

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Amygdalar reactivity is associated with prefrontal cortical thickness in a large population-based sample of adolescents

Matthew D. Albaugh<sup>1\*</sup>, James. J. Hudziak<sup>1</sup>, Catherine Orr<sup>2</sup>, Philip A. Spechler<sup>2</sup>, Bader Chaarani<sup>2</sup>, Scott Mackey<sup>2</sup>, Claude Lepage<sup>3</sup>, Vladimir Fonov<sup>3</sup>, Pierre Rioux<sup>3</sup>, Alan C. Evans<sup>3</sup>, Tobias Banaschewski<sup>4</sup>, Arun L. W. Bokde<sup>5</sup>, Uli Bromberg<sup>6</sup>, Christian Büchel<sup>6</sup>, Erin Burke Quinlan<sup>7</sup>, Sylvane Desrivieres<sup>7</sup>, Herta Flor<sup>8,9</sup>, Antoine Grigis<sup>10</sup>, Penny Gowland<sup>11</sup>, Andreas Heinz<sup>12</sup>, Bernd Ittermann<sup>13</sup>, Jean-Luc Martinot<sup>14</sup>, Marie-Laure Paillère Martinot<sup>15</sup>, Frauke Nees<sup>4,8</sup>, Dimitri Papadopoulos Orfanos<sup>10</sup>, Tomáš Paus<sup>16</sup>, Luise Poustka<sup>17</sup>, Sabina Millenet<sup>4</sup>, Juliane H. Fröhner<sup>18</sup>, Michael N. Smolka<sup>18</sup>, Henrik Walter<sup>12</sup>, Robert Whelan<sup>19</sup>, Gunter Schumann<sup>7</sup>, Alexandra S. Potter<sup>2</sup>, Hugh Garavan<sup>2</sup>, IMAGEN Consortium<sup>1</sup>



**OPEN ACCESS**

**Citation:** Albaugh MD, Hudziak J.J, Orr C, Spechler PA, Chaarani B, Mackey S, et al. (2019) Amygdalar reactivity is associated with prefrontal cortical thickness in a large population-based sample of adolescents. *PLoS ONE* 14(5): e0216152. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216152>

**Editor:** Thilo Kellermann, RWTH Aachen, GERMANY

**Received:** October 26, 2018

**Accepted:** April 15, 2019

**Published:** May 2, 2019

**Copyright:** © 2019 Albaugh et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** In order to gain access to IMAGEN data, individuals must complete a proposal form that is, subsequently, circulated to members of the IMAGEN consortium. Further information, as well as the proposal form, can be accessed online (<https://imagen-europe.com/resources/imagen-project-proposal/>).

**Funding:** This work received support from the following sources: the European Union-funded FP6 Integrated Project IMAGEN (Reinforcement-related behaviour in normal brain function and

**1** Vermont Center for Children, Youth, and Families, Department of Psychiatry, University of Vermont College of Medicine, Burlington, VT, United States of America, **2** Department of Psychiatry, University of Vermont College of Medicine, Burlington, VT, United States of America, **3** McConnell Brain Imaging Centre, Montreal Neurological Institute, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada, **4** Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Central Institute of Mental Health, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Mannheim, Germany, **5** Discipline of Psychiatry, School of Medicine and Trinity College Institute of Neuroscience, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland, **6** University Medical Centre Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany, **7** Medical Research Council—Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King’s College London, United Kingdom, **8** Department of Cognitive and Clinical Neuroscience, Central Institute of Mental Health, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Mannheim, Germany, **9** Department of Psychology, School of Social Sciences, University of Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany, **10** NeuroSpin, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France, **11** Sir Peter Mansfield Imaging Centre School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, United Kingdom, **12** Charité—Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Campus Charité Mitte, Charitéplatz 1, Berlin, Germany, **13** Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), Braunschweig and Berlin, Germany [or depending on journal requirements can be: Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), Berlin, Germany, **14** Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, INSERM Unit 1000 “Neuroimaging & Psychiatry”, University Paris Sud, University Paris Descartes—Sorbonne Paris Cité; and Maison de Solenn, Paris, France, **15** Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, INSERM Unit 1000 “Neuroimaging & Psychiatry”; University Paris Sud; University Paris Descartes; Sorbonne Universités; and AP-HP, Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France, **16** Bloorview Research Institute, Holland Bloorview Kids Rehabilitation Hospital and Departments of Psychology and Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, **17** Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medical Centre Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, **18** Department of Psychiatry and Neuroimaging Center, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany, **19** School of Psychology and Global Brain Health Institute, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland

¶ The complete membership of the author group can be found in the Acknowledgments.  
\* [malbaugh@uvm.edu](mailto:malbaugh@uvm.edu)

## Abstract

In structural neuroimaging studies, reduced cerebral cortical thickness in orbital and ventromedial prefrontal regions is frequently interpreted as reflecting an impaired ability to down-regulate neuronal activity in the amygdalae. Unfortunately, little research has been conducted in order to test this conjecture. We examine the extent to which amygdalar reactivity is associated with cortical thickness in a population-based sample of adolescents. Data were

psychopathology) (LSHM-CT-2007-037286), the Horizon 2020 funded ERC Advanced Grant 'STRATIFY' (Brain network based stratification of reinforcement-related disorders) (695313), ERANID (Understanding the Interplay between Cultural, Biological and Subjective Factors in Drug Use Pathways) (PR-ST-0416-10004), BRIDGET (JPNP: Brain Imaging, cognition Dementia and next generation GENomics) (MR/N027558/1), the FP7 projects IMAGEMEND(602450; IMAGING GENetics for MENTal Disorders) and MATRICS (603016), the Innovative Medicine Initiative Project EU-AIMS (115300-2), the Medical Research Council Grant 'c-VEDA' (Consortium on Vulnerability to Externalizing Disorders and Addictions) (MR/N000390/1), the Swedish Research Council FORMAS, the Medical Research Council, the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London, the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF grants 01GS08152; 01EV0711; eMED SysAlc01ZX1311A; Forschungsnetz AERIAL 01EE1406A, 01EE1406B), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG grants SM 80/7-2, SFB 940/2, NE 1383/14-1), the Medical Research Foundation and Medical research council (grant MR/R00465X/1). Further support was provided by grants from: ANR (project AF12-NEUR0008-01 - WM2NA, and ANR-12-SAMA-0004), the Fondation de France, the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale, the Mission Interministérielle de Lutte-contre-les-Drogues-et-les-Conduites-Addictives (MILDECA), the Assistance-Publique-Hôpitaux-de-Paris and INSERM (interface grant), Paris Sud University IDEX 2012; the National Institutes of Health, Science Foundation Ireland (16/ERCDC/3797), U.S. A. (Axon, Testosterone and Mental Health during Adolescence; RO1 MH085772-01A1), and by NIH Consortium grant U54 EB020403, supported by a cross-NIH alliance that funds Big Data to Knowledge Centres of Excellence. In addition, Drs. Garavan and Potter are supported P20GM103644, Agency: NIGMS Vermont Center on Behavior and Health.

**Competing interests:** Dr. Banaschewski has served as an advisor or consultant to Bristol-Myers Squibb, Desitin Arzneimittel, Eli Lilly, Medice, Novartis, Pfizer, Shire, UCB, and Vifor Pharma; he has received conference attendance support, conference support, or speaking fees from Eli Lilly, Janssen McNeil, Medice, Novartis, Shire, and UCB; and he is involved in clinical trials conducted by Eli Lilly, Novartis, and Shire; the present work is unrelated to these relationships. The other authors report no biomedical financial interests or potential

obtained from the IMAGEN study, which includes 2,223 adolescents. While undergoing functional neuroimaging, participants passively viewed video clips of a face that started from a neutral expression and progressively turned angry, or, instead, turned to a second neutral expression. Left and right amygdala ROIs were used to extract mean BOLD signal change for the angry minus neutral face contrast for all subjects. T1-weighted images were processed through the CIVET pipeline (version 2.1.0). In variable-centered analyses, local cortical thickness was regressed against amygdalar reactivity using first and second-order linear models. In a follow-up person-centered analysis, we defined a "high reactive" group of participants based on mean amygdalar BOLD signal change for the angry minus neutral face contrast. Between-group differences in cortical thickness were examined ("high reactive" versus all other participants). A significant association was revealed between the continuous measure of amygdalar reactivity and bilateral ventromedial prefrontal cortical thickness in a second-order linear model ( $p < 0.05$ , corrected). The "high reactive" group, in comparison to all other participants, possessed reduced cortical thickness in bilateral orbital and ventromedial prefrontal cortices, bilateral anterior temporal cortices, left caudal middle temporal gyrus, and the left inferior and middle frontal gyri ( $p < 0.05$ , corrected). Results are consistent with non-human primate studies, and provide empirical support for an association between reduced prefrontal cortical thickness and amygdalar reactivity. Future research will likely benefit from investigating the degree to which psychopathology qualifies relations between prefrontal cortical structure and amygdalar reactivity.

## Introduction

Among primates, dense anatomical connections exist between regions of the prefrontal cortex and the amygdalae [1–4]. Given these anatomical connections, it has long been posited that prefrontal regions provide "top-down" modulation of amygdalar functioning [1–4]. In support of this notion, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies of emotion regulation have implicated prefrontal regions in the regulation of amygdalar activity [5–12]. Specifically, across such studies, effective forms of emotion regulation have been associated with increased activation in prefrontal areas, as well as concomitant decreases in amygdalar activation.

In surface-based studies of human cortical morphology, reduced cortical thickness in prefrontal areas—particularly in orbital and ventromedial prefrontal regions—has commonly been interpreted as reflecting an impaired ability to regulate limbic structures like the amygdalae [13–15]. Despite the prevalence of such conjecture, little research has been performed in order to directly test this speculation. To our knowledge, only one study has directly tested the extent to which cerebral cortical thickness is associated with amygdalar reactivity [16]. Studying 20 healthy human adults (12 males, 8 females; mean age,  $35.1 \pm 12.7$  years), Folland-Ross et al. (2010) tested the extent to which activation in the left amygdala during cognitive evaluation of negative emotional facial expressions was related to prefrontal cortical thickness. Specifically, during cognitive evaluation of negative emotional facial expressions, participants chose one of two words that best described the emotional face presented on the screen. Citing a host of prior animal and human studies demonstrating suppression of amygdalar activity by ventral prefrontal cortical areas [14, 17–21], the authors hypothesized that participants with reduced prefrontal cortical thickness would exhibit greater amygdalar activation—reflecting a diminished capacity to downregulate the amygdalae during the affect labeling

conflicts of interest. The information, above, does not alter our adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

task. As hypothesized, the authors found that amygdalar activation during the labeling task was negatively correlated with cortical thickness in the left ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC).

It remains unclear if such structure-function relations exist across the developmental span, including during childhood and adolescence. Given that adolescence is accompanied by a dramatic increase in mood disorders [22], characterizing fronto-limbic relations during this developmental window may help shed light on neurodevelopmental processes associated with the emergence of psychopathology. Further, it is possible that sex qualifies relations between cortical structure and amygdalar reactivity; unfortunately, prior research may not have been adequately powered to detect sex differences. Indeed, a growing literature indicates that sex hormone levels in developing youths influence cortico-limbic maturation, including fronto-amygdalar networks [23–27]. Similarly, recent resting state functional connectivity research suggests unique patterns of cortico-amygdalar connectivity between sexes during adolescence [28].

In the present study, we investigate the extent to which amygdalar reactivity to angry faces is associated with cerebral cortical thickness in a large population-based sample of adolescents. Based on non-human primate tracer studies of fronto-amygdalar anatomical connectivity, we hypothesize that reduced cortical thickness in ventromedial prefrontal cortices will be associated with increased amygdalar activation to negatively valenced emotional stimuli. We utilize a publicly available probabilistic atlas of vmPFC cytoarchitecture in an attempt to reveal which vmPFC subdivisions are most significantly associated with amygdalar reactivity (S1 Fig). We also investigate the degree to which sex qualifies the relationship between cerebral cortical structure and amygdalar reactivity.

## Materials and methods

### Participants

Neuroimaging and behavioral data were obtained from the IMAGEN study conducted across 8 European sites in France, the United Kingdom, Ireland, and Germany, which includes 2,223 adolescents recruited from schools at age 14 years. Local ethics research committees approved the study at each site (London: Psychiatry, Nursing and Midwifery (PNM) Research Ethics Subcommittee (RESC), Waterloo Campus, King's College London. Nottingham: University of Nottingham Medical School Ethics Committee. Mannheim: Medizinische Fakultät Mannheim, Ruprecht Karl Universität Heidelberg and Ethik-Kommission II an der Fakultät fuer Klinische Medizin Mannheim. Dresden: Ethikkommission der Medizinischen Fakultät Carl Gustav Carus, TU Dresden Medizinische Fakultät. Hamburg: Ethics board, Hamburg Chamber of Physicians. Paris: CPP IDF VII (Comité de protection des personnes Ile de France), ID RCB: 2007-A00778-45 September 24th 2007. Dublin: TCD School of Psychology REC. Berlin: ethics committee of the Faculty of Psychology). Written consent was obtained from the parent or guardian as well as verbal assent from the adolescent. A detailed description of recruitment and assessment procedures has been published elsewhere [29]. In the present study, a total of 1,753 participants possessed quality controlled neuroimaging data and complete demographic data.

### Demographic measures

The puberty development scale (PDS) was administered to assess the pubertal status of study participants [30]. The socioeconomic status (SES) score was derived by summing the following variables: Mother's Education Score, Father's Education Score, Family Stress Unemployment

Score, Financial Difficulties Score, Home Inadequacy Score, Neighborhood Score, Financial Crisis Score, Mother Employed Score, and Father Employed Score [31].

### MRI acquisition

MRI scanning was conducted at the eight IMAGEN assessment sites using 3T whole body MRI systems [29]. Participants underwent MRI scanning for approximately one hour in order to collect a combination of structural and functional imaging data. 3D T1-weighted images were acquired using a magnetization prepared gradient echo sequence based on the ADNI protocol (<http://adni.loni.usc.edu/methods/mri-tool/mri-analysis/>), which utilizes protocols developed to minimize image differences across scanner makes and models. With regard to the functional task used in the present study, 160 volumes were obtained per participant, with each volume consisting of 40 slices. Slices were aligned relative to the anterior commissure—posterior commissure line (2.4 mm thickness, 1 mm gap, TR = 2.20 s, TE = 30 ms). Please see Schumann et al. (2010) for further details.

### Processing of functional MRI

In the faces fMRI task, participants passively viewed video clips that contained either a person's face or a control stimulus. This task was created by Grosbras and Paus (2006) and required participants to passively view a series of short (2–5 s) video clips displaying a face that started from a neutral expression and progressively turned angry, or, progressively turned to a second neutral expression [32]. The control stimuli contained expanding and contracting concentric circles of various contrasts, roughly matching the contrast and motion characteristics of the face stimuli. These control images were created and originally implemented by Beauchamp et al. (2003) and were included to account for neural activity associated with viewing non-biological motion [33]. All stimuli were presented as 18 s blocks, with 4–7 video clips per block during a face block. Each run was comprised of 5 blocks of neutral faces and 5 blocks of angry faces.

Pre-processing of echo-planar imaging data was performed using SPM8 (Statistical Parametric Mapping, <http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/>). Time series data were initially corrected for slice timing, and subsequently corrected for movement, non-linearly warped into MNI space, and spatially smoothed at 5 mm-FWHM. Functional activation maps were generated with SPM8 and regressed using a general linear model with AR noise model against a design-matrix modeling each 18 second block of stimulus presentation. Contrast images were obtained for the main effect of angry faces and neutral faces, as well as for differential activation of angry minus neutral faces. Left and right amygdala ROIs (from the Harvard-Oxford Subcortical Atlas, thresholded at 50 percent probability and binarized) were used to extract mean BOLD signal change for the angry face minus neutral face contrast for all subjects (S2 Fig).

### Processing of structural MRI

Quality controlled native MR images were processed through the CIVET pipeline (version 2.1.0) using the CBRAIN platform [34]. As described in detail previously [35], the following steps were performed as part of the CIVET pipeline [36, 37]. First, native MR images were linearly registered to a standardized MNI-Talairach space based on the ICBM152 dataset in order to account for volumetric differences between subjects [38–40]. Second, the N3 algorithm was implemented in order to correct for intensity non-uniformity artifacts [41]. Third, classification of white matter (WM), gray matter (GM), and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) was performed using the INSECT algorithm [42]. Fourth, the CLASP algorithm was used to generate high-

resolution hemispheric surfaces (40,962 vertices per hemisphere) [43–46]. Hemispheric surfaces were generated for both the WM/GM interface and GM/CSF interface. Fifth, surfaces for each hemisphere were non-linearly registered to an average surface created from the ICBM152 dataset [39, 44, 47]. A reverse linear transformation was carried out on each subject's images, and cortical thickness estimations were calculated at each cortical point in native space using the *flink* metric [48, 49]. As a final step, subjects' cortical thickness maps were blurred using a 20-millimeter full width at half maximum surface-based diffusion smoothing kernel [50], providing optimal sensitivity for cortical thickness analysis [49].

## Statistical analysis

Cortical thickness analyses were performed using SurfStat, a toolbox created for MATLAB (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, Massachusetts) by Dr. Keith Worsley (<http://www.math.mcgill.ca/keith/surfstat/>). First, local cerebral cortical thickness was regressed against the continuous measure of amygdalar reactivity (i.e., mean amygdalar BOLD signal change for angry minus neutral face contrast) using first and second-order linear models:

$$Y = 1 + b_1Amy + b_2Age + b_3Sex + b_4Site + b_5Hand + b_6TBV + b_7PDS + b_8SES + b_9IQPR + b_{10}IQVC$$

$$Y = 1 + b_1Amy + b_2Amy^2 + b_3Age + b_4Sex + b_5Site + b_6Hand + b_7TBV + b_8PDS + b_9SES + b_{10}IQPR + b_{11}IQVC.$$

where “Amy” refers to the angry minus neutral face contrast value. In a follow-up person-centered analysis, we defined a “high reactive” group as participants falling 1.5 standard deviations above mean amygdalar BOLD signal change for the angry minus neutral face contrast (corresponding, approximately, to the upper 5% of participants in the present sample). Imposing this statistical cut-off resulted in 90 “high reactive” participants, and 1663 controls. Between-group differences in cortical thickness were examined (“high reactive” versus all other participants) using the following model:

$$Y = 1 + b_1Group + b_2Age + b_3Sex + b_4Site + b_5Hand + b_6TBV + b_7PDS + b_8SES + b_9IQPR + b_{10}IQVC$$

Age, total brain volume, sex, site, handedness, SES, Performance IQ, Verbal IQ, and pubertal development were controlled for in all vertex-wise surface-based analyses (both variable- and person-centered analyses). In order to examine the extent to which the association between amygdalar reactivity and cortical thickness was qualified by sex, a “sex by Amy” interaction term was tested in first and second-order linear models. Similarly, a “sex by Group” interaction term was added to the model used in the follow-up analysis.

To account for multiple comparisons, random field theory (RFT) correction was applied to the entire cortical surface [51]. In order to identify significant clusters, an initial height threshold of  $p \leq .001$  was implemented at the vertex level, and a corrected familywise error ( $p \leq .05$ ) was subsequently applied. Further, vertex-level RFT thresholding was implemented using the vertex-wise RFT critical *t*-value which was calculated from the expected Euler characteristic and number of resolution elements, or “resels” [51].

## Results

### Demographic measures

Demographic information for participants is summarized in Table 1. Participants in the “high reactive” group possessed significantly lower Performance IQs relative to all other participants. No other significant differences were revealed between groups.

**Table 1. Demographic summary.** Demographic summary for amygdalar reactivity groups.

	High Reactive Group <i>M(SD)</i> ( <i>n</i> = 90)	Control <i>M(SD)</i> ( <i>n</i> = 1663)	<i>t</i> or $X^2$ value	<i>p</i> value
Age (yrs)	14.42(0.42)	14.43(0.41)	0.111	0.912
Sex	Males = 51(56.7%)	Males = 797(47.9%)	2.612	0.106
SES	17.43(3.71)	17.90(3.92)	1.108	0.268
IQPR	103.77(13.81)	108.21(14.06)	<b>2.918</b>	<b>0.004*</b>
IQVC	109.62(16.04)	110.54(14.03)	0.602	0.547
PDS	2.87(0.55)	2.91(0.56)	0.724	0.469
Brain Volume (mm <sup>3</sup> )	1442.20(134.40)	1425.22(131.59)	-1.191	0.234

SES = socioeconomic status; puberty = pubertal development scale; IQ PR = performance IQ; IQ VC = verbal IQ

\* =  $p < 0.007$  (corrected significance value)

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216152.t001>

## Amygdalar reactivity and cortical thickness

No significant first-order linear associations were found between the continuous measure of amygdalar reactivity and cerebral cortical thickness. Testing of a second-order linear model revealed a significant quadratic association between amygdalar reactivity and ventromedial prefrontal cortical thickness (Fig 1 and Table 2). Applying the Mackey and Petrides (2014) human vmPFC atlas, significant cluster-wise associations were revealed, bilaterally, in areas 25, 14c, 14m, 14r, and 32 [52].


Probing of the quadratic association revealed a weak non-significant positive association between cortical thickness and amygdalar reactivity at negative values for the angry minus neutral face contrast; however, this pattern reversed such that a significant inverse association between cortical thickness and amygdalar reactivity was observed at positive ( $\geq 0.5$ ) angry minus neutral face contrast values (Fig 2 and S3 Fig).

Group analyses revealed that the “high reactive” group, in comparison to all other participants, possessed reduced cortical thickness in bilateral orbital and ventromedial prefrontal cortices, bilateral anterior temporal cortices, left caudal middle temporal gyrus, as well as portions of the left inferior and middle frontal gyri ( $p < 0.05$ , RFT corrected) (Fig 3 and Table 3). Given the difference in group sizes, we subsequently conducted a Levene’s test in order to test for potential heteroscedasticity. Importantly, in all significant cortical regions, there was no evidence of heteroscedasticity. Applying the Mackey and Petrides (2014) human vmPFC atlas, significant cluster-wise associations were revealed in all cytoarchitectonic subdivisions with the exception of right area 11m and left area 24 [52].

In both variable- and person-centered analyses, the relationship between amygdalar reactivity and cerebral cortical thickness was not moderated by sex.

## Discussion

In many structural neuroimaging studies, reduced cortical thickness in orbitofrontal and ventromedial prefrontal areas has been interpreted as reflecting an impaired ability to downregulate amygdalar regions. To our knowledge, this is the largest multimodal neuroimaging study to provide support for this widespread speculation. Specifically, using both variable- and person-centered approaches, we revealed an association between high amygdalar reactivity to emotional stimuli and reduced ventromedial prefrontal cortical thickness in a large, population-based sample of adolescents. Further, results from the present study suggest that the relationship between cerebral cortical thickness and amygdalar reactivity is not influenced by sex.



**Fig 1. Amygdalar reactivity and cortical thickness.** Brain areas in which local cerebral cortical thickness is associated with the continuous measure of amygdalar activation (i.e., angry minus neutral face contrast) in a second-order (quadratic) model over the whole sample (n = 1753). Figure is shown at  $p \leq 0.05$ , RFT corrected. Blue areas are significant at the cluster level and red color corresponds to areas significant at the vertex level. Controlled for age, total brain volume, sex, site, handedness, Performance IQ, Verbal IQ, SES and pubertal development. Colored borders correspond to the maximum symmetric probability map derived from the cytoarchitectonic studies of Mackey & Petrides (2014).


<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216152.g001>

As hypothesized, a continuous measure of amygdalar reactivity to angry faces was associated with cortical thickness in the vmPFC, including portions of the right subgenual anterior

**Table 2. Peak areas from variable-centered analysis.** Peak areas in which local cerebral cortical thickness was associated with the continuous measure of amygdalar activation (i.e., angry minus neutral face contrast) in a second-order (quadratic) model over the whole sample.

Peak Vertex Location	t-statistic	MNI Coordinates
Left frontal orbital cortex	-4.43	-15.82, -1.38, -13.56
Right subcallosal cortex	-4.15	4.73, 16.55, -22.88
Right subcallosal and frontal orbital cortex	-4.11	7.51, 6.91, -14.99
Left subcallosal and frontal orbital cortex	-4.08	-14.81, 10.24, -17.28

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216152.t002>



**Fig 2. Association between amygdalar reactivity and cortical thickness at varying contrast levels.** Relationship between cortical thickness and angry minus neutral face contrast value (averaged across bilateral amygdalar ROI) at varying levels of angry minus neutral face contrast values (-1.5, -1.0, -0.5, 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5). In top row, colors represent t-statistic values associated with regression coefficient. Bottom row depicts RFT-corrected results ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). Blue areas are significant at the cluster level and red color corresponds to areas significant at the vertex level. Controlled for age, total brain volume, sex, site, handedness, Performance IQ, Verbal IQ, SES and pubertal development.


<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216152.g002>

cingulate—areas known to have strong anatomical connections with the amygdalae [53]. In particular, analyzing the entire population-based sample of adolescents, we found evidence of a significant quadratic association between amygdalar reactivity and bilateral ventromedial prefrontal cortical thickness. Post hoc probing of this curvilinear relationship revealed significant inverse associations between amygdalar reactivity and ventromedial prefrontal cortical thickness at moderate to high ( $\geq 0.5$ ) angry minus neutral face contrast values. These findings appear consistent with the notion of thinner ventromedial prefrontal cortices being tied to a diminished capacity to regulate amygdalar activation in response to negatively valenced emotional stimuli [16].

Using a person-centered approach, we found that the “high reactive” group, in comparison to all remaining participants, possessed reduced cortical thickness in bilateral orbital and ventromedial prefrontal cortices, bilateral anterior temporal cortices, left caudal middle temporal gyrus, and portions of the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. These results are consistent with non-human primate tracer studies indicating that caudal orbital and medial prefrontal cortices possess the densest anatomical connections with amygdalar regions. Present findings are also consistent with the only prior study to investigate the relationship between cortical thickness and amygdalar reactivity [16]. As noted, however, this prior study was conducted using a relatively small number of healthy adult participants.

Participants in the “high reactive” group exhibited reduced cerebral cortical thickness in dorsolateral prefrontal regions. To our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate an association between cortical thickness in dorsolateral prefrontal areas and amygdalar reactivity. This result is somewhat surprising given that dorsolateral prefrontal areas do not possess





**Fig 3. Results of “high reactive” group analysis.** Brain areas in which local cerebral cortical thickness was significantly reduced in the “high reactive” group ( $n = 90$ ) relative to all other participants ( $n = 1663$ ). Random field theory was used to correct for multiple comparisons over the entire cortical mantle. Figure is shown at  $p \leq 0.05$ , RFT corrected. Blue areas are significant at the cluster level and red color corresponds to areas significant at the vertex level. Controlled for age, total brain volume, sex, site, handedness, Performance IQ, Verbal IQ, SES and pubertal development. Colored borders correspond to the maximum symmetric probability map derived from the cytoarchitectonic studies of Mackey & Petrides (2014).

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216152.g003>

strong anatomical connections with the amygdalae [1, 2, 4]. Nonetheless, the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex has long been implicated in cognitive, or voluntary, aspects of emotion regulation. In functional neuroimaging studies, voluntary forms of emotion regulation (e.g., cognitive reappraisal) have been consistently tied to increased activation in dorsolateral prefrontal regions, and concomitant decreases in amygdalar activity [5–10]. As others have previously suggested, it is likely that dorsal prefrontal regions influence amygdalar activity through phylogenetically older areas of the cerebral cortex—such as the vmPFC—that possess anatomical connections with the amygdalae [17]. Dovetailing with functional imaging studies of cognitive emotion regulation, resting state functional connectivity studies of the amygdalae indicate that amygdalar activity, at rest, is negatively associated with activity in dorsal prefrontal and

**Table 3. Peak areas from person-centered analysis.** Peak areas in which local cerebral cortical thickness was significantly reduced in the “high reactive” group relative to all other participants.

Peak Vertex Location	t-statistic	MNI Coordinates (x,y,z)
Left subcallosal and frontal orbital cortex	-5.23	-11.49, 14.36, -15.67
Right subcallosal cortex	-4.87	3.87, 16.10, -7.56
Left precentral and inferior frontal gyrus	-4.19	-53.88, 6.97, 8.79
Left middle temporal gyrus and angular gyrus	-3.83	-63.43, -48.02, 6.16

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216152.t003>

inferior parietal cortices [54–56]. Results from the present study provide further support for functional antagonism between portions of the DLPFC and the amygdalae.

Whereas Foland-Ross (2010) examined the relationship between amygdalar activation and ventromedial prefrontal cortical thickness during cognitive evaluation of negative emotional faces (i.e., affect labeling task), the present study utilized a functional probe that involved only passive viewing of neutral and negative emotional faces. Thus, our results suggest that amygdalar reactivity is related to ventromedial prefrontal cortical thickness during passive viewing of emotional stimuli, and further support the vmPFC's putative role in automatic or involuntary aspects of human emotion regulation [57].

Several limitations of the present study should be noted. In rodent models of chronic stress, structural changes and increased neuronal excitability have been reported in the amygdalae [58–60]. Furthermore, there is evidence that such functional and structural changes in the amygdalae undergird the emergence of anxiety-like symptoms in rodent models of chronic stress [58–60]. That being said, we can only speculate as to the developmental origins of the observed structure-function relationship in the present study. As members of our group have previously discussed [35], it is possible that reduced thickness in prefrontal regulatory regions—reflecting compromised cytoarchitectonic integrity—results in a diminished capacity to downregulate amygdalar activity. It is also possible that increased amygdalar reactivity, over time, results in structural damage to prefrontal cortices through continued activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and resultant release of cortisol [61–64]. Both of these processes could potentially account for the structure-function association in the present study; future studies, however, are needed to directly test these potential explanations. We cannot rule out the possibility that structure-function relations observed in the present study reflect parallel, experience-driven developmental processes that are independent of underlying anatomical connectivity. With regard to our group analyses, it should be noted that the “high reactive” group possessed significantly lower Performance IQs relative to all other participants. We cannot rule out the possibility that this difference in Performance IQ may have contributed to the observed cortical thickness differences. To address this issue, we examined the relationship between Performance IQ and cortical thickness while controlling for age, total brain volume, sex, site, handedness, SES, Verbal IQ, and pubertal development. Critically, no significant associations were revealed between Performance IQ and cortical thickness. Further, no trend-level associations ( $p < 0.005$  uncorrected) were observed in any of the cortical regions that were related to amygdalar reactivity (in both whole sample second-order linear model results, and group results). Given the age of participants in the present study, it is unclear the extent to which our findings generalize to adult populations. Importantly, the cerebral cortex and limbic structures are still undergoing significant structural change during this developmental period [65–67], and evidence from prior imaging studies indicates that white matter pathways serving to connect the amygdalae and prefrontal cortices are continuing to mature during adolescence [68–70]. Given dynamic changes in brain structure and connectivity during adolescence, caution should be taken in extending the present findings to children and adults. Lastly, in our variable-centered analysis, it should be noted that the effect size of the observed quadratic association was relatively small ( $R^2 = 0.013$ ). Ventromedial prefrontal cortical thickness is likely just one of myriad brain factors associated with amygdalar reactivity. Factors such as white matter microstructure in pathways such as the uncinate fasciculus may be important moderating factors when assessing the association between cortical structure and amygdalar reactivity. Future multimodal studies are needed to more fully elucidate such relations.

It is noteworthy that results of the present study appear consistent with a prior report of structural covariance between amygdalar volume and cerebral cortical thickness in a large

sample of typically developing youths [35]. In particular, Albaugh et al. (2013) found that amygdalar volume was negatively associated with cortical thickness in orbitofrontal, ventromedial, and dorsolateral prefrontal cortices. A similar pattern of results has been reported in a large adult sample [71].

Although the aim of the present study was to characterize relations between amygdalar reactivity and cerebral cortical structure, future studies will likely benefit from investigating the extent to which forms of psychopathology moderate these structure-function relations. It is possible that such relations between cerebral cortical structure and amygdalar reactivity may not only have ties to concomitant psychopathology, but also have predictive utility for the emergence of future psychopathology. In addition, ongoing prospective longitudinal studies, such as the Adolescent Brain and Cognitive Development (ABCD) study, may help to shed light on how the observed relations between cerebral cortical structure and amygdalar reactivity develop across childhood and adolescence.

## Supporting information

**S1 Fig. Cytoarchitectonic-based atlas.** Surface-based representation of maximum symmetric probability map derived from the cytoarchitectonic studies of Mackey & Petrides (2014). Colors correspond to the following cytoarchitectonic areas: red = 11m; blue = 14r<sup>2</sup>; pink lavender = 14r; lime green = 14c; yellow = 25; orange = 14m; dark green = 32; magenta = 24. (TIF)

**S2 Fig. Amygdala ROIs.** Depiction of left and right amygdala ROIs (from the Harvard-Oxford Subcortical Atlas, thresholded at 50 percent probability and binarized) that were used to extract the mean BOLD signal from the angry face minus neutral face contrast. (TIF)

**S3 Fig. Scatter plot of quadratic association.** Scatter plot depicting quadratic association between residualized average thickness of right vmPFC cluster (adjusted for age, total brain volume, sex, site, handedness, Performance IQ, Verbal IQ, SES and pubertal development) and angry minus neutral face contrast value (mean value for left and right amygdalae). (TIF)

## Acknowledgments

The IMAGEN Consortium includes the following members: Tobias Banaschewski, Gareth J. Barker, Arun L.W. Bokde, Uli Bromberg, Christian Büchel, Erin Burke Quinlan, Sylvane Desrivières, Herta Flor, Antoine Grigis, Vincent Frouin, Hugh Garavan, Penny Gowland, Andreas Heinz, Bernd Ittermann, Jean-Luc Martinot, Marie-Laure Paillère Martinot, Eric Artiges, Frauke Nees, Dimitri Papadopoulos Orfanos, Herve Lemaitre, Tomás Paus, Luise Poustka, Sarah Hohmann, Sabina Millenet, Juliane H. Fröhner, Michael N. Smolka, Henrik Walter, Robert Whelan, and Gunter Schumann.

## Author Contributions

**Conceptualization:** Matthew D. Albaugh, Scott Mackey.

**Data curation:** Philip A. Spechler, Claude Lepage, Vladimir Fonov, Pierre Rioux, Alan C. Evans, Tobias Banaschewski, Arun L. W. Bokde, Uli Bromberg, Christian Büchel, Erin Burke Quinlan, Sylvane Desrivières, Herta Flor, Antoine Grigis, Penny Gowland, Andreas Heinz, Bernd Ittermann, Jean-Luc Martinot, Marie-Laure Paillère Martinot, Frauke Nees,

Dimitri Papadopoulos Orfanos, Tomás Paus, Luise Poustka, Sabina Millenet, Juliane H. Fröhner, Michael N. Smolka, Henrik Walter, Robert Whelan, Gunter Schumann.

**Formal analysis:** Matthew D. Albaugh.

**Investigation:** Tobias Banaschewski, Arun L. W. Bokde, Uli Bromberg, Christian Büchel, Erin Burke Quinlan, Sylvane Desrivieres, Herta Flor, Antoine Grigis, Penny Gowland, Andreas Heinz, Bernd Ittermann, Jean-Luc Martinot, Marie-Laure Paillère Martinot, Frauke Nees, Dimitri Papadopoulos Orfanos, Tomás Paus, Luise Poustka, Sabina Millenet, Juliane H. Fröhner, Michael N. Smolka, Henrik Walter, Robert Whelan, Gunter Schumann, Hugh Garavan.

**Methodology:** Hugh Garavan.

**Supervision:** Hugh Garavan.

**Writing – original draft:** Matthew D. Albaugh.

**Writing – review & editing:** James. J. Hudziak, Catherine Orr, Philip A. Spechler, Bader Chaarani, Scott Mackey, Tobias Banaschewski, Arun L. W. Bokde, Uli Bromberg, Christian Büchel, Erin Burke Quinlan, Sylvane Desrivieres, Herta Flor, Antoine Grigis, Penny Gowland, Andreas Heinz, Bernd Ittermann, Jean-Luc Martinot, Marie-Laure Paillère Martinot, Frauke Nees, Dimitri Papadopoulos Orfanos, Tomás Paus, Luise Poustka, Sabina Millenet, Juliane H. Fröhner, Michael N. Smolka, Henrik Walter, Robert Whelan, Gunter Schumann, Alexandra S. Potter, Hugh Garavan.

## References

1. Ghashghaei HT, Barbas H. Pathways for emotion: interactions of prefrontal and anterior temporal pathways in the amygdala of the rhesus monkey. *Neuroscience*. 2002; 115(4):1261–79. Epub 2002/11/28. S0306452202004463 [pii]. PMID: 12453496.
2. Ghashghaei HT, Hilgetag CC, Barbas H. Sequence of information processing for emotions based on the anatomic dialogue between prefrontal cortex and amygdala. *Neuroimage*. 2007; 34(3):905–23. Epub 2006/11/28. S1053-8119(06)00989-X [pii] <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.09.046> PMID: 17126037; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2045074.
3. Carmichael ST, Price JL. Limbic connections of the orbital and medial prefrontal cortex in macaque monkeys. *J Comp Neurol*. 1995; 363(4):615–41. Epub 1995/12/25. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903630408> PMID: 8847421.
4. Ray RD, Zald DH. Anatomical insights into the interaction of emotion and cognition in the prefrontal cortex. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2012; 36(1):479–501. Epub 2011/09/06. S0149-7634(11)00151-5 [pii] <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2011.08.005> PMID: 21889953; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3244208.
5. Ochsner KN, Gross JJ. The cognitive control of emotion. *Trends Cogn Sci*. 2005; 9(5):242–9. Epub 2005/05/04. S1364-6613(05)00090-2 [pii] <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.03.010> PMID: 15866151.
6. Banks SJ, Eddy KT, Angstadt M, Nathan PJ, Phan KL. Amygdala-frontal connectivity during emotion regulation. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci*. 2007; 2(4):303–12. Epub 2008/11/06. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsm029> PMID: 18985136; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2566753.
7. Drabant EM, McRae K, Manuck SB, Hariri AR, Gross JJ. Individual differences in typical reappraisal use predict amygdala and prefrontal responses. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2009; 65(5):367–73. Epub 2008/10/22. doi: S0006-3223(08)01098-6 [pii] <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.09.007> PMID: 18930182; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2855682.
8. McRae K, Hughes B, Chopra S, Gabrieli JD, Gross JJ, Ochsner KN. The neural bases of distraction and reappraisal. *J Cogn Neurosci*. 2010; 22(2):248–62. Epub 2009/04/30. <https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2009.21243> PMID: 19400679.
9. Ochsner KN, Ray RD, Cooper JC, Robertson ER, Chopra S, Gabrieli JD, et al. For better or for worse: neural systems supporting the cognitive down- and up-regulation of negative emotion. *Neuroimage*. 2004; 23(2):483–99. Epub 2004/10/19. S1053-8119(04)00340-4 [pii] <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.06.030> PMID: 15488398.

10. Kanske P, Heissler J, Schonfelder S, Bongers A, Wessa M. How to regulate emotion? Neural networks for reappraisal and distraction. *Cereb Cortex*. 2011; 21(6):1379–88. Epub 2010/11/03. bhq216 [pii] <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhq216> PMID: 21041200.
11. Mayberg HS, Liotti M, Brannan SK, McGinnis S, Mahurin RK, Jerabek PA, et al. Reciprocal limbic-cortical function and negative mood: converging PET findings in depression and normal sadness. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1999; 156(5):675–82. Epub 1999/05/18. <https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.156.5.675> PMID: 10327898.
12. Kohn N, Eickhoff SB, Scheller M, Laird AR, Fox PT, Habel U. Neural network of cognitive emotion regulation—an ALE meta-analysis and MACM analysis. *Neuroimage*. 2014; 87:345–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.11.001> PMID: 24220041; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4801480.
13. Ducharme S, Albaugh MD, Hudziak JJ, Botteron KN, Nguyen TV, Truong C, et al. Anxious/depressed symptoms are linked to right ventromedial prefrontal cortical thickness maturation in healthy children and young adults. *Cereb Cortex*. 2014; 24(11):2941–50. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bht151> PMID: 23749874; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4193463.
14. Milad MR, Quinn BT, Pitman RK, Orr SP, Fischl B, Rauch SL. Thickness of ventromedial prefrontal cortex in humans is correlated with extinction memory. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2005; 102(30):10706–11. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0502441102> PMID: 16024728; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1180773.
15. Boes AD, Bechara A, Tranel D, Anderson SW, Richman L, Nopoulos P. Right ventromedial prefrontal cortex: a neuroanatomical correlate of impulse control in boys. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci*. 2009; 4(1):1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsn035> PMID: 19015086; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2656876.
16. Foland-Ross LC, Altshuler LL, Bookheimer SY, Lieberman MD, Townsend J, Penfold C, et al. Amygdala reactivity in healthy adults is correlated with prefrontal cortical thickness. *J Neurosci*. 2010; 30(49):16673–8. Epub 2010/12/15. 30/49/16673 [pii] <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4578-09.2010> PMID: 21148006; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3046875.
17. Delgado MR, Nearing KI, Ledoux JE, Phelps EA. Neural circuitry underlying the regulation of conditioned fear and its relation to extinction. *Neuron*. 2008; 59(5):829–38. Epub 2008/09/13. S0896-6273(08)00579-5 [pii] <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.06.029> PMID: 18786365; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3061554.
18. Milad MR, Quirk GJ. Neurons in medial prefrontal cortex signal memory for fear extinction. *Nature*. 2002; 420(6911):70–4. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01138> PMID: 12422216.
19. Phelps EA, Delgado MR, Nearing KI, LeDoux JE. Extinction learning in humans: role of the amygdala and vmPFC. *Neuron*. 2004; 43(6):897–905. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2004.08.042> PMID: 15363399.
20. Rosenkranz JA, Grace AA. Cellular mechanisms of infralimbic and prelimbic prefrontal cortical inhibition and dopaminergic modulation of basolateral amygdala neurons in vivo. *J Neurosci*. 2002; 22(1):324–37. PMID: 11756516.
21. Urry HL, van Reekum CM, Johnstone T, Kalin NH, Thurow ME, Schaefer HS, et al. Amygdala and ventromedial prefrontal cortex are inversely coupled during regulation of negative affect and predict the diurnal pattern of cortisol secretion among older adults. *J Neurosci*. 2006; 26(16):4415–25. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3215-05.2006> PMID: 16624961.
22. Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2005; 62(6):593–602. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.593> PMID: 15939837.
23. Nguyen TV, Gower P, Albaugh MD, Botteron KN, Hudziak JJ, Fonov VS, et al. The developmental relationship between DHEA and visual attention is mediated by structural plasticity of cortico-amygdalar networks. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2016; 70:122–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2016.05.003> PMID: 27236606; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4907862.
24. Nguyen TV, Jones SL, Gower P, Lew J, Albaugh MD, Botteron KN, et al. Age-specific Associations between Estradiol, Cortico-Amygdalar Structural Covariance, Verbal and Spatial Skills. *J Neuroendocrinol*. 2019:e12698. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jne.12698> PMID: 30776161.
25. Nguyen TV, Lew J, Albaugh MD, Botteron KN, Hudziak JJ, Fonov VS, et al. Sex-specific associations of testosterone with prefrontal-hippocampal development and executive function. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2017; 76:206–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2016.12.005> PMID: 27984812; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5272813.
26. Nguyen TV, McCracken JT, Albaugh MD, Botteron KN, Hudziak JJ, Ducharme S. A testosterone-related structural brain phenotype predicts aggressive behavior from childhood to adulthood. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2016; 63:109–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.09.021> PMID: 26431805; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4695305.

27. Nguyen TV, Wu M, Lew J, Albaugh MD, Botteron KN, Hudziak JJ, et al. Dehydroepiandrosterone impacts working memory by shaping cortico-hippocampal structural covariance during development. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2017; 86:110–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2017.09.013> PMID: 28946055; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4461484.
28. Alarcon G, Cservenka A, Rudolph MD, Fair DA, Nagel BJ. Developmental sex differences in resting state functional connectivity of amygdala sub-regions. *Neuroimage*. 2015; 115:235–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.04.013> PMID: 25887261; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4461484.
29. Schumann G, Loth E, Banaschewski T, Barbot A, Barker G, Buchel C, et al. The IMAGEN study: reinforcement-related behaviour in normal brain function and psychopathology. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2010; 15(12):1128–39. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2010.4> PMID: 21102431.
30. Petersen AC, Crockett L, Richards M, Boxer A. A self-report measure of pubertal status: Reliability, validity, and initial norms. *J Youth Adolesc*. 1988; 17(2):117–33. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01537962> PMID: 24277579.
31. Whelan R, Watts R, Orr CA, Althoff RR, Artiges E, Banaschewski T, et al. Neuropsychosocial profiles of current and future adolescent alcohol misusers. *Nature*. 2014; 512(7513):185–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13402> PMID: 25043041; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4486207.
32. Grosbras MH, Paus T. Brain networks involved in viewing angry hands or faces. *Cereb Cortex*. 2006; 16(8):1087–96. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhj050> PMID: 16221928.
33. Beauchamp MS, Lee KE, Haxby JV, Martin A. fMRI responses to video and point-light displays of moving humans and manipulable objects. *J Cogn Neurosci*. 2003; 15(7):991–1001. <https://doi.org/10.1162/089892903770007380> PMID: 14614810.
34. Sherif T, Rioux P, Rousseau ME, Kassis N, Beck N, Adalat R, et al. CBRAIN: a web-based, distributed computing platform for collaborative neuroimaging research. *Front Neuroinform*. 2014; 8:54. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fninf.2014.00054> PMID: 24904400; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4033081.
35. Albaugh MD, Ducharme S, Collins DL, Botteron KN, Althoff RR, Evans AC, et al. Evidence for a cerebral cortical thickness network anti-correlated with amygdalar volume in healthy youths: implications for the neural substrates of emotion regulation. *Neuroimage*. 2013; 71:42–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.12.071> PMID: 23313419; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3622221.
36. Ad-Dab'bagh Y, Lyttelton O, Muehlboeck J, Lepage C, Einarson D, Mok K, editors. The CIVET image-processing environment: A fully automated comprehensive pipeline for anatomical neuroimaging research. Proceedings of the 12th annual meeting of the organization for human brain mapping; 2006; Florence, Italy.
37. Albaugh MD, Hudziak JJ, Ing A, Chaarani B, Barker E, Jia T, et al. White matter microstructure is associated with hyperactive/inattentive symptomatology and polygenic risk for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in a population-based sample of adolescents. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-019-0383-y> PMID: 30952157.
38. Collins DL, Neelin P, Peters TM, Evans AC. Automatic 3D intersubject registration of MR volumetric data in standardized Talairach space. *J Comput Assist Tomogr*. 1994; 18(2):192–205. Epub 1994/03/01. PMID: 8126267.
39. Mazziotta JC, Toga AW, Evans A, Fox P, Lancaster J. A probabilistic atlas of the human brain: theory and rationale for its development. The International Consortium for Brain Mapping (ICBM). *Neuroimage*. 1995; 2(2):89–101. Epub 1995/06/01. S1053811985710129 [pii]. PMID: 9343592.
40. Talairach J, Tournoux P. Co-planar stereotaxic atlas of the human brain: 3-dimensional proportional system: an approach to cerebral imaging. Stuttgart; New York: Georg Thieme; 1988. 122 p. p.
41. Sled JG, Zijdenbos AP, Evans AC. A nonparametric method for automatic correction of intensity nonuniformity in MRI data. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 1998; 17(1):87–97. Epub 1998/06/09. <https://doi.org/10.1109/42.668698> PMID: 9617910.
42. Zijdenbos AP, Forghani R, Evans AC. Automatic "pipeline" analysis of 3-D MRI data for clinical trials: application to multiple sclerosis. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2002; 21(10):1280–91. Epub 2003/02/15. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2002.806283> PMID: 12585710.
43. Kim J, Singh V, MacDonald D, Lee J, Kim S, Evans A. Automated 3D extraction and evaluation of the outer cortical surface using a Laplacian map and partial volume effect classification. *Neuroimage*. 2005; 27:210–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.03.036> PMID: 15896981
44. Lyttelton O, Boucher M, Robbins S, Evans A. An unbiased iterative group registration template for cortical surface analysis. *Neuroimage*. 2007; 34(4):1535–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.10.041> PMID: 17188895
45. MacDonald D, Kabani N, Avis D, Evans A. Automated 3D extraction of inner and outer surfaces of cerebral cortex from MRI. *Neuroimage*. 2000; 13:340–56.

46. Kabani N, Le Goualher G, MacDonald D, Evans AC. Measurement of cortical thickness using an automated 3-D algorithm: a validation study. *Neuroimage*. 2001; 13(2):375–80. Epub 2001/02/13. <https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2000.0652> S1053-8119(00)90652-9 [pii]. PMID: 11162277.
47. Grabner G, Janke AL, Budge MM, Smith D, Pruessner J, Collins DL. Symmetric atlas and model based segmentation: an application to the hippocampus in older adults. *Med Image Comput Comput Assist Interv*. 2006; 9(Pt 2):58–66. Epub 2007/03/16. PMID: 17354756.
48. Ad-Dab'bagh Y, Singh V, Robbins S, Lerch J, Lyttelton O, Fombonne E, et al. Native space cortical thickness measurement and the absence of correlation to cerebral volume. In: Zilles K, editor. 11th Annual Meeting of the Organization for Human Brain Mapping; Toronto2005.
49. Lerch JP, Evans AC. Cortical thickness analysis examined through power analysis and a population simulation. *Neuroimage*. 2005; 24(1):163–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.07.045> ISI:000225811800017. PMID: 15588607
50. Chung MK, Worsley KJ, Taylor J, Ramsay J, Robbins S, Evans AC. Diffusion smoothing on the cortical surface. *Neuroimage*. 2001; 13(6):S95–S. ISI:000169106300096.
51. Worsley KJ, Taylor JE, Tomaiuolo F, Lerch J. Unified univariate and multivariate random field theory. *Neuroimage*. 2004; 23 Suppl 1:S189–95. Epub 2004/10/27. S1053-8119(04)00398-2 [pii] <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.07.026> PMID: 15501088.
52. Mackey S, Petrides M. Architecture and morphology of the human ventromedial prefrontal cortex. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2014; 40(5):2777–96. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.12654> PMID: 25123211.
53. Amaral DG, Price JL. Amygdalo-cortical projections in the monkey (*Macaca fascicularis*). *J Comp Neurol*. 1984; 230(4):465–96. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902300402> PMID: 6520247.
54. Kim MJ, Gee DG, Loucks RA, Davis FC, Whalen PJ. Anxiety dissociates dorsal and ventral medial prefrontal cortex functional connectivity with the amygdala at rest. *Cereb Cortex*. 2011; 21(7):1667–73. Epub 2010/12/04. bhq237 [pii] <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhq237> PMID: 21127016; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3116741.
55. Roy AK, Shehzad Z, Margulies DS, Kelly AM, Uddin LQ, Gotimer K, et al. Functional connectivity of the human amygdala using resting state fMRI. *Neuroimage*. 2009; 45(2):614–26. Epub 2008/12/27. S1053-8119(08)01221-4 [pii] <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.11.030> PMID: 19110061; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2735022.
56. Luking KR, Repovs G, Belden AC, Gaffrey MS, Botteron KN, Luby JL, et al. Functional Connectivity of the Amygdala in Early-Childhood-Onset Depression. *J Am Acad Child Psy*. 2011; 50(10):1027–41. ISI:000295562700010.
57. Phillips ML, Ladouceur CD, Drevets WC. A neural model of voluntary and automatic emotion regulation: implications for understanding the pathophysiology and neurodevelopment of bipolar disorder. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2008; 13(9):829, 33–57. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2008.65> PMID: 18574483; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2745893.
58. Mitra R, Jadhav S, McEwen BS, Vyas A, Chattarji S. Stress duration modulates the spatiotemporal patterns of spine formation in the basolateral amygdala. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2005; 102(26):9371–6. Epub 2005/06/22. 0504011102 [pii] <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0504011102> PMID: 15967994; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1166638.
59. Vyas A, Bernal S, Chattarji S. Effects of chronic stress on dendritic arborization in the central and extended amygdala. *Brain Res*. 2003; 965(1–2):290–4. Epub 2003/02/20. S0006899302041628 [pii]. PMID: 12591150.
60. Rosenkranz JA, Venheim ER, Padival M. Chronic stress causes amygdala hyperexcitability in rodents. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2010; 67(12):1128–36. Epub 2010/04/10. S0006-3223(10)00128-9 [pii] <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.02.008> PMID: 20378100; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2882519.
61. Dedovic K, Duchesne A, Andrews J, Engert V, Pruessner JC. The brain and the stress axis: the neural correlates of cortisol regulation in response to stress. *Neuroimage*. 2009; 47(3):864–71. Epub 2009/06/09. S1053-8119(09)00583-7 [pii] <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.05.074> PMID: 19500680.
62. Shansky RM, Hamo C, Hof PR, McEwen BS, Morrison JH. Stress-induced dendritic remodeling in the prefrontal cortex is circuit specific. *Cereb Cortex*. 2009; 19(10):2479–84. Epub 2009/02/06. bhq003 [pii] <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhp003> PMID: 19193712; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2742599.
63. Wellman CL. Dendritic reorganization in pyramidal neurons in medial prefrontal cortex after chronic corticosterone administration. *J Neurobiol*. 2001; 49(3):245–53. Epub 2001/12/18. <https://doi.org/10.1002/neu.1079> [pii]. PMID: 11745662.
64. Kremen WS, O'Brien RC, Panizzon MS, Prom-Wormley E, Eaves LJ, Eisen SA, et al. Salivary cortisol and prefrontal cortical thickness in middle-aged men: A twin study. *Neuroimage*. 2010; 53(3):1093–102. Epub 2010/02/17. S1053-8119(10)00194-1 [pii] <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.02.026> PMID: 20156572.

65. Albaugh MD, Nguyen TV, Ducharme S, Collins DL, Botteron KN, D'Alberty N, et al. Age-related volumetric change of limbic structures and subclinical anxious/depressed symptomatology in typically developing children and adolescents. *Biol Psychol*. 2017; 124:133–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2017.02.002> PMID: 28185945.
66. Ducharme S, Albaugh MD, Nguyen TV, Hudziak JJ, Mateos-Perez JM, Labbe A, et al. Trajectories of cortical surface area and cortical volume maturation in normal brain development. *Data Brief*. 2015; 5:929–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2015.10.044> PMID: 26702424; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4669480.
67. Ducharme S, Albaugh MD, Nguyen TV, Hudziak JJ, Mateos-Perez JM, Labbe A, et al. Trajectories of cortical thickness maturation in normal brain development—The importance of quality control procedures. *Neuroimage*. 2016; 125:267–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.10.010> PMID: 26463175; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4691414.
68. Albaugh MD, Ducharme S, Karama S, Watts R, Lewis JD, Orr C, et al. Anxious/depressed symptoms are related to microstructural maturation of white matter in typically developing youths. *Dev Psychopathol*. 2017; 29(3):751–8. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579416000444> PMID: 27297294.
69. Ladouceur CD, Peper JS, Crone EA, Dahl RE. White matter development in adolescence: the influence of puberty and implications for affective disorders. *Dev Cogn Neurosci*. 2012; 2(1):36–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2011.06.002> PMID: 22247751; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3256931.
70. Paus T. Growth of white matter in the adolescent brain: myelin or axon? *Brain Cogn*. 2010; 72(1):26–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2009.06.002> PMID: 19595493.
71. Holmes AJ, Lee PH, Hollinshead MO, Bakst L, Roffman JL, Smoller JW, et al. Individual differences in amygdala-medial prefrontal anatomy link negative affect, impaired social functioning, and polygenic depression risk. *J Neurosci*. 2012; 32(50):18087–100. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2531-12.2012> PMID: 23238724; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3674506.