ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Increased *MCL-1* expression predicts poor prognosis and disease recurrence in acute myeloid leukemia

Xi-xi Li[1,2,*]
Jing-dong Zhou[1,3,4,*]
Xiang-mei Wen[3,5,*]
Ting-juan Zhang[1,3,4]
De-hong Wu[6]
Zhao-qun Deng[3,5]
Zhi-hui Zhang[1,3,4]
Xin-yue Lian[1,3,4]
Pin-fang He[1,3,4]
Xin-yu Yao[7]
Jiang Lin[3,5]
Jun Qian[1,3,4]

[1]Department of Hematology, Affiliated People's Hospital of Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China; [2]Department of Hematology, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China; [3]Zhenjiang Clinical Research Center of Hematology, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China; [4]The Key Lab of Precision Diagnosis and Treatment in Hematologic Malignancies of Zhenjiang City, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China; [5]Laboratory Center, Affiliated People's Hospital of Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China; [6]Department of Hematology, The Third People's Hospital of KunShan City, 215300 Kunshan, People's Republic of China; [7]School of Medicine, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Jun Qian
Department of Hematology, Affiliated People's Hospital of Jiangsu University, 8 Dianli Rd., 212002 Zhenjiang, People's Republic of China
Fax +86 511 8523 4387
Email qianjun0007@hotmail.com

Jiang Lin
Laboratory Center, Affiliated People's Hospital of Jiangsu University, 8 Dianli Rd., 212002 Zhenjiang, People's Republic of China
Fax +86 511 8523 4387
Email linjiangmail@sina.com

Background: Altered expression of the *BCL-2* family member *MCL-1* has been linked to the progression and outcome of various malignancies. Recently, *MCL-1* inhibitor S63845 was reported to kill *MCL-1*-dependent cancer cells and has potential value in clinical application.

Purpose: Herein, we reported *MCL-1* expression pattern in Chinese *de novo* acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and its impact on prognosis and may provide theoretical basis for AML patients using *MCL-1* inhibitor in clinics. Real-time quantitative PCR was carried out to detect the transcript of *MCL-1* in AML patients.

Results: *MCL-1* expression was significantly up-regulated in AML compared with controls ($P=0.042$). We divided the patients into two groups (higher and lower expression of *MCL-1*) based on the median level. Among both non-acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) and cytogenetically normal AML (CN-AML), patients with higher expression of *MCL-1* correlated with lower complete remission (CR) rate ($P=0.031$ and 0.004, respectively) and shorter overall survival (OS) time ($P=0.008$ and 0.004, respectively) compared with those with lower expression of *MCL-1*. Meanwhile, Cox regression analyses revealed that overexpression of *MCL-1* acted as an independent risk factor for OS in non-APL patients and CN-AML patients ($P=0.011$ and 0.045, respectively). In follow-up patients, *MCL-1* expression level decreased after CR compared with newly diagnosis time ($P=0.020$) and increased after relapse ($P=0.004$).

Conclusion: Our findings suggest that higher expression of *MCL-1* predicts poor prognosis and can be used for disease monitoring.

Keywords: *MCL-1*, expression, prognosis, recurrence, acute myeliod leukemia

Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML), a molecularly and clinically heterogeneous disease, is characterized by differentiation arrest and uncontrolled proliferation of myeloid progenitor cells, which ultimately interferes with the production of normal blood cells.[1] Although recent treatment advances in hematopoietic stem cell transplantation and intensive chemotherapy, the clinical outcome for AML remained unsatisfied.[2] Since treatment with all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) has dramatically improved the outcome of acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) by binding to retinoic acid receptor α, the development of molecular risk-adapted treatment strategies and targeted therapies may improve the clinical outcome of AML.[3] Therefore, the identifying biological markers correlated with prognosis, and recurrence is required for better management of AML patients and may provide potential

targets for AML therapy. B-cell leukemia/lymphoma-2 (*BCL-2*) protein family consists of a pro-survival group and two pro-apoptotic subgroups. The pro-survival group comprise *BCL-2*, myeloid cell leukemia-1 (*MCL-1*), *BCL-2* and *BCL-2*-like protein 1 isoform 1 (*BCL-XL*), which play important roles in regulating cell apoptosis, proliferation, differentiation and tumorigenesis.[4–8] Among them, *MCL-1*, isolated from a human myeloblastic leukemia cell line, can affect the development of tumor through the expression of its mRNA and protein in many cancers.[9–11] Allen et al indicated that overexpression of *MCL-1* was related to poor overall survival (OS) in non-small cell lung cancer when *MYC* was up-regulated simultaneously.[6] In breast cancer, *MCL-1* high-expressed was associated with later tumor stage and worse survival.[12] Glaser et al also found that knockdown of *MCL-1*, but not loss or pharmacological blockade of *Bcl-xL*, *Bcl-2* or *Bcl-w*, caused the death of transformed AML and could cure disease in AML-afflicted mice.[13] Recently, *MCL-1* protein inhibitor S63845 was reported to kill *MCL-1*-dependent cancer cells and has potential value in clinical application.[14] Herein, we aimed to investigate the *MCL-1* expression pattern as well as its clinical implication in Chinese *de novo* patients with AML and may provide theoretical basis for AML patients using *MCL-1* protein inhibitor in clinics.

Materials and methods
Patients
This study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the Affiliated People's Hospital of Jiangsu University. Bone marrow (BM) aspirate specimens of 151 patients newly diagnosed AML, 26 healthy donors, 52 AML patients achieving complete remission and 23 relapsed AML patients were collected after written informed consent was signed. The diagnosis and classification of the patients were based on the 2008 WHO criteria.[15] All patients were treated at the Affiliated People's Hospital of Jiangsu University from October 2005 to December 2016. Treatment protocol was described as reported previously.[16] The characteristics of all patients are summarized in Table 1.

BMMNCs separation, RNA isolation and reverse transcription
BM mononuclear cells (BMMNCs) were separated by Lymphocyte Separation Medium (Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). Total RNA was isolated using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Reverse transcription was performed as reported previously.[17,18]

Real-time quantitative PCR
Real-time quantitative PCR (RQ-PCR) was used to detect *MCL-1* expression level on a 7,300 Thermo cycler (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA). AceQ qPCR SYBR Green Master Mix Kit (Vazyme Biotech Co., Piscataway, NJ, USA) and ROX Reference Dye 1 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) were applied to RQ-PCR reactions. The primers (forward 5′-GATGATCCATGTTTTCAGCGAC-3′ and reverse 5′-CTGGGATGGGTTTGTGGAG-3′) were used for *MCL-1* transcript detection. The housekeeping gene *ABL* detected by 2× SYBR Green PCR Mix (Multisciences, Hangzhou, China) was used to calculate the abundance of *MCL-1* transcript. The primers of *ABL* expression were 5′-TCCTCCAGCTGTTATCTGGAAGA-3′ (forward) and 5′-TCCAACGAGCGGCTTCAC-3′ (reverse). The RQ-PCR was carried out at 95°C for 5 mins, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 10 s, 60°C (*MCL-1*) or 60°C (*ABL*) for 30 s, 72°C for 32 s and 80°C for 32 s to collect fluorescence, finally followed by 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 60 s, 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 15 s. Relative *MCL-1* expression levels were calculated by $2^{-\triangle\triangle CT}$ method.

Gene mutation detection
NPM1 and *C-KIT* mutations were detected by high-resolution melting analysis using the LightScanner platform (Idaho Technology Inc., Salt Lake City, Utah, USA).[20] *FLT3-ITD* and *CEBPA* mutations were detected by direct DNA sequencing (BGI Tech Solutions Co., Shanghai, China).[19,20] Both positive (leukemic cell lines samples, cultured in RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% fetal calf serum [ExCell Bio, Shanghai, China]) and negative controls (ddH2O) were included in each assay.

Gene expression profiling data
Gene expression profiling (GEP) data (accession number GSE12417, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) was used to validate the prognostic value of *MCL-1* expression in two independent cohorts of 162 and 78 cytogenetically normal AML (CN-AML) patients by the online web tool Genomicscape (http://genomicscape.com/microarray/survival.php).[21]

Table 1 Comparison of clinical manifestations and laboratory features between AML patients with low and high *MCL1* expression

Patient's parameters	High (*n*=75)	Low (*n*=76)	*P*-value
Sex, male/female	44/31	47/29	0.741
Median age, years (range)	60 (10–93)	52 (15–85)	0.029
Median WBC, ×10^9/L (range)	19.5 (0.3–197.7)	16.6 (0.9–528)	0.248
Median hemoglobin, g/L (range)	77 (34–144)	77 (34–123)	0.849
Median platelets, ×10^9/L (range)	40 (8–399)	34 (3–415)	0.355
BM blasts, % (range)*	50 (1–94.5)	43 (5.5–97.5)	0.348
Karyotype classification			0.465
Favorable	18 (24%)	25 (33%)	
Intermediate	45 (60%)	41 (54%)	
Poor	10 (13%)	8 (10%)	
No data	2 (3%)	2 (3%)	
Karyotype			0.042
normal	31 (41%)	34 (45%)	
t(8;21)	8 (11%)	6 (8%)	
t(16;16)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	
t(15;17)	8 (11%)	19 (25%)	
+8	2 (3%)	3 (4%)	
−5/5q-	3 (4%)	0 (0%)	
−7/7q-	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	
t(9;22)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	
others	12 (16%)	3 (4%)	
complex	7 (9%)	7 (9%)	
No data	2 (3%)	2 (3%)	
Gene mutation			
CEBPA (±)	4/60	8/53	0.234
NPM1 (±)	10/54	2/59	0.030
FLT3-ITD (±)	8/56	7/54	1.000
c-KIT (±)	2/62	4/57	0.432
CR, all AML (±)**	49/24	30/38	0.007
CR, non-APL AML (±)	48/17	27/24	0.031
CR, CN-AML (±)	24/7	12/18	0.004

Notes: *AML patients less than 20% BM blasts often with typical cytogenetics such as t(15;17) (q22;q12). **For CR analysis, a total of 141 patients with available follow-up data were included.
Abbreviations: AML, acute myeloid leukemia; WBC, white blood cells; BM, bone marrow; CR, complete remission; CN-AML, cytogenetically normal AML.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 20.0 software package. Mann–Whitney's *U* test was carried to compare the difference of continuous variables between two groups. Pearson Chi-square analysis or Fisher exact test was employed to compare the difference of categorical variables. Kaplan–Meier analysis and Cox regression analyses were performed to analyze the impact of *MCL-1* expression on OS and leukemia-free survival (LFS) in AML. Receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) and area under the ROC curve (AUC) were conducted to assess the value of *MCL-1* expression in discriminating AML patients from normal controls. For all analyses, a two-tailed *P*-value of 0.05 or less was determined as statistically significant.

Results

MCL-1 expression in controls and AML patients

As is shown in Figure 1, *MCL-1* transcript level in 151 AML patients (range 0.0000–311.0063, median 6.6821) was significantly higher as compared with 26 controls (range 0.0002–22.4120, median 3.7865), which indicated that *MCL-1* expression was up-regulated in AML (*P*=0.042). In addition, increased *MCL-1* expression was also presented in both 123 non-APL (range 0.0000–311.0063, median 10.6716) and 65 CN-AML

(range 0.0023–108.2933, median 5.1723) patients (*P*=0.005 and 0.044, respectively, Figure 1).

Discriminative capacity of MCL-1 expression

ROC was used to evaluate the discriminative capacity of *MCL-1* expression. It revealed that *MCL-1* expression could act as a potential biomarker for distinguishing whole-cohort AML (AUC=0.625, 95% CI: 0.526–0.723, *P*=0.043, Figure 2A), non-APL AML (AUC=0.676, 95% CI: 0.577–0.774, *P*=0.005, Figure 2B) and CN-AML (AUC=0.636, 95% CI: 0.515–0.756, *P*=0.044, Figure 2C) from normal controls.

Clinical and laboratory characteristics of AML patients

In order to investigate the clinical implication of *MCL-1* expression in AML, we further divided the patients into two groups ($MCL-1^{high}$ and $MCL-1^{low}$) based on the median level of 6.6821. The comparison of clinical characteristics of two groups is presented in Table 1. $MCL-1^{high}$ patients showed older age as compared with $MCL-1^{low}$ patients (*P*=0.029). Moreover, there was a significant difference in the distribution of karyotypes between two groups (*P*=0.042). However, no significant differences were found between the two groups in the sex, white blood cell (WBC), hemoglobin, platelets, percentage of BM blasts and karyotype classification (*P*>0.05). $MCL-1^{high}$ patients had a significantly higher frequency of *NPM1* mutation than $MCL-1^{low}$ patients (Table 1). No significant differences were observed between $MCL-1^{high}$ and $MCL-1^{low}$ groups among other gene mutations.


Figure 1 *MCL-1* expression in AML patients. *MCL-1* expression in 151 newly diagnosis (range 0.0000–311.0063, median 6.6821), 123 non-APL (range 0.0000–311.0063, median 10.6716) and 65 CN-AML (range 0.0023–108.2933, median 5.1723) patients were significantly upregulated in 26 controls (range 0.0002–22.4120, median 3.7865).
**Abbreviations:** AML, acute myeloid leukemia; CN-AML, cytogenetically normal AML.

Figure 2 ROC curve analysis using *MCL-1* expression for discriminating AML patients from controls. (A) all AML; (B) non-APL; (C) CN-AML.
Abbreviations: AML, acute myeloid leukemia; APL, acute promyelocytic leukemia; ROC, receiver operating characteristic curve; CN-AML, cytogenetically normal AML.

Effect of MCL-1 expression on chemotherapy response in AML

The follow-up data is available in 141 patients after induction chemotherapy. *MCL-1*high patients presented significantly lower CR rate than *MCL-1*low patients (33% vs 57%, *P*=0.007, Table 1). In addition, we further showed *MCL-1* transcript level between the patients with and without CR (*P*=0.002, Figure 3). Apart from APL patients, *MCL-1*high cases also had markedly lower CR rate than

*MCL-1*low cases (26% vs 47%, *P*=0.031, Table 1). There was also a significant difference in CR rate between the two groups in CN-AML (23% vs 60%, *P*=0.004, Table 1).

Correlation between MCL-1 expression and clinical outcome

To investigate the prognostic significance of *MCL-1* expression in AML, 141 AML patients with follow-up data (median 8 months, range 1–115 months) were included in survival analysis. Due to independent disease entity, APL was excluded from the analysis. Kaplan–Meier analyses revealed that there was a significant difference in OS time between *MCL-1*high and *MCL-1*low groups in non-APL patients (median 4 vs 9 months, respectively, *P*=0.008, Figure 4A). *MCL-1*high patients also had a shorter OS time than *MCL-1*low patients in CN-AML patients (median 3 vs 16.5 months, respectively, *P*=0.004, Figure 4B). In addition, multivariate analysis, including variables (age (>60/≤60y), WBC (≥30/<30*10^9/L), *MCL-1* expression (high/low) and gene mutations) with *P*<0.200 in univariate analysis, demonstrated that increased expression of *MCL-1* was an independent adverse prognostic factor for OS among both non-APL and CN-AML patients (Tables 2 and 3). However, there was no significant difference in LFS between two groups both in non-APL (*P*=0.157) and CN-AML patients (*P*=0.228).

To further validate the prognostic impact of *MCL-1* expression in CN-AML patients, we focused on the GEP


Figure 3 The comparison of *MCL-1* expression among AML patients with and without CR. *MCL-1* expression among AML patients (*n*=62, range 0.0000–105.5293, median 3.9643) who could achieve CR was significantly lower than those who could not achieve CR (*n*=79, range 0.0000–311.0063, median 15.5237) after induction therapy.
Abbreviations: AML, acute myeloid leukemia; CR, complete remission.

Figure 4 The impact of *MCL-1* expression on prognosis of AML patietns. Overall survival between *MCL-1*high and *MCL-1*low group. (A) non-APL patients; (B) CN-AML patients.
Abbreviations: AML, acute myeloid leukemia; APL, acute promyelocytic leukemia; CN-AML, cytogenetically normal AML.

Table 2 Univariate and multivariate analyses of prognostic factors for overall survival in non-APL patients

Prognostic factors	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	*P*-value	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	*P*-value
Age	2.433 (1.599–3.702)	<0.001	2.088 (1.359–3.207)	0.001
WBC	2.118 (1.405–3.193)	<0.001	1.000 (0.997–1.002)	0.804
Karyotype	1.744 (1.404–2.165)	<0.001	1.751 (1.266–2.421)	0.001
MCL-1 expression	1.710 (1.125–2.600)	0.012	1.777 (1.164–2.714)	0.008
FLT3-ITD mutation	1.403 (0.698–2.822)	0.342	-	-
NPM1 mutation	1.484 (0.738–2.982)	0.268	-	-
CEBPA mutation	0.818 (0.375–1.783)	0.613	-	-
c-KIT mutation	0.613 (0.150–2.503)	0.496	-	-

Notes: Multivariate analyses, including variables [age (>60/≤60 y), WBC (≥30/<30*10^9/L), *MCL-1* expression (high/low), and gene mutations] with P<0.200 in univariate analysis.
Abbreviations: APL, acute promyelocytic leukemia; WBC, white blood cells.

Table 3 Univariate and multivariate analyses of prognostic factors for overall survival in CN-AML patients

Prognostic factors	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	*P*-value	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	*P*-value
age	2.437 (1.336–4.447)	0.004	2.126 (1.155–3.914)	0.015
WBC	2.348 (1.312–4.202)	0.004	1.755 (0.958–3.215)	0.069
MCL1 expression	2.235 (1.238–4.035)	0.008	1.876 (1.013–3.473)	0.045
FLT3-ITD mutation	0.941 (0.361–2.452)	0.901	-	-
NPM1 mutation	1.311 (0.545–3.149)	0.545	-	-
CEBPA mutation	1.055 (0.409–2.718)	0.912	-	-
c-KIT mutation	0.631 (0.086–4.643)	0.651	-	-

Notes: Multivariate analyses, including variables [age (>60/≤60 y), WBC (≥30/<30*10^9/L), *MCL-1* expression (high/low), and gene mutations] with P<0.200 in univariate analysis.
Abbreviations: AML, acute myeloid leukemia; CN-AML: cytogenetically normal AML; WBC: white blood cells.


Figure 5 Prognostic value of *MCL-1* expression validated by public database. Gene expression profiling (GEP) data (accession number GSE12417, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) was used to validate the prognostic value of *MCL-1* expression in two independent cohorts of 162 and 78 cytogenetically normal AML (CN-AML) patients by the online web tool Genomicscape (http://genomicscape.com/microarray/survival.php).

data (accession number GSE12417) using the online web tool Genomicscape.[21] *MCL-1*^{high} cases showed shorter OS time than *MCL-1*^{low} cases in two independent cohorts of CN-AML patients (*P*=0.022 and *P*=0.007, respectively, Figure 5).

Surveillance of MCL-1 expression in follow-up AML patients

To investigate whether the expression of *MCL-1* could monitor disease recurrence in AML, we assessed *MCL-1*

Figure 6 *MCL-1* expression in different clinical stages of AML. *MCL-1* expression in 151 newly diagnosis, 52 AML patients achieving CR (range 0.000–76.368, median 3.194), and 23 relapsed (range 0.216–151.220, median 12.076) AML patients.
Abbreviations: AML, acute myeloid leukemia; CR, complete remission.

expression in 52 AML patients in CR and 23 cases in relapse. The median *MCL-1* levels in patients at CR and relapse were 3.194 (range 0.000 to 76.368) and 12.076 (range 0.216–151.220), respectively. Obviously, *MCL-1* expression was significantly decreased in CR time and was significantly increased in relapsed time compare with initial diagnosis time ($P=0.020$ and $P=0.004$, respectively, Figure 6). In addition, the dynamic change of *MCL-1* expression in the five paired AML patients of different stages (Figure 7).

Discussion

Accumulating studies stated that *MCL-1* expression was associated with the progression and outcome of various human malignant tumors.[4–6] In multiple myeloma, *MCL-1* high-expression was reported to be associated with relapse and shorter event-free survival.[11] Mylin et al demonstrated that *MCL-1* was up-regulated in multiple myeloma compared with monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance, but had no impact on OS and event-free survival.[22] Moreover, the activated vascular endothelial growth factor induced the overexpression of *MCL-1* and predicted a poor outcome and aggressive disease progression in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma.[23] More interestingly, Kempkensteffen et al elucidated that the antiapoptotic full-length splicing variant of *MCL-1*, down-regulation in clear-cell renal cancer, was related with poor tumor differentiation and predicted a higher risk for relapse; they also revealed that low *MCL-1L* was associated with short recurrence-free and disease-specific survival.[24] Glaser et al used RNAi for knockdown of *MCL-1* to determine that *MCL-1* was essential for development and sustained growth of this hematological malignancy and it could be targeted for therapeutic benefit.[13] In this study, we observed that up-regulation of *MCL-1* expression was a frequent event in Chinese *de novo* AML patients. Survival analyses revealed that high *MCL-1* expression was associated with shorter OS both in non-APL and in CN-AML patients. Notably, our data showed that high-expression of *MCL-1* had lower CR rate. Meanwhile, expression of *MCL-1* in CR and relapsed patients illustrated that *MCL-1* were significantly decreased from the initial diagnosis to CR and increased after relapsed. These results together


Figure 7 Dynamic change of *MCL-1* expression in the five paired AML patients of different clinical stages. White column: newly diagnosed AML patients; Gray column: AML patients who achieved CR; Black column: relapsed AML patients.
Abbreviations: AML, acute myeloid leukemia; CR, complete remission.

indicated that *MCL-1* expression was a valuable predictor in assessing treatment outcome as well as status, and might serve as a criterion for the therapeutic evaluation in AML.

It has been well demonstrated that *BCL-2* family proteins act as positive or negative regulators on cellular apoptosis and druggable targets.[4–11,25] Kaufmann et al found that cells overexpressing *MCL-1* were resistant to a variety of chemotherapeutic agents and raised the possibility that some chemotherapeutic regimens might select for leukemia cells with elevated levels of this particular apoptosis inhibitor.[26] Recently, ABT-199, a highly potent and selective inhibitor of *BCL-2*, has been granted breakthrough designation by FDA for relapsed or refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia with 17p deletion.[27] Moreover, Pan et al found that ABT-199 also potently killed a diverse array of AML cell lines by establishing an aggressive mouse xenograft model of MOLM-13.[27] Notably, some scholars stated that *MCL-1* induced the resistance to ABT-737 (the first molecule studied extensively preclinically) and its analogs ABT-263 (the first of this series to enter the clinic) and ABT-199,[28–30] while *MCL-1* suppression could restore sensitivity to these compounds in leukemia cells,[31,32] suggesting that drug resistance was attributed to high level of *MCL-1*. Kotschy et al found that the selective *MCL-1* inhibitor S63845 killed *MCL-1*-dependent cancer cells by activating the *BAX/BAK*-dependent mitochondrial apoptotic pathway in diverse cancer models.[14] Doi et al showed that maritoclax, a novel kind of *BCL-2* family inhibitors, induced the selective degradation of *MCL-1* through the proteasome to kill AML cells that express elevated levels of *MCL-1*.[33] Recently, Wang et al revealed that inhibition of CDK9, a key component of the positive transcriptional regulator complex pTEFb which activates transcription via phosphorylation of RNA polymerase II, blocked transcription resulting in the repression of short-lived proteins such as *MCL-1*.[34] Dey J et al found that voruciclib, a novel CDK9 inhibitor which addressed a well-characterized key-mediator of resistance to venetoclax by repressing *MCL-1*, and the *BCL-2* specific inhibitor, venetoclax, with promise for treating high-risk subtype of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma by establishing specific models.[35] Previous researches proved that higher levels of *MCL-1* have been reported to result in the failure to achieve CR after fludarabine and chlorambucil treatment,[36,37] while higher *MCL-1/BAX* ratio was related to poor response to rituximab in some patients.[38] Taken together, these results suggested that *MCL-1* combined with other targets have great potential in AML targeted therapies.

In addition, our study further observed that *MCL-1* over-expression was associated with *NPM1* mutation, which was a favorable prognostic indicator in AML and frequently occurred in patients with normal karyotype.[39] In some studies, AML patients with *NPM1* mutation appeared to benefit from ATRA as an adjunct to conventional chemotherapy.[40,41] Moreover, it was reported that AML cells carrying *NPM1* mutation were more sensitive to ATO, because of the expression of *NPM1* mutant protein and its acquired C-terminus cysteine 288.[42] Martelli et al also showed that ATO/ATRA induced proteasome-dependent degradation of *NPM1* leukemic protein leading to cell growth inhibition and apoptosis in *NPM1*-mutated AML.[43] Although a large number of findings suggest that the *NPM1* mutation has a favorable effect on the outcome for AML.[44–47] Falini et al found that *NPM1* exon 12 mutations which caused cytoplasmic dislocation of *NPM* were related to the malignant clone and leukemogenesis.[48] Moreover, a study conducted by Konoplev et al suggested that *NPM1* mutations did not impact OS and event-free survival (EFS) in AML patients.[49] However, Verhaak et al demonstrated that patients with intermediate cytogenetic risk AML with *NPM1* mutations had a significantly better OS and EFS than those without *NPM1* mutations.[44] Further studies are needed to confirm and reveal the underlying association between *MCL-1* expression and *NPM1* mutation.

Collectively, these results demonstrated that *MCL-1* is overexpressed and confers a poor prognosis in Chinese *de novo* AML patients and could be also used as a potential therapeutic target in the treatment of newly diagnosed and relapsed AML.

Abbreviation list

AML, acute myeloid leukemia; APL, acute promyelocytic leukemia; ATRA, all-trans retinoic acid; AUC, area under the ROC curve; *BCL-2*, B-cell leukemia/lymphoma-2; *BCL-XL, BCL-2* and *BCL-2*-like protein 1 isoform 1; BM, Bone marrow; BMMNCs, BM mononuclear cells; CN-AML, cytogenetically normal AML; CR, complete remission; GEP, melting analysis; LFS, leukemia-free survival; *MCL-1*, myeloid cell leukemia-1; OS, overall survival; PLT, platelets; RARα, retinoic acid receptor α; ROC, Receiver operating characteristic curve; RQ-PCR, Real-time quantitative PCR; WBC, white blood cell; WHO, World Health Organization.

Ethics Statements

We confirm in the revised manuscript that a parent or legal guardian provided written informed consent for any patient under 18 years of age, and that this study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. Tenen DG. Disruption of differentiation in human cancer: AML shows the way. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2003;3:89–101. doi:10.1038/nrc989
2. Zhao J, Lu Q, Zhu J, Fu J, Chen Y-X. Prognostic value of miR-96 in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *Diagn Pathol*. 2014;9:76. doi:10.1186/1746-1596-9-76
3. Grimwade D. The clinical significance of cytogenetic abnormalities in acute myeloid leukaemia. *Best Pract Res Clin Haematol*. 2001;14:497–529. doi:10.1053/beha.2001.0152
4. Williams MM, Lee L, Hicks DJ, et al. Key survival factor, MCL-1, correlates with sensitivity to combined BCL-2/BCL-XL blockade. *Mol Cancer Res*. 2017;15(3):259–268. doi:10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-16-0280-T
5. Goodwin CM, Rossanese OW, Olejniczak ET, Fesik SW. Myeloid cell leukemia-1 is an important apoptotic survival factor in triple-negative breast cancer. *Cell Death Differ*. 2015;22(12):2098–2106. doi:10.1038/cdd.2015.73
6. Allen TD, Zhu CQ, Jones KD, Yanagawa N, Tsao M-S, Bishop JM. Interaction between MYC and MCL1 in the genesis and outcome of non-small-cell lung cancer. *Cancer Res*. 2011;71(6):2212–2221. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-3590
7. Dzhagalov I, St John A, He YW. The antiapoptotic protein MCL-1 is essential for the survival of neutrophils but not macrophages. *Blood*. 2007;109(4):1620–1626. doi:10.1182/blood-2006-03-013771
8. Peperzak V, Vikström I, Walker J, et al. Mcl-1 is essential for the survival of plasma cells. *Nat Immunol*. 2013;14(3):290–297. doi:10.1038/ni.2527
9. Pepper C, Lin TT, Pratt G, et al. Mcl-1 expression has in vitro and in vivo significance in chronic lymphocytic leukemia and is associated with other poor prognostic markers. *Blood*. 2008;112(9):3807–3817. doi:10.1182/blood-2008-05-157131
10. Kozopas KM, Yang T, Buchan HL, Zhou P, Craig RW. MCL1, a gene expressed in programmed myeloid cell differentiation, has sequence similarity to BCL2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1993;90(8):3516–3520.
11. Wuillème-Toumi S, Robillard N, Gomez P, et al. MCL-1 is over-expressed in multiple myeloma and associated with relapse and shorter survival. *Leukemia*. 2005;19(7):1248–1252. doi:10.1038/sj.leu.2403784
12. Mitchell C, Yacoub A, Hossein H, et al. Inhibition of MCL-1 in breast cancer cells promotes cell death in vitro and in vivo. *Cancer Biol Ther*. 2010;10:903–917. doi:10.4161/cbt.10.9.13273
13. Glaser SP, Lee EF, Trounson E, et al. Anti-apoptotic MCL-1 is essential for the development and sustained growth of acute myeloid leukemia. *Genes Dev*. 2012;26(2):120–125. doi:10.1101/gad.182980.111
14. Kotschy A, Szlavik Z, Murray J, et al. The MCL1 inhibitor S63845 is tolerable and effective in diverse cancer models. *Nature*. 2016;538(7626):477–482. doi:10.1038/nature19830
15. Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Harris NL, et al. *WHO Classification of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues*. Lyon: IARC Press; 2008.
16. Zhou JD, Zhang TJ, Li XX, et al. Epigenetic dysregulation of ID4 predicts disease progression and treatment outcome in myeloid malignancies. *J Cell Mol Med*. 2017;21(8):1468–1481. doi:10.1111/jcmm.13073
17. Zhou JD, Wang YX, Zhang TJ, et al. Identification and validation of SRY-box containing gene family member SOX30 methylation as a prognostic and predictive biomarker in myeloid malignancies. *Clin Epigenetics*. 2018;10:92. doi:10.1186/s13148-018-0523-y
18. Zhang TJ, Zhou JD, Zhang W, et al. H19 overexpression promotes leukemogenesis and predicts unfavorable prognosis in acute myeloid leukemia. *Clin Epigenetics*. 2018;10:47. doi:10.1186/s13148-018-0486-z
19. Lin J, Yang J, Wen XM, et al. Detection of SRSF2-P95 mutation by high-resolution melting curve analysis and its effect on prognosis in myelodysplastic syndrome. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e115693. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115693
20. Wen XM, Hu JB, Yang J, et al. CEBPA methylation and mutation in myelodysplastic syndrome. *Med Oncol*. 2015;32:192. doi:10.1007/s12032-015-0605-z
21. Kassambara A, Rème T, Jourdan M, et al. GenomicScape: an easy-to-use web tool for gene expression data analysis. Application to investigate the molecular events in the differentiation of B cells into plasma cells. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2015;11(1):e1004077. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004077
22. Mylin AK, Rasmussen T, Lodahl M, Dahl IM, Knudsen LM. Upregulated MCL1 mRNA expression in multiple myeloma lacks association with survival. *Br J Haematol*. 2009;144(6):961–963. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2141.2008.07521.x
23. Kuramoto K, Sakai A, Shigemasa K, et al. High expression of MCL1 gene related to vascular endothelial growth factor is associated with poor outcome in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Br J Haematol*. 2002;116(1):158–161.
24. Kempkensteffen C, Hinz S, Johannsen M, et al. Expression of MCL-1 splicing variants in clear-cell renal cancer and their correlation with histopathological parameters and prognosis. *Tumour Biol*. 2009;30(2):73–79. doi:10.1159/000215826
25. Anderson MA, Huang D, Roberts A. Targeting BCL2 for the treatment of lymphoid malignancies. *Semin Hematol*. 2014;51:219–227. doi:10.1053/j.seminhematol.2014.05.008
26. Kaufmann SH, Karp JE, Svingen PA, et al. Elevated expression of the apoptotic regulator MCL-1 at the time of leukemic relapse. *Blood*. 1998;91(3):991–1000.
27. Pan R, Hogdal LJ, Benito JM, et al. Selective BCL-2 inhibition by ABT-199 causes on-target cell death in acute myeloid leukemia. *Cancer Discov*. 2014;4:362–375. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-13-0609
28. Oltersdorf T, Elmore SW, Shoemaker AR, et al. An inhibitor of BCL-2 family proteins induces regression of solid tumours. *Nature*. 2005;435:677–681. doi:10.1038/nature03579
29. Gandhi L, Camidge DR, Ribeiro de Oliveira M, et al. Phase I study of Navitoclax (ABT-263), a novel BCL-2 family inhibitor, in patients with small-cell lung cancer and other solid tumors. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011;29:909–916. doi:10.1200/JCO.2010.31.6208
30. Souers AJ, Leverson JD, Boghaert ER, et al. ABT-199, a potent and selective BCL-2 inhibitor, achieves antitumor activity while sparing platelets. *Nat Med*. 2013;19:202–208. doi:10.1038/nm.3048

31. Yecies D, Carlson NE, Deng J, Letai A. Acquired resistance to ABT-737 in lymphoma cells that up-regulate MCL-1 and BFL-1. *Blood*. 2010;115:3304–3313. doi:10.1182/blood-2009-07-233304

32. Mazumder S, Choudhary GS, Al-Harbi S, Almasan A. MCL-1 phosphorylation defines ABT-737 resistance that can be overcome by increased NOXA expression in leukemic B cells. *Cancer Research*. 2012;72:3069–3079. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-4106

33. Doi K, Liu Q, Gowda K, et al. Maritoclax induces apoptosis in acute myeloid leukemia cells with elevated MCL-1 expression. *Cancer Biol Ther*. 2014;15(8):1077–1086. doi:10.4161/cbt.29186

34. Wang S, Fischer PM. Cyclin-dependent kinase 9: a key transcriptional regulator and potential drug target in oncology,virology and cardiology. *Trends Pharmacol Sci*. 2008;29(6):302–313. doi:10.1016/j.tips.2008.03.003

35. Dey J, Deckwerth TL, Kerwin WS, et al. Voruciclib, a clinical stage oral CDK9 inhibitor, represses MCL-1 and sensitizes high-risk diffuse large B-cell lymphoma to BCL2 inhibition. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7 (1):18007. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-18368-w

36. Kitada S, Andersen J, Akar S, et al. Expression of apoptosis-regulating proteins in chronic lymphocytic leukemia: correlations with in vitro and in vivo chemoresponses. *Blood*. 1998;91:3379–3389.

37. Saxena A, Viswanathan S, Moshynska O, Tandon P, Sankaran K, Sheridan DP. MCL-1 and BCL-2/BAX ratio are associated with treatment response but not with Rai stage in B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Am J Hematol*. 2004;75:22–33. doi:10.1002/ajh.10453

38. Bannerji R, Kitada S, Flinn IW, et al. Apoptoticregulatory and complement-protecting protein expression in chronic lymphocytic leukemia: relationship to in vivo rituximab resistance. *J Clin Oncol*. 2003;21:1466–1471. doi:10.1200/JCO.2003.06.012

39. Suzuki T, Kiyoi H, Ozeki K, et al. Clinical characteristics and prognostic implications of NPM1 mutations in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2005;106:2854–2861. doi:10.1182/blood-2005-04-1733

40. Schlenk RF, Döhner K, Kneba M, et al; German-Austrian AML Study Group (AMLSG). Gene mutations and response to treatment with all-trans retinoic acid in elderly patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Results from the AMLSG Trial AML HD98B. *Haematologica*. 2009;94(1):54–60. doi:10.1182/blood-2006-03-013771

41. Schlenk RF, Döhner K. Impact of new prognostic markers in treatment decisions in acute myeloid leukemia. *Curr Opin Hematol*. 2009;16(2):98–104. doi:10.1097/MOH.0b013e3283 257adb

42. Huang M, Thomas D, Li MX, et al. Role of cysteine 288 in nucleophosmin cytoplasmic mutations: sensitization to toxicity induced by arsenic trioxide and bortezomib. *Leukemia*. 2013;27(10):1970–1980. doi:10.1038/leu.2013.222

43. Martelli MP, Gionfriddo I, Mezzasoma F, et al. Arsenic trioxide and all-trans retinoic acid target NPM1 mutant oncoprotein levels and induce apoptosis in NPM1-mutated AML cells. *Blood*. 2015;125 (22):3455–3465. doi:10.1182/blood-2014-11-611459

44. Verhaak RG, Goudswaard CS, van Putten W, et al. Mutations in nucleophosmin (NPM1) in acute myeloid leukemia (AML): association with other gene abnormalities and previously established gene expression signatures and their favorable prognostic significance. *Blood*. 2005;106(12):3747–3754. doi:10.1182/blood-2005-05-2168

45. Kiyoi H, Naoe T, Nakano Y, et al. Prognostic implication of FLT3 and N-RAS gene mutations in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 1999;93(9):3074–3080.

46. Thiede C, Steudel C, Mohr B, et al. Analysis of FLT3-activating mutations in 979 patients with acute myelogenous leukemia: association with FAB subtypes and identification of subgroups with poor prognosis. *Blood*. 2002;99(12):4326–4335.

47. Schnittger S, Schoch C, Dugas M, et al. Analysis of FLT3 length mutations in 1003 patients with acute myeloid leukemia: correlation to cytogenetics, FAB subtype, and prognosis in the AMLCG study and usefulness as a marker for the detection of minimal residual disease. *Blood*. 2002;100(1):59–66.

48. Falini B, Mecucci C, Tiacci E, et al. Cytoplasmic nucleophosmin in acute myelogenous leukemia with a normal karyotype. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;352(3):254–266. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa041974

49. Konoplev S, Huang X, Drabkin HA, et al. Cytoplasmic localization of nucleophosmin in bone marrow blasts of acute myeloid leukemia patients is not completely concordant with NPM1 mutation and is not predictive of prognosis. *Cancer*. 2009;115:4737–4744. doi:10.1002/cncr.24543