

Neutrophil trafficking to lymphoid tissues: physiological and pathological implications

Mathieu-Benoit Voisin*¹ and Sussan Nourshargh

Centre for Microvascular Research, William Harvey Research Institute, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

*Correspondence to: Mathieu-Benoit Voisin, William Harvey Research Institute, Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, Charterhouse Square, London EC1M 6BQ, UK. E-mail: m.b.voisin@qmul.ac.uk

Abstract

Recent advances have provided evidence for the involvement of neutrophils in both innate and adaptive immunity, robustly challenging the old dogma that neutrophils are short-lived prototypical innate immune cells solely involved in acute responses to microbes and exerting collateral tissue damage. There is now ample evidence showing that neutrophils can migrate into different compartments of the lymphoid system where they contribute to the orchestration of the activation and/or suppression of lymphocyte effector functions in homeostasis and during chronic inflammation, such as autoimmune disorders and cancer. In support of this notion, neutrophils can generate a wide range of cytokines and other mediators capable of regulating the survival, proliferation and functions of both T and B cells. In addition, neutrophils can directly engage with lymphocytes and promote antigen presentation. Furthermore, there is emerging evidence of the existence of distinct and diverse neutrophil phenotypes with immunomodulatory functions that characterise different pathological conditions, including chronic and autoimmune inflammatory conditions. The aim of this review is to discuss the mechanisms implicated in neutrophil trafficking into the lymphoid system and to provide an overview of the immuno-regulatory functions of neutrophils in health and disease in the context of adaptive immunity.

© 2018 The Authors. *The Journal of Pathology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Pathological Society of Great Britain and Ireland.

Keywords: neutrophils; lymphatic system; adaptive immunity; auto-immunity; chronic inflammation; cancer

Received 13 December 2018; Revised 20 December 2018; Accepted 23 December 2018

No conflicts of interest were declared.

Introduction

Neutrophils are short-lived immune cells that are commonly accepted as being the first leucocyte sub-type to be recruited in large numbers from the blood circulation into inflamed tissues. Their migration through the cellular and matrix components of blood vessel walls is a tightly regulated process involving intricate cellular and molecular interactions, as summarised in recent articles [1–6]. This phenomenon is fundamental for an effective innate immune response against infections or acute injury, providing a host defence mechanism that clears tissues of invading pathogens, dead cells and potentially harmful debris. Neutrophil diapedesis also plays an important role in regulating the phenotype and activation state of emigrated neutrophils at sites of inflammation [7]. Key effector functions of neutrophils include phagocytosis and killing of intracellular or extracellular pathogens, tissue remodelling and secretion of chemotactic and immunomodulatory molecules that can further regulate the recruitment and activation of other pro-inflammatory leucocytes [8–11]. To facilitate these functions, activated neutrophils can also release a wide range of granular proteases and cytotoxic

factors, as well as generate a host of reactive oxygen species. Whilst such capabilities are essential armoury for destroying microorganisms, they can also cause collateral damage to host tissues, rendering clearance of apoptotic neutrophils an essential component of resolving an inflammatory response [12,13]. Another form of cell death program for neutrophils is via NETosis, a dynamic process associated with the generation of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) [14–16]. NETs are generated through the release of decondensed chromatin and granular enzymes into the extracellular space surrounding leucocytes as means of controlling the dissemination of infectious microorganisms [14,17,18]. Whilst the role of NETs in the direct killing of pathogens remains contentious [14,19,20], there is strong evidence to indicate that the formation of NETs *in vivo* can be detrimental to the host. Pathological induction of NETs, such as that induced under conditions of sterile injury (e.g. ischemia/reperfusion injury) can also cause tissue damage and indeed NETosis has been implicated to the pathogenesis of a wide range of non-infectious inflammatory disorders [21–24]. Collectively, excessive recruitment, activation and/or inefficient clearance of infiltrated neutrophils is now categorically associated

with the development of numerous acute pathological conditions such as myocardial infarction, stroke and tissue damage caused by ischemic insults [22,25,26] and there is now a growing interest in the pathogenic potential of neutrophils in chronic conditions such as cancer [27–29]. Furthermore, the association of neutrophils with multiple autoimmune disorders (e.g. RA, lupus, multiple sclerosis, Crohn's disease and vasculitis) [21,30–34] has invigorated the interest in neutrophils as potential players in regulation of adaptive immunity.

Nearly 50 years ago, whilst studying the trafficking of immune cells in sheep, Smith and colleagues discovered that the neutrophil 'endgame' was not limited to apoptosis within inflamed tissues but that these cells could be detected in the peripheral lymph of the animals [35]. The authors speculated that this response provided a means for neutrophils to recirculate back into the blood, as opposed to contributing to adaptive immune responses taking place in the draining LNs. This hypothesis was upheld for a long time due to the difficulty in culturing neutrophils for prolonged periods *in vitro* and the general and well-accepted assumption that the neutrophil life expectancy in the blood circulation did not exceed 1 day. However, advancements in techniques for tracking neutrophils *in vivo*, and the overall better understanding of neutrophil biology, have unequivocally demonstrated that neutrophils can exhibit prolonged survival both *in vitro* and *in vivo* [36]. For example, whilst human neutrophils are reported to exhibit a blood circulation period of up to 5 days [37], cytokines such as GM-CSF [38,39], bacteria-derived products [40], hypoxic conditions [41] and diapedesis through blood endothelium [42,43] can protect neutrophils from rapid cell death. Such findings have led to greater acceptance of neutrophils as regulators of the adaptive immunity as supported by a large body of evidence demonstrating that neutrophils can migrate into secondary lymphoid organs such as LNs during bacterial and parasitic infections as well as during vaccination challenge protocols [44–47]. These works also reported on the ability of neutrophils to secrete numerous immunomodulatory molecules affecting lymphocytes [48–50] as well as to directly interact with lymphocytes acting as antigen presenting cells (APCs) [51–56]. Collectively there is now a renewed interest in models related to neutrophil trafficking into the lymphoid system and the pathophysiological consequences of this response, concepts that are reviewed below.

Neutrophil trafficking to lymphoid tissues

Neutrophil migration to lymphoid organs was first demonstrated in animal models through localising neutrophils within the draining LNs of tissues infected with microorganisms or following vaccine challenge [44–47,57]. The development and use of advanced imaging tools, such as intravital confocal microscopy, in conjunction with genetically modified animals exhibiting fluorescently tagged neutrophils (e.g. *LysM-GFP-ki*

mice), enabled detailed analysis of the dynamics of neutrophil–lymphatic vessel interactions as well as the role of specific molecular cues involved in this process (Table 1). These studies provided direct evidence for neutrophils migrating to LNs via afferent lymphatics present in inflamed tissues. Interestingly, this trafficking response was rapid (within 6–12 h post insult) and transient as very few neutrophils could be detected in the LNs past 48 h [46,57]. The first molecular pathway linked with this response involved CCR7 and its cognate ligands CCL21 and CCL19 [45]. Importantly, the work of Beauvillain and colleagues demonstrated the presence of intracellular stores (possibly secretory vesicles) of CCR7 in both human and murine neutrophils isolated from the blood and bone marrow, respectively. Interestingly, whilst CCR7 was almost undetectable on the cell surface of neutrophils, the introduction of a purification step to enrich the neutrophil population *in vitro* enabled the detection of the molecule on the membrane. These findings suggested that priming of leucocytes was essential for the trafficking of CCR7 from intracellular stores to the cell membrane. Indeed, stimulation of human neutrophils with the cytokine GM-CSF could promote their migration towards a CCL21/CCL19 chemotactic gradient *in vitro*, a response that was potentiated by LPS or IL17. *In vivo*, we and others have demonstrated that upon immunisation with complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA), CCR7 deficient mice have reduced numbers of neutrophils migrating into tissue-associated lymphatic capillaries and into draining LNs, as compared to wild-type control littermates [45,57]. Our study also provided evidence that immunisation of the animals with CFA induced the local release of endogenous TNF, a response essential for the control of neutrophil entry into lymphatic capillaries in a CCR7-dependent manner. Furthermore, the trafficking of CCR7-deficient neutrophils through afferent lymphatic vessels was completely suppressed in TNF-induced inflammation [57]. Interestingly, significant CCR7 expression was detected on the cell surface of tissue-infiltrated neutrophils but not on cells from the blood circulation, or on tissue-infiltrated neutrophils deficient in TNF receptors (both TNFR1 and TNFR2). Collectively, these findings provide compelling evidence to indicate the necessity of priming for neutrophil migration into the lymphoid system and identify tissue-derived TNF as a key modulator of *in vivo* expression and function of CCR7 on neutrophils. Other studies have suggested that the CXCR4/CXCL12 axis is critical for neutrophil entry into the lymphatic system [53,59]. CXCR4 is a chemokine receptor expressed at low levels on the surface of mature healthy neutrophils; but this molecule is upregulated on the membrane of aged neutrophils, a response associated with the egress of senescent neutrophils from the circulation [61–63]. In a study using a murine model of *Staphylococcus aureus* infection, a specific inhibitor of CXCR4, AMD3100, was shown to significantly reduce the migration of neutrophils into afferent lymphatic vessels and draining LNs, whilst CCR7-deficient neutrophils

Table 1. Molecules implicated to neutrophil migration into the lymphoid system

Molecule	Surface expression	Role in entry via lymphatic capillaries	Role in entry via HEVs	Inflammatory model (when relevant)	References
CCR7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Low neutrophil surface expression necessitating priming: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> → <i>In vitro</i> (GM-CSF/IL-17/LPS* or TNF[†]) → <i>In vivo</i> (post-extravasation, TNF-dependent[†]) Expressed on tumour-associated neutrophil N1 type* 	Yes but depends on the nature of the reaction	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>In vitro</i> stimulation* Immunisation (CFA)[†] TNF-induced inflammation[†] Early lung tumour* 	[45,53,57,58]
CXCR4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Low surface level on mature neutrophils High surface level on aged neutrophils 	Yes (but depends on the nature of the reaction)	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>S. aureus</i> infection[†] Immunisation with immuno-complex activation[†] Immunisation (CFA)[†] 	[53,57,59]
CXCR2	High levels on mature naïve neutrophils	Yes, <i>in vitro</i> No, <i>in vivo</i>	<i>In vivo</i> during PDT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>In vitro</i> stimulation of dermal LECs* <i>S. aureus</i> infection[†] Immunisation (CFA)[†] PDT in cancer[†] 	[53,57,58,60]
CD54 (ICAM-1)	Up-regulation on LVs and LECs upon inflammation (TNF dependent)	Yes (promote adhesion and luminal crawling)	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>S. aureus</i> infection[†] Immunisation, TNF-induced inflammation[†] Immunisation with immuno-complex activation[†] <i>In vitro</i> stimulation of dermal LECs* 	[53,57,59,60]
CD11b (Mac-1)	High expression on all neutrophils	Yes (promote adhesion and luminal crawling)	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>S. aureus</i> infection[†] Immunisation, TNF-induced inflammation[†] Immunisation with immuno-complex activation[†] <i>In vitro</i> stimulation of dermal LECs* 	[53,57,59,60]
CD11a (LFA1)	Expressed on all neutrophils	Rarely	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>S. aureus</i> infection[†] Immunisation with immuno-complex activation[†] 	[53,59]
CD62L (L-selectin)	Expressed on neutrophils, shed upon stimulation/extravasation	No	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>S. aureus</i> infection[†] Immunisation with immuno-complex activation[†] PDT in cancer[†] 	[53,58,59]
CD62P (P-selectin)	Endothelial selectin, upregulated upon stimulation of ECs	Not tested	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Immunisation with immuno-complex activation[†] 	[59]
CD62E (E-selectin)	Endothelial selectin, upregulated upon stimulation of ECs	<i>In vitro</i> only	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>In vitro</i> stimulation of dermal LECs* 	[59]
CD168 (PSGL-1)	Selectin ligand expressed by both LECs and neutrophils	No	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>S. aureus</i> infection[†] Immunisation with immuno-complex activation[†] 	[53,59]
PNAd	Expressed on HEVs	No	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>S. aureus</i> infection[†] Immunisation with immuno-complex activation[†] 	[53,59]

*Shown in humans.

†Shown in mouse models.

exhibited normal trafficking to the lymphatic system [53]. Similar results were obtained in a mouse model of immunisation associated with pre-activation of neutrophils with immune complexes [59]. Differential involvement of distinct chemokine axes in regulating neutrophil entry into the lymphatics might depend on the inflammatory models used, the degree of activation of neutrophils or the potential existence of yet not described tissue-specific mechanisms. Another chemokine implicated in human neutrophil migration into the lymphatic system is the prototypical neutrophil chemoattractant CXCL8. A study by Rigby and colleagues recently demonstrated that human dermal lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs) can secrete this chemokine and promote the migration of human neutrophils through LEC monolayers *in vitro* [60]. Similarly, isolated LECs from murine skin exhibited enhanced gene expression of CXCL1 (a homologue of human CXCL8) upon stimulation [64]. However, blockade of CXCL1 protein, or its receptor CXCR2, had no effect on murine neutrophil recruitment to lymphatic vessels *in vivo* [53,57], highlighting potential discrepancies between putative *in vivo* and *in vitro* – and species – scenarios. In addition to chemokines, adhesion molecules such as ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 have been reported to be expressed by stimulated LECs and to support human and mouse neutrophil–lymphatic vessel interactions via binding to leucocyte β 2 integrins (e.g. Mac-1) both *in vitro* and *in vivo* [53,57,60]. For instance, Mac-1/ICAM-1 interaction is critical for the attachment and crawling of murine neutrophils along the luminal aspect of lymphatic endothelium *in vivo* [57]. Similarly, Mac-1 blockade inhibited the entry of neutrophils into lymphatics of mouse skin that had been locally injected with bacteria [53] whilst another neutrophil-expressed integrin, LFA-1, is apparently dispensable for neutrophil intravasation into lymphatic vessels [59].

Several studies have also demonstrated the capacity of blood circulating neutrophils to enter LNs via high endothelial venules (HEVs) during infection, post immune complex activation and antigen sensitisation [53,59,65]. To date the only chemotactic axis described to be important for the migration of neutrophils through HEVs is CXCR4/CXCL12 axis, whilst a role for CCR7 and its cognate ligands CCL21/CCL19 have been completely ruled out [53,59]. Other molecules associated with neutrophil–HEV interactions are P- and L-selectins and their cognate ligand PSGL-1 as well as endothelial cell ICAM-1 and leucocyte integrins Mac-1 and LFA-1 [53,59].

Collectively, there is now ample evidence to demonstrate the capacity of neutrophils to migrate during inflammation into LNs via two distinct routes, though the molecular pathways of such events require further exploration. Nevertheless, the fact that LN neutrophils can originate from either the blood circulation or inflamed tissues suggests potential differential modes of neutrophil-mediated regulation of the adaptive immunity. The following section discusses the

immuno-modulatory functions of neutrophils in the context of lymphocyte activation.

Neutrophil regulation of lymphocyte functions

Recent advances in neutrophil biology, including studies detailed in the previous section, have acknowledged these cells as key players at the interface of innate and adaptive immunity in both physiological homeostasis and pathological inflammation. The rapid and transient nature of their trafficking to LNs, with a dwelling time in these organs not exceeding 2–7 days following an initial inflammatory insult [53,65], has led to the hypothesis that neutrophils can facilitate the transport of pathogens and antigens into LNs. It is considered that the latter are subsequently transferred to resident DCs and macrophages already present in secondary lymphoid organs through engulfment of neutrophil-derived vesicles (e.g. apobodies, exosomes or micro-vesicles). Furthermore, it is envisaged that through this cascade of events macrophages and conventional APCs such as DCs, can process and present exogenous antigens to lymphocytes [46,47]. This concept is supported by the detection of apoptotic neutrophils within LNs of *S. aureus* infected animals [53]. In addition to this notion, it is now clear that activated neutrophils can secrete numerous immune modulatory molecules that can directly stimulate the recruitment, activation and functions of lymphocytes [48–50]. More importantly, neutrophils can impact the regulatory functions of lymphocytes via direct neutrophil–lymphocyte interactions and antigen presentation [66]. For example, several studies have demonstrated the existence of the so-called neutrophil-DC hybrids, an activated neutrophil sub-type that exhibit characteristics of DCs (e.g. expressing MHC-II CD80 and CD86) and capable of presenting exogenous antigen to both CD4+ and CD8+ T lymphocytes *in vivo* and *in vitro* [51,67–69]. This phenomenon was confirmed by confocal imaging that showed the dynamics of cell contacts between neutrophils and lymphocytes in mouse models of infection and immunisation [46]. In humans, neutrophils can also stimulate the antigen-specific proliferation of both naïve and memory T cells through MHC-II expression [70]. Furthermore, neutrophils can positively and directly modulate B cell activation, survival and differentiation by means of secretion of cytokines such as B-cell-Activating Factor of the tumour necrosis factor family (BAFF) [71], and a proliferation-inducing ligand (APRIL) [72]. Whilst neutrophils are not usually seen in the germinal centres of LNs, a sub-population has been found in the peri-follicular areas and marginal zone of the spleen in both humans and mice [73,74]. These cells, termed B-helper neutrophils, have been reported to induce T-cell independent production of IgG and IgA (following immunoglobulin class switching) via the production of large amount of BAFF, APRIL, CD40L and interleukin-21. B helper neutrophils may therefore

represent a central mechanism for enhancing antibody production and effective humoral responses in a T-cell independent manner [75–78]. Direct interactions between neutrophils and B cells have also been observed in real time in LNs of *S. aureus* infected animals [65].

In recent years, the homogeneity of neutrophil population has become increasingly questionable, with detection of neutrophils exhibiting distinct functions and profiles leading to the concept of different subsets [79–82]. Several studies have indeed demonstrated that alternatively activated and/or HEV-recruited neutrophils can regulate the adaptive immune response by inhibiting B and T lymphocyte responses, in particular in the context of antibody production during vaccination challenge and infections [46,53,65,83,84]. Whilst the mechanisms associated with such functions are not fully understood, neutrophil suppressive properties on T lymphocyte activities involve the release of reactive oxygen species, NO or Arginase 1 (Arg-1) in close vicinity of targeted lymphocytes [85,86]. Neutrophils can also directly inhibit T cell functions through cell–cell interactions in a Mac-1 or PD-L1 dependent manner during HIV infections, systemic endotoxemia and cancer [87–90]. Furthermore, whilst neutrophil depletion can increase the production of antigen-specific IgG and IgM, during *S. aureus* infection, B-helper neutrophils were shown to limit the production of IgM through release of TGF- β 1 [65]. Finally, recent studies have also investigated the role of specific sub-types of neutrophils in the context of Treg expansion and recruitment. For instance, an elegant study by Nadkarni and colleagues has demonstrated that neutrophils sensitised to pregnancy hormones promote the differentiation of a unique population of FOXP3+ CD4+ Tregs with a specific secretory phenotype (release of IL-10, VEGF and IL-17) via the transfer of neutrophil-derived proteins such as forkhead box protein-1 to naive T cells [91].

Taken together, there is now unquestionable evidence supporting neutrophil trafficking into the lymphatic system and the ability of these cells to regulate lymphocyte functions. These works have promoted more interest into the potential role of neutrophils in chronic disorders, including autoimmune diseases, as discussed below.

Diversity of neutrophil phenotype and pathogenic potential in chronic and autoimmune diseases

The notable and now widely accepted diversity of neutrophil functions has placed a spotlight on the potential existence of distinct neutrophil-subsets and their association with a broad range of pathologies (see examples in Figure 1). This includes sub-types of neutrophils that can exert stimulatory and suppressive effects on lymphocyte functions and the potential association of these cells to chronic disorders such as cancer, and autoimmune diseases, such as SLE or RA [81,92,93]. One example relates to granulocytic myeloid-derived suppressor cells (PMN-MDSCs), detected in cancer patients, considered by some researchers as a subset of neutrophils

[81,86,94,95]. This section reviews recent findings associated with neutrophil trafficking to lymphoid tissues in cancer and chronic inflammatory and autoimmune pathologies.

The duality of neutrophil functions in cancer

In human cancer, tumour-associated neutrophils have been classified as having anti-tumour (N1) and pro-tumour (N2) properties [92,96]. There is also a body of evidence supporting the presence of increased numbers of PMN-MDSCs in blood, within the tumour microenvironment and peripheral lymphoid organs (tumour-draining LNs and spleen) in this pathology in humans and mice [97]. The dominant view is that neutrophils and PMN-MDSCs are important contributors to tumour progression through their ability to promote angiogenesis, proliferation and metastasis of cancer cells [94,95,98], as supported by experimental murine models of cancer [99]. Furthermore, N2 neutrophils and PMN-MDSCs have been shown to exhibit immunosuppressive properties via PD-L1-dependent immunosuppression of Th1 cell proliferation [89] and to promote the expansion and recruitment of regulatory T lymphocytes via the secretion of CCL17 [100–102]. Of note, a distinct subpopulation of human neutrophils (exhibiting neutrophil-DC hybrid characteristics) has been discovered within the tumour-microenvironment and draining LNs during the early stage of lung cancer [103,104]. These tumour-associated neutrophils were reported to induce efficient anti-tumour responses from memory CD8+ and CD4+ T cells *in vitro* and expressed CCR7 at their surface [105]. In support of these findings, a recent study has described the presence of CXCR2+ neutrophils exhibiting IL-6 secretory pro-inflammatory phenotype in the draining LN of gastric tumours [106]. At present, the literature lacks further detailed information regarding the route, mechanisms of migration and activity of such distinct populations of neutrophils during the development of the pathology *in vivo*. Yet, in the context of anti-tumour therapy, using a mouse model of colon carcinoma, Brackett and colleagues demonstrated that photodynamic therapy (PDT) was also associated with the rapid recruitment of neutrophils (i.e. within 4 h post PDT) into tumour-draining LNs through HEVs in an IL-17 and CXCR2/CXCL2 (but not CXCL1)-dependent manner [58,107]. The authors also showed the importance of L-selectin and of peripheral node addressin (PNAd) in neutrophil entry through HEVs in this model. Functionally, PDT has been associated with the development of neutrophil-dependent and tumour-specific primary and memory CD8(+) T cell responses through the direct induction of T lymphocyte proliferation and/or survival [108].

Neutrophils in chronic inflammatory and auto-immune disorders

Neutrophils with immuno-modulatory activities have also been detected in ageing, now regarded by some




Figure 1. Neutrophils and the regulation of the adaptive immune response in diseases. Neutrophils have been implicated in the regulation of T and B cell activities in many pathological scenarios including inflammaging, cancer and autoimmune disorders such as RA and SLE. Neutrophils can both stimulate and immunosuppress lymphocyte functions through various mechanisms due to the plasticity of their phenotype, localisation and environmental priming. For instance they can secrete activating cytokines or act as APCs to stimulate lymphocyte proliferation and auto-antibody production (e.g. via NETosis). In contrast, other sub-types of neutrophils have been shown to directly inhibit T cell activation through the release of TGF β , NO and Arg-1 as well as through the expression and direct engagement of PD-L1 with T cells.

researchers as a low grade chronic inflammatory state (i.e. inflammaging). Accordingly, in aged mice neutrophils have been detected in high numbers in both T-cell and B-cell areas of the secondary lymphoid organs (i.e. LNs and spleen) [109]. The increased neutrophil trafficking to lymphoid organs was associated with enhanced life-span of the infiltrated neutrophils and their altered phenotype. Specifically, in healthy aged mice, lymphoid tissue neutrophils expressed an activated phenotype characterised by high levels of Mac-1 and ICAM-1 and the concomitant synthesis of both pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines TNF and TGF β , respectively. At present it is unclear what the role of these neutrophils is, but it is speculated that the atypical phenotype of lymphoid organ neutrophils could contribute to ageing-associated dysregulation of normal adaptive immune responses, e.g. in infections [109].

In autoimmune pathologies such as RA, human synovial neutrophils express transcripts and proteins of MHC-II and co-stimulatory molecules CD80 and CD86 during the early phase of the disease [110,111]. *In vitro*, freshly isolated synovial neutrophils are capable of stimulating a proliferative response in T-helper cells [111]. Whilst rather intriguing, such seminal studies did not demonstrate where (e.g. tissue localisation) and when (e.g. phase of the disorder) during RA such neutrophil responses were functionally relevant to disease progression. Indeed, it would be important to elucidate if neutrophils can regulate T cell functions in RA *in vivo*

within the secondary lymphoid organs. Related to this caveat, using a murine model of lupus, Bird and colleagues demonstrated that neutrophils can preferentially form close interactions with T cells in the early phase of the disease, whilst in the advanced stage, they mainly accumulate in B cell areas of the spleen, reminiscent of a B-helper phenotype [112]. Furthermore, transcriptome analysis demonstrated that neutrophils exhibited high expression of PD-L1, TGF β and IL-1RA during the early disease phase. The immunosuppressive activity of neutrophils during this period was confirmed by the negative effect of neutrophil depletion on disease progression, germinal centre formation and production of anti-double strand DNA antibodies. Conversely, during the late phase, neutrophils enriched in splenic B-cell regions increased their expression of TNF and BAFF, indicating that they may contribute to the expansion of auto-reactive B lymphocytes during disease progression [112]. Interestingly, B-helper neutrophils have been shown to be more susceptible to NETosis [74] and hence it has been suggested that, due to their localisation and specific phenotypic characteristics, these cells may promote the expansion and survival of auto-reactive B cells. A role for B-helper neutrophils in supporting adaptive autoimmune responses has indeed been reported in many autoimmune disorders, including, RA, SLE and in anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated (ANCA) vasculitis [73]. The mechanism of action of these neutrophils is not completely

understood but their enhanced capacity to generate NETs was suggested to provide an abundant source of auto-antigens characteristic of these pathologies [17,113–115]. Supporting this concept, a recent study by Gestermann and colleagues demonstrated that NET components could directly activate memory B cells through TLR9 stimulation, leading to the production of pathogenic autoantibodies in a T cell-independent manner [116]. Moreover, NETs can also stimulate plasmacytoid dendritic cells to secrete interferon- α which in turn, promotes NETosis of neutrophils. This cascade perpetuates a vicious cycle that can be observed in pathologies such as vasculitis, SLE, psoriasis and type-1 diabetes [117–120].

The number of PMN-MDSCs has also been reported to increase in RA and SLE patients [121–123] as well as in several experimental animal models of lupus [124], collagen-induced arthritis [125], EAE [126], type-1 diabetes and inflammatory bowel disease [127,128]. Whilst many studies have correlated MDSCs with disease severity, their role in regulating lymphocyte responses remains controversial [129]. Indeed, whilst in RA, SLE and EAE, PMN-MDSCs have been shown to inhibit both proliferation of T helper-cells and their production of cytokines (e.g. interferon- γ and IL-2) in an Arg-1-dependent manner, these cells also produce pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g. IL-1 and TNF). The latter can promote the differentiation of naïve T lymphocytes into Th17 cells, suggesting that in the disease inflammatory context, PMN-MDSCs can exhibit a pathogenic phenotype [122,130,131].

Overall, whilst the current literature supports the concept of neutrophils orchestrating a pathogenic response during autoimmune and chronic inflammatory disorders, further investigations are required to determine if and how neutrophil trafficking into lymphoid organs might be contributing to the aetiology of these diseases.

Conclusion and perspectives

In addition to their essential role in protecting the host against acute harmful insults, recent studies have identified a broader role for neutrophils in both physiological and pathological immunity, and hence the development of numerous chronic and autoimmune inflammatory disorders. Specifically, it is now clear that, despite being short-lived as compared to other immune cells, neutrophils can traffic into lymphoid tissues and contribute to shaping key adaptive immune responses. As such, current evidence supports the notion that in addition to dendritic cells and macrophages, neutrophils can act as a cellular bridge between innate and adaptive immunity in both health and disease. Whilst our understanding of the mechanisms of neutrophil trafficking and functions within lymphoid tissues is incomplete, it is now accepted that depending on the nature, duration or the site of the insult, neutrophils can enter the lymphatic system by using both afferent lymphatic

vessels as well as venular portals within LNs. Once in secondary lymphoid organs, neutrophils can act as immune-modulatory cells through cytokine production and via direct cell–cell interaction with other immune cells. Accordingly, neutrophils can orchestrate elaborate cellular and humoral responses as well as exert regulatory effects on lymphocyte functions. The development of new technologies applied to investigate the dynamics of neutrophil behaviour, phenotype and trafficking in both patient samples *ex vivo* and in animal models of inflammation *in vivo* has led to the identification of different sub-types of neutrophils with distinct regulatory functions in health and disease. It is still debated whether neutrophil subsets might represent an acquired phenotype, and/or level of activation through environmental molecular cues, or are in fact ontogenically separate cell populations. Irrespective of this, renewed interest in this phenotypic diversity and associated varied effector functions, in conjunction with better understanding of the spatiotemporal localisation of neutrophils is opening challenging research opportunities. In particular, such works could pave the way towards addressing outstanding questions regarding the diverse functions of neutrophils during the development of autoimmune pathologies. Most importantly, association of different neutrophil sub-types with the pathogenesis of defined disorders has the potential for stratifying patients in terms of disease severity as well as identify new therapeutic targets in chronic and autoimmune conditions and cancer.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Arthritis Research UK (19913 to MBV), the William Harvey Research Foundation (to MBV) and The Wellcome Trust (098291/Z/12/Z to SN). We would like to thank Professors Antal Rot and Federica Marelli-Berg for their critical reading of the article.

Author contributions statement

MBV performed the literature search and wrote the manuscript. SN contributed to the writing and revision of the manuscript. Both authors approved the final version.

References

1. Muller WA. Transendothelial migration: unifying principles from the endothelial perspective. *Immunol Rev* 2016; **273**: 61–75.
2. Nourshargh S, Alon R. Leukocyte migration into inflamed tissues. *Immunity* 2014; **41**: 694–707.
3. Reglero-Real N, Colom B, Bodkin JV, *et al.* Endothelial cell junctional adhesion molecules: role and regulation of expression in inflammation. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2016; **36**: 2048–2057.
4. Schnoor M, Alcaide P, Voisin MB, *et al.* Crossing the vascular wall: common and unique mechanisms exploited by different leukocyte subsets during extravasation. *Mediators Inflamm* 2015; **2015**: 946509.

5. Vestweber D. How leukocytes cross the vascular endothelium. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2015; **15**: 692–704.
6. Voisin MB, Nourshargh S. Neutrophil transmigration: emergence of an adhesive cascade within venular walls. *J Innate Immun* 2013; **5**: 336–347.
7. Nourshargh S, Marelli-Berg FM. Transmigration through venular walls: a key regulator of leukocyte phenotype and function. *Trends Immunol* 2005; **26**: 157–165.
8. Lerman YV, Kim M. Neutrophil migration under normal and sepsis conditions. *Cardiovasc Hematol Disord Drug Targets* 2015; **15**: 19–28.
9. Soehnlein O, Lindbom L, Weber C. Mechanisms underlying neutrophil-mediated monocyte recruitment. *Blood* 2009; **114**: 4613–4623.
10. Soehnlein O, Zernecke A, Eriksson EE, et al. Neutrophil secretion products pave the way for inflammatory monocytes. *Blood* 2008; **112**: 1461–1471.
11. Theilgaard-Monch K, Porse BT, Borregaard N. Systems biology of neutrophil differentiation and immune response. *Curr Opin Immunol* 2006; **18**: 54–60.
12. Greenlee-Wacker MC. Clearance of apoptotic neutrophils and resolution of inflammation. *Immunol Rev* 2016; **273**: 357–370.
13. Ortega-Gomez A, Perretti M, Soehnlein O. Resolution of inflammation: an integrated view. *EMBO Mol Med* 2013; **5**: 661–674.
14. Brinkmann V, Reichard U, Goosmann C, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps kill bacteria. *Science* 2004; **303**: 1532–1535.
15. Brinkmann V, Zychlinsky A. Beneficial suicide: why neutrophils die to make NETs. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2007; **5**: 577–582.
16. Fuchs TA, Abed U, Goosmann C, et al. Novel cell death program leads to neutrophil extracellular traps. *J Cell Biol* 2007; **176**: 231–241.
17. Amulic B, Hayes G. Neutrophil extracellular traps. *Curr Biol* 2011; **21**: R297–R298.
18. Ermert D, Urban CF, Laube B, et al. Mouse neutrophil extracellular traps in microbial infections. *J Innate Immun* 2009; **1**: 181–193.
19. Menegazzi R, Declava E, Dri P. Killing by neutrophil extracellular traps: fact or folklore? *Blood* 2012; **119**: 1214–1216.
20. Malachowa N, Kobayashi SD, Quinn MT, et al. NET confusion. *Front Immunol* 2016; **7**: 259.
21. Amulic B, Cazalet C, Hayes GL, et al. Neutrophil function: from mechanisms to disease. *Annu Rev Immunol* 2012; **30**: 459–489.
22. Jorch SK, Kubes P. An emerging role for neutrophil extracellular traps in noninfectious disease. *Nat Med* 2017; **23**: 279–287.
23. Law SM, Gray RD. Neutrophil extracellular traps and the dysfunctional innate immune response of cystic fibrosis lung disease: a review. *J Inflamm (Lond)* 2017; **14**: 29.
24. Soderberg D, Segelmark M. Neutrophil extracellular traps in vasculitis, friend or foe? *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 2018; **30**: 16–23.
25. Carbone F, Nencioni A, Mach F, et al. Pathophysiological role of neutrophils in acute myocardial infarction. *Thromb Haemost* 2013; **110**: 501–514.
26. Perez-de-Puig I, Miro-Mur F, Ferrer-Ferrer M, et al. Neutrophil recruitment to the brain in mouse and human ischemic stroke. *Acta Neuropathol* 2015; **129**: 239–257.
27. Ocana A, Nieto-Jimenez C, Pandiella A, et al. Neutrophils in cancer: prognostic role and therapeutic strategies. *Mol Cancer* 2017; **16**: 137.
28. Sagiv JY, Michaeli J, Assi S, et al. Phenotypic diversity and plasticity in circulating neutrophil subpopulations in cancer. *Cell Rep* 2015; **10**: 562–573.
29. Wang X, Qiu L, Li Z, et al. Understanding the multifaceted role of neutrophils in cancer and autoimmune diseases. *Front Immunol* 2018; **9**: 2456.
30. Cascao R, Rosario HS, Souto-Carneiro MM, et al. Neutrophils in rheumatoid arthritis: more than simple final effectors. *Autoimmun Rev* 2010; **9**: 531–535.
31. Segal AW. The role of neutrophils in the pathogenesis of Crohn's disease. *Eur J Clin Invest* 2018; **48**(suppl 2): e12983.
32. Stephens M, Liao S. Neutrophil–lymphatic interactions during acute and chronic disease. *Cell Tissue Res* 2018; **371**: 599–606.
33. Swirski FK, Robbins CS. Neutrophils usher monocytes into sites of inflammation. *Circ Res* 2013; **112**: 744–745.
34. Woodberry T, Bouffler SE, Wilson AS, et al. The emerging role of neutrophil granulocytes in multiple sclerosis. *J Clin Forensic Med* 2018; **7**: E511.
35. Smith JB, McIntosh GH, Morris B. The traffic of cells through tissues: a study of peripheral lymph in sheep. *J Anat* 1970; **107**: 87–100.
36. Tak T, Tesselaar K, Pillay J, et al. What's your age again? Determination of human neutrophil half-lives revisited. *J Leukoc Biol* 2013; **94**: 595–601.
37. Pillay J, den Braber I, Vrsekooop N, et al. In vivo labeling with $^2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ reveals a human neutrophil lifespan of 5.4 days. *Blood* 2010; **116**: 625–627.
38. Coxon A, Tang T, Mayadas TN. Cytokine-activated endothelial cells delay neutrophil apoptosis in vitro and in vivo. A role for granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor. *J Exp Med* 1999; **190**: 923–934.
39. Kobayashi SD, Voyich JM, Whitney AR, et al. Spontaneous neutrophil apoptosis and regulation of cell survival by granulocyte macrophage-colony stimulating factor. *J Leukoc Biol* 2005; **78**: 1408–1418.
40. Hachiya O, Takeda Y, Miyata H, et al. Inhibition by bacterial lipopolysaccharide of spontaneous and TNF-alpha-induced human neutrophil apoptosis in vitro. *Microbiol Immunol* 1995; **39**: 715–723.
41. Walmsley SR, Print C, Farahi N, et al. Hypoxia-induced neutrophil survival is mediated by HIF-1alpha-dependent NF-kappaB activity. *J Exp Med* 2005; **201**: 105–115.
42. McGettrick HM, Lord JM, Wang KQ, et al. Chemokine- and adhesion-dependent survival of neutrophils after transmigration through cytokine-stimulated endothelium. *J Leukoc Biol* 2006; **79**: 779–788.
43. Seely AJ, Swartz DE, Giannias B, et al. Reduction in neutrophil cell surface expression of tumor necrosis factor receptors but not Fas after transmigration: implications for the regulation of neutrophil apoptosis. *Arch Surg* 1998; **133**: 1305–1310.
44. Abadie V, Badell E, Douillard P, et al. Neutrophils rapidly migrate via lymphatics after *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG intradermal vaccination and shuttle live bacilli to the draining lymph nodes. *Blood* 2005; **106**: 1843–1850.
45. Beauvillain C, Cunin P, Doni A, et al. CCR7 is involved in the migration of neutrophils to lymph nodes. *Blood* 2011; **117**: 1196–1204.
46. Chtanova T, Schaeffer M, Han SJ, et al. Dynamics of neutrophil migration in lymph nodes during infection. *Immunity* 2008; **29**: 487–496.
47. Maletto BA, Ropolo AS, Alignani DO, et al. Presence of neutrophil-bearing antigen in lymphoid organs of immune mice. *Blood* 2006; **108**: 3094–3102.
48. Costa S, Bevilacqua D, Cassatella MA, et al. Recent advances on the crosstalk between neutrophils and B or T lymphocytes. *Immunology* 2019; **156**: 23–32.
49. Jaillon S, Galdiero MR, Del Prete D, et al. Neutrophils in innate and adaptive immunity. *Semin Immunopathol* 2013; **35**: 377–394.

50. Mantovani A, Cassatella MA, Costantini C, *et al.* Neutrophils in the activation and regulation of innate and adaptive immunity. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2011; **11**: 519–531.
51. Beauvillain C, Delneste Y, Scotet M, *et al.* Neutrophils efficiently cross-prime naive T cells in vivo. *Blood* 2007; **110**: 2965–2973.
52. Geng S, Matsushima H, Okamoto T, *et al.* Emergence, origin, and function of neutrophil–dendritic cell hybrids in experimentally induced inflammatory lesions in mice. *Blood* 2013; **121**: 1690–1700.
53. Hampton HR, Bailey J, Tomura M, *et al.* Microbe-dependent lymphatic migration of neutrophils modulates lymphocyte proliferation in lymph nodes. *Nat Commun* 2015; **6**: 7139.
54. Matsushima H, Geng S, Lu R, *et al.* Neutrophil differentiation into a unique hybrid population exhibiting dual phenotype and functionality of neutrophils and dendritic cells. *Blood* 2013; **121**: 1677–1689.
55. Yao Y, Liu Y, Takashima A. Intravital imaging of neutrophil priming using IL-1beta promoter-driven DsRed reporter mice. *J Vis Exp*. 2016 Jun 22;(112). <https://doi.org/10.3791/54070>.
56. Yao Y, Matsushima H, Ohtola JA, *et al.* Neutrophil priming occurs in a sequential manner and can be visualized in living animals by monitoring IL-1beta promoter activation. *J Immunol* 2015; **194**: 1211–1224.
57. Arokiasamy S, Zakian C, Dilliway J, *et al.* Endogenous TNFalpha orchestrates the trafficking of neutrophils into and within lymphatic vessels during acute inflammation. *Sci Rep* 2017; **7**: 44189.
58. Brackett CM, Muhitch JB, Evans SS, *et al.* IL-17 promotes neutrophil entry into tumor-draining lymph nodes following induction of sterile inflammation. *J Immunol* 2013; **191**: 4348–4357.
59. Gorlino CV, Ranocchia RP, Harman MF, *et al.* Neutrophils exhibit differential requirements for homing molecules in their lymphatic and blood trafficking into draining lymph nodes. *J Immunol* 2014; **193**: 1966–1974.
60. Rigby DA, Ferguson DJ, Johnson LA, *et al.* Neutrophils rapidly transit inflamed lymphatic vessel endothelium via integrin-dependent proteolysis and lipoxin-induced junctional retraction. *J Leukoc Biol* 2015; **98**: 897–912.
61. De Filippo K, Rankin SM. CXCR4, the master regulator of neutrophil trafficking in homeostasis and disease. *Eur J Clin Invest* 2018; **48**(suppl 2): e12949.
62. Furze RC, Rankin SM. Neutrophil mobilization and clearance in the bone marrow. *Immunology* 2008; **125**: 281–288.
63. Rankin SM. The bone marrow: a site of neutrophil clearance. *J Leukoc Biol* 2010; **88**: 241–251.
64. Vigil B, Aebischer D, Nitschke M, *et al.* Tissue inflammation modulates gene expression of lymphatic endothelial cells and dendritic cell migration in a stimulus-dependent manner. *Blood* 2011; **118**: 205–215.
65. Kamenyeva O, Boularan C, Kabat J, *et al.* Neutrophil recruitment to lymph nodes limits local humoral response to *Staphylococcus aureus*. *PLoS Pathog* 2015; **11**: e1004827.
66. Takashima A, Yao Y. Neutrophil plasticity: acquisition of phenotype and functionality of antigen-presenting cell. *J Leukoc Biol* 2015; **98**: 489–496.
67. Blomgran R, Ernst JD. Lung neutrophils facilitate activation of naive antigen-specific CD4+ T cells during *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection. *J Immunol* 2011; **186**: 7110–7119.
68. Iking-Konert C, Ostendorf B, Sander O, *et al.* Transdifferentiation of polymorphonuclear neutrophils to dendritic-like cells at the site of inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis: evidence for activation by T cells. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005; **64**: 1436–1442.
69. Iking-Konert C, Wagner C, Deneffle B, *et al.* Up-regulation of the dendritic cell marker CD83 on polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMN): divergent expression in acute bacterial infections and chronic inflammatory disease. *Clin Exp Immunol* 2002; **130**: 501–508.
70. Vono M, Lin A, Norrby-Teglund A, *et al.* Neutrophils acquire the capacity for antigen presentation to memory CD4(+) T cells in vitro and ex vivo. *Blood* 2017; **129**: 1991–2001.
71. Scapini P, Bazzoni F, Cassatella MA. Regulation of B-cell-activating factor (BAFF)/B lymphocyte stimulator (BLyS) expression in human neutrophils. *Immunol Lett* 2008; **116**: 1–6.
72. Huard B, McKee T, Bosshard C, *et al.* APRIL secreted by neutrophils binds to heparan sulfate proteoglycans to create plasma cell niches in human mucosa. *J Clin Invest* 2008; **118**: 2887–2895.
73. Cerutti A, Puga I, Magri G. The B cell helper side of neutrophils. *J Leukoc Biol* 2013; **94**: 677–682.
74. Puga I, Cols M, Barra CM, *et al.* B cell-helper neutrophils stimulate the diversification and production of immunoglobulin in the marginal zone of the spleen. *Nat Immunol* 2011; **13**: 170–180.
75. Deniset JF, Surewaard BG, Lee WY, *et al.* Splenic Ly6G(high) mature and Ly6G(int) immature neutrophils contribute to eradication of *S. pneumoniae*. *J Exp Med* 2017; **214**: 1333–1350.
76. Naranjo-Gomez M, Lambour J, Piechaczyk M, *et al.* Neutrophils are essential for induction of vaccine-like effects by antiviral monoclonal antibody immunotherapies. *JCI Insight* 2018; **3**: 97339.
77. Parsa R, Lund H, Georgoudaki AM, *et al.* BAFF-secreting neutrophils drive plasma cell responses during emergency granulopoiesis. *J Exp Med* 2016; **213**: 1537–1553.
78. Scapini P, Cassatella MA. Location in the spleen dictates the function of murine neutrophils. *J Exp Med* 2017; **214**: 1207–1209.
79. Beyrau M, Bodkin JV, Nourshargh S. Neutrophil heterogeneity in health and disease: a revitalized avenue in inflammation and immunity. *Open Biol* 2012; **2**: 120134.
80. Deniset JF, Kubes P. Recent advances in understanding neutrophils. *F1000Res* 2016; **5**: 2912.
81. Deniset JF, Kubes P. Neutrophil heterogeneity: bona fide subsets or polarization states? *J Leukoc Biol* 2018; **103**: 829–838.
82. Kubes P. The enigmatic neutrophil: what we do not know. *Cell Tissue Res* 2018; **371**: 399–406.
83. Gorlino CV, Dave MN, Blas R, *et al.* Association between levels of synovial anti-citrullinated peptide antibodies and neutrophil response in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Eur J Immunol* 2018; **48**: 1563–1572.
84. Jee J, Bonnegarde-Bernard A, Duverger A, *et al.* Neutrophils negatively regulate induction of mucosal IgA responses after sublingual immunization. *Mucosal Immunol* 2015; **8**: 735–745.
85. Ingersoll SA, Laval J, Forrest OA, *et al.* Mature cystic fibrosis airway neutrophils suppress T cell function: evidence for a role of arginase 1 but not programmed death-ligand 1. *J Immunol* 2015; **194**: 5520–5528.
86. Pillay J, Tak T, Kamp VM, *et al.* Immune suppression by neutrophils and granulocytic myeloid-derived suppressor cells: similarities and differences. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2013; **70**: 3813–3827.
87. Bowers E, Scamurra RW, Asrani A, *et al.* Decreased mutation frequencies among immunoglobulin G variable region genes during viremic HIV-1 infection. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e81913.
88. de Kleijn S, Langereis JD, Leentjens J, *et al.* IFN-gamma-stimulated neutrophils suppress lymphocyte proliferation through expression of PD-L1. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**: e72249.
89. He G, Zhang H, Zhou J, *et al.* Peritumoural neutrophils negatively regulate adaptive immunity via the PD-L1/PD-1 signalling pathway in hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* 2015; **34**: 141.
90. Pillay J, Kamp VM, van Hoffen E, *et al.* A subset of neutrophils in human systemic inflammation inhibits T cell responses through Mac-1. *J Clin Invest* 2012; **122**: 327–336.

91. Nadkarni S, Smith J, Sferruzzi-Perri AN, *et al*. Neutrophils induce proangiogenic T cells with a regulatory phenotype in pregnancy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2016; **113**: E8415–E8424.
92. Eruslanov EB. Phenotype and function of tumor-associated neutrophils and their subsets in early-stage human lung cancer. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 2017; **66**: 997–1006.
93. Hellebrekers P, Vrisekoop N, Koenderman L. Neutrophil phenotypes in health and disease. *Eur J Clin Invest* 2018; **48**(suppl 2): e12943.
94. Gabrilovich DI. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells. *Cancer Immunol Res* 2017; **5**: 3–8.
95. Zilio S, Serafini P. Neutrophils and granulocytic MDSC: the Janus God of Cancer immunotherapy. *Vaccines (Basel)* 2016; **4**: E31.
96. Fridlender ZG, Sun J, Kim S, *et al*. Polarization of tumor-associated neutrophil phenotype by TGF-beta: “N1” versus “N2” TAN. *Cancer Cell* 2009; **16**: 183–194.
97. Kumar V, Patel S, Tcyganov E, *et al*. The nature of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in the tumor microenvironment. *Trends Immunol* 2016; **37**: 208–220.
98. Leliefeld PH, Koenderman L, Pillay J. How neutrophils shape adaptive immune responses. *Front Immunol* 2015; **6**: 471.
99. Eruslanov EB, Singhal S, Albelda SM. Mouse versus human neutrophils in cancer: a major knowledge gap. *Trends Cancer* 2017; **3**: 149–160.
100. Zhou SL, Zhou ZJ, Hu ZQ, *et al*. Tumor-associated neutrophils recruit macrophages and T-regulatory cells to promote progression of hepatocellular carcinoma and resistance to sorafenib. *Gastroenterology* 2016; **150**: 1646–1658 e1617.
101. Eruslanov E, Neuberger M, Daurkin I, *et al*. Circulating and tumor-infiltrating myeloid cell subsets in patients with bladder cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2012; **130**: 1109–1119.
102. Mishalian I, Bayuh R, Eruslanov E, *et al*. Neutrophils recruit regulatory T-cells into tumors via secretion of CCL17 – a new mechanism of impaired antitumor immunity. *Int J Cancer* 2014; **135**: 1178–1186.
103. Governa V, Trella E, Mele V, *et al*. The interplay between neutrophils and CD8(+) T cells improves survival in human colorectal cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2017; **23**: 3847–3858.
104. Singhal S, Bhojnarwala PS, O’Brien S, *et al*. Origin and role of a subset of tumor-associated neutrophils with antigen-presenting cell features in early-stage human lung cancer. *Cancer Cell* 2016; **30**: 120–135.
105. Eruslanov EB, Bhojnarwala PS, Quatromoni JG, *et al*. Tumor-associated neutrophils stimulate T cell responses in early-stage human lung cancer. *J Clin Invest* 2014; **124**: 5466–5480.
106. Hiramatsu S, Tanaka H, Nishimura J, *et al*. Neutrophils in primary gastric tumors are correlated with neutrophil infiltration in tumor-draining lymph nodes and the systemic inflammatory response. *BMC Immunol* 2018; **19**: 13.
107. Brackett CM, Gollnick SO. Photodynamic therapy enhancement of anti-tumor immunity. *Photochem Photobiol Sci* 2011; **10**: 649–652.
108. Kousis PC, Henderson BW, Maier PG, *et al*. Photodynamic therapy enhancement of antitumor immunity is regulated by neutrophils. *Cancer Res* 2007; **67**: 10501–10510.
109. Tomay F, Wells K, Duong L, *et al*. Aged neutrophils accumulate in lymphoid tissues from healthy elderly mice and infiltrate T- and B-cell zones. *Immunol Cell Biol* 2018; **96**: 831–840.
110. Cross A, Bakstad D, Allen JC, *et al*. Neutrophil gene expression in rheumatoid arthritis. *Pathophysiology* 2005; **12**: 191–202.
111. Cross A, Bucknall RC, Cassatella MA, *et al*. Synovial fluid neutrophils transcribe and express class II major histocompatibility complex molecules in rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2003; **48**: 2796–2806.
112. Bird AK, Chang M, Barnard J, *et al*. Neutrophils slow disease progression in murine lupus via modulation of autoreactive germinal centers. *J Immunol* 2017; **199**: 458–466.
113. Corsiero E, Bombardieri M, Carlotti E, *et al*. Single cell cloning and recombinant monoclonal antibodies generation from RA synovial B cells reveal frequent targeting of citrullinated histones of NETs. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2016; **75**: 1866–1875.
114. Corsiero E, Pratesi F, Prediletto E, *et al*. NETosis as source of autoantigens in rheumatoid arthritis. *Front Immunol* 2016; **7**: 485.
115. Papayannopoulos V. Neutrophil extracellular traps in immunity and disease. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2018; **18**: 134–147.
116. Gestermann N, Di Domizio J, Lande R, *et al*. Netting neutrophils activate autoreactive B cells in lupus. *J Immunol* 2018; **200**: 3364–3371.
117. Lande R, Ganguly D, Facchinetti V, *et al*. Neutrophils activate plasmacytoid dendritic cells by releasing self-DNA-peptide complexes in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Sci Transl Med* 2011; **3**: 73ra19.
118. Garcia-Romo GS, Caielli S, Vega B, *et al*. Netting neutrophils are major inducers of type I IFN production in pediatric systemic lupus erythematosus. *Sci Transl Med* 2011; **3**: 73ra20.
119. Kessenbrock K, Krumbholz M, Schonermarck U, *et al*. Netting neutrophils in autoimmune small-vessel vasculitis. *Nat Med* 2009; **15**: 623–625.
120. Diana J, Simoni Y, Furio L, *et al*. Crosstalk between neutrophils, B-1a cells and plasmacytoid dendritic cells initiates autoimmune diabetes. *Nat Med* 2013; **19**: 65–73.
121. Zhang H, Wang S, Huang Y, *et al*. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells are proinflammatory and regulate collagen-induced arthritis through manipulating Th17 cell differentiation. *Clin Immunol* 2015; **157**: 175–186.
122. Wu H, Zhen Y, Ma Z, *et al*. Arginase-1-dependent promotion of TH17 differentiation and disease progression by MDSCs in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Sci Transl Med* 2016; **8**: 331ra340.
123. Zhu J, Chen S, Wu L, *et al*. The expansion of myeloid-derived suppressor cells is associated with joint inflammation in rheumatic patients with arthritis. *Biomed Res Int* 2018; **2018**: 5474828.
124. Vlachou K, Mintzas K, Glymenaki M, *et al*. Elimination of granulocytic myeloid-derived suppressor cells in lupus-prone mice linked to reactive oxygen species-dependent extracellular trap formation. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2016; **68**: 449–461.
125. Fujii W, Ashihara E, Hirai H, *et al*. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells play crucial roles in the regulation of mouse collagen-induced arthritis. *J Immunol* 2013; **191**: 1073–1081.
126. Ioannou M, Alissafi T, Lazaridis I, *et al*. Crucial role of granulocytic myeloid-derived suppressor cells in the regulation of central nervous system autoimmune disease. *J Immunol* 2012; **188**: 1136–1146.
127. Guan Q, Moreno S, Qing G, *et al*. The role and potential therapeutic application of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in TNBS-induced colitis. *J Leukoc Biol* 2013; **94**: 803–811.
128. Haile LA, von Wasielewski R, Gamrekeshvili J, *et al*. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells in inflammatory bowel disease: a new immunoregulatory pathway. *Gastroenterology* 2008; **135**: 871–881 e871–875.
129. Crook KR, Liu P. Role of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in autoimmune disease. *World J Immunol* 2014; **4**: 26–33.
130. Yi H, Guo C, Yu X, *et al*. Mouse CD11b+Gr-1+ myeloid cells can promote Th17 cell differentiation and experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J Immunol* 2012; **189**: 4295–4304.
131. Guo C, Hu F, Yi H, *et al*. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells have a proinflammatory role in the pathogenesis of autoimmune arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2016; **75**: 278–285.