

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Gastroenterology*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 May 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Gastroenterology. 2019 May ; 156(6): 1905–1913. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2019.01.254.

## Prevalence of Germline Mutations Associated with Cancer Risk in Patients With Intraductal Papillary Mucinous Neoplasms

Michael Skaro<sup>1</sup>, Neha Nanda<sup>1</sup>, Christian Gauthier<sup>1</sup>, Matthäus Felsenstein<sup>1</sup>, Zhengdong Jiang<sup>1</sup>, Miaozhen Qiu<sup>1,3</sup>, Koji Shindo<sup>1</sup>, Jun Yu<sup>2,4</sup>, Danielle Hutchings<sup>1</sup>, Ammar A. Javed<sup>4</sup>, Ross Beckman<sup>4</sup>, Jin He<sup>4</sup>, Christopher L. Wolfgang<sup>1,2,4,5</sup>, Elizabeth Thompson<sup>1,2</sup>, Ralph H. Hruban<sup>1,2,5</sup>, Alison P. Klein<sup>1,2,5,6</sup>, Michael Goggins<sup>1,2,5,7</sup>, Laura D. Wood<sup>1,2,5</sup>, and Nicholas J. Roberts<sup>1,2,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathology, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA.

<sup>2</sup>The Sol Goldman Pancreatic Cancer Research Center, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Sun Yat-Sen University Cancer Center; State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China; Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, 651 Dongfeng Road East, Guangzhou 510060, China.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Surgery, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Oncology, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA.

<sup>6</sup>Department of Epidemiology, The Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, 21205, USA.

<sup>7</sup>Department of Medicine, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA.

## Abstract

**Background & aims**—Many patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PDAC) carry germline mutations associated with increased risk of cancer. It is not clear whether patients with intraductal

Correspondence: Nicholas J. Roberts, Vet.M.B., Ph.D., The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Department of Pathology, 1550 Orleans Street, Baltimore, MD 21287. Tel: 410-502-5162. Email: nrobert8@jhmi.edu. Contributions

M.S. and N.J.R planned and designed study. M.S., N.N., C.G., M.F., Z.J., M.Q., K.S., J.Y., D.H., A.J., R.B., J.H., C.L.W., E.T., R.H.H., A.P.K., M.G., L.D.W., and N.J.R. collected samples and clinicopathologic data. M.S., N.N., C.G., M.F., Z.J., and N.J.R. conducted experiments and generated sequence data. M.S., C.G., M.Q., and N.J.R. analyzed data. M.S. and N.J.R. wrote the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs), which are precursors to some pancreatic cancers, also carry these mutations. We assessed the prevalence of germline mutations associated with cancer risk in patients with histologically confirmed IPMN.

**Methods**—We obtained non-tumor tissue from 315 patients with surgically resected IPMNs, from 1997 through 2017, and sequenced 94 genes with variants associated with cancer risk. Mutations associated with increased risk of cancer were identified and compared to individuals from the Exome Aggregation Consortium.

**Results**—We identified 23 patients with a germline mutation associated with cancer risk (7.3%; 95% CI, 4.9%–10.8%). Nine patients had a germline mutation associated with pancreatic cancer susceptibility (2.9% 95% CI, 1.4%–5.4%). More patients with IPMNs carried germline mutations in ATM(P<.0001), PTCH1 (P<.0001), and SUFU (P<.0001) compared with controls. Patients with IPMNs and germline mutations associated with pancreatic cancer were more like to have concurrent invasive pancreatic carcinoma compared to patients with IPMNs without these mutations (P<.0320).

**Conclusions**—In sequence analyses of 315 patients with surgically resected IPMNs, we found almost 3% to carry mutations associated with pancreatic cancer risk. More patients with IPMNs and germline mutations associated with pancreatic cancer had concurrent invasive pancreatic carcinoma compared to patients with IPMNs without these mutations. Genetic analysis of patients with IPMNs might identify those at greatest risk for cancer.

#### Keywords

Pancreas; cancer; genetics; predisposition

## Introduction

Pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is a deadly disease with a 5-year survival rate of just 8 percent<sup>1</sup>. By 2030, PDAC is predicted to become the second leading cause of cancer-related death in the United States<sup>1</sup>. Understanding the genetics and biology of pancreatic tumorigenesis is key to early diagnosis when patient outcomes are much improved<sup>2, 3</sup>. In particular, understanding the risk factors driving development of non-invasive pancreatic precursor lesions and their transition to invasive carcinoma is essential to appropriate patient stratification and intervention.

Approximately 10% of patients with PDAC have a germline mutation in an established pancreatic cancer susceptibility gene, including: *ATM, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, CPA1, MLH1, MSH2, PALB2, PMS2, PRSS1*, and *STK11*<sup>4–12</sup>. Prevalence of a germline mutation is higher still in patients with PDAC and a family history of pancreatic cancer in a first-degree relative, reaching 15–20%<sup>4</sup>. Inheritance of a germline mutation in an established pancreatic cancer susceptibility gene can impact patient care in several ways. First, knowledge of germline status allows for informed, risk-appropriate screening strategies to be undertaken and PDAC to be detected early<sup>3, 13</sup>. Second, as many established susceptibility genes predispose to tumors in a number of organs, recommended screening for these extrapancreatic cancers can be instituted<sup>14</sup>. Finally, in some patients with PDAC, germline mutation status may have therapeutic implications, for example, use of poly [ADP-ribose]

polymerase-1 (PARP-1) inhibitors or platinum-based chemotherapy for tumors deficient in homology directed DNA due to *BRCA2* loss and use of immunotherapy for patients with tumors deficient in mismatch repair due to loss of *MLH1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6*, or *PMS2*<sup>15–17</sup>.

PDAC forms when normal ductal epithelium acquires sequential genetic, cellular, and morphological alterations<sup>18–21</sup>. These alterations are well-defined and result in progression from normal epithelium, to non-invasive precursor lesion, and finally invasive carcinoma<sup>22</sup>. Pre-malignant, non-invasive precursor lesions are of three types, microscopic pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia and macroscopic intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs) and mucinous cystic neoplasms<sup>23</sup>. As IPMNs are macroscopic and non-invasive, they represent an ideal opportunity for intervention before progression to PDAC. IPMNs, however, are common in the population<sup>24, 25</sup> and numerous clinical criteria are used as surrogates of high-grade dysplasia or invasive cancer to identify IPMN patients with a high-risk of progression to PDAC and may benefit from surgical intervention. These include size of the main pancreatic duct, cyst size, presence of a mural nodule, and symptoms such as pancreatitis or jaundice<sup>26–29</sup>. Although useful, these clinical criteria are imprecise and indirect measures of tumor biology. Molecular markers that indicate a need for surgical resection are desperately needed but are currently lacking.

Several lines of evidence suggest a possible underlying genetic predisposition to IPMNs. First, IPMNs are often multifocal and the remnant pancreas is at increased risk of IPMN after resection. This multifocality could be due intraluminal spread of neoplastic cells, to an environmental exposure, or an underlying genetic predisposition<sup>30–32</sup>. Second, germline mutations in pancreatic cancer susceptibility genes such as *BRCA2*, *CDKN2A*, and *STK11* have been identified in patients with IPMN<sup>33–35</sup>. Third, in one screening study of 78 patients at high-risk of pancreatic cancer, most of the patients who underwent pancreatic resection for concerning imaging findings had IPMN<sup>36</sup>. And in another study, the prevalence of incipient and high-grade IPMN was higher in patients with familial compared to sporadic PDAC<sup>37</sup>. Finally, several reports have suggested that patients with an IPMN have an increased risk of developing other cancers, including colon cancer<sup>35, 38–41</sup>.

Despite the potential ramifications of germline status in patients with IPMNs, no studies have systematically characterized germline mutations in this patient population. Therefore, we used targeted next-generation sequencing to characterize variation in genes that predispose to PDAC and other cancers in a series of 315 patients with surgically resected, histologically confirmed, IPMN.

## Materials and methods

#### Patients and biospecimens

This study was reviewed and approved by the Johns Hopkins Medicine Institutional Review Board. 350 unselected patients with surgically resected IPMN and available non-tumor tissue were identified from surgical and pathology databases. Where available, 25 mg of fresh-frozen non-tumor tissue (duodenum) was obtained. Otherwise, 0.6 mm tissue cores were obtained from formalin-fixed blocks (FFPE) of non-tumor tissue (duodenum, gallbladder, liver, or spleen).

#### **DNA** extraction

DNA was extracted from fresh-frozen non-tumor tissue using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, catalog no. 69504) according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA from FFPE non-tumor tissue cores was extracted using the QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue Kit (Qiagen, catalog no. 56404) and deparaffinization solution (Qiagen, catalog no. 19093) with the following protocol modifications: 1) 10 or fewer tissue cores were de-paraffinized with 120  $\mu$ L of deparaffinization solution, while 11 or more tissue cores were deparaffinized with 200  $\mu$ L of deparaffinization solution, 2) after addition of ATL buffer and proteinase K, samples were incubated for up to 7 days with intermittent mixing by inversion and vortex, and 3) an additional 20  $\mu$ L of proteinase K was added to the sample after 48 hours of incubation. Extracted DNA was quantified with the Qubit 3.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using the Qubit 1× dsDNA BR Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, catalog no. Q32853).

#### Library preparation, sequencing, and analysis

DNA sequence libraries for each sample were prepared with the TruSight Rapid Capture Kit (Illumina, catalog no. FC-140-1105) and pooled into groups of 12 before capture with the TruSight Cancer probe set (Illumina, catalog no. FC-140–1101) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The TruSight Cancer probe set covers the coding region of 94 hereditary cancer predisposition genes (Supplementary Table 1). Fragment size and yield of captured libraries were assessed with the Bioanalyzer 2100 Instrument (Agilent, catalog no. G2939BA) using the High Sensitivity DNA Kit (Agilent, catalog no. 5067–4626) and the Qubit 3.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using the Qubit 1× dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, catalog no. Q33230). Captured sequence libraries were further pooled into groups of 24 samples and sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq System (Illumina, CA) using the MiSeq Reagent Kit v2 (300-cycles) (Illumina, catalog no. MS-102-2002), generating 150 base pair (bp) paired-end reads. Sequence reads were processed through a standardized pipeline using MiSeq Reporter Software v2.6 (Illumina, CA). Sequence reads were aligned to the human reference genome (hg19) using Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA)<sup>42</sup>. Variant calling was performed with Genome Analysis Tool Kit (GATK)<sup>43</sup>. Samples with less than 20× average target coverage were excluded from analysis. Annotation of variants was conducted with ANNOVAR and included amino acid alterations based on RefSeq transcripts, minor allele frequency (MAF) using publicly available variant databases (1000 Genomes Project, Exome Variant Server, and Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC)), and ClinVar annotations<sup>44–46</sup>. Variants (single base substitutions (SBS) or insertions/deletions (INDEL)) within exons or adjacent intronic sequence (+/-1, +/-2) of target genes were classified as either benign, of unknown significance, or deleterious germline mutation as follows: 1) benign – a variant of any functional consequence of >0.5 % MAF or a synonymous variant of any MAF, 2) variant of unknown significance - a missense SBS or in-frame INDEL of 0.5 % MAF, and 3) deleterious – a frameshift or splicing INDEL, a nonsense SBS, a stop loss SBS, or splicing SBS of 0.5 % MAF. Sequence reads supporting deleterious germline variant calls were inspected using the Integrative Genomics Viewer<sup>47</sup>.

#### Variant validation

Putative deleterious germline mutations were validated via PCR amplification and Sanger sequencing of the variant region. Primers (Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc., CA) used for amplification are given in Supplementary Table 2. PCR set-up was conducted with OneTaq (NEB, catalog no. M0480S) according to manufacturer's instructions. Amplification was conducted with the T100 Thermo Cycler (BioRad, catalog no. 1861096) using the following cycling conditions: one cycle of 94° C for 30 s, 21 cycles of 94° C for 30 s, 70° C for 30 s (decrement 0.5° C per cycle), 68° C for 60 s, and 25 cycles of 94° C for 30 s, 60° C for 30 s, 68° C for 60 s. PCR products were purified with the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, catalog no. 28104) and Sanger sequenced (Genewiz, MD). Sequence chromatograms were visualized with 4Peaks (Nucleobytes, Netherlands)

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted with Prism 6 (GraphPad Software). Confidence intervals for percent of samples with a hereditary cancer predisposition gene or pancreatic cancer susceptibility gene were calculated using the modified Wald method. Germline mutations in surgically resected IPMN patients and non-TCGA samples from ExAC were grouped by gene and compared using a two-tailed, chi-square test with Yates' correction. Bonferroni correction for multiple testing was used and a *P* value  $< 5.3 \times 10^{-4}$  was considered significant. Germline mutations in patients with surgically resected IPMN and unselected PDAC patients were grouped by gene and compared using a two-tailed Fisher's exact test. Clinicopathologic variables in surgically resected IPMN patients by presence of germline mutation and invasive cancer were compared using a two-tailed Fisher's exact test, except for age at time at surgery, duration of follow-up, and mean longest diameter of IPMN, which were compared using a two-tailed, unpaired *t* test. *P* values < 0.05 were considered significant. *P* values less than 0.0001 were abbreviated to < 0.0001.

## Results

350 patients with surgically resected IPMN were included in this study. 315 patients had greater than 20× average target coverage after sequencing and were included in subsequent analyses. 138 patients had a high-grade IPMN (43.8%), 152 patients had a low- or intermediate-grade IPMN (48.3%), while 25 did not have a reported grade (7.9%). 62 (19.7%) patients had multifocal IPMN. 72 patients had IPMN and a co-occurring invasive carcinoma (22.9%), most commonly PDAC (57 patients). Other types of invasive carcinoma present in the study population included colloid carcinoma (11 patients), adenosquamous PDAC (1 patient), anaplastic carcinoma (1 patient), colloid carcinoma and PDAC (1 patient), and signet ring carcinoma (1 patient). 40 patients (12.7%) had a family history of pancreatic cancer in either a 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> degree relative and 54 patients (17.1%) had a personal history of cancer. Further details of patient demographics and characteristics are given in Table 1 and Supplementary Table 3.

Targeted sequencing generated a mean of 150 Mbp per sample (range: 10-562 Mbp; standard deviation: 138 Mbp). Mean target coverage was  $256 \times$  (range:  $20-877 \times$ ; standard deviation:  $140 \times$ ). Mean target region covered at  $1 \times$  and  $10 \times$  was 99.1% (73.9-100%,

standard deviation: 2.0%) and 97.2% (range: 46.9–100%; standard deviation: 5.6%) respectively. Mean number of SNVs identified per patient was 276 (range: 56–340; standard deviation: 40) and mean number of insertions and deletions was 1 (range: 1–3; standard deviation: 0).

Variants identified in the 94 hereditary cancer predisposition genes covered by the TruSight Cancer Panel were classified as either benign variant, variant of unknown significance, or deleterious germline mutations (see Materials and Methods). This analysis identified 26 germline mutations in 23 patients (7.3%: 95 percent confidence interval 4.9-10.8%) (Table 2). 10 germline mutations in 9 patients were in established pancreatic cancer susceptibility genes (2.9%: 95 percent confidence interval 1.3–5.4%), including five germline mutations in ATM, three germline mutations in BRCA2, one germline mutation in MSH6, and one germline mutation in PALB2. One germline mutation was also identified in BUB1B, a previously identified candidate pancreatic cancer susceptibility gene<sup>11</sup>. More than one patient had a germline mutation involving ATM (5 patients), BRCA2 (3 patients), FANCI (2 patients), and PTCH1 (2 patients). Three patients had more than one germline mutation in a hereditary cancer predisposition gene. One patient had both a RB1 and PTCH1 germline mutation, one patient had both a BRCA2 and FANCM germline mutation, and another had both a BRCA2 and MSH6 germline mutation. Similar findings have been reported for familial pancreatic cancer and familial pancreatitis in which affected individuals have deleterious germline mutations in multiple susceptibility genes<sup>11,48</sup>.

We next compared the prevalence of germline mutations in surgically resected IPMN patients to similarly-analyzed, publicly-available variant data from ExAC (Table 3)<sup>46</sup>. Germline mutations were not significantly enriched when considering all sequenced hereditary cancer predisposition genes (*P* value = 0.6590) or pancreatic cancer susceptibility genes (*P* value = 0.1403). Similarly, the majority of individual genes sequenced were not significantly enriched in patients with an IPMN. However, three genes were significantly enriched after Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. These genes are *ATM*(*P* value = < 0.0001), *PTCH1* (*P* value = < 0.0001), and *SUFU*(*P* value = < 0.0001).

We also compared the prevalence of germline mutations in established pancreatic cancer susceptibility genes between surgically resected IPMN patients and previously published series of unselected PDAC patients (Supplementary Table 4)<sup>8, 9</sup>. No genes analyzed had statistically significant over- or under-representation in surgically resected IPMN patients compared to unselected PDAC patients.

The patients with IPMN that had a germline mutation in a pancreatic cancer susceptibility gene were more likely to have concurrent invasive carcinoma than IPMN patients without a germline mutation. Specifically, 5 of 9 patients with germline mutation in a pancreatic cancer susceptibility gene had concurrent invasive carcinoma compared to 67 of 306 patients without a germline mutation (Fisher's exact test; *p*-value = 0.0320) (Table 4). Interestingly, there was no statistically significant association between a germline mutation in a hereditary cancer predisposition gene and concurrent invasive carcinoma (Table 4). Of the five patients with a germline mutation in a pancreatic cancer susceptibility gene and invasive carcinoma, only one had a family history of pancreatic cancer in a 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> degree relative and none

had a reported previous cancer history. Otherwise, there were no statistically significant differences between IPMN patients with a germline line mutation in either a hereditary cancer predisposition gene or a pancreatic cancer susceptibility gene compared to IPMN patients without a germline mutation with respect to family history of pancreatic cancer in 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> degree relatives, personal history of cancer, age at surgery, sex, presence of multifocal IPMN, high-grade dysplasia, size, or main duct involvement (Table 4).

Patients with IPMN and invasive carcinoma were significantly more likely to have highgrade dysplasia (P value = < 0.0001) and involvement of the main pancreatic duct (P value = < 0.0059) compared to patients without concurrent invasive carcinoma (Supplementary Table 5). There were no other statistically significant associations between IPMN patients with and without invasive carcinoma.

Follow-up was available for 243 of 315 patients with a mean duration of 33.3 months (range: 0.1 - 199.3 months). The number of patients with a new diagnosis of pancreatic cancer during follow-up was 2 (0.8%). There were no significant differences in mean duration of follow-up or incident pancreatic cancers between patients with a germline mutation and those without a germline mutation (Table 4).

## Discussion

In this retrospective study of patients with surgically resected, histologically confirmed, IPMN, we found that 7.3% of patients had a germline mutation in a hereditary cancer predisposition gene and 2.9% had a germline mutation in an established pancreatic cancer susceptibility gene. The number of patients with a germline mutation in a either a hereditary cancer predisposition gene or a pancreatic cancer susceptibility gene was not significant when compared to ExAC controls. However, prevalence of a germline mutation in pancreatic cancer susceptibility genes in IPMN patients is similar to recent studies of PDAC patients unselected for family history where between 3.9 and 5.5% patients had a germline mutation<sup>8, 9</sup>.

Three individual genes were significantly enriched in surgically resected IPMN patients compared to ExAC controls. These genes include *ATM* (five germline mutations), *PTCH1* (two germline mutations), and *SUFU* (one germline mutation). *ATM* is a serine/threonine kinase integral to DNA double strand break repair in response to ionizing radiation<sup>49</sup>. *ATM* is an established pancreatic cancer susceptibility gene and recent evidence suggests that *ATM* germline mutations are among the most common found in familial and sporadic PDAC patients<sup>8, 9, 11, 50</sup>. *PTCH1* and *SUFU* are both components of the Hedgehog signaling pathway. *PTCH1* is a transmembrane protein that suppresses Hedgehog signaling when not bound to ligand, while *SUFU* is a cytoplasmic protein that inhibits Hedgehog signaling through binding of GLI transcription factors<sup>51</sup>. Germline mutations in *PTCH1* and *SUFU* are implicated in Gorlin syndrome and predisposition to childhood medulloblastoma<sup>52–54</sup>. *PTCH1* and *SUFU* are intriguing candidate pancreatic cancer susceptibility genes as aberrant Hedgehog signaling has been implicated in pancreatic tumor development. Specifically, over-expression of SHH is observed in over 70% of pancreatic tumors and results in autocrine mediated changes to the tumor-microenvironment<sup>55, 56</sup>. Furthermore,

*PTCH1* and *SUFU* can be somatically mutated in PDAC<sup>11, 57–59</sup>. Additional large cohort studies of IPMN and PDAC patients will be needed to determine the prevalence of *PTCH1* and *SUFU* germline mutations and risk of tumor development.

Interestingly, surgically resected IPMN patients with a germline mutation in a pancreatic cancer susceptibility gene were significantly more likely to have concurrent invasive pancreatic carcinoma than patients without a germline mutation (Table 4). The majority of patients with a germline mutation in a pancreatic cancer susceptibility gene and invasive carcinoma did not have a reported family history of pancreatic cancer (4 of 5 patients) or personal cancer history (5 of 5 patients). This may indicate that the presence of a germline mutation in a pancreatic cancer susceptibility gene is an independent risk factor for progression to PDAC. Prospective studies, however, are necessary to determine the magnitude of any increased risk<sup>60</sup>.

Recent studies have suggested that knowledge of germline status in PDAC patients may be of limited personal utility, except for guiding use of PARP-1 inhibitors and immunotherapies in patients with defects in homology-directed and mismatch DNA repair respectively<sup>15–17</sup>. Knowledge of germline status in patients with an IPMN, however, may be advantageous. Specifically, IPMN patients with a germline mutation may warrant additional surveillance to diagnose pancreatic and extra-pancreatic tumors, as is the case for germline mutation carriers with a family history of PDAC<sup>61, 62</sup>. Additional prospective studies are needed to confirm that additional screening in this patient population improves early diagnosis rates and patient outcomes.

Our study has several limitations. First, this is a retrospective study of patients with surgically resected IPMN. While this assured that all IPMNs were histologically confirmed, these patients are a subset of all patients with IPMN. Specifically, our study included patients with IPMNs advanced enough to warrant surgery and therefore, may be more likely to have already or in the future develop PDAC. Assessment of unselected patients is necessary to determine the clinical utility of stratification by germline mutation status in patients with IPMN that have not yet undergone surgical resection. Second, while we present the largest characterization of hereditary cancer predisposition genes in IPMN patients to date, our sample size is too small to detect associations with germline mutations that are a rare cause of IPMN or PDAC. Third, we used publicly available data from ExAC for controls as a large dataset of similarly sequenced controls was not available. Variant data from ExAC samples was similarly annotated and analyzed to IPMN cases, however, sequencing methodology was different, and this may result in batch effects that hinder analysis of gene associations. Fourth, only limited clinicopathologic data were available, therefore, associations between cancer-risk factors other than those presented in the study and germline mutation status could not be explored.

In conclusion, we characterized germline mutations in hereditary cancer predisposition genes in surgically resected IPMN patients. We found that germline mutations were most frequently identified in *ATM* and *BRCA2* and that germline line mutations in *ATM*, *PTCH1*, and *SUFU* were significantly more common in patients with an IPMN than in ExAC controls. Furthermore, IPMN patients with a germline mutation in a pancreatic cancer

susceptibility gene were significantly more likely to have concurrent invasive pancreatic carcinoma. Our study indicates that germline testing of IPMN patients is warranted and may have important implications for patient care.

## **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## Acknowledgments

Funding sources

This work was supported by: The Sol Goldman Pancreatic Cancer Research Center; Susan Wojcicki and Dennis Troper; The Lustgarten Foundation; The Rolfe Pancreatic Cancer Foundation; The Joseph C Monastra Foundation; The Gerald O Mann Charitable Foundation (Harriet and Allan Wulfstat, Trustees); NIH/NCI R00 CA190889 and NIH/NCI P50 CA62924.

## Abbreviations

ATM	Ataxia telangiectasia mutated
bp	Base pair
BRCA1	breast cancer 1
BRCA2	breast cancer 2
BUB1B	BUB1 mitotic checkpoint serine/threonine kinase B
CDKN2A	cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A
CPA1	carboxypeptidase A1
ExAC	Exome Aggregation Consortium
FANCI	FA complementation group I
FANCM	FA complementation group M
FFPE	formalin fixed, paraffin-embedded
GLI1	GLI family zinc finger 1
IPMNs	intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms
MAF	minor allele frequency
MLH1	mutL homolog 1
MSH2	mutS homolog 2
PDAC	pancreatic adenocarcinoma
PALB2	partner and localizer of BRCA2
PMS2	PMS1 homolog 2, mismatch repair system component

PARP-1	poly [ADP-ribose] polymerase-1
PRSS1	serine protease 1
PTCH1	patched 1
STK11	serine/threonine kinase 11
SUFU	SUFU negative regulator of hedgehog signaling

## References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer Statistics, 2017. CA Cancer J Clin 2017;67:7–30. [PubMed: 28055103]
- 2. Amundadottir LT. Pancreatic Cancer Genetics. Int J Biol Sci 2016;12:314-25. [PubMed: 26929738]
- Canto MI, Almario JA, Schulick RD, et al. Risk of Neoplastic Progression in Individuals at High Risk for Pancreatic Cancer Undergoing Long-term Surveillance. Gastroenterology 2018;155:740– 751e2. [PubMed: 29803839]
- Chen F, Roberts NJ, Klein AP. Inherited pancreatic cancer. Chin Clin Oncol 2017;6:58. [PubMed: 29307198]
- Hu C, Hart SN, Bamlet WR, et al. Prevalence of Pathogenic Mutations in Cancer Predisposition Genes among Pancreatic Cancer Patients. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2016;25:207–11. [PubMed: 26483394]
- Grant RC, Selander I, Connor AA, et al. Prevalence of germline mutations in cancer predisposition genes in patients with pancreatic cancer. Gastroenterology 2015;148:556–64. [PubMed: 25479140]
- Holter S, Borgida A, Dodd A, et al. Germline BRCA Mutations in a Large Clinic-Based Cohort of Patients With Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:3124–9. [PubMed: 25940717]
- Shindo K, Yu J, Suenaga M, et al. Deleterious Germline Mutations in Patients With Apparently Sporadic Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2017;35:3382–3390. [PubMed: 28767289]
- Hu C, Hart SN, Polley EC, et al. Association Between Inherited Germline Mutations in Cancer Predisposition Genes and Risk of Pancreatic Cancer. JAMA 2018;319:2401–2409. [PubMed: 29922827]
- Hu C, LaDuca H, Shimelis H, et al. Multigene Hereditary Cancer Panels Reveal High-Risk Pancreatic Cancer Susceptibility Genes. JCO Precision Oncology 2018:1–28. [PubMed: 30949620]
- Roberts NJ, Norris AL, Petersen GM, et al. Whole Genome Sequencing Defines the Genetic Heterogeneity of Familial Pancreatic Cancer. Cancer Discov 2016;6:166–75. [PubMed: 26658419]
- Tamura K, Yu J, Hata T, et al. Mutations in the pancreatic secretory enzymes CPA1 and CPB1 are associated with pancreatic cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2018;115:4767–4772. [PubMed: 29669919]
- Tanaka M Intraductal Papillary Mucinous Neoplasm of the Pancreas as the Main Focus for Early Detection of Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma. Pancreas 2018;47:544–550. [PubMed: 29702531]
- Hruban RH, Canto MI, Goggins M, et al. Update on familial pancreatic cancer. Adv Surg 2010;44:293–311. [PubMed: 20919528]
- 15. Villarroel MC, Rajeshkumar NV, Garrido-Laguna I, et al. Personalizing cancer treatment in the age of global genomic analyses: PALB2 gene mutations and the response to DNA damaging agents in pancreatic cancer. Mol Cancer Ther 2011;10:3–8. [PubMed: 21135251]
- Le DT, Durham JN, Smith KN, et al. Mismatch repair deficiency predicts response of solid tumors to PD-1 blockade. Science 2017;357:409–413. [PubMed: 28596308]
- O'Reilly EM, Lee JW, Lowery MA, et al. Phase 1 trial evaluating cisplatin, gemcitabine, and veliparib in 2 patient cohorts: Germline BRCA mutation carriers and wild-type BRCA pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Cancer 2018;124:1374–1382. [PubMed: 29338080]
- Hruban RH, Goggins M, Parsons J, et al. Progression model for pancreatic cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2000;6:2969–72. [PubMed: 10955772]

- Notta F, Chan-Seng-Yue M, Lemire M, et al. A renewed model of pancreatic cancer evolution based on genomic rearrangement patterns. Nature 2016;538:378–382. [PubMed: 27732578]
- Vogelstein B, Papadopoulos N, Velculescu VE, et al. Cancer genome landscapes. Science 2013;339:1546–58. [PubMed: 23539594]
- 21. Makohon-Moore AP, Matsukuma K, Zhang M, et al. Precancerous neoplastic cells can move through the pancreatic ductal system. Nature 2018.
- 22. Pittman ME, Rao R, Hruban RH. Classification, Morphology, Molecular Pathogenesis, and Outcome of Premalignant Lesions of the Pancreas. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2017;141:1606–1614. [PubMed: 29189063]
- 23. Kleeff J, Korc M, Apte M, et al. Pancreatic cancer. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2016;2:16022. [PubMed: 27158978]
- 24. Laffan TA, Horton KM, Klein AP, et al. Prevalence of unsuspected pancreatic cysts on MDCT. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2008;191:802–7. [PubMed: 18716113]
- Lennon AM, Wolfgang CL, Canto MI, et al. The early detection of pancreatic cancer: what will it take to diagnose and treat curable pancreatic neoplasia? Cancer Res 2014;74:3381–9. [PubMed: 24924775]
- Tanaka M, Chari S, Adsay V, et al. International consensus guidelines for management of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms and mucinous cystic neoplasms of the pancreas. Pancreatology 2006;6:17–32. [PubMed: 16327281]
- Vege SS, Ziring B, Jain R, et al. American gastroenterological association institute guideline on the diagnosis and management of asymptomatic neoplastic pancreatic cysts. Gastroenterology 2015;148:819–22; [PubMed: 25805375]
- Tanaka M, Fernandez-del Castillo C, Adsay V, et al. International consensus guidelines 2012 for the management of IPMN and MCN of the pancreas. Pancreatology 2012;12:183–97. [PubMed: 22687371]
- Tanaka M, Fernandez-Del Castillo C, Kamisawa T, et al. Revisions of international consensus Fukuoka guidelines for the management of IPMN of the pancreas. Pancreatology 2017;17:738– 753. [PubMed: 28735806]
- Matthaei H, Norris AL, Tsiatis AC, et al. Clinicopathological characteristics and molecular analyses of multifocal intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas. Ann Surg 2012;255:326–33. [PubMed: 22167000]
- 31. Kang MJ, Jang JY, Lee KB, et al. Long-term prospective cohort study of patients undergoing pancreatectomy for intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas: implications for postoperative surveillance. Ann Surg 2014;260:356–63. [PubMed: 24378847]
- 32. Pea A, Yu J, Rezaee N, et al. Targeted DNA Sequencing Reveals Patterns of Local Progression in the Pancreatic Remnant Following Resection of Intraductal Papillary Mucinous Neoplasm (IPMN) of the Pancreas. Ann Surg 2017;266:133–141. [PubMed: 27433916]
- 33. Martinez de Juan F, Reolid Escribano M, Martinez Lapiedra C, et al. Pancreatic adenosquamous carcinoma and intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm in a CDKN2A germline mutation carrier. World J Gastrointest Oncol 2017;9:390–396. [PubMed: 28979722]
- Sato N, Rosty C, Jansen M, et al. STK11/LKB1 Peutz-Jeghers gene inactivation in intraductal papillary-mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas. Am J Pathol 2001;159:2017–22. [PubMed: 11733352]
- Lubezky N, Ben-Haim M, Lahat G, et al. Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas: associated cancers, family history, genetic predisposition? Surgery 2012;151:70–5. [PubMed: 21975290]
- Canto MI, Goggins M, Hruban RH, et al. Screening for early pancreatic neoplasia in high-risk individuals: a prospective controlled study. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2006;4:766–81; [PubMed: 16682259]
- Shi C, Klein AP, Goggins M, et al. Increased Prevalence of Precursor Lesions in Familial Pancreatic Cancer Patients. Clin Cancer Res 2009;15:7737–7743. [PubMed: 19996207]
- Panic N, Capurso G, Attili F, et al. Risk for Colorectal Adenomas Among Patients with Pancreatic Intraductal Papillary Mucinous Neoplasms: a Prospective Case-Control Study. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis 2015;24:445–50. [PubMed: 26697570]

- Khan S, Sclabas G, Reid-Lombardo KM. Population-based epidemiology, risk factors and screening of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm patients. World J Gastrointest Surg 2010;2:314–8. [PubMed: 21160836]
- Reid-Lombardo KM, Mathis KL, Wood CM, et al. Frequency of extrapancreatic neoplasms in intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas: implications for management. Ann Surg 2010;251:64–9. [PubMed: 19858708]
- 41. Benarroch-Gampel J, Riall TS. Extrapancreatic malignancies and intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas. World J Gastrointest Surg 2010;2:363–7. [PubMed: 21160845]
- 42. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 2009;25:1754–60. [PubMed: 19451168]
- McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, et al. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res 2010;20:1297–303. [PubMed: 20644199]
- 44. Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res 2010;38:e164. [PubMed: 20601685]
- 45. Genomes Project C, Auton A, Brooks LD, et al. A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature 2015;526:68–74. [PubMed: 26432245]
- 46. Lek M, Karczewski KJ, Minikel EV, et al. Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. Nature 2016;536:285–91. [PubMed: 27535533]
- Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdottir H, Winckler W, et al. Integrative genomics viewer. Nat Biotechnol 2011;29:24–6. [PubMed: 21221095]
- LaRusch J, Whitcomb DC. Genetics of pancreatitis. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2011;27:467–74. [PubMed: 21844754]
- 49. Lavin MF. Ataxia-telangiectasia: from a rare disorder to a paradigm for cell signalling and cancer. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2008;9:759–69. [PubMed: 18813293]
- 50. Roberts NJ, Jiao Y, Yu J, et al. ATM mutations in patients with hereditary pancreatic cancer. Cancer Discov 2012;2:41–6. [PubMed: 22585167]
- 51. Wu F, Zhang Y, Sun B, et al. Hedgehog Signaling: From Basic Biology to Cancer Therapy. Cell Chem Biol 2017;24:252–280. [PubMed: 28286127]
- 52. Wolter M, Reifenberger J, Sommer C, et al. Mutations in the human homologue of the Drosophila segment polarity gene patched (PTCH) in sporadic basal cell carcinomas of the skin and primitive neuroectodermal tumors of the central nervous system. Cancer Res 1997;57:2581–5. [PubMed: 9205058]
- 53. Pastorino L, Ghiorzo P, Nasti S, et al. Identification of a SUFU germline mutation in a family with Gorlin syndrome. Am J Med Genet A 2009;149A:1539–43. [PubMed: 19533801]
- Waszak SM, Northcott PA, Buchhalter I, et al. Spectrum and prevalence of genetic predisposition in medulloblastoma: a retrospective genetic study and prospective validation in a clinical trial cohort. Lancet Oncol 2018;19:785–798. [PubMed: 29753700]
- 55. Thayer SP, di Magliano MP, Heiser PW, et al. Hedgehog is an early and late mediator of pancreatic cancer tumorigenesis. Nature 2003;425:851–6. [PubMed: 14520413]
- 56. Rhim AD, Oberstein PE, Thomas DH, et al. Stromal elements act to restrain, rather than support, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Cancer Cell 2014;25:735–47. [PubMed: 24856585]
- 57. Biankin AV, Waddell N, Kassahn KS, et al. Pancreatic cancer genomes reveal aberrations in axon guidance pathway genes. Nature 2012;491:399–405. [PubMed: 23103869]
- Waddell N, Pajic M, Patch AM, et al. Whole genomes redefine the mutational landscape of pancreatic cancer. Nature 2015;518:495–501. [PubMed: 25719666]
- Forbes SA, Beare D, Boutselakis H, et al. COSMIC: somatic cancer genetics at high-resolution. Nucleic Acids Res 2017;45:D777–D783. [PubMed: 27899578]
- Mukewar SS, Sharma A, Phillip N, et al. Risk of Pancreatic Cancer in Patients With Pancreatic Cysts and Family History of Pancreatic Cancer. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018;16:1123–1130e1. [PubMed: 29425780]
- Canto MI, Hruban RH, Fishman EK, et al. Frequent detection of pancreatic lesions in asymptomatic high-risk individuals. Gastroenterology 2012;142:796–804; [PubMed: 22245846]

62. Vasen H, Ibrahim I, Ponce CG, et al. Benefit of Surveillance for Pancreatic Cancer in High-Risk Individuals: Outcome of Long-Term Prospective Follow-Up Studies From Three European Expert Centers. J Clin Oncol 2016;34:2010–9. [PubMed: 27114589]

## Table 1.

Demographics and characteristics of patients with surgically resected IPMN

Characteristic <sup>1</sup>		Number	Percen
Race			
	White	270	85.7
	Other	45	14.3
Sex			
	Male	162	51.4
	Female	153	48.6
Age			
	<40	7	2.2
	41–45	6	1.9
	46–50	11	3.5
	51–55	17	5.4
	56-60	28	8.9
	61–65	40	12.7
	66–70	60	19.0
	71–75	69	21.9
	76–80	49	15.6
	81-85	21	6.7
	>86	7	2.2
Family history of pancreatic cancer			
	Yes	40	12.7
	No	205	65.1
	NR	70	22.2
Personal history of cancer			
	Yes	54	17.1
	No	247	78.4
	NR	14	4.4
Diagnosis			
	IPMN	243	77.1
	IPMN and invasive carcinoma	72	22.9
Size of IPMN			
	<1	22	7.0
	1 and <2	87	27.6
	2 and <3	85	27.0
	3 and <4	48	15.2
	4 and <5	23	7.3
	5	32	10.2
	NR	18	5.7
Number of IPMN			
	1	253	80.3

Characteristic <sup>1</sup>		Number	Percent
	2+	62	19.7
Duct type			
	Branch duct	146	46.3
	Main duct	112	35.6
	NR	57	18.1
Grade of IPMN			
	High	138	43.8
	Low or intermediate	152	48.3
	NR	25	7.9

 $^{I}$ IPMN - intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm. NR - not reported. Family history of pancreatic cancer in 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> degree relatives.

₽
<u> </u>
Ŧ
õ
Ř
~
$\leq$
מ
⊐
nu
nuso
nuscr
nuscrip
nuscript

	Table 2.

Author Manuscript

Skaro et al.	

1 $ATM$ NM 00051 $gchr11:10817812 CAAAGCS$ $c.07341$ $p.W57X$ Sopgin           2 $ATM$ NM 00051 $gchr11:10817849 CcT$ $c.0241072461$ $p.X3425$ Frameshift deleion           4 $ATM$ Puncreatic         NM 00051 $gchr11:108175849 CcT$ $c.255447$ $p.0875X$ Sopgain           6 $BTCA2$ sweepthilin         NM 00051 $gchr11:108175549 CcT$ $c.2854617$ $p.0875X$ Sopgain           7 $BTCA2$ sweepthilin         NM 00059 $gchr13:3291437 GT5G$ $c.3846617$ $p.07575$ Sopgain           8 $BTCA2$ sweepthilin         NM 00059 $gchr13:3291437 GT5G$ $c.234361$ $p.12875$ Sopgain           9 $MSH6$ NM 00059 $gchr13:3291437 GT5G$ $c.234361$ $p.12875$ Sopgain           10 $MSH6$ NM 001310 $gchr13:3291437 GT5G$ $c.234617$ $p.08758$ Sopgain           11 $BTCA2$ sweepthilin         NM 012111 $gchr3:3291637 GTSG$ $c.073477$ $p.128758$ Sopgain	Functio	nal consequence Concuri	rent invasive carcinoma
2 $4M$ MM 00051         gehrli 108117812CAAAGC         c 1024 102761         p K4215         Frameshift deteion $4$ $4M$ Panceauic         MM 00051         gehrli 1.08117589 C-T         c C5544T         p 0885X         Sopgain $6$ $4TM$ Panceauic         MM 00051         gehrli 1.0817549 C-T         c C5544T         p 0485X         Sopgain $6$ $BRC42$ succeptility         NM 00059         gehrli 3.3391437 CT>G         c A8256F         p 8467X         Sopgain $8RC42$ succeptility         NM 00059         gehrli 3.3391447 CT>G         c A8256F         p 8467X         Sopgain $8RC42$ succeptility         NM 00059         gehrli 3.3391437 CT>G         c A8356F         Frameshift deteion $10$ $RRC42$ succeptility         NM 001301         gehrli 3.3391437 CT>G         c A99737         Sopgain $10$ $MSHb$ $NM 013101$ gehrli 3.3981057 CT>G         c C1997         p 81368         Frameshift deteion $11$ $BR/H$ $NM 0131118$ gehrli 3.3891057 CT         c C1997         p 81368         Sopgain $11$ $RRH$ $NM 01$	:.G170A p.W57X Stopgair	signet ri	ing carcinoma
$3$ $47h$ NM_00051         gehrl1:10817549 C.T         c.C254T         p.R87.X         Sopgin $4.7h$ Pancentic         NM 00051         gehrl1:10817549 C.T         c.C564T         p.R182.X         Sopgin $6$ $4.7h$ cancer         NM 00051         gehrl1:10875665 A.T         c.A266T         p.K3755         Sopgin $6$ $BRCA_2$ susceptibility         NM 00059         gehrl1:30206665 A.T         c.A130T         p.K407         Sopgin $8$ $BRCA_2$ susceptibility         NM 00059         gehrl1:3237344.T1CG         c.A130T         p.K407         Sopgin $8$ $BRCA_2$ susceptibility         NM 00059         gehrl1:3237346.T1CG         c.A130T         p.K407         Sopgin $10$ $MSH6$ NM 00059         gehrl1:3297346.T1CGA>C         c.A132T         p.S1857         Sopgin $11$ $BRPA$ NM 00059         gehrl1:3297345.11CGA>C         c.512.17564         p.S1857         Sopgin $11$ $BRPA$ NM 013191         gehrl6:3369705         c.513754         p.S1857         Sopgin $11$ $BRPA$ NM 0131784	c.1024 1027del p.K342fs Framesh	ift deletion PDAC	
4 $ATM$ Fanctatic         NM 000051         gchrl 1:108175549 C:T         C5564T         p.R188:X         Stoggin           5 $ATM$ cancer         NM 000051         gchrl 1:10820686 A:T         c.A3266T         p.K467X         Stoggin           6 $BECA_2$ susceptibliky         NM 000059         gchrl 3:3291437 GT>G         c.A1399T         p.K467X         Stoggin           8 $BECA_2$ gene         NM 000059         gchrl 3:3291437 GT>G         c.A1390T         p.K467X         Stoggin           6 $MSH6$ NM 000059         gchrl 3:329734 GTT         c.A1290T         p.S1982h         Framshift deletion           8 $MSH6$ NM 00059         gchrl 3:329105 GACAA>G         c.72.175del         p.S1985h         Framshift deletion           11 $BRIP$ Samoet         NM 001211         gchrl 5:36976 GACAA>G         c.72.175del         p.R1483         Stoggin           12 $BUBIB         susceptibliky         NM 001211         gchrl 5:36976 GACAA>G         c.72.175del         p.R1483         Stoggin           13         CDH1         susceptibliky         NM 001211         gchrl 5:36976 GACAA>G         c.72.4767         p.R1483         Stoggin<$	c.C2554T p.Q852X Stopgair	'	
$3$ $4M$ cancer         NM 000051         gchrli.10820666.5.T         c.8.2567         p.K375X         Stopgin           7 $BKCA_2$ susceptibility         NM 000059         gchrli.3:3291437 GT>G         c.31397T         p.K467X         Stoggin           8 $BKCA_2$ susceptibility         NM 000059         gchrli.3:3291437 GT>G         c.5946deT         p.S19875         Fameshift deletion           8 $MSH6$ NM 00059         gchrli.3:32971346_TGTA>T         c.9697_9700de1         p.C33335         Fameshift deletion           10 $MKH$ Heredinany         NM 003043         gchrli.3:32971359         c.3697_1700de1         p.C33355         Fameshift deletion           11 $BKPI$ came         NM 00311         gchrli.3:5971059         c.172_17546         p.L3887         Fameshift deletion           12 $BLBIB$ susceptibility         NM 001211         gchrli.3:5971059         c.172_17546         p.L3875         Stopgin           13 $CDHI         gene         NM 001311         gchrli.3:5971059         c.172_17546         p.R41375         Stopgin           14         EKPI         came         NM 001311         gchrli.3:5971059         c.172_17546$	c.C5644T p.R1882X Stopgair	PDAC	
6         BRCA2         suscptibility         NM 00059         ch13:3207014 A>T         c.1399T         p.46/57         Sopgain           7         BRCA2         gene         NM 00059         ch13:3291437 GT>G         c.5946deT         p.519736         Frameshifi deletion           8         BRCA2         eme         NM 00059         ch13:3291437 GT>G         c.59476deT         p.519736         Frameshifi deletion           6         MSH6         N         NM 00059         ch13:3297346_TTGTA>T         c.59479700de         p.519733         Frameshifi deletion           10         MLK         Heredinay         NM 00059         ch13:3249206_GACAA>G         c.723345         Frameshifi deletion           11         BRIPI         eereptibility         NM 001311         ch15:3469206_GACAA>G         c.172_175de1         p.1287         Sopgain           12         DALK         Heredinay         NM 00131184         ch15:3469206_GACAA>G         c.172_175de1         p.1287         Sopgain           13         CDH1         gene         NM 00131184         ch15:3464206         c.172_175de1         p.1287         Sopgain           14         EAUFI         saceptibility         M0 01311184         ch16:68771344 C>A         c.01977         p.12487         <	c.A8266T p.K2756X Stopgair		
7 $BRCA_2$ gene         NM 000059         gchrl3:3291437 GT>G         c.5946deT         p519826         Frameshift deteion           8 $BRCA_2$ NM 000059         gchrl3:32972346_TTGTAxT         c9697_9700de         p523358         Frameshift deteion           6 $MSH6$ NM_00059         gchrl3:32972346_TTGTAxT         c9697_9700de         p23385         Frameshift deteion           9 $MLR$ Hereditary         NM_024675         gchrl5:359712346_TA         c3947421         p11585         Frameshift deteion           10 $ALK$ Hereditary         NM 001211         gchrl5:36871059 C>A         c.172_175de1         p12585         Frameshift deteion           11 $BRPI$ cancer         NM 001211         gchrl5:36871059 C>A         c.172_175de1         p12585         Frameshift deteion           12 $BUBIB$ susceptibility         NM 00131138         gchrl5:36871059 C>A         c.172_175de1         p12585         Frameshift deteion           13 $CDHI$ gene         NM 00131138         gchrl5:36871059 C>A         c.172_177         p24585         Stopgin           14         Frameshift action         recordatac         c.0526A         p28565         Stopgin	c.A1399T p.K467X Stopgair	'	
8         BRCA2         NM 000059         chrl3:3327346_TTGTA>T         c9673_9700dcl         pC33358         Frameshift deteion           6 $MSH6$ NA         NA         26m2.46073         5cm2.33051         57700dcl         pC33358         Frameshift deteion           9 $HLB2$ NM         0.34675         5cm2.46053649206_GACA>G         c.172_175del         pL3858         Frameshift deteion           10 $ALK$ Herediany         NM 0033043         2ch17.59871059 CAA         c.172_175del         pL3858         Frameshift deteion           11 $BKHP$ cancer         NM 001311         gehrl6:38711344 CAA         c.172_175del         pL3858         Stoppinin           12 $BUBHB$ susceptibility         NM 0013118         gehrl6:38711344 CAA         c.172_175del         pL3858         Stoppinin           13 $CDH1$ gene         NM 0013118         gehrl6:3871344 CAA         c.19377         pStoppinin         Stoppinin           14 $EANCA$ NM 0013118         gehrl6:3871344 CAA         c.172_17546         pL3858         Stoppinin           15 $EANCA$ NM 0013118         gehrl6:3871344 CAA         c.172_1764         pR5875         Stopp	2.5946delT p.S1982fs Framesh	ift deletion -	
6         M5H6         N         N         CMD-34033791 GTAAC-G         -         -         Splicing           9 $P4LB2$ NM_024675         g.ch16:2364306.GACAA-G         c.172_175461         p.L3835         Framsshift deletion           10 $ALK$ Hereditary         NM 004304         g.ch16:2364306.GACAA-G         c.172_175461         p.L3835         Stopgain           11 $BKUP$ cancer         NM 001317184         g.ch17:39871059 C-A         c.C37427         p.R12435         Stopgain           12 $BLBLB$ susceptibility         NM 001317184         g.ch17:39871059 C-A         c.C37427         p.R12435         Stopgain           13 $CDH1$ gene         NM 001317184         g.ch15:496528 C-T         c.C1997         p.R375         Stopgain           14 $FANCA$ NM 001317184         g.ch15:498658 C-T         c.C1997         p.R375         Stopgain           15 $FANCA$ NM 001113378         g.ch15:498658 C-T         c.C24761         p.Q89356         Framsshift deletion           16 $FANCA$ NM 00118113         g.ch15:49883165 C-T         c.C24761         p.Q89356         Framsshift deletion           17 $FA$	c.9697_9700del p.C3233fs Framesh	ift deletion Colloid	carcinoma
9 $PALBZ$ NM_024675         gchrl6:3549206_GACAAAG         c.172_175del         p.L86K         Frameshift deletion           10 $ALK$ Hereditay         NM 004304         gchrl7:39871059 C.A         c.1372T         p.L86K         Stopgain           11 $BRPI$ cancer         NM 00131184         gchrl7:39871059 C.A         c.03137T         p.L86K         Stopgain           12 $BUBIB$ susceptibility         NM 00131184         gchrl7:39871059 C.A         c.01372T         p.L86K         Stopgain           13 $CDHI$ gene         NM 00131184         gchrl7:39871059 C.A         c.01372T         p.L86K         Stopgain           14 $FANCA$ NM 00131184         gchrl6:8771344 C.A         c.C199T         p.R67K         Stopgain           16 $FANCA$ NM 00131184         gchrl6:8771344 C.A         c.C264         p.S05K         Stopgain           17 $FANCA$ NM 00111378         gchrl6:8791487 C.A         c.C3476T         p.R57K         Stopgain           18 $FANCA$ NM 00111378         gchrl6:58838165 C.T         c.C2476T         p.R263K         Stopgain           18 $FANCH$ NM 0111378	- Splicing		
10 $ALK$ Hereditary         NM 004304 $cch2:29436S1 G>A$ $cC3742T$ $pR124S$ Stopgain           11 $BRIP$ cancer         NM 0032043 $cch17:9871059 C>A$ $cJ372T$ $pE4SSX$ Stopgain           12 $BUBIB$ susceptibility         NM 001211184 $gchr15:9871059 C>A$ $cC199T$ $pE4SX$ Stopgain           13 $CDHI$ gene         NM 001211184 $gchr15:89871059 C>A$ $cC199T$ $p.R67X$ Stopgain           14 $EANCA$ NM 001311184 $gchr15:89871057 C>G         cC29AT p.R157T p.R67X         Stopgain           15         EANCA         NM 001131378         gchr15:8983165 C>T cC757T p.R253X         Stopgain           16         EANCI         NM 001131378         gch15:898338165 C>T cC757T p.R253X         Stopgain           17         EANCI         NM 001131378         gch15:89843584 C>CA cC757T p.R253X         Stopgain           18         NBN         NM 01130313         gch15:89843584 C>CA cC737T p.R253X         Stopgain           18$	2.172_175del p.L58fs Framesh	ift deletion PDAC	
11 $BRIPI$ cancer         NM 032043         gchrl7:59871059 C>A         c.G1372T         p.E458X         Stopgain           12 $BUB1B$ susceptibility         NM 001211         gchrl5:68771344 C>A         c.G199T         p.R67X         Stopgain           13 $CDH1$ gene         NM 001317184         g.chrl6:68771344 C>A         c.C199T         p.R67X         Stopgain           14 $FANCA$ NM 001317184         g.chrl6:68771344 C>A         c.C26A         p.S9X         Stopgain           15 $FANCA$ NM 00113378         g.chrl6:89871687 C>G         c.C26A         p.S9X         Stopgain           16 $FANCD$ NM 001113378         g.chrl5:8983165 C>T         c.C26A         p.S3X         Stopgain           17 $FANCD$ NM 001113378         g.chrl5:8983458 C>T         c.C2476T         p.Q235X         Stopgain           17 $FANCD$ NM 001133378         g.chrl5:89843584 C>C         c.C2476T         p.Q355K         Stopgain           18 $ANCN$ NM 00130813         g.chrl5:89843584 C>C         c.C2476T         p.Q826X         Stopgain           19 $PANCM$ NM 00130813         g.chrl5:89843584 C>	c.C3742T p.R1248X Stopgair	,	
12 $BUBIB$ susceptibility         NM 001211 $g.chr16:6071344C>A$ $c.C197$ $p.R67X$ Stopgain           13 $CDH1$ gene         NM 001317184 $g.chr16:68771344C>A$ $c.C197$ $p.R67X$ Stopgain           14 $FANCA$ NM 001317184 $g.chr16:89871687C>G$ $c.C26A$ $p.S9X$ Stopgain           15 $FANCA$ NM 001018115 $g.chr16:89871687C>G$ $c.C2547$ $p.S9X$ Stopgain           16 $FANCA$ NM 001018115 $g.chr15:8983165C>T$ $c.C7577$ $p.R253X$ Stopgain           17 $FANCA$ NM 00118115 $g.chr15:8983365C>T$ $c.C3577$ $p.R253X$ Stopgain           18 $FANCA$ NM 00118133 $g.chr15:8984354C>CA$ $c.C3674hpA$ $p.Q8956$ Framshift insertion           19 $NBN$ NM 001308133 $g.chr15:89843584C>CA$ $c.C3674hpA$ $p.Q8956$ Stopgain           10 $FANCA$ NM 001308133 $g.chr15:89843584C>CA$ $c.C3674hpA$ $p.Q826X$ Stopgain           10 $NBN$ NM 001	c.G1372T p.E458X Stopgair	Adenosq	quamous PDAC
13 $CDH1$ gene         NM 001317184         gchr16:68771344 C>A         c.26A         p.89X         Stopgain           14 $FANCA$ NA         gchr16:89871687 C>G         -         Splicing         Stopgain           15 $FANCA$ NA         001018115         gchr16:89871687 C>G         -         Splicing           16 $FANCP$ NM 00108115         gchr15:8983165 C>T         c.7577         p.8253X         Stopgain           17 $FANCP$ NM 01113378         gchr14:45645855 G>T         c.7577         p.8253X         Stopgain           17 $FANCH$ NM 0118193         gchr14:45645855 G>T         c.2678dupA         p.080535         Frameshift insertion           18 $NBN$ NM 0138133         gchr14:45645855 G>T         c.2678dupA         p.080535         Frameshift deletion           19 $NBN$ NM 0213813         gchr14:45645855 G>T         c.3678dupA         p.080535         Frameshift deletion           20 $PANCH$ NM 001083603         gchr14:45645855 G>T         c.4delG         p.E255         Frameshift deletion           21 $PTCH1$ NM 001083603         gchr9:98279098 TC>T         c.4delG	c.C199T p.R67X Stopgair	PDAC	
14 $FANCA$ NA $gchrl6:8971687 C>G$ -         -         Splicing           15 $BANCD2$ NM 00108115 $gchri5:10083368 C>T$ $c.757T$ $pR253X$ Stopgain           16 $FANCD$ NM 00118115 $gchri5:8983165 C>T$ $c.757T$ $pR253X$ Stopgain           17 $FANCI$ NM 0111378 $gchr15:8983165 C>T$ $c.25746T$ $p.8253X$ Stopgain           17 $FANCI$ NM 0111378 $gchr15:89843584 C>CA$ $c.25784pA$ $p.89355$ Frameshift insertion           18 $BANCM$ NM 001308133 $gchr14:45645855 G>T$ $c.25784pA$ $p.089355$ Frameshift insertion           19 $NBN$ NM 001308133 $gchr13:45645855 G>T$ $c.26784pA$ $p.08357$ Stopgain           10 $PTCHI$ NM 001308133 $gchr13:45645855 G>T$ $c.36784pA$ $p.08357$ Stopgain           10 $PTCHI$ NM 001083603 $gchr13:48922000 G>T$ $c.44elG$ $p.225$ Frameshift deletion           20 $RBI$ NM         NM $gchr13:48922000 G$	c.C26A p.S9X Stopgair	,	
15 $F4NCD2$ NM 001018115         gchr3:10083368 C>T         c.C757T         p.R253X         Stopgain           16 $F4NCI$ NM 001113378         gchr15:89838165 C>T         c.C3476T         p.R253X         Stopgain           17 $F4NCI$ NM 001113378         gchr15:89838165 C>T         c.C2476T         p.R255X         Stopgain           17 $F4NCI$ NM 01113378         gchr15:89843584 C>CA         c.C2476T         p.Q826X         Stopgain           18 $P4NCM$ NM 01308133         gchr14:45645855 G>T         c.G3820T         p.1274X         Stopgain           18 $NBN$ NM 001308133         gchr14:45645855 G>T         c.G3820T         p.K635X         Stopgain           19 $PTCHI$ NM 001308133         gchr14:45645855 G>T         c.G3820T         p.K635X         Stopgain           10 $PTCHI$ NM 0013603         gchr13:489220063 T>A         c.A1903T         p.K635X         Stopgain           20 $RBI$ NM 001083603         gchr13:48922000 G>A         c.A1903T         p.K655K         Stopgain           21 $RECQL4$ NM 004260         gchr13:48922000 G>A         c.C1960T         p.Q654X	- Splicing	ı	
16 $F_4 NCI$ NM 00111378         g.chr15:8983165 C>T         c.C2476T         p.Q856X         Stopgain           17 $F_4 NCI$ NM 018193         g.chr15:89843584 C>CA         c.2476T         p.Q836K         Frameshift insertion           8 $F_4 NCM$ NM 0130333         g.chr15:89843584 C>CA         c.2678dupA         p.Q893fs         Frameshift insertion           8 $F_4 NCM$ NM 001308133         g.chr14:45645855 G>T         c.G3320T         p.E1274X         Stopgain           19 $NBN$ NM 00138603         g.chr14:5645855 G>T         c.G3320T         p.E1274X         Stopgain           20 $PTCHI$ NM 00138603         g.chr14:5645855 G>T         c.G3320T         p.E1274X         Stopgain           20 $PTCHI$ NM 00138603         g.chr14:5645855 G>T         c.G46IG         p.E2fs         Frameshift deletion           20 $PTCHI$ NM 001083603         g.chr13:48922000 G>A         c.44eIG         p.E2fs         Frameshift deletion           21 $RBI$ NM         NM 001083603         g.chr13:48922000 G>A         c.14eIG         p.G5fs         Frameshift deletion           22 $KBI$ NM         NM 0012803<	c.757T p.R253X Stopgair	PDAC	
17 $F4NCI$ NM 018193       gchrl5:8943584 C>Ca       c.2678dupA       p.0893fa       Frameshift insertion         8 $F4NCM$ NM 001308133       gchrl4:45645855 G>T       c.2678dupA       p.0893fa       Frameshift insertion         18 $NBN$ NM 001308133       gchrl4:45645855 G>T       c.63820T       p.E1274X       Stopgain         19 $NBN$ NM 001308133       gchrl9:98279098 TC>T       c.Al903T       p.K635X       Stopgain         20 $PTCHI$ NM 001083603       gchr9:98279098 TC>T       c.4delG       p.E2fs       Frameshift deletion         20 $PTCHI$ NM 001083603       gchr9:98279098 TC>T       c.4delG       p.E2fs       Frameshift deletion         21 $RBI$ NM 001083603       gchr9:98279098 TC>T       c.4delG       p.E2fs       Frameshift deletion         22 $RBI$ NM 001083603       gchr13:48922000 G>A       c.       c.203delA       p.0654X       Stopgain         21 $RECQL4$ NM 001178133       gchr13:48922000 G>A       c.       p.0654X       Stopgain         22 $SUFU$ NM 001178133       gchr13:48922000 G>A       c.       c.       p.0654X       Stopgain         23	c.C2476T p.Q826X Stopgair	,	
8         F4NCM         NM 001308133         g.chrl4:45645855 G>T         c.G3320T         p.E1274X         Stopgain           18         NBN         NM 002485         g.chr8:90960063 T>A         c.A1903T         p.E1274X         Stopgain           19         PTCH1         NM 002485         g.chr8:90960063 T>A         c.A1903T         p.K635X         Stopgain           20         PTCH1         NM 001083603         g.chr9:98279098 TC>T         c.A1903T         p.K635X         Stopgain           20         PTCH1         NM 001083603         g.chr9:98279098 TC>T         c.4delG         p.E2fs         Frameshift deletion           20         RB1         NM 001083603         g.chr13:48922000 G>A         -         -         Splicing           21         RECQL4         NM 004260         g.chr13:48922000 G>A         -         -         Splicing           22         SUFU         NM 004260         g.chr13:48922000 G>A         -         -         Splicing           23         KECQL4         NM 004260         g.chr13:48922000 G>A         -         -         Splicing           24         RECQL4         NM 004260         g.chr13:48922000 G>A         -         -         -         Splicing           24	c.2678dupA p.Q893fs Framesh	ift insertion -	
18         NBN         NM 002485         g.ch8:9060063 T>A         c.A1903T         p.K635X         Stopgain           19         PTCHI         NM 001083603         g.chr9:98279098 TC>T         c.4delG         p.E2fs         Frameshift deletion           20         PTCHI         NM 001083603         g.chr9:98279098 TC>T         c.4delG         p.E2fs         Frameshift deletion           20         PTCHI         NM 001083603         g.chr9:98279098 TC>T         c.4delG         p.E2fs         Frameshift deletion           20         RBI         NM 001083603         g.chr3:48922000 G>A         c.4delG         p.E2fs         Frameshift deletion           21         RECQL4         NM 004260         g.chr1:3:48922000 G>A         -         -         Splicing           22         SUFU         NM 001178133         g.chr1:0104268955 CA>C         c.223delA         p.R75fs         Frameshift deletion           20         mu	c.G3820T p.E1274X Stopgair	Colloid e	carcinoma
19         PTCH1         NM 001083603         g.chr9:98279098 TC>T         c.4delG         p.E2fs         Frameshift deletion           20         PTCH1         NM 001083603         g.chr9:98279098 TC>T         c.4delG         p.E2fs         Frameshift deletion           20         RB1         NA 001083603         g.chr9:98279098 TC>T         c.4delG         p.E2fs         Frameshift deletion           20         RB1         NA 001083603         g.chr13:48922000 G>A         -         -         Splicing           21         RECQL4         NM 004260         g.chr8:145739410 G>A         -         -         Splicing           22         SUFU         NM 001178133         g.chr10:104268965 CA>C         c.223delA         p.R75fs         Frameshift deletion           20         um         um         0.1178133         g.chr10:104268965 CA>C         c.223delA         p.R75fs         Frameshift deletion	2.A1903T p.K635X Stopgair	,	
20         PTCH1         NM 001083603         g.chr9:98279098 TC>T         c.4delG         p.E2fs         Frameshift deletion           20         RB1         NA         g.chr13:48922000 G>A         -         -         Splicing           21         RECQL4         NM 004260         g.chr13:48922000 G>A         -         -         Splicing           22         SUFU         NM 001178133         g.chr10:104268965 CA>C         c.223delA         p.R75fs         Frameshift deletion           20         mm	2.4delG p.E2fs Framesh	ift deletion Colloid	carcinoma
20         RB1         NA         g.chrl3:48922000 G>A         -         -         Splicing           21         RECQL4         NM 004260         g.chr8:145739410 G>A         c.C1960T         p.Q654X         Stopgain           22         SUFU         NM 001178133         g.chr10:104268965 CA>C         c.223deIA         p.R75fs         Frameshift deletion           20         umi         umi         umi         umi         p.001178133         p.000000         p.00117610         <	2.4delG p.E2fs Framesh	ift deletion -	
21         RECQL4         NM 004260         g.chr8:145739410 G>A         c.C1960T         p.Q654X         Stopgain           22         SUFU         NM 001178133         g.chr10:104268965 CA>C         c.223deIA         p.R75fs         Frameshift deletion           22	- Splicing	ı	
22 <i>SUFU</i> NM 001178133 g.chr10:104268965 CA>C c.223delA p.R75fs Frameshift deletion	c.C1960T p.Q654X Stopgair	,	
	c.223deIA p.R75fs Framesh	ift deletion -	
23 $WII$ NM 0003/8 g.clir11:32430/33 UC>U C.1300eU p.A40IS Framesinit defeuon	2.136delG p.A46fs Framesh	ift deletion -	

$\rightarrow$
~
<u> </u>
t
5
ō
$\leq$
_
-
~
$\geq$
ha
han
/lanu
/lanu
/lanus
lanusc
<b>Anusci</b>
<b>Anuscri</b>
<b>/anuscrip</b>
/anuscript

Table 3.

Comparison of germline mutations identified in patients with surgically resected IPMN and ExAC controls

		H	Ņ		EXAU		
Germine mutation	AC	Ā	N AF	AC	AN	AF	P value
Hereditary cancer gene	26	631	0 0.041	3921	105586	0.037	0.6590
Pancreatic cancer susceptibility	gene 10	631	0 0.016	992	105732	0.009	0.1403
ATM	5	631	0 0.008	134	106203	0.001	<0.0001*
BRCA2	ω	631	0 0.005	216	106188	0.002	0.2858
9HSH6	1	631	0 0.002	261	106196	0.002	0.9709
PALB2	1	631	0 0.002	63	106206	0.001	0.8413
ALK	1	631	0 0.002	24	106209	0.000	0.3570
BRIPI	1	631	0 0.002	120	106202	0.001	0.7336
BUBIB	1	631	0 0.002	32	106209	0.000	0.4874
СDHI	1	631	0 0.002	6	96677	0.000	0.0861
FANCA	1	631	0 0.002	117	105585	0.001	0.7189
FANCD2	1	631	0 0.002	83	106209	0.001	0.9947
FANCI	2	631	0 0.003	83	106208	0.001	0.1569
FANCM	1	631	0 0.002	174	106183	0.002	0.9746
NBN	1	631	0 0.002	59	103676	0.001	0.7286
PTCHI	2	631	0 0.003	14	105834	0.000	<0.0001 *
RBI	1	631	0 0.002	9	106198	0.000	0.0235
RECQL4	1	631	0 0.002	173	105674	0.002	0.9754
SUFU	-	631	0 0.002	0	105586	0.000	< 0.0001
WTI	1	63(	0 0.002	13	105241	0.000	0.1476

Gastroenterology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 May 01.

\* Significant when applying Bonferroni correction for multiple testing (threshold for significance =  $5.3 \times 10^{-4}$ ).

a.
-
~
0
-
_
$\leq$
$\leq$
≤a
Mar
Manu
Manu
Manus
Manus
Manusc
Manuscr
Manuscri
Manuscrip

Author Manuscript

mutation
ı germline
d without a
with and
I IPMN
resected
surgically
with
atients
р.
of p
Comparison of p

	Germline mutation	i in hereditary cancer	promposition Bono		•	
Variable <sup>1</sup>	+ (n=23)	- (n=292)	p-value	+ ( <b>9</b> )	- (n=306)	P value
Patients with concurrent invasive carcinoma (n)	6	63	0.0694	5	67	0.0320
Patients with family history of pancreatic cancer (n)	9	34	0.0971	ŝ	37	0.1670
Patients with personal history of cancer (n)	1	53	0.1419	1	53	1.0000
Mean age at surgery (years)	65.2	68.2	0.1911	62.2	68.2	0.1025
Male patients (n)	14	148	0.3916	9	156	0.5031
Patients with high-grade dysplasia (n)	8	130	0.6442	2	136	0.6865
Mean longest diameter of IPMN (cm)	2.1	2.7	0.0986	2.1	2.7	0.3674
Patients with multifocal IPMN (n)	4	58	1.0000	2	60	0.6921
Patients with main duct involvement (n)	9	106	1.0000	2	110	0.3078
Mean duration of follow-up (months)	46.8	32.5	0.1248	40.2	33.2	0.6287
Incident pancreatic cancer during follow-up (n)	0	2	1.0000	0	2	1.0000