JF (2014). Single-family room care and neurobehavioral and medical outcomes in preterm infants. *Pediatrics*, 134(4), 754–760. 10.1542/peds.2013-4252 [PubMed: 25246623]
- Lester BM, Salisbury AL, Hawes K, Dansereau LM, Bigsby R, Laptook A, ... Padbury JF (2016). 18-Month Follow-Up of Infants Cared for in a Single-Family Room Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 177, 84–89. 10.1016/j.jpeds.2016.06.069 [PubMed: 27470693]
- Levick J, Quinn M, & Vennema C (2014). NICU parent-to-parent partnerships: a comprehensive approach. *Neonatal Network: NN*, 33(2), 66–73. 10.1891/0730-0832.33.2.66 [PubMed: 24589897]
- Levine S (1993). The influence of social factors on the response to stress. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 60(1), 33–38. [PubMed: 8234640]
- Levy J, Hassan F, Plegue MA, Sokoloff MD, Kushwaha JS, Chervin RD, ... Shellhaas RA (2016). Impact of hands-on care on infant sleep in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Pediatric Pulmonology*. 10.1002/ppul.23513
- Lewis S (2004). Single-payer, universal health insurance: still sound after all these years. *CMAJ: Canadian Medical Association Journal = Journal de l'Association Medicale Canadienne*, 171(6), 600–601. 10.1503/cmaj.1041286
- Lindberg B, Axelsson K, & Ohrling K (2009). Experience with videoconferencing between a neonatal unit and the families' home from the perspective of certified paediatric nurses. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 15(6), 275–280. 10.1258/jtt.2009.090112 [PubMed: 19720763]
- Link BG, & Phelan J (1995). Social conditions as fundamental causes of disease. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, Spec No, 80–94.
- Lipchock SV, Reed DR, & Mennella JA (2011). The gustatory and olfactory systems during infancy: Implications for development of feeding behaviors in the high risk neonate. *Clinics in Perinatology*, 38(4), 627–641. 10.1016/j.clp.2011.08.008 [PubMed: 22107894]
- Liu WF (2012). Comparing sound measurements in the single-family room with open-unit design neonatal intensive care unit: the impact of equipment noise. *Journal of Perinatology: Official Journal of the California Perinatal Association*, 32(5), 368–373. 10.1038/jp.2011.103
- Lyngstad LT, Tandberg BS, Storm H, Ekeberg BL, & Moen A (2014). Does skin-to-skin contact reduce stress during diaper change in preterm infants? *Early Human Development*, 90(4), 169–172. 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2014.01.011 [PubMed: 24548816]
- Macdonell K, Christie K, Robson K, Pytlik K, Lee SK, & O'Brien K (2013). Implementing family-integrated care in the NICU: engaging veteran parents in program design and delivery. *Advances in Neonatal Care: Official Journal of the National Association of Neonatal Nurses*, 13(4), 262–269, quiz 270–271. 10.1097/ANC.0b013e31829d8319
- Mann B, Sweet M, Knupp AM, Buck J, & Chipps E (2013). Nasal continuous positive airway pressure: a multisite study of suctioning practices within NICUs. *Advances in Neonatal Care: Official Journal of the National Association of Neonatal Nurses*, 13(2), E1–9. 10.1097/ANC.0b013e3182863eaf
- McCabe-Beane JE, Stasik-O'Brien SM, & Segre LS (2018). Anxiety Screening During Assessment of Emotional Distress in Mothers of Hospitalized Newborns. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, and Neonatal Nursing: JOGNN*, 47(1), 105–113. 10.1016/j.jogn.2017.01.013
- McEwen BS (1972). Steroid hormones and the chemistry of behavior. *Advances in Behavioral Biology*, 4, 41–59. [PubMed: 4376092]
- McEwen BS (1980). Steroid hormones and the brain: cellular mechanisms underlying neural and behavioral plasticity. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 5(1), 1–11. [PubMed: 6994160]
- McEwen BS (1998). Stress, adaptation, and disease. Allostasis and allostatic load. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 840, 33–44. [PubMed: 9629234]

- McEwen, Bruce S, & Wingfield JC (2010). What's in a name? Integrating homeostasis, allostasis and stress. *Hormones and Behavior*, 57(2), 105 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2009.09.011 [PubMed: 19786032]
- Mefford LC, & Alligood MR (2011). Evaluating nurse staffing patterns and neonatal intensive care unit outcomes using Levine's Conservation Model of Nursing. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 19(8), 998–1011. 10.1111/j.1365-2834.2011.01319.x; [PubMed: 22074302]
- Melnyk BM, Feinstein NF, Alpert-Gillis L, Fairbanks E, Crean HF, Sinkin RA, ... Gross SJ (2006). Reducing premature infants' length of stay and improving parents' mental health outcomes with the Creating Opportunities for Parent Empowerment (COPE) neonatal intensive care unit program: a randomized, controlled trial. *Pediatrics*, 118(5), e1414–1427. 10.1542/peds.2005-2580 [PubMed: 17043133]
- Minde K, Whitelaw A, Brown J, & Fitzhardinge P (1983). Effect of neonatal complications in premature infants on early parent-infant interactions. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, 25(6), 763–777. [PubMed: 6653909]
- Mitchell AJ, Yates CC, Williams DK, Chang JY, & Hall RW (2013). Does daily kangaroo care provide sustained pain and stress relief in preterm infants? *Journal of Neonatal-Perinatal Medicine*, 6(1), 45–52. 10.3233/NPM-1364212 [PubMed: 24246458]
- Montagu D, & Goodman C (2016). Prohibit, constrain, encourage, or purchase: how should we engage with the private health-care sector? *Lancet (London, England)*, 388(10044), 613–621. 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30242-2
- Moore THM, Kapur N, Hawton K, Richards A, Metcalfe C, & Gunnell D (2017). Interventions to reduce the impact of unemployment and economic hardship on mental health in the general population: a systematic review. *Psychological Medicine*, 47(6), 1062–1084. 10.1017/S0033291716002944 [PubMed: 27974062]
- Morag I, & Ohlsson A (2016). Cycled light in the intensive care unit for preterm and low birth weight infants. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (8), CD006982 10.1002/14651858.CD006982.pub4 [PubMed: 27508358]
- Mörelus E, Örténstrand A, Theodorsson E, & Frostell A (2015). A randomised trial of continuous skin-to-skin contact after preterm birth and the effects on salivary cortisol, parental stress, depression, and breastfeeding. *Early Human Development*, 91(1), 63–70. 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2014.12.005 [PubMed: 25545453]
- Morgan H, Hoddinott P, Thomson G, Crossland N, Farrar S, Yi D, ... Campbell M (2015). Benefits of Incentives for Breastfeeding and Smoking cessation in pregnancy (BIBS): a mixed-methods study to inform trial design. *Health Technology Assessment (Winchester, England)*, 19(30), 1–522, vii–viii. 10.3310/hta19300
- Morgan R, Ensor T, & Waters H (2016). Performance of private sector health care: implications for universal health coverage. *Lancet (London, England)*, 388(10044), 606–612. 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00343-3
- Newnham CA, Inder TE, & Milgrom J (2009). Measuring preterm cumulative stressors within the NICU: the Neonatal Infant Stressor Scale. *Early Human Development*, 85(9), 549–555. 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2009.05.002 [PubMed: 19520525]
- Njai R, Siegel P, Yin S, & Liao Y (2017). Prevalence of Perceived Food and Housing Security - 15 States, 2013. *MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 66(1), 12–15. 10.15585/mmwr.mm6601a2 [PubMed: 28081062]
- Obeidat H, Kahalaf I, Callister LC, & Froelicher ES (2009). Use of facilitated tucking for nonpharmacological pain management in preterm infants: a systematic review. *The Journal of Perinatal & Neonatal Nursing*, 23(4), 372–377. 10.1097/JPN.0b013e3181bdcf77
- Obeidat HM, Bond EA, & Callister LC (2009). The Parental Experience of Having an Infant in the Newborn Intensive Care Unit. *The Journal of Perinatal Education : An ASPO/Lamazé Publication*, 18(3), 23–29. 10.1624/105812409X461199
- O'Brien K, Bracht M, Robson K, Ye XY, Mirea L, Cruz M, ... Lee SK (2015). Evaluation of the Family Integrated Care model of neonatal intensive care: a cluster randomized controlled trial in Canada and Australia. *BMC Pediatrics*, 15, 210 10.1186/s12887-015-0527-0 [PubMed: 26671340]

- Okoro CA, Zhao G, Fox JB, Eke PI, Greenlund KJ, & Town M (2017). Surveillance for Health Care Access and Health Services Use, Adults Aged 18–64 Years - Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, United States, 2014. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. Surveillance Summaries* (Washington, D.C.: 2002), 66(7), 1–42. 10.15585/mmwr.ss6607a1
- Olds DL, Holmberg JR, Donelan-McCall N, Luckey DW, Knudtson MD, & Robinson J (2014). Effects of home visits by paraprofessionals and by nurses on children: follow-up of a randomized trial at ages 6 and 9 years. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 168(2), 114–121. 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.3817 [PubMed: 24296904]
- Olson ME, Diekema D, Elliott BA, & Renier CM (2010). Impact of income and income inequality on infant health outcomes in the United States. *Pediatrics*, 126(6), 1165–1173. 10.1542/peds.2009-3378 [PubMed: 21078730]
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2016). *United States: 2016 Overview (OECD Economic Surveys)*. Paris, France: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. Retrieved from <https://www.oecd.org/eco/surveys/>
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2017). *OECD Income Distribution Database (IDD): Gini, poverty, income, Methods and Concepts - OECD (Income Distribution Database)*. Paris, France: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. Retrieved from <http://www.oecd.org/els/soc/income-distribution-database.htm>
- Ortenstrand A, Westrup B, Broström EB, Sarman I, Akerström S, Brune T, ... Waldenström U (2010). The Stockholm Neonatal Family Centered Care Study: effects on length of stay and infant morbidity. *Pediatrics*, 125(2), e278–285. 10.1542/peds.2009-1511 [PubMed: 20100748]
- Ozawa M, Sasaki M, & Kanda K (2010). Effect of procedure light on the physiological responses of preterm infants. *Japan Journal of Nursing Science: JJNS*, 7(1), 76–83. 10.1111/j.1742-7924.2010.00142.x [PubMed: 20618679]
- Pereira FL, Nogueira de Góes, F. dos S, Fonseca LMM, Scochi CGS, Castral TC, & Leite AM (2013). [Handling of preterm infants in a neonatal intensive care unit]. *Revista Da Escola De Enfermagem Da U S P*, 47(6), 1272–1278. 10.1590/S0080-62342013000600003 [PubMed: 24626374]
- Pickler RH, McGrath JM, Reyna BA, McCain N, Lewis M, Cone S, ... Best A (2010). A model of neurodevelopmental risk and protection for preterm infants. *The Journal of Perinatal & Neonatal Nursing*, 24(4), 356–365. 10.1097/JPN.0b013e3181fb1e70 [PubMed: 21045616]
- Pillai Riddell RR, Racine NM, Gennis HG, Turcotte K, Uman LS, Horton RE, ... Lisi DM (2015). Non-pharmacological management of infant and young child procedural pain. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (12), CD006275 10.1002/14651858.CD006275.pub3 [PubMed: 26630545]
- Pluut B (2016). Differences that matter: developing critical insights into discourses of patient-centeredness. *Medicine, Health Care, and Philosophy*, 19(4), 501–515. 10.1007/s11019-016-9712-7
- Porges SW (2009). The polyvagal theory: new insights into adaptive reactions of the autonomic nervous system. *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine*, 76 Suppl 2(Journal Article), S86–90. 10.3949/ccjm.76.s2.17 [PubMed: 19376991]
- Potera C (2017). United States Flunks an International Health Care Analysis. *The American Journal of Nursing*, 117(10), 16 10.1097/01.NAJ.0000525860.98310.15
- Puthussery S, Chutiyami M, Tseng P-C, Kilby L, & Kapadia J (2018). Effectiveness of early intervention programs for parents of preterm infants: a meta-review of systematic reviews. *BMC Pediatrics*, 18 10.1186/s12887-018-1205-9
- Raffray M, Semenic S, Osorio Galeano S, & Ochoa Marín SC (2014). Barriers and facilitators to preparing families with premature infants for discharge home from the neonatal unit. Perceptions of health care providers. *Investigación Y Educación En Enfermería*, 32(3), 379–392. 10.1590/S0120-53072014000300003 [PubMed: 25504404]
- Rahman MM, Karan A, Rahman MS, Parsons A, Abe SK, Bilano V, ... Shibuya K (2017). Progress Toward Universal Health Coverage: A Comparative Analysis in 5 South Asian Countries. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 177(9), 1297–1305. 10.1001/jamainternmed.2017.3133 [PubMed: 28759681]

- Raiskila S, Axelin A, Toome L, Caballero S, Tandberg BS, Montirosso R, ... Lehtonen L (2017). Parents' presence and parent-infant closeness in 11 neonatal intensive care units in six European countries vary between and within the countries. *Acta Paediatrica* (Oslo, Norway: 1992), 106(6), 878–888. 10.1111/apa.13798
- Ramezani T, Hadian Shirazi Z, Sabet Sarvestani R, & Moattari M (2014). Family-Centered Care in Neonatal Intensive Care Unit: A Concept Analysis. *International Journal of Community Based Nursing and Midwifery*, 2(4), 268–278. [PubMed: 25349870]
- Rapport F, Clay-Williams R, Churruca K, Shih P, Hogden A, & Braithwaite J (2017). The struggle of translating science into action: Foundational concepts of implementation science. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*. 10.1111/jep.12741
- Rashford M (2007). A universal healthcare system: is it right for the United States? *Nursing Forum*, 42(1), 3–11. 10.1111/j.1744-6198.2007.00060.x [PubMed: 17257390]
- Relton C, Strong M, Thomas KJ, Whelan B, Walters SJ, Burrows J, ... Renfrew MJ (2018). Effect of Financial Incentives on Breastfeeding: A Cluster Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 172(2), e174523 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2017.4523 [PubMed: 29228160]
- Renfrew MJ, Craig D, Dyson L, McCormick F, Rice S, King SE, ... Williams AF (2009). Breastfeeding promotion for infants in neonatal units: a systematic review and economic analysis. *Health Technology Assessment* (Winchester, England), 13(40), 1–146, iii–iv. 10.3310/hta13400
- Reynolds LC, Duncan MM, Smith GC, Mathur A, Neil J, Inder T, & Pineda RG (2013). Parental presence and holding in the neonatal intensive care unit and associations with early neurobehavior. *Journal of Perinatology: Official Journal of the California Perinatal Association*, 33(8), 636–641. 10.1038/jp.2013.4 [PubMed: 23412640]
- Rhoads SJ, Green A, Gauss CH, Mitchell A, & Pate B (2015). Web Camera Use of Mothers and Fathers When Viewing Their Hospitalized Neonate. *Advances in Neonatal Care: Official Journal of the National Association of Neonatal Nurses*, 15(6), 440–446. 10.1097/ANC.000000000000235 [PubMed: 26505333]
- Rochefort CM, Rathwell BA, & Clarke SP (2016). Rationing of nursing care interventions and its association with nurse-reported outcomes in the neonatal intensive care unit: a cross-sectional survey. *BMC Nursing*, 15, 46 10.1186/s12912-016-0169-z [PubMed: 27489507]
- Rossmann B, Greene MM, & Meier PP (2015). The role of peer support in the development of maternal identity for “NICU Moms.” *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, and Neonatal Nursing: JOGNN*, 44(1), 3–16. 10.1111/1552-6909.12527
- Rowe-Finkbeiner K, Martin R, Abrams B, Zuccaro A, & Dardari Y (2016). Why Paid Family and Medical Leave Matters for the Future of America's Families, Businesses and Economy. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 20(Suppl 1), 8–12. 10.1007/s10995-016-2186-7 [PubMed: 27783192]
- Ruhm CJ (2011). Policies to assist parents with young children. *The Future of Children*, 21(2), 37–68. [PubMed: 22013628]
- Sadatsafavi H, Niknejad B, Shepley M, & Sadatsafavi M (2017). Probabilistic Return-on-Investment Analysis of Single-Family Versus Open-Bay Rooms in Neonatal Intensive Care Units-Synthesis and Evaluation of Early Evidence on Nosocomial Infections, Length of Stay, and Direct Cost of Care. *Journal of Intensive Care Medicine*, 885066616689774. 10.1177/0885066616689774
- Samans R, Blanke J, Drzeniek Hanouz M, & Corrigan G (2017). *The Inclusive Growth and Development Report* (Economic Research). Geneva, Switzerland: World Economic Forum Retrieved from <https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-inclusive-growth-and-development-report-2017/>
- Sanders MR, & Hall SL (2017). Trauma-informed care in the newborn intensive care unit: promoting safety, security and connectedness. *Journal of Perinatology: Official Journal of the California Perinatal Association*. 10.1038/jp.2017.124
- Schor EL, & American Academy of Pediatrics Task Force on the Family. (2003). Family pediatrics: report of the Task Force on the Family. *Pediatrics*, 111(6 Pt 2), 1541–1571. [PubMed: 12777595]
- Schore AN (1996). The experience-dependent maturation of a regulatory system in the orbital prefrontal cortex and the origin of developmental psychopathology. *Development and Psychopathology*, 8(Journal Article), 59–87.

- Schure MB, Katon JG, Wong E, & Liu C-F (2016). Food and housing insecurity and health status among U.S. adults with and without prior military service. *SSM - Population Health*, 2, 244–248. 10.1016/j.ssmph.2016.04.003 [PubMed: 29349144]
- Section on Breastfeeding. (2012). Breastfeeding and the use of human milk. *Pediatrics*, 129(3), e827–841. 10.1542/peds.2011-3552 [PubMed: 22371471]
- Seidman G, Unnikrishnan S, Kenny E, Myslinski S, Cairns-Smith S, Mulligan B, & Engmann C (2015). Barriers and enablers of kangaroo mother care practice: a systematic review. *PloS One*, 10(5), e0125643 10.1371/journal.pone.0125643 [PubMed: 25993306]
- Shah AN, Jerardi KE, Auger KA, & Beck AF (2016). Can Hospitalization Precipitate Toxic Stress? *Pediatrics*, 137(5). 10.1542/peds.2016-0204
- Shahheidari M, & Homer C (2012). Impact of the design of neonatal intensive care units on neonates, staff, and families: a systematic literature review. *The Journal of Perinatal & Neonatal Nursing*, 26(3), 260–266; quiz 267–268. 10.1097/JPN.0b013e318261ca1d [PubMed: 22843008]
- Shaker CS (2013). Cue-based feeding in the NICU: using the infant's communication as a guide. *Neonatal Network: NN*, 32(6), 404–408. 10.1891/0730-0832.32.6.404 [PubMed: 24195800]
- Shepherd-Banigan M, & Bell JF (2014). Paid leave benefits among a national sample of working mothers with infants in the United States. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 18(1), 286–295. 10.1007/s10995-013-1264-3 [PubMed: 23584928]
- Shoemark H, Harcourt E, Arnup SJ, & Hunt RW (2016). Characterising the ambient sound environment for infants in intensive care wards. *Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health*, 52(4), 436–440. 10.1111/jpc.13084 [PubMed: 27145508]
- Shonkoff JP, Garner AS, Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of Child and Family Health, Committee on Early Childhood, Adoption, and Dependent Care, & Section on Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics. (2012). The lifelong effects of early childhood adversity and toxic stress. *Pediatrics*, 129(1), e232–246. 10.1542/peds.2011-2663 [PubMed: 22201156]
- Smith GC, Gutovich J, Smyser C, Pineda R, Newnham C, Tjoeng TH, ... Inder T (2011). Neonatal intensive care unit stress is associated with brain development in preterm infants. *Annals of Neurology*, 70(4), 541–549. 10.1002/ana.22545 [PubMed: 21976396]
- Smyser CD, Inder TE, Shimony JS, Hill JE, Degnan AJ, Snyder AZ, & Neil JJ (2010). Longitudinal analysis of neural network development in preterm infants. *Cerebral Cortex (New York, N.Y.: 1991)*, 20(12), 2852–2862. 10.1093/cercor/bhq035;
- Smyser CD, Snyder AZ, & Neil JJ (2011). Functional connectivity MRI in infants: exploration of the functional organization of the developing brain. *NeuroImage*, 56(3), 1437–1452. 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.02.073; [PubMed: 21376813]
- Sommers BD, Blendon RJ, Orav EJ, & Epstein AM (2016). Changes in Utilization and Health Among Low-Income Adults After Medicaid Expansion or Expanded Private Insurance. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 176(10), 1501–1509. 10.1001/jamainternmed.2016.4419 [PubMed: 27532694]
- Sommers BD, Gunja MZ, Finegold K, & Musco T (2015). Changes in Self-reported Insurance Coverage, Access to Care, and Health Under the Affordable Care Act. *JAMA*, 314(4), 366–374. 10.1001/jama.2015.8421 [PubMed: 26219054]
- Stensvold HJ, Klingenberg C, Stoen R, Moster D, Braekke K, Guthe HJ, ... Norwegian Neonatal Network. (2017). Neonatal Morbidity and 1-Year Survival of Extremely Preterm Infants. *Pediatrics*, 139(3). 10.1542/peds.2016-1821
- Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Bell EF, Shankaran S, Laptook AR, Walsh MC, ... Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network. (2010). Neonatal outcomes of extremely preterm infants from the NICHD Neonatal Research Network. *Pediatrics*, 126(3), 443–456. 10.1542/peds.2009-2959 [PubMed: 20732945]
- Stoll, Barbara J, Hansen NI, Bell EF, Walsh MC, Carlo WA, Shankaran S, ... Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network. (2015). Trends in Care Practices, Morbidity, and Mortality of Extremely Preterm Neonates, 1993–2012. *JAMA*, 314(10), 1039–1051. 10.1001/jama.2015.10244 [PubMed: 26348753]
- Szilagyi PG, Schuster MA, & Cheng TL (2009). The scientific evidence for child health insurance. *Academic Pediatrics*, 9(1), 4–6. 10.1016/j.acap.2008.12.002 [PubMed: 19329084]

- Szymczak SE, & Shellhaas RA (2014). Impact of NICU design on environmental noise. *Journal of Neonatal Nursing: JNN*, 20(2), 77–81. 10.1016/j.jnn.2013.07.003 [PubMed: 24563607]
- Tessier R, Cristo M, Velez S, Giron M, de Calume ZF, Ruiz-Palaez JG, ... Charpak N (1998). Kangaroo mother care and the bonding hypothesis. *Pediatrics*, 102(2), e17. [PubMed: 9685462]
- Tilden VP, Cox KS, Moore JE, & Naylor MD (2018). Strategic partnerships to address adverse social determinants of health: Redefining health care. *Nursing Outlook*, 66(3), 233–236. 10.1016/j.outlook.2018.03.002 [PubMed: 29685323]
- Toivonen M, Lehtonen L, Löyttyniemi E, & Axelin A (2017). Effects of single-family rooms on nurse-parent and nurse-infant interaction in neonatal intensive care unit. *Early Human Development*, 106–107, 59–62. 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2017.01.012
- Tubbs-Cooley HL, Pickler RH, Younger JB, & Mark BA (2015). A descriptive study of nurse-reported missed care in neonatal intensive care units. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 71(4), 813–824. 10.1111/jan.12578 [PubMed: 25430513]
- Tzelepis F, Sanson-Fisher RW, Zucca AC, & Fradgley EA (2015). Measuring the quality of patient-centered care: why patient-reported measures are critical to reliable assessment. *Patient Preference and Adherence*, 9, 831–835. 10.2147/PPA.S81975 [PubMed: 26150703]
- US Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2012). Access to and Use of Leave—2011 Data from the American Time Use Survey (American Time Use Survey No. USDL-12-1648). Washington (DC): US Department of Labor Retrieved from <https://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/leave.pdf>
- Verma A, Maria A, Pandey RM, Hans C, Verma A, & Sherwani F (2017). Family-Centered Care to Complement Care of Sick Newborns: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Indian Pediatrics*, 54(6), 455–459. [PubMed: 28667715]
- Vistnes JP, Lipton B, & Miller GE (2016). Uninsurance and Insurance Transitions Before and After 2014: Estimates for U.S., Non-Elderly Adults by Health Status, Presence of Chronic Conditions and State Medicaid Expansion Status (Statistical Brief No. 490). Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US) Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK447187/>
- Vohr B, McGowan E, McKinley L, Tucker R, Keszler L, & Alksninis B (2017). Differential Effects of the Single-Family Room Neonatal Intensive Care Unit on 18- to 24-Month Bayley Scores of Preterm Infants. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 185, 42–48.e1. 10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.01.056 [PubMed: 28238479]
- Ward-Larson C, Horn RA, & Gosnell F (2004). The efficacy of facilitated tucking for relieving procedural pain of endotracheal suctioning in very low birthweight infants. *MCN. The American Journal of Maternal Child Nursing*, 29(3), 151–156; quiz 157–158. [PubMed: 15123970]
- Watanabe S, Akiyama S, Hanita T, Li H, Nakagawa M, Kaneshi Y, ... Japan RED filter study group. (2013). Designing artificial environments for preterm infants based on circadian studies on pregnant uterus. *Frontiers in Endocrinology*, 4, 113 10.3389/fendo.2013.00113 [PubMed: 24027556]
- Weber A, Harrison TM, Sinnott L, Shoben A, & Steward D (2018). Associations Between Nurse-Guided Variables and Plasma Oxytocin Trajectories in Premature Infants During Initial Hospitalization. *Advances in Neonatal Care: Official Journal of the National Association of Neonatal Nurses*, 18(1), E12–E23. 10.1097/ANC.0000000000000452
- Weber AM, Harrison TM, & Steward DK (2012). Schore's regulation theory: maternal-infant interaction in the NICU as a mechanism for reducing the effects of allostatic load on neurodevelopment in premature infants. *Biological Research for Nursing*, 14(4), 375–386. 10.1177/1099800412453760 [PubMed: 22833586]
- Welch MG, Myers MM, Grieve PG, Isler JR, Fifer WP, Sahni R, ... FNI Trial Group. (2014). Electroencephalographic activity of preterm infants is increased by Family Nurture Intervention: a randomized controlled trial in the NICU. *Clinical Neurophysiology : Official Journal of the International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology*, 125(4), 675–684. 10.1016/j.clinph.2013.08.021 [PubMed: 24140072]
- Welch Martha G., Hofer MA, Stark RI, Andrews HF, Austin J, Glickstein SB, ... FNI Trial Group. (2013). Randomized controlled trial of Family Nurture Intervention in the NICU: assessments of

- length of stay, feasibility and safety. *BMC Pediatrics*, 13, 148 10.1186/1471-2431-13-148 [PubMed: 24063360]
- Wherry LR, Kenney GM, & Sommers BD (2016). The Role of Public Health Insurance in Reducing Child Poverty. *Academic Pediatrics*, 16(3 Suppl), S98–S104. 10.1016/j.acap.2015.12.011 [PubMed: 27044710]
- Whetten CH (2016). Cue-Based Feeding in the NICU. *Nursing for Women's Health*, 20(5), 507–510. 10.1016/j.nwh.2016.08.006
- White GB (2017, 1 16). U.S. Ranks 23rd Out of 30 Developed Countries for Inequality. *The Atlantic*. Retrieved from <https://www.theatlantic.com/business/archive/2017/01/wef-davos-inequality/513185/>
- White RD, Smith JA, Shepley MM, & Committee to Establish Recommended Standards for Newborn ICU Design. (2013). Recommended standards for newborn ICU design, eighth edition. *Journal of Perinatology: Official Journal of the California Perinatal Association*, 33 Suppl 1, S2–16. 10.1038/jp.2013.10
- White-Traut RC, Nelson MN, Silvestri JM, Vasan U, Littau S, Meleedy-Rey P, ... Patel M (2002). Effect of auditory, tactile, visual, and vestibular intervention on length of stay, alertness, and feeding progression in preterm infants. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, 44(2), 91–97. [PubMed: 11848115]
- Williams KG, Patel KT, Stausmire JM, Bridges C, Mathis MW, & Barkin JL (2018). The Neonatal Intensive Care Unit: Environmental Stressors and Supports. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 15(1). 10.3390/ijerph15010060
- Wilson C, Pence DM, & Conradi L (2013). Trauma-Informed Care. In *Encyclopedia of Social Work*. Retrieved from <http://socialwork.oxfordre.com/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780199975839.001.0001/acrefore-9780199975839-e-1063>
- Winden TJ, Chen ES, & Melton GB (2017). Representing Residence, Living Situation, and Living Conditions: An Evaluation of Terminologies, Standards, Guidelines, and Measures/Surveys. *AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings*, 2016, 2072–2081. [PubMed: 28269967]
- Witt WP, Weiss AJ, & Elixhauser A (2006). Overview of Hospital Stays for Children in the United States, 2012: Statistical Brief #187. In *Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) Statistical Briefs*. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US) Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK274247/>
- Yager PH, Clark M, Cummings BM, & Noviski N (2017). Parent Participation in Pediatric Intensive Care Unit Rounds via Telemedicine: Feasibility and Impact. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 185, 181–186.e3. 10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.02.054 [PubMed: 28363361]
- Yeo C, Ho SK, Khong K, & Lau Y (2011). Virtual visitation in the neonatal intensive care: experience with the use of internet and telemedicine in a tertiary neonatal unit. *The Permanente Journal*, 15(3), 32–36. [PubMed: 22058667]
- Zeskind PS, & Iacino R (1984). Effects of maternal visitation to preterm infants in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Child Development*, 55(5), 1887–1893. [PubMed: 6510059]

Highlights

- Caregiving timing, frequency, and buffering modifies infant toxic stress.
- Little progress has been made since the Technical Report on Toxic Stress.
- Implementation science must fuel evidence-based practice that reduces toxic stress.
- Public policies like paid family leave will be more efficient in reducing toxic stress.
- Use your voice and your vote to speak up for our children!

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1

Stress Response Definitions

Level of Stress Response	Definition	Buffering
Positive Stress	A mild-moderate stress response that quickly resolves in the presence of a supportive caregiver and contributes to normal, healthy child development	Yes
Tolerable Stress	A severe stress response to extremely adverse or threatening experiences that contributes to physiologic harm and long-term health consequences. In the presence of a supportive caregiver, harm to the child is greatly reduced and the stress response can be brought back to baseline	Yes
Toxic Stress	A severe, frequent, and/or prolonged stress response to adverse events in the absence of a supportive caregiver. The toxic stress response has the ability to disrupt brain circuitry, organ systems, metabolism, and normal physiology of the child's biological systems. The physiologic disruption caused by toxic stress results in lifelong health impairments.	No

^aAs described in the AAP Technical Report (Shonkoff et al., 2012).

Table 3.

Levels of Stress Responses previously delineated by different stress terminology.

Level of Stress Response		
Positive Stress	Eustress	Allostasis
Tolerable Stress	Distress with buffering	Dysregulated Allostatic Processes
Toxic Stress	Distress without buffering	Allostatic Load (result of toxic stress)

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript