

ERRATUM

Re: The art of cardiovascular risk assessment. *Clinical Cardiology* 2018;41(5):677–684.

Jay Khambhati  | Marc Allard-Ratick | Devinder Dhindsa | Suegene Lee  | John Chen | Pratik B. Sandesara | Wesley O'Neal  | Arshed A. Quyyumi  | Nathan D. Wong  | Roger S. Blumenthal | Laurence S. Sperling

The authors would like to correct two sentences in section 4.2. They should read:

Among those deemed reasonable to treat based on ASCVD guidelines (10-year risk, 5%–20%), 50% had a CAC score of 0.

Furthermore, the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography (SCCT) recommends consideration of a CAC score in those with a risk score of 5% to 20% and selectively in those with lower predicted risk who have a family history of premature ASCVD or other risk conditions.

ORCID

Jay Khambhati  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7238-630X>

Suegene Lee  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1516-2275>

Wesley O'Neal  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0751-4760>

Arshed A. Quyyumi  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8166-679X>

Nathan D. Wong  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1102-7324>

[Correction added on 13 September 2018, after first online publication: Article category and format changed from Letter to the Editor to Erratum.]