Journal of Experimental Botany, Vol. 70, No. 7 pp. 2003–2007, 2019 doi:10.1093/jxb/erz014 Advance Access Publication 21 January, 2019 This paper is available online free of all access charges (see https://academic.oup.com/jxb/pages/openaccess for further details)

## eXtra Botany

#### Viewpoint



## Sometimes they come back: endocytosis provides localization dynamics of a subtilase in cells committed to cell death

### Svetlana V. Trusova, Sergei A. Golyshev, Nina V. Chichkova and Andrey B. Vartapetian\*,

Belozersky Institute of Physico-Chemical Biology, Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia \* Correspondence: varta@genebee.msu.ru

Proteins are synthesized in the cytoplasm of cells and delivered to their destinations with the aid of targeting signals within their amino acid sequences and through interactions with other proteins. However, a number of proteins are capable of further re-localization in response to external and internal cues, thus allowing the cell to adapt to changing environmental conditions. For plant subtilisin-like proteases (subtilases), secretion of mature enzymes into the extracellular space, the apoplast, has until now been considered to be the end point of their journey. But this may not be the case, and here we present and discuss data showing that for extracellular death-promoting plant subtilases, clathrin-mediated endocytosis provides a gateway to re-enter cells committed to cell death.

Subtilases comprise the largest family of serine peptidases in plants and possess diverse functions, from 'trivial' protein degradation to delicate regulation of vitally important processes, such as growth and development, the immune response, and cell death (Schaller et al., 2018). They are synthesized as precursor proteins containing a N-terminal signal peptide that drives secretion of the vast majority of the mature proteins into the apoplast. It has been known for some time that for phytaspases (plant aspartate-specific proteases), which are subtilase-family members, a further trafficking step may exist (Chichkova et al., 2012). Phytaspases differ from other subtilases in their strict aspartate cleavage specificity, resembling that of caspases, which are animal apoptotic proteases (Galiullina et al., 2015). Also similar to caspases, Nicotiana tabacum phytaspase has been shown to be essential for programmed cell death (PCD) induced by various biotic and abiotic cues (Chichkova et al., 2004, 2010). Notably, PCDinducing stresses have been reported to cause rapid phytaspase re-localization from the apoplast to inside the cell, with concomitant and rapid (within 1 h) appearance of phytaspase

proteolytic activity within the cell (Chichkova *et al.*, 2004, 2010). To date, the mechanisms responsible for phytaspase re-import have remained elusive. As stress-induced PCD in plants frequently causes damage to the plasma membrane (van Doorn *et al.*, 2011), the possibility of plasma membrane permeabilization as a cause of phytaspase internalization may not be completely excluded. However, we have recently obtained data that indicate that a mechanism for active retrograde trafficking of phytaspases exists in plant cells.

# Identifying a pathway for active phytaspase internalization in a model system

Phytaspases from N. tabacum (NtPhyt) and some other plants are known to accumulate in the apoplast, as shown during transient production by means of agro-infiltration in Nicotiana benthamiana leaves with mRFP or EGFP fluorescent tags visualized by confocal fluorescence microscopy. This localization is consistent with that of endogenous phytaspases, as determined by fractionation experiments and proteolytic activity measurements. It is the PCD induction that causes redistribution of the enzyme towards the cell interior. However, Arabidopsis phytaspase (AtPhyt), which we have identified recently (Chichkova et al., 2018), behaves in a different way. While displaying predominantly apoplastic localization in non-stressed epidermal cells of Arabidopsis leaves, AtPhyt-EGFP demonstrates dynamic localization when transiently produced in N. benthamiana epidermal cells (Box 1). During the first 2 d after leaf infiltration, AtPhyt was visualized, as expected, as an apoplastic protein. However, at day 3, accumulation of AtPhyt-EGFP inside the cells in the form of fluorescent dots became evident, with concomitant disappearance of the protein from the apoplast (see Box 1, images A, B). This re-localization occurred spontaneously in the absence of any additional treatment of the sample.

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## Box 1. Spontaneous re-localization of AtPhyt from the apoplast to inside *N. benthamiana* cells is prevented by inhibition of clathrin-mediated endocytosis

Expression of the AtPhyt-EGFP protein in *N. benthamiana* leaves was achieved by agro-infiltration. At 2 d post-infiltration (p.i.), confocal fluorescence microscopy reveals AtPhyt-EGFP to be an apoplastic protein (A), whereas at 3 d p.i. AtPhyt-EGFP forms multiple dots inside the cell (B). Notably, NtPhyt-mRFP remains apoplastic in the absence of PCD-inducing stress at any time point (C–H). Staining of the AtPhyt-EGFP producing leaves with Evans Blue does not reveal cell death (I), in contrast to leaves treated with antimycin A. In the presence of an inhibitor of clathrin-mediated endocytosis (mRFP-Hub1), no evidence for AtPhyt-EGFP re-localization can be observed (J–L). Note that mRFP alone does not prevent internalization of AtPhyt-EGFP (M–O). Expression of the target genes in the plant cells was driven by the constitutive 35S promoter. Data were reproducible over three independent experiments.



To account for this unanticipated behavior, and because the intracellular localization pattern of AtPhyt in non-stressed cells resembled that of NtPhyt in PCD-induced cells, we initially hypothesized that synthesis of AtPhyt in the *N. benthamiana* system could induce a PCD-like response that triggers phytaspase internalization. To test this, we used NtPhyt-mRFP as a PCD sensor by producing it simultaneously with AtPhyt-EGFP in *N. benthamiana* cells by co-infiltration. By the 3-d time-point and subsequently, when AtPhyt-EGFP had already undergone intracellular localization, no redistribution of NtPhyt-mRFP from the apoplast to inside the cell was evident (Box 1, images F–H), which argued against our hypothesis. Consistently, staining of the AtPhyt-EGFP-producing leaves with Evans Blue failed to reveal cell death (Box 1, image I). Simultaneous staining of the AtPhyt-EGFP-producing cells with FM4-64 dye, an endocytic tracer,

allowed visualization of intracellular FM4-64-positive membranous vesicles, and notably some of them co-localized with the AtPhyt-EGFP signal. This observation prompted the idea that AtPhyt could possibly enter plant cells via an endocytic pathway.

In plants, clathrin-mediated endocytosis is a prominent (or at least, the best understood) form of endocytosis (Paez Valencia *et al.*, 2016). Specific inhibition of this pathway can be achieved by overproduction of the C-terminal fragment of clathrin heavy chain (known as Hub), which then acts in a dominant negative fashion (Dhonukshe *et al.*, 2007; Kitakura *et al.*, 2011; Li and Pan, 2017). To test the hypothesis that clathrin-mediated endocytosis could be responsible for AtPhyt internalization under normal (non-stressed) conditions, we produced AtPhyt-EGFP protein in *N. benthamiana* leaves either alone or in combination with mRFP-Hub.Three days after infiltration, individually expressed AtPhyt-EGFP displayed a typical pattern of internalization whereas co-expression of mRFP-Hub completely prevented retrograde transport of AtPhyt (Box 1, images J–-L), thus pointing to clathrin-mediated endocytosis as a mechanism for AtPhyt internalization.

# Stress-induced retrograde trafficking of phytaspases relies on clathrin-mediated endocytosis

Is the same endocytic pathway employed by 'canonical' phytaspases for internalization in the course of PCD? To

address this possibility, NtPhyt-mRFP protein was expressed in *N. benthamiana* leaves either alone or in combination with EGFP-Hub, and localization of NtPhyt was studied both before and after induction of oxidative stress by treatment with antimycin A. As shown in Box 2, as expected, NtPhytmRFP expressed alone responded to antimycin A treatment by re-localization from the apoplast to the cell interior, as visualized by multiple small fluorescent dots inside the cell (Box 2, images A, B). Notably, co-expression of EGFP-Hub completely nullified intracellular accumulation of NtPhytmRFP, the enzyme being retained at cell boundaries (Box 2, images C–H).

# Box 2. Inhibition of clathrin-mediated endocytosis prevents oxidative stress-induced NtPhyt internalization

In accordance with previously reported data (Chichkova *et al.*, 2010), NtPhyt-mRFP protein transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana* leaves exhibits apoplastic localization (A) and is re-localized to the cell interior upon oxidative stress induced by treatment with antimycin A (B; 10 μM antimycin A for 8 h). Simultaneous production of an inhibitor of clathrin-mediated endocytosis (Hub) severely interferes with the stress-induced uptake of NtPhyt-mRFP. As Arabidopsis has two genes encoding clathrin heavy chain, Hub1 (C, E, G) and Hub2 (D, F, H) were assessed separately for their effects. Co-production and localization of NtPhyt-mRFP with EGFP-Hub1 or EGFP-Hub2 under non-stressed conditions are shown in (I–K) and (L–N), respectively. Expression of the target genes in the plant cells was driven by the constitutive 35S promoter. At least three independent experiments of each type were performed, with similar results.



#### 2006 | Trusova et al.

Taken together, these data indicate that cell death-related members of the plant subtilisin-like protease family display dynamic localization (as alluded to in the title of this paper) that is dependent on vesicular membrane trafficking (Box 3). After being secreted into the apoplast, phytaspases can utilize active transport for the delivery of proteolytically active enzyme inside plant cells. Our study points to clathrin-mediated endocytosis as a route for phytaspase entry. While a growing number of plant proteins have been identified that exploit clathrin-mediated endocytosis for their functioning (Geldner and Robatzek, 2008; Reynolds *et al.*, 2018), this type of 'mobility' appears to have no precedent among plant proteases.

# Emerging questions related to dynamic localization of phytaspases

## Question 1: how can phytaspase become endocytosed?

Clathrin-mediated endocytosis targets proteins that localize at the plasma membrane and possess cytoplasmic domains, to which clathrin is recruited with the aid of adapter proteins (Chen *et al.*, 2011; Traub and Bonifacino, 2013). However, there is currently no evidence for the association of phytaspases with the plasma membrane. Phytaspases do not contain transmembrane domains and are soluble proteins that can easily be obtained in the apoplastic wash.

Thus, it is a reasonable hypothesis that there is a cell surface receptor for phytaspases. This putative receptor would have to be abundant, or extremely efficient, to successfully cope with internalization of an abundant ligand, especially when phytaspases are over-produced (as shown in Boxes 1, 2). It might be phytaspase-specific or also act as a receptor for other molecule(s). Since phytaspases are proteases, they might cleave this putative receptor upon binding, with functional consequences. In animal cells, a family of so-called protease-activated receptors is known that induce intracellular signaling upon cleavage of the extracellular domain of the receptor by cognate extracellular proteases (Soh *et al.*, 2010; Flaumenhaft and De Ceunynck, 2017). However, this does not appear to be a mechanism for protease delivery into the cell.

#### Box 3. Schematic representation of vesicular membrane trafficking pathways of phytaspases

Phytaspases are synthesized as pre-proenzymes equipped with an N-terminal signal peptide for secretion. On their way out of the cell, proenzymes are autocatalytically and constitutively processed, and the mature proteases released into the apoplast (Chichkova *et al.*, 2010). Brefeldin A (BFA), an exocytosis inhibitor, prevents phytaspase externalization. The re-import of phytaspase from the apoplast into *N. benthamiana* cells either requires induction of PCD (for NtPhyt and for a number of phytaspases from other plant species; red arrow) or occurs spontaneously after a 2-d lag period (for AtPhyt; blue arrow). In both cases, retrograde trafficking of phytaspases is nullified by Hub, an inhibitor of clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Q1–Q3 refer to important new questions that are raised by the dynamic localization of phytaspases (see text for discussion). A speculative phytaspase recycling step is shown by the dashed arrow (see Question 2 in the text).



#### Question 2: why is phytaspase internalization normally not constitutive but occurs rapidly upon induction of PCD?

Among possible explanations are PCD-induced modifications of phytaspases or of their putative receptor (or both) to allow the interaction, or the inactivation of a hypothetical repressor that could interfere with phytaspase recognition. An additional possibility is worth mentioning. In some cases, cargo internalized by an endocytic pathway can be recycled back to the plasma membrane (Paez Valencia *et al.*, 2016). It can be imagined that perhaps internalization/recycling is the *modus vivendi* for phytaspases, with recycling prevailing over internalization under normal conditions. In this scenario, an impact from PCD might consist of a 'simple' shifting of the equilibrium by suppressing recycling. Two related questions arise: is PCD the sole trigger for NtPhyt internalization? Can some other naturally occurring signals induce NtPhyt uptake?

In the case of the spontaneous AtPhyt uptake by *N. benthamiana* cells that starts after a 2-d lag period, it would be instructive to determine what happens to phytaspase or to the cells during this lag period to allow phytaspase internalization. Is it merely the phytaspase level in the apoplast that matters, or are there other determinants?

## Question 3: is the internalized phytaspase subject to degradation?

A frequent outcome of endocytosis is the delivery of internalized proteins to the vacuole (in plants) or to lysosomes (in animals) with subsequent degradation of the cargo. A related and instructive example is provided by the animal subtilisinlike protease PCSK9. Upon binding of PCSK9 to the complex formed by the low-density lipoprotein receptor and its low-density lipoprotein cholesterol ligand, the ternary complex is stabilized, internalized through a clathrin-mediated endocytic pathway, and transported for lysosomal degradation of all three constituents (Nassoury et al., 2007; Durairaj et al., 2017). However, for phytaspases this destructive scenario seems unlikely for (at least) two reasons. First, the experimentally determined levels of phytaspase proteolytic activity in both healthy tissues and tissues committed to cell death are roughly the same. Second, there is no apparent need to degrade PCDpromoting proteases early in the course of PCD. How then do phytaspases manage to escape from the degradation pathway, and what is the end point of their route inside the cell? Finding answers to these questions is important for understanding the complex mobility of proteolytic enzymes, as well as for understanding the vast array of regulatory approaches that can be utilized by plant cells.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (grant no. 16-14-10043).

**Keywords:** Cell death, clathrin-mediated endocytosis, protein localization, subtilisin-like protease, phytaspase.

#### References

Chen X, Irani NG, Friml J. 2011. Clathrin-mediated endocytosis: the gateway into plant cells. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 14, 674–682.

Chichkova NV, Galiullina RA, Mochalova LV, Trusova SV, Sobri ZM, Gallois P, Vartapetian AB. 2018. *Arabidopsis thaliana* phytaspase: identification and peculiar properties. Functional Plant Biology **45**, 171–179.

Chichkova NV, Kim SH, Titova ES, Kalkum M, Morozov VS, Rubtsov YP, Kalinina NO, Taliansky ME, Vartapetian AB. 2004. A plant caspase-like protease activated during the hypersensitive response. The Plant Cell **16**, 157–171.

Chichkova NV, Shaw J, Galiullina RA, *et al.* 2010. Phytaspase, a relocalisable cell death promoting plant protease with caspase specificity. The EMBO Journal **29**, 1149–1161.

Chichkova NV, Tuzhikov AI, Taliansky M, Vartapetian AB. 2012. Plant phytaspases and animal caspases: structurally unrelated death proteases with a common role and specificity. Physiologia Plantarum **145**, 77–84.

Dhonukshe P, Aniento F, Hwang I, Robinson DG, Mravec J, Stierhof YD, Friml J. 2007. Clathrin-mediated constitutive endocytosis of PIN auxin efflux carriers in Arabidopsis. Current Biology **17**, 520–527.

**Durairaj A, Sabates A, Nieves J, Moraes B, Baum S.** 2017. Proprotein convertase Subtilisin/Kexin type 9 (PCSK9) and its inhibitors: a review of physiology, biology, and clinical data. Current Treatment Options in Cardiovascular Medicine **19**, 58.

Flaumenhaft R, De Ceunynck K. 2017. Targeting PAR1: now what? Trends in Pharmacological Sciences **38**, 701–716.

Galiullina RA, Kasperkiewicz P, Chichkova NV, Szalek A, Serebryakova MV, Poreba M, Drag M, Vartapetian AB. 2015. Substrate specificity and possible heterologous targets of phytaspase, a plant cell death protease. The Journal of Biological Chemistry **290**, 24806–24815.

**Geldner N, Robatzek S.** 2008. Plant receptors go endosomal: a moving view on signal transduction. Plant Physiology **147,** 1565–1574.

Kitakura S, Vanneste S, Robert S, Löfke C, Teichmann T, Tanaka H, Friml J. 2011. Clathrin mediates endocytosis and polar distribution of PIN auxin transporters in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **23**, 1920–1931.

Li X, Pan SQ. 2017. *Agrobacterium* delivers VirE2 protein into host cells via clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Science Advances **3**, e1601528.

Nassoury N, Blasiole DA, Tebon Oler A, Benjannet S, Hamelin J, Poupon V, McPherson PS, Attie AD, Prat A, Seidah NG. 2007. The cellular trafficking of the secretory proprotein convertase PCSK9 and its dependence on the LDLR. Traffic 8, 718–732.

Paez Valencia J, Goodman K, Otegui MS. 2016. Endocytosis and endosomal trafficking in plants. Annual Review of Plant Biology **67**, 309–335.

Reynolds GD, Wang C, Pan J, Bednarek SY. 2018. Inroads into internalization: five years of endocytic exploration. Plant Physiology **176**, 208–218.

Schaller A, Stintzi A, Rivas S, et al. 2018. From structure to function – a family portrait of plant subtilases. New Phytologist **218**, 901–915.

Soh UJ, Dores MR, Chen B, Trejo J. 2010. Signal transduction by protease-activated receptors. British Journal of Pharmacology **160**, 191–203.

**Traub LM, Bonifacino JS.** 2013. Cargo recognition in clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology **5**, a016790.

van Doorn WG, Beers EP, Dangl JL, et al. 2011. Morphological classification of plant cell deaths. Cell Death and Differentiation 18, 1241–1246.