

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Interventions for treating intrahepatic cholestasis in people with sickle cell disease (Review)

Martí-Carvajal AJ, Martí-Amarista CE

Martí-Carvajal AJ, Martí-Amarista CE. Interventions for treating intrahepatic cholestasis in people with sickle cell disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2017, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD010985. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010985.pub3.

www.cochranelibrary.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER	1
ABSTRACT	1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY	2
BACKGROUND	3
OBJECTIVES	4
METHODS	4
RESULTS	8
DISCUSSION	8
AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS	8
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	9
REFERENCES	10
APPENDICES	15
WHAT'S NEW	17
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	17
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	17
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	17
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW	18
INDEX TERMS	18



[Intervention Review]

Interventions for treating intrahepatic cholestasis in people with sickle cell disease

Arturo J Martí-Carvajal¹, Cristina Elena Martí-Amarista²

¹Iberoamerican Cochrane Network, Valencia, Venezuela. ²c/o Cochrane Heart Group, Yorkville, Illinois, USA

Contact address: Arturo J Martí-Carvajal, Iberoamerican Cochrane Network, Valencia, Venezuela. arturo.marti.carvajal@gmail.com.

Editorial group: Cochrane Cystic Fibrosis and Genetic Disorders Group. **Publication status and date:** New search for studies and content updated (no change to conclusions), published in Issue 7, 2017.

Citation: Martí-Carvajal AJ, Martí-Amarista CE. Interventions for treating intrahepatic cholestasis in people with sickle cell disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2017, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD010985. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010985.pub3.

Copyright © 2017 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

ABSTRACT

Background

Sickle cell disease is the most common hemoglobinopathy occurring worldwide and sickle cell intrahepatic cholestasis is a complication long recognized in this population. Cholestatic liver diseases are characterized by impaired formation or excretion (or both) of bile from the liver. There is a need to assess the clinical benefits and harms of the interventions used to treat intrahepatic cholestasis in people with sickle cell disease. This is an update of a previously published Cochrane Review.

Objectives

To assess the benefits and harms of the interventions for treating intrahepatic cholestasis in people with sickle cell disease.

Search methods

We searched the Cystic Fibrosis and Genetic Disorders Group's Haemoglobinopathies Trials Register, which comprises references identified from comprehensive electronic database searches and handsearching of relevant journals and abstract books of conference proceedings. We also searched the LILACS database (1982 to 23 May 2017), the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform Search Portal (23 May 2017) and ClinicalTrials.gov.

Date of last search of the Cochrane Cystic Fibrosis and Genetic Disorders Group's Haemoglobinopathies Trials Register: 12 April 2017.

Selection criteria

We searched for published or unpublished randomised controlled trials.

Data collection and analysis

Each author intended to independently extract data and assess the risk of bias of the trials by standard Cochrane methodologies; however, no trials were included in the review.

Main results

There were no randomised controlled trials identified.

Authors' conclusions

This updated Cochrane Review did not identify any randomised controlled trials assessing interventions for treating intrahepatic cholestasis in people with sickle cell disease. Randomised controlled trials are needed to establish the optimum treatment for this condition.



PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Interventions for treating intrahepatic cholestasis in people with sickle cell disease

Review question

We aimed to review the evidence for treating intrahepatic cholestasis (liver diseases where bile is either not formed or excreted properly (or both)) in people with sickle cell disease. This is an update of a previously published Cochrane Review.

Background

Sickle cell disease is an inherited condition and the most common hemoglobinopathy occurring worldwide. In 2006, the WHO declared sickle cell disease as a major public health problem with an estimated 70% of sufferers living in Africa. It is common among people with sub-Saharan African, Indian, Middle Eastern or Mediterranean ancestry.

Sickle cell disease affects the hepatobiliary system (liver, gall bladder, bile ducts), with repeated reduced blood flow and the formation of bilirubin stones (a type of gallstone). This results from sickled cells blocking blood vessels and from a reduction in the lifespan of these cells. Sickle cell intrahepatic cholestasis or sickle cell hepatopathy (abnormal or diseased liver) is one complication of the disease. When diagnosed, people with this condition may show an intense yellowing of skin and eyes due to an increasing level of serum bilirubin (jaundice), tiredness, generalized itching, increasing pain in the upper right quadrant of the abdomen due to gallstones, an enlarged liver and lower hemoglobin levels.

Sickle cell intrahepatic cholestasis, while uncommon, is a potentially fatal complication with a high death rate. There is no agreement in how to diagnose or treat this condition. We wanted to assess the benefits and harms of treatments for intrahepatic cholestasis in people with sickle cell disease.

Search date

The evidence is current to 12 April 2017.

Study characteristics

We were not able to find any eligible trials.

Key results

No trials were identified.

Quality of the evidence

There is no randomised controlled trial evidence of any intervention for treating intrahepatic cholestasis in people with sickle cell disease. Trials are needed to establish the best treatment for this condition.



BACKGROUND

Please refer to the medical glossary (Appendix 1).

Description of the condition

Sickle cell disease (SCD) is an inherited condition and the most common hemoglobinopathy occurring worldwide (Azar 2017; Piel 2017; Ware 2017). The sickle hemoglobin is an abnormal hemoglobin due to a point mutation of the beta (β) globin gene resulting in the substitution of glutamic acid by valine at position 6 of the β globin polypeptide chain (Azar 2017; Piel 2017; Ware 2017).

According to the WHO, SCD is a major public health problem (WHO 2006; Modell 2008) with an estimated 70% of sufferers living in Africa (Tluway 2017). It is common among people with sub-Saharan African, Indian, Middle Eastern or Mediterranean ancestry (Creary 2007; Modell 2008). The term SCD includes sickle cell anaemia (Hb SS), hemoglobin S combined with hemoglobin C (Hb SC), hemoglobin S associated with β thalassemia (S β 0 Thal and S β ⁺Thal) and other less prevalent double heterozygous conditions which cause clinical disease (Steinberg 2009; Weatherall 2006). Hemoglobin S combined with normal hemoglobin (A) is known as the sickle cell trait (AS), which is generally asymptomatic and is not part of this review. Recently, the clinical and other aspects of SCD have been reviewed (Azar 2017; Piel 2017; Ware 2017).

Sickle cell intrahepatic cholestasis is a hepatic complication long recognized in people with SCD (Im 2015; Malik 2015; NIH 2014; Yawn 2014) and it is considered as an medical emergency (Simon 2016). The cholestatic liver diseases are uncommon conditions characterized by impaired formation or excretion (or both) of bile from the liver. Sickle cell disease affects the hepatobiliary system (Ballas 2012; Ebert 2010), with recurrent ischemia and bilirubin stones resulting from vascular obstruction and red cell hemolysis of the sickle cell (Ballas 2012). Biochemical abnormalities, including elevation of alkaline phosphatases, total bilirubin, and γ-glutamyl transpeptidase, typically precede the development of jaundice (Carey 2012). Fatigue and pruritus are the most common symptoms associated with cholestasis (Carey 2012). Acute pain in the right upper quadrant is common in people with SCD and described as right upper quadrant syndrome (Ballas 2012). This syndrome must be separated from the more common symptoms of SCD, i.e., hepatic crisis, hepatic sequestration, autoimmune hepatitis, viral hepatitis, hepatic siderosis, sickle cell intrahepatic cholestasis or sickle cell hepatopathy, cholelithiasis, biliary sludge, choledocholithiasis, acute cholecystitis, and chronic cholecystitis (Ballas 2012). Hepatic sequestration is a component of the right upper quadrant syndrome and it is best diagnosed by a rapid enlargement of the liver with a concurrent drop in hemoglobin concentration. Furthermore, the bilirubin will also be elevated, with a high percentage of direct bilirubin (Ballas 2012).

According to a recent expert panel report, people with acute sickle cell intrahepatic cholestasis show a sudden onset of right upper quadrant pain, severe jaundice due to extreme hyperbilirubinemia (both conjugated and unconjugated) usually without urobilinogenuria, a progressively enlarging and exquisitely tender liver and light-colored stools (NIH 2014; Yawn 2014). Furthermore, thrombocytopenia, elevated alkaline phosphatase, variable levels of transaminases, coagulopathy with increased prothrombin time, and partial thromboplastin time to values more than twice baseline values in the absence of accelerated hemolysis

or obstruction of the extrahepatic biliary system may also be present.

The clinical picture suggests cholestatic jaundice or choledocholithiasis but without evidence of common duct obstruction or cholangitis. Sickle cell intrahepatic cholestasis is an uncommon but potentially fatal complication with a high death rate (Brunetta 2011; Khan 2011). The disease is caused by diffuse sickling within the hepatic sinusoids that ultimately leads to hypoxic hepatic damage and acute hepatic failure (Khan 2011). Liver biopsies of these people show erythrocytosis, erythrophagocytosis, sinusoidal dilatation and hyperplasia in Kupffer cells (Johnson 1985; Omata 1986; Savafi 2006). Furthermore, sickle cell intrahepatic cholestasis is associated with an increased risk of pericardial tamponade (Khurshid 2002), and renal failure (Khan 2011). Older age and underlying hepatic disease have been suggested as poor prognostic factors for sickle cell intrahepatic cholestasis in adults (Costa 2006).

Description of the intervention

Non-pharmaceutical interventions

1. Simple red blood cell transfusion for increasing oxygen delivery.

2. Exchange transfusion. Red cell exchange or erythrocytapheresis is the most frequently recommended intervention for treating intrahepatic cholestasis in people with SCD (Chitturi 2002; Costa 2006; Danielson 2002; Horn 1987; Karunatilake 2009; O'Callaghan 1995; Sheehy 1980; Tiftik 2004). In 1980, Sheehy and colleagues pioneered the use of this procedure in SCD (Sheehy 1980). This Cochrane Review will use exchange transfusion as the term for this procedure. In exchange transfusion the patient's red cells are removed and replaced by exogenous normal red cells. The aim is to raise the level of hemoglobin A to between 60% and 70% while lowering the level of hemoglobin S to 30% and reverse bilirubin levels to normal values (Delis 2006; Donghaile 2013). This procedure can be carried out manually or mechanically (Kleinman 1984).

3. Liver transplantation (Gardner 2014).

Pharmaceutical interventions

Several pharmacological therapies have been used to treat people affected by intrahepatic cholestasis in other clinical settings (Angulo 2000; Azzaroli 2011; Carey 2012; Geenes 2009; Muriel 2008). This Cochrane Review will include interventions for treating cholestasis-associated pruritus: bile acid-binding resins (i.e., cholestyramine, colestipol); rifampin; opiate antagonists (naltrexone and nalmefene); sertraline; dexamethasone; guar gum; and activated charcoal.

How the intervention might work

Non-pharmaceutical interventions

1. Simple red blood cell transfusion increasing the hemoglobin and hematocrit levels.

2. Exchange transfusion prevents the removed sickle cells from participating in new vaso-occlusive events, reduces hemolytic complications, and provides added oxygen carrying capacity while decreasing the blood viscosity (Swerdlow 2006). Red cell exchange also rapidly decreases the rate of hemolysis, which can decrease liver processing of bilirubin, damage to renal tubular cells and the

scavenging of nitric oxide by free hemoglobin released from sickle cells (Akinsheye 2010; Donghaile 2013; Kato 2007; Morris 2008).

3. Liver transplantation is a transient therapy approach; however, it does not resolve the fundamental issue.

Pharmaceutical interventions

For treating cholestasis-associated pruritus, bile acidbinding resins (i.e., cholestyramine, colestipol), rifampin, opiate antagonists (naltrexone and nalmefene), sertraline, dexamethasone, guar gum and activated charcoal have the ability to bind biliary acids in the gut lumen and block their absorption (Azzaroli 2011; Carey 2012). However, there is potential for these agents to interfere with the absorption of other medications (Carey 2012). Opiate antagonists reduce the increased level of opioidergic tone associated with cholestatic pruritus.

Why it is important to do this review

While exchange transfusion is used for treating intrahepatic cholestasis in people with SCD, there appears to be no specific evidence supporting this medical approach. Exchange transfusion requires packed red blood cell units which have undergone prestorage leukoreduction in order to prevent febrile non-hemolytic reactions and which are screened for sickle cell traits to avoid transfusing red cells containing hemoglobin S (Sarode 2006). Due to a discrepancy of red cell antigens between African-Americans and Caucasians (majority blood donors), the incidence of alloantibody formation is very high, which makes it difficult to find compatible red cell units, especially for urgent red cell exchange (Sarode 2006). Therefore, this requires a concerted effort between the apheresis unit, the local blood bank, and the central blood supplier (Sarode 2006). Therapeutic apheresis is a relatively safe procedure; however, it is associated with adverse events. These include hypocalcemia due to citrate; increased frequency of bleeding complications and heparin-induced thrombocytopenia associated with heparin anticoagulation; urticarial reactions to the protein-containing replacement fluid; depletion coagulopathy and immunoglobulin depletion; catheter-related trauma; clotting; infection; and bleeding (Kaplan 2012; Lee 2012). Additionally, the benefits and harms of the alternative non-pharmaceutical intervention, liver transplantation, should be assessed in this clinical setting (Baichi 2005; Emre 2000). This is an update of a previously published Cochrane Review (Martí-Carvajal 2015).

Furthermore, clinical effectiveness and safety of the pharmacological therapies used to treat intrahepatic cholestasis in other clinical settings should be reviewed in people with SCD affected by this hepatic disorder.

OBJECTIVES

To assess the benefits and harms of the interventions for treating intrahepatic cholestasis in people with SCD.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

Randomised controlled trials.

Types of participants

People with SCD, irrespective of age, gender or setting (e.g. hospital or community) with intrahepatic cholestasis.

Types of interventions

A single or combined treatment regimen (with each treatment classified as non-pharmaceutical or pharmaceutical, as detailed below) compared to either conventional care or another treatment regimen for intrahepatic cholestasis in people with SCD.

We did not apply any limits with respect to the period of follow up.

Non-pharmaceutical interventions

- 1. Simple red blood cell transfusion
- 2. Exchange transfusion
- 3. Liver transplantation

Pharmaceutical interventions

1. For treating cholestasis-associated pruritus: bile acidbinding resins (i.e., cholestyramine, colestipol); rifampin; opiate antagonists (naltrexone and nalmefene); sertraline; dexamethasone; guar gum; and activated charcoal.

Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes

- 1. All-cause mortality
- 2. Lack of improvement (as defined by trial authors)
- 3. Adverse events
 - a. serious adverse events
 - b. non-serious adverse events

We defined serious adverse events according to the International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) Guidelines (ICH-GCP 1997), as any event that leads to death, is life-threatening, requires hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation, or results in persistent or significant disability. All other adverse events have been considered as non-serious.

Secondary outcomes

- Quality of life (as measured by the Pediatric Quality of life Inventory (PedsQL[™]) or Medical Outcomes 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) questionnaire or by any validated scale)
- 2. Relief of fatigue (as measured by the PedsQL[™] Multidimensional Fatigue Scale or by any validated scale)
- 3. Platelet count*

*post hoc change - please refer to the section - Differences between protocol and review.

Search methods for identification of studies

We did not adopt any language or publication restrictions.

Electronic searches

We identified relevant studies from the Cystic Fibrosis and Genetic Disorders Group's Haemoglobinopathies Trials Register using the terms: (sickle cell OR (haemoglobinopathies AND general)) AND liver.

The Haemoglobinopathies Trials Register is compiled from electronic searches of the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (updated each new issue of the Cochrane Library) and weekly searches of MEDLINE. Unpublished work is identified by searching the abstract books of five major conferences: the European Haematology Association conference; the American Society of Hematology conference; the British Society for Haematology Annual Scientific Meeting; the Caribbean Public Health Agency Annual Scientific Meeting (formerly the Caribbean Health Research Council Meeting); and the National Sickle Cell Disease Program Annual Meeting. For full details of all searching activities for the register, please see the relevant section of the Cochrane Cystic Fibrosis and Genetic Disorders Group's website.

Date of last search: 12 April 2017.

We also searched in the following databases:

- 1. LILACS (http://lilacs.bvsalud.org) (1982 to 23 May 2017);
- 2. Epistemonikos (www.epistemonikos.org) (up to 23 May 2017).

In addition, we searched trial registries via the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform search portal (ICTRP) (http://apps.who.int/trialsearch/Default.aspx) and ClinicalTrials.gov (www.clinicaltrials.gov) (23 May 2017).

Searching other resources

We aimed to check the reference lists of all the trials identified by the above methods. However, we did not identify any randomised controlled trials.

Data collection and analysis

We were unable to identify any randomised controlled trials eligible for inclusion in this review. Therefore, we could not perform the data analyses that we had planned. If, in the future, trials are identified and included in the review, we will adhere to the protocol described below:

Selection of studies

Arturo Martí-Carvajal (AMC) and Cristina Martí-Amarista (CMA) will screen the search results for potentially relevant trials and independently assess them for inclusion or exclusion, using a predesigned eligibility form based on the inclusion criteria. We will resolve any disagreements through discussion until we reach a consensus.

Data extraction and management

For the future update, one author (AMC) will extract data from the included trials using a spreadsheet data extraction form and one author (CMA) will check the data entered.

We will extract the following data:

- eligibility criteria of the trials;
- demographics (age, sex, country);
- hemoglobin genotype: sickle cell anemia (Hb SS); hemoglobin S combined with hemoglobin C (Hb SC); hemoglobin S associated with ß thalassemia (Sß0 Thal and Sß+ Thal);
- hepatic biochemistry at time of entry and at end of trial (total, unconjugated and conjugated bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase,

alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, gammaglutamyltransferase, albumin, prothrombin time);

- outcome data (all-cause mortality, lack of improvement, adverse events, quality of life, numbers of jaundice, itching, and being tired, liver biopsy);
- intervention data: type and regimens.

We will discuss any discrepancies between the review authors to achieve a final consensus.

We aim to pool outcome data from trials based on the following follow-up periods (zero to one week, over one week to four weeks, over four weeks to 24 weeks and over 24 weeks). If outcome data do not permit such grouping, we shall pool the end-point data. These different time points are arbitrary and based on clinical judgment.

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

For the future update, two review authors will independently assess the risk of bias of each included trial using the domainbased evaluation as described in the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (Higgins 2011). We will discuss any discrepancies in an aim to achieve consensus.

We will assess the following domains, as per the definitions of each classification below (Higgins 2011).

Generation of allocation sequence (checking for possible selection bias)

We will describe the method used to generate the allocation sequence in each included trial in sufficient detail to allow an assessment of whether it should produce comparable groups.

We will assess the method as:

- low risk (any truly random process, e.g. random number table, computer random number generator);
- high risk (any non-random process, e.g. odd or even date of birth; hospital or clinic record number);
- unclear (if the trial was described as randomised but the method used for the allocation sequence generation was not described).

Allocation concealment (checking for possible selection bias)

We will describe the method used to conceal the allocation sequence in each included trial in sufficient detail to determine whether intervention allocation could have been foreseen, in advance of or during recruitment, or changed after assignment.

We will assess the methods as:

- low risk (e.g. telephone or central randomisation, consecutively numbered sealed opaque envelopes);
- high risk (open random allocation, unsealed or non-opaque envelopes, alternation, date of birth);
- unclear (if the trial was described as randomised but the method used to conceal the allocation was not described).

Blinding or masking (checking for possible performance bias)

For each included study we will describe the methods used, if any, to blind trial participants and personnel from knowledge of which intervention a participant received. We will judge trials at low risk of

bias if they were blinded, or if we judged that the lack of blinding did not affect the results. We will assess blinding separately for different outcomes or classes of outcomes.

We will assess the methods as:

- low risk, high risk, or unclear for participants;
- low risk, high risk, or unclear for personnel;
- low risk, high risk, or unclear for outcome assessors.

Incomplete outcome data (checking for possible attrition bias through withdrawals, dropouts, protocol deviations)

- Low risk (any one of the following): no missing outcome data; reasons for missing outcome data unlikely to be related to true outcome (for survival data, censoring unlikely to be introducing bias); missing outcome data balanced in numbers across intervention groups, with similar reasons for missing data across groups; for dichotomous outcome data, the proportion of missing outcomes compared with observed event risk not enough to have a clinically relevant impact on the intervention effect estimate; for continuous outcome data, plausible effect size (difference in means or standardised difference in means) among missing outcomes not enough to have a clinically relevant impact on a clinically relevant impact on observed effect size; missing data have been imputed using appropriate methods.
- High risk (any one of the following): reason for missing outcome data likely to be related to true outcome, with either imbalance in numbers or reasons for missing data across intervention groups; for dichotomous outcome data, the proportion of missing outcomes compared with observed event risk enough to induce clinically relevant bias in intervention effect estimate; for continuous outcome data, plausible effect size (difference in means or standardised difference in means) among missing outcomes enough to induce clinically relevant bias in observed effect size; 'as-treated' analysis done with substantial departure of the intervention received from that assigned at randomisation; potentially inappropriate application of simple imputation.
- Unclear risk (any one of the following): insufficient reporting of attrition or exclusions to permit judgement of 'low risk' or 'high risk' (e.g. number randomised not stated, no reasons for missing data provided); the study did not address this outcome.

Selective reporting bias (reporting bias due to selective outcome reporting)

We will describe for each included trial how we investigated the possibility of selective outcome reporting bias and what we found.

We will assess the methods as follows.

- Low risk (any one of the following): the trial protocol is available and all of the trial's pre-specified (primary and secondary) outcomes that are of interest in the review have been reported in the pre-specified way, or the trial protocol is not available but it is clear that the published reports include all expected outcomes, including those that were pre-specified (convincing text of this nature may be uncommon).
- High risk (any one of the following): not all of the trial's prespecified primary outcomes have been reported; one or more primary outcomes is reported using measurements, analysis methods or subsets of the data (e.g. subscales) that were not

pre-specified; one or more reported primary outcomes were not pre-specified (unless clear justification for their reporting is provided, such as an unexpected adverse effect); one or more outcomes of interest in the review are reported incompletely so that they cannot be entered in a meta-analysis; the trial report fails to include results for a key outcome that would be expected to have been reported for such a trial.

• Unclear: insufficient information to permit judgement of 'low risk' or 'high risk'.

Other bias (bias due to problems not covered elsewhere in the table)

We will describe for each included trial any important concerns we have about other possible sources of bias (baseline imbalance, sponsorship bias, confirmation bias, bias of the presentation data, etc).

- low risk of bias, the trial appears to be free of other components that could put it at risk of bias;
- unclear, the trial may or may not be free of other components that could put it at risk of bias;
- high risk of bias, there are other factors in the trial that could put it at risk of bias, e.g. no sample size calculation made.

Overall risk of bias

We will made explicit judgements about whether trials were at high risk of bias, according to the criteria given in the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (Higgins 2011). We will assess the likely magnitude and direction of bias, and whether we will consider it likely to impact our findings.

We will regard trials assessed as having a 'low risk of bias' in all of the individually-specified domains above as 'trials with an overall low risk of bias'. We will consider trials assessed as having an 'uncertain risk of bias' or a 'high risk of bias' in one or more of the above-specified individual domains as trials with 'an overall high risk of bias'.

Measures of treatment effect

For future updates, if we are able to include trials, we will perform meta-analyses according to the recommendations of the Cochrane Collaboration (Higgins 2011). We will use Review Manager 5 to analyse the data (RevMan 2014).

For binary outcomes, such as all-cause mortality, lack of improvement, and adverse events, we will calculate the risk ratio (RR) with the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI).

For continuous outcomes, such as quality of life, and relief of fatigue, the authors will calculate the mean difference (MD) with the corresponding 95% CI. However, if these outcomes are measured using different scales the authors plan to use the standardized mean difference (SMD) with the corresponding 95% CI.

Unit of analysis issues

For future updates, if we are able to include trials, we will use the randomised SCD participant as the unit of analysis.

We do not regard cross-over trials or cluster randomised trials to be suitable designs for this intervention.

Dealing with missing data

For future updates, if we are able to include trials, we will contact the lead author of each trial in an attempt to obtain the relevant information or missing data (or both). If no further information is available, we will carry out an available case analysis as our main analysis, that is, the denominator for each outcome in each trial will be the number randomised minus the number of participants whose outcomes were known to be missing.

We will assess the percentage of dropouts for each included trial, and for each trial group, and evaluate whether an intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis has been performed or could have been performed from the available published information.

Intention-to-treat analyses

Regarding the primary outcomes, we will include participants with incomplete or missing data in sensitivity analyses by imputing them according to the following scenarios (Hollis 1999).

- Poor-outcome analysis: assuming that dropouts or participants lost from both the experimental and the control arms experienced the outcome, including all randomised participants in the denominator.
- Good-outcome analysis: assuming that none of the dropouts or participants lost from the experimental and the control arms experienced the outcome, including all randomised participants in the denominator.
- Extreme-case analysis favouring the experimental intervention ('best-worse' case scenario): none of the dropouts or participants lost from the experimental arm, but all of the dropouts or participants lost from the control arm experienced the outcome, including all randomised participants in the denominator.
- Extreme-case analysis favouring the control ('worst-best' case scenario): all dropouts or participants lost from the experimental arm, but none from the control arm experienced the outcome, including all randomised participants in the denominator.

Assessment of heterogeneity

For future updates, if we are able to include trials, we will visually assess the forest plot for heterogeneity and use the I^2 statistic and the Q test (P < 0.1) to measure statistical heterogeneity between the trials. The I^2 statistic describes the percentage of total variation between trials due to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (Higgins 2003). We will consider there to be significant heterogeneity if I^2 is more than 50% (Higgins 2011).

Assessment of reporting biases

For future updates, if we are able to include trials, if 10 or more trials are available, we will assess publication bias and other biases by the use of a funnel plot (Sterne 2011).

Data synthesis

For future updates, if we are able to include trials, we plan to conduct separate meta-analyses for the intervention groups (nonpharmaceutical interventions and pharmaceutical interventions).

If the eligible trials are sufficiently comparable in their clinical characteristics, we will use a random-effects model and a fixed-

effect model meta-analysis. If there is discrepancy between the two models (e.g., one giving a significant intervention effect, the other no significant intervention effect) we will report both results; otherwise, we will report only the data using the random-effects model.

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

If we identify significant heterogeneity in a future update and we are able to include at least 10 trials in the meta-analysis, we will investigate the possible causes. We will devote further research to identify the possible causes for this heterogeneity, exploring the condition of the individuals.

We anticipate possible clinical heterogeneity in the effect of the intervention and if we find this we plan to conduct the following subgroup analyses if possible:

- HbSS type versus HbSC type;
- geographical location of randomised controlled trial;
- participants' age: less than 18 years old compared to 18 years old and older;
- type of pharmaceutical intervention.

We will only conduct these subgroup analyses for primary outcomes.

Sensitivity analysis

For any future update, if we include 10 or more trials, we plan to conduct sensitivity analyses including only trials with an 'overall low risk of bias' (Higgins 2011). It is unlikely we will find many trials with an overall low risk of bias, therefore, we plan to choose three core domains: generation of allocation sequence; incomplete outcome data; and selective reporting bias.

Trial sequential analysis

Meta-analysis of cumulative data may run the risk of random errors ('play of chance') due to sparse data and repetitive analyses of the same data (Brok 2008; Brok 2009; Thorlund 2010; Thorlund 2011; Wetterslev 2008; Wetterslev 2009; Wetterslev 2017). In order to assess the risks of random errors in our cumulative meta-analyses, we will conduct diversity-adjusted trial sequential analyses based upon the proportion with the outcome in the control group; an *a priori* set relative risk reduction of 20%; an alpha of 5%, an beta of 20%; and the squared diversity in the meta-analysis (Thorlund 2009; Thorlund 2011; CTU 2011). For any future update, we will conduct sensitivity analysis of the trial sequential analysis to estimate the need for further trials.

Summary of findings tables

If, in the future, trials are identified and included in the review, we plan to use the principles of the GRADE system to assess the quality of the body of evidence associated with specific outcomes where possible (all-cause mortality, lack of improvement, quality of life, and adverse events) (Guyatt 2011a). We will construct a 'Summary of Findings (SoF) table' using the GRADE software (GRADEPro 2008). The GRADE approach appraises the quality of a body of evidence based on the extent to which one can be confident that an estimate of effect or association reflects the item being assessed. The quality of a body of evidence is considered to be: within-study risk of bias (methodologic quality); the directness of the evidence; heterogeneity of the data; precision of effect estimates; and risk of



publication bias (Balshem 2011; Guyatt 2011b; Guyatt 2011c; Guyatt 2011d; Guyatt 2011e; Guyatt 2011f; Guyatt 2011g; Guyatt 2011h; Guyatt 2011i; Guyatt 2012).

RESULTS

Description of studies

Results of the search

In this first update, we identified no potentially relevant references through the initial bibliographical searches on interventions for treating intrahepatic cholestasis in people with SCD.

Risk of bias in included studies

No trials were included.

Effects of interventions

The searches did not identify any randomised controlled trials eligible for inclusion in this Cochrane Review (nor any that were potentially eligible), nor were we able to identify any ongoing trials.

DISCUSSION

Summary of main results

For this first update, we have been unable to identify any randomised controlled trials (RCTs) addressing the benefits and harms of either non-pharmaceutical (simple red blood cell transfusion, exchange transfusion and liver transplantation) or pharmaceutical interventions (for treating cholestasis-associated pruritus: bile acid-binding resins (i.e. cholestyramine, colestipol); rifampin; opiate antagonists (naltrexone and nalmefene); sertraline; dexamethasone; guar gum; and activated charcoal for treating intrahepatic cholestasis in people with sickle cell disease (SCD).

Overall completeness and applicability of evidence

SCD is a major public health problem (WHO 2006; Modell 2008) with an estimated 70% of those affected living in Africa (Tluway 2017), the lack of RCTs to assess the clinical benefits and harms of the interventions for treating intrahepatic cholestasis in people with SCD is perplexing. In 2005, Lottenberg stated "The application of evidence-based medicine to the management of adults with sickle cell disease is currently primarily driven by clinical expertise and patient preference, as there is a paucity of randomized controlled trial data to guide decision-making" (Lottenberg 2005). This updated Cochrane Review provides strong evidence in support of Lottenberg's words.

SCD is a vasculopathy (Kassim 2013; Kato 2009). There are several studies reporting the findings of the molecular biology for explaining the endothelial damage in SCD (Caboot 2014; Goodman 2013; Gutsaeva 2014; Kato 2006; Kato 2008; Kato 2009; Kato 2014; Lockwood 2014; Morris 2014; Nishank 2013; Patel 2014; Sun 2013; Thakur 2014; Zhang 2012). They could explain the severe complications of these people and could be used as mechanistic reasoning for supporting RCTs in this condition. Certainly, the scientific uncertainty is undeniable. It is widely known that clinicians make practical decisions, often on the basis of inadequate information. Decisions on treatment should be taken based on the results of RCTs (Alderson 2004; Chalmers 2004).

rationale for using either non-pharmaceutical or The pharmaceutical treatments for intrahepatic cholestasis in SCD remains unknown. RCTs to answer the research question of this Cochrane Review have not yet been carried out. It is possible that some trials have been conducted, but due to their negative results, they remain unpublished (Easterbrook 1991; Gluud 1998). We can not support or rule out that trials on this subject are unpublished, which is not surprising as was recently highlighted (Glasziou 2017). This causes publication bias, reducing the possibility of developing valid systematic reviews (Diringer 2003). This is influenced by a number of factors, from the prevalence of the conditions to the resources available to undertake research. Keeping clinical uncertainty hidden does not benefit patients and may increase health-service costs (Alderson 2000). Conversely, admitting clinical uncertainty helps clarify treatment options and encourages further research (Alderson 2000; Diringer 2003).

We have concluded that intrahepatic cholestasis is a neglected complication of SCD. The paucity of data on clinical benefits and harms of treatments for intrahepatic cholestasis in SCD should stimulate the development of well-planned RCTs. Recently, a number of recommendations have been suggested in order to increase the value of and to reduce waste in biomedical research (Chalmers 2014; Macleod 2014).

Quality of the evidence

Randomised controlled trials were not identified.

Potential biases in the review process

While performing this systematic review, we also planned to look for 'significance-chasing biases' (loannidis 2010) which include, among others, publication bias, selective outcome reporting bias, selective analysis reporting bias, and fabrication bias (loannidis 2010). Publication bias represents a major threat to the validity of systematic reviews, particularly in reviews that include small trials. However, this Cochrane Review contains no trials and as such, no risk of bias could be evaluated. Trials with 'negative' results may have remained unpublished as suppression of information on specific outcomes may have occurred (loannidis 2010). We have been unable to identify evidence from randomised controlled trials supporting the use of any intervention either non-pharmaceutical or pharmaceutical for intrahepatic cholestasis in SCD.

The main limitation of this Cochrane Review is the paucity of evidence in people with SCD suffering from intrahepatic cholestasis.

Agreements and disagreements with other studies or reviews

There are no other reviews or studies to compare with this Cochrane Review.

AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

This update Cochrane Review identified no RCTs of nonpharmaceutical interventions (simple red blood cell transfusion, exchange transfusion and liver transplantation) or pharmaceutical interventions (bile acid-binding resins (i.e., cholestyramine, colestipol); rifampin; opiate antagonists (naltrexone and



nalmefene); sertraline; dexamethasone; guar gum; and activated charcoal) for treating intrahepatic cholestasis in SCD. Therefore, it is not possible to determine whether any of those interventions are beneficial or harmful for people with SCD and intrahepatic cholestasis. Accordingly, we are unable to evaluate the effects of any intervention for treating intrahepatic cholestasis in SCD.

Implications for research

This update Cochrane Review has identified the need for welldesigned, adequately powered RCTs to assess the benefits and harms of non-pharmaceutical and pharmaceutical treatments as a way of treating the intrahepatic cholestasis affecting people with SCD. Potential trials should assess clinical outcomes such as all-cause mortality, health-related quality of life, fatigue and adverse events. However, this is a relatively rare complication of SCD, making it unlikely that there would be enough participants to conduct such a trial in a reasonable time-frame.

RCTs should be reported according to the consolidated standards of reporting trials (CONSORT) statement for improving the

quality of reporting of efficacy and harms in clinical research (loannidis 2004; Moher 2010; Turner 2012). Future trials should be planned following the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials (SPIRIT) (Chan 2013; Chan 2013a) and the Foundation of Patient-Centered Outcomes Research recommendations (Basch 2012; Gabriel 2012; PCORI 2012).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We want to express our gratitude to Cystic Fibrosis and Genetic Disorders Group and peer reviewers for improving the quality of this Cochrane Review.

This project was supported by the National Institute for Health Research, via Cochrane Infrastructure funding to the Cochrane Cystic Fibrosis and Genetic Disorders Group. The views and opinions expressed therein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Systematic Reviews Programme, NIHR, NHS or the Department of Health.



REFERENCES

Additional references

Akinsheye 2010

Akinsheye I, Klings ES. Sickle cell anemia and vascular dysfunction: the nitric oxide connection. *Journal of Cellular Physiology* 2010;**224**(3):620-5. [PUBMED: 20578237]

Alderson 2000

Alderson P, Roberts I. Should journals publish systematic reviews that find no evidence to guide practice? Examples from injury research. *British Medical Journal* 2000;**320**:376-7. [PUBMED: 10657341]

Alderson 2004

Alderson P. Absence of evidence is not evidence of absence. British Medical Journal 2004;**328**:476-7. [PUBMED: 14988165]

Angulo 2000

Angulo P, Patel T, Jorgensen RA, Therneau TM, Lindor KD. Silymarin in the treatment of patients with primary biliary cirrhosis with a suboptimal response to ursodeoxycholic acid. *Hepatology* 2000;**32**(5):897-900. [PUBMED: 11050036]

Azar 2017

Azar S, Wong TE. Sickle cell disease: a brief update. *The Medical Clinics of North America* 2017;**101**(2):375-93. [PUBMED: 28189177]

Azzaroli 2011

Azzaroli F, Turco L, Lisotti A, Calvanese C, Mazzella G. The pharmacological management of intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy. *Current Clinical Pharmacology* 2011;**6**(1):12-7. [PUBMED: 21352094]

Baichi 2005

Baichi MM, Arifuddin RM, Mantry PS, Bozorgzadeh A, Ryan C. Liver transplantation in sickle cell anemia: a case of acute sickle cell intrahepatic cholestasis and a case of sclerosing cholangitis. *Transplantation* 2005;**80**(11):1630-2. [PUBMED: 16371935]

Ballas 2012

Ballas SK, Kesen MR, Goldberg MF, Lutty GA, Dampier C, Osunkwo I, et al. Beyond the definitions of the phenotypic complications of sickle cell disease: an update on management. *The Scientific World Journal* 2012;**2012**:949535. [PUBMED: 22924029]

Balshem 2011

Balshem H, Helfand M, Schunemann HJ, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Brozek J, et al. GRADE guidelines: 3. Rating the quality of evidence. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011;**64**(4):401-6. [PUBMED: 21208779]

Basch 2012

Basch E, Aronson N, Berg A, Flum D, Gabriel S, Goodman SN, et al. Methodological standards and patient-centeredness in comparative effectiveness research: the PCORI perspective. *JAMA* 2012;**307**(15):1636-40. [PUBMED: 22511692]

Brok 2008

Brok J, Thorlund K, Gluud C, Wetterslev J. Trial sequential analysis reveals insufficient information size and potentially false positive results in many meta-analyses. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2008;**61**(8):763-9. [PUBMED: 18411040]

Brok 2009

Brok J, Thorlund K, Wetterslev J, Gluud C. Apparently conclusive meta-analyses may be inconclusive--Trial sequential analysis adjustment of random error risk due to repetitive testing of accumulating data in apparently conclusive neonatal meta-analyses. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2009;**38**(1):287-98. [PUBMED: 18824466]

Brunetta 2011

Brunetta DM, Silva-Pinto AC, do Carmo Favarin de Macedo M, Bassi SC, Piccolo Feliciano JV, Ribeiro FB, et al. Intrahepatic cholestasis in sickle cell disease: a case report. *Anemia* 2011;**2011**:975731. [PUBMED: 21490769]

Caboot 2014

Caboot JB, Allen JL. Hypoxemia in sickle cell disease: significance and management. *Paediatric Respiratory Reviews* 2014;**15**(1):17-23. [PUBMED: 24461342]

Carey 2012

Carey EJ, Lindor KD. Current pharmacotherapy for cholestatic liver disease. *Expert Opinion on Pharmacotherapy* 2012;**13**(17):2473-84. [PUBMED: 23094715]

Chalmers 2004

Chalmers I. Well informed uncertainties about the effects of treatments. How clinicians and patients respond?. *BMJ* 2004;**328**:475-6. [PUBMED: 14988164]

Chalmers 2014

Chalmers I, Bracken MB, Djulbegovic B, Garattini S, Grant J, Gülmezoglu AM, et al. How to increase value and reduce waste when research priorities are set. *Lancet* 2014;**383**(9912):156-65. [PUBMED: 24411644]

Chan 2013

Chan AW, Tetzlaff JM, Gotzsche PC, Altman DG, Mann H, Berlin JA, et al. SPIRIT 2013 explanation and elaboration: guidance for protocols of clinical trials. *British Medical Journal* 2013;**346**:e7586. [PUBMED: 23303884]

Chan 2013a

Chan AW, Tetzlaff JM, Altman DG, Laupacis A, Gotzsche PC, Krleza-Jeric K, et al. SPIRIT 2013 statement: defining standard protocol items for clinical trials. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2013;**158**(3):200-7. [PUBMED: 23295957]

Chitturi 2002

Chitturi S, George J, Ranjitkumar S, Kench J, Benson W. Exchange transfusion for severe intrahepatic cholestasis associated with sickle cell disease?. Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology 2002; Vol. 35, issue 4:362-3. [PUBMED: 12352306]



Costa 2006

Costa DB, Miksad RA, Buff MS, Wang Y, Dezube BJ. Case of fatal sickle cell intrahepatic cholestasis despite use of exchange transfusion in an African-American patient. *Journal of the National Medical Association* 2006;**98**(7):1183-7. [PUBMED: 16895293]

Creary 2007

Creary M, Williamson D, Kulkarni R. Sickle cell disease: current activities, public health implications, and future directions. *Journal of Women's Health* 2007;**16**:575-82. [PUBMED: 17627395]

CTU 2011

TSA - Trial Sequential Analysis. Copenhagen Trial Unit, Center for Clinical Intervention Research. http://ctu.dk/tsa/ (accessed 29 September 2011).

Danielson 2002

Danielson CF. The role of red blood cell exchange transfusion in the treatment and prevention of complications of sickle cell disease. *Therapeutic Apheresis* 2002;**6**(1):24-31. [PUBMED: 11886573]

Delis 2006

Delis SG, Touloumis Z, Bourli A, Madariaga J, Dervenis C. Can exchange transfusions treat postoperative intrahepatic colestasis in patients with sickle cell anemia?. *Transplantation Proceedings* 2006;**38**(5):1385-6. [PUBMED: 16797311]

Diringer 2003

Diringer MN. Evidence-based medicine: what do you do when there's no evidence?. *Critical Care Medicine* 2003;**31**(2):659-60. [PUBMED: 12576991]

Donghaile 2013

Donghaile DO, Klein HG. Hemapheresis. In: Hoffman R, Benz EJ, Silberstein LE, Heslop HE, Weitz JI, Anastasi J editor(s). Hematology: Basic Principles and Practice. 6th Edition. Philadelphia: Saunders, 2013:1716-26. [ISBN: 978-1-4377-2928-3]

Easterbrook 1991

Easterbrook PJ, Berlin J, Gopalan R, Matthews DR. Publication bias in clinical research. *Lancet* 1991;**337**:867-72. [PUBMED: 1672966]

Ebert 2010

Ebert EC, Nagar M, Hagspiel KD. Gastrointestinal and hepatic complications of sickle cell disease. *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 2010;**8**(6):483-9; quiz e70. [PUBMED: 20215064]

Emre 2000

Emre S, Kitibayashi K, Schwartz ME, Ahn J, Birnbaum A, Thung SN, et al. Liver transplantation in a patient with acute liver failure due to sickle cell intrahepatic cholestasis. *Transplantation* 2000;**69**(4):675-6. [PUBMED: 10708131]

Gabriel 2012

Gabriel SE, Normand SL. Getting the Methods Right - The Foundation of Patient-Centered Outcomes Research. *New*

England Journal of Medicine 2012;**367**(9):787-90. [PUBMED: 22830434]

Gardner 2014

Gardner K, Suddle A, Kane P, O'Grady J, Heaton N, Bomford A, et al. How we treat sickle hepatopathy and liver transplantation in adults. *Blood* 2014;**123**(15):2302-7. [PUBMED: 24565828]

Geenes 2009

Geenes V, Williamson C. Intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy. World Journal of Gastroenterology 2009; Vol. 15, issue 17:2049-66. [PUBMED: 19418576]

Glasziou 2017

Glasziou P, Chalmers I. Can it really be true that 50% of research is unpublished?. http://blogs.bmj.com/ bmj/2017/06/05/paul-glasziou-and-iain-chalmers-canit-really-be-true-that-50-of-research-is-unpublished/? utm_campaign=shareaholic&utm_medium=twitter&utm_source=socialnetr (accessed 12 June 2017).

Gluud 1998

Gluud C. "Negative trials" are positive!. *Journal of Hepatology* 1998;**28**(4):731-3. [PUBMED: 9566846]

Goodman 2013

Goodman SR, Daescu O, Kakhniashvili DG, Zivanic M. The proteomics and interactomics of human erythrocytes. *Experimental Biology and Medicine* 2013;**238**(5):509-18. [PUBMED: 23856902]

GRADEPro 2008

GRADE [Computer program]. Brozek J, Oxman A, Schünemann H. Version 3.6 for Windows 2008.

Gutsaeva 2014

Gutsaeva DR, Montero-Huerta P, Parkerson JB, Yerigenahally SD, Ikuta T, Head CA. Molecular mechanisms underlying synergistic adhesion of sickle red blood cells by hypoxia and low nitric oxide bioavailability. *Blood* 2014;**123**(12):1917-26. [PUBMED: 24429338]

Guyatt 2011a

Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Brozek J, Alonso-Coello P, Rind D, et al. GRADE guidelines 6. Rating the quality of evidence--imprecision. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011;**64**(12):1283-93. [PUBMED: 21839614]

Guyatt 2011b

Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Woodcock J, Brozek J, Helfand M, et al. GRADE guidelines: 7. Rating the quality of evidence--inconsistency. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011;**64**(12):1294-302. [PUBMED: 21803546]

Guyatt 2011c

Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Montori V, Vist G, Kunz R, Brozek J, et al. GRADE guidelines: 5. Rating the quality of evidence--publication bias. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011;**64**(12):1277-82. [PUBMED: 21802904]



Guyatt 2011d

Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Woodcock J, Brozek J, Helfand M, et al. GRADE guidelines: 8. Rating the quality of evidence--indirectness. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011;**64**(12):1303-10. [PUBMED: 21802903]

Guyatt 2011e

Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Sultan S, Glasziou P, Akl EA, Alonso-Coello P, et al. GRADE guidelines: 9. Rating up the quality of evidence. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011;**64**(12):1311-6. [PUBMED: 21802902]

Guyatt 2011f

Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist G, Kunz R, Brozek J, Alonso-Coello P, et al. GRADE guidelines: 4. Rating the quality of evidence-study limitations (risk of bias). *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011;**64**(4):407-15. [PUBMED: 21247734]

Guyatt 2011g

Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, Kunz R, Vist G, Brozek J, et al. GRADE guidelines: 1. Introduction-GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011;**64**(4):383-94. [PUBMED: 21195583]

Guyatt 2011h

Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Atkins D, Brozek J, Vist G, et al. GRADE guidelines: 2. Framing the question and deciding on important outcomes. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011;**64**(4):395-400. [PUBMED: 21194891]

Guyatt 2011i

Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Schunemann HJ, Tugwell P, Knottnerus A. GRADE guidelines: a new series of articles in the Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2011;**64**(4):380-2. [PUBMED: 21185693]

Guyatt 2012

Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Sultan S, Brozek J, Glasziou P, Alonso-Coello P, et al. GRADE guidelines 11-making an overall rating of confidence in effect estimates for a single outcome and for all outcomes. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2012;**66**(2):151-157. [PUBMED: 22542023]

Higgins 2003

Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *British Medical Journal* 2003;**327**(7414):557-60. [PUBMED: 12958120]

Higgins 2011

Higgins JPT, Green S, editor(s). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011). The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from handbook.cochrane.org. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org.

Hollis 1999

Hollis S, Campbell F. What is meant by intention to treat analysis? Survey of published randomised controlled trials. *British Medical Journal* 1999;**319**(7211):670-4. [PUBMED: 10480822]

Horn 1987

Horn A, Freed N, Pecora AA. Sickle cell hepatopathy: diagnosis and treatment with exchange transfusion. *Journal of the American Osteopathic Association* 1987;**87**(2):130-3. [PUBMED: 3558021]

ICH-GCP 1997

International Conference on Harmonisation Expert Working Group. International conference on harmonisation of technical requirements for registration of pharmaceuticals for human use. ICH harmonised tripartite guideline. Guideline for good clinical practice1997 CFR & ICH Guidelines. Vol. **1**, PA 19063-2043, USA: Barnett International/PAREXEL, 1997.

lm 2015

Im DD, Essien U, DePasse JW, Chiappa V. Acute on chronic liver failure in a patient with sickle cell anaemia (HbSS). BMJ Case Reports 2015; Vol. 2015:Jul 1. [PUBMED: 26135492]

Ioannidis 2004

Ioannidis JP, Evans SJ, Gotzsche PC, O'Neill RT, Altman DG, Schulz K, et al. Better reporting of harms in randomized trials: an extension of the CONSORT statement. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2004;**141**(10):781-8. [PUBMED: 15545678]

Ioannidis 2010

Ioannidis JP. The art of getting it wrong. *Research Synthesis Methods* 2010;**1**(3-4):169-84.

Johnson 1985

Johnson CS, Omata M, Tong MJ, Simmons JF Jr, Weiner J, Tatter D. Liver involvement in sickle cell disease. *Medicine* 1985;**64**(5):349-56. [PUBMED: 4033412]

Kaplan 2012

Kaplan A. Complications of apheresis. *Seminars in Dialysis* 2012;**25**(2):152-8. [PUBMED: 22321209]

Karunatilake 2009

Karunatilake H, Vithiya K, Malavan R, Natalia H, Ratnayake H. Exchange transfusion for intrahepatic cholestasis due to sickle beta thalassaemia. *Ceylon Medical Journal* 2009;**54**(3):95-6. [PUBMED: 19999791]

Kassim 2013

Kassim AA, DeBaun MR. Sickle cell disease, vasculopathy, and therapeutics. *Annual Review of Medicine* 2013;**64**:451-66. [PUBMED: 23190149]

Kato 2006

Kato GJ, McGowan V, Machado RF, Little JA, Taylor J 6th, Morris CR, et al. Lactate dehydrogenase as a biomarker of hemolysis-associated nitric oxide resistance, priapism, leg ulceration, pulmonary hypertension, and death in patients with sickle cell disease. *Blood* 2006;**107**(6):2279-85. [PUBMED: 16291595]

Kato 2007

Kato GJ, Gladwin MT, Steinberg MH. Deconstructing sickle cell disease: reappraisal of the role of hemolysis in the development



of clinical subphenotypes. *Blood Reviews* 2007;**21**:37-47. [PUBMED: 17084951]

Kato 2008

Kato GJ, Gladwin MT. Evolution of novel small-molecule therapeutics targeting sickle cell vasculopathy. *JAMA* 2008;**300**(22):2638-46. [PUBMED: 19066384]

Kato 2009

Kato GJ, Hebbel RP, Steinberg MH, Gladwin MT. Vasculopathy in sickle cell disease: Biology, pathophysiology, genetics, translational medicine, and new research directions. American Journal of Hematology 2009; Vol. 84, issue 9:618-25. [PUBMED: 19610078]

Kato 2014

Kato GJ. No NO means yes to sickle red cell adhesion. *Blood* 2014;**123**(12):1780-2. [PUBMED: 24652963]

Khan 2011

Khan MA, Kerner JA. Reversal of hepatic and renal failure from sickle cell intrahepatic cholestasis. *Digestive Diseases and Sciences* 2011;**56**(6):1634-6. [PUBMED: 21267779]

Khurshid 2002

Khurshid I, Anderson L, Downie GH, Pape GS. Sickle cell disease, extreme hyperbilirubinemia, and pericardial tamponade: case report and review of the literature. *Critical Care Medicine* 2002;**30**(10):2363-7. [PUBMED: 12394969]

Kleinman 1984

Kleinman SH, Hurvitz CG, Goldfinger D. Use of erythrocytapheresis in the treatment of patients with sickle cell anemia. *Journal of Clinical Apheresis* 1984;**2**(2):170-6. [PUBMED: 6543585]

Lee 2012

Lee G, Arepally GM. Anticoagulation techniques in apheresis: from heparin to citrate and beyond. *Journal of Clinical Apheresis* 2012;**27**(3):117-25. [PUBMED: 22532037]

Lockwood 2014

Lockwood SY, Erkal JL, Spence DM. Endothelium-derived nitric oxide production is increased by ATP released from red blood cells incubated with hydroxyurea. *Nitric Oxide* 2014;**38**:1-7. [PUBMED: 24530476]

Lottenberg 2005

Lottenberg R, Hassell KL. An evidence-based approach to the treatment of adults with sickle cell disease. Hematology / The Education Program of the American Society of Hematology. American Society of Hematology. Education Program 2005:58-65. [PUBMED: 16304360]

Macleod 2014

Macleod MR, Michie S, Roberts I, Dirnagl U, Chalmers I, Ioannidis JP, et al. Biomedical research: increasing value, reducing waste. *Lancet* 2014;**383**(9912):101-4. [PUBMED: 24411643]

Malik 2015

Malik A, Merchant C, Rao M, Fiore RP. Rare but lethal hepatopathy-sickle cell intrahepatic cholestasis and management strategies. *The American Journal of Case Reports* 2015;**16**:840-3. [PUBMED: 26613743]

Modell 2008

Modell B, Darlison M. Global epidemiology of haemoglobin disorders and derived service indicators. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 2008;**86**:480-7. [PUBMED: 18568278]

Moher 2010

Moher D, Hopewell S, Schulz KF, Montori V, Gotzsche PC, Devereaux PJ, et al. CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration: Updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2010;**63**(8):e1-37. [PUBMED: 20346624]

Morris 2008

Morris CR. Mechanisms of vasculopathy in sickle cell disease and thalassemia. Hematology / the Education Program of the American Society of Hematology. American Society of Hematology. Education Program 2008, issue 1:177-85. [PUBMED: 19074078]

Morris 2014

Morris CR. Alterations of the arginine metabolome in sickle cell disease: a growing rationale for arginine therapy. *Hematology/ Oncology Clinics of North America* 2014;**28**(2):301-21. [PUBMED: 24589268]

Muriel 2008

Muriel P, Rivera-Espinoza Y. Beneficial drugs for liver diseases. *Journal of Applied Toxicology* 2008;**28**(2):93-103. [PUBMED: 17966118]

NACB 2000

Dufour DR (editor). The National Academy of Clinical Biochemistry. Laboratory guidelines for screening, diagnosing and monitoring for hepatic injury. Laboratory Medicine Practice Guidelines 2000; Vol. 12:1-61.

NIH 2014

Expert panel report. Evidence-based management of sickle cell disease. www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-pro/guidelines/sickle-cell-disease-guidelines/ (Access: 20 November 2014) 2014.

Nishank 2013

Nishank SS, Singh MP, Yadav R, Gupta RB, Gadge VS, Gwal A. Endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphism is associated with sickle cell disease patients in India. *Journal of Human Genetics* 2013;**58**(12):775-9. [PUBMED: 24088668]

O'Callaghan 1995

O'Callaghan A, O'Brien SG, Ninkovic M, Butcher GP, Foster CS, Walters JR, et al. Chronic intrahepatic cholestasis in sickle cell disease requiring exchange transfusion. *Gut* 1995;**37**(1):144-7. [PUBMED: 7672666]



Omata 1986

Omata M, Johnson CS, Tong M, Tatter D. Pathological spectrum of liver diseases in sickle cell disease. *Digestive Diseases and Sciences* 1986;**31**(3):247-56. [PUBMED: 3948629]

Patel 2014

Patel DK, Mohapatra MK, Thomas AG, Patel S, Purohit P. Procalcitonin as a biomarker of bacterial infection in sickle cell vaso-occlusive crisis. *Mediterranean Journal of Hematology and Infectious Diseases* 2014;**6**(1):e2014018. [PUBMED: 24678395]

PCORI 2012

Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI). Preliminary draft methodology report: "Our questions, our decisions: Standards for patient-centered outcomes research". http://www.pcori.org/assets/Preliminary-Draft-Methodology-Report.pdf (accessed 07 July 2014):1-61.

Piel 2017

Piel FB, Steinberg MH, Rees DC. Sickle cell disease. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2017;**376**(16):1561-73. [PUBMED: 28423290]

RevMan 2014 [Computer program]

Version 5.3. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre: The Cochrane Collaboration. Review Manager (RevMan). Version 5.3. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre: The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014.

Sarode 2006

Sarode R, Altuntas F. Blood bank issues associated with red cell exchanges in sickle cell disease. *Journal of Clinical Apheresis* 2006;**21**(4):271-3. [PUBMED: 17177280]

Savafi 2006

Savafi NA, Akbulut S, Koseoglu T, Albayrak L. Chronic liver disease in a patient with sickle cell anemia. *Turkish Journal of Gastroenterology* 2006;**17**(2):123-5. [PUBMED: 16830296]

Sheehy 1980

Sheehy TW, Law DE, Wade BH. Exchange transfusion for sickle cell intrahepatic cholestasis. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 1980;**140**(10):1364-6. [PUBMED: 7425771]

Simon 2016

Simon E, Long B, Koyfman A. Emergency medicine management of sickle cell disease complications: an evidence-based update. *The Journal of Emergency Medicine* 2016;**51**(4):370-81. [PUBMED: 27553919]

Steinberg 2009

Steinberg MH. Genetic etiologies for phenotypic diversity in sickle cell anemia. *Scientific World Journal* 2009;**9**:46-67. [PUBMED: 19151898]

Sterne 2011

Sterne JA, Sutton AJ, Ioannidis JP, Terrin N, Jones DR, Lau J, et al. Recommendations for examining and interpreting funnel plot asymmetry in meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. *British Medical Journal* 2011;**343**:d4002. [PUBMED: 21784880]

Sun 2013

Sun K, Xia Y. New insights into sickle cell disease: a disease of hypoxia. *Current Opinion in Hematology* 2013;**20**(3):215-21. [PUBMED: 23549375]

Swerdlow 2006

Swerdlow PS. Red cell exchange in sickle cell disease. Hematology / the Education Program of the American Society of Hematology. American Society of Hematology. Education Program 2006:48-53. [PUBMED: 17124039]

Thakur 2014

Thakur TJ, Guindo A, Cullifer LR, Li Y, Imumorin IG, Diallo DA, et al. Endothelin-1 but not endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphism is associated with sickle cell disease in Africa. *Gene Regulation and Systems Biology* 2014;**8**:119-26. [PUBMED: 24932102]

Thorlund 2009

Thorlund K, Devereaux PJ, Wetterslev J, Guyatt G, Ioannidis JP, Thabane L, et al. Can trial sequential monitoring boundaries reduce spurious inferences from meta-analyses?. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2009;**38**(1):276-86. [PUBMED: 18824467]

Thorlund 2010

Thorlund K, Anema A, Mills E. Interpreting meta-analysis according to the adequacy of sample size. An example using isoniazid chemoprophylaxis for tuberculosis in purified protein derivative negative HIV-infected individuals. *Clinical Epidemiology* 2010;**2**:57-66. [PUBMED: 20865104]

Thorlund 2011

Thorlund K, Engstrøm J, Wetterslev J, Brok J, Imberger G, Gluud C. User Manual for Trial Sequential Analysis (TSA). http://ctu.dk/tsa/files/tsa_manual.pdf (accessed 30 April 2012).

Tiftik 2004

Tiftik N, Altintas E, Kiykim A, Ucbilek E, Sezgin O. Long-term red blood cell exchange can be used to successfully treat sickle cell intrahepatic cholestasis: a case report. *Journal of Clinical Apheresis* 2004;**19**(1):17-9. [PUBMED: 15095397]

Tluway 2017

Tluway F, Makani J. Sickle cell disease in Africa: an overview of the integrated approach to health, research, education and advocacy in Tanzania, 2004-2016. *British Journal of Haematology* 2017;**177**(6):919-29. [PUBMED: 28295224]

Turner 2012

Turner L, Shamseer L, Altman DG, Weeks L, Peters J, Kober T, et al. Consolidated standards of reporting trials (CONSORT) and the completeness of reporting of randomised controlled trials (RCTs) published in medical journals. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 11. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.MR000030.pub2]

Ware 2017

Ware RE, de Montalembert M, Tshilolo L, Abboud MR. Sickle cell disease. Lancet 2017; Vol. Epub ahead of print. [PUBMED: 28159390]



Weatherall 2006

Weatherall D, Akinyanju O, Fucharoen S, Olivieri N, Musgrove P. Inherited Disorders of Hemoglobin. Disease Control Priorities in Developing Countries. 2nd Edition. Washington DC: The World Bank and Oxford University Press, 2006.

Wetterslev 2008

Wetterslev J, Thorlund K, Brok J, Gluud C. Trial sequential analysis may establish when firm evidence is reached in cumulative meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2008;**61**(1):64-75. [PUBMED: 18083463]

Wetterslev 2009

Wetterslev J, Thorlund K, Brok J, Gluud C. Estimating required information size by quantifying diversity in random-effects model meta-analyses. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* 2009;**9**:86. [PUBMED: 20042080]

Wetterslev 2017

Wetterslev J, Jakobsen JC, Gluud C. Trial Sequential Analysis in systematic reviews with meta-analysis. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* 2017;**17**(1):39. [PUBMED: 28264661]

APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Glossary of medical terms

WHO 2006

World Health Organization. 59th World Health Assembly resolution WHA59.20 on sickle cell anaemia. http:// apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA59-REC1/e/Resolutionsen.pdf (accessed December 14, 2012):1-40.

Yawn 2014

Yawn BP, Buchanan GR, Afenyi-Annan AN, Ballas SK, Hassell KL, James AH, Jordan L, et al. Management of sickle cell disease: summary of the 2014 evidence-based report by expert panel members.. *JAMA* 2014;**312**(10):1033-48. [PUBMED: 25203083]

Zhang 2012

Zhang Y, Xia Y. Adenosine signaling in normal and sickle erythrocytes and beyond. *Microbes and Infection* 2012;**14**(10):863-73. [PUBMED: 22634345]

References to other published versions of this review

Martí-Carvajal 2015

Martí-Carvajal AJ, Simancas-Racines D. Interventions for treating intrahepatic cholestasis in people with sickle cell disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2015, Issue 3. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010985.pub2]

Term	Definition	Source
Acute hepatic in- jury	Acute hepatic injury can be recognized by the presence of jaundice or non-spe- cific symptoms of acute illness accompanied by elevation of AST or ALT (or both) activities.	NACB 2000 (http:// www.aasld.org/prac- ticeguidelines/Docu- ments/Practice%20Guide- lines/Hepatic.pdf).
Albumin	Albumin is the most abundant plasma protein produced by hepatocytes. Rate of production is dependent on several factors, including supply of amino acids, plasma oncotic pressure, levels of inhibitory cytokines (particularly IL-6), and number of functioning hepatocytes.	NACB 2000 (http:// www.aasld.org/prac- ticeguidelines/Docu- ments/Practice%20Guide- lines/Hepatic.pdf)
Alanine amino- transferase (ALT)	Aspartate aminotransferase (AST, also sometimes termed serum glutamic ox- aloacetic transaminase (SGOT)) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT, also some- times termed serum glutamic pyruvate transaminase (SGPT)) are widely distributed in cells throughout the body. AST is found primarily in heart, liver, skeletal mus- cle, and kidney, while ALT is found primarily in liver and kidney, with lesser amounts in heart and skeletal muscle	NACB 2000 (http:// www.aasld.org/prac- ticeguidelines/Docu- ments/Practice%20Guide- lines/Hepatic.pdf)
Alkaline phos- phatase	Alkaline phosphatase (ALP), involved in metabolite transport across cell mem- branes, is found, in decreasing order of abundance, in placenta, ileal mucosa, kidney, bone, and liver. Bone, liver, and kidney alkaline phosphatase share a common protein structure, coded for by the same gene.	NACB 2000 (http:// www.aasld.org/prac- ticeguidelines/Docu- ments/Practice%20Guide- lines/Hepatic.pdf).



(Continued)		
Anemia, sickle cell	A disease characterized by chronic hemolytic anemia, episodic painful crises, and pathologic involvement of many organs. It is the clinical expression of ho- mozygosity for hemoglobin S.	MeSH (Medical Sub- ject Headings). Nation- al Library of Medicine (http://www.ncbi.nlm.ni- h.gov/mesh).
Aspartate amino- transferase (AST)	Aspartate aminotransferase (AST, also sometimes termed SGOT) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT, also sometimes termed SGPT) are widely distributed in cells throughout the body. AST is found primarily in heart, liver, skeletal muscle, and kidney, while ALT is found primarily in liver and kidney, with lesser amounts in heart and skeletal muscle.	NACB 2000 (http:// www.aasld.org/prac- ticeguidelines/Docu- ments/Practice%20Guide- lines/Hepatic.pdf)
Bilirubin	Daily production of unconjugated bilirubin is 250 to 350 mg, mainly from senes- cent erythrocytes (65). Clearance at normal values is 5 mg/kg/day, or about 400 mg/day in adults; the rate does not increase significantly with hemolysis (66). The half-life of unconjugated bilirubin is < 5 minutes (67). UDP-glucuronyltrans- ferase catalyzes rapid conjugation of bilirubin in the liver; conjugated bilirubin is excreted into bile and is essentially absent from blood in normal individuals. Delta bilirubin (-bilirubin, also sometimes termed biliprotein) is produced by re- action of conjugated bilirubin with albumin (68); it has a half-life of about 17 - 20 days (the same as albumin), accounting for prolonged jaundice in patients re- covering from hepatitis or obstruction.	
Chronic hepatic injury	Chronic hepatic injury is a relatively common disorder with minimal symp- toms, yet with long term risk of significant morbidity and mortality. It is defined pathologically by ongoing hepatic necrosis and inflammation in the liver, often accompanied by fibrosis.	NACB 2000 (http:// www.aasld.org/prac- ticeguidelines/Docu- ments/Practice%20Guide- lines/Hepatic.pdf)
Exchange trans- fusion, whole blood	Repetitive withdrawal of small amounts of blood and replacement with donor blood until a large proportion of the blood volume has been exchanged.	MeSH (Medical Sub- ject Headings). Nation- al Library of Medicine (http://www.ncbi.nlm.ni- h.gov/mesh).
Gamma-glutamyl transferase	Gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT), a membrane bound, enzyme, is present in decreasing order of abundance in proximal renal tubule, liver, pancreas (duc- tules and acinar cells), and intestine. GGT activity in serum comes primarily from liver. The half-life of GGT in humans is about seven to 10 days; in alco- hol-associated liver injury, the half-life increases to as much as 28 days, suggest- ing impaired clearance.	NACB 2000 (http:// www.aasld.org/prac- ticeguidelines/Docu- ments/Practice%20Guide- lines/Hepatic.pdf)
Hemoglobin SC disease	One of the sickle cell disorders characterized by the presence of both hemoglo- bin S and hemoglobin C. It is similar to, but less severe than sickle cell anemia.	MeSH (Medical Sub- ject Headings). Nation- al Library of Medicine (http://www.ncbi.nlm.ni- h.gov/mesh).
Hemoglobin, sickle	It is an abnormal hemoglobin due to point mutation (GAG \rightarrow GTG) in exon 1 of the β globin gene resulting in the substitution of glutamic acid by valine at position 6 of the β globin polypeptide chain.	Ballas 2012
Hyperbilirubine- mia	A condition characterized by an abnormal increase of bilirubin in the blood, which may result in jaundice. Bilirubin, a breakdown product of heme, is nor- mally excreted in the bile or further catabolized before excretion in the urine.	MeSH (Medical Sub- ject Headings). Nation- al Library of Medicine (http://www.ncbi.nlm.ni- h.gov/mesh).



(Continued)		
Jaundice	A clinical manifestation of hyperbilirubinemia, characterized by the yellowish staining of the skin; mucous membrane; and sclera. Clinical jaundice usually is a sign of liver dysfunction.	MeSH (Medical Sub- ject Headings). Nation- al Library of Medicine (http://www.ncbi.nlm.ni- h.gov/mesh).
Prothrombin time	Prothrombin time (PT) measures the time required for plasma to clot after ad- dition of tissue factor and phospholipid; it is affected by changes in the activity of factors X, VII, V, II (prothrombin) and I (fibrinogen). All of these factors are syn- thesized in the liver, and three (II, VII, and X) are activated by a vitamin K-depen- dent enzyme through addition of a second, -carboxyl group on glutamic acid residues.	NACB 2000 (http:// www.aasld.org/prac- ticeguidelines/Docu- ments/Practice%20Guide- lines/Hepatic.pdf).
Sickle cell trait	The condition of being heterozygous for hemoglobin S.	MeSH (medical sub- ject headings). Nation- al Library of Medicine (http://www.ncbi.nlm.ni- h.gov/mesh).

WHAT'S NEW

Date	Event	Description
31 July 2017	New citation required but conclusions have not changed	Minor changes have been made throughout the review.
31 July 2017	New search has been performed	A search of the Cochrane Cystic Fibrosis and Genetic Disorders Group's Haemoglobinopathies Trials Register did not identify any potentially-relevant trials for inclusion in this review. Further searches of LILACS, Epistemonikos, WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform Search Portal and ClinicalTrials.gov also did not identify any eligible trials.

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

Arturo Martí-Carvajal conceived the review question, developed and coordinated the review, completed the first draft of the review, approved the final version of the review prior to submission.

Cristina Martí-Amarista: approved the final version of the review prior to submission and is guarantor for the review.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Arturo Martí-Carvajal:

In 2004 he was employed by Eli Lilly to run a four-hour workshop on 'How to critically appraise clinical trials on osteoporosis and how to teach this'. This activity was not related to his work with the Cochrane Collaboration or any Cochrane Review.

In 2007 he was employed by Merck to run a four-hour workshop 'How to critically appraise clinical trials and how to teach this'. This activity was not related to his work with the Cochrane Collaboration or any Cochrane Review.

Cristina Martí-Amarista: none known.

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

• No sources of support supplied



External sources

- Iberoamerican Cochrane Center, Spain.
- Academic.
- National Institute for Health Research, UK.

This systematic review was supported by the National Institute for Health Research, via Cochrane Infrastructure funding to the Cochrane Cystic Fibrosis and Genetic Disorders Group.

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW

Based on two suggestions made by a peer reviewer and approved by editorial base, we did two changes:

1. To remove hydroxyurea as potential pharmacological intervention for treating intrahepatic cholestasis in SCD.

2. We included platelet count as secondary outcome. Therefore, we amended the 'Measures of treatment effect' section: "For continuous outcomes, such as quality of life, and relief of fatigue, the authors will calculate standardized mean difference (SMD) with the corresponding 95% CI, if those outcomes are measured with different scales. On the contrary, either platelet count or quality of life, and relief of fatigue we plan to calculate mean difference (MD) with the corresponding 95% CI".

We were unable to search on African Index Medicus (http://indexmedicus.afro.who.int/). This web site displayed the following message "Access Denied (license_expired). A license has expired on the Proxy, and your request is not permitted: "The SGOS license has expired". For assistance, contact your network support team." (Accessed: 23 May 2017).

INDEX TERMS

Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

Anemia, Sickle Cell [*complications]; Cholestasis, Intrahepatic [etiology] [*therapy]

MeSH check words

Humans