

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)

Kelly LE, Ohlsson A, Shah PS

Kelly LE, Ohlsson A, Shah PS. Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2017, Issue 8. Art. No.: CD005494. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005494.pub4.

www.cochranelibrary.com

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review) Copyright © 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER	1
ABSTRACT	1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY	2
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	3
BACKGROUND	6
OBJECTIVES	6
METHODS	6
RESULTS	9
Figure 1	10
Figure 2	13
Figure 3	14
DISCUSSION 1	18
AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS	19
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	19
REFERENCES	20
CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES	23
DATA AND ANALYSES	33
Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 Sildenafil versus placebo, Outcome 1 Pulmonary arterial pressure.	35
Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 Sildenafil versus placebo, Outcome 2 PaO2 in mmHg (absolute values).	35
Analysis 1.3. Comparison 1 Sildenafil versus placebo, Outcome 3 Mean arterial blood pressure in mmHg	36
Analysis 1.4. Comparison 1 Sildenafil versus placebo, Outcome 4 All-cause mortality.	37
Analysis 1.5. Comparison 1 Sildenafil versus placebo, Outcome 5 Oxygenation index (absolute values).	37
Analysis 1.6. Comparison 1 Sildenafil versus placebo, Outcome 6 Change in oxygenation index.	38
Analysis 1.7. Comparison 1 Sildenafil versus placebo, Outcome 7 A-a DO2 difference.	39
Analysis 1.8. Comparison 1 Sildenafil versus placebo, Outcome 8 Mean airway pressure.	39
Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 Sildenafil versus active control, Outcome 1 All-cause mortality.	41
Analysis 2.2. Comparison 2 Sildenafil versus active control, Outcome 2 Time to adequate response (days)	41
Analysis 2.3. Comparison 2 Sildenafil versus active control, Outcome 3 Duration of ventilation (days).	41
Analysis 2.4. Comparison 2 Sildenafil versus active control, Outcome 4 Inotropic agent.	41
Analysis 3.1. Comparison 3 Sildenafil plus iNO versus placebo plus iNO, Outcome 1 All-cause mortality	42
Analysis 3.2. Comparison 3 Sildenafil plus iNO versus placebo plus iNO, Outcome 2 Length of stay in hospital (days)	42
Analysis 3.3. Comparison 3 Sildenafil plus iNO versus placebo plus iNO, Outcome 3 Inotropic agent.	42
Analysis 3.4. Comparison 3 Sildenafil plus iNO versus placebo plus iNO, Outcome 4 Duration of ventilation (days)	43
Analysis 3.5. Comparison 3 Sildenafil plus iNO versus placebo plus iNO, Outcome 5 Retinopathy of prematurity	43
APPENDICES	43
WHAT'S NEW	45
HISTORY	45
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	46
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	46
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	46
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW	46
INDEX TERMS	46



[Intervention Review]

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates

Lauren E Kelly¹, Arne Ohlsson^{2a}, Prakeshkumar S Shah³

¹Department of Paediatrics, University of Toronto Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Canada. ²Departments of Paediatrics, Obstetrics and Gynaecology and Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada. ³Department of Paediatrics and Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Canada

^aDeceased

Contact address: Prakeshkumar S Shah, Department of Paediatrics and Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto Mount Sinai Hospital, 600 University Avenue, Toronto, ON, M5G 1XB, Canada. pshah@mtsinai.on.ca.

Editorial group: Cochrane Neonatal Group. **Publication status and date:** Edited (no change to conclusions), published in Issue 1, 2020.

Citation: Kelly LE, Ohlsson A, Shah PS. Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2017, Issue 8. Art. No.: CD005494. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005494.pub4.

Copyright © 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

ABSTRACT

Background

Persistent pulmonary hypertension in the neonate (PPHN) is associated with high mortality. Currently, the therapeutic mainstay for PPHN consists of assisted ventilation and administration of inhaled nitric oxide (iNO). However, nitric oxide is costly, and its use may not be appropriate in resource-poor settings. Approximately 30% of patients fail to respond to iNO. High concentrations of phosphodiesterases in the pulmonary vasculature have led to the use of phosphodiesterase inhibitors such as sildenafil or milrinone.

Objectives

To assess the efficacy and safety of sildenafil for treatment of pulmonary hypertension in neonates.

Search methods

We used the standard search strategy of Cochrane Neonatal to search the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL; 2017, Issue 3), MEDLINE via PubMed (1966 to 18 April 2017), Embase (1980 to 18 April 2017), and the Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL; 1982 to 18 April 2017). We searched clinical trials databases, conference proceedings, and reference lists of retrieved articles for randomised controlled trials and quasi-randomised trials.

Selection criteria

We included randomised and quasi-randomised controlled trials of sildenafil compared with placebo or other pulmonary vasodilators, irrespective of dose, route, and duration of administration, in neonates with pulmonary hypertension, if investigators reported any of the prespecified outcomes.

Data collection and analysis

We assessed the methodological quality of trials regarding how bias was minimised at study entry, during study intervention, and at outcomes measurement. We extracted data on relevant outcomes; we estimated the effect size and reported it as risk ratio (RR), risk difference (RD), or mean difference (MD), as appropriate. We applied the I² test of heterogeneity and used GRADE to assess the quality of evidence.

Main results

For this update, we identified two additional studies, for a total of five eligible trials that enrolled 166 infants. The methodological quality of these studies ranged from low to high risk of bias. Three studies were performed in resource-limited settings, where iNO and high-frequency



ventilation were not available at the time of the study. One study compared sildenafil versus active controls, and another study evaluated sildenafil as adjuvant therapy to iNO. When comparing sildenafil with placebo, investigators noted significant reduction in mortality in the sildenafil alone group (three studies, 77 participants; typical RR 0.20, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.07 to 0.56; I² = 0% - none; typical RR -0.36, 95% CI -0.53 to -0.18; number needed to treat for an additional beneficial outcome 3, 95% CI 2 to 6; I² = 39% - low). Trials reported no significant differences in mortality upon comparison of the sildenafil group versus the active control group (one study, 65 participants; typical RR 0.55, 95% CI 0.05 to 5.75), or when iNO was administered to both groups (one study, 24 participants; typical RR 1.27, 95% CI 0.26 to 6.28). Physiological parameters of oxygenation (oxygenation index, partial pressure of oxygen in arterial blood (PaO₂)) suggested steady improvement after the first dose of sildenafil. None of the included trials identified any clinically important side effects. We rated the quality of evidence as low to very low owing to imprecision related to small sample size and unclear methodological features.

Authors' conclusions

Sildenafil used for treatment of pulmonary hypertension has potential for reducing mortality and improving oxygenation in neonates, especially in resource-limited settings where iNO is not available. However, large-scale randomised trials comparing sildenafil versus active controls (other pulmonary vasodilators) and providing follow-up for survivors are needed to assess the comparative effectiveness and long-term safety of sildenafil versus other pulmonary vasodilators.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates

Review question

Is sildenafil safe and effective in newborn babies with pulmonary hypertension?

Background

When a baby is born, pressure in the blood vessels of the lungs is high, and when normal breathing is established, this pressure starts to fall. In some babies, this transition does not occur and pressure remains high; this does not allow blood to go to the lungs to get adequate oxygen. This situation is called persistent pulmonary hypertension of the neonate (PPHN). Other events can lead to development of high pressure in lung blood vessels that can manifest within a few days after birth. Persistent high pressure in these vessels leads to delivery of less oxygen to all organs of the body. A medication called sildenafil may cause lung blood vessels to relax, allowing improved blood flow and improved delivery of oxygen to all organs.

Study characteristics

We identified five studies that evaluated effects of sildenafil: three studies that compared sildenafil with placebo (no sildenafil); one that compared sildenafil with other medication (magnesium sulphate); and one that used sildenafil in combination with another medicine (nitric oxide). These studies included 166 newborns and were conducted in Colombia, Mexico, Turkey, and Qatar.

Key results

Three studies that compared sildenafil and placebo (no sildenafil) reported that sildenafil reduced the number of deaths. Studies that compared sildenafil against another medication or that used another treatment with sildenafil described no significant reduction in the number of deaths. Sildenafil was more effective than placebo in improving oxygen levels. None of the five included studies reported safety concerns. However, these studies enrolled small numbers of infants, and most were conducted in settings where other treatments were not available. Sildenafil may be useful in settings where other treatment approaches are not available. However, additional studies are needed to compare sildenafil against existing treatment in a resourceful environment to assess its effectiveness and safety.

Quality of evidence

The quality of evidence for reducing mortality or improving respiratory parameters was low owing to the small number of included studies and the small number of babies evaluated. Some of the included studies have methodological issues, resulting in low to very low quality of evidence.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Summary of findings for the main comparison. Sildenafil compared with placebo for pulmonary hypertension in neonates

Sildenafil compared with placebo for pulmonary hypertension in neonates

Patient or population: pulmonary hypertension in neonates Setting: neonatal intensive care unit

Intervention: sildenafil

Comparison: placebo

Copyright © 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)

Outcomes		Anticipated absolute effects	Relative ef-	No. of partic-	Quality of the	Comments	
		Risk with placebo	Risk with sildenafil	(95% CI)	(studies)	(GRADE)	
	PaO ₂ in mmHg (ab- solute values) After 24-25 hours	Mean PaO ₂ in mmHg (ab- solute values) After 24 to 25 hours = 0	MD 15.31 higher (6.49 higher to 24.13 high- er)	-	57 (2 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊝⊝ LOWa,b	Evidence was downgraded due to unreported methodological features and imprecision (small sample size)
	Change in oxygena- tion index After 24 hours of treatment	Mean change in oxygena- tion index After 24 hours = 0	MD 38.79 lower (56.97 lower to 20.61 low- er)	-	12 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊝⊝ LOWa,b	Evidence was downgraded due to unreported methodological features and imprecision (small sample size)
	All-cause mortality	Study population		RR 0.20	77 (3 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊝⊝ L ∩wa.b	Evidence was downgraded due
		432 per 1000	77 per 1000 (22 to 238)	(0.01 10 0.00)	(0		features and imprecision (small sample size)

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on assumed risk in the comparison group and relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI)

CI: confidence interval; MD: mean difference; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect

Moderate quality: We are moderately confident in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different

Low quality: Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect

Very low quality: We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect

Summary of findings 2. Sildenafil compared with active control for pulmonary hypertension in neonates

Sildenafil compared with active control for pulmonary hypertension in neonates

Patient or population: pulmonary hypertension in neonates

Setting: neonatal intensive care unit

Intervention: sildenafil

Comparison: magnesium sulphate

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute	effects* (95% CI)	Relative ef-	No. of partic- inants	Quality of the	Comments	
	Risk with magne- sium sulphate	Risk with sildenafil	(95% CI)	(studies)	(GRADE)		
All-cause mor-	Study population		RR 0.55	65 (1 RCT)	⊕⊝⊝⊝ VERV LOWa.b	Evidence was downgraded due to very serious im- precision, as results from this single study have not	
uny	59 per 1000	32 per 1000 (3 to 338)	(0.00 (0 0.10)	(1.00)		been replicated and risk of bias is evident in study design (missing data)	

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on assumed risk in the comparison group and relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI)

CI: confidence interval; MD: mean difference; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect

Moderate quality: We are moderately confident in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different

Low quality: Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect

Very low quality: We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect

^aImprecise due to small sample size; only one included study

^bRisk of bias due to missing data (not analysed as intent to treat)

Summary of findings 3. Sildenafil plus iNO compared with placebo plus iNO for pulmonary hypertension in neonates

Sildenafil plus iNO compared with placebo plus iNO for pulmonary hypertension in neonates

Patient or population: pulmonary hypertension in neonates Setting: neonatal intensive care unit

Comparison: placebo plus iNO

Outcomes Anticipated absolute effects* (95% CI)		Relative ef-	No. of partic-	Quality of the	Comments	
	Risk with placebo plus iNO	Risk with sildenafil plus iNO	(95% CI)	(studies)	(GRADE)	
All-cause mor- tality	Study population		RR 1.27 (0.26 to 6.28)	24 (1 RCT)		Evidence was downgraded due to imprecision.
	182 per 1000	231 per 1000 (38 to 690)	(0.20 10 0.20)	(1.01)		
Length of stay in hospital (days)	Mean length of stay in hospital was 17.81 days.	MD 5.39 higher (5.31 lower to 16.09 higher)	-	24 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊝⊝ LOW ^a	Evidence was downgraded due to imprecision .

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on assumed risk in the comparison group and relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI)

CI: confidence interval; MD: mean difference; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect

Moderate quality: We are moderately confident in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different

Low quality: Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect

Very low quality: We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect

^aImprecise due to very small sample size; only one included study



BACKGROUND

Description of the condition

Neonatal pulmonary hypertension and persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn (PPHN) are terms that can be used interchangeably to describe a neonate who has cyanosis in the first few days of life in the absence of a structural congenital cardiac lesion or haemoglobinopathy (Gersony 1984). The clinical diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension is considered when hypoxaemia is refractory to oxygen therapy or to lung recruitment strategies (partial pressure of oxygen in arterial blood $(PaO_2) < 55$ mmHg despite fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO₂) of 1.0) (Roberts 1997; Shah 2004) associated with a preductal to postductal oxygen gradient greater than 20 mmHg (Walsh-Sukys 2000). Clinicians make the echocardiographic diagnosis of PPHN by demonstrating the presence of extrapulmonary rightto-left shunting at the ductal or atrial level in the absence of severe pulmonary parenchymal disease with Doppler evidence of tricuspid regurgitation (Shah 2004; Wessel 1997). During cardiac catheterisation, pulmonary hypertension is defined as pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP) greater than 25 to 30 mmHg (Adatia 2002). The incidence of pulmonary hypertension among newborns has been reported as approximately 2/1000 live births, with a reported mortality rate at various centres in the United States of 4% to 33% (Walsh-Sukys 2000). Pulmonary hypertension in the neonate can be primary (idiopathic) or can occur secondary to pulmonary parenchymal disease (such as meconium aspiration syndrome, surfactant deficiency, or alveolocapillary dysplasia), severe pulmonary hypoplasia (Adatia 2002; Gersony 1984), polycythaemia, hypoglycaemia, sepsis, or maternal ingestion of prostaglandin inhibitors.

Description of the intervention

By virtue of its selective pulmonary vasodilator effects, inhaled nitric oxide (iNO) is considered the mainstay for treatment of pulmonary hypertension among term or near-term neonates (Barrington 2010). Approximately 30% of neonates with PPHN fail to respond to iNO (Goldman 1996). For some patients, nitric oxide therapy is associated with rebound pulmonary hypertension when therapy is discontinued, as the result of suppression of endogenous nitric oxide production (Kinsella 2000). Other potential complications include development of methaemoglobinaemia. In addition, iNO is a costly intervention (Subhedar 2002). The potential role of iNO in the treatment of preterm neonates with respiratory insufficiency remains unclear (Finer 2017).

How the intervention might work

Advances in our understanding of the physiology of vasoactive mediators have revealed a high concentration of phosphodiesterases in the pulmonary vasculature (Rabe 1994). Inhibition of phosphodiesterase-5 leads to increased concentrations of cyclic adenosine monophosphate (AMP) and guanosine monophosphate (GMP) locally, which in turn leads to relaxation of pulmonary vascular smooth muscles (Humbert 2004). Phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors include dipyridamole, zaprinast, pentoxifylline, and sildenafil (Travadi 2003). Dipyridamole has a significant systemic vasodilatory effect (Dukarm 1998). Zaprinast and pentoxifylline have not been adequately studied. Sildenafil has been studied in neonatal animal models. A neonatal pig model of pulmonary hypertension induced secondary to

meconium aspiration (Shekerdemian 2002) demonstrated marked improvement in pulmonary vascular resistance and cardiac output (without deterioration in systemic oxygenation) one hour after intravenous infusion of sildenafil compared with control. In a separate experiment, Shekerdemian and co-workers observed improvement in pulmonary vascular resistance; however, improvement was associated with systemic vasodilation and deterioration of oxygenation when sildenafil (0.5 mg/kg) was administered along with 20 ppm of iNO (Shekerdemian 2004). The interaction of sildenafil with other selective pulmonary vasodilators warrants further study.

Sildenafil has been used for treatment of pulmonary hypertension in adults (Kanthapillai 2004; Sastry 2004) in intravenous, oral (Ikeda 2005), and inhaled (Ichinose 2001) forms. Uncontrolled experiments in children showed that sildenafil reduced pulmonary vascular resistance (Abrams 2000; Erickson 2002; Carroll 2003) and improved exercise capacity. Uncontrolled studies on the use of sildenafil in neonates have reported improved pulmonary vascular resistance and survival (Erickson 2002; Kumar 2002). These reports have evoked a mixed reaction from the scientific community (Kumar 2002; Lewin 2002; Oliver 2002; Patole 2002). Marsh 2004 reported severe retinopathy of prematurity following use of sildenafil in a neonate with severe pulmonary hypertension; however, another study did not report this complication (Pierce 2005). Use of sildenafil in adults is suspected to worsen proliferative diabetic retinopathy (Burton 2000; Behn 2001). Therefore, retinal vascular growth must be carefully observed, especially in preterm neonates. Sastry 2004 reported a slightly higher incidence of backache, headache, numbness of feet and hands, and constipation among adults who received sildenafil versus placebo for primary pulmonary hypertension.

Why it is important to do this review

A systematic review of sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in adults and children identified four eligible studies including 77 participants. Review authors concluded that more studies of adequate size are necessary (Kanthapillai 2004). This review did not include neonates. The disease is more prevalent among neonates and, in most cases, has a different pathophysiology (in neonates, characterised by failure of the natural decrease in pulmonary vascular resistance; in children and adults, occurring as primary disease or secondary to various chronic illnesses such as collagen vascular disease, left heart disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, interstitial disease, or chronic thromboembolic disorders). This review systematically evaluates the use of sildenafil for treatment of pulmonary hypertension in neonates.

OBJECTIVES

To assess the efficacy and safety of sildenafil for treatment of pulmonary hypertension in neonates.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

We included randomised and quasi-randomised controlled trials of sildenafil for treatment of pulmonary hypertension in neonates. We considered studies that used any route of administration (intravenous, inhaled, or oral), any dose of sildenafil, and any

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review) Copyright © 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. duration of administration. We did not include cross-over studies owing to frequent resolution of the condition over a short time.

Types of participants

Cochrane

We included in the review both term and preterm infants (at postnatal age < 28 days after reaching 40 weeks' postmenstrual age (PMA)) with primary or secondary pulmonary hypertension. We included studies in which the diagnosis was based on clinical findings with or without echocardiographic confirmation. We excluded patients with known structural heart disease (other than patent foramen ovale or patent ductus arteriosus).

Types of interventions

We included the following interventions.

- Sildenafil versus placebo or no treatment.
- Sildenafil versus another pulmonary vasodilator.
- Sildenafil and another pulmonary vasodilator versus another pulmonary vasodilator or placebo.

Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes

- Haemodynamic parameters (absolute values and change from baseline measured after the first dose, after 24 hours, after 30 hours, after 36 hours, after 42 hours, and at the end of treatment)
 Pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP) in mmHg
 - Oxygenation (PaO₂) or FiO₂ requirement
 - Cardiac output in L/kg/min

 - Mean arterial blood pressure in mmHg
- All-cause mortality within the first 28 days of life (neonatal mortality)

Secondary outcomes

- Changes in pulmonary vascular resistance index in Woods unit m² (WUm²) (absolute values and change from baseline measured after first dose, after 24 hours, after 30 hours, after 36 hours, after 42 hours, and at the end of treatment)
- Changes in systemic vascular resistance index in WUm² (absolute values and change from baseline measured after first dose, after 24 hours, after 30 hours, after 36 hours, after 42 hours, and at the end of treatment)
- Changes in oxygenation index (OI = PaO₂ × FiO₂/100) (absolute values and change from baseline measured after first dose, after 24 hours, after 30 hours, after 36 hours, after 42 hours, and at the end of treatment)
- Rebound increase in PAP (dichotomous)
- Decrease in cardiac output after weaning from sildenafil (dichotomous)
- Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) treatment before discharge
- All-cause mortality before discharge
- Length of hospitalisation (days)
- Retinopathy of prematurity (among very preterm infants at < 32 weeks' gestation), any stage and stage 3 or greater
- Intraventricular haemorrhage (any stage and grade 3 or greater)
- Neurodevelopmental disability at 18 to 24 months (including cerebral palsy, cognitive impairment, deafness, and blindness)

• Clinically important adverse effects reported by study authors (not prespecified)

- Any other clinically important outcome reported by study authors (not prespecified)
 - * Alveolar-arterial oxygen difference (A-a DO₂)
 - * Mean airway pressure

For all haemodynamic parameters, we planned to assess the change from baseline at 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24, and 48 hours, or at nearest times reported by study authors.

Search methods for identification of studies

We used the criteria and standard methods of Cochrane and the Cochrane Neonatal Review Group (CNRG) (see the Cochrane Neonatal search strategy for specialized register).

Electronic searches

We applied standard search strategies of the CNRG as outlined in the Cochrane Library. We conducted a comprehensive electronic search including the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL 2017; Issue 3) in the Cochrane Library; MEDLINE via PubMed (1966 to 18 April 2017); Embase (1980 to 18 April 2017); and the Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL; 1982 to18 April 2017), using the following search terms: (Sildenafil[MeSH] OR sildenafil OR tadalafil OR Viagra OR Phosphodiesterase Inhibitors[MeSH] OR Phosphodiesterase V[MeSH] OR pulmonary vasodilator) AND (hypertension, pulmonary[MeSH] OR PPHN OR hypertension OR persistent fetal circulation syndrome[MeSH] OR rebound OR persistent fetal circulation syndrome), plus database-specific limiters for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and neonates (see Appendix 1 for full search strategies for each database). We did not apply language restrictions.

Searching other resources

We searched clinical trials registries for ongoing or recently completed trials ((clinicaltrials.gov); the World Health Organization International Trials Registry and Platform (www.whoint/ictrp/ search/en/); the ISRCTN Registry)). We excluded the following types of articles: letters, editorials/commentaries, reviews, lectures, and commentaries. We manually searched the reference lists of full-text versions (RCTs and reviews) identified during the primary literature search.

Data collection and analysis

We used standard review methods of the CNRG to select studies for inclusion, to extract study data, and to assess the methodological quality of identified studies.

Selection of studies

We assessed for inclusion all published articles identified as potentially relevant by the literature search. We resolved discrepancies regarding inclusion/exclusion of studies by consensus.

Data extraction and management

Each review author extracted data separately using predesigned data abstraction forms. Review authors compared results and resolved differences. One review author entered data into RevMan

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review) Copyright © 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



5.3 (RevMan 2014); the other review authors cross-checked the printout against data entered into abstraction forms, and corrected errors by consensus.

If relevant articles were identified, review authors obtained data from study authors when published data provided inadequate information for the review, and when relevant data could not be abstracted.

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

Three review authors (LEK, AO, PSS) used the Cochrane 'Risk of bias' tool (Higgins 2011) to independently assess risk of bias (low, high, or unclear) of all included trials for the following domains.

- Sequence generation (selection bias).
- Allocation concealment (selection bias).
- Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias).
- Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias).
- Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias).
- Selective reporting (reporting bias).
- Any other bias.

We resolved disagreements by discussion. See Appendix 2 for a detailed description of risk of bias for each domain.

Measures of treatment effect

We performed statistical analyses in accordance with recommendations of the CNRG, using RevMan 5.3 software (RevMan 2014). Treatment effect estimates included typical risk ratio (RR), typical risk difference (RD), number needed to treat for an additional beneficial outcome (NNTB), or number needed to treat for an additional harmful outcome (NNTH) for dichotomous outcomes, and mean difference (MD) for continuous outcomes. We reported all estimates of treatment effects with 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

Unit of analysis issues

The unit of analysis in included trials was the individual randomised neonate. We planned to include cluster-RCTs, if available. We planned to analyse cluster-RCTs using the methods described in the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (Higgins 2011), along with an estimate of the intracluster correlation coefficient.

Dealing with missing data

As needed, we requested from corresponding study authors additional information regarding study design or outcome measures. We planned to include in an intention-to-treat analysis all infants for whom included studies reported outcomes.

Assessment of heterogeneity

Heterogeneity testing including the l^2 test, which we performed to assess the appropriateness of pooling data by using RevMan 5.3 software. We roughly categorised degree of heterogeneity according to the recommendations of Higgins and coworkers (Higgins 2011). We followed CNRG recommendations by using the following criteria to describe percentage of heterogeneity: < 25% no heterogeneity, \ge 25% to 49% low heterogeneity, \ge 50% to 74% moderate heterogeneity, and \ge 75% high heterogeneity. We performed planned subgroup analyses according to the criteria listed below.

Assessment of reporting biases

We assessed reporting and publication biases by examining the degree of asymmetry on a funnel plot, using RevMan 5.3 software, when a comparison includes at least 10 clinical trials.

Data synthesis

We performed meta-analyses using Review Manager software (RevMan 2014) supplied by the Cochrane Collaboration. For estimates of typical RR and RD, we used the Mantel-Haenszel method; for measured quantities, the inverse variance method; and for all meta-analyses, we used the fixed-effect model.

Quality of evidence

We used the GRADE approach, as outlined in the GRADE Handbook (Schünemann 2013), to assess the quality of evidence for the following (clinically relevant) outcomes: respiratory parameters (PaO₂, OI, mean PAP), mortality; and length of hospital stay.

Two review authors independently assessed the quality of evidence for each of the outcomes above. We considered evidence from RCTs as high quality but downgraded evidence one level for serious (or two levels for very serious) limitations on the basis of the following: design (risk of bias), consistency across studies, directness of evidence, precision of estimates, and presence of publication bias. We used the GRADEpro GDT Guideline Development Tool to create a 'Summary of findings' table to report the quality of evidence.

The GRADE approach yields an assessment of the quality of a body of evidence according to one of four grades.

- High: We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.
- Moderate: We are moderately confident in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.
- Low: Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.
- Very low: We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

We planned to perform a priori subgroup analyses based on the following.

- Gestational age (term and preterm defined as < 37 weeks' gestation).
- Method of diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension (clinical or echocardiographic).
- Route of administration of sildenafil (oral vs intravenous vs inhaled).
- Primary or secondary cause of pulmonary hypertension.

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)

Copyright @ 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



- Comparison 1: sildenafil versus control
 - Category 1: type of control intervention
 - □ Subgroups: A. Sildenafil versus placebo. B. Sildenafil versus no treatment
 - Category 2: gestational age
 ☐ Subgroups: 1. Preterm. 2. Term
- Comparison 2: sildenafil versus other pulmonary vasodilator
 - Category 1: type of control intervention Subgroups: A. Sildenafil versus inhaled nitric oxide. B. Sildenafil versus other pulmonary vasodilator
 - Category 2: gestational age
 ☐ Subgroups 1. Preterm. 2. Term
- Comparison 3: sildenafil and other pulmonary vasodilator versus other pulmonary vasodilator
 - * Category 1: type of control intervention
 - Subgroups: A. Sildenafil and other pulmonary vasodilator versus inhaled nitric oxide. B. Sildenafil and nitric oxide versus other pulmonary vasodilator. C. Sildenafil and nitric oxide versus placebo/no treatment
 - Category 2: gestational age
 ☐ Subgroups 1. Preterm. 2. Term

Post hoc comparison modification

After performing a revised literature search, we made a post hoc modification. Comparison 3 now includes "sildenafil plus other

vasodilator (iNO) versus control (placebo) plus iNO" to include studies that used sildenafil as adjuvant therapy (both groups received nitric oxide) and compared treatment versus a placebo control.

Sensitivity analysis

If needed, we planned to explore the impact of the level of bias by undertaking sensitivity analyses.

RESULTS

Description of studies

In this update of our review, we evaluated 1674 citations (Figure 1). Review of 77 articles in full text yielded two additional studies that were eligible for inclusion (Al Omar 2016; Uslu 2011) and three studies (Baquero 2006; Herrera 2006; Vargas-Origel 2010) that were included in a previous version of this review (Shah 2011). We excluded a total of six studies (Kahveci 2014; König 2014; Namachivayam 2006; Sayed 2015; Steinhorn 2009; Stocker 2003), we kept four studies (NCT01757782; NCT01373749; Soliz 2009; Alipour 2017) in awaiting assessment status, and we identified one ongoing study (NCT01720524).



Figure 1. Study flow diagram: review update.





We excluded Kahveci 2014, as it was a retrospective comparison of sildenafil and iloprost. König 2014 compared sildenafil versus placebo, but we excluded this study because it included neonates with bronchopulmonary dysplasia, and some patients may not have received a diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension. We excluded Namachivayam 2006 because the study included patients from 0.1 year of age (potentially > 1 month), and most (> 80%) participants had congenital heart disease. Sayed 2015 performed a single-arm uncontrolled evaluation of sildenafil. Steinhorn 2009 was an openlabel dose-escalating study. Stocker 2003 studied infants following cardiac surgery. We identified NCT01757782 and NCT01373749 through ClinicalTrials.Gov and placed these studies in the awaiting status category, as published results were not yet available. We excluded Soliz 2009 because the abstract was presented at a scientific meeting but information was inadequate to distinguish it as a separate study. We placed Alipour 2017 in the awaiting further information classification, as review authors did not present clear details of when outcome measures were assessed.

Our previous report included Herrera 2006 in abstract form; in the current version of our review, we have included this study as a full report published in Spanish. For Baquero 2006, we requested data regarding haemodynamic measurements taken before and during the intervention period, as well as incidence of rebound hypoxaemia, incidence of intraventricular haemorrhage, length of stay, and number of infants needing ECMO. Study authors provided data on $\ensuremath{\text{FiO}}_2$ before the start of therapy, mean arterial BP before the start of therapy and at 36 hours after therapy among survivors, and the number of infants with grade 3 or 4 intraventricular haemorrhage. In addition, study authors reported follow-up data in abstract form from three infants (one neonatal death, one death at five months of age, and one loss to follow-up) (Baquero 2006). Vargas-Origel 2010 published data on a total of 53 study participants. At first, investigators enrolled 20 participants in the placebo group and 20 in the sildenafil group. After 40 participants had been enrolled, the institutional ethics board prohibited use of placebo, and investigators thereafter randomised study participants to sildenafil versus nitric oxide. The published manuscript provides data on 33 participants in the sildenafil group and 20 in the placebo group. We contacted study authors and requested only data from comparison of the first 40 participants (20 in the sildenafil group and 20 in the placebo group). Newly added studies include Al Omar 2016 and Uslu 2011. Study authors for Al Omar 2016 provided clarification regarding mortality outcomes data, demographic data (including birth weight standard deviation), and OI mean values.

For additional details, see Characteristics of included studies.

Baquero 2006

- <u>Setting</u>: single-centre pilot randomised double-blind controlled trial at a regional neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) in Colombia. In this unit, iNO, high-frequency ventilation, and ECMO were not available
- <u>Objective</u>: to evaluate the feasibility of using oral sildenafil and to determine the effects of oral sildenafil on oxygenation in term and near-term infants with PPHN
- <u>Population</u>: term and near-term (≥ 35.5 weeks' gestation) infants with severe hypoxaemia (need for mechanical ventilation with OI ≥ 40) and echocardiographically confirmed

PPHN (presence of right-to-left shunt and estimated PAP \ge 40 mmHg)

- Intervention: oral sildenafil or placebo (diluent). The solution for sildenafil was prepared by crushing a 50 mg tablet of sildenafil in Orabase (diluent) to achieve a concentration of 2 mg/mL. The protocol for dosing included (1) first dose of 1 mg/kg (0.5 mL/kg) within 30 minutes of randomisation, (2) dosing every six hours, (3) potential doubling of the dose (1 mL/kg) if OI did not improve and blood pressure remained stable, and (4) discontinuation of treatment if OI was < 20, or if participant had received eight doses. Other aspects of care management remained the same in both study arms
- <u>Outcomes</u>: mortality; changes in OI, mortality, PaO₂, and mean arterial blood pressure. OI was not reported for two neonates who improved to meet study exit criteria
- **Recruitment**: eligibility criteria met by 22 patients. Of these, two patients died (before enrolment in the study), four parents refused consent, and three parents were not approached for consent. Researchers enrolled a total of 13 participants (six in the placebo group and seven in the treatment group). The institutional review board terminated the study owing to the death of six participants enrolled in the study
- **Follow-up**: data reported in abstract form on four survivors in the sildenafil group assessed at 18 months of age

Herrera 2006

- <u>Setting</u>: single-centre randomised controlled study in Mexico. The centre did not have the facility needed to administer iNO
- <u>Objective</u>: to compare the efficacy of oral sildenafil therapy versus conventional therapy in term neonates with PPHN at a centre without iNO
- **Population**: term neonates with a diagnosis of PPHN and OI > 25
- Intervention: participants randomised to sildenafil (n = 13)
 2 mg/kg via orogastric tube or distilled water (n = 11). Total duration of therapy was 72 hours. Sildenafil was administered at 2 mg/kg/dose via orogastric tube every six hours
- <u>Outcomes</u>: mortality; changes in OI, PaO₂, mean arterial blood pressure, PaCO₂, and intubation days were compared
- **<u>Recruitment</u>**: 13 of 24 recruited neonates randomly selected to receive sildenafil. Outcomes data were reported on all 24 enrolled neonates

Vargas-Origel 2010

- **Setting**: single-centre randomised controlled trial in Mexico. In this unit, iNO was not available at the start of the trial. Inhaled nitric oxide became available during the study, and the ethics board prohibited use of placebo after 40 neonates had been randomised
- Objective: to evaluate the efficacy of oral sildenafil in newborns with PPHN
- **<u>Population</u>**: term and post-term infants with PPHN diagnosed within first 48 hours who had OI > 20
- Intervention: participants given either oral sildenafil or placebo (normal saline). Solution for sildenafil was prepared by crushing a 50-mg tablet of sildenafil in 20 mL of water. Protocol for dosing was 3 mg/kg/dose every six hours via nasogastric tube. Treatment was continued until OI was < 10. Other aspects of

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)

Copyright ${\ensuremath{\mathbb C}}$ 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

management of infant care remained the same in both arms of the study

ochrane

- <u>Outcomes</u>: data on OI, mean airway pressure, mean arterial pressure, PaO₂, and mortality for first 40 participants randomised to sildenafil (20) and placebo (20) provided by study authors
- **<u>Recruitment</u>**: 51 enrolled neonates. Following an ethics board decision, only the first 40 participants were randomised to placebo or sildenafil. Full-text article presents data on all enrolled neonates; however, study authors provided data from the first 40 randomised neonates for inclusion in this review

Uslu 2011

- <u>Setting</u>: single-centre randomised double-blind controlled trial at a regional referral NICU in Turkey. In this unit, iNO, highfrequency ventilation, and ECMO were not available
- <u>Objective</u>: to determine and compare clinical efficacy and side effects of intravenous MgSO₄ and oral sildenafil therapy in newborns with PPHN
- **Population**: term and near-term (35 to 42 weeks' gestation) infants with hypoxaemic respiratory failure associated with PPHN. Inclusion criteria included PAP ≥ 40 mmHg, OI ≥ 30, and the need for mechanical ventilation. Researchers excluded neonates with congenital heart disease, suspicion of sepsis (and other anomalies), gastric intolerance, or gastric bleeding
- <u>Intervention</u>: oral sildenafil (0.5 mg/kg every 6 hours) or IV MgSO₄ (200 mg/kg loading dose, followed by a maintenance dose of 20 mg/kg/h)
- **Outcomes**: primary outcome was time of adequate clinical response, defined as a decrease in PAP to < 20 mmHg and OI to < 15. Secondary outcomes included duration of mechanical ventilation, support of inotropic agent, mortality rate, and adverse events
- **<u>Recruitment</u>**: eligibility criteria met by 77 patients, 72 of whom were randomised following parental consent. A total of 36 participants were given oral sildenafil, and 36 were treated with MgSO₄. Complete data were collected on 31/36 infants in the sildenafil group (3 gastric bleeding, 2 incomplete records) and on 34/36 in the MgSO₄ group (2 incomplete records)

Al Omar 2016

- <u>Setting</u>: single-centre randomised clinical trial conducted at an NICU in Qatar over three years (September 2011 to September 2014)
- **Objectives**: to evaluate the feasibility and effectiveness of adding sildenafil as adjuvant therapy together with iNO when treating newborns with PPHN and/or hypoxaemic respiratory failure; to assess whether this approach could improve

oxygenation, decrease time on mechanical ventilation, and prevent rebound hypoxaemic episodes

- **Population**: newborn infants born at gestational age of 34 weeks or greater who at less than 48 hours of age had an OI greater than or equal to 20 mmHg; radiological, clinical, and biochemical evidence of acute hypoxaemic respiratory failure; surfactant therapy established where indicated and an arterial line
- <u>Intervention</u>: participants given either oral sildenafil (2 mg/kg/ dose every 6 hours) or placebo via nasogastric tube. Starting dose of iNO was 20ppm in both groups; weaning from iNO was carried out at 2% to 4% per hour
- **Outcomes**: primary outcome was OI absolute values and change from baseline measured after first dose, every 6 hours for 7 days, or until the infant was extubated. Improvement in OI was defined as a decrease of 10% from the previously calculated OI value. Secondary outcome measures included haemodynamic parameters, PAP (measured by echocardiography), practicality of administration, gastric tolerance, hypotension, renal function, liver function, and length of stay. Study authors provided data on all-cause mortality within the first 28 days of life. We were unable to obtain information regarding the variability of reported means for OI and mean PAP
- <u>Recruitment</u>: eligibility criteria met by a total of 51 infants. Following exclusions for hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy (HIE), congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH), and surfactant protein B deficiency, and four refusals to participate, 24 cases (13 sildenafil, 11 placebo) were included.

Results of the search

We have provided results of the search in Figure 1. We screened a total of 1674 publication records for inclusion, of which we retrieved 77 in full text.

Included studies

A previous version of this review (Shah 2011) included three studies (Baquero 2006; Herrera 2006; Vargas-Origel 2010) that evaluated sildenafil in neonates with PPHN. We identified two new studies that met our inclusion criteria (Al Omar 2016; Uslu 2011).

Excluded studies

We excluded 74 full-text articles that did not meet our inclusion criteria; 65 were not randomised clinical trials, and 9 did not recruit neonates.

Risk of bias in included studies

We have presented details on risk of bias of included studies in Figure 2. Overall, these studies were at low to high risk of bias, which we have summarised in Figure 3.



Figure 2. Risk of bias graph: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item presented as percentages across all included studies.









Baquero 2006 was a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial. Investigators performed randomisation using presealed envelopes. The pharmacy prepared the solution in identical containers, and bedside clinicians were unaware of group assignment. Outcome assessment appears to be masked, as no one was aware of treatment allocation. Researchers terminated the study early on the basis of pre-set criteria (which included hypotension, gastric intolerance or bleeding, renal failure, and death in six infants). Pre-set criteria for discontinuation of dosing included OI < 20 or administration of a maximum of eight doses. Investigators used analysis of variance for repeated comparison of data on OI, blood pressure, and oxygen saturation. We assigned this

study unclear risk of reporting bias, as we found no registration data to verify selective outcome reporting.

Herrera 2006 was a randomised placebo-controlled trial that did not report the randomisation method used. Study authors described allocation as blinded. Investigators compared data using descriptive statistics and Student's t-test. Data analysis excluded neonates who had been transferred. As we found no registration data from this trial to verify selective outcome reporting, we assessed risk of bias as unclear.

Vargas-Origel 2010 was a randomised double-blind placebocontrolled trial. Two nurses who were not involved in the study generated randomisation using a random number table

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb C}$ 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



(information provided by study authors). The pharmacy prepared the solution, and bedside clinicians were unaware of group assignment. Outcome assessment was masked, as nurses were unaware of treatment allocation.

Uslu 2011 was a randomised controlled trial that evaluated sildenafil and magnesium sulphate (MgSO₄; control). An independent researcher prepared a computer-generated randomisation table and concealed allocation. Neonatologists could not be blinded owing to the nature of the interventions (orogastric vs intravenous). Study staff including nurses and data collectors were blinded. As this trial was not registered, we could not evaluate selective outcome reporting. We did not perform intention-to-treat analysis and noted that data were missing for seven neonates (five in the treatment group and two in the control group).

Al Omar 2016 was a randomised clinical trial that evaluated sildenafil and placebo in addition to "standard care", which included iNO. Investigators performed randomisation using an online sequence and concealed allocation using opaque envelopes distributed by the pharmacy (information provided by study authors). The treatment team was blinded to the study arm and reported outcomes data on all randomised neonates. Investigators reported all registered outcomes.

Effects of interventions

See: Summary of findings for the main comparison Sildenafil compared with placebo for pulmonary hypertension in neonates; Summary of findings 2 Sildenafil compared with active control for pulmonary hypertension in neonates; Summary of findings 3 Sildenafil plus iNO compared with placebo plus iNO for pulmonary hypertension in neonates

Sildenafil versus placebo (Comparison 1)

Three studies (Baquero 2006; Herrera 2006; Vargas-Origel 2010) compared sildenafil versus placebo in neonates with persistent pulmonary hypertension.

Primary outcomes

Haemodynamic parameters (absolute values and change from baseline)

Pulmonary arterial pressure (in mmHg) (Outcome 1.1)

Only one study (Vargas-Origel 2010) measured PAP at baseline and revealed no significant differences between sildenafil and control (mean difference (MD) 1.10, 95% confidence interval (CI) -7.68 to 9.88; heterogeneity - not applicable). Changes in PAP were not reported at a later stage (Analysis 1.1).

Oxygenation (PaO₂ in mmHg) (Outcome 1.2)

Baquero 2006 reported that all participants in treatment and placebo groups required 100% oxygen before the start of therapy. Baquero 2006 reported that oxygen saturation (SaO₂) steadily improved in the sildenafil group, was statistically significantly different from baseline in the sildenafil group at 12 hours (P < 0.03), and was significantly higher at 24 and 36 hours in the sildenafil group compared with the placebo group (P < 0.03).

The other two studies (Herrera 2006; Vargas-Origel 2010) reported that PaO_2 at baseline was higher in the sildenafil group than in the

placebo group (MD 8.06 mmHg, 95% CI 1.58 to 14.54 mmHg; two studies, 64 participants; $I^2 = 43\%$ - low). This trend continued in both studies over the course of reporting: After the first dose (MD 11.09 mmHg, 95% CI 1.65 to 20.52 mmHg; two studies, 64 participants; $I^2 = 0\%$ - none), after 6 to 7 hours (MD 14.30 mmHg, 95% CI 5.25 to 23.34 mmHg; two studies, 63 participants; $I^2 = 0\%$ - none), and after 24 to 25 hours (MD 15.31 mmHg, 95% CI 6.49 to 24.13 mmHg; two studies, 58 participants; $I^2 = 0\%$ - none), PaO₂ was higher in the sildenafil group than in the placebo group. Differences in PaO₂ increased over time during the first 24 hours. Herrera 2006 reported results at 72 hours (MD 20.98, 95% CI 14.81 to 27.15; one study, 24 participants; $I^2 =$ not applicable), showing a significant increase in PaO₂ in the sildenafil group.

Cardiac output in L/kg/min

No studies reported changes in cardiac output.

Mean arterial blood pressure (in mmHg) (Outcome 1.3)

One study (Baquero 2006) reported no statistically significant differences in mean arterial blood pressure between sildenafil and placebo groups and did not provide numerical data. Baquero 2006 and Vargas-Origel 2010 provided data on mean arterial blood pressure before the start of therapy in all participants and at the end of therapy among survivors. Mean arterial blood pressure was higher at baseline in the sildenafil group than in the placebo group (MD 5.65, 95% CI 2.69 to 8.61 mmHg; two studies, 53 participants; I² = 56% - moderate). Values for mean arterial blood pressure after completion of therapy were available for only one of the survivors (Baquero 2006) in the placebo group (45.3 mmHg), whereas values were provided for six survivors in the sildenafil group: (mean + SD) 43.7 + 3.3 mmHg. Vargas-Origel 2010 reported significantly higher mean arterial pressure in the sildenafil group than in the placebo group at completion of therapy (22.70 mmHg, 95% CI 1.23 to 44.17 mmHg; 40 participants; heterogeneity estimate - not applicable) (Analysis 1.3).

All-cause mortality within first 28 days of life (Outcome 1.4)

All three studies that evaluated sildenafil alone reported data on mortality, showing a statistically significant reduction in mortality rate for the sildenafil group compared with the placebo group (RR 0.20, 95% CI 0.07 to 0.56; RD -0.36, 95% CI -0.53 to -0.18; number needed to treat for an additional beneficial outcome (NNTB) 3, 95% CI 2 to 6; three studies, 77 participants; I² = 39% - low) (Analysis 1.4).

Secondary outcomes

Changes in pulmonary or systemic vascular resistance index in WUm² (absolute values and change from baseline)

None of the three included studies that performed this comparison reported these data.

Changes in oxygenation index (absolute values and change from baseline)

Baquero 2006 reported these data for individual participants in a table format. We used these data to calculate OI for this study.

Oxygenation index (absolute values) (Outcome 1.5)

At baseline: Data show no statistically significant differences in OI at baseline between groups (MD -0.74, 95% CI -8.11 to 6.64; three studies, 77 participants; $I^2 = 28\%$ - low).

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)



After administration of first dose: Results show a reduction in OI in the sildenafil alone group compared with the placebo group (MD -12.53, 95% CI -18.60 to -6.47; three studies, 77 participants; $I^2 = 27\%$ - low).

After 6 to 7 hours of treatment: Data show a statistically significant reduction in OI in the sildenafil alone group compared with the placebo group after 6 to 7 hours of treatment (MD -20.07, 95% CI -26.12 to -14.02; two studies, 63 participants; $I^2 = 0\%$ - none).

After 24 to 25 hours of treatment: Results show a statistically significant reduction in OI in the sildenafil alone group compared with the placebo group after 24 to 25 hours of treatment (MD -19.15, 95% CI -24.52 to -13.77; three studies, 69 participants; $I^2 = 0\%$ - none).

After administration of intervention for 30 hours: Data show a statistically significant reduction in OI in the sildenafil alone group compared with the placebo group after 30 hours of treatment (MD -45.46, 95% CI -61.87 to -29.05; one study, 11 participants; heterogeneity estimate - not applicable).

After administration of intervention for 36 hours (completion of therapy): Results show a statistically significant reduction in OI in the sildenafil group compared with the placebo group after 36 hours of treatment (MD -32, 95% CI -45.74 to -17.76; one study, eight participants; heterogeneity estimates - not applicable).

After 72 hours: Data show a statistically significant reduction in OI in the sildenafil alone group compared with the placebo group after 72 hours of treatment (MD -19, 95% CI -23.42 to -15.52; one study, 24 participants; heterogeneity estimates - not applicable) (Analysis 1.5).

Changes in oxygenation index (Outcome 1.6)

We calculated these data from individual participant data provided in the original manuscript (Baquero 2006).

After administration of first dose: Results show a statistically significant reduction in OI two hours after administration of the first dose of sildenafil alone compared with placebo (MD -17.14, 95% CI -27.75 to -6.53; one study, 13 participants; heterogeneity estimates - not applicable).

After administration of intervention for 24 hours: Data show a statistically significant reduction in OI after administration of five doses of sildenafil alone (at 24 hours after administration) compared with placebo (MD -38.79, 95% CI -56.97 to -20.61; one study, 12 participants; heterogeneity estimates - not applicable).

After administration of intervention for 30 hours: Results show a statistically significant reduction in OI after administration of six doses of sildenafil alone (at 30 hours after administration) compared with placebo (MD -33.08, 95% CI -50.85 to -15.31; one study, 11 participants; heterogeneity estimates - not applicable).

After administration of intervention for 36 hours (completion of therapy): Data show a statistically significant reduction in OI after administration of seven doses of sildenafil alone compared with placebo (MD -44.75, 95% CI -65.55 to -23.95; one study, eight participants; heterogeneity estimates - not applicable). By 42 hours, one participant in the sildenafil group and two participants in the control group had died. Two participants in the control group had

significantly reduced OI before the last dose and were not given the last dose (according to prespecified criteria).

Herrera 2006 reported that OI improved within the first hour of administration (Analysis 1.6).

Rebound increase in PAP or decrease in cardiac output after weaning from sildenafil (dichotomous)

Results show no evidence of rebound hypoxaemia in two participants for whom sildenafil was discontinued because of OI < 20 (Baquero 2006).

Decrease in cardiac output after weaning from sildenafil and the need for extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) before discharge

No studies reported these outcomes.

Mortality before discharge

Investigators reported no additional mortality outside of the first 28 days.

Retinopathy of prematurity (among preterm infants at < 32 weeks' gestation)

None of the studies (Baquero 2006; Herrera 2006; Vargas-Origel 2010) that compared sildenafil versus placebo enrolled preterm infants at risk for retinopathy of prematurity.

Intraventricular haemorrhage

Baquero 2006 reported no grade 3 or 4 intraventricular haemorrhage in any of the infants in either group.

Neurodevelopmental disability at 18 to 24 months (including cerebral palsy, cognitive impairment, deafness, and blindness)

Baquero 2006 reported data on neurodevelopmental follow-up in abstract form. Investigators assessed only four out of six survivors in the sildenafil alone group at 18 months. One participant in the sildenafil group died during the neonatal period, one died at five months of age, and one was lost to follow-up. All four participants had a normal neurological examination (Gessel scale of 100, 100, 100, and 111 points). All had normal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), evoked potential, and electroencephalography (EEG) reading. Their growth parameters (weight, height, and head circumference) were within normal limits.

Any clinically important outcomes reported by study authors (not prespecified)

Alveolar-arterial oxygen difference (A-a DO₂) (Outcome 1.7)

Two studies evaluating sildenafil alone reported on this outcome (Herrera 2006; Vargas-Origel 2010).

At baseline: A-a DO_2 was not significantly different (MD 0.99, 95% CI -11.54 to 13.51; two studies, 64 participants; $I^2 = 0\%$ - none).

At 6 to 7 hours of age: A-a DO_2 was not significantly different (MD 0.01, 95% CI -27.72 to 27.74; one study, 24 participants; heterogeneity estimates - not applicable).

At 24 to 25 hours of age: A-a DO_2 was not significantly different (MD 1.59, 95% CI -18.98 to 22.16; two studies, 57 participants; $I^2 = 74\%$ - moderate).

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review) Copyright © 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



At 72 hours: $A-aDO_2$ was significantly lower in the sildenafil alone group (MD -18.34, 95% CI -26.59 to -10.09; one study, 24 participants; heterogeneity estimates - not applicable) (Analysis 1.7).

Mean airway pressure (Outcome 1.8)

Two studies evaluating sildenafil alone reported on this outcome (Herrera 2006; Vargas-Origel 2010).

At baseline: Mean airway pressure was lower in the sildenafil alone group than in the placebo group (MD -2.09, 95% CI -3.30 to -0.88 cm of H₂O; two studies, 64 participants; $I^2 = 0\%$ - none).

At 6 to 7 hours after administration: Mean airway pressure was significantly lower in the sildenafil alone group than in the placebo group (MD -5.94, 95% CI -7.36 to -4.52 cm of H₂O; two studies, 64 participants; $I^2 = 35\%$ - low).

At 24 to 25 hours after administration: Mean airway pressure was significantly lower in the sildenafil alone group than in the placebo group (MD -6.64, 95% CI -8.49 to -4.80 cm of H₂O; two studies, 57 participants; $I^2 = 0\%$ - none).

At 72 hours after administration: Mean airway pressure was significantly lower in the sildenafil group than in the placebo group (MD -8.58, 95% CI -10.37 to -6.79 cm of H₂O; one study, 24 participants; heterogeneity estimate - not applicable) (Analysis 1.8).

Sildenafil versus active control (Comparison 2)

One study (Uslu 2011) evaluated sildenafil alone against an active control (MgSO₄).

Primary outcomes

Haemodynamic parameters (absolute values and change from baseline)

Pulmonary arterial pressure in mmHg

Investigators reported these data in graphical format and did not provide mean and variance measures. Uslu 2011 reported PAP absolute values that were significantly lower with sildenafil than with MgSO₄ on day 1 (P = 0.001), on day 2 (P = 0.0001), and on the third day following treatment (P = 0.007).

Oxygenation (PaO_2) or FiO_2 requirement, cardiac output (L/kg/min), and mean arterial blood pressure (mmHg)

Uslu 2011 did not report changes in oxygenation, cardiac output, and mean arterial blood pressure.

All-cause mortality (Outcome 2.1)

Data show no differences in mortality rate between sildenafil and $MgSO_4$ (RR 0.55, 95% CI 0.05 to 5.75; RD -0.03; 95% CI -0.13 to 0.07; one study, 65 participants; heterogeneity estimate - not applicable) (Analysis 2.1).

Secondary outcomes

Oxygenation index

Researchers reported these data in graphical format and did not provide mean and variance measures. Uslu 2011 reported OI values that were significantly lower with sildenafil than with MgSO₄ at 12

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

hours (P = 0.007), at 24 hours (P = 0.005), at 36 hours (P = 0.001), at 48 hours (P = 0.009), and at 60 hours (P = 0.01) after treatment.

Time to adequate response (Outcome 2.2)

Data show no significant difference in the number of days to reach an adequate response between sildenafil and $MgSO_4$ groups (MD -0.60 days, 95% Cl -4.20 to 3.00; one study, 65 participants; heterogeneity estimate - not applicable) (Analysis 2.2).

Duration of ventilation (Outcome 2.3)

Researchers reported no significant differences in the number of days on ventilation between sildenafil and MgSO₄ groups (MD -1.30 days, 95% CI -4.54 to 1.94; one study, 65 participants; heterogeneity estimate - not applicable) (Analysis 2.3).

Use of an inotropic agent (Outcome 2.4)

Despite similar numbers of neonates requiring inotropic support at baseline (sildenafil 9.1% (3/31) vs $MgSO_4$ 11.8% (4/34)), results show that significantly fewer neonates were receiving inotropic agents in the sildenafil group than in the $MgSO_4$ group (RR 0.55, 95% CI 0.36 to 0.83; RD -0.37, 95% CI -0.59 to -0.15; NNTB 3, 95% CI 2 to 8; one study, 65 participants; heterogeneity estimate - not applicable; P < 0.0008) (Analysis 2.4).

Retinopathy of prematurity (among preterm infants at < 32 weeks' gestation)

Uslu 2011 did not include extremely preterm infants at risk for retinopathy of prematurity.

Sildenafil plus iNO versus placebo plus iNO (Comparison 3)

One study (Al Omar 2016) evaluated sildenafil used as adjuvant therapy with nitric oxide against placebo with nitric oxide.

Primary outcomes

Haemodynamic parameters (absolute values and change from baseline) including PAP in mmHg, oxygenation (PaO_2) or FiO₂ requirement, cardiac output (L/kg/min), and mean arterial blood pressure (mmHg)

Study authors reported changes in mean PAP in graphical format and did not provide mean and variance measures for meta-analysis. They did not report changes in PaO_2 or FiO_2 , cardiac output, and mean arterial blood pressure.

All-cause mortality (Outcome 3.1)

Al Omar 2016, which evaluated sildenafil as adjuvant therapy to iNo, reported no significant reduction in mortality (RR 1.27, 95% CI 0.26 to 6.28; RD 0.05, 95% CI -0.27 to 0.37; one study, 24 participants; heterogeneity estimate - not applicable) (Analysis 3.1).

Secondary outcomes

Oxygenation index

Al Omar 2016 reported mean changes in OI at six-hourly intervals but did not provide mean or variance measures; therefore, we could not include this study in this meta-analysis. Investigators presented data in graphical format, and we could not abstract absolute values.

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)



Length of stay in hospital (Outcome 3.2)

Data show no significant differences in the number of days in hospital between groups given sildenafil versus placebo as adjuvant to iNO therapy (MD 5.39, 95% CI -5.31 to 16.09; one study, 24 participants; heterogeneity estimate - not applicable) (Analysis 3.2).

Use of an inotropic agent (Outcome 3.3)

Only one study included in this analysis (Al Omar 2016) evaluated use of an inotropic agent. Results show no significant differences in the need for an inotropic agent between groups given sildenafil or placebo adjuvant to iNO therapy (RR 1.06, 95% CI 0.37 to 3.00; RD 0.02, 95% CI -0.37 to 0.41; one study, 24 participants; heterogeneity estimate - not applicable) (Analysis 3.3).

Duration of ventilation (Outcome 3.4)

Al Omar 2016 reported the duration of ventilation (days). Data show no significant differences in duration of ventilation among neonates treated with sildenafil or placebo given adjuvant to iNO therapy (MD 1.26, 95% CI -1.32 to 3.84; one study, 24 participants; heterogeneity estimate - not applicable) (Analysis 3.4).

Retinopathy of prematurity (among preterm infants at < 32 weeks' gestation) (Outcome 3.5)

Al Omar 2016 did not report any cases of retinopathy of prematurity in either group (Analysis 3.5).

Summary of findings

This review evaluated three comparisons against sildenafil: placebo alone, active control, and placebo with iNO. Overall, when compared with placebo alone, sildenafil significantly reduced mortality (Summary of findings for the main comparison). We graded the evidence as low owing to potential bias in outcome reporting/data analysis and imprecision related to a small number of participants.

When compared with an active control (Summary of findings 2) or when used as adjuvant therapy (Summary of findings 3), sildenafil did not show improved mortality among neonates with persistent pulmonary hypertension treated with sildenafil. We graded the evidence as low to very low owing to imprecision related to the small number of included studies.

DISCUSSION

Summary of main results

Publications to date include five very small randomised controlled trials that evaluated sildenafil and included a total of 166 neonates. These trials were conducted in resource-limited settings such as Columbia, Mexico, Qatar, and Turkey. The most commonly reported (40% to 45%) underlying diagnosis was meconium aspiration syndrome (MAS). All three studies that compared sildenafil versus placebo reported that intensive care units did not have facilities for providing high-frequency ventilation or nitric oxide - therapies that have shown promise in the treatment of persistent pulmonary hypertension in neonates (PPHN). Uslu 2011 compared sildenafil versus active control (magnesium sulphate; MgSO₄). Al Omar 2016 evaluated sildenafil and placebo as adjuvant therapy to inhaled nitric oxide (iNO), as nitric oxide was routinely offered as standard of care.

Compared with placebo, sildenafil alone was associated with a significant reduction in mortality among neonates with PPHN. Respiratory parameters (oxygenation index (OI) and partial pressure of oxygen in arterial blood (PaO₂)) showed significant improvement in the sildenafil group when compared with the placebo group. We did not identify a significant difference in mortality or respiratory parameters when sildenafil was compared with an active control or was used as adjuvant therapy with iNO. We noted heterogeneity in severity of illness at the time of entry in different studies; however, all enrolled participants who had moderate to severe hypoxaemic respiratory failure. Most studies reported steady improvement in oxygenation starting from the first dose of sildenafil. In one study of only 65 participants, sildenafil was effective in reducing the need for inotropes.

Overall completeness and applicability of evidence

Although studies comparing sildenafil versus placebo consistently reported improved respiratory outcomes with sildenafil, it must be noted that these studies had several limitations. The number of enrolled participants was small, reporting of various important outcomes was inadequate, and evidence showed heterogeneity between studies. Mortality was significantly reduced in our analyses when sildenafil alone was compared with placebo. This is clinically very significant and may influence treatment decisions made in these units, especially units in resource-limited settings. Long-term effects of sildenafil use remain unknown. Furthermore, data showed no significant improvement in mortality when sildenafil was compared with active control (MgSO₄) or was used adjuvant to iNO therapy.

Included studies used heterogeneous sildenafil doses (range 0.5 to 3.0 mg/kg every 6 hours) and variable loading doses. Optimum dose, optimum route of administration, incidence of rebound pulmonary hypertension, and effectiveness in reducing rebound pulmonary hypertension remain unknown. Sildenafil may not be as effective in PPHN resulting from causes such as sepsis (where overproduction of nitric oxide leading to systemic vasodilation may be the major mechanism) but may be effective when PPHN has other causes such as chronic lung disease (Mesubi 2009; Mourani 2009). Concerns regarding retinal vascular growth (Kehat 2010) and lack of convincing data in preterm infants may preclude the use of sildenafil in preterm infants.

These five randomised clinical trials and several case reports, including recent reports from both resource-limited settings (Juliana 2005; Simiyu 2006; Shivanna 2009; Sayed 2015) and resourceful settings (Steinhorn 2009), justify the call for a larger multi-centre randomised controlled study. Studies of this type can be challenging. In addition to requiring multi-centre collaboration, such studies would require that sildenafil be compared with other established therapies such as iNO and/or an optimal ventilatory strategy such as high-frequency ventilation for PPHN. Resourcelimited settings could provide a platform for comparison of sildenafil versus placebo, and developed countries could provide settings for comparison with other management approaches. A large multi-centre multi-national trial in high-income countries undertaken to evaluate the effectiveness of intravenous sildenafil versus placebo in terms of duration of nitric oxide treatment and treatment failure (additional treatment required for PPHN) is currently ongoing and may shed further light on this topic (NCT01720524). Clinical Trials.gov (NCT01720524; updated 27 June

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review) Copyright © 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



2016) reports an estimated enrolment of 64 and includes a plan for 12-month and 24-month safety and neurodevelopmental followup. The estimated study completion date for this trial is December 2019. A pharmacokinetics study (NCT01670136; updated 17 March 2016) is currently recruiting preterm newborns receiving sildenafil as standard of care in the United States.

Quality of the evidence

We scored evidence quality using the GRADE PRO GDT online tool GRADEpro GDT. We graded the quality of evidence as low to very low, stemming from imprecision (small number of studies and small number of participants), lack of harmonised outcome measurement, and unclear methodological features due to inability to evaluate protocols because of lack of clinical trial registration. Without prospective registration, selective outcome reporting could not be addressed.

Potential biases in the review process

Variation in study design, including the addition of a post hoc adjuvant therapy comparison group, could have introduced a potential source of bias. After searching the literature, we believe that addition of this subgroup was warranted to account for future studies that are currently ongoing. Outcomes evaluated in the post hoc subgroup analysis did not influence our overall recommendations.

Agreements and disagreements with other studies or reviews

Case reports have described the efficacy of sildenafil for treatment of PPHN in neonates. A retrospective comparative assessment of iloprost versus sildenafil (Kahveci 2014) concluded that iloprost may be more effective than sildenafil in decreasing treatment time and improving ventilatory parameters for neonates with PPHN. As this review includes no published prospective randomised clinical trials of iloprost, we cannot confirm or disagree with these findings. Previous literature reviews (Spillers 2010; lacovidou 2012) have presented information consistent with our finding that sildenafil may be effective in PPHN when other standard treatments such as iNO are not available.

AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

Sildenafil may have the potential to improve physiological parameters and to improve mortality among neonates with

pulmonary hypertension in settings where iNO is not available. However, the safety and efficacy of sildenafil for treatment of PPHN have not yet been established in large randomised trials, and sildenafil should be used only within the context of randomised controlled trials.

Implications for research

Additional studies are needed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of sildenafil for treatment of PPHN; some ongoing studies are currently being conducted in high-resource settings. Additional research is needed to determine the optimum sildenafil dose and route of sildenafil administration. If found effective in achieving short-term improvement in ongoing randomised controlled trials, long-term data will be needed to demonstrate safety before treatment can be broadly implemented at national and individual clinical levels. Research studies should be conducted in both resource-limited and high-income settings to address questions such as effectiveness when used as stand-alone therapy and as adjunctive therapy. A multi-centre undertaking should include long-term neurodevelopmental follow-up. In resource-limited settings, studies should enrol patients at high risk for mortality or needing extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) owing to persistent hypoxaemia (OI > 25). Approximate rates for mortality or need for ECMO among these patients approaches 50%. A clinically significant effect size would be a 10% to 20% absolute risk reduction in rate of death and/or need for ECMO, with type 1 error of 5% and power of 80%; the required sample size for such a study would range from approximately 100 to 400 participants. This type of study would require a multi-centre approach. In resourcelimited settings, mortality is higher and the required sample size could be lower. It would be crucial for such studies to include longterm neurodevelopmental follow-up, as studies to this point have not provided sufficient information on long-term treatment effects.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to sincerely thank Drs. Augusta Sola, Jayendra Gohil, and Husam Salama for providing data on their studies. We would like to acknowledge the help of Dr. Cecilia Herbozo for translation of the Herrera 2006 study from Spanish. We acknowledge the valuable contributions made by Jennifer Spano and Collen Ovelman from the CNRG, who performed the literature searches.

REFERENCES

References to studies included in this review

Al Omar 2016 {published data only}

Al Omar S, Salama H, Al Hail M, Al Rifai H, Bunahia M, El Kasem W, et al. Effect of early adjunctive use of oral sildenafil and inhaled nitric oxide on the outcome of pulmonary hypertension in newborn infants. A feasibility study. *Journal* of Neonatal-Perinatal Medicine 2016;9(3):251-9. [DOI: 10.3233/ NPM-16161; PUBMED: 27589542]

Baquero 2006 {published data only}

Baquero H, Neira F, Venegas ME, Sola A, Soliz A. Outcome at 18 months of age after sildenafil therapy for refractory neonatal hypoxemia. *Pediatric Academic Societies' Annual Meeting; 2005 May 14-17; Washington DC, United States* 2005;**57**:2119. [PAS 2005: 57: 2119]

* Baquero H, Soliz A, Neira F, Venegas ME, Sola A. Oral sildenafil in infants with persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn: a pilot randomized blinded study. *Pediatrics* 2006;**117**(4):1077-83. [DOI: 10.1542/peds.2005-0523; PUBMED: 16585301]

Herrera 2006 {published data only}

Herrea TR, Concha GP, Holberto CJ, Loera GRG, Rodríguez BI. Oral sildenafil as an alternative treatment in the persistent pulmonary hypertension in newborns [Sildenafil oral como alternativa en el tratamiento de recien nacidos con hipertension pulmonar persistente]. *Revista Mexicana de Pediatria* 2006;**73**(4):159-63.

Uslu 2011 {published data only}

Uslu S, Kumtepe S, Bulbul A, Comert S, Bolat F, Muhoglu A. A comparison of magnesium sulphate and sildenafil in the treatment of the newborns with persistent pulmonary hypertension; a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Tropical Pediatrics* 2011;**57**(4):245-50. [DOI: 10.1093/tropej/fmq091; PUBMED: 20923790]

Vargas-Origel 2010 {published and unpublished data}

Vargas-Origel A, Gomez-Rodriguez G, Aldana-Valenzuela C, Vela-Huerta MM, Alarcon-Santos SB, Amador-Licona N. The use of sildenafil in persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn. *American Journal of Perinatology* 2010;**27**(3):225-30. [DOI: 10.1055/s-0029-1239496; PUBMED: 19866403]

References to studies excluded from this review

Kahveci 2014 {published data only}

Khaveci H, Yilmaz O, Avsar UZ, Ciftel M, Kilic M, Laloglu F, et al. Oral sildenafil and inhaled iloprost in the treatment of pulmonary hypertension of the newborn. *Pediatric Pulmonology* 2014;**49**(12):1205-13. [DOI: 10.1002/ppul.22985; PUBMED: 24420987]

König 2014 {published data only}

König K, Barfield CP, Guy KJ, Drew SM, Anderson CC. The effect of sildenafil on evolving bronchopulmonary dysplasia in extremely preterm infants: a randomised controlled

pilot study. *Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine* 2014;**27**(5):439-44. [DOI: 10.3109/14767058.2013.818650; PUBMED: 23796045]

Namachivayam 2006 {published data only}

Namachivayam P, Theilen U, Butt WW, Cooper SM, Penny DJ, Shekerdemian LS. Sildenafil prevents rebound pulmonary hypertension after withdrawal of nitric oxide in children. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2006;**174**(9):1042-7. [DOI: 10.1164/rccm.200605-694OC; PUBMED: 16917115]

Sayed 2015 {published data only}

Sayed A, Bisheer N. Outcome of oral sildenafil in neonatal persistent pulmonary hypertension of non-cardiac causes. *Journal of Neonatal-Perinatal Medicine* 2015;**8**(3):215-20. [DOI: 10.3233/NPM-15814137; PUBMED: 26485555]

Steinhorn 2009 {published data only}

Steinhorn RH, Kinsella JP, Pierce C, Butrous G, Dilleen M, Oakes M, et al. Intravenous sildenafil in the treatment of neonates with persistent pulmonary hypertension. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2009;**155**(6):841-7. [DOI: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2009.06.012; PUBMED: 19836028]

Stocker 2003 {published data only}

Stocker C, Penny DJ, Brizard CP, Cochrane AD, Soto R, Shekerdemian LS. Intravenous sildenafil and inhaled nitric oxide: a randomised trial in infants after cardiac surgery. *Intensive Care Medicine* 2003;**29**(11):1996-2003. [DOI: 10.1007/ s00134-003-2016-4; PUBMED: 14530859]

References to studies awaiting assessment

Alipour 2017 {published data only}

Alipour MR, Lookzadeh MH, Namayandeh SM, Pezeshkpour Z, Sarebanhassanabadi M. Comparison of tadalfil and sildenafil in controlling neonatal persistent pulmonary hypertension. *Iran Journal of Pediatrics* 2017;**27**(1):6385-9. [DOI: 10.5812/ijp.6385]

NCT01373749 {unpublished data only}

NCT01373749. Nitro oxide inhalation continued with sildenafil on neonatal persistent pulmonary hypertension [Compare of continued nitro oxide inhalation and nitro oxide inhalation continued with oral sildenafil on treatment of neonatal persistent pulmonary hypertension]. clinicaltrials.gov/show/ NCT01373749 (first received 31 May 2011).

NCT01757782 {unpublished data only}

NCT01757782. Oral sildenafil in persistent pulmonary hypertension secondary to meconium aspiration syndrome in newborns [Oral sildenafil in persistent pulmonary hypertension secondary to meconium aspiration syndrome in newborns: a randomized placebo controlled trial]. clinicaltrials.gov/ show/NCT01757782 (first received 18 December 2012). [ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01757782]

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)



Soliz 2009 {published data only}

Soliz A, Concha E, Romero F. Oral sildenafil treatment as therapy for persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn: a multicenter randomized trial. Pediatric Academic Societies' Annual Meeting; 2009 May 2-5; Baltimore MD, United States. 2009. [E-PAS2009:5420.12]

References to ongoing studies

NCT01720524 {unpublished data only}

NCT01720524. A study to evaluate safety and efficacy of IV sildenafil in the treatment of neonates with persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn. clinicaltrials.gov/ show/NCT01720524 (first received 17 September 2012).

Additional references

Abrams 2000

Abrams D, Schulze-Neick I, Magee AG. Sildenafil as a selective pulmonary vasodilator in childhood primary pulmonary hypertension. *Heart* 2000;**84**(2):E4. [PUBMED: 10908271]

Adatia 2002

Adatia I. Recent advances in pulmonary vascular disease. *Current Opinion in Pediatrics* 2002;**14**(3):292-7. [PUBMED: 12011667]

Barrington 2010

Barrington KJ, Finer NN. Inhaled nitric oxide for respiratory failure in preterm infants. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2010, Issue 4. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000509.pub4]

Behn 2001

Behn D, Potter MJ. Sildenafil-mediated reduction in retinal function in heterozygous mice lacking the gamma-subunit of phosphodiesterase. *Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science* 2001;**42**(2):523-7. [PUBMED: 11157892]

Burton 2000

Burton AJ, Reynolds A, O'Neill D. Sildenafil (Viagra) a cause of proliferative diabetic retinopathy?. *Eye* 2000;**14**(Pt 5):785-6. [DOI: 10.1038/eye.2000.205; PUBMED: 11116706]

Carroll 2003

Carroll WD, Dhillon R. Sildenafil as a treatment for pulmonary hypertension. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 2003;**88**(9):827-8. [PUBMED: 12937112]

Dukarm 1998

Dukarm RC, Morin FC, Russell JA, Steinhorn RH. Pulmonary and systemic effects of the phosphodiesterase inhibitor dipyridamole in newborn lambs with persistent pulmonary hypertension. *Pediatric Research* 1998;**44**(6):831-7. [DOI: 10.1203/00006450-199812000-00002; PUBMED: 9853914]

Erickson 2002

Erickson S, Reyes J, Bohn D, Adatia I. Sildenafil (Viagra) in childhood and neonatal pulmonary hypertension. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* 2002;**39**:402.

Finer 2017

Finer NN, Barrington KJ. Nitric oxide for respiratory failure in infants born at or near term. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2017, Issue 1. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000399.pub3]

Gersony 1984

Gersony WM. Neonatal pulmonary hypertension: pathophysiology, classification, and etiology. *Clinics in Perinatology* 1984;**11**(3):517-24. [PUBMED: 6488670]

Goldman 1996

Goldman AP, Tasker RC, Haworth SG, Sigston PE, Macrae DJ. Four patterns of response to inhaled nitric oxide for persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn. *Pediatrics* 1996;**98**(4 Pt 1):706-13. [PUBMED: 8885950]

GRADEpro GDT [Computer program]

GRADE Working Group, McMaster University. GRADEpro GDT. Version accessed 19 April 2017. Hamilton (ON): GRADE Working Group, McMaster University, 2014.

Higgins 2011

Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. handbook.cochrane.org.

Humbert 2004

Humbert M, Sitbon O, Simonneau G. Treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2004;**351**(14):1425-36. [DOI: 10.1056/NEJMra040291; PUBMED: 15459304]

lacovidou 2012

Iacovidou N, Syggelou A, Fanos V, Xanthos T. The use of sildenafil in the treatment of persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn: a review of the literature. *Current Pharmaceutical Design* 2012;**12**(21):3034-45. [PUBMED: 22564297]

Ichinose 2001

Ichinose F, Erana-Garcia J, Hromi J, Raveh Y, Jones R, Krim L, et al. Nebulized sildenafil is a selective pulmonary vasodilator in lambs with acute pulmonary hypertension. *Critical Care Medicine* 2001;**29**(5):1000-5. [PUBMED: 11378612]

Ikeda 2005

Ikeda D, Tsujino I, Ohira H, Itoh N, Kamigaki M, Ishimaru S, et al. Addition of oral sildenafil to beraprost is a safe and effective therapeutic option for patients with pulmonary hypertension. *Journal of Cardiovascular Pharmacology* 2005;**45**(4):286-9. [PUBMED: 15772514]

Juliana 2005

Juliana AE, Abbad FC. Severe persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn in a setting where limited resources exclude the use of inhaled nitric oxide: successful treatment with sildenafil. *European Journal of Pediatrics* 2005;**164**(10):626-9. [DOI: 10.1007/s00431-005-1724-x; PUBMED: 16012855]

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)



Kanthapillai 2004

Kanthapillai P, Lasserson T, Walters E. Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2004, Issue 4. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003562.pub2]

Kehat 2010

Kehat R, Bonsall DJ, North R, Connors B. Ocular findings of oral sildenafil use in term and near-term neonates. *Journal of AAPOS* 2010;**14**(2):159-62. [DOI: 10.1016/j.jaapos.2009.12.161; PUBMED: 20199882]

Kinsella 2000

Kinsella JP, Abman SH. Inhaled nitric oxide: current and future uses in neonates. *Seminars in Perinatology* 2000;**24**(6):387-95. [PUBMED: 11153900]

Kumar 2002

Kumar S. Indian doctor in protest after using Viagra to save "blue babies". *British Medical Journal* 2002;**325**(7357):181. [PUBMED: 12142299]

Lewin 2002

Lewin S. Viagra neonatal experimentation - the Pandora's box!. Indian Pediatrics 2002;**39**(9):894-5. [PUBMED: 12368555]

Marsh 2004

Marsh CS, Marden B, Newsom R. Severe retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) in a premature baby treated with sildenafil acetate (Viagra) for pulmonary hypertension. *British Journal of Ophthalmology* 2004;**88**(2):306-7. [PUBMED: 14736800]

Mesubi 2009

Mesubi OO, Ashwath R, Mhanna MJ. Oral sildenafil in premature infants with pulmonary arterial hypertension secondary to bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Pediatric Academic Societies Annual meeting; 2009 May 2-5; Baltimore MD, United States. 2009. [E-PAS2009:615]

Mourani 2009

Mourani PM, Sontag MK, Ivy DD, Abman SH. Effects of long-term sildenafil treatment for pulmonary hypertension in infants with chronic lung disease. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2009;**154**(3):379-84. [DOI: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2008.09.021; PUBMED: 18950791]

Oliver 2002

Oliver J, Webb DJ. Sildenafil for "blue babies". Such unlicensed drug use might be justified as last resort. *British Medical Journal* 2002;**325**(373):1174. [PUBMED: 12433774]

Patole 2002

Patole S, Travadi J. Sildenafil for "blue babies". Ethics, conscience, and science have to be balanced against limited resources. *British Medical Journal* 2002;**325**(7373):1174. [PUBMED: 12434819]

Pierce 2005

Pierce CM, Petros AJ, Fielder AR. No evidence for severe retinopathy of prematurity following sildenafil. *British Journal of Ophthalmology* 2005;**89**(2):250. [PUBMED: 15665374]

Rabe 1994

Rabe KF, Tenor H, Dent G, Schudt C, Nakashima M, Magnussen H. Identification of PDE isozymes in human pulmonary artery and effect of selective PDE inhibitors. *American Journal of Physiology* 1994;**266**(5 Pt 1):L536-43. [PUBMED: 7515580]

RevMan 2014 [Computer program]

Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration. Review Manager 5 (RevMan 5). Version 5.3. Copenhagen: Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014.

Roberts 1997

Roberts JD Jr, Fineman JR, Morin FC 3rd, Shaul PW, Rimar S, Schreiber MD, et al. Inhaled nitric oxide and persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn. The Inhaled Nitric Oxide Study Group. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1997;**336**(9):605-10. [DOI: 10.1056/NEJM199702273360902; PUBMED: 9032045]

Sastry 2004

Sastry BKS, Narasimhan C, Reddy K, Raju BS. Clinical efficacy of sildenafil in primary pulmonary hypertension. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* 2004;**43**(7):1149-53. [DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2003.10.056; PUBMED: 15063421]

Schünemann 2013

Schünemann H, Brożek J, Guyatt G, Oxman A, editors. GRADE Working Group. GRADE Handbook for Grading Quality of Evidence and Strength of Recommendations. https:// gdt.gradepro.org/app/handbook/handbook.html Updated October 2013.

Shah 2004

Shah PS, Hellmann J, Adatia I. Clinical characteristics and follow up of Down syndrome infants without congenital heart disease who presented with persistent pulmonary hypertension of newborn. *Journal of Perinatal Medicine* 2004;**32**(2):168-70. [DOI: 10.1515/JPM.2004.030; PUBMED: 15085894]

Shekerdemian 2002

Shekerdemian LS, Ravn HB, Penny DJ. Intravenous sildenafil lowers pulmonary vascular resistance in a model of neonatal pulmonary hypertension. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2002;**165**(8):1098-102. [DOI: 10.1164/ ajrccm.165.8.2107097; PUBMED: 11956051]

Shekerdemian 2004

Shekerdemian LS, Ravn HB, Penny DJ. Interaction between inhaled nitric oxide and intravenous sildenafil in a porcine model of meconium aspiration syndrome. *Pediatric Research* 2004;**55**(3):413-8. [DOI: 10.1203/01.PDR.0000112033.81970.C2; PUBMED: 14711900]

Shivanna 2009

Shivanna B, Eichenwald EC, Stark AR. Sildenafil use in the newborn intensive care units is associated with improvement in pulmonary hypertension. Pediatric Academic Societies' Annual Meeting; 2009 May 2-5; Baltimore MD, United States 2009. [E-PAS2009:621]

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)



Simiyu 2006

Simiyu DE, Okello C, Nyakundi EG, Tawakal AH. Sildenafil in management of persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn: report of two cases. East African Medical Journal 2006;83(6):337-40. [PUBMED: 16989380]

Spillers 2010

Spillers J. PPHN: is sildenafil the new nitric? A review of the literature. Advances in Neonatal Care 2010;10(2):69-74. [DOI: 10.1097/ANC.0b013e3181d5c501; PUBMED: 20386371]

Subhedar 2002

Subhedar NV, Jauhari P, Natarajan R. Cost of inhaled nitric oxide therapy in neonates. Lancet 2002;359(9319):1781-2. [DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)08632-4; PUBMED: 12049900]

Travadi 2003

Travadi JN, Patole SK. Phosphodiesterase inhibitors for persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn: a review. Pediatric Pulmonology 2003;36(6):529-35. [DOI: 10.1002/ ppul.10389; PUBMED: 14618646]

Walsh-Sukys 2000

Walsh-Sukys MC, Tyson JE, Wright LL, Bauer CR, Korones SB, Stevenson DK, et al. Persistent pulmonary hypertension of the

CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

Characteristics of included studies [ordered by study ID]

newborn in the era before nitric oxide: practice variation and outcomes. Pediatrics 2000;105:14-20.

Wessel 1997

Wessel DL, Adatia I, Van Marter LJ, Thompson JE, Kane JW, Stark AR, et al. Improved oxygenation in a randomized trial of inhaled nitric oxide for persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn. Pediatrics 1997;100:e7.

References to other published versions of this review

Shah 2007

Shah PS, Ohlsson A. Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2007, Issue 3. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005494.pub2; PUBMED: PMID: 17636802]

Shah 2011

Shah PS, Ohlsson A. Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2011, Issue 8. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005494.pub3; PUBMED: PMID 21833954]

* Indicates the major publication for the study

Al Omar 2016	
Methods	Randomised controlled trial Study location: neonatal intensive care unit at the Hamad Medical Corporation, in Doha, Qatar Study period: over 3 years from September 2011 to September 2014
Participants	24 neonates born at 34 weeks or later with PPHN and OI > 20
	Group 1: n = 13
	Male: 7/13 (%)
	Mean GA: 38.1 (SD 2.3) weeks
	Mean birth weight: 3107 (SD 700) g
	Group 2: n = 11
	Male: 8/11 (%)
	Mean GA: 39 (SD 1.61) weeks
	Mean birth weight: 3179 (SD 627) g
Interventions	iNO starting dose 20 ppm, weaning 2% to 4% every hour
	Group 1: iNO with 2 mg/kg sildenafil q6hours via orogastric tube (50 mg tablet crushed and diluted with 10 mL Orabase to prepare 5 mg/mL)
	Group 2: iNO with placebo (saline via orogastric tube)
Outcomes	Oxygen index (absolute values and change from baseline, after first dose and every 6 hours for 7 days; improvement in OI, defined as a decrease of 10% from previously calculated value)
	A-a gradient

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)



Al Omar 2016 (Continued)		
	Haemodynamic param	eters
	Days of hospitalisation	
	Mortality (all-cause wit	hin 28 days of life)
	Inotropic agent	
	ROP	
	Length of stay	
Notes	Registered with clinical	ltrials.gov NCT01558466
	Trial was funded by an	investigator grant
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Random sequence generation (information obtained from author Salama)
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomisation by sealed envelope competed by pharmacy
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Treating physicians and nurses were unaware of treatment allocation
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Respiratory therapists were unaware of treatment allocation
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Data are reported on all 24 randomised neonates
Selective reporting (re-	Low risk	All registered outcomes were reported

porting bias)	LOW HSK	All registered outcomes were reported
Other bias	Low risk	Appears free of other bias

Baquero 2006	
Methods	Randomised controlled trial Study location: neonatal intensive care unit at the Hospital Niño Jesús in Barranquilla, Colombia Study period: 2003 to 2004
Participants	13 neonates > 35.5 weeks' PMA with persistent hypoxaemia despite mechanical ventilation (OI ≥ 40) and echocardiographic diagnosis of PPHN were enrolled, at < 3 days old Group 1: n = 7 Male: 4/7 (57%)
	Mean GA: 38.4 (SD 2.6) weeks Mean birth weight: 2803 (SD 617) grams Mean OI: 56 (SD 16.8)

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review) Copyright © 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Baquero 2006 (Continued)	Group 2: n = 6 Male: 3/6 (50%) Mean GA: 37.2 (SD 1.9) weeks Mean birth weight: 2710 (SD 554) grams Mean OI: 46 (SD 9.5)
Interventions	Group 1: sildenafil (via orogastric tube) first dose of 1 mg/kg (0.5 mL/kg), subsequent doses every 6 hours; could be doubled to 2 mg/kg (1 mL/kg) if OI did not improve and BP remained stable until partic- ipant received a maximum of 8 doses, or until OI improved to < 20 Group 2: same volume of placebo (Orabase) first dose of 0.5 mL/kg, subsequent doses every 6 hours; could be doubled to 1 mL/kg if OI did not improve
Outcomes	Mortality Changes in OI Changes in mean arterial blood pressure
Notes	OI was determined for all 7 participants in sildenafil group for baseline and for first 6 doses. Oxygena- tion index was reported for only 4 participants for the seventh dose (2 met the pre-set exit criteria for the study, and 1 died) OI was determined for all 6 participants in the placebo group at baseline, after dose 1, and after dose 2. One participant died before the third dose (measurement reported for 5 participants after third dose and after fourth dose); one participant died before fifth dose (measurements reported for 4 partici- pants at doses 5, 6, and 7)
Risk of bias	

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	No information on sequence generation was reported
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomisation was by simple allocation of pre-sealed numbers. No informa- tion is provided on whether envelopes were opaque or were sequentially num- bered
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Bedside clinicians were masked to treatment
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Primary outcome measures are not subjective
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Data on all enrolled participants were reported
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	A protocol or trial registration was not available to assess selective reporting
Other bias	Unclear risk	Small sample size; study was terminated prematurely

Herrera 2006

Methods

Randomised controlled trial

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)



Herrera 2006 (Continued)	Study location: newbo tad de Medicina de la L 2004 to October 2005	rns treated in the intensive care unit of the Hospital Universitario de la Facul- Jniversidad Autónoma de Nueco León, in San Andreas, Mexico Study period: May				
Participants	24 term neonates with	PPHN and OI > 25				
	Group 1: n = 13					
	Male: 5/13 (38%) Mean GA: 37 (SD 3 1) w	reeks				
	Mean birth weight: 274	11 (SD 66) grams				
	Group 2: n = 11					
	Male: 6/11 (55%)					
	Mean GA: 36.2 (SD 3.1) weeks Mean birth weight: 2651(SD 71) grams					
Interventions	Group 1: sildenafil 2 m Group 2: distilled wate	g/kg via orogastric tube (duration of administration - 72 hours) r (2 mL/kg)				
Outcomes	Changes in OI, PaCO ₂ , A-a DO ₂ , PaO ₂ Changes in mean arterial blood pressure Duration of intubation					
	Death					
Notes						
Risk of bias						
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement				
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Sequence generation methods were not reported				
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Reported as blinded study, but no information provided on how treatment al- location was concealed				
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Study was described as blinded, but no information was provided on how blinding was achieved				
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Study was described as blinded, but no information was provided on how blinding was achieved				
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Newborns whose data were incomplete or who were transferred to other hospitals were excluded				
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	A protocol or trial registration was not available for assessment of selective re- porting				
	l au stale					

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review) Copyright © 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



Methods	Randomised clinical trial Study location: neonatal intensive care unit of Diyarbakir Children's Hospital, Turkey Study period: 1 February 2007 to 30 April 2008
Participants	65 term and near-term neonates (35 to 42 weeks' GA) with persistent hypoxaemic respiratory failure (PAP ≥ 40 mmHg, OI ≥ 30, and need for mechanical ventilation) associated with PPHN were enrolled
	Group 1: sildenafil (n = 31) Male: 16/31 (52%) Mean PMA: 38.5 (SD 1.6) weeks Mean birth weight: 3229 (SD 364) grams Mean OI: 43.3 (SD 6.3)
	Group 2: MgSo ₄ (n = 34)
	Male: 20/34 (59%) Mean PMA: 38.3 (SD 1.7) weeks Mean birth weight: 3296 (SD 339) grams Mean OI: 44.0 (SD 8.8)
Interventions	Group 1: 25 mg tablet of sildenafil diluted with 25 mL sterile water for final concentration of 1 mg/mL. Dose of solution (0.5 mg/kg) was given via orogastric tube every 6 hours. Dose could be doubled (until maximum of 2 mg/kg) if OI did not improve. Sildenafil dose was tapered 50% after reaching OI level < 15 and PAP < 20 mmHg and was terminated in 1 day
	Group 2: MgSO ₄ loading dose of 200 mg/kg infused IV over 30 minutes followed by maintenance dose of 20 mg/kg/h. If OI did not improve sufficiently, MgSO ₄ infusion rate was increased slowly (10 mg/kg/ h to maximum 100 mg/kg/h). When OI level was < 15 and PAP was < 20 mmHg, MgSO ₄ infusion was de- creased gradually (10 mg/kg/h) and was terminated in 1 day
Outcomes	Primary outcome: time of adequate clinical response (defined as a decrease in PAP to < 20 mmHg (im- provement in PAP) and in OI to < 15 (improvement in OI))
	Secondary outcomes:
	Duration of mechanical ventilation
	Support of inotropic agent
	Mortality rate
	Adverse events

Notes

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	An independent researcher used a computer-generated randomisation table
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Allocation was concealed
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	As MgSO ₄ was given IV and sildenafil was given by orogastric tube, care providers could not be blinded to treatment groups

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)



Uslu 2011 (Continued)

Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Data collector nurse, paediatric cardiologist, and ultrasonographer were blind- ed. Only the neonatologist who gave medical care to participants was not blinded to the type of treatment received
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Data were not analysed as intent to treat. Data were incomplete (missing) for 7 neonates (2 MgSO ₄ , 5 sildenafil), 3 of whom had gastrointestinal bleeding
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	A protocol or trial registration was not available for assessment of selective re- porting
Other bias	Low risk	Appears free of other bias

Vargas-Origel 2010

Methods	Randomised controlled Study location: neonat najuato, Mexico Study period: not repo	d trial al intensive care unit at the Unidad Medica de Alta Espexialidad No. 48, in Gua- rted
Participants	40 term neonates with	PPHN and OI > 20
	Group 1: n = 31	
	Male: 16/31(%) Mean GA: 37.8 (SD 1.6) Mean birth weight: 299	weeks 3 (SD 532) grams
	Group 2: n = 20	
	Male: 13/20 (%) Mean GA: 38.8 (SD 1.9) Mean birth weight: 304	weeks 3 (SD 563) grams
Interventions	Group 1: sildenafil 2 m Group 2: normal saline	g/kg via orogastric tube 3 mg/kg/dose until OI < 10
Outcomes	Changes in OI, PaO _{2,} A- Changes in mean arter	aDO ₂ , PaCO _{2,} mean airway pressure ial blood pressure
	Mortality	
	Time on mechanical ve	entilation
Notes	Published manuscript placebo, and another 1 data for the first 40 infa	described 31 participants in the sildenafil group (20 randomised to receive 11 randomised to receive nitric oxide). We contacted study authors and retrieved ants (20 in each group).
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Sequence was generated by a random numbers table (information provided by study author).
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Allocation was generated by 2 nurses who were not involved with the study.

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)

Vargas-Origel 2010 (Continued)

Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Described as blinded. "Pharmacy prepared the solution and bedside clinicians were unaware of group assignment" (information provided by study author).
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Described as blinded. "Outcome assessment was masked as nurses were un- aware of treatment allocation" (information provided by study author)
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Published report had combined data on 51 participants and did not include in- formation on when the change in randomisation occurred
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	Protocol or trial registration was not available for assessment of selective re- porting
Other bias	Unclear risk	Published data included subsequent participants; therefore, it is difficult to as- sess other bias

A-a DO_2 = alveolar-arterial oxygen difference BP = blood pressure GA = gestational age iNO = inhaled nitric oxide MgSO₄ = magnesium sulphate OI = oxygenation index PaCO₂ = partial pressure of carbon dioxide in arterial blood PaO₂ = partial pressure of oxygen in arterial blood PAP = pulmonary artery pressure PMA = postmenstrual age PPHN = persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn ROP = retinopathy of prematurity SD = standard deviation

Characteristics of excluded studies [ordered by study ID]

Study	Reason for exclusion
Kahveci 2014	Retrospective study design
König 2014	Unclear whether patients had persistent pulmonary hypertension in neonates (PPHN); newborns on nitric oxide (NO) were excluded
Namachivayam 2006	Included patients whose age ranged from 0.1 years onwards Most participants had associated congenital heart disease
Sayed 2015	Single-arm study; no control arm
Steinhorn 2009	Open-label single-arm study; no randomisation
Stocker 2003	Only infants after cardiac surgery were included

Characteristics of studies awaiting assessment [ordered by study ID]

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review) Copyright © 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



Alipour 2017

Methods	Randomised controlled trial
Participants	32 neonates born at 34 weeks or later with PPHN (cyanosis that continued 30 minutes after ventila- tion with 100% supplemental oxygen via hood)
	Group 1: n = 16
	No participant demographics were reported
	Group 2: n = 16
	No participant demographics were reported
Interventions	Tadalafil orally; 1 mg/kg/d
	Sildenafil orally; 1 mg/kg/dose 3 times daily
Outcomes	Tricuspid regurgitation (TR) severity assessed by echocardiography at baseline and after 6 months
	Mean pulmonary arterial pressure (MPAP) recorded via echocardiography based on gradient of pul- monary insufficiency or gradient TR on Doppler echocardiography
	Right ventricular end-diastolic diameter (RVEDD) assessed by echocardiography at baseline and af- ter 6 months
	Main pulmonary artery (MPA) diameter assessed by echocardiography at baseline and 6 months af- ter treatment
Notes	Funding support, potential conflicts, and trial registration were not reported. This study was clas- sified as awaiting classification, as the review authors required clarification of the time period of measurement. It is reported that echocardiography took place 6 months after treatment, which we believe could have taken hours. We have contacted the corresponding author multiple times

NCT01373749

Methods	Randomised clinical trial
Participants	Inclusion criteria:
	Diagnosis of PPHN in the NICU, primary disease:neonate respiratory distress syndrome, meconium aspiration syndrome of newborn, severe neonatal infectious pneumonia.
	Pulmonary artery pressure > 50 mmHg, mechanical ventilation over 48 hours, primary OI (PO ₂ / FiO ₂) < 300, difference of SpO ₂ between upper and lower limbs > 10%, high FiO ₂ , oxygen inhalation test: positive
	Exclusion criteria: Congenital heart disease, diaphragmatic hernia
Interventions	Nitric oxide inhalation continued with sildenafil vs inhaled nitric oxide alone
Outcomes	Primary outcome measures:
	Persistent normal pulmonary artery pressure
	Pulmonary artery pressure returned to a normal level (< 30 mmHg) and lasting over 48 hours
	Secondary outcome measures:

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)

NCT01373749 (Continued)	Recovery without complication (time frame: 1 month after therapy) Incidence of pulmonary disease (chronic lung disease) Incidence of brain injury (hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy) Heart structure change (right ventricle enlarged)
Notes	Status verified as "recruiting" in January 2011 by Third Military Medical University

NCT01757782

Methods	Randomised controlled trial
Participants	40 neonates (GA > 34 weeks) admitted within 12 hours of delivery with diagnosis of MAS and devel- opment of PPHN
	Group 1: n = 20
	Male: 9/20 (%) Mean birth weight: 2767 (SD 100) grams
	Group 2: n = 20
	Male: 12/20 (%) Mean birth weight: 2691 (SD 90) grams
Interventions	Group 1: oral sildenafil (1 mg/kg/dose q6hours) administered through feeding tube for a total of 8 doses (treatment for 2 days)
	Group 2: placebo
Outcomes	Oxygen saturation
	Oxygenation index
	Length of hospitalisation
	Duration of mechanical ventilation
	Mortality
Notes	We identified study through ClinicalTrials.gov and contacted study authors, who stated that trial results are unavailable yet, but recruitment has been completed

Soliz 2009	
Methods	Randomised clinical trial
Participants	49 term neonates with PPHN and OI > 25 were included
Interventions	Randomised to placebo (n = 20) vs sildenafil (n = 29)
Outcomes	OI, mean blood pressure and mean airway pressure
Notes	Difficult to differentiate from included studies, as some study authors overlap

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)



FiO₂ = fraction of inspired oxygen
GA = gestational age
MAS = meconium aspiration syndrome
MPA = mean pulmonary artery
MPAP = mean pulmonary arterial pressure
NICU = neonatal intensive care unit
OI = oxygenation index
PO₂ = partial pressure of oxygen
PPHN = persistent pulmonary hypertension in the neonate
RVEDD = right ventricular end-diastolic diameter
SD = standard deviation
SpO₂ = peripheral capillary oxygen saturation
TR = tricuspid regurgitation

Characteristics of ongoing studies [ordered by study ID]

NCT01720524

Trial name or title	A study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of IV sildenafil in the treatment of neonates with persis- tent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn
Methods	Multi-centre randomised placebo-controlled double-blind 2-armed parallel-group study
Participants	Inclusion criteria:
	Neonates with persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn
	Age ≤ 96 hours and ≥ 34 weeks' gestational age
	Oxygenation index > 15 and < 60
	Concurrent treatment with inhaled nitric oxide and \geq 50% oxygen
	Exclusion criteria:
	Prior or immediate need for extracorporeal membrane oxygenation or cardiopulmonary resuscita- tion
	Expected duration of mechanical ventilation < 48 hours
	Profound hypoxaemia
	Life-threatening or lethal congenital anomaly
Interventions	Treatment: intravenous (IV) sildenafil
	· Loading dose of 0.1 mg/kg over 30 minutes followed by maintenance dose of 0.03 mg/kg/h. To in- fuse minimum of 48 hours and maximum of 14 days
	Control: placebo
	IV placebo or 0.9% sodium chloride or 10% dextrose. Infusion rate based on weight
Outcomes	Primary outcome measures (at day 14 or until discharge):
	\cdot Time on inhaled nitric oxide treatment after initiation of IV study drug
	\cdot Treatment failure rate, defined as need for additional treatment targeting persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn
	Secondary outcome measures:
	\cdot Time to final weaning off mechanical ventilation for persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)



NCT01720524 (Continued)	
	\cdot Time from initiation of study drug to treatment failure
	\cdot Change in oxygenation parameters at 6, 12, and 24 hours from baseline
	\cdot Sildenafil plasma concentrations and corresponding pharmacokinetic (PK) parameters
	\cdot Safety parameters: incidence and severity of adverse events and abnormal laboratory parameters
	Long-term outcomes (12 and 24 months):
	\cdot Developmental progress of participants as assessed by Bayley Scales of Infant Development and Behavior Questionnaire
	\cdot Safety as assessed by adverse events and survival
	\cdot Neurological progress of participants as assessed by the Neurology Optimality Score, also known as the Hammersmith Infant Neurological Examination
	\cdot Visual status of participants as assessed by eye examination of anterior and posterior segments
	\cdot Audiological status of participants as assessed by physiological and behavioural tests
Starting date	17 September 2012
Contact information	Pfizer CT.gov call centre: 1-800-718-1021
Notes	Includes a long-term follow-up investigation of developmental progress at 12 and 24 months

DATA AND ANALYSES

Comparison 1. Sildenafil versus placebo

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Pulmonary arterial pressure	1		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 Baseline	1	40	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.10 [-7.68, 9.88]
2 PaO ₂ in mmHg (absolute values)	2		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
2.1 At baseline	2	64	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	8.06 [1.58, 14.54]
2.2 After first dose	2	64	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	11.09 [1.65, 20.52]
2.3 After 6 to 7 hours of treatment	2	64	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	14.30 [5.25, 23.34]
2.4 After 24 to 25 hours of treatment	2	58	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	15.31 [6.49, 24.13]

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
2.5 After 72 hours or at the end of treat- ment	1	24	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	20.98 [14.81, 27.15]
3 Mean arterial blood pressure in mmHg	2		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
3.1 Before initiation of therapy	2	53	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	5.65 [2.69, 8.61]
3.2 At the end of therapy	2	40	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	22.70 [1.23, 44.17]
4 All-cause mortality	3	77	Risk Difference (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.36 [-0.53, -0.18]
5 Oxygenation index (absolute values)	3		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
5.1 At baseline	3	77	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.74 [-8.11, 6.64]
5.2 After first dose	3	77	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-12.53 [-18.60, -6.47]
5.3 After 6 to 7 hours of treatment	2	75	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-20.07 [-26.12, -14.02]
5.4 After 24 to 25 hours of treatment	3	69	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-19.15 [-24.52, -13.77]
5.5 After 30 hours of treatment	1	11	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-45.46 [-61.87, -29.05]
5.6 After 36 hours of treatment	1	8	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-31.75 [-45.74, -17.76]
5.7 After 72 hours or at the end of treat- ment	1	24	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-19.47 [-23.42, -15.52]
6 Change in oxygenation index	1		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
6.1 After first dose	1	13	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-17.14 [-27.75, -6.53]
6.2 After 24 hours of treatment	1	12	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-38.79 [-56.97, -20.61]
6.3 After 30 hours of treatment	1	11	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-33.08 [-50.85, -15.31]
6.4 After 36 hours of treatment	1	8	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-44.75 [-65.55, -23.95]

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
7 A-a DO ₂ difference	2		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
7.1 Baseline	2	64	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.99 [-11.54, 13.51]
7.2 At 6 to 7 hours of treatment	1	24	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.01 [-27.72, 27.74]
7.3 At 24 to 25 hours of treament	2	57	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.59 [-18.98, 22.16]
7.4 At 72 hours or at the end of treatment	1	24	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-18.34 [-26.59, -10.09]
8 Mean airway pressure	2		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
8.1 Baseline	2	64	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-2.09 [-3.30, -0.88]
8.2 At 6 to 7 hours of treatment	2	63	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-5.94 [-7.36, -4.52]
8.3 At 24 to 25 hours of treatment	2	57	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-6.64 [-8.49, -4.80]
8.4 At 72 hours or at the end of treatment	1	24	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-8.58 [-10.37, -6.79]

Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 Sildenafil versus placebo, Outcome 1 Pulmonary arterial pressure.

Study or subgroup	Favors	s Sildenafil	Favo	rs placebo	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
1.1.1 Baseline							
Vargas-Origel 2010	20	65.4 (14.7)	20	64.3 (13.6)		100%	1.1[-7.68,9.88]
Subtotal ***	20		20			100%	1.1[-7.68,9.88]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.25(P=0.81)							
			Favo	urs sildenafil	-20 -10 0 10 20	Favours place	bo

Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 Sildenafil versus placebo, Outcome 2 PaO₂ in mmHg (absolute values).

Study or subgroup	Sidenafil			Control		Mean Difference					Weight Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixe	d, 959	% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
1.2.1 At baseline							1		1		
			F	avours control		20	-10	0	10	20	Favours sildenafil

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)



Study or subgroup	Sic	denafil	Control		Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
Herrera 2006	13	43.9 (14)	11	41.1 (11.3)		40.91%	2.8[-7.33,12.93]
Vargas-Origel 2010	20	49.4 (16.3)	20	37.7 (10.2)	— ∎ —	59.09%	11.7[3.27,20.13]
Subtotal ***	33		31			100%	8.06[1.58,14.54]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.75, df=1	L(P=0.19	9); I ² =42.95%					
Test for overall effect: Z=2.44(P=0.01)							
1.2.2 After first dose							
Herrera 2006	13	60.9 (20.3)	11	46.4 (13.2)	│ ₽	48.65%	14.5[0.97,28.03]
Vargas-Origel 2010	20	54 (17.1)	20	46.2 (24.7)	— — —	51.35%	7.85[-5.32,21.02]
Subtotal ***	33		31			100%	11.09[1.65,20.52]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.48, df=1	L(P=0.49	9); I ² =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=2.3(P=0.02)							
1.2.3 After 6 to 7 hours of treatment							
Herrera 2006	13	64.1 (16.2)	11	50.9 (12.5)		62.01%	13.19[1.7,24.68]
Vargas-Origel 2010	20	70.2 (25.5)	20	54.1 (21.7)		37.99%	16.1[1.43,30.77]
Subtotal ***	33		31			100%	14.3[5.25,23.34]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.09, df=1	L(P=0.76	5); I²=0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=3.1(P=0)							
1.2.4 After 24 to 25 hours of treatme	nt						
Herrera 2006	13	69.7 (17.2)	11	55.9 (4.4)		82.74%	13.77[4.07,23.47]
Vargas-Origel 2010	20	85.1 (31.5)	14	62.4 (30.8)	+	17.26%	22.7[1.47,43.93]
Subtotal ***	33		25			100%	15.31[6.49,24.13]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.56, df=1	L(P=0.45	5); I²=0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=3.4(P=0)							
1.2.5 After 72 hours or at the end of	treatmo	ent					
Herrera 2006	13	81 (9.4)	11	60 (5.9)		100%	20.98[14.81,27.15]
Subtotal ***	13		11			100%	20.98[14.81,27.15]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=6.66(P<0.000)	L)						
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =8.5	56, df=1	(P=0.07), I ² =53.2	27%				
			Fa	vours control	-20 -10 0 10 20	Favours sild	lenafil

Analysis 1.3. Comparison 1 Sildenafil versus placebo, Outcome 3 Mean arterial blood pressure in mmHg.

Study or subgroup	Sic	lenafil	P	acebo	Mean Difference		ifference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed,	, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
1.3.1 Before initiation of therapy									
Baquero 2006	7	44.9 (3.8)	6	40.1 (1.8)				87.66%	4.8[1.64,7.96]
Vargas-Origel 2010	20	49.4 (16.3)	20	37.7 (10.2)			—+—	12.34%	11.7[3.27,20.13]
Subtotal ***	27		26				•	100%	5.65[2.69,8.61]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =2.26, df=	1(P=0.13); I ² =55.7%							
Test for overall effect: Z=3.74(P=0)									
1.3.2 At the end of therapy									
Baquero 2006	6	43.7 (3.3)	1	45.3 (0)					Not estimable
Vargas-Origel 2010	19	85.1 (31.5)	14	62.4 (30.8)				- 100%	22.7[1.23,44.17]
			Fa	vours control	-40	-20	0 20 40	Favours sildena	fil

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)

.



Study or subgroup	s	idenafil	Р	lacebo		Mean I	Diffe	erence		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)		Fixed	I, 95	5% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Subtotal ***	25		15				-			100%	22.7[1.23,44.17]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=2.07(P=0.04))										
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =2	.38, df=	1 (P=0.12), I ² =57.93%				1					
			Fa	vours control	-40	-20	0	20	40	Favours sildena	ıfil

Analysis 1.4. Comparison 1 Sildenafil versus placebo, Outcome 4 All-cause mortality.

Study or subgroup	Sildenafil	Placebo		Risk D	Difference		Weight	Risk Difference
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fi	xed, 95% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Baquero 2006	1/7	5/6	-	•			16.84%	-0.69[-1.09,-0.3]
Herrera 2006	0/13	3/11			-		31.05%	-0.27[-0.55,0]
Vargas-Origel 2010	2/20	8/20			-		52.11%	-0.3[-0.55,-0.05]
Total (95% CI)	40	37		•			100%	-0.36[-0.53,-0.18]
Total events: 3 (Sildenafil), 16 (Place	00)							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =3.29, df=	2(P=0.19); I ² =39.28%							
Test for overall effect: Z=4.03(P<0.000	01)							
	Fav	ours [sildenafil]	-1	-0.5	0	0.5 1	Favours [placebo]	

Analysis 1.5. Comparison 1 Sildenafil versus placebo, Outcome 5 Oxygenation index (absolute values).

Study or subgroup	Sil	denafil	C	ontrol	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
1.5.1 At baseline							
Baquero 2006	7	56.2 (16.9)	6	46.2 (9.5)		25.35%	9.98[-4.67,24.63]
Herrera 2006	13	47.9 (15.1)	11	51.7 (14.9)	— — —	37.74%	-3.76[-15.77,8.25]
Vargas-Origel 2010	20	42 (17.1)	20	47 (21.8)	— =	36.92%	-5[-17.14,7.14]
Subtotal ***	40		37		•	100%	-0.74[-8.11,6.64]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =2.77, df=	2(P=0.25	5); I²=27.83%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.2(P=0.85)							
1.5.2 After first dose							
Baquero 2006	7	37 (8.9)	6	44.2 (10.5)	— • +	32.45%	-7.17[-17.82,3.48]
Herrera 2006	13	34.8 (11.5)	11	47.5 (9.8)		50.97%	-12.67[-21.16,-4.18]
Vargas-Origel 2010	20	22.1 (8.5)	20	44.7 (32.9)	+	16.58%	-22.6[-37.49,-7.71]
Subtotal ***	40		37		◆	100%	-12.53[-18.6,-6.47]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =2.73, df=	2(P=0.26	5); I ² =26.78%					
Test for overall effect: Z=4.05(P<0.000	1)						
1.5.3 After 6 to 7 hours of treatment	:						
Herrera 2006	13	25.7 (6.8)	11	44.6 (9.9)		76.56%	-18.84[-25.75,-11.93]
Vargas-Origel 2010	31	15 (8.4)	20	39.1 (27.7)	e	23.44%	-24.1[-36.59,-11.61]
Subtotal ***	44		31		◆	100%	-20.07[-26.12,-14.02]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.52, df=	1(P=0.47	7); I ² =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=6.5(P<0.0001))						
			Favo	urs sildenafil	-50 -25 0 25 50	– Favours cor	ntrol

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)



Study or subgroup	Sil	denafil	c	ontrol	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
1.5.4 After 24 to 25 hours of treatme	nt						
Baquero 2006	7	25.1 (5.2)	5	52.3 (15.8)	-	13.92%	-27.11[-41.51,-12.71]
Herrera 2006	13	18.5 (6.9)	11	35.9 (7.9)		79.94%	-17.31[-23.32,-11.3]
Vargas-Origel 2010	19	11.6 (11.1)	14	36.6 (40.3)		6.14%	-25[-46.69,-3.31]
Subtotal ***	39		30		◆	100%	-19.15[-24.52,-13.77]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.81, df=	2(P=0.4)	; I ² =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=6.98(P<0.000	1)						
1.5.5 After 30 hours of treatment							
Baquero 2006	7	-34.7 (12.4)	4	10.8 (13.9)		100%	-45.46[-61.87,-29.05]
Subtotal ***	7		4			100%	-45.46[-61.87,-29.05]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=5.43(P<0.000	1)						
1.5.6 After 36 hours of treatment							
Baquero 2006	4	17.3 (2.2)	4	49 (14.1)		100%	-31.75[-45.74,-17.76]
Subtotal ***	4		4		-	100%	-31.75[-45.74,-17.76]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=4.45(P<0.000	1)						
1.5.7 After 72 hours or at the end of	treatm	ent					
Herrera 2006	13	9 (4.4)	11	28.5 (5.3)		100%	-19.47[-23.42,-15.52]
Subtotal ***	13		11		★	100%	-19.47[-23.42,-15.52]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=9.65(P<0.000	1)						
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =39	.56, df=	1 (P<0.0001), I ² =	84.83%				
			Favo	ours sildenafil	-50 -25 0 25 5	⁰ Favours cor	ntrol

Analysis 1.6. Comparison 1 Sildenafil versus placebo, Outcome 6 Change in oxygenation index.

Study or subgroup	Favors	s sildenafil	Favors control		Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
1.6.1 After first dose							
Baquero 2006	7	-19.1 (12.6)	6	-2 (6.3)		100%	-17.14[-27.75,-6.53]
Subtotal ***	7		6		◆	100%	-17.14[-27.75,-6.53]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=3.17(P=0)							
1.6.2 After 24 hours of treatment							
Baquero 2006	7	-30.3 (13.9)	5	8.5 (17.1)	— — —	100%	-38.79[-56.97,-20.61]
Subtotal ***	7		5			100%	-38.79[-56.97,-20.61]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=4.18(P<0.000)	1)						
1.6.3 After 30 hours of treatment							
Baquero 2006	7	21.4 (6.7)	4	54.5 (17.4)		100%	-33.08[-50.85,-15.31]
Subtotal ***	7		4			100%	-33.08[-50.85,-15.31]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=3.65(P=0)							
			Favo	ours sildenafil	-50 -25 0 25	50 Favours cor	ntrol

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)



Study or subgroup	Favor	s sildenafil	Favo	ors control	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
1.6.4 After 36 hours of treatment							
Baquero 2006	4	-39.5 (15)	4	5.3 (15)		100%	-44.75[-65.55,-23.95]
Subtotal ***	4		4			100%	-44.75[-65.55,-23.95]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=4.22(P<0.000	1)						
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =8.	17, df=1	(P=0.04), I ² =63.26	%				
			Favo	ours sildenafil	-50 -25 0 25 50	- Favours cor	ntrol

Analysis 1.7. Comparison 1 Sildenafil versus placebo, Outcome 7 A-a DO_2 difference.

Study or subgroup	s	idenafil	Placebo		Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
1.7.1 Baseline							
Herrera 2006	13	445.8 (43.1)	11	449.4 (20.6)	_	22.54%	-3.52[-29.9,22.86]
Vargas-Origel 2010	20	471.4 (23.7)	20	469.1 (22.2)	—	77.46%	2.3[-11.93,16.53]
Subtotal ***	33		31		•	100%	0.99[-11.54,13.51]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.14, c	lf=1(P=0.7	7); l²=0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.15(P=0.8	8)						
1.7.2 At 6 to 7 hours of treatment							
Herrera 2006	13	450.5 (39.8)	11	450.5 (29.3)		100%	0.01[-27.72,27.74]
Subtotal ***	13		11		+	100%	0.01[-27.72,27.74]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=0(P=1)							
1.7.3 At 24 to 25 hours of treamer	nt						
Herrera 2006	13	450.2 (39.7)	11	438.6 (12.8)		80.91%	11.52[-11.35,34.39]
Vargas-Origel 2010	19	403.3 (72.7)	14	443.8 (64.7)		19.09%	-40.5[-87.59,6.59]
Subtotal ***	32		25		•	100%	1.59[-18.98,22.16]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =3.79, c	lf=1(P=0.0	05); I ² =73.64%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.15(P=0.8	8)						
1.7.4 At 72 hours or at the end of	treatmen	ıt					
Herrera 2006	13	420.8 (11)	11	439.2 (9.6)	+	100%	-18.34[-26.59,-10.09]
Subtotal ***	13		11		•	100%	-18.34[-26.59,-10.09]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=4.36(P<0.0	001)						
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ²	=8.58, df=	1 (P=0.04), l ² =65.	03%				
			Fav	ours sildenafil	-100 -50 0 50 100	Favours pla	cebo

Analysis 1.8. Comparison 1 Sildenafil versus placebo, Outcome 8 Mean airway pressure.

Study or subgroup	Sidenafil		Placebo		Mean Difference					Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI			
1.8.1 Baseline					1						
			Fav	vours sildenafil	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours placel	bo

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)



Study or subgroup	Si	idenafil	Р	lacebo	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
Herrera 2006	13	18.9 (1.9)	11	20.9 (2.4)	-8-	47.41%	-1.97[-3.72,-0.22]
Vargas-Origel 2010	20	13.3 (2.2)	20	15.5 (3.1)		52.59%	-2.2[-3.87,-0.53]
Subtotal ***	33		31		•	100%	-2.09[-3.3,-0.88]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.03, df	=1(P=0.8	5); I ² =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=3.39(P=0)							
1.8.2 At 6 to 7 hours of treatment							
Herrera 2006	13	15.1 (2.5)	11	21.8 (2.2)		57.14%	-6.72[-8.6,-4.84]
Vargas-Origel 2010	19	10.9 (3.1)	20	15.8 (3.8)		42.86%	-4.9[-7.07,-2.73]
Subtotal ***	32		31		◆	100%	-5.94[-7.36,-4.52]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.54, df	=1(P=0.2	1); I ² =35.14%					
Test for overall effect: Z=8.19(P<0.00	01)						
1.8.3 At 24 to 25 hours of treatmer	ıt						
Herrera 2006	13	12.3 (3)	11	19.8 (3.3)	— —	52.5%	-7.5[-10.04,-4.96]
Vargas-Origel 2010	19	9.1 (2.8)	14	14.8 (4.5)	— —	47.5%	-5.7[-8.37,-3.03]
Subtotal ***	32		25		•	100%	-6.64[-8.49,-4.8]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.91, df	=1(P=0.3	4); I ² =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=7.07(P<0.00	01)						
1.8.4 At 72 hours or at the end of t	reatmen	t					
Herrera 2006	13	8.3 (2)	11	16.9 (2.4)		100%	-8.58[-10.37,-6.79]
Subtotal ***	13		11		→	100%	-8.58[-10.37,-6.79]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=9.41(P<0.00	01)						
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =	42.42, df=	=1 (P<0.0001), I ² =	92.93%				
			Fave	ours sildenafil	-10 -5 0 5 10	Favours pla	cebo

Comparison 2. Sildenafil versus active control

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 All-cause mortality	1	65	Risk Difference (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.03 [-0.13, 0.07]
2 Time to adequate response (days)	1	65	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.60 [-4.20, 3.00]
3 Duration of ventilation (days)	1	65	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-1.30 [-4.54, 1.94]
4 Inotropic agent	1	65	Risk Difference (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.37 [-0.59, -0.15]

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review) Copyright © 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Sildenafil MgSO4 **Risk Difference** Study or subgroup Weight **Risk Difference** n/N n/N M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl M-H, Fixed, 95% CI Uslu 2011 1/31 2/34 -0.03[-0.13,0.07] 100% Total (95% CI) 31 34 100% -0.03[-0.13,0.07] Total events: 1 (Sildenafil), 2 (MgSO4) Heterogeneity: Not applicable Test for overall effect: Z=0.52(P=0.6) -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 Favours [MgSO4]

Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 Sildenafil versus active control, Outcome 1 All-cause mortality.

Favours [sildenafil]

Analysis 2.2. Comparison 2 Sildenafil versus active control, Outcome 2 Time to adequate response (days).

Study or subgroup	Sil	denafil	MgSO4		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Uslu 2011	31	4.7 (7.4)	34	5.3 (7.4)			+			100%	-0.6[-4.2,3]
Total ***	31		34				•			100%	-0.6[-4.2,3]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.33(P=0.74)											
			Favou	rs [sildenafil]	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours [MgSO4	•]

Analysis 2.3. Comparison 2 Sildenafil versus active control, Outcome 3 Duration of ventilation (days).

Study or subgroup	Si	denafil	N	lgSO4	Mean Difference		e		Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Uslu 2011	31	6 (5.9)	34	7.3 (7.4)			+			100%	-1.3[-4.54,1.94]
Total ***	31		34				•			100%	-1.3[-4.54,1.94]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.79(P=0.43)											
			Favo	ours sildenafil	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours MgSO4	

Analysis 2.4. Comparison 2 Sildenafil versus active control, Outcome 4 Inotropic agent.

Study or subgroup	Sildenafil	MgSO4		Risk Difference			Weight	Risk Difference	
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Uslu 2011	14/31	28/34		— <mark>—</mark> —				100%	-0.37[-0.59,-0.15]
Total (95% CI)	31	34						100%	-0.37[-0.59,-0.15]
Total events: 14 (Sildenafil), 28 (MgSO4)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=3.36(P=0)									
	F	avours [Sildenafil]	-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1	Favours [MgSO4][cont	ol]

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review) Copyright @ 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Comparison 3. Sildenafil plus iNO versus placebo plus iNO

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 All-cause mortality	1	24	Risk Difference (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.05 [-0.27, 0.37]
2 Length of stay in hospital (days)	1	24	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	5.39 [-5.31, 16.09]
3 Inotropic agent	1	24	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.06 [0.37, 3.00]
4 Duration of ventilation (days)	1	24	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.26 [-1.32, 3.84]
5 Retinopathy of prematurity	1	24	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]

Analysis 3.1. Comparison 3 Sildenafil plus iNO versus placebo plus iNO, Outcome 1 All-cause mortality.

Study or subgroup	Sildenafil + iNO	Placebo + iNO		Risk Difference		e		Weight	Risk Difference
	n/N	n/N		M-H	Fixed, 95%	6 CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Al Omar 2016	3/13	2/11		-		_		100%	0.05[-0.27,0.37]
Total (95% CI)	13	11		-		-		100%	0.05[-0.27,0.37]
Total events: 3 (Sildenafil + iNO), 2 (Placebo + iNO)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.3(P=0.77)									
	Favou	rs [sildenafil+iNO]	-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1	Favours [placebo+iNO]	

Analysis 3.2. Comparison 3 Sildenafil plus iNO versus placebo plus iNO, Outcome 2 Length of stay in hospital (days).

Study or subgroup	Silde	nafil + iNO	Placebo + iNO			Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)		Fi	xed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Al Omar 2016	13	23.2 (15.2)	11	17.8 (11.5)						100%	5.39[-5.31,16.09]
Total ***	13		11				•			100%	5.39[-5.31,16.09]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.99(P=0.32)						1					
			Equours [c]	ildonafil±iNO]	-100	-50	0	50	100	Eavours [p]	vcaba+iNO]

Favours [sildenafil+iNO] -100 -50 0 50 100 Favours [placebo+iNO]

Analysis 3.3. Comparison 3 Sildenafil plus iNO versus placebo plus iNO, Outcome 3 Inotropic agent.

Study or subgroup	Sildenafil +iNO	Placebo + iNO		Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	l, Fixed, 95 ^o	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Al Omar 2016	5/13	4/11						100%	1.06[0.37,3]
Total (95% CI)	13	11			+			100%	1.06[0.37,3]
	Favou	ırs [sildenafil+iNO]	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours [placebo+iNO]	

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)



Study or subgroup	Sildenafil +iNO n/N	Placebo + iNO n/N		M-H	Risk Ratio , Fixed, 95%	% CI		Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Total events: 5 (Sildenafil +iNO), 4 (Placebo + iNO)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.11(P=0.9	2)								
	Favo	urs [sildenafil+iNO]	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours [placebo+iNO	

Analysis 3.4. Comparison 3 Sildenafil plus iNO versus placebo plus iNO, Outcome 4 Duration of ventilation (days).

Study or subgroup	Silde	nafil + iNO	Placebo + iNO		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		I	Fixed, 95% C	l			Fixed, 95% CI
Al Omar 2016	13	4.1 (3.6)	11	2.8 (2.9)			+			100%	1.26[-1.32,3.84]
Total ***	13		11				•			100%	1.26[-1.32,3.84]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.96(P=0.34)											
			Favours [si	ldenafil+iNO]	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours [pla	cebo+iNO]

Analysis 3.5. Comparison 3 Sildenafil plus iNO versus placebo plus iNO, Outcome 5 Retinopathy of prematurity.

Study or subgroup	Sildenafil + iNO	Placebo + iNO		c	dds Ratio			Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		М-Н,	Fixed, 95%	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Al Omar 2016	0/13	0/11							Not estimable
Total (95% CI)	13	11							Not estimable
Total events: 0 (Sildenafil + iNO), 0 (Placebo + iNO)									
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Not applicabl	e								
	Favou	ırs [sildenafil+iNO]	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours [placebo+iNO]	

APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Standard search methods

PubMed: ((infant, newborn[MeSH] OR newborn OR neonate OR neonatal OR premature OR low birth weight OR VLBW OR LBW or infan* or neonat*) AND (randomized controlled trial [pt] OR controlled clinical trial [pt] OR randomized [tiab] OR placebo [tiab] OR drug therapy [sh] OR randomly [tiab] OR trial [tiab] OR groups [tiab]) NOT (animals [mh] NOT humans [mh]))

Embase: (infant, newborn or newborn or neonate or neonatal or premature or very low birth weight or low birth weight or VLBW or LBW or Newborn or infan* or neonat*) AND (human not animal) AND (randomized controlled trial or controlled clinical trial or randomized or placebo or clinical trials as topic or randomly or trial or clinical trial)

CINAHL: (infant, newborn OR newborn OR neonate OR neonatal OR premature OR low birth weight OR VLBW OR LBW or Newborn or infan* or neonat*) AND (randomized controlled trial OR controlled clinical trial OR randomized OR placebo OR clinical trials as topic OR randomly OR trial OR PT clinical trial)

Cochrane Library: (infant or newborn or neonate or neonatal or premature or preterm or very low birth weight or low birth weight or VLBW or LBW)

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)

Copyright ${\ensuremath{\mathbb C}}$ 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



Appendix 2. Risk of bias tool

We used the standard methods of Cochrane and Cochrane Neonatal to assess the methodological quality (to meet the validity criteria) of trials. For each trial, we sought information regarding the method of randomisation, and blinding and reporting of all outcomes of all infants enrolled in the trial. We assessed each criterion as having low, high, or unclear risk. Two review authors separately assessed each study. We resolved disagreements by discussion. We added this information to the Characteristics of included studies table. We evaluated the following issues and entered the findings into the risk of bias table.

1. Sequence generation (checking for possible selection bias). Was the allocation sequence adequately generated?

For each included study, we categorised the method used to generate the allocation sequence as:

a. Low risk (any truly random process, e.g. random number table; computer random number generator);

b. High risk (any non-random process, e.g. odd or even date of birth; hospital or clinic record number); or

c. Unclear risk.

2. Allocation concealment (checking for possible selection bias). Was allocation adequately concealed?

For each included study, we categorised the method used to conceal the allocation sequence as:

a. Low risk (e.g. telephone or central randomisation; consecutively numbered sealed opaque envelopes);

b. High risk (open random allocation; unsealed or non-opaque envelopes, alternation; date of birth); or

c. Unclear risk.

3. Blinding of participants and personnel (checking for possible performance bias). Was knowledge of the allocated intervention adequately prevented during the study?

For each included study, we categorised the methods used to blind study participants and personnel from knowledge of which intervention a participant received. Blinding was assessed separately for different outcomes or classes of outcomes. We categorised the methods as:

a. Low risk, high risk, or unclear risk for participants; and

b. Low risk, high risk, or unclear risk for personnel;

4. Blinding of outcome assessment (checking for possible detection bias). Was knowledge of the allocated intervention adequately prevented at the time of outcome assessment?

For each included study, we categorised the methods used to blind outcome assessment. Blinding was assessed separately for different outcomes or classes of outcomes. We categorised the methods as:

a. Low risk for outcome assessors;.

b. High risk for outcome assessors; or

c. Unclear risk for outcome assessors.

5. Incomplete outcome data (checking for possible attrition bias through withdrawals, dropouts, protocol deviations). Were incomplete outcome data adequately addressed?

For each included study and for each outcome, we described the completeness of data including attrition and exclusions from the analysis. We noted whether attrition and exclusions were reported, the numbers included in the analysis at each stage (compared with the total randomised participants), reasons for attrition or exclusion when reported, and whether missing data were balanced across groups or were related to outcomes. When sufficient information was reported or supplied by trial authors, we re-included missing data in the analyses. We categorised the methods as:

a. Low risk (< 20% missing data);

b. High risk (≥ 20% missing data); or

c. Unclear risk.

6. Selective reporting bias. Are reports of the study free of the suggestion of selective outcome reporting?



For each included study, we described how we investigated the possibility of selective outcome reporting bias and what we found. We assessed the methods as:

a. Low risk (when it is clear that all of the study's prespecified outcomes and all expected outcomes of interest to the review have been reported);

b. High risk (when not all of the study's prespecified outcomes have been reported; one or more reported primary outcomes were not prespecified outcomes of interest and are reported incompletely and so cannot be used; study fails to include results of a key outcome that would have been expected to have been reported); or

c. Unclear risk.

7. Other sources of bias. Was the study apparently free of other problems that could put it at high risk of bias?

For each included study, we described any important concerns we had about other possible sources of bias (e.g. whether a potential source of bias was related to the specific study design, whether the trial was stopped early owing to some data-dependent process). We assessed whether each study was free of other problems that could put it at risk of bias as:

a. Low risk;

b. High risk; or

c. Unclear risk.

If needed, we explored the impact of the level of bias by undertaking sensitivity analyses.

WHAT'S NEW

Date	Event	Description
27 January 2020	Amended	Arne Ohlsson deceased.

HISTORY

Protocol first published: Issue 4, 2005 Review first published: Issue 3, 2007

Date	Event	Description
29 June 2017	New citation required but conclusions have not changed	We added 2 new studies to this update and made no changes to the conclusions
29 June 2017	New search has been performed	We updated this review in June 2017
18 April 2011	New citation required and conclusions have changed	We made some changes to the conclusions. A significant reduc- tion in all-cause mortality occurred within the first 28 days of life among the 77 recruited patients
18 April 2011	New search has been performed	We updated this review in April 2011
		This update includes 1 new study We included as a full report 1 study that previously was available only in abstract form
11 September 2008	Amended	We converted this review to new review format
27 April 2007	New citation required and conclusions have changed	We made substantive amendments

Sildenafil for pulmonary hypertension in neonates (Review)



CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

LE Kelly reviewed the literature to identify trials, collected and entered data into RevMan, updated the review text, and revised the final version of this revision. A Ohlsson reviewed and edited the protocol, checked the search strategy and data entry, verified the data entered, and revised the final version of all versions of this review. PS Shah developed and edited the protocol, identified trials, wrote and edited the review, verified data entered into RevMan, and revised the final version of all versions of this review.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The review authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

• Department of Paediatrics, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Canada.

External sources

• Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, USA.

Editorial support of the Cochrane Neonatal Review Group has been funded with Federal funds from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, USA, under Contract No. HHSN275201600005C

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW

An additional author (LEK) was added to this review team. We added to this update a post hoc comparison of sildenafil versus placebo as adjuvant therapy to inhaled nitric oxide.

INDEX TERMS

Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

Bronchodilator Agents [therapeutic use]; Cause of Death; Hypertension, Pulmonary [*drug therapy] [mortality]; Nitric Oxide [therapeutic use]; Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic; Sildenafil Citrate [*therapeutic use]; Vasodilator Agents [*therapeutic use]

MeSH check words

Humans; Infant, Newborn