

MicroRNA expression and its clinical implications in Ewing's sarcoma

Zheng Li*, Xin Yu*, Jianxiong Shen*, William Ka Kei Wu† and Matthew T.V. Chan†

*Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, 100007, China and †Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, 999077, China

Received 23 August 2014; revision accepted 23 September 2014

Abstract

Ewing's sarcoma (EWS) is the second most common primary bone cancer, and is a predominant childhood malignant disease. Due to limited understanding of its pathogenesis and frequent occurrence of resistance to conventional types of treatment, its management remains difficult, and mortality is frequent. Development of EWS is a multistep process involving genetic and epigenetic alterations of protein-coding proto-oncogenes and tumour-suppressor genes. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) have recently been discovered as a new category of non-protein coding; small RNA molecules that regulate gene expression at the post-transcriptional level. Substantial numbers of deregulated miRNAs have been documented in EWS and their biological significance has been confirmed in multiple functional experiments. Several studies have confirmed involvement of miRNAs in various steps of EWS pathogenesis, from occurrence to metastasis. Functionally, miRNA dysregulation may promote cellcycle progression, confer resistance to apoptosis, and enhance invasiveness and metastasis. These miRNAs have opened a novel field in cancer research with potential clinical utilization for screening, diagnosis, prognostics and prediction of response to treatment. Elucidating biological aspects of miRNA dysregulation may help better understand pathogenesis of EWS and promote development of miRNA directed-therapeutics against it.

Xin Yu and Zheng Li contributed equally to this work.

Introduction

Ewing's sarcoma (EWS) is the second most common primary bone cancer, and is a predominant childhood malignancy, histologically characterized by small round cells (1). It has been estimated that 80% of EWS cases occur in patients younger than 20 years of age (2). EWS is aggressive and is associated with the most unfavourable prognosis of all primary musculoskeletal tumours (3,4). Over the last few decades, despite great efforts to advance treatment strategies (such as chemotherapy, irradiation, and surgery), very little improvement in EWS patient long-term survival has been achieved (5). With metastases, their 5-year survival is less than 30%, and this has not improved over the last 30 years (6,7). Thus, better understanding of its pathological mechanisms is critical to development of novel prognostic biomarkers and therapies.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs), are a recently discovered category of short, non-coding RNAs, which act as posttranscriptional regulators of gene expression; it is estimated that they regulate up to 30% of protein-coding genes of the human genome (8,9). Accumulating evidence indicates that miRNAs play crucial roles in diverse biological processes, such as development, differentiation, apoptosis, proliferation, metastasis, angiogenesis and the immune response (deregulation of which is crucial in cancer initiation), progression and treatment outcome (10–14). This review focuses on recent discoveries related to miRNAs involved in development of EWS and discusses their potential use as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers and modes of treatment strategy.

MiRNA biogenesis and function

MiRNAs were initially discovered in Caenorhabditis elegans in 1993, but their existence was not known in mammals until the 2000s (15,16). To date, approximately 1500 human miRNAs have been registered, each of which can regulate hundreds of mRNA targets. It is

Correspondence: J. Shen, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100007, China. Tel.: +86 010 69152812; Fax: +86 010 69152812; E-mail: shenjianxiong@medmail.com.cn

estimated that miRNAs regulate expression of at least 20–30% of all human genes (17,18).

Mature miRNAs are products of longer precursor transcripts or pri-miRNAs, typically transcribed from intragenic or intergenic regions, by RNA polymerase II (19,20). The initial cleavage step, mediated by ribonuclease Drosha and the DGCR8 complex, occurs in the nucleus and produces one or more approximately 70 nucleotide stem-loop structures from pri-miRNA, to form miRNA precursors (pre-miRNAs) (21–23). Pre-miRNAs are then transported into the cytoplasm by Exportin 5, which is followed with a second cleavage by Dicer ribonuclease, that produces a double-stranded, 18–25-nucleotide-long mature miRNA (24,25). One miRNA strand then combines with Argonaute 2 (AGO2) protein to synthesize an RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), mediating directed pairing with target mRNAs (26).

Increasing evidence has demonstrated the importance of miRNAs as essential cornerstones of the genetic system (27,28). They induce mRNA degradation or translational repression, by selectively binding to complementary $3'$ untranslated regions (3'UTR) of target mRNAs, through complementary base pairing (29,30). Recent findings have revealed that miRNAs regulate a number of biological processes, such as development, embryogenesis, lineage determination, cell maintenance and normal cell physiology (12,28,31–34); however, they are dysregulated in most, if not all, types of cancer including that of the lung, stomach, breast, bladder, liver and osteosarcoma (13,35– 40). Thus, miRNAs have great potential to become a research focus for prevention and treatment of EWS too.

Alteration of miRNAs in Ewing's sarcoma

Numbers of expression profiling studies have demonstrated miRNA dysregulation in EWS. Zhang et al. dem-

onstrated that let-7a was significantly underexpressed in EWS cell lines compared to human mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) (the presumed original ES cells) using qRT-PCR (41). Karnuth et al. also determined and compared expression of 377 microRNAs in 40 Ewing sarcoma biopsies, 6 Ewing sarcoma cell lines and mesenchymal stem cells (putative cellular origin of Ewing sarcoma), from 6 healthy donors (42). They identified 35 differentially expressed microRNAs in EWS, including 19 up-regulated 16 down-regulated. Other research has identified differences in miRNA expression between EWS xenografts and control samples, using microarray analysis (43). It was found that 5 miRNAs (miR-106b, miR-93, miR-181b, miR-101, miR-30b) were significantly over-expressed, while 6 miRNAs (miR-145, miR-193a-3p, miR-100, miR-22, miR-21, miR-574-3p) were significantly underexpressed across the xenograft passages in relation to controls. These miRNAs were also predicted to regulate many ES-associated genes, such as those of the insulinlike growth factor-1 (*IGF1*) pathway, *EWSR1*, *FLI1* and their fusion gene (EWS-FLI1).

These studies on miRNA expression profiles in EWS also found significant deregulated miRNA expression in cancer cells as oppose to normal tissues, as listed in Table 1. However, only a small number of miRNAs were shared among signatures identified by different studies and several miRNAs even exhibit discordant expression patterns among studies. These discrepancies are probably due to quality of clinical samples, indistinct change and specificity of profiling platforms, different protocols for sample collection and processing, preceding cytotoxic treatments, tumour heterogeneity and underestimated hypoxia and infection. Thus, it is important to re-evaluate our current strategies in miRNA profiling and be cautious concerning interpretation of those whose signatures already exist (Table 1).

MiRNAs as oncogene signalling meditators in EWS – for example EWS-FLI1

EWS-FLI1, the most common fusion gene, is expressed in 85–90% Ewing sarcoma family of tumours (ESFT) (7). It has been shown to induce miRNA expression profile changes in EWS, including miR-22, miR-30a-5p, and most notably miR-145 and let-7a (44). Riggi et al. showed that EWS-FLI1 induces expression of embryonic stem cell (ESC) genes OCT4, SOX2, and NANOG in human paediatric MSCs (hpMSCs) (45). Importantly, EWS cancer stem cell (CSCs) phenotype is the result of combined effect of *EWS-FLI1* on its target gene expression, and repression of miR-145 promoter activity (45). These authors also provided evidence that EWS-FLI1 and miR-145 function in a mutually repressive feedback loop and identified their common target gene, SOX2, in addition to miR-145 itself, as key players in ESFT cell differentiation and tumourigenicity. In line with this result, Ban et al. found that miR-145 was the most probable candidate for regulation by EWS-FLI1 (46). There are 29 miRNAs regulated by EWS-FLI1. Reporter gene assays have revealed that modulation of EWS-FLI1 protein is mediated by microRNAs targeting the FLI1 3'-untranslated region. Mutual regulation of EWS-FLI1 and miR-145 were mirrored by inverse correlation between their expression levels in four EWS cell lines tested. Consistent with the role of EWS-FLI1 in Ewing's sarcoma growth regulation, enforced miR-145 expression halts EWS cell line population growth. One further study has found that two ESFT cell lines revealed a similar miRNA expression profile, characterized by repression of the entire let-7 family, miR-100, miR-125b and miR-31, and over-expression of miRNA 17–92 cluster and its paralogs miR-106a and miR-106b. Direct repression of let-7a by EWS-FLI1 participates in tumorigenic potential of ESFT cells in vivo. Regulation of ESFT

population expansion by let-7a is mediated by its target gene HMGA2, whose repression mimics action of let-7a by blocking ESFT cell tumourigenicity (47). Consistent with these observations, systemic delivery of synthetic let-7a into ESFT-bearing mice has restored its expression in tumour cells, reduced HMGA2 expression levels and resulted in ESFT growth inhibition in vivo. McKinsey et al. achieved stable and specific knockdown of EWS-FLI1 in EWS cells and performed miRNA microarray screening for miRNAs differentially expressed between A673 EWS cells with stably silenced EWS/FLI1 and controls (48). They found 30 miRNAs up-regulated on EWS/FLI1 knockdown. A group of miRNAs (100, 125b, 22, 221/222, 27a and 29a) was also strongly repressed by EWS/FLI1. All these miRNAs have predicted targets in the IGF signalling pathway, a pivotal driver of EWS oncogenesis. More evidence has shown that the miRNAs negatively regulate expression of multiple pro-oncogenic components of the IGF pathway, for example, IGF1, IGF1 receptor, mammalian/mechanistic target of rapamycin and ribosomal protein S6 kinase A1. Robin et al. have further shown that EWS-FLI1 mediates up-regulation of EYA3 with repression of miR-708 by binding to its $3'$ -untranslated region (49). Importantly, high levels of EYA3 were significantly correlated with low levels of miR-708 in EWS samples, suggesting that this miRNA-mediated regulation exists in human cancers. Consistent with the important role of EYA proteins in cell survival during development, loss of EYA3 reduces survival of EWS cells (Table 2).

Biological functions of deregulated miRNAs in EWS

Since miRNA profiling studies demonstrate much deregulated miRNA, their further functional characterization (specially their interaction with tumour suppressor

Table 2. EWS-FLI1 and miRNAs in Ewing's sarcoma

Num	Method	Sample	Up-regulated	Down-regulated	References
1	qRT-PCR	Cancer stem cells		$miR-145$	(34)
2	Microarray	WES family of tumors, mesenchymal progenitor cell	miR-145, miR-424, miR-21, miR-214, miR- 28-5p, miR-424, miR-27a, miR-22, miR- 409-3p, miR-125b, miR-708, miR-135b	miR-128, miR-126, miR-9, miR-101, miR-425, miR-592, miR-340, miR- 505, miR-652, miR-150, miR-20a	(30)
3	Microarray	EWS tumor family cell lines, human mesenchymal stem cells	m i R $-125b$, mi R -100	miR-17, miR-18a, miR-19a, miR-20a, miR-19b, miR-92b, miR-106a, miR- 106b	(12)
4	Microarray	EWS cells		miR-100, miR-125b, miR-22, miR- 221/222, miR-27a, miR-29a	(16)
5	qRT-PCR	EWS cells		$miR-708$	(13)

© 2014 John Wiley & Sons Ltd Cell Proliferation, 48, 1–6

genes, oncogenes and other cancer-related genes), is useful understanding molecular tumorigenesis of EWS. For example, functional analysis of miR-34a in EWS cell lines has indicated that when miR-34a is overexpressed, cells are less proliferative, less malignant, and more sensitive to doxorubicin and vincristine. Expression of miR-34a can be increased in p53 wild-type cells by treatment with nutlin-3a (50). Expression of miR-31 is lower in EWS cells compared to mesenchymal stem cells. Functional analyses have demonstrated that two of four miR-31 transfected EWS cell lines had significantly reduced expansion (19% and 33% reduction), due to increased apoptosis in one, and to increased length of G1-phase in the other. All three tested miR-31-transfected EWS cell lines also showed significantly reduced invasiveness (56–71% reduction) (42). MiR-125b has been reported to be down-regulated in EWS tissues while its overexpression suppressed cell proliferation, migration and invasion, arrested the cell cycle, and induced apoptosis of EWS cell line A673. Bioinformatic prediction has suggested oncogene, phosphoinositide-3 kinase catalytic subunit delta (PIK3CD), to be a target gene of miR-125b in EWS cells. Ectopic expression of miR-125b suppressed expression of PIK3CD mRNA and protein. PIK3CD participates in regulating the PI3K signalling pathway (51). Expression of let-7a has been shown to be down-regulated in human EWS cells compared to human MSCs. Functional analysis of miR-34a in EWS cell lines has indicated that overexpression of let-7a inhibits cell proliferation, migration, and invasion, arrests cell cycle progression, and induces apoptosis in two EWS cell lines. Using bioinformatic prediction, mRNA and protein expression analysis and luciferase assays, Zhang et al. have identified cyclin-dependent kinase 6 (CDK6) to be the putative target of let-7a (41). Iida et al. also found miR-125b to be up-regulated in two different doxorubicin-resistant EWS cell lines. Up-regulation of miR-125b has also been confirmed in EWS having survived chemotherapy regimens, including with doxorubicin. When miR-125b was knocked down

in EWS cells, both doxorubicin-resistant and parental cells had an enhanced sensitivity to doxorubicin, which was associated with up-regulation of pro-apoptotic molecules, p53 and Bak. Inversely, overexpression of miR-125b in parental EWS cells resulted in enhanced drug resistance, not only to doxorubicin, but also to etoposide and vincristine (52). MiR-30a-5p is one miRNAs that demonstrates EWS-FLI1 modulation, while its re-expression affects cell proliferation and invasion. It has also been shown that miR-30a-5p interacts with 3'UTR region of CD99 and represses its expression (53). MiR-17~92a, miR-106b~25 and miR-106a~363 clusters are up-regulated in EWS. Using colony formation as a read-out, investigators found that blockade of selected individual cluster component miRNAs, using specific inhibitors, has little or no effect, whereas combinatorial inhibition using miRNA "sponge" is inhibitory to colony formation. To this end, blockade of whole clusters is generally more effective than blockade of individual miRNA families. It was also demonstrated that miRNAblocking sponge directed against poorly characterized miR-106a~363 cluster, was a particularly potent inhibitor of clonogenic growth in a subset of EWS cell lines. Up-regulation of miR-15a was also recognized to be a downstream mechanism contributing to miR-106a~363 sponge growth-inhibitory effect (54) (Table 3).

Prognostic use of miRNAs and other clinical implications, in EWS

Nakatani et al. identified 5 miRNAs (miR-34a, miR-23a, miR-92a, miR-490-3p and miR-130b) as independent predictors of risk for EWS progression and survival (50). Further studies in extended samples indicated that both miR-34a and miR-490-3p achieved sufficient statistical power to predict prognosis. Patients with highest expression of miR-34a did not experience adverse events in the 5 subsequent years, while in contrast, patients with lowest expression suffered recurrence within 2 years. To date, despite overwhelming reports of

Table 3. Functional characterization of the deregulated miRNAs in Ewing's sarcoma

Name	Up- or down-regulation	Target gene	Role	References
m iR-34a	Down		Tumour suppressor	(4)
$miR-31$	Down		Tumour suppressor	(7)
m iR-125 b	Down	PIK3CD	Tumour suppressor	(14)
$let-7a$	Down	CDK ₆	Tumour suppressor	(20)
m i $R-125b$	Down	$p53$, Bak	Survived chemotherapy	(8)
m i $R-30a-5p$	Down	CD99	Tumour suppressor	(41)
miR-17 \sim 92a	Up		Oncogene	(9)
miR-106b \sim 25				
miR-106a \sim 363 clusters				

© 2014 John Wiley & Sons Ltd Cell Proliferation, 48, 1–6

dysregulated miRNAs in EWS tissues, no circulating miRNA has been identified for non-invasive diagnosis of the tumour.

Conclusions and future perspectives

Ewing's sarcoma causes tremendous mortality due to its resistance to conventional treatment. Although aggressive surgical resection has improved the prognosis, treatment of EWS is still unsatisfactory due to risk of local relapse. Early diagnosis of EWS is a major challenge as lack of knowledge concerning molecular mechanisms involved in its pathogenesis. Thus, a more comprehensive understanding of its pathogenic mechanism is essential for formulating innovative therapeutic strategies. Over the past decade, there has been rapid accumulation of evidence on important roles of deregulated miRNAs in development of human malignancies. These hold great potential for new development in current diagnostic and therapeutic strategies in management of malignant disease, including EWS. Identification of miRNA as a posttranscriptional regulator of gene expression has strongly extended our understanding of biology of cancers, here specifically EWS. However, despite encouraging results, we still see only a tip of the iceberg, and further studies are needed to establish biological roles and to explore potential clinical utilization of miRNA. One challenge is that expression of miRNAs is spatial-, temporal-, and tissue-specific, and can be influenced by a variety of factors such as infection, hypoxia, pathology and cytotoxic treatment, which make it difficult to identify a consistent miRNA signature for prognosis and diagnosis. In addition, miRNAs play a functional role in cell signalling networks and regulate expression of multiple genes, giving rise to the possibility of off-target effects for miRNA-directed therapy. Nonetheless, potential therapeutic utilization of miRNAs may reshape our understanding of tumourigenesis and will definitely improve management of EWS in the future.

Acknowledgements

Funding: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (Grant Numbers: 81401847, 81272053 and 81330044).

References

1 Fleuren ED, Versleijen-Jonkers YM, Boerman OC, van der Graaf WT (2014) Targeting receptor tyrosine kinases in osteosarcoma and Ewing sarcoma: current hurdles and future perspectives. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1845, 266–276.

- 2 Ahmed AA, Zia H, Wagner L (2014) Therapy resistance mechanisms in Ewing's sarcoma family tumors. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 73, 657–663.
- 3 Lewin J, Wieringa S, Collins M, Desai J, Orme L, Lingaratnam S et al. (2013) Intra-patient dose escalation in Ewing's sarcoma treated with vincristine, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide alternating with ifosfamide and etoposide: a retrospective review. Clin. Sarcoma Res. 3, 15.
- 4 Sasaki T, Onishi T, Yabana T, Hoshina A (2013) Ewing's sarcoma/ primitive neuroectodermal tumor arising from the adrenal gland: a case report and literature review. Tumori 99, e104–e106.
- 5 Ross KA, Smyth NA, Murawski CD, Kennedy JG (2013) The biology of ewing sarcoma. ISRN Oncol. 2013, 759725.
- 6 Amaral AT, Ordonez JL, Otero-Motta AP, Garcia-Dominguez DJ, Sevillano MV, de Alava E (2014) Innovative therapies in Ewing Sarcoma. Adv. Anat. Pathol. 21, 44–62.
- 7 Todorova R (2014) Ewing's sarcoma cancer stem cell targeted therapy. Curr. Stem Cell Res. Ther. 9, 46–62.
- 8 Zhang WH, Gui JH, Wang CZ, Chang Q, Xu SP, Cai CH et al. (2012) The identification of miR-375 as a potential biomarker in distal gastric adenocarcinoma. Oncol. Res. 20, 139–147.
- 9 Zhou J, Song S, Cen J, Zhu D, Li D, Zhang Z (2012) MicroRNA-375 is downregulated in pancreatic cancer and inhibits cell proliferation in vitro. Oncol. Res. 20, 197–203.
- 10 Misawa A, Katayama R, Koike S, Tomida A, Watanabe T, Fujita N (2010) AP-1-Dependent miR-21 expression contributes to chemoresistance in cancer stem cell-like SP cells. Oncol. Res. 19, 23–33.
- 11 Wang Z, Yin B, Wang B, Ma Z, Liu W, Lv G (2014) MicroRNA-210 promotes proliferation and invasion of peripheral nerve sheath tumor cells targeting EFNA3. Oncol. Res. 21, 145–154.
- 12 Xiao X, Tang C, Xiao S, Fu C, Yu P (2013) Enhancement of proliferation and invasion by MicroRNA-590-5p via targeting PBRM1 in clear cell renal carcinoma cells. Oncol. Res. 20, 537–544.
- 13 Ye Z, Jingzhong L, Yangbo L, Lei C, Jiandong Y (2014) Propofol inhibits proliferation and invasion of osteosarcoma cells by regulation of microRNA-143 expression. Oncol. Res. 21, 201–207.
- 14 Yu X, Li Z, Shen J, Wu WK, Liang J, Weng X et al. (2013) MicroRNA-10b promotes nucleus pulposus cell proliferation through RhoC-Akt pathway by targeting HOXD10 in intervetebral disc degeneration. PLoS One 8, e83080.
- 15 Kaufman EJ, Miska EA (2010) The microRNAs of Caenorhabditis elegans. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 21, 728–737.
- 16 Yao Y, Suo AL, Li ZF, Liu LY, Tian T, Ni L et al. (2009) MicroRNA profiling of human gastric cancer. Mol. Med. Rep. 2, 963–970.
- 17 Dassow H, Aigner A (2013) MicroRNAs (miRNAs) in colorectal cancer: from aberrant expression towards therapy. Curr. Pharm. Des. 19, 1242–1252.
- 18 Marcinkowska M, Szymanski M, Krzyzosiak WJ, Kozlowski P (2011) Copy number variation of microRNA genes in the human genome. BMC Genom. 12, 183.
- 19 Papagiannakopoulos T, Kosik KS (2008) MicroRNAs: regulators of oncogenesis and stemness. BMC Med. 6, 15.
- 20 Sato F, Tsuchiya S, Meltzer SJ, Shimizu K (2011) MicroRNAs and epigenetics. FEBS J. 278, 1598–1609.
- 21 Chen Z, Wu J, Yang C, Fan P, Balazs L, Jiao Y et al. (2012) DiGeorge syndrome critical region 8 (DGCR8) protein-mediated microRNA biogenesis is essential for vascular smooth muscle cell development in mice. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 19018–19028.
- 22 Han L, Witmer PD, Casey E, Valle D, Sukumar S (2007) DNA methylation regulates MicroRNA expression. Cancer Biol. Ther. 6, 1284–1288.
- 23 Nelson KM, Weiss GJ (2008) MicroRNAs and cancer: past, present, and potential future. Mol. Cancer Ther. 7, 3655–3660.
- 24 Fan P, Chen Z, Tian P, Liu W, Jiao Y, Xue Y et al. (2013) miRNA biogenesis enzyme Drosha is required for vascular smooth muscle cell survival. PLoS One 8, e60888.
- 25 Fernandez-Hernando C, Ramirez CM, Goedeke L, Suarez Y (2013) MicroRNAs in metabolic disease. Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 33, 178–185.
- 26 Grant JS, White K, Maclean MR, Baker AH (2013) MicroRNAs in pulmonary arterial remodeling. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 70, 4479–4494.
- 27 Guancial EA, Bellmunt J, Yeh S, Rosenberg JE, Berman DM (2014) The evolving understanding of microRNA in bladder cancer. *Urol. Oncol.* **32**, 41. e31-e40.
- 28 Rottiers V, Naar AM (2012) MicroRNAs in metabolism and metabolic disorders. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 13, 239–250.
- 29 Li Z, Lei H, Luo M, Wang Y, Dong L, Ma Y et al. (2015) DNA methylation downregulated mir-10b acts as a tumor suppressor in gastric cancer. Gastric Cancer 18, 43–54.
- 30 Wu W, He X, Kong J, Ye B (2012) Mir-373 affects human lung cancer cells' growth and its E-cadherin expression. Oncol. Res. 20, 163–170.
- 31 Kim BM, Woo J, Kanellopoulou C, Shivdasani RA (2011) Regulation of mouse stomach development and Barx1 expression by specific microRNAs. Development 138, 1081–1086.
- 32 Perri R, Nares S, Zhang S, Barros SP, Offenbacher S (2012) MicroR-NA modulation in obesity and periodontitis. J. Dent. Res. 91, 33–38.
- 33 Wheeler G, Ntounia-Fousara S, Granda B, Rathjen T, Dalmay T (2006) Identification of new central nervous system specific mouse microRNAs. FEBS Lett. 580, 2195–2200.
- 34 Wilfred BR, Wang WX, Nelson PT (2007) Energizing miRNA research: a review of the role of miRNAs in lipid metabolism, with a prediction that miR-103/107 regulates human metabolic pathways. Mol. Genet. Metab. 91, 209–217.
- 35 Bou Kheir T, Futoma-Kazmierczak E, Jacobsen A, Krogh A, Bardram L, Hother C et al. (2011) miR-449 inhibits cell proliferation and is down-regulated in gastric cancer. Mol. Cancer 10, 29.
- 36 Du B, Wang Z, Zhang X, Feng S, Wang G, He J et al. (2014) MicroRNA-545 suppresses cell proliferation by targeting cyclin D1 and CDK4 in lung cancer cells. PLoS One 9, e88022.
- 37 Duan Z, Choy E, Harmon D, Liu X, Susa M, Mankin H et al. (2011) MicroRNA-199a-3p is downregulated in human osteosarcoma and regulates cell proliferation and migration. Mol. Cancer Ther. 10, 1337–1345.
- 38 Fei X, Qi M, Wu B, Song Y, Wang Y, Li T (2012) MicroRNA-195-5p suppresses glucose uptake and proliferation of human bladder cancer T24 cells by regulating GLUT3 expression. FEBS Lett. 586, 392–397.
- 39 Furuta M, Kozaki KI, Tanaka S, Arii S, Imoto I, Inazawa J (2010) miR-124 and miR-203 are epigenetically silenced tumor-suppressive microRNAs in hepatocellular carcinoma. Carcinogenesis 31, 766–776.
- 40 Ma L (2010) Role of miR-10b in breast cancer metastasis. Breast Cancer Res. 12, 210.
- 41 Zhang Z, Huang L, Yu Z, Chen X, Yang D, Zhan P et al. (2014) Let-7a functions as a tumor suppressor in Ewing's sarcoma cell lines partly by targeting cyclin-dependent kinase 6. DNA Cell Biol. 33, 136–147.
- 42 Karnuth B, Dedy N, Spieker T, Lawlor ER, Gattenlohner S, Ranft A et al. (2014) Differentially expressed miRNAs in Ewing sarcoma compared to mesenchymal stem cells: low miR-31 expression with effects on proliferation and invasion. PLoS One 9, e93067.
- 43 Mosakhani N, Guled M, Leen G, Calabuig-Farinas S, Niini T, Machado I et al. (2012) An integrated analysis of miRNA and gene copy numbers in xenografts of Ewing's sarcoma. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 31, 24.
- 44 Riggi N, Suva ML, Stamenkovic I (2011) The cancer stem cell paradigm in Ewing's sarcoma: what can we learn about these rare cells from a rare tumor? Expert Rev. Anticancer Ther. 11, 143–145.
- 45 Riggi N, Suva ML, De Vito C, Provero P, Stehle JC, Baumer K et al. (2010) EWS-FLI-1 modulates miRNA145 and SOX2 expression to initiate mesenchymal stem cell reprogramming toward Ewing sarcoma cancer stem cells. Genes Dev. 24, 916–932.
- 46 Ban J, Jug G, Mestdagh P, Schwentner R, Kauer M, Aryee DN et al. (2011) Hsa-mir-145 is the top EWS-FLI1-repressed microR-NA involved in a positive feedback loop in Ewing's sarcoma. Oncogene 30, 2173–2180.
- 47 De Vito C, Riggi N, Suva ML, Janiszewska M, Horlbeck J, Baumer K et al. (2011) Let-7a is a direct EWS-FLI-1 target implicated in Ewing's sarcoma development. PLoS One 6, e23592.
- 48 McKinsey EL, Parrish JK, Irwin AE, Niemeyer BF, Kern HB, Birks DK et al. (2011) A novel oncogenic mechanism in Ewing sarcoma involving IGF pathway targeting by EWS/Fli1-regulated microRNAs. Oncogene 30, 4910–4920.
- 49 Robin TP, Smith A, McKinsey E, Reaves L, Jedlicka P, Ford HL (2012) EWS/FLI1 regulates EYA3 in Ewing sarcoma via modulation of miRNA-708, resulting in increased cell survival and chemoresistance. Mol. Cancer Res. 10, 1098–1108.
- 50 Nakatani F, Ferracin M, Manara MC, Ventura S, Del Monaco V, Ferrari S et al. (2012) miR-34a predicts survival of Ewing's sarcoma patients and directly influences cell chemo-sensitivity and malignancy. J. Pathol. 226, 796–805.
- 51 Li J, You T, Jing J (2014) MiR-125b inhibits cell biological progression of Ewing's sarcoma by suppressing the PI3K/Akt signalling pathway. Cell Prolif. 47, 152–160.
- 52 Iida K, Fukushi J, Matsumoto Y, Oda Y, Takahashi Y, Fujiwara T et al. (2013) miR-125b develops chemoresistance in Ewing sarcoma/primitive neuroectodermal tumor. Cancer Cell Int. 13, 21.
- 53 Franzetti GA, Laud-Duval K, Bellanger D, Stern MH, Sastre-Garau X, Delattre O (2013) MiR-30a-5p connects EWS-FLI1 and CD99, two major therapeutic targets in Ewing tumor. Oncogene 32, 3915– 3921.
- 54 Dylla L, Jedlicka P (2013) Growth-promoting role of the miR-106a~363 cluster in Ewing sarcoma. PLoS One 8, e63032.