

Article

# Adherence to a Mediterranean Diet and Bone Mineral Density in Spanish Premenopausal Women

Jesús Pérez-Rey <sup>†</sup>, Raúl Roncero-Martín <sup>†</sup>, Sergio Rico-Martín <sup>\*</sup>, Purificación Rey-Sánchez ,  
Juan D. Pedrera-Zamorano , María Pedrera-Canal , Fidel López-Espuela and  
Jesús M. Lavado-García 

Metabolic Bone Disease Research Group, University of Extremadura, 10003 Cáceres, Spain; jpezrey@hotmail.com (J.P.-R.); rronmar@unex.es (R.R.-M.); prey@unex.es (P.R.-S.); jpedrera@unex.es (J.D.P.-Z.); mariapedreracanal@gmail.com (M.P.-C.); fidellopez@unex.es (F.L.-E.); jmlavado@unex.es (J.M.L.-G.)

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: sergiorico@unex.es; Tel.: +34-927-251-270

<sup>†</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

Received: 18 January 2019; Accepted: 28 February 2019; Published: 5 March 2019



**Abstract:** The Mediterranean diet (MD) has been associated with an improvement in health and an increase in longevity. Certain components of a MD can play a role in the prevention of osteoporosis and/or hip fracture. We investigated the association between the degree of adherence to a MD and bone mineral density (BMD) measured in several bone areas in a population of Spanish premenopausal women. We analyzed 442 premenopausal women aged  $42.73 \pm 6.67$  years. Bone measurements were obtained using quantitative bone ultrasound (QUS) for the phalanx, dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) for the lumbar spine, Ward's triangle, trochanter, and hip, and peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT) for the non-dominant distal forearm. MD adherence was evaluated with MedDietScore. Amplitude-dependent speed of sound (Ad-SOS), BMD, and volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD) (total, trabecular, and cortical bone density) were positively associated with higher adherence to the MD ( $p < 0.05$ ). Adherence to the MD was significantly associated with QUS, BMD, and vBMD in multiple regression analysis; QUS: Ad-SOS (m/s)  $\beta = 0.099$  ( $p = 0.030$ ); BMD ( $\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ): femur neck  $\beta = 0.114$  ( $p = 0.010$ ) and Ward's triangle  $\beta = 0.125$  ( $p = 0.006$ ); vBMD ( $\text{mg}/\text{cm}^3$ ): total density  $\beta = 0.119$  ( $p = 0.036$ ), trabecular density  $\beta = 0.120$  ( $p = 0.035$ ), and cortical density  $\beta = 0.122$  ( $p = 0.032$ ). We conclude that the adherence to the MD was positively associated with better bone mass in Spanish premenopausal women.

**Keywords:** Mediterranean diet; adherence; bone mineral density; premenopausal women; quantitative bone ultrasound; dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry scan; peripheral quantitative computed tomography

## 1. Introduction

Diet is a modifiable factor in the development and maintenance of bone mass [1]. Diet is considered to have an important role during childhood and adolescence, but there is no clear evidence on the effect of diet on bone mass in adults and in the elderly. Traditionally, research on bone metabolism has focused on the beneficial effects of calcium and vitamin D [2,3], as well as the controversial role of proteins in bone metabolism [4]. However, the beneficial effects of diet on bone health go beyond these nutrients [5].

A traditional Mediterranean diet (MD) is characterized by a high intake of vegetables, legumes, fruits, nuts, cereals, and unsaturated fats, especially olive oil; a low intake of saturated fats, meat, and poultry; a moderate to high intake of fish; a low to moderate intake of dairy products, usually in the form of cheese or yogurt; and a modest intake of alcohol in the form of wine [6–8]. There is consistent

evidence that adherence to a traditional MD is associated with a reduction in the risk of all causes of mortality as well as with a reduction in the incidence of and mortality from coronary heart disease and cancer [9–11].

Osteoporosis is an important worldwide public health concern that affects millions of people [12,13]. This disease is characterized by low bone mass and the microarchitectural deterioration of bone tissue, with a consequent increase in bone fragility and susceptibility to fracture [14]. Although the mechanisms involved in the pathogenesis of osteoporosis are not fully understood yet, the genetic and environmental factors affecting osteoporosis development are currently being identified and are better known. Osteoporosis is mainly an age-related problem, with a higher prevalence among postmenopausal women [15], but bone modelling and remodelling are also influenced, among other factors, by genetics, nutrition, mechanics, hormones [16], and a sedentary lifestyle [17,18].

Several authors have indicated that the low incidence of osteoporosis in Mediterranean countries could be explained by diet [19–21]. The possible beneficial effects of some of the components of a Mediterranean diet, such as fruits, vegetables, and fish, on bone mass have been previously studied [5,22]; however, the association between MD and a risk of fractures is not yet clear [23,24].

In this cross-sectional study, we investigated the association between the degree of adherence to a MD and bone mineral density (BMD) measured in several bone areas by quantitative bone ultrasound (QUS), dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), and peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT) in a population of Spanish premenopausal women.

## 2. Material and Methods

### 2.1. Study Population

This cross-sectional study was performed between 2014 and 2017. The participants were recruited from a clinical convenience sample from the area of Cáceres (Spain) and nearby communities via web advertising and primary care consults. All participants provided written informed consent, and the University of Extremadura Ethical Committee approved the research in accordance with the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki (approval code: 55/2015).

We aimed to have enough statistical power to detect medium-low effect sizes (anticipated Cohen's  $f = 0.15$ ) with a  $\beta = 0.80$  and  $\alpha = 0.05$ , which required a minimum sample size of 432 participants [25]. A total of 442 healthy premenopausal women were included in this study (mean age:  $42.73 \pm 6.67$  years). All the women were Caucasian, and none had dietary restrictions, neurological impairments, or physical disabilities; their medical histories showed no presence of low-trauma fractures. None of the women were using anti-osteoporotic drugs.

Before each candidate was enrolled in the study, a complete medical history was taken. Height was measured using a Harpenden stadiometer, and weight was measured using a biomedical precision balance. Both measurements were determined when participants were wearing only light clothing and no shoes. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters ( $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ). We assessed the participants' physical activity status on the basis of their answer to the following question [26]: "How much do you exercise or strain yourself physically in your leisure time?" The response categories were (1) sedentary (reading, watching television), (2) moderate (walking, cycling, and exercising in other ways for at least 4 h per week), (3) active (fitness-improving sport at least three times per week), and (4) competitive sport. Alcohol intake was sporadic, not exceeding 100 mL/day in any case. In total, 68.6% of the participants were non-smokers ( $n = 303$ ).

### 2.2. Dietary Assessment and Mediterranean Diet Adherence

Nutrient intake was quantified using a 131-item food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) that has been previously validated [15]. This FFQ used dietetic scales, measuring cups, cans, small bottles, and spoons, on the basis of current 7-day dietary records, as in previous studies [5,15].

The questionnaire used was self-reported, and the person completing the interview was blinded to the research question and hypothesis. Using this FFQ, we assessed the dietary intake of carbohydrates, protein, fat, calcium, and vitamin D from the Spanish Food Composition database [27].

MD adherence was assessed using the questionnaire proposed by Panagiotakos et al. in 2006 [28]. The questionnaire consisted of 11 items (non-refined cereals, fruits, vegetables, legumes, potatoes, fish, meat and meat-based products, poultry, full-fat dairy products, olive oil, and alcohol), which were scored from 0–5 based on the frequency of consumption and on the level of adherence to the Mediterranean dietary pattern. In particular, for the consumption of non-refined cereals, fruits, vegetables, legumes, olive oil, fish, and potatoes, a score of 0 is assigned when someone reports no consumption, a score of 1 is assigned when the consumption of 1–4 servings/month is reported, a score of 2 for 5–8 servings/month, a score of 3 for 9–12 servings/month, a score of 4 for 13–18 servings/month, and a score of 5 for more than 18 servings/month. On the other hand, for meat and meat products, poultry, and full-fat dairy products, the scores are assigned on a reverse scale. For alcohol, a monotonic function is not used; instead, a score of 5 is assigned for the consumption of less than 300 mL of alcohol/day, a score of 0 is assigned for the consumption of more than 700 mL/day, and scores 4–1 are assigned for the consumption of 300, 400–500, 600, and 700 mL/day (100 mL has 12 g ethanol concentration), respectively. Thus, the total score ranged from 0 to 55, with higher scores showing greater adherence to the MD. The participants were stratified according to tertiles of MD adherence scores: Low (<29), Medium (>29 and <32), and High (>32).

### 2.3. Measurement of Bone Mineral Density

Ultrasounds were performed on the second to the fifth proximal phalanx of the non-dominant hand using a DBM Sonic Bone Profiler (IGEA, Capri, Italy), which measures amplitude-dependent speed of sound (Ad-SOS). The femoral neck and L2–L4 vertebrae BMDs were analyzed via DXA (Norland XR-800, Norland Inc., Fort Atkinson, WI, USA), and the measurements were expressed as the quantity of mineral divided by the area scanned ( $\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ). pQCT measurements were performed on the non-dominant distal forearm using a Stratec XCT-2000 device (Stratec Medizintechnik, Pforzheim, Germany).

### 2.4. Statistical Analysis

The continuous variables are presented as the means  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD), and the categorical variables are presented as frequencies and percentages. Some of the studied variables were not normally distributed; thus, when appropriate, a two-step approach was used to normalize the data before statistical analyses were conducted [29]. This approach included transforming the variable into a percentile rank, and after, we examined the transformed versions of those variables. The participants were categorized into three groups according to tertiles of MD adherence scores: Low (<29), Medium (>29 and <32), and High (>32). The Pearson chi-square test was used to compare categorical variables between groups, and an analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare continuous variables between groups. In addition, the mean BMD values were compared between groups using an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), controlling for age (years), menarche age (years), BMI ( $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ), energy (kcal/day), calcium (mg/day), vitamin D ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{day}$ ), physical activity (sedentary, moderate, and active), and smoking. A multiple linear regression (using the stepwise method) was used to examine whether the studied variables, age (years), menarche age (years), BMI ( $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ), calcium intake (mg/day), vitamin D intake ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{day}$ ), energy intake (kcal/day), physical activity, smoking, and Mediterranean diet score, were predictive of selected BMD parameters. All of the analyses were performed with SPSS 24.0 for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

## 3. Results

A total of 442 women participated in the study. The main characteristics of the participants in terms of biological status, anthropometric values, and dietary intake are shown in Table 1. Based on

the tertiles of the MD adherence scores, there were significant differences between groups in smoking status ( $p = 0.021$ ) and Ca intake ( $p = 0.025$ ). There were no significant differences in the other analyzed variables.

**Table 1.** Biological, anthropometric, and dietetic factors in the study according to tertile of Mediterranean diet score.

|                          | Tertile 1<br>( <i>n</i> = 138) | Tertile 2<br>( <i>n</i> = 122) | Tertile 3<br>( <i>n</i> = 182) | <i>p</i> -Value    |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| Age (years)              | 43.10 ± 6.10                   | 43.33 ± 6.64                   | 42.04 ± 7.07                   | 0.190              |
| Menarche Age (years)     | 12.75 ± 1.39                   | 12.75 ± 1.34                   | 12.48 ± 1.34                   | 0.129              |
| Gravidity ( <i>n</i> )   | 1.80 ± 1.35                    | 1.71 ± 1.23                    | 1.72 ± 1.08                    | 0.773              |
| Births ( <i>n</i> )      | 1.61 ± 1.12                    | 1.49 ± 0.94                    | 1.53 ± 0.94                    | 0.643              |
| Breastfeeding (months)   | 5.33 ± 7.10                    | 5.16 ± 5.65                    | 5.50 ± 6.52                    | 0.904              |
| Weight (kg)              | 63.55 ± 9.36                   | 63.08 ± 7.95                   | 62.87 ± 8.62                   | 0.782              |
| Height (m)               | 1.59 ± 0.05                    | 1.59 ± 0.06                    | 1.59 ± 0.06                    | 0.708              |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) | 24.94 ± 3.21                   | 24.67 ± 2.76                   | 24.77 ± 3.17                   | 0.770              |
| Smoking                  |                                |                                |                                |                    |
| No                       | 84 (60.9%)                     | 82 (67.2%)                     | 137 (75.3%)                    | 0.021 <sup>b</sup> |
| Yes                      | 54 (39.1%)                     | 40 (32.8%)                     | 45 (24.7%)                     |                    |
| Physical Activity        |                                |                                |                                |                    |
| Sedentary                | 64 (46.4%)                     | 42 (34.4%)                     | 80 (44.0%)                     | 0.161              |
| Moderate                 | 32 (23.2%)                     | 26 (21.3%)                     | 42 (23.1%)                     |                    |
| Active                   | 42 (30.4%)                     | 54 (44.3%)                     | 60 (33.0%)                     |                    |
| Dietary Intake           |                                |                                |                                |                    |
| kcal/day                 | 2290.50 ± 620.07               | 2301.34 ± 664.23               | 2182.60 ± 638.93               | 0.189              |
| Proteins (g/day)         | 87.38 ± 27.55                  | 93.71 ± 34.84                  | 88.58 ± 30.10                  | 0.214              |
| Carbohydrates (g/day)    | 297.12 ± 109.07                | 288.83 ± 95.16                 | 281.25 ± 99.86                 | 0.386              |
| Fats (g/day)             | 83.42 ± 24.00                  | 84.86 ± 33.46                  | 79.82 ± 31.07                  | 0.310              |
| Vitamin D (µg/day)       | 6.70 ± 5.31                    | 7.95 ± 8.00                    | 7.03 ± 5.38                    | 0.243              |
| Ca (mg/day)              | 1026.46 ± 520.07               | 1201.36 ± 579.74               | 1112.03 ± 463.32               | 0.025 <sup>a</sup> |

BMI: body mass index. <sup>a</sup> Tertile 1 vs. Tertile 2; <sup>b</sup> Tertile 1 vs. Tertile 3

Table 2 describes the associations between the tertiles of adherence to MD and QUS, BMD, and volumetric BMD. We found statistically significant differences in Ad-SOS ( $p = 0.008$ ), femoral neck ( $p = 0.004$ ), Ward's triangle ( $p = 0.001$ ), lumbar spine ( $p = 0.029$ ), and volumetric BMD total density ( $p = 0.006$ ) and cortical density ( $p = 0.007$ ). Post hoc tests indicated that there were significant differences between tertile 1 and tertile 2 in femoral neck BMD, Ward's triangle BMD, and lumbar spine BMD and between tertile 1 and tertile 3 in Ad-SOS, femoral neck BMD, Ward's triangle BMD, and volumetric BMD total density and cortical density. After adjustments for age (years), menarche age (years), BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>), energy (kcal/day), calcium (mg/day) vitamin D (µg/day), physical activity (sedentary, moderate, and active), and smoking, there were statistically significant differences in all bone density variables studied ( $p < 0.05$ ). Post hoc tests indicated that there were significant differences between tertile 1 and tertile 2 in Ad-SOS, femoral neck BMD, trochanter BMD, and Ward's triangle BMD and between tertile 1 and tertile 3 in Ad-SOS, femoral neck BMD, Ward's triangle BMD, and volumetric BMD total density, trabecular density, and cortical density.

We further explored BMD density by multiple regression analysis (Table 3). The MD score was positively associated with Ad-SOS ( $\beta = 0.099$ ;  $p = 0.030$ ), femoral neck BMD ( $\beta = 0.114$ ;  $p = 0.010$ ), Ward's triangle BMD ( $\beta = 0.125$ ;  $p = 0.006$ ), volumetric BMD total density ( $\beta = 0.119$ ;  $p = 0.036$ ), volumetric BMD trabecular density ( $\beta = 0.120$ ;  $p = 0.035$ ), and volumetric BMD cortical density ( $\beta = 0.122$ ;  $p = 0.032$ ) but was not associated with trochanter BMD ( $\beta = 0.081$ ;  $p = 0.073$ ) and L2–L4 BMD ( $\beta = 0.047$ ;  $p = 0.326$ ).

**Table 2.** Bone density by tertiles of Mediterranean diet score.

|   | Tertile 1<br>(n = 138) | Tertile 2<br>(n = 122) | Tertile 3<br>(n = 182) | p-Value              | p-Value <sup>&amp;</sup> |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>Quantitative Bone Ultrasound</b>       |                        |                        |                        |                      |                          |
| Ad-SOS (m/s)                              | 2112.23 ± 48.88        | 2126.25 ± 49.91        | 2128.58 ± 47.40        | 0.008 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.001 <sup>a,b</sup>     |
| BMD (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )                  |                        |                        |                        |                      |                          |
| BMD Femur Neck                            | 0.859 ± 0.126          | 0.902 ± 0.121          | 0.902 ± 0.123          | 0.004 <sup>a,b</sup> | 0.001 <sup>a,b</sup>     |
| BMD Trochanter                            | 0.665 ± 0.112          | 0.688 ± 0.098          | 0.683 ± 0.107          | 0.172                | 0.036 <sup>a</sup>       |
| BMD Ward’s Triangle                       | 0.650 ± 0.123          | 0.696 ± 0.129          | 0.701 ± 0.121          | 0.001 <sup>a,b</sup> | 0.001 <sup>a,b</sup>     |
| BMD Lumbar Spine (L2–L4)                  | 1.044 ± 0.147          | 1.091 ± 0.140          | 1.070 ± 0.139          | 0.029 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.009 <sup>a</sup>       |
| <b>Volumetric BMD (mg/cm<sup>3</sup>)</b> |                        |                        |                        |                      |                          |
| Total Density                             | 346.45 ± 50.19         | 357.21 ± 50.62         | 368.46 ± 48.02         | 0.006 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.001 <sup>b</sup>       |
| Trabecular Density                        | 174.82 ± 36.25         | 182.85 ± 39.18         | 185.47 ± 33.50         | 0.095                | 0.013 <sup>b</sup>       |
| Cortical Density                          | 485.23 ± 69.59         | 503.89 ± 71.53         | 516.77 ± 74.31         | 0.007 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.003 <sup>b</sup>       |

BMD: body mass density. <sup>a</sup> Tertile 1 vs. Tertile 2; <sup>b</sup> Tertile 1 vs. Tertile <sup>3</sup>. <sup>&</sup> After further adjustment for age (years), menarche age (years), BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>), energy (kcal/day), calcium (mg/day), vitamin D (µg/day), physical activity (sedentary, moderate, and active), and smoking.

**Table 3.** Multiple linear regression analysis for the association between bone density and age (years), menarche age (years), BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>), calcium intake (mg/day), vitamin D intake (µg/day), energy intake (kcal/day), physical activity, smoking, and Mediterranean diet score.

| <b>Quantitative Bone Ultrasound: Ad-SOS (m/s)</b>             |                |                         |         |
|---|----------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Optimal Model   | R <sup>2</sup> | Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> |         |
|   | 0.115          | 0.111                   |         |
| Selected Independent Variable                                 | Standardized β | t                       | p-value |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                                      | −0.326         | −7.188                  | <0.001  |
| Mediterranean Diet Score                                      | 0.099          | 2.171                   | 0.030   |
| <b>BMD Femur Neck (g/cm<sup>2</sup>)</b>                      |                |                         |         |
| Optimal Model   | R <sup>2</sup> | Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> |         |
|   | 0.174          | 0.168                   |         |
| Selected Independent Variable                                 | Standardized β | t                       | p-value |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                                      | 0.391          | 8.703                   | <0.001  |
| Age (years)   | −0.183         | −4.061                  | <0.001  |
| Mediterranean Diet Score                                      | 0.114          | 2.584                   | 0.010   |
| <b>BMD Trochanter (g/cm<sup>2</sup>)</b>                      |                |                         |         |
| Optimal Model   | R <sup>2</sup> | Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> |         |
|   | 0.147          | 0.143                   |         |
| Selected Independent Variable                                 | Standardized β | t                       | p-value |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                                      | 0.392          | 8.597                   | <0.001  |
| Age (years)   | −0.108         | −2.368                  | 0.018   |
| Mediterranean Diet Score                                      | 0.081          | 1.794                   | 0.073   |
| <b>BMD Ward’s Triangle (g/cm<sup>2</sup>)</b>                 |                |                         |         |
| Optimal Model   | R <sup>2</sup> | Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> |         |
|   | 0.140          | 0.134                   |         |
| Selected Independent Variable                                 | Standardized β | t                       | p-value |
| Age (years)   | −0.302         | −6.568                  | <0.001  |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                                      | 0.241          | 5.264                   | <0.001  |
| Mediterranean Diet Score                                      | 0.125          | 5.264                   | 0.006   |
| <b>BMD Lumbar Spine: L2–L4 (g/cm<sup>2</sup>)</b>             |                |                         |         |
| Optimal Model   | R <sup>2</sup> | Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> |         |
|   | 0.066          | 0.064                   |         |
| Selected Independent Variable                                 | Standardized β | t                       | p-value |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                                      | 0.256          | 5.499                   | <0.001  |
| Mediterranean Diet Score                                      | 0.047          | 0.983                   | 0.326   |
| <b>Volumetric BMD: Total Density (mg/cm<sup>3</sup>)</b>      |                |                         |         |
| Optimal Model   | R <sup>2</sup> | Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> |         |
|   | 0.050          | 0.040                   |         |
| Selected Independent Variable                                 | Standardized β | t                       | p-value |
| Menarche Age (years)  | −0.140         | −2.476                  | 0.014   |
| Mediterranean Diet Score                                      | 0.119          | 2.108                   | 0.036   |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                                      | 0.14           | 2.010                   | 0.045   |
| <b>Volumetric BMD: Trabecular Density (mg/cm<sup>3</sup>)</b> |                |                         |         |
| Optimal Model   | R <sup>2</sup> | Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> |         |
|   | 0.026          | 0.020                   |         |
| Selected Independent Variable                                 | Standardized β | t                       | p-value |
| Mediterranean Diet Score                                      | 0.120          | 2.114                   | 0.035   |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                                      | 0.114          | 2.003                   | 0.046   |
| <b>Volumetric BMD: Cortical Density (mg/cm<sup>3</sup>)</b>   |                |                         |         |
| Optimal Model   | R <sup>2</sup> | Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> |         |
|   | 0.042          | 0.035                   |         |
| Selected Independent Variable                                 | Standardized β | t                       | p-value |
| Menarche Age (years)  | −0.158         | −2.794                  | 0.006   |
| Mediterranean Diet Score                                      | 0.122          | 2.155                   | 0.032   |

#### 4. Discussion

In the present study, we found a positive association between the degree of adherence to MD and bone density assessed by QUS, pQCT, and DXA in a population of Spanish premenopausal women. Although DXA is the gold standard technique for the diagnosis of osteoporosis, the use of pQCT in radius assessment provides a measure of volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD) and distinguishes trabecular from cortical bone. QUS is an alternative and/or integrative technique to DXA scan; it is a radiation-free, transportable technique that uses sound waves to evaluate bone properties that are not measured by the DXA scan.

While a MD has been associated with a lower risk for many chronic diseases in populations worldwide, its associations with bone health and especially BMD are less well-known; however, these relationships have been analyzed in recent years [30]. Most studies have been conducted on the elderly, especially elderly women. Our study was carried out with premenopausal women, which is a population group of which there are few data regarding the relationship between a MD and bone health.

The favorable associations observed in our study were consistent with those in several other studies but not those in all studies. In our study, increased adherence to a MD was associated with higher BMD values measured by phalanx QUS, radius pQCT, and DXA in the femoral neck, Ward's triangle, and lumbar spine. Similar findings have been reported in European studies [21,31,32], but bone density was determined by calcaneus DXA and calcaneus QUS. In addition, in a Chinese population, bone density was evaluated by DXA in the femoral neck, lumbar spine, trochanter, and Ward's triangle, and it was found that greater adherence to a MD was associated with higher BMD in the middle-aged and elderly populations [33]. In an American population, this association was also found [34,35]. However, Kontogianni et al. [20] found no association between MD adherence and bone parameters determined with DXA in 220 pre- and postmenopausal women; however, they observed an association between these parameters and dietary patterns characterized by high intakes of fish and olive oil, as well as a low consumption of red meat.

The potential protective effect of MD adherence has been positively related to the risk for hip fractures [23,34,36–38]. However, other authors did not observe this relationship [24,39].

Our results are consistent with the findings of a meta-analysis published in 2018 by Malmir et al. [30] that included 13 studies carried out in different European countries, China, and the USA. They evaluated the associations between MD adherence and BMD as well as the risk for fractures. The meta-analysis found that a high adherence to a MD was associated with a 21% reduction in the risk for hip fractures and was positively associated with the BMD of the spine and femoral neck. Currently, there are no studies that associate MD adherence with BMD measured by pQCT and phalanx ultrasound; therefore, our study is the first to relate MD adherence to these two techniques.

The results of our study could be attributed to the combination of the beneficial effects of the individual MD components. It is widely accepted that nutrition, as well as being a modifiable factor, is an essential factor in bone health, both to achieve and maintain optimal bone mass and to prevent osteoporosis [40,41]. Specific components of this diet may play a role in the prevention of osteoporosis and/or in the prevention of different types of fractures [42]. Thus, several studies have found that a moderate consumption of fish could be useful in maintaining an adequate bone mass [5] due to its contribution of high quality proteins, polyunsaturated fatty acids n-3 (PUFAs), vitamins A and D, and minerals such as selenium, calcium, iodine, and zinc [43]. Some of these nutrients have beneficial effects on bone health. The positive effect of calcium and vitamin D is recognized, and it is known that in Spain a high amount (87%) of vitamin D intake comes from the consumption of fish [27]. Zinc in the diet could also be positively correlated with BMD [44], and PUFAs are associated with an increase in bone mass [45–47].

The protective effect of a MD against various diseases seems to be mediated by the anti-inflammatory properties of some of its beneficial components, such as the polyphenols, present in foods such as vegetables, fruits, and red wine [48]. A study carried out in Spain and published in

2012 [49] found that a MD had a positive effect on serum markers of bone formation and a reduction in the circulating concentration of markers of bone resorption when the MD was enriched with virgin olive oil. A dose-dependent protective effect of oleuropein, which is a polyphenol from olive oil, has been found in an in vivo experimental model of rats with bone loss [19]. A recent study concluded that the dietary intake of olive oil is positively associated with total, trabecular, and cortical BMD [50].

Our work has several limitations. First, the design of the study was cross-sectional and therefore can only indicate associations and not causality. Second, all of the participants were recruited in our region and surrounding areas; thus, the different patterns of MD adherence across Spain might not be represented. Third, we measured physical activity with a questionnaire that might not efficiently quantify it. Fourth, some of the results in which statistical significance was not obtained but was expected could be reflecting a lack of statistical power (type 2 error). Finally, there are several methods to calculate and evaluate adherence to the MD [51], so the degree of adherence to the MD in our study population may not be similar to the results from the use of another tool. However, the questionnaire used has proven to be an effective tool [28] and has been shown to be comparable with other Med Scores in previous studies [51]. This study also has strengths that need to be highlighted. This research provided a comprehensive examination of the associations between several modifiable factors that can influence BMD in premenopausal women. In addition, the measurements that evaluated BMD with three important assessment techniques (DXA, pQCT, and ultrasound) and scans of different areas of the body allowed us to gain a better understanding of their association with MD; these techniques are widely validated, and therefore the accuracy of the results is guaranteed. This study is the first to relate MD adherence with BMD measured by pQCT and phalanx ultrasound.

In conclusion, we found that better MD adherence was positively associated with BMD measured by DXA, pQCT, and phalanx ultrasound in Spanish premenopausal women. We encourage further investigations, particularly longitudinal studies or clinical trials that consider the observed association.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization: S.R.-M., P.R.-S., J.D.P.-Z., and J.M.L.-G.; Methodology: J.P.-R., R.R.-M., F.L.-E., M.P.-C., J.M.L.-G., and J.D.P.-Z.; Formal Analysis: S.R.-M. and J.D.P.-Z.; Writing—Original Draft Preparation: S.R.-M., J.P.-R., R.R.-M., P.R.-S., and J.M.L.-G.; all authors revised and edited the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by Junta de Extremadura grant number GR15144.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Kitchin, B.; Morgan, S. Nutritional considerations in osteoporosis. *Curr. Opin. Rheumatol.* **2003**, *15*, 476–480. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Hasling, C.; Charles, P.; Jensen, F.T.; Mosekilde, L. Calcium metabolism in postmenopausal osteoporosis: The influence of dietary calcium and net absorbed calcium. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* **1990**, *5*, 939–946. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Dawson-Hughes, B.; Heaney, R.P.; Holick, M.F.; Lips, P.; Meunier, P.J.; Vieth, R. Estimates of optimal vitamin D status. *Osteoporos. Int.* **2005**, *16*, 713–716. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Hannan, M.T.; Tucker, K.L.; Dawson-Hughes, B.; Cupples, L.A.; Felson, D.T.; Kiel, D.P. Effect of dietary protein on bone loss in elderly men and women: The Framingham Osteoporosis Study. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* **2000**, *15*, 2504–2512. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Calderon-Garcia, J.F.; Moran, J.M.; Roncero-Martin, R.; Rey-Sanchez, P.; Rodriguez-Velasco, F.J.; Pedrera-Zamorano, J.D. Dietary habits, nutrients and bone mass in Spanish premenopausal women: The contribution of fish to better bone health. *Nutrients* **2012**, *5*, 10–22. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Trichopoulou, A.; Lagiou, P. Healthy traditional Mediterranean diet: An expression of culture, history, and lifestyle. *Nutr. Rev.* **1997**, *55*, 383–389. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Trichopoulou, A.; Costacou, T.; Bamia, C.; Trichopoulos, D. Adherence to a Mediterranean diet and survival in a Greek population. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2003**, *348*, 2599–2608. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

8. Willett, W.C.; Sacks, F.; Trichopoulou, A.; Drescher, G.; Ferro-Luzzi, A.; Helsing, E.; Trichopoulos, D. Mediterranean diet pyramid: A cultural model for healthy eating. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **1995**, *61*, 1402S–1406S. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. Fung, T.T.; Rexrode, K.M.; Mantzoros, C.S.; Manson, J.E.; Willett, W.C.; Hu, F.B. Mediterranean diet and incidence of and mortality from coronary heart disease and stroke in women. *Circulation* **2009**, *119*, 1093–1100. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Sofi, F.; Abbate, R.; Gensini, G.F.; Casini, A. Accruing evidence on benefits of adherence to the Mediterranean diet on health: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2010**, *92*, 1189–1196. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Bamia, C.; Lagiou, P.; Buckland, G.; Grioni, S.; Agnoli, C.; Taylor, A.J.; Dahm, C.C.; Overvad, K.; Olsen, A.; Tjønneland, A.; et al. Mediterranean diet and colorectal cancer risk: Results from a European cohort. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* **2013**, *28*, 317–328. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Ashwell, M.; Stone, E.; Mathers, J.; Barnes, S.; Compston, J.; Francis, R.M.; Key, T.; Cashman, K.D.; Cooper, C.; Khaw, K.T.; et al. Nutrition and bone health projects funded by the UK Food Standards Agency: Have they helped to inform public health policy? *Br. J. Nutr.* **2008**, *99*, 198–205. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Lee, Y.-K.; Yoon, B.-H.; Koo, K.-H. Epidemiology of osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures in South Korea. *Endocrinol. Metab.* **2013**, *28*, 90–93. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Consensus development conference: Diagnosis, prophylaxis, and treatment of osteoporosis. *Am. J. Med.* **1993**, *94*, 646–650. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Pedrera-Zamorano, J.D.; Lavado-Garcia, J.M.; Roncero-Martin, R.; Calderon-Garcia, J.F.; Rodriguez-Dominguez, T.; Canal-Macias, M.L. Effect of beer drinking on ultrasound bone mass in women. *Nutrition* **2009**, *25*, 1057–1063. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Montalban Sanchez, J.; Rico Lenza, H.; Cortes Prieto, J.; Pedrera Zamorano, J.D. Cortical bone mass and risk factors for osteoporosis among postmenopausal women in our environment. *Rev. Clin. Esp.* **2001**, *201*, 16–20. [[PubMed](#)]
17. Pines, A. Sedentary women: Sit less, live more! *Climacteric* **2015**, *18*, 770–772. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
18. Herrera, A.; Mateo, J.; Gil-Albarova, J.; Lobo-Escolar, A.; Artigas, J.M.; Lopez-Prats, F.; Mesa, M.; Ibarz, E.; Gracia, L. Prevalence of osteoporotic vertebral fracture in Spanish women over age 45. *Maturitas* **2015**, *80*, 288–295. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
19. Puel, C.; Coxam, V.; Davicco, M.-J. Mediterranean diet and osteoporosis prevention. *Med. Sci.* **2007**, *23*, 756–760.
20. Kontogianni, M.D.; Melistas, L.; Yannakoulia, M.; Malagaris, I.; Panagiotakos, D.B.; Yiannakouris, N. Association between dietary patterns and indices of bone mass in a sample of Mediterranean women. *Nutrition* **2009**, *25*, 165–171. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
21. Rivas, A.; Romero, A.; Mariscal-Arcas, M.; Monteagudo, C.; Feriche, B.; Lorenzo, M.L.; Olea, F. Mediterranean diet and bone mineral density in two age groups of women. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2013**, *64*, 155–161. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
22. Tucker, K.L.; Hannan, M.T.; Chen, H.; Cupples, L.A.; Wilson, P.W.; Kiel, D.P. Potassium, magnesium, and fruit and vegetable intakes are associated with greater bone mineral density in elderly men and women. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **1999**, *69*, 727–736. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Benetou, V.; Orfanos, P.; Pettersson-Kymmer, U.; Bergstrom, U.; Svensson, O.; Johansson, I.; Berrino, F.; Tumino, R.; Borch, K.B.; Lund, E.; et al. Mediterranean diet and incidence of hip fractures in a European cohort. *Osteoporos. Int.* **2013**, *24*, 1587–1598. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Feart, C.; Lorrain, S.; Ginder Coupez, V.; Samieri, C.; Letenneur, L.; Paineau, D.; Barberger-Gateau, P. Adherence to a Mediterranean diet and risk of fractures in French older persons. *Osteoporos. Int.* **2013**, *24*, 3031–3041. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Cohen, J. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioural Science. In *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioural Science*, 2nd ed.; Lawrence Erlbaum Associates: New York, NY, USA, 1988; ISBN 0805802835.
26. Calderon-Garcia, J.F.; Lavado-Garcia, J.M.; Martin, R.R.; Moran, J.M.; Canal-Macias, M.L.; Pedrera-Zamorano, J.D. Bone ultrasound and physical activity in postmenopausal Spanish women. *Biol. Res. Nurs.* **2013**, *15*, 416–421. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]



27. Varela-Moreiras, G.; Avila, J.M.; Cuadrado, C.; del Pozo, S.; Ruiz, E.; Moreiras, O. Evaluation of food consumption and dietary patterns in Spain by the Food Consumption Survey: Updated information. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2010**, *64* (Suppl. 3), S37–S43. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. Panagiotakos, D.B.; Pitsavos, C.; Stefanadis, C. Dietary patterns: A Mediterranean diet score and its relation to clinical and biological markers of cardiovascular disease risk. *Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis.* **2006**, *16*, 559–568. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
29. Templeton, G.F. A two-step approach for transforming continuous variables to normal: Implications and recommendations for IS research. *Commun. Assoc. Inf. Syst.* **2011**, *28*, 41–58. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Malmir, H.; Saneei, P.; Larijani, B.; Esmailzadeh, A. Adherence to Mediterranean diet in relation to bone mineral density and risk of fracture: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Eur. J. Nutr.* **2018**, *57*, 2147–2160. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
31. Savanelli, M.C.; Barrea, L.; Macchia, P.E.; Savastano, S.; Falco, A.; Renzullo, A.; Scarano, E.; Nettore, I.C.; Colao, A.; Di Somma, C. Preliminary results demonstrating the impact of Mediterranean diet on bone health. *J. Transl. Med.* **2017**, *15*, 81. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
32. Vuolo, L.; Barrea, L.; Savanelli, M.C.; Savastano, S.; Rubino, M.; Scarano, E.; Soprano, M.; Illario, M.; Colao, A.; Di Somma, C. Nutrition and Osteoporosis: Preliminary data of Campania Region of European PERSONALISED ICT Supported Service for Independent Living and Active Ageing. *Transl. Med. UniSa* **2015**, *13*, 13–18. [[PubMed](#)]
33. Chen, G.-D.; Dong, X.-W.; Zhu, Y.-Y.; Tian, H.-Y.; He, J.; Chen, Y.-M. Adherence to the Mediterranean diet is associated with a higher BMD in middle-aged and elderly Chinese. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 25662. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. Haring, B.; Crandall, C.J.; Wu, C.; LeBlanc, E.S.; Shikany, J.M.; Carbone, L.; Orchard, T.; Thomas, F.; Wactawaski-Wende, J.; Li, W.; et al. Dietary Patterns and Fractures in Postmenopausal Women: Results from the Women’s Health Initiative. *JAMA Intern. Med.* **2016**, *176*, 645–652. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. Noel, S.E.; Arevalo, S.; Smith, C.E.; Lai, C.-Q.; Dawson-Hughes, B.; Ordovas, J.M.; Tucker, K.L. Genetic admixture and body composition in Puerto Rican adults from the Boston Puerto Rican Osteoporosis Study. *J. Bone Miner. Metab.* **2017**, *35*, 448–455. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
36. Zeng, F.F.; Xue, W.Q.; Cao, W.T.; Wu, B.H.; Xie, H.L.; Fan, F.; Zhu, H.L.; Chen, Y.M. Diet-quality scores and risk of hip fractures in elderly urban Chinese in Guangdong, China: A case-control study. *Osteoporos. Int.* **2014**, *25*, 2131–2141. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. Byberg, L.; Bellavia, A.; Larsson, S.C.; Orsini, N.; Wolk, A.; Michaëlsson, K. Mediterranean Diet and Hip Fracture in Swedish Men and Women. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* **2016**, *31*, 2098–2105. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
38. Benetou, V.; Orfanos, P.; Feskanich, D.; Michaëlsson, K.; Pettersson-Kymmer, U.; Byberg, L.; Eriksson, S.; Grodstein, F.; Wolk, A.; Jankovic, N.; et al. Mediterranean diet and hip fracture incidence among older adults: The CHANCES project. *Osteoporos. Int.* **2018**, *29*, 1591–1599. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
39. Aparicio, V.A.; Ruiz-Cabello, P.; Borges-Cosic, M.; Andrade, A.; Coll-Risco, I.; Acosta-Manzano, P.; Soriano-Maldonado, A. Association of physical fitness, body composition, cardiometabolic markers and adherence to the Mediterranean diet with bone mineral density in perimenopausal women. The FLAMENCO project. *J. Sports Sci.* **2017**, *35*, 880–887. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
40. Prentice, A. Diet, nutrition and the prevention of osteoporosis. *Public Health Nutr.* **2004**, *7*, 227–243. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
41. Sahni, S.; Mangano, K.M.; McLean, R.R.; Hannan, M.T.; Kiel, D.P. Dietary Approaches for Bone Health: Lessons from the Framingham Osteoporosis Study. *Curr. Osteoporos. Rep.* **2015**, *13*, 245–255. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
42. Okubo, H.; Sasaki, S.; Horiguchi, H.; Oguma, E.; Miyamoto, K.; Hosoi, Y.; Kim, M.-K.; Kayama, F. Dietary patterns associated with bone mineral density in premenopausal Japanese farmwomen. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2006**, *83*, 1185–1192. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
43. Chen, Y.; Ho, S.C.; Lam, S.S. Higher sea fish intake is associated with greater bone mass and lower osteoporosis risk in postmenopausal Chinese women. *Osteoporos. Int.* **2010**, *21*, 939–946. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. Hyun, T.H.; Barrett-Connor, E.; Milne, D.B. Zinc intakes and plasma concentrations in men with osteoporosis: The Rancho Bernardo Study. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2004**, *80*, 715–721. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

45. Lavado-García, J.; Roncero-Martin, R.; Moran, J.M.; Pedrera-Canal, M.; Aliaga, I.; Leal-Hernandez, O.; Rico-Martin, S.; Canal-Macias, M.L. Long-chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid dietary intake is positively associated with bone mineral density in normal and osteopenic Spanish women. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0190539. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
46. Farina, E.K.; Kiel, D.P.; Roubenoff, R.; Schaefer, E.J.; Cupples, L.A.; Tucker, K.L. Protective effects of fish intake and interactive effects of long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid intakes on hip bone mineral density in older adults: The Framingham Osteoporosis Study. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2011**, *93*, 1142–1151. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
47. Hogstrom, M.; Nordstrom, P.; Nordstrom, A. n-3 Fatty acids are positively associated with peak bone mineral density and bone accrual in healthy men: The NO2 Study. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2007**, *85*, 803–807. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
48. Tresserra-Rimbau, A.; Rimm, E.B.; Medina-Reimon, A.; Martinez-Gonzalez, M.A.; Lopez-Sabater, M.C.; Covas, M.I.; Corella, D.; Salas-Salvado, J.; Gomez-Gracia, E.; Lapetra, J.; et al. Polyphenol intake and mortality risk: A re-analysis of the PREDIMED trial. *BMC Med.* **2014**, *12*, 77. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
49. Fernandez-Real, J.M.; Bullo, M.; Moreno-Navarrete, J.M.; Ricart, W.; Ros, E.; Estruch, R.; Salas-Salvado, J. A Mediterranean diet enriched with olive oil is associated with higher serum total osteocalcin levels in elderly men at high cardiovascular risk. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2012**, *97*, 3792–3798. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
50. Roncero-Martin, R.; Aliaga Vera, I.; Moreno-Corral, L.J.; Moran, J.M.; Lavado-Garcia, J.M.; Pedrera-Zamorano, J.D.; Pedrera-Canal, M. Olive Oil Consumption and Bone Microarchitecture in Spanish Women. *Nutrients* **2018**, *10*, 968. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
51. Mila-Villaruel, R.; Bach-Faig, A.; Puig, J.; Puchal, A.; Farran, A.; Serra-Majem, L.; Carrasco, J.L. Comparison and evaluation of the reliability of indexes of adherence to the Mediterranean diet. *Public Health Nutr.* **2011**, *14*, 2338–2345. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).